The numeral system of Proto-Niger-Congo

A step-by-step reconstruction

Konstantin Pozdniakov



Series information: ./langsci/seriesinfo/nc-info.tex not found!

The numeral system of Proto-Niger-Congo

A step-by-step reconstruction

Konstantin Pozdniakov



Konstantin Pozdniakov. 2018. *The numeral system of Proto-Niger-Congo: A step-by-step reconstruction* (Niger-Congo Comparative Studies 2). Berlin: Language Science Press.

This title can be downloaded at:

http://langsci-press.org/catalog/book/191

© 2018, Konstantin Pozdniakov

Published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Licence (CC BY 4.0):

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

ISBN: no digital ISBN

no print ISBNs!

no DOI

Source code available from www.github.com/langsci/191

Collaborative reading: paperhive.org/documents/remote?type=langsci&id=191

Cover and concept of design: Ulrike Harbort Fonts: Linux Libertine, Arimo, DejaVu Sans Mono

Typesetting software: X¬MT_EX

Language Science Press Unter den Linden 6 10099 Berlin, Germany langsci-press.org

Storage and cataloguing done by FU Berlin

no logo



| A | cknov | wledgments | | XV |
|----|-------|--|--------|------|
| Al | obrev | viations | | xvii |
| 1 | Pref | eface | | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Introduction | | 1 |
| | | 1.1.1 Niger-Congo: the state of research and the pr | - | 1 |
| | 4.0 | reconstruction | | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Sources and the monograph structure | | 6 |
| | | 1.2.1 Sources | | 6 |
| | | 1.2.2 Monograph structure | | 7 |
| 2 | Nou | un classes in the Niger-Congo numeral systems | | 11 |
| | 2.1 | Noun classes in the counting forms of numerals | | 15 |
| | | 2.1.1 The specific marking of numerals | | 17 |
| | | 2.1.2 The grouping of numerals by noun class | | 18 |
| | 2.2 | Noun classes in derived (reduplicated) numerals | | 23 |
| | 2.3 | Noun class as a tool for the formation of numerals . | | 32 |
| 3 | Ana | alogical changes in numerals | | 37 |
| | 3.1 | Issues pertaining to the detection of alignments by ar | nalogy | 37 |
| | 3.2 | , - | | 40 |
| | 3.3 | | | 41 |
| | 3.4 | | | 43 |
| | 3.5 | | | 49 |
| | 3.6 | | | 51 |
| | 3.7 | _ | | 51 |
| | 3.8 | Dogon | | 52 |
| | 3.9 | Kordofanian | | 53 |

| St | tep-by-ste | ep reconstruction of numerals in the branches of Niger- | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| C | Congo | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | 1 Benue | e-Congo | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1.1 | The Bantoid languages (including Bantu) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1.2 | Benue-Congo (the Bantoid languages excluded) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1.3 | Isolated BC languages | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1.4 | Proto-Benue-Congo | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | 2 Kwa | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2.1 | Ga-Dangme | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2.2 | Gbe | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2.3 | Ka-Togo | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2.4 | Na-Togo | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2.5 | Nyo | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2.6 | Proto-Kwa | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | 3 Ijo | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4.1 | 'One', 'Two' and 'Three' | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4.2 | 'Four' and 'Five' | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4.3 | 'Six' to 'Nine' | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4.4 | 'Ten' and 'Twenty' | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4.5 | 'Hundred' and 'Thousand' | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | ofanian | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | 1awa | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.1 | Fali-Yingilum (G11) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.2 | Kam (Nyimwom, G8) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.3 | Leko-Duru-Mumuye (G4, G2, G5) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.4 | Mbum-Day (G13, G14, G6, Day) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.5 | Waja-Jen (G9, G10, G1, G7) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.6 | Laal | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.6.7 | Proto-Adamawa | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.7.1 | Banda | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.7.2 | Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.7.3 | Ngbandi | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.7.4 | Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.7.5 | Proto-Ubangi | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | n and Bangime | | | | | | | | | | |

| 4.9 | Gur . | |
|------|---------|---|
| | 4.9.1 | 'One' |
| | 4.9.2 | Bariba |
| | 4.9.3 | Central Gur |
| | 4.9.4 | Kulango |
| | 4.9.5 | Lobi-Dyan |
| | 4.9.6 | Senufo |
| | 4.9.7 | Teen |
| | 4.9.8 | Tiefo |
| | 4.9.9 | Tusia |
| | 4.9.10 | Viemo |
| | 4.9.11 | Wara-Natioro |
| | 4.9.12 | Proto-Gur |
| 4.10 | Mande | |
| | 4.10.1 | 'One' |
| | 4.10.2 | 'Two' |
| | 4.10.3 | 'Three' |
| | 4.10.4 | 'Four' 2 |
| | 4.10.5 | 'Five' |
| | 4.10.6 | 'Six' |
| | 4.10.7 | 'Seven' |
| | 4.10.8 | 'Eight' |
| | 4.10.9 | 'Nine' |
| | 4.10.10 | 'Ten' |
| | 4.10.11 | 'Twenty' |
| | 4.10.12 | 'Hundred' |
| | 4.10.13 | 'Thousand' |
| 4.11 | Mel . | |
| | 4.11.1 | Southern Mel |
| | 4.11.2 | Northern Mel |
| | 4.11.3 | Proto-Mel |
| 4.12 | Atlanti | <mark>c</mark> |
| | 4.12.1 | Northern |
| | 4.12.2 | Bak |
| | 4.12.3 | North Atlantic and Bak Atlantic numerals in the compar- |
| | | ative perspective |
| 4.13 | Isolate | d languages vs. Atlantic and Mel |
| | | Sua 2 |

| | | 4.13.2 Gola | 255 |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | 4.13.3 Limba | 255 |
| _ | D | the Control of the Co | 0.5.7 |
| 5 | | onstruction of numerals in Niger-Congo | 257 |
| | 5.1 | 'One' | 257 |
| | 5.2 | 'Two' | 259 |
| | | 5.2.1 'Two' | 259 |
| | | 5.2.2 'Two' = 'one' PL? | 260 |
| | 5.3 | 'Three' | 262 |
| | 5.4 | 'Four' | 271 |
| | 5.5 | 'Five' | 274 |
| | 5.6 | 'Six' | 283 |
| | 5.7 | 'Seven' | 284 |
| | 5.8 | 'Eight' ('Four' and 'eight') | 284 |
| | 5.9 | 'Nine' | 290 |
| | 5.10 | 'Ten' | 291 |
| | 5.11 | Large numbers ('twenty', 'hundred' and 'thousand') | 294 |
| | 5.12 | Proto-Niger-Congo | 295 |
| | | | |
| 6 | | numbers as reflected in particular families, groups and branches | 297 |
| | 6.1 | Benue-Congo | |
| | 6.2 | Kwa | 297 |
| | | | 299 |
| | 6.3 | Ijo | 299 300 |
| | 6.4 | Ijo | 299 300 301 |
| | 6.4 6.5 | Ijo | 299 300 301 301 |
| | 6.4 | Ijo | 299 300 301 |
| | 6.4 6.5 | Ijo | 299 300 301 301 |
| | 6.4 6.5 6.6 | Ijo | 299 300 301 301 302 |
| | 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 | Ijo | 299 300 301 301 302 304 |
| | 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 | Ijo | 299 300 301 301 302 304 306 |
| | 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9 | Ijo Kru Kordofanian Adamawa Ubangi Dogon Gur and Senufo | 299 300 301 301 302 304 306 306 |
| | 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9 6.10 | Ijo | 299 300 301 301 302 304 306 306 308 |
| | 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9 6.10 6.11 | Ijo Kru Kordofanian Adamawa Ubangi Dogon Gur and Senufo Mande Mel Atlantic | 299 300 301 301 302 304 306 306 308 310 |
| | 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9 6.10 6.11 6.12 | Ijo Kru Kordofanian Adamawa Ubangi Dogon Gur and Senufo Mande Mel Atlantic West African NC isolates | 299 300 301 301 302 304 306 306 308 310 310 |
| | 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9 6.10 6.11 6.12 6.13 | Ijo | 299 300 301 301 302 304 306 306 308 310 310 |
| | 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9 6.10 6.11 6.12 6.13 6.14 | Ijo Kru Kordofanian Adamawa Ubangi Dogon Gur and Senufo Mande Mel Atlantic West African NC isolates | 299 300 301 301 302 304 306 306 308 310 312 313 |

| Index | 3 | 339 |
|----------------|---|-----|
| Name index | 3 | 339 |
| Language index | 3 | 339 |

6 NC numbers as reflected in particular families, groups and branches

No new reconstructions are presented in this chapter that offer the alignment of intermediate reconstructions on the basis of wider Niger-Congo evidence and conclusions based on the reconstruction suggested earlier. Hopefully, these results will enable an evaluation of each of the families (or a group/branch when possible) with regard to the inventory of NC roots preserved in them. In addition, this may enhance our understanding of the NC linguistic taxonomy. We will begin our analysis with the Benue-Congo evidence (Table 6.1).

6.1 Benue-Congo

Commentary:

- Reflexes of the reconstructed NC forms are marked with /+/ in the table above.
- It should be repeatedly stressed that some of the etymologies accepted here are in need of further investigation and evaluation by experts. In case it is not clear whether the form is indeed a NC reflex, /+-?/ is used henceforward.
- Since the Bantu evidence is of great importance to our reconstruction, it is treated separately, i.e. the Bantoid (-B) section only includes forms attested in these languages except for those found in Bantu.
- The terms for 'six', 'seven' and 'twenty' are not present in the tables. The assumed NC patterns that are employed for them are typologically widespread, which means that the evidence pertaining to their reflexes will only mar the overall distribution picture.
- If a reflex is supposedly lacking, a selection of basic forms (interpreted as innovations) is provided.

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 | Total |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------|---|---|-------------------|---------|--------------------|-------|
| Nupoid | + | ba | + | + | + | 5+3 | + ? | 4 |
| Defoid | + | + | + | + | + | jo/ ro | gwá | 5 |
| Edoid | kpa/ gwo | va | + | + | + ? | + | gbe | 4 |
| Igboid | tù? | bó | + | + | + ? | 5+3 | dî/ ri/ li | 3 |
| Idomoid | + | pa | + | + | do/ lo/ ro/ ho | 5+3 | gwo | 3 |
| Kainji | + | + ? | + | + | + | ro, 5+3 | + | 6 |
| Platoid | + | + | + | + | + | + | kop | 6 |
| Cross | + | + ? | + | + | + | + | + ? | 7 |
| Jukunoid | jun, ∫í∫e, táŋ | pa(n) | + | + | + | + | + ? | 5 |
| Bantoid (-B) | +? | pa/ba/fe | + | + | + | + | + | 6 |
| Bantu | + | + | + | + | + | + | kớmì | 6 |
| Oko | -όrε, -jέrε | -bòrè | + | + | -pi | + | + | 4 |
| Akpes | + ? | + | + | + | + ? | + | -yōf(ì), *t-ēfī | 6 |
| Ikaan | ∫í | wa | + | + | + | + | + | 5 |
| Lufu | + ? | máhà | + | + | + | 5+3 | + ? | 5 |

Table 6.1: NC numerals reflected in Benue-Congo (+)

• The total number of Proto-Niger-Congo roots that have reflexes in each of the BC branches (out of the seven numbers represented in the table) is quoted in the rightmost column.

Table 6.1 demonstrates the following: If we accept this reconstruction, it appears that in only Cross-River do all seven terms discussed above directly reflect their NC prototypes, which makes this branch the most archaic within BC. Six terms out of seven represent NC reflexes in Kainji, Platoid, Bantoid, Bantu and Akpes. In other words, the Proto-NC numerical terms are better preserved in Eastern BC than they are in Western BC. It should be noted that only three terms out of seven have their reflexes in Idomoid and Igboid, i.e. they are the most distant from Proto-Niger-Congo among the languages under study.

Reflexes of 'three' and 'four' have been preserved in all BC branches. The reflection of 'five' is consistent as well. The same can be applied to 'eight' (the replacement of the pattern '8' = '4 redupl.' with '8' = '5+3' may have occurred independently in some of the branches).

Why the assumed reflexes of the Proto-terms for 'two' and 'ten' underwent a massive replacement is more difficult to explain. In the case of 'ten' a Proto-Western-BC innovation may be assumed, i.e. the replacement of *pu/fu with *gbV/gwV. This is applicable to the Nupoid form wo (represented as /+?/ in the table above) as it probably reflects the Western innovation *gwo rather than *pu/fu. This raises doubts as to whether our interpretation of the forms attested in Cross (*kpo), Jukunoid (wo) and Lufu (wo) is correct (these forms were explained above as NC).

The reflexes of the Proto-NC term for 'two' are limited to 4-6 branches (out of the fifteen branches under study). At the same time, the forms that do not go back to *di are phonetically quite homogeneous in both main groups of BC (pa/ba/wa/va). This suggests that the by-form of 'two' with the initial labial may have already existed at the Proto-BC level.

6.2 Kwa

Interestingly, Table 6.2 shows that some of the Kwa branches are exceptionally variable with regard to the reflection of Proto-NC terms. All seven Proto-terms under study have their reflexes in Ka-Togo, i.e. the Ka-Togo reconstruction is virtually identical to that of NC. However, Gan-Dangme has only the reflex of 'three' (assuming that $-t\tilde{\epsilon}$ '3' reflects NC *tath). In Nyo, the majority of terms are replaced as well: it seems that only the terms for 'three' and 'four' have been preserved in Proto-Nyo, whereas the preservation of 'ten' (not speaking of 'one' and 'eight', let alone the terms for 'two' and 'five', since the reflexes of *di '2' and *tan '5' are not traceable in any of the Nyo branches) is questionable. This means (assuming Ka-Togo, Na-Togo and Gbe indeed belong to Kwa) we should assume that: 1) the innovations presented in the table above postdate the division of Proto-Kwa; 2) Proto-Ka-Togo was the first language to separate from Kwa, since many of these innovations are homogeneous. This line of reasoning is more difficult to follow in the case of Na-Togo, since Na-Togo shares its innovations for 'two' (*ny) and 'five' (*nu) with Nyo and Ga-Dangme. In other words, the Kwa numbers provide valuable data for the alignment of the internal genealogy of the Kwa languages.

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 | Total |
|-------|--------------|------------|--------|-------|-------------------|------------|--------|--------|-------|
| 1. | Ga-Dangme | -kē, | - | + | - J wè | -nùõ | 6+2 | nòŋma´ | 1 |
| | | *go/ | ກວ່(n) | | | | | | |
| | | wo | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Gbe | + | -wè | + | + | + | -ní, | + | 5 |
| | | | | | | | 'hand' | +3 | |
| 3. | Ka-Togo | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 7 |
| 4. | Na-Togo | + | -nyɔ | + | + | -no(N) | + | + | 5 |
| 5.1. | Nyo-Agneby | + | -ກຼຽ | + | + | -ne | -pyè, | diw, | 3 |
| | | | | | | | wo(n) | 5PL | |
| 5.2. | Nyo-Attié | kə(n) | mwə(r | ı) + | dʒí(n) | bə(n) | + | kεŋ | 2 |
| 5.3. | Nyo-Awikam | -t≾́ | -ກຸລ໌ | + | + | -nú | -tyέ | -jú | 2 |
| 5.4. | Nyo-Alladian | -tò̇ | -yrè | + ? | -zà | -nrì | -qrì | + ? | 2 |
| 5.5.1 | . Nyo-Potou | *ce, | -noʻ́ | ja/je | + | n <u>a</u> | 6yá/ | + | 2 |
| | | b <u>è</u> | | | | | gbī | | |
| 5.5.2 | 2. Nyo-Tano | ko(n) | -nɔ/ - | + | + | nu(n) | - | bulu, | 2 |
| | | | րս(n) | | | | kwέ/ | du | |
| | | | | | | | - cué | | |

Table 6.2: NC numerals reflected in Kwa (+)

One important point that I would like to stress here is that if the Ka-Togo languages indeed belong to Kwa, we may state that our reconstruction of the NC number system is fully supported by the Kwa evidence.

It should be remarked that in a number of the Kwa branches the forms of 'five' interpreted as innovations in the table above could go back to an alternative NC prototype *nu(n) '5' with its reflexes attested in Dogon, Gur and Adamawa.

Finally, I'd like to note that such a large-scale replacement of Proto-terms as in Nyo and Gan-Dangme (apparently etymologically related innovations) is a promising subject for both special investigation and discussion within the framework of a NC linguistics conference.

6.3 Ijo

The Ijo languages are closely related, hence they do not differ much in the reflection of Proto-NC numbers. An apparent innovation of Ijo is the term for 'two' (mààmV). As for the term for 'one', the reflexes of the NC prototype are distinguishable in the Ijo compounds die/ zie/ ie. In the case of 'ten' it is, however, un-

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
|--------|------------------|-------|---|---|---|-----|-----------|
| Defaka | gbérí | mààmà | + | + | + | 5+3 | + ? (wóì) |
| East | *+, gbérí, ŋ̀gèi | màmì | + | + | + | + | ójí /àtìé |
| West | *+, kènı | maamʊ | + | + | + | + | ójí |

Table 6.3: NC numerals reflected in Ijo (+)

clear whether this form is an innovation or not, since it can also be reconstructed as *wo-(i) based on *pu/fu. The reconstruction *(w)oji < **ji is an alternative possibility that implies an innovation in Ijo.

In any case, the majority of the Proto-Ijo numbers can be traced to their NC prototypes.

6.4 Kru

1 2 4 5 8 3 10 Aizi mumo, yre -ſı yebi -gbo pate ha Eastern +, kớgba sə gbu / gbi 5+3 Kuwa +? wàyòɔ 5+3 kowaa sõr Seme kwŧl dyuõ nĩ yur kprēn Western -mm sən

Table 6.4: NC numerals reflected in Kru (+)

The Proto-Niger-Congo forms are well-preserved in Western Kru (Bassa, Grebo, Klao, Wee). In other branches they are less well represented (especially in Aizi and Seme, where they are nearly completely replaced with innovations (except for the term for 'three') with reflexes attested in all the branches).

6.5 Kordofanian

This evidence leads to the conclusion that the number systems of the Kordofanian languages are hardly reconcilable with each other. Moreover, none of them seems

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
|--------|-------------------------|---------------|---|-------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------------|
| Heiban | -(t)tε(k) | -can / | + | -ŗ၁ŋ၁/ | -dìní, | + ? | di/ ɗi/ ri |
| | | -ŗan, rɔm | | - l ro | ner- | | |
| Katla | -ţʌk | cik/ heek | + | -gʌlʌm | -duliin, -gbəlın | ţáŋgìl | *tʌʌ, -rɔ |
| Rashad | -tta | (k)ko(k | + | -rʊm | *per-, -ram | dubba | 5PL |
| Talodi | +? (lu(k)/ li(k)) | -τνκ/ -tta | + | -ŗandɔ, kekka | hand'- '1', -liəgum | + ? | -tu(l), tiəŗum |

Table 6.5: NC numerals reflected in Kordofanian (+)

to have inherited the NC system (with the exception of 'three' that apparently goes back to its NC prototype, cf. e.g. Katla $\lambda - \underline{t} \underline{\lambda} \underline{t}$ '3').

The NC root for 'eight' (< '4') is not represented in the Kordofanian languages. The use of /+?/ for Heiban and Talodi is only due to the fact that the Proto-NC pattern (8 = 4 redupl.) is traceable in them (rather than the form itself), cf. e.g. Warnang (Heiban) $\eta \dot{e}$ -làmlàŋ '4' > ηe -lamlaaŋ-ɔ '8', Lumun (Talodi) $m\dot{o}^{j}\dot{o}t\dot{n}$ '4' > $m\dot{a}$ - $m\dot{o}$ cm \dot{o} c" '8'. This resemblance, however, may be due to typological (rather than etymological) reasons.

6.6 Adamawa

It is important to note that Adamawa is one of the most divergent families within NC, hence the remarks below.

First, despite the diversity of forms, reflexes of the NC prototypes are well represented in many of the branches, e.g. five terms out of the total seven are probably reflected in Mbum Bua, Waja Jen, Waja Waja and Waja Yungur. Like in other families, the terms for 'three' and 'four' are the best-preserved.

The table above may create an impression that the term for 'one' is well-preserved in Adamawa as well. This impression is, however, misleading, since multiple forms are reconstructible for 'one'. Moreover, numerical terms attested in particular Adamawa branches go back to a variety of forms (rather than one particular form) that may be unrelated to each other. Thus NC *di* '1' finds parallels

Table 6.6: NC numerals reflected in Adamawa (+)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----|-----------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Fali | + | gbara, cuk | + | + | kẽrew | + | ra |
| Kam | + ? (- <u>ii</u>) | -raak | + | + | ŋwún | sâl | + ? |
| Leko Duru | +, ŋá | du/ ru, to, te/re | + | + | nún- | 5+3 | + ?, kob |
| Leko Leko | *ŋa | ra, in, nu | + | + | núún- | 5+3 | kob |
| Leko Mumuye | + ? | ye, ti, ni | + | + | nong | 5+3 | kob |
| Mbum Bua | + | + | + | + | *lu, tε, *kɔn, tiso | + | do, kùtù |
| Mbum Kim | + | +? | + | ndà(y) | nūwēy | + | wàl |
| Mbum Mbum | bɔɔ̄ŋ/ búónó | ti, seɗe, gwa | + | + | ndiɓi | 10-2 | + ?, dùɔ, -wàl |
| Mbum Day | ngōń, *mon | + | + | ndà, -yām | sērì | + | + ? |
| Waja Jen | + | +? | + | + | nóob/ *na, *hwĩ | + | ∫óób |
| Waja Longuda | khal, twè | shir, kwế | + | + | nyś | nyíthìn | koo/ kù |
| Waja Waja | + | +? | + | + | nu(ŋ) | + | kob |
| Waja Yungur | + | + | + | kurun | -nun | + | + ?, kutun |
| Laal | + | (?ī-sī?) | māā | bī-sān | + ?? (sa¯b, *swa-) | + | tūū |

in the following branches: Duru $d\delta a$, Bua * $l\varepsilon$ and possibly Laal $b\hat{i}$ - $d\hat{i}l$? Its reconstructed allomorph *n-di (with further evolution to*ni/-in) may be reflected in Kam ($\underline{-ii}$), Jen -in, Waja -in, Mumuye (?) -ni, Yungur (?) -ni. The terms reflected in Falo *-lo, Bua $dv(\eta)$ and Kim $d\hat{u}$ may go back to the reconstructed NC form *do '1'.

The forms observable in these two groups cannot be coalesced on the basis of the presently available evidence. Moreover, it bears reminding that the morphological analysis of the majority of the Adamawa numbers is uncertain. This problem cannot be solved at the moment since any firm criteria for distinguishing noun class affixes (or their traces) from the base are lacking.

The same is applied to the forms of 'two'. The set of reflexes for the NC term *di '2' quoted in the table above is represented by the following isolated forms: Bua di-di/ri, Kim zi/tfi-ri, Day $d\bar{\imath}i$, Jen *re/ra-b, Waja rs-b, Yungur raa-p. Regardless of whether the final -b goes back to a suffix or is the result of alignment by analogy (both possibilities are discussed above), it is clear that the relationship of these forms deserves careful examination in the diachronic perspective.

'Four'. This section of Table 6.6 is a result of our cautious treatment of the potentially related forms: the possibility that the forms of Kim-Day *nda* may go back to NC **na*- cannot be excluded.

The NC base *tan / ton '5' has not been preserved in any of the Adamawa languages (apart from the doubtful Laal form). On the contrary, reflexes of the alternative NC form *nu(n) are clearly distinguishable in the majority of the midrange NC families such as Dogon, Gur and Kwa, so they should have probably been marked with the plus sign in the table above.

As for the reflexes of 'ten' (NC *pu/fu), it should be noted that all forms marked with the plus sign in the table originally had a voiced labial as their initial consonant: Adamawa *buu/buu. The forms of Adamawa *ko-b probably go back to NC *ko 'hand'.

6.7 Ubangi

Here, NC numbers are well-preserved in Banda and Gbaya-Nanza-Ngbaka (each of these branches has four reflexes out of seven) whereas in Ngbandi they have been totally replaced (except for *ta* '3').

The following problematic forms that have been taken as NC reflexes can be reinterpreted as follows (with due attention to their morphological structure and phonetics):

NC *di '1': Banda bà-lē?, Ngbaka-Mba bī-nì / bì-rì, Zande kí-lī;

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
|------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---|-----|----------------|--------|-----------|
| 1. | Banda | + | -∫i | + | + | -ndū | 5+3 | + |
| 2. | Gbaya- Nanza- Ngbaka | kpó(k)/ ndáŋ | wá?, -too | + | + | -(k)3 | + | + ? (bú) |
| 3. | Ngbandi | kɔ(i) | sε | + | sio | kõ/ kū̯ | miambe | sui, bàlé |
| 4.1. | Ngbaka- Mba | +, kpó- | -∫ì/ -si | + | + | ve/ vue | 5+3 | <'hand' |
| 4.2. | Sere | njẽe | so | + | + | vo | 5+3 | <'hand' |
| 5. | Zande | + | -jō/ -yō | + | lu? | -sìbē/ -sùè | 5+3 | ŋgbỗ |

Table 6.7: NC numerals reflected in Ubangi (+)

NC *pu/ fu '10': Banda bu-fu, Gbaya bú/ bù-kò. Whether the latter form is indeed a NC reflex is not clear (not only due to its phonetics but also because a lexical etymology is suggested for bù), e.g. Edouard Koya states that bu' means 'person' in Bokoto (Central Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka), where bù-kò '10' (https://mpilingweb.shh.mpg.de/numeral/Bokoto.htm). Moniño suggests an alternative etymology (Moñino1995): «*bú 'dix' est en relation avec *bú 'façonner, faire un cercle, joindre les mains'; la série partielle *bú-kɔ́ 'joindre-mains' est encore plus explicite, et décrit le geste qui accompagne l'énonciation du chiffre 10 chez tous les locuteurs». The following meanings of bu' in Gbaya are provided in (BlanchardNoss1982: 51):

 $\delta \hat{u}$ «joindre les deux extrémités d'une même chose ; faire de la poterie»,

 $\delta\acute{u}$ «dix, s'exprime en joignant les doigts de chaque main et en faisant toucher l'une de l'autre».

It is entirely possible that we are dealing with an innovation that follows the pattern described by Moniño. However, similar forms attested in other families may suggest that as finger counting developed, the secondary merger of homonyms occurred.

Finally, the Proto-Ubangi terms for 'two' (*se/so) and 'five' (*ko/vo, possibly a derivative from 'hand') should be mentioned as possible shared innovations.

6.8 Dogon

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
|---------|-------------------|-----|---|---|-------|------------|------------|
| Dogon | túrú/ tumɔ, ti(i) | + ? | + | + | núnéé | gá(a)rà | pérú/ pélú |
| Bangime | tòré / tǐyέ | + ? | + | + | nŭndí | (borrowed) | kúrέ |

Table 6.8: NC numerals reflected in Dogon

The Dogon numbers are quite homogeneous, so there is probably no need to treat them by branch. Instead, they will be compared to the numerical terms attested in the Bangime language that is considered a NC isolate.

Dogon. The forms $l\acute{e}(y)/n\acute{e}(y)$ (with their allomorphs $l\acute{o}(y)/n\acute{o}(y)$) may be viewed as reflexes of NC *di '2'. The reflex of NC *tan/ ton '5' is lacking in Dogon, but the basic form quoted in the table above corresponds to the alternative NC root *nu(n) widely attested in a number of NC families. The term for 'ten' can be compared to *pu/ fu, but this comparison should be substantiated. As previously stated, the reflexes of 'three' (Dogon *taan) and 'four' (Dogon *nay(n)) appear to be the most consistent, which clearly identifies Dogon as a member of the NC family.

Bangime. The Bangime numbers are virtually identical to those of Dogon as far as their etymology is concerned. The form jindo '2' may be a palatalized reflex of *di. The term for 'eight' (saagin) is a borrowing from Mande (just as in Dogon where a by-form of this primary term (sagi) is widely attested). The only Bangime term that is markedly different from the one found in Dogon is 'ten'.

6.9 Gur and Senufo

Evidence of the ten Gur branches is treated in Table 6.9 (cf. the discussion pertaining to the division of Gur into 16 branches in Chapter 4).

The Southern branch of Central Gur (Dogoso-Khe, Gan-Dogose, Grusi, Kirma-Tyrama) has preserved most of the NC terms (six out of the total seven), whereas its Northern branch (Bwamu, Kurumfe, Oti-Volta) preserved five. The NC numbers are well-represented in Teen and Wara-Natioro as well. Nearly the entire inventory of NC terms was replaced in Senufo (except for 'three' – Senufo $t\tilde{a}$ / $ta\tilde{a}r$), Bariba (except for i-ta 'three' and n- $n\varepsilon$ 'four') and Kulango (except for na 'four and ts 'five'). At the same time, Kulango and Teen seem to be the only languages that have a reflex of NC tan/ton '5'.

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
|------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|---------|--------|
| 1. | Bariba | tiā | Ru | + | + | nàobù | 5+3 | -kuru |
| 2.1. | Central | + | +, ŋū | + | + | nu | 5+3, | + |
| | North- ern | | | | | | təə, ni | |
| 2.2. | Central | + | +, <u>n</u> <u>o</u> / | + | + | nប(n) | + | + |
| | South- ern | | j <u>o</u> | | | | | |
| 3. | Kulango | *t <u>a</u> à | nyʊʊ̀ | (borrowed) | + | + | 5+3 | 5PL, |
| | | | | | | | | *ji |
| 4. | Lobi- | + | nyò(n) | + | + | mòì/ | 5+3 | -kpo, |
| | Dyan | | | | | *mà, dìèmà | | nyờớr |
| 5. | Senufo | ŋgbe, | sin | + | tésyàr, | -no | 5+3 | kε |
| | | nìkĩ | /sun | | | | | |
| 6. | Teen | tani | Nyor | + | + | + | 5+3 | + ? |
| 7. | Tiefo | + | jõ | + | ?u?໌້ာ / ŋɔɔ | kẫ | 5+3 | kε̃ |
| 8. | Tusia | nónkì | nĵŋ | + | + | k(w)ló | 5+3 | *gbɔ̃/ |
| | | | | | | | | bwà |
| 9. | Viemo | + ? | Niinĩ | + | jumĩ | *kɔႍ | + | kwəmũ |
| 10. | Wara- | рэ | ni̇́nté, | + | + | sùsú, | + | + |
| | Natioro- | | bŏ | | | sV | | |
| | Paleni | | | | | | | |

Table 6.9: NC numerals reflected in Gur and Senufo (+)

As we have seen, the NC numbers are well-preserved in Gur, the more so that an alternative root for 'five' (*nu(n)) is distinguishable in at least four NC families. Its reflexes are attested in Bariba, Central, and Senufo. In view of this, it can be stated that all seven Proto-NC terms are reflected in Southern Central.

The term for 'one' is marked with the plus sign in reference to the reflexes of NC *do (Central, Lobi-Dyan, Viemo) or NC *di (Central, Tiefo).

Proto-Oti-Volta (Northern Central) *li/yi and Proto-Grusi (Southern Central) * $l\varepsilon/le$ forms are considered to be reflexes of NC *di '2'. Other forms of 'two' listed in the table represent a common (Proto-Gur ?) innovation *nyo/jo/(ni?).

The Kulango term for 'three' (sãabe) must be a borrowing from Mande.

The innovations for '4' are isolates that are irrelevant to the grouping of branches within the Gur family.

Some innovations for 'five' may go back to the lexical root for 'hand' (< *ko). The pattern for 'eight' (= '4 redupl.') is preserved in three of the branches.

In the case of 'ten', the similarity between the Senufo and Tiefo innovative forms is noteworthy.

6.10 Mande

This is no doubt the most isolated family in what pertains to the reflection of NC numbers (Table 6.10). The maximum number of reflexes attested in particular branches does not exceed three (out of the total seven). In some of the branches, only two terms have been preserved. At the same time, the branches are quite compact, which enables us to discuss shared innovations within the Proto-Mande number system. The question as to whether these Proto-Mande innovations are of a lexical or morphological nature remains.

The most 'radical' etymological scenario is as follows:

The term keden '1' could be explained as going back to *ku-den, which correlates well with the Proto-NC form *ku-di(n) (with ku- being the most likely Proto-NC noun class prefix (class 1)).

The term do '1' is in line with the alternative NC root *do '1' (without a noun class marker).

The Mande term *fida / fide could be interpreted as going back to *fi-de (assuming the first syllable reflects a noun class, e.g. CL 19).

The term for 'three' could be interpreted as a compound, one that has a reflex of *ta '3' (< *tath) as its first component (the second component remains unidentified).

The Mande term for 'ten' (*tan) as found in Western Mande may be a reflex of the Proto-NC form *tan 'five' with a semantic shift *'5' > '5PL' (='10'). Moreover, its original form may have been preserved in Jowulu.

Any of these bold assumptions may prove true, but presently none of them is substantiated enough, so they are better left for future discussion in the hope that over time more pertinent evidence will become available. In this respect, the study of Samogo and Jowulu looks promising, the more so that the lack of an upto-date linguistic investigation of these languages, as far as I know, has been a sore gap in present day comparative-historical studies of the Mande languages. In addition, these languages are the only ones that seem to preserve reflexes of both NC terms for 'five' (NC tan/ton and *nu(n)). Moreover, the Jowulu terms that have [p-] ~ [b-] allomorphs may reflect a noun class prefix (the choice between p- and b- depends on the following consonant, i.e. [p-] appears before a voiceless consonant (cf. p-fire '4') whereas [b-] appears before a voiced consonant (b-zei '3', b-zii '10').

Table 6.10: NC numerals reflected in Mande (+)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Manding | +, *kélen | *fìlá | *sàbá | + | dúuru, *wo | séeg <u>i</u> | + ?, *tán |
| Jogo-Jeri | +, *kεlε ? | *fàlá | sègbá | + | sóólò | 5+3 | táà(n), ta |
| Mokole | +, *kél <u>e</u> | *fìla | saba | + | láalu, *wo | sέεn/ seyi | + ? , tán |
| Vai-Kono | +, *N- kélen | *fèLá | sagba | + | dú?u/ sóó(?)ú | séin, | tâŋ |
| Susu | *kédén, ndá/ nde | *fìdíń | sawa/ sàxán | + | sùlù, *fò | 5+3 | +, *tòngó |
| SWM | *gìláaŋ, *tà | *fèelé | sāabā | + | dóólú, *wɔ/ ngò | 5+3 | + |
| Bozo-Soninke | ke/ kuɔn, sana, | pė̃:ndé/ fillò | sike/ síkkò | + | kóló- /kárá- | segi | tan |
| Bobo | tàlá/ tèlé | pálà | sàà | + | kóò | séki/ t∫èkí | +, mṁ |
| Samogo | *ké, *so | fí:(kí) | ʒì:gī, ∫wὲ, yei | + | + | +, kàà | t(s)eu/ ceũ |
| Jowulu | tẽẽna/ tenn | fuuli | bʒei < *jɔnn/i ? | p-∫ırε | + | 2+'to lose' | bʒĩĩ / byìnn |
| SE East | +, gôon | *pela | ców, ?àà- kõ | sì/ síirí | *sodu | + ?, 5+3, sípe | +, kwi |
| SE South | + | *pìì- lāŋ | *yààká | *yìì- s <u>iì</u> yáౖ | sóódú | 5+3 | +, ko, sójəlú |

6.11 Mel

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
|-------|----|------------|---|--------------|-----------------------|-----|-----|
| North | + | -rəŋ | + | -ŋkɨlε/ -nlε | < 'hand' ? ~ (-mV- ?) | 5+3 | + |
| South | +? | tsiŋ / tiŋ | + | hiɔl | wan/ wen | 5+3 | 5PL |

Table 6.11: NC numerals reflected in Mel (+)

/~/ in the section dealing with the Northern Mel term for 'five' indicates that it allows for a two-fold morphological analysis, namely $k \partial - ta - mat$ (< * $k \partial - ta + suffix$ < root ta 'hand'?) or (< $k \partial - ta - mat$ < root mV).

The numeral system of the proto-language is generally poorly preserved in both of the Mel groups. However, it should be noted that the most apparent innovations ('four' and 'two') are found in both groups, thus being important isoglosses useful to the assessment of Proto-Mel.

In the Northern group, as well as in a number of other NC families, the term for 'one' is reconstructible as CL-in '1' (< NC *n-di). The forms reconstructed for the Southern group include * $l\varepsilon$, * $l\sigma$ '1' (< *di, * $d\sigma$). Languages of the Northern group preserve the basic form of 'ten', cf. Landuma pu '10', Temne $t\sigma$ -f-f '10'.

6.12 Atlantic

The Atlantic languages comprise two major groups, namely Northern and Bak (the members of the latter are highlighted in grey in the table above).

The Proto-NC numbers are generally better represented in Northern rather than in Bak (cf. the distribution of data pertaining to 'three', 'four' (generally the most persistent terms) and 'ten' in the table above). The only Northern sub-group where the Proto-NC numbers are poorly preserved is Cangin, while Fula-Sereer, Tenda, Wolof and Nalu are the most conservative.

The distribution of reflexes and innovations presented in the table above suggests the following historical development:

Reflexes of all major Proto-NC terms were present in Proto-Atlantic. The distribution of the terms for '1' may point to the existence of two dialect zones. A form that goes back to NC *(n)-di '1' became predominant in the ancestral dialect of Proto-Northern, whereas in the ancestral dialect of Proto-Bak the main form was NC *do '1'. A specific phonetic (or morphological?) innovation of Proto-Atlantic (in contrast to NC) is the presence of the final *-k in its numerical terms.

8 1 2 3 4 5 10 Cangin <'hand', ?i:p 5+3 da:ŋkah nak haj + no +? <'hand' Nvun +? 5+3 <'hands' nak + + ? +? Buy no?. naŋ + 5+3 ntaaiã tee-Iaadke jo/ caw <'hand' 5+3 Biafada Tenda ki <'hand' 5+3 +, mbo Fula-+ ?, jo(w)i 5+3 sappsereer ο, xar6-X-aar Wolof + +? jurom 5+3 Nalu-<'hand', ribə(l) 5+3 *a-+, + BFmbś lafan? BMb *_ 5+3 Joola -feegir -bääkir fubə? ntaaja <'hand' Manjak -təb. -jent baakər (n)taaja + + pugut Balant -oda? (borrowed) tasala jìíf jímmín fubə?

Table 6.12: NC numerals reflected in Atlantic

Proto-Northern inherited all basic Proto-Atlantic terms that go back to NC prototypes.

-agenek

<'hand'

<'fingers'

Bijogo

+?

*_

łubə?

The term for '2' has been preserved in Peul-Sereer (*di-k '2') and in Nalu (in all three languages). A (shared?) innovation developed in Cangin and Nyun-Buy (*na-k '2'). Another innovation is characteristic of Tenda-Jaad-Biafada (*ki '2').

The terms for 'three' and 'four' have been preserved in the majority of the Northern Atlantic languages (cf. e.g. Proto-Fula-Sereer *tati-k '3', *na(v)i-k '4').

The NC root *tak/ tok '5' is probably reflected only in Fula-Sereer (*be-tV-k) and Buy (ju-roo-g, cf. Wolof *ju-rom?). In the majority of the Northern languages the original form was replaced with the pattern '5' < 'hand', which may have influenced the replacement of the pattern *'8' = '4 redupl.' with '8' = '5' (hand') + 3.

<'hands'

5+3

The term for '10' has been preserved in three sub-groups (Wolof *fu-kk, Tenda *p-xw, Jaad-Biafada *po). In the remaining sub-groups it is replaced with isolated innovations.

The Proto-Bak numeral system underwent dramatic changes.

The original term for 'two' was replaced with the innovation -*lubə?* '2', with its reflexes being traceable in three out of four sub-groups.

The reflexes of the Proto-NC terms for 'three' and 'four' are lacking. Moreover, a shared innovation *baakar* '4' is observable in Joola-Manjak.

The original term for 'five' has been preserved in numerous Joola dialects, including Bayot (Proto-Joola *fu-tɔ-k '5').

The Proto-pattern '8' < '4' has been preserved in Manjak (Mankanya ηi -bakir '4' > bakt- $\hat{\epsilon}\eta$ '8', Pepel η -uakr '4' > bakar-i '8') and Balant (despite the fact that the original term for 'four' was replaced with an innovation in this language, cf. Balant Ganja talla '4' > tahtalla ~ tantalla ~ tattalla '8' as recorded by Denis Creissels).

The term for '10' was replaced with innovations. Here (just as in the case of '4') we have another shared Joola-Manjak innovation (*ntaaja*). This seems to be another solid argument in favor of grouping these languages together.

6.13 West African NC isolates

We will conclude with an overview of the number systems attested in three NC isolates. These languages are traditionally grouped together with Mel or Atlantic (for seemingly no substantial reason, see Pozdniakov & Segerer 2007).

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
|-----|-----|---|---|--------|-----|------|
| sən | cen | + | + | səŋgun | 5+3 | tεŋi |

Table 6.13: NC numerals reflected in Sua (+)

The reflexes of 'three' and 'four' have been preserved in Sua (*b-rar* and *b-nan* respectively). It should be noted that the innovation for 'two' is comparable to that found in Mel.

The term for 'ten' is possibly a borrowing from Mande tan '10'.

The term for 'five' may reflect the alternative NC root *nu(n) '5' (Gola $n \dot{\partial} \dot{\partial} n \dot{\partial} \eta$). The forms for 'five' and 'ten' in the Koelle records include [-f]: ta- $s \dot{o} \dot{o} f \sim ka$ - $s \dot{o} \dot{o} f$ '5', koof '10'.

1 2 3 4 5 8 10

Gola guu`n tì-yèe(l) + + + 5+3 zììyà

Table 6.14: NC numerals reflected in Gola (+)

Table 6.15: NC numerals reflected in Limba (+)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
|-------|-------|---|---|---|---------|-----|------|
| Limba | -nthe | + | + | + | bi-səhi | 5+3 | kəhi |

The form *bi-le* 'two' is noteworthy in that it may be interpreted as a direct reflex of NC **be-di* '2'.

6.14 Summary

The results of our reconstruction of the basic numeral terms are presented in Table 6.16.

- The lack of a NC reflex in a particular family or branch is highlighted in grey.
- The number of Proto-NC terms (out of nine listed in the table) with reflexes in a particular family or branch is given in the rightmost column.
- The number of branches (out of the total nineteen) with a reflex of a particular proto-form is provided in the lowermost row.

Our step-by-step reconstruction has yielded the following results.

The terms for 'three' and 'four' (*tath '3' and *na(h)i '4' respectively) are, as expected, the most stable within the NC number system. Their reflexes are rarely absent.

Surprisingly, the term for '2' appears to be the least persistent (the more so that this is the only numerical term on the Swadesh list). The reconstructed root for 'two' (*di '2') is traceable in nine (out of nineteen) branches only. This may raise doubts as to whether the proposed reconstruction is correct. However, as we have tried to demonstrate above, no alternative reconstruction suggests itself on the basis of available evidence. The term for '2' shows a great variety of forms,

Table 6.16: Niger-Congo numerals reflected in various families (+)

| PROTO-NC | 1 *(n)-di | 1 *do | 2 *di | 3 *tath | 4 *na(h)i | 5 *tan | 5 *nu(n) | 8 < '4' | 10 *pu | Total |
|---------------------|--------------|----------|----------|------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------|
| Bantu | + | | + | + | + | + | | + | | 6 |
| Bantoid (-Bantu) | + ? | | +? | + | + | + | | + | + | 7 |
| BC (-Bantoid) | + | | + ? | + | + | + | | + | + | 7 |
| Kwa | + | | + ? | + | + | + | + | + | + | 8 |
| Ijo | + | | | + | + | + | | + | | 5 |
| Kru | | + | | + | + | | + ? | | + | 5 |
| Kordofanian | | | | + | | | | + | | 2 |
| Adamawa | + | + | + | + | + | | + | + | + ? | 8 |
| Laal | + | | | | | + ? | | + | | 3 |
| Ubangi | + | | | + | + | | | + ? | + ? | 5 |
| Dogon | | | + | + | + | | + | | | 4 |
| Gur | + | + | + | + | + | + ? | + | + ? | + | 9 |
| Mande | | + | | | + | + ? | +?? | | + | 5 |
| Mel | +? | +? | | + | | | | | + | 4 |
| Atlantic North | + | | + | + | + | + | | | + | 6 |
| Atlantic Bak | | + | | | | + | | + | | 3 |
| Sua | | | | + | + | + ? | | | | 3 |
| Gola | | | | + | + | | + | | | 3 |
| Limba | | | + | + | + | + ? | | | | 4 |
| Total | 11 | 6 | 9 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 11 | 9 | |

at the same time being surprisingly persistent in particular branches (and other times rather divergent). Thus, the apparent Mande innovation *pila/ fila '2' is present in all Mande languages.

The most conservative NC branches in terms of the reflection of Proto-NC numbers are Gur, Adamawa and Kwa. All bases/patterns listed in the table have been preserved in Gur, including the alternative bases for 'one' and 'five'. The only reflex that is missing in Adamawa (as well as in Ubangi) is *tan / ton '5'. All Proto-terms have their reflexes in Kwa (except for the alternative base for 'one', i.e. *do).

The inventory of the Proto-NC terms is well-preserved in the Bantoid languages, with only two alternative bases lacking (*do '1' μ *nu(n) '5'). These reflexes are missing in other BC branches outside the Bantoid languages as well. The reflex of *pu '10' is not present in Bantu as it was replaced with the Bantoid innovation *kum/kam/yam (Proto-Bantu * $k\acute{v}\acute{m}$)/ $k\acute{a}\acute{m}\acute{a}$ '10').

It would seem improper to define the branches with the lowest number of NC reflexes as the most distant from Proto-NC. The probability of finding a reflex of a NC-prototype in an isolate (e.g. Gola or Laal) is much less than, say, in the huge Benue-Congo family. At the same time, the massive replacement of numerical terms in the small West African branches such as Bak (Atlantic), Mel and Dogon is noteworthy.

The Kordofanian languages are the most remote from Proto-NC, as the only term with a NC prototype attested in them is *tath* '3'. The term for '8' is based on '4', which may be seen as another bond between Kordofanian and Proto-NC. However, this pattern may have developed in Kordofanian independently.

6.15 Conclusion

In conclusion, I would like to highlight the thesis that I personally consider to be the most important. For me, the current study is an experimental project that aspires to demonstrate what can be done (if anything) in terms of the NC reconstruction, given that a step-by-step reconstruction is not available for all the families and branches of this macro-family.

In this experiment, the emphasis was placed on providing an exhaustive account of the distribution of forms by families, groups and branches. Quasi-reconstructions of Proto-NC numbers that resulted in the process should be viewed as mere possibilities. My intention was to present evidence that the reconstructions offered in this book are more probable than any others.

The author sees his major goal as providing a substantial discussion of the most likely reconstructions of Proto-NC numbers, in the hope that linguists specializing in particular NC families (as well as those who provide speculative 'etymologies') will finally join the debate. Chapter 4, which is the lengthiest and the most important chapter of the book, contains 'technical proposals' regarding the reconstruction of numbers within each of the numerous branches of the macro-family. I would like to thank the specialists who kindly joined the discussion while the book was still in preparation and whose opinions were duly accounted for. I would be grateful if other specialists critically examined the evidence presented in this book and gave their evaluation of data that lies within their competence. Hopefully, this will give way to the real reconstruction of the NC number system. Today it is evident that plausible reconstructions in terms of a macro-family that comprises one and a half thousand languages can only result from the cooperation of dozens of specialists. This book aims at providing data for such an effort.

I hope that the methodology tested in this book will be of use for the reconstruction of the NC lexicon in general. In any case, the author sees no other way of approaching this objective of utmost importance in the coming decades.

References

- Bloemarts, Maarten & Père de Rasilly. 2012. *Dictionnaire boore*. Electronic Document.
- Boyd, Raymond. 1989. Number systems in the adamawa branch of Niger-Congo. *African Languages and Cultures* 2(2). 149–173.
- CLNK. 1999. Τοπ kpou kabiyε-fransu dictionnaire kabiyè-français (avec lexique français-kabiyè et esquisse de gramaire kabiyè). Kara, Lomé (Togo): Comité de Langue Nationale Kabiye (CLNK) & SIL.
- Connell, Bruce A. 1991. *Phonetic aspects of the Lower-Cross languages and their implications for sound change.* University of Edinburgh dissertation.
- Dimmendaal, Gerrit Jan. 1978. The consonants of Proto-Upper Cross and their implications for the classification of the Upper Cross languages. Department of African Languages, State University of Leiden dissertation.
- Elugbe, Ben Ohi[omambe. 1987. *Comparative edoid: Phonology and lexicon*. Port Harcourt: University of Port Harcourt Press.
- Ganong, Tina Weller. 1998. Features of baga morphology, syntax and narrative discourse. Arlington: University of Texas. (M.A. thesis).
- Hochstetler, Lee, Jude Durieux & Evelin Durieux-Boon (eds.). 2004. *Sociolinguistic survey of the dogon language area*. http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00323718/fr/.
- Koelle, Sigismund Wilhelm. 1963[1854]. Polyglotta africana, or a comparative vocabulary of nearly three hundred words and phrases in more than one hundred distinct African languages. London: Church Missionary House.
- Nurse, Derek & Gérard Philippson. 1975/1999. *The Tanzanian language survey*. http://www.cbold.ish-lyon.cnrs.fr/. Field work material, adapted as a searchable net-database.
- Paulin, Pascale. 1995. Étude comparative des langues du groupe ring langues grassfieldss de l'ouest, cameroun. Lyon: Université Lumière - Lyon 2. (Mémoire de DEA).
- Pozdniakov, Konstantin & Guillaume Segerer. 2007. Similar place avoidance: A statistical universal. *Linguistic Typology* 11(2). 307–348.

References

Stewart, John Massie. 1983. *Nasality in Tano-Congo*. Colloquium on African Linguistics, Leiden.

Wilson, William André Auquier. 2007. *Guinea languages of the atlantic group*. Frankfurt: Peter Lang.

The numeral system of Proto-Niger-Congo

This book proposes the reconstruction of the Proto-Niger-Congo numeral system. The emphasis is placed on providing an exhaustive account of the distribution of forms by families, groups, and branches. The big data bases used for this purpose open prospects for both working with the distribution of words that do exist and with the distribution of gaps in postulated cognates. The distribution of filled cells and gaps is a useful tool for reconstruction.

The first chapter of this book is devoted to the study of various uses of noun class markers in numeral terms. The second chapter deals with the alignment by analogy in numeral systems. Chapter 3 offers a step-by-step reconstruction of number systems of the proto-languages underlying each of the twelve major NC families, on the basis of the step-by-step-reconstruction of numerals within each family. Chapter 4 deals with the reconstruction of the Proto-Niger-Congo numeral system on the basis of the step-by-step-reconstructions offered in Chapter 3. Chapter 5 traces the history of the numerals of Proto-Niger-Congo, reconstructed in Chapter 4, in each individual family of languages.