The numeral system of Proto-Niger-Congo

A step-by-step reconstruction

Konstantin Pozdniakov



Series information: ./langsci/seriesinfo/nc-info.tex not found!

The numeral system of Proto-Niger-Congo

A step-by-step reconstruction

Konstantin Pozdniakov



Konstantin Pozdniakov. 2018. *The numeral system of Proto-Niger-Congo: A step-by-step reconstruction* (Niger-Congo Comparative Studies 2). Berlin: Language Science Press.

This title can be downloaded at:

http://langsci-press.org/catalog/book/191

© 2018, Konstantin Pozdniakov

Published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Licence (CC BY 4.0):

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

ISBN: no digital ISBN

no print ISBNs!

no DOI

Source code available from www.github.com/langsci/191

Collaborative reading: paperhive.org/documents/remote?type=langsci&id=191

Cover and concept of design: Ulrike Harbort Fonts: Linux Libertine, Arimo, DejaVu Sans Mono

Typesetting software: X¬MT_EX

Language Science Press Unter den Linden 6 10099 Berlin, Germany langsci-press.org

Storage and cataloguing done by FU Berlin

no logo



| A | cknov | wledgments | | XV |
|----|-------|--|--------|------|
| Al | obrev | viations | | xvii |
| 1 | Pref | eface | | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Introduction | | 1 |
| | | 1.1.1 Niger-Congo: the state of research and the pr | - | 1 |
| | 4.0 | reconstruction | | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Sources and the monograph structure | | 6 |
| | | 1.2.1 Sources | | 6 |
| | | 1.2.2 Monograph structure | | 7 |
| 2 | Nou | un classes in the Niger-Congo numeral systems | | 11 |
| | 2.1 | Noun classes in the counting forms of numerals | | 15 |
| | | 2.1.1 The specific marking of numerals | | 17 |
| | | 2.1.2 The grouping of numerals by noun class | | 18 |
| | 2.2 | Noun classes in derived (reduplicated) numerals | | 23 |
| | 2.3 | Noun class as a tool for the formation of numerals . | | 32 |
| 3 | Ana | alogical changes in numerals | | 37 |
| | 3.1 | Issues pertaining to the detection of alignments by ar | nalogy | 37 |
| | 3.2 | , - | | 40 |
| | 3.3 | | | 41 |
| | 3.4 | | | 43 |
| | 3.5 | | | 49 |
| | 3.6 | | | 51 |
| | 3.7 | _ | | 51 |
| | 3.8 | Dogon | | 52 |
| | 3.9 | Kordofanian | | 53 |

| St | tep-by-ste | ep reconstruction of numerals in the branches of Niger- |
|----|------------|---|
| C | ongo | |
| 4. | 1 Benue | e-Congo |
| | 4.1.1 | The Bantoid languages (including Bantu) |
| | 4.1.2 | Benue-Congo (the Bantoid languages excluded) |
| | 4.1.3 | Isolated BC languages |
| | 4.1.4 | Proto-Benue-Congo |
| 4. | 2 Kwa | |
| | 4.2.1 | Ga-Dangme |
| | 4.2.2 | Gbe |
| | 4.2.3 | Ka-Togo |
| | 4.2.4 | Na-Togo |
| | 4.2.5 | Nyo |
| | 4.2.6 | Proto-Kwa |
| 4. | 3 Ijo | |
| 4. | 3 | |
| | 4.4.1 | 'One', 'Two' and 'Three' |
| | 4.4.2 | 'Four' and 'Five' |
| | 4.4.3 | 'Six' to 'Nine' |
| | 4.4.4 | 'Ten' and 'Twenty' |
| | 4.4.5 | 'Hundred' and 'Thousand' |
| 4. | | ofanian |
| 4. | | 1awa |
| | 4.6.1 | Fali-Yingilum (G11) |
| | 4.6.2 | Kam (Nyimwom, G8) |
| | 4.6.3 | Leko-Duru-Mumuye (G4, G2, G5) |
| | 4.6.4 | Mbum-Day (G13, G14, G6, Day) |
| | 4.6.5 | Waja-Jen (G9, G10, G1, G7) |
| | 4.6.6 | Laal |
| | 4.6.7 | Proto-Adamawa |
| 4. | | |
| | 4.7.1 | Banda |
| | 4.7.2 | Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka |
| | 4.7.3 | Ngbandi |
| | 4.7.4 | Sere-Ngbaka-Mba |
| | 4.7.5 | Proto-Ubangi |
| 4 | | n and Bangime |

| 4.9 | Gur . | |
|------|---------|---|
| | 4.9.1 | 'One' |
| | 4.9.2 | Bariba |
| | 4.9.3 | Central Gur |
| | 4.9.4 | Kulango |
| | 4.9.5 | Lobi-Dyan |
| | 4.9.6 | Senufo |
| | 4.9.7 | Teen |
| | 4.9.8 | Tiefo |
| | 4.9.9 | Tusia |
| | 4.9.10 | Viemo |
| | 4.9.11 | Wara-Natioro |
| | 4.9.12 | Proto-Gur |
| 4.10 | Mande | |
| | 4.10.1 | 'One' |
| | 4.10.2 | 'Two' |
| | 4.10.3 | 'Three' |
| | 4.10.4 | 'Four' |
| | 4.10.5 | 'Five' |
| | 4.10.6 | 'Six' |
| | 4.10.7 | 'Seven' |
| | 4.10.8 | 'Eight' |
| | 4.10.9 | 'Nine' |
| | 4.10.10 | 'Ten' |
| | 4.10.11 | 'Twenty' |
| | 4.10.12 | 'Hundred' |
| | 4.10.13 | 'Thousand' |
| 4.11 | Mel . | |
| | 4.11.1 | Southern Mel |
| | 4.11.2 | Northern Mel |
| | 4.11.3 | Proto-Mel |
| 4.12 | Atlanti | <mark>c</mark> |
| | 4.12.1 | Northern |
| | 4.12.2 | Bak |
| | 4.12.3 | North Atlantic and Bak Atlantic numerals in the compar- |
| | | ative perspective |
| 4.13 | Isolate | d languages vs. Atlantic and Mel |
| | | Sua 2 |

| | | 4.13.2 Gola | 255 |
|---|---|--|---|
| | | 4.13.3 Limba | 255 |
| | | | |
| 4 | | onstruction of Numerals in Niger-Congo | 265 |
| | 4.1 | 'One' | 265 |
| | 4.2 | 'Two' | 268 |
| | | 4.2.1 'Two' | 268 |
| | | 4.2.2 'Two' = 'one' PL? | 269 |
| | 4.3 | 'Three' | 271 |
| | 4.4 | 'Four' | 281 |
| | 4.5 | 'Five' | 284 |
| | 4.6 | 'Six' | 294 |
| | 4.7 | 'Seven' | 295 |
| | 4.8 | 'Eight' ('Four' and 'eight') | 296 |
| | 4.9 | 'Nine' | 303 |
| | 4.10 | 'Ten' | 304 |
| | 4.11 | Large numbers ('twenty', 'hundred' and 'thousand') | 308 |
| | 4.12 | Proto-Niger-Congo | 309 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 5 | | numbers as reflected in particular families, groups and branches | 311 |
| 5 | 5.1 | Benue-Congo | 312 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 | Benue-Congo | 312 314 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 | Benue-Congo | 312 314 316 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | Benue-Congo Kwa Ijo Kru | 312 314 316 317 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 | Benue-Congo Kwa Ijo Kru Kordofanian | 312 314 316 317 318 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 | Benue-Congo Kwa Ijo Kru Kordofanian Adamawa | 312 314 316 317 318 319 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 | Benue-Congo Kwa Ijo | 312 314 316 317 318 319 322 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 | Benue-Congo Kwa Ijo Kru Kru Kordofanian Adamawa Ubangi Dogon | 312 314 316 317 318 319 322 324 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 | Benue-Congo Kwa Ijo | 312 314 316 317 318 319 322 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 | Benue-Congo Kwa Ijo Kru Kru Kordofanian Adamawa Ubangi Dogon | 312 314 316 317 318 319 322 324 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 | Benue-Congo Kwa Ijo Kru Kordofanian Adamawa Ubangi Dogon Gur and Senufo | 312 314 316 317 318 319 322 324 325 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 5.10 | Benue-Congo Kwa Ijo Kru Kru Kordofanian Adamawa Ubangi Dogon Gur and Senufo Mande Mel Atlantic | 312 314 316 317 318 319 322 324 325 327 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 5.10 5.11 | Benue-Congo Kwa Ijo Kru Kru Kordofanian Adamawa Ubangi Dogon Gur and Senufo Mande Mel | 312 314 316 317 318 319 322 324 325 327 329 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 5.10 5.11 5.12 | Benue-Congo Kwa Ijo Kru Kru Kordofanian Adamawa Ubangi Dogon Gur and Senufo Mande Mel Atlantic | 312 314 316 317 318 319 322 324 325 327 329 330 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 5.10 5.11 5.12 5.13 | Benue-Congo Kwa Ijo Kru Kru Kordofanian Adamawa Ubangi Dogon Gur and Senufo Mande Mel Atlantic West African NC isolates | 312 314 316 317 318 319 322 324 325 327 329 330 332 |

| Index | | | | | | | | | | | | 341 |
|------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|------|--|--|--|--|-----|
| Name index | | | | | | | | | | | | 341 |
| Language index . | | | | | | | | | | | | 341 |

4.2 Kwa

More than eighty Kwa sources were used for the reconstruction. They are representative of the major groups and sub-groups of this family, which consists of about seventy languages. A plausible internal classification of the Kwa languages does not exist. A step-by-step reconstruction of numerals may well be viewed as another important step in this direction. Our preliminary survey of the pertinent evidence is based on the traditional classification that distinguishes five major Kwa branches. We will start with the study of the numerical terms by branch. Then, individual reconstructions will be evaluated with regard to their potential for the general reconstruction of the Proto-Kwa numeral system.

4.2.1 Ga-Dangme

| | Dangme | Ga | Dangme | Ga |
|---|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | kákē | é-kòmé | 7 kpà-à-gō (6+1)? | kpà-wo (6+1?) |
| 2 | é-ŋồ | é-ŋò | 8 kpà-a-ɲɔ̄̄ (6+2) | kpà-a-ŋɔ̃ (6+2?) |
| 3 | é-tễ | é-tẽ | 9 nềế | nὲεhű |
| 4 | é-ywè/é-wìè | é- J wè | 10 pồŋmấ (PL: pầŋmấ) | ກວ ່ ງmá |
| 5 | é-nữỗ | é-nùmõ | 20 pầŋmấ épồ (10*2) | ກວ້ŋmá -í éɲວဲ (10*2) |
| 6 | é-kpà | é-kpàa | 100 làfá | ò-há, plì |
| | | | 1000 à-kpé | à-kpé, plì |

Table 4.66: Ga-Dangme numerals

These two languages exhibit isolated forms of the term for 'one'. Both terms will be preserved for further comparison (note that the first syllable of the Dangme term probably represents a noun class prefix). The term for 'eight' is undoubtedly constructed as '6+2'. The term for 'six' is primary, hence the term for 'seven' must be formed of '6+1'. This would suggest the existence of an additional term for 'one' (* $-g\bar{o}/-wo$). Two separate forms are attested for 'hundred'. Apart from that, the Dangme and Ga numeral systems are quite homogeneous.

The Adampe system is in many respects different, so there may be doubts as to whether it indeed belongs together with Dangme. The Adampe evidence will be treated later in this chapter.

4.2.2 Gbe

The reconstruction of the Proto-Gbe numeral system is straightforward, since alternative forms are few (Table 4.67). It is based on the available evidence from twelve of the Gbe dialects.

| 1 | è-de/de-kpo | 7 | 'hand'+2, 5+2 |
|-----|-------------|------|----------------|
| 2 | è-ve/e-wè | 8 | e-ní, 'hand'+3 |
| 3 | è-tồ | 9 | 8+1, 5+4 |
| 4 | è-nè | 10 | e-wó, *bula |
| 5 | à-tốã | 20 | 10*2, ko |
| 6 | à-dἕ/zἕ | 40 | e-kà |
| 100 | 40*2+20 | 1000 | à-kpé, kotokũ |
| | | | |

Table 4.67: Proto-Gbe numerals and patterns (*)

The Gbe term for 'six' is primary. Its form, however, differs significantly from the (also primary) one attested in the languages of the Ga-Dangme group.

The term for 'eight' seems to be derived from 'four', whereas the term for 'nine' follows the pattern '8+1'.

The forms for 'twenty' follow the pattern 'X*2' in Aja (*bulaa-ve*), Waci-Gbe (*blá-ve*) and Ewe (*blá-vè*), which suggests an alternative form for 'ten' (**bula*).

The etymological relationship between the term for 'fifteen' and a lexical root with the meaning 'foot' attested in two of the dialects is an apparent innovation: Maxi-Gbe \grave{a} - $f\grave{z}$ - $t\acute{z}$ ('foot', '3') and Kotafon-Gbe $f\acute{z}$ - $t\grave{z}$ ('foot', '3'). This pattern is attested in a number of the NC languages (including Atlantic).

A primary term for 'forty' is distinguishable (hence '50=40+10', '60=40+20', '70=40+30', '80=40*2', '90=40*2+10').

4.2.3 Ka-Togo

Ka-Togo is a quite diverse group of the Left Bank languages. The reconstructions for each of its three branches are provided in the table below (Table 4.68). Its rightmost column lists forms and patterns that are the most likely candidates for the Proto-Ka-Togo reconstruction.

| | *Avatime- Nyangbo | *Kebu- Animere | *Ikposo-Ahlo-Bowili | **Proto-Ka- Togo |
|------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | o-le | tέ-ì, bε-лi | è-dι/è-dι-gbo | di |
| 2 | ε-bha | din/ji | è-va /è-fwa | bha, din |
| 3 | ε-ta | tha | ὲ-ta/ὲ-la | ta |
| 4 | ε-nέ | nie | è-na | na/nε |
| 5 | ε-tí, ε-cu | thu(ŋ) | è-tɔ | tu(N) |
| 6 | golo/holo | kờrằŋ | è-gɔlu∕è-wɔlu | golo/ koro |
| 7 | 6+1 | 10-3 | 6+1, kònò, ù-zòni | 6+1 |
| 8 | 10-2? a-nsε | 4*2 | è-lε?,<4 | $4*2$, $ns\epsilon/l\epsilon$? |
| 9 | 10-1? zi+3? | 5+4? | 8+1, 10-1? | 8+1? 10-1 |
| 10 | kε-fɔ | the | wa/wu, i-jo, *bula | fo/wo, te, bula |
| 20 | 10*2 | 10*2? | bula-2, lye-2, ŋué-2, | 10*2 |
| | | | tééyá? | |
| 100 | a-lafa (< Ewe) | tùùrù, sala | gbɔwa | lafa? |
| 1000 | a-kpe (< Ewe?) | lààfā | a-kpe | a-kpe |

Table 4.68: Proto-Ka-Togo numeral system (**)

It needs to be stressed that the forms marked with /**/are only suggestive and should not be taken at face value. They are not reconstructions in the strict sense and only serve for comparative purposes, so the absence of a tonal marker in a reconstructed form should not be considered meaningful. It only shows that at this point the available evidence does not allow reconstructing a tone in the pertinent case.

4.2.4 Na-Togo

An overview of numerical terms as attested in the branches of Na-Togo and some isolated languages is provided below (Table 4.69). A tentative reconstruction of the Na-Togo numeral system can be found in the rightmost column.

The Lelemi term for 'fifty' (li-ti) is peculiar because it is a likely source of 'hundred': \dot{e} -ti \acute{a} - $p\acute{o}$ ('50*2').

Table 4.69: Proto-Na-Togo numeral system (**)

| | Adele | Anii | *Lelemi | *Likpe- Santrokofi | Logba | **Proto-Na- Togo |
|------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------|---|
| 1 | ὲ-kí | dɨŋ, *mi | ù-nwi/ò-wễ | nờé/nwíì (lèwé) | i-kpε | i-wε/kpε? , di(N)? |
| 2 | è-nyòòn | ī-ŋīʊ | í-ŋɔ́ | nó/núè | i-nyɔ | i-nyə |
| 3 | à-sì | ī-rīū | è-tε | tié | i-ta | i-ta |
| 4 | ὲ-nàà | ī-nāŋ | í-na | na | i-na | i-na |
| 5 | tòn | ī-nōŋ | è-lɔ | nó | i-nú | i-no(N) |
| 6 | kòòròn | ī-kōlōŋ | ὲ-ku | kua | i-gló | golo/kolo, ku |
| 7 | 6 + 1 | kūlūmī (6+1?) | 4+3? | 6+1? | 6+1 | 6+1 |
| 8 | nìyè | 4PL | 4PL? | 4PL? | 4PL | 4PL |
| 9 | yè-1 | t∫īīnī | 10-1 | nase | X-1 | 10-1 |
| 10 | fò | t j b | vu/we | fo/wo? | u-dú | fo, ɗu, təb |
| 20 | | | 10*2 | 10*2 | Ü | 10*2, ɔ-dɔ(n), ā-kōō, dìkpìlìn |
| 50 | 20*2+10 | 20-PL+10 | ti | 10*5 | 10*5 | 20*2+10 |
| 100 | 20*5 | 20*5, gā-s j wā | 50*2, lafa | kò-lòfá | u-ga | 20*5, lofa, u-ga |
| 1000 | 200*5 | ū-fēlē, kōtōkū | pim, ka-kpi | kò-kpí | a-kpi | a-kpi, pim? |

4.2.5 Nyo

The Nyo group, which is comprised of dozens of languages, is the most representative within the family. For this reason (even though the Nyo numeral systems are closely related to each other) they will be studied separately (by sub-group) and then compared to each other.

4.2.5.1 Agneby (Abbey, Abiji, Adioukru)

Alternative sources representative of these three languages are quoted below (Table 4.70). Significant variation of forms is sporadically attested.

Table 4.70: Proto-Agneby numeral system (*)

| | Abbey1 | Abbey2 | Abiji1 | Abiji2 | Adioukru1 | Adioukru2 | *Proto- Agneby |
|------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|
| 1 | ŋ̀kpō | ŋ̀kpō | ń ˈnɔ́ | ήnὸ | ŋâm | nâm | N-kpə, n-âm, *a-ri |
| 2 | ānģ | āŋʊ̈́ | áá ˈnʊ́ | áānʊ | yón | ро́р | a- <u>ท</u> ซ/ทซิ |
| 3 | ārí | ārí | ؿۣۨ _ۨ ڐٚ ˈtێٞ | έ̃ε̄tī | nâh'n | ŋâhѝ | a-ti(N)/ ri |
| 4 | ālé | àlέ | ãã ˈlấ | ấẫlā | yâr | jâr | a-nį́/la, |
| | | | | | | | jar |
| 5 | ōní̯ | ōní | ő€ ′nĕ | éēnē | yên | jên | o-ne,lòhછું, |
| | | | | | | | jên |
| 6 | làhỳ | lòhồ | náhờà | náhῢầ | nôh'n | nôh'n | hu(n) |
| 7 | lòhỳ-árī | làh៳ẫrí | nźbờ | nố́ ^m bờ | lábỳ | lábỳ | 6+1, |
| | | | | | | | bu(n) |
| 8 | èpyè | èp ^j è | nówò | nówò | níw'n | níw'n | è-pyè, |
| | | | | | | | wo(n) |
| 9 | nâkó | ŋāàkó | n <u>ế</u> 'brế | nḗ̃ ^m brè | líbárṁ | líbárṁ | bare(-n) |
| 10 | ènàౖ | 'nnὲ | ńdíò | ńdíò | lêw | lêw | nε(n) (< |
| | | | | | | | 5PL?), |
| | | | | | | | diw/ liw |
| 20 | ēbrá-ກູ _້ | òbrāŋʊ̈́ | àbrúáí̯ | àbrűấΐ | líkỳ | líkỳ | <'hand' |
| | | | | | | | *2?,li-kŋ |
| 100 | yā | jā | yǎ | jǎ | ékỳ-yén | ékŋ jên | ja, 20*5 |
| | | | | | | (20*5) | |
| 1000 | àkpī | àkpī | | àkpĭ | | fándí | a-kpi |
| | | | | | | (Engl.?) | |

The presence of the primary terms for 'seven', 'eight' and 'nine' is an important characteristic of this sub-group.

4.2.5.2 Attié

Internal reconstruction of the Attié numeral system yielded the following results (Table 4.71).

Table 4.71: Attié numeral system (*)

| 1 | kə(n) | 7 | nson |
|---|----------------|------|------------------|
| 2 | mwə(n) | 8 | ma-4? 2 de 10? |
| 3 | ha(n) | 9 | ŋgwan |
| 4 | dʒí(n) < *kye? | 10 | keŋ |
| 5 | bə(n) | 20 | 'hand' (bwa?)*2? |
| 6 | mu(n) | 100 | ja |
| | | 1000 | a-kpi |

4.2.5.3 Awikam-Alladian

No numerical terms (except for 'one' and 'nine') are reconstructable on the subgroup level. This raises doubts as to whether these languages should indeed be grouped together. A representation of the pertinent forms is presented in the table below (Table 4.72) and may serve as a starting point for further discussion.

Table 4.72: Avikam-Alladian numerals

| | Awikam | Alladian | Awikam- Alladian | | Awikam | Alladian | Awikam- Alladian |
|---|-------------|--------------|---------------------|-----|-----------|-------------|---------------------|
| 1 | έt <u>΄</u> | ētὸౖ | ε-t <u>o</u> | 7 | έbyɔ́ | ēbwè̯ | έ-byɔ́, ē-bwè |
| 2 | án <u>ś</u> | <u>ā</u> yrὲ | á-ŋ₂, ā̞-yrε | 8 | ὲtyέ | ēųrì | ὲ-tyέ, ē-ųrì |
| 3 | ázá | āò | á-zá, ā-ò | 9 | έmrá | ēmwrà | έ-mr <u>ó</u> |
| 4 | ànấ | ā̄zὸ | à-nắ, ẵ-zờ | 10 | èjú | ēνà | è-jú, ε̄-và |
| 5 | àŋú | ēnrì | à-μú, ε̄-nrì | 20 | èνέ | ēųá, *ēkòųì | è-vέ, ē-ųá |
| 6 | áwá | ēwrè | á-wá, ē-wrè | 100 | àkpá '-nú | 20*5 | 20*5, àkpá '-ɲú |

4.2.5.4 Potou-Tano

4.2.5.4.1 Potou

The following forms are distinguishable in the Potou sub-group (Table 4.73).

Table 4.73: Potou numerals

| | Ebrie | Mbato | *Potou | | Ebrie | Mbato | *Potou |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----|------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| 1 | b <u>ề</u> /brè | lóbō | bὲ /brè, | 7 | ák ^h wác ^h è | óbīséౖ | 6+1 |
| | | | ló-бō; ce/se | | | | |
| 2 | mà̀ | <u>ó</u> n <u>o</u> ź | noś | 8 | áɓyá | ógbī | 6yá∕ g6ī |
| 3 | 6wàɗyá | nģjē /nģjē | dyá/je | 9 | áɓrò | ótrű | brò, trŭ |
| 4 | bwèɗi | ngní/nóní | ɗi/ni | 10 | áwó | ówā | wɔ |
| 5 | mwàná | n <u>ế</u> n <u>ā</u> | n <u>a</u> | 20 | ápʰὲౖ̀ | ópξ | p <u>ε</u> |
| 6 | ákʰwá | ókoā | kwa | 100 | àyà | yǎ | ya |

4.2.5.4.2 Tano

The Tano branch consists of nearly thirty languages. It seems reasonable to treat them by sub-groups.

Western Tano

Table 4.74: Western Tano numerals

| | Abure1 | Abure2 | Eotile | Western Tano |
|------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------------|
| 1 | okuè | ókúè | ìkờ | o-kue |
| 2 | anù | ánŷ | àṇś | a-ɲu(n) |
| 3 | nηà | ήŋâ | àhá | n-ha(n) |
| 4 | nnàn | ńnậ | ànè | n-na(n) |
| 5 | nnú | nnú | ànù | n-nu(n) |
| 6 | ncıè | ńcίὲ | àhíè | n-cíè/híè |
| 7 | ncùn | ńcĝ | àfà | n-cùn, à-fà |
| 8 | mòkùé | mòkúè | ànèmrò | mò-kừé, à-nèmrò |
| 9 | puáléhùn | pờàlỳhŷ | brúkú | puáléhòn, brúkú |
| 10 | óblún | òbùlú | èdí | ò-bùlú, è-dí |
| 20 | έfín | έfì | èfè | έ-fι(n) |
| 100 | èvá okuè | èyǎ kűè | átá | è-vá /è-yǎ, átá |
| 1000 | akpí okuè | - | | a-kpi |

Central Tano Akanic (Table 4.75):

Table 4.75: Akanic numerals

| | Akan1 (Twi dial.) | Akan2 | Abron1 | Abron2 | *Akanic |
|------|-------------------|----------|---------|---------------|------------|
| 1 | baakó~ | baakố | bakũ | bìàkģ? | ba-kó(n) |
| 2 | èbìé−ń | mmie-nú | mie-nu | mì̯ènú̯? | mie-nú |
| 3 | èbìè-sá∼ | mmeε-nsấ | mie-nsá | mì̯̀ènzá̯? | mie-nsá(n) |
| 4 | à-náń | (ε)náń | nain | ńn <u>á</u> í | náín |
| 5 | à-núm | (e)núm | num | 'nnú̯m | núm |
| 6 | à-sìá∼ | (e)nsĩấ | nsiã | 'nzìá | sìá(n) |
| 7 | è-sóń | (ε)nsóń | nso | 'nzʊৣ॔ʊৣ॔ | só(n) |
| 8 | à-wòtçyé /tw/ | nwotwé | ŋɔᠻʃwie | wàcyí | twé/cué |
| 9 | à-króń | (ε)nkróń | ŋkrɔŋ | ŋ̀gɔ̯́nɔ̯́ | n-króń |
| 10 | dú | (e)dú | du | dú? | dú |
| 20 | àdùònú | aduonú | edu enu | àdǜònù | 10*2 |
| 100 | òhà | эha | эha | hà | ə-ha |
| 1000 | àpím | apém | apim | | a-píḿ |

Bia The numeral systems in these languages (Agni, Baoule, Sefwi, Nzema, Ahanta, and Jwira-Pepesa) are virtually identical and can be described as follows (Table 4.76).

Table 4.76: Proto-Bia numeral system (*)

| 1 | ko(n) | 7 | su(n) |
|---|-------------|------|---------------|
| 2 | nu, pò(n) | 8 | cσε/twε |
| 3 | sa(n) | 9 | ǹgɔ̀là, nkróń |
| 4 | na(n) | 10 | bulu |
| 5 | nu(n)/nu(m) | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | sia(n) | 100 | ya |
| | | 1000 | akpi |

Guang This sub-group has two branches, Southern and Northern Guang which consist of four and eleven languages, respectively). Despite, the Guang nu-

meral systems do not differ significantly, hence quoting individual forms seems unreasonable. Our reconstructions for both branches, as well as the general Guang reconstruction, are given below (Table 4.77).

| | *Northern Guang | *Southern Guang | **Guang |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | kó | kэ | kə |
| 2 | рэ́ | рэ́ | пэ́ |
| 3 | sá | sa(n) | sa(n) |
| 4 | ná | nε(n)/na | na(n) |
| 5 | nú(n) | nu/ni | nu(n) |
| 6 | síyé | siε(n) | siε(n) |
| 7 | súnó | súnő | súnə(n) |
| 8 | bùrùwá, kwé | twi/cwi | bùrùwá, kwé/cwi |
| 9 | kpono, sàngóó? | kpunə | kpunə, sàngóó? |

du

lafa

10*2, ko?

kpi(N), pim

du

10*2

a-kpe

òlòfέ/lafa

Table 4.77: Guang numerals

Krobu; **Basilia-Adele**; **Ega** To make our presentation complete, the evidence of these three isolated Tano languages is presented in the table below (Table 4.78).

4.2.6 Proto-Kwa

dú

o-ko, 10*2

kpín, pim

lafa (< Akan?)

10

20

100

1000

Intermediate reconstructions suggested above should be compared in order to reconstruct the forms of the Proto-Kwa numerals. It seems reasonable to group potentially related forms (or patterns) together. The rightmost column contains isolated forms attested in one particular group only.

4.2.6.1 'One'

The Awikam-Alladian term for 'one' is definitely an innovation.

The root *di is attested in four branches out of five and thus is likely reconstructable at the Proto-Kwa level.

Table 4.78: Numerals in Tano isolated languages

| | Krobu | Basila-Adele | Ega |
|------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | kś | kĝ, li/diŋ | ì-lō-gbó |
| 2 | ກ໌-ກຸລຸ໌ | ກ <u>ູ</u> ໌ບໍ່ <u>à</u> | ì- <u>ກ</u> ວ່ |
| 3 | ń-sá̯ | sa | ì-tà |
| 4 | ń-ná̯ | na | ì-lè |
| 5 | ń-nù | ton, nun | ì-ŋwè |
| 6 | ń-sỹ̄̄̄ | koron | 5+1 |
| 7 | ń-sô | 6+1? | 5+2 |
| 8 | mὸ-kwέ | 4-4, cήέ | 5+3 |
| 9 | ŋ̀-gròā | -1, gwalan | 5+4 |
| 10 | brú | fo, teb, bulu | ì-zù |
| 20 | à-brūāģ (10*2?) | dikpilin, koo, bulV | ú-glū |
| 100 | yǎ | 20*5 | 20*5 |
| 1000 | • | kpen? | |

Table 4.79: Kwa stems for '1'

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------|----------------|
| *Ga- Dangme | ká-kē, *go/wo | | | é-kòmé |
| *Gbe | de-kpo | è-de | | |
| *Ka-Togo | | di | | |
| *Na-Togo | i-wε/kpε? | di(N)? | | |
| *Nyo: | | | | |
| *Agneby | N-kpə | *a-ri | | ր-âm |
| Attié | kə(n) | | | |
| Awikam | | | έ-tź | |
| Alladian | | | ē-tòౖ | |
| Potou-Tano | | | | |
| Potou | *ce/se | | | bὲ /brὲ, ló-6ō |
| Tano | | | | |
| Western | o-kue | | | |
| Central | | | | |
| Akanic | ba-kó(n) | | | |
| Bia | ko(n) | | | |
| Guang | kə | | | |
| Krobu | kģ | | | |
| Ega | ì-lō-gbó | ì-lō-gbó (< *li-kpo?) | | |

The forms given in the left column are more problematic. Each of them contains a velar consonant (the Potu form ce may have resulted from the palatalization of a velar before a front vowel, ce < kue - cf. Western Tano).

Regular phonetic correspondences between these languages have not been established and therefore cannot be used for purposes of reconstruction. In any case, the following considerations might prove useful for the NC reconstruction. The inventory of forms attested in the eighty Kwa idioms may seem rather diverse. However, only two of them may be considered for the Proto-Kwa reconstruction, namely *di and *k(p)o (or the compound form *di-kpo suggested by the Gbe (de-kpo) and Ega (*li-gbo?) forms).

4.2.6.2 'Two'

Table 4.80: Kwa stems for '2'

| | ' 2' | '2' | ' 2' | '2' |
|------------|------------------|-------|-------------|-----|
| *Ga-Dangme | é-ŋà(n) | | | |
| *Gbe | | | è-ve/e-wè | |
| *Ka-Togo | | din | | bha |
| *Na-Togo | i-nyɔ | | | |
| *Nyo | | | | |
| *Agneby | a-nʊ/nʊ̄ | | | |
| Attié | | | mwə(n) | |
| Awikam | áná | | | |
| Alladian | | āৣyrὲ | | |
| Potou-Tano | | | | |
| Potou | n <u>o</u> ź | | | |
| Tano | | | | |
| Western | a-ɲu(n) | | | |
| Central | | | | |
| Akanic | mie-nú | | | |
| Bia | nu, ɲɔ̀(n) | | | |
| Guang | ŋэ́ | | | |
| Krobu | ກ໌- ກຼວຼ໌ | | | |
| Ega | ì-ກວ້ | | | |

The only form reconstructable at the Proto-Kwa level is evidently *n .

4.2.6.3 'Three' and 'Four'

Table 4.81: Kwa stems for '3' and '4'

| | ' 3' | ' 4' | '4' |
|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|
| *Ga-Dangme | é-tẽ | | é- J wè |
| *Gbe | è-tồ | è-nè | |
| *Ka-Togo | ta | na/nε | |
| *Na-Togo | i-ta | i-na | |
| *Nyo | | | |
| *Agneby | a-ti(N)/ri | a-nį́/la | jar |
| Attié | ha(n) | | dʒí(n) <* kye? |
| Awikam | á̯zá̯ | àná̯ | |
| Alladian | āģ | | āzò |
| Potou-Tano | | | |
| Potou | ɗyá/je | ɗi/ni | |
| Tano | | | |
| Western | n-ha(n) | n-na(n) | |
| Central | | | |
| Akanic | mie-nsá(n) | náín | |
| Bia | sa(n) | na(n) | |
| Guang | sa(n) | na(n) | |
| Krobu | ń-s <u>á</u> | ń-n <u>á</u> | |
| Ega | ì-tà | ì-lè | |

Just as in the majority of the NC branches, the roots for 'three' and 'four' are the most persistent. Suggested Proto-Kwa reconstructions are *ta and *na respectively.

4.2.6.4 'Five'

Table 4.82: Kwa stems for '5'

| | ' 5' | ' 5' | ' 5' |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| *Ga-Dangme | | é-nùõ | |
| *Gbe | à-tốã | | |
| *Ka-Togo | tu(N) | | |
| *Na-Togo | | i-no(N) | |
| *Nyo | | | |
| *Agneby | | o-ne | làhỳ, jên |
| Attié | | | bə(n) |
| Awikam | | ànú | |
| Alladian | | | ēnrì |
| Potou-Tano | | | |
| Potou | | n <u>a</u> | |
| Tano | | | |
| Western | | n-nu(n) | |
| Central | | | |
| Akanic | | núm | |
| Bia | | nu(n)/nu(m) | |
| Guang | | nu(n) | |
| Krobu | | ń-nù̯ | |
| Ega | | ì-ŋwè | |

The root *tan ('five') is only traceable in the Left Bank languages. Another root, commonly attested in other languages (*nun), is found in these languages as well. Both roots should be considered for the reconstruction (note that the former is comparable to the pertinent form reconstructed for Proto-Bantu).

4.2.6.5 'Six'

Table 4.83: Kwa stems for '6'

| | ' 6' | ' 6' | ' 6' | ·6' |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| *Ga-Dangme | | é-kpà | | |
| *Gbe | | | à-dἕ/zἕ | |
| *Ka-Togo | golo/koro | | | |
| *Na-Togo | golo/kolo | ku | | |
| *Nyo | | | | |
| *Agneby | | hu(n) | | |
| Attié | | | | mu(n) |
| Awikam | | | | áwá |
| Alladian | ē-wrè | | | |
| Potou-Tano | | | | |
| Potou | | kwa | | |
| Tano | | | | |
| Western | | | n-cíὲ/híὲ | |
| Central | | | | |
| Akanic | | | sìá(n) | |
| Bia | | | sia(n) | |
| Guang | | | siε(n) | |
| Krobu | | | ń-sỹౖ̄ | |
| Ega | | | | 5+1 |

The evidence presented in Table 4.83 is inconclusive. At this stage our task is to process the complex Kwa data so that it can be compared to the evidence of other NC languages. In this respect, three provisional Kwa forms are noteworthy: *golo/kolo, *kua, and *ciɛ. In any case, as the forms for 'seven' suggest, the Proto-Kwa term for 'six' was probably primary.

4.2.6.6 'Seven'

Table 4.84: Kwa stems and patterns for '7'

| | '7' | ' 7' | '7' | ' 7' |
|------------|-----|-------------|-------|-------------|
| *Ga-Dangme | 6+1 | | | |
| *Gbe | | | | 5+2, |
| | | | | 'hand'+2 |
| *Ka-Togo | 6+1 | | | |
| *Na-Togo | 6+1 | | | |
| *Nyo | | | | |
| *Agneby | 6+1 | | bu(n) | |
| Attié | | nson | | |
| Awikam | | | έbyź | |
| Alladian | | | ēbwģ | |
| Potou-Tano | | | | |
| Potou | 6+1 | | | |
| Tano | | | | |
| Western | | n-cùn | | |
| Central | | | | |
| Akanic | | só(n) | | |
| Bia | | su(n) | | |
| Guang | | súnɔ(n) | | |
| Krobu | | ń-sô | | |
| Ega | | | | 5+2 |

The forms presented in the table above point toward the pattern '6+1' being used for the Proto-Kwa term for 'seven', whereas Proto-Nyo developed the primary term *sun.

4.2.6.7 'Eight'

Table 4.85: Kwa stems and patterns for '8'.

| | ' 8' |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| *Ga-Dangme | | | | | 6+2 |
| *Gbe | | e-ní | 'hand'+3 | | |
| *Ka-Togo | 4*2 | nsε/lε? | | | |
| *Na-Togo | 4PL | | | | |
| *Nyo | | | | | |
| *Agneby | | | | è-pyè | wo(n) |
| Attié | ma-4? | | | | 10-2? |
| Awikam | | ὲtyέ | | | |
| Alladian | | ēųrì | | | |
| Potou-Tano | | | | | |
| Potou | | | | 6yá/g6ī | |
| Tano | | | | | |
| Western | | mò-kùé | | | à-nèmrà |
| Central | | | | | |
| Akanic | | twé/cué | | | |
| Bia | | cσε/twε | | | |
| Guang | | kwé/cwi | | | |
| Krobu | | mò-kwé | | | |
| Ega | | | 5+3 | | |

Based on the evidence attested in the table above, the Proto-Kwa term for 'eight' may be reconstructed as either primary (*kwe/kye) or derivative, in which case it must have been based on 'four' (*'4PL').

4.2.6.8 'Nine'

Table 4.86: Kwa stems and patterns for '9'

| | ' 9' |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| *Ga-Dangme | | | | | | nḕḗ(hǘ) |
| *Gbe | 8+1 | | 5+4 | | | |
| *Ka-Togo | 8+1? | | 10-1 | | | |
| *Na-Togo | | | 10-1 | | | |
| *Nyo | | | | | | |
| *Agneby | | bare(-n) | | | | |
| Attié | | | | | ŋgwan | |
| Awikam | | έmrź | | | | |
| Alladian | | ēmwrò | | | | |
| Potou-Tano | | | | | | |
| Potou | | бrà | | | | trű |
| Tano | | | | | | |
| Western | | brúkú | | | | puálέhὺ |
| Central | | | | | | |
| Akanic | | | | n-króń | | |
| Bia | | | | nkróń | ǹgồ̀lằ̀ | |
| Guang | | | | | | kpunə, |
| | | | | | | sàngóó? |
| Krobu | | | | | ŋ-grɔ̀āౖ | |
| Ega | | | 5+4 | | | |

This is the hardest form to interpret. A rare pattern '8+1' is attested in the Left Bank languages. In contrast to this, the Togo pattern is '10-1', while the Nyo term (*bro/mro) is 'primary'. The latter is probably connected to the term for 'ten', although this connection does not necessarily imply a derivation ('10-1') and could be explained by analogy. All three forms/patterns are considered for reconstruction.

4.2.6.9 'Ten'

Table 4.87: Kwa stems for '10'

| | '10' | '10' | '10' | '10' | '10' | '10' |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| *Ga-Dangme | | | | | | ກວ້ŋmá |
| *Gbe | e-wó | *bula | | | | |
| *Ka-Togo | fo/wo | bula | | | te | |
| *Na-Togo | fo | | ɗu | | təb | |
| *Nyo | | | | | | |
| *Agneby | | | | diw/liw | | $n\varepsilon(n)$ <5PL? |
| Attié | | | | | | kεŋ |
| Awikam | | | èjú | | | |
| Alladian | ē-và | | | | | |
| Potou-Tano | | | | | | |
| Potou | wo | | | | | |
| Tano | | | | | | |
| Western | | ò-bùlúౖ | | è-dí | | |
| Central | | | | | | |
| Akanic | | | dú | | | |
| Bia | | bulu | | | | |
| Guang | | | du | | | |
| Krobu | | brú | | | | |
| Ega | | | ì-zù | | | |

Isolated forms are attested in Ga-Dangme and Attié. The root to(b) is traceable in the Ghana–Togo Mountain languages (Togo-remnant) and is not found elsewhere. Thus we are dealing with another isogloss suggesting that these languages belong to the same branch. The stem *du supported by R. Blench could be proposed for Proto-Kwa. This stem is indeed attested in the majority of the groups that do not belong to the Left Bank languages (including Na-Togo).

The stem *bula (Left Bank)/ *bulu (Tano) is distributed fairly evenly.

Finally, a Niger-Congo root reflected in Kwa as *fo/wo can be reconstructed in a number of languages.

4.2.6.10 'Twenty'

Table 4.88: Kwa stems and patterns for '20'

| | '20' | '20' | '20' | '20' | '20' | '20' |
|------------|-----------|--------|-------------|------|------------|----------------|
| *Ga-Dangme | 10*2 | | | | | |
| *Gbe | 10*2 | ko | | | | |
| *Ka-Togo | 10*2 | | | | | |
| *Na-Togo | 10*2 | ā-kōō | dìkpìlìn | 1 | | o-do(n) (<10?) |
| *Nyo | | | | | | |
| *Agneby | 'hand' | | li-kŋ | | | |
| | (bra)*2? | | | | | |
| Attié | 'hand' | | | | | |
| | (bwa?)*2? | | | | | |
| Awikam | | | | è-vέ | | |
| Alladian | | *ēkòųì | | ē-ųá | | |
| Potou-Tano | | | | | | |
| Potou | | | | | p <u>ε</u> | |
| Tano | | | | | | |
| Western | | | | | έ-fɪ(n |) |
| Central | | | | | | |
| Akanic | 10*2 | | | | | |
| Bia | 10*2 | | | | | |
| Guang | 10*2 | ko? | | | | |
| Krobu | à-brūāģ | | | | | |
| | (10*2?) | | | | | |
| Ega | · · · | | | | | ú-glū |

The pattern '10*2' attested in the majority of the branches. The root *ko is also to be taken.

4.2.6.11 'Hundred' and 'thousand'

In addition to the pattern '20*5', the roots lafa/lofa and *ya/ja (Nyo) are reconstructable for 'hundred'. The latter may be etymologically related to *ga/ha.

The term for 'thousand' is commonly attested as *a-kpi. Its less common byform is *pim.

| | '100' | '100' | '100' | '100' | '1000' | '1000' |
|------------|-------|-------|--------------|----------|--------|--------|
| *Ga-Dangme | làfá | | ò-há | | à-kpé | |
| *Gbe | | | | 40*2+20 | à-kpé | |
| *Ka-Togo | lafa? | | | | a-kpe | |
| *Na-Togo | lofa | 20*5 | u-ga | | a-kpi | pim? |
| *Nyo | | | | | | |
| *Agneby | | 20*5 | ja | | a-kpi | |
| Attié | | | ja | | a-kpi | |
| Awikam | | | | àkpá '-2 | | |
| Alladian | | 20*5 | | | | |
| Potou-Tano | | | | | | |
| Potou | | | ya | | | |
| Tano | | | | | | |
| Western | | | è-vá /ὲ-yǎ | átá | a-kpi | |
| Central | | | | | | |
| Akanic | | | o-ha | | | a-píṁ |
| Bia | | | ya | | a-kpi | |
| Guang | lafa | | | | kpi(N) | pim |
| Krobu | | | yǎ | | | |
| Ega | | 20*5 | | | | |

Table 4.90 lists provisional Proto-Kwa reconstructions based on the evidence discussed above.

Table 4.90: Proto-Kwa numeral system (*)

| 1 | di-kpo | 7 | 6+1 |
|---|---------------------|------|---------------------|
| 2 | ກວ, **di? | 8 | 4PL, kwe/kye |
| 3 | ta | 9 | 10-1? |
| 4 | na | 10 | fo/wo, bula, du |
| 5 | nu(n), ton | 20 | 10*2, ko |
| 6 | golo/kolo, kua, ciɛ | 100 | 20*5, lofa, ja/gya? |
| | | 1000 | kpi, pim |

The remaining roots and patterns are probably innovations that developed separately within a branch/language. They may help to adjust the internal classification of the Kwa languages.

4.3 Ijo

According to traditional classification, the Ijo family is comprised of the Ijaw languages and the Defaka language. Some scholars express doubts as to whether the latter indeed belongs to this family. According to Roger Blench, "The Ijo languages constitute a well-founded group, but the membership of Defaka (constituting Ijoid) remains problematic. Defaka has numerous external cognates and might be an isolate or independent branch of Niger-Congo which has come under Ijo influence" (Blench 2013).

Ijaw languages consist of the Eastern and the Western groups (the latter is sometimes called Central).

The following reconstruction is based on the evidence of all three Ijo branches (Table 4.91).

| | Defaka | *East | *West | **Ijo |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| 1 (qualifying) | gbérí | gbérí | ? | ? |
| 1 (counting) | ? | ὴgὲi | kènı | *n-kèni |
| 1 in 6 (5+1) | _ | die/ie | die/zie | *die |
| 2 | mààmà | màmì | maamʊ | *mamV |
| 3 | táátó | tárú | tǎrʊ | *tató |
| 4 | néì | i-neĩ | néín/nóín | *néín |
| 5 | túúnò | sónó | sõnõ-rõ | *túnó |
| 6 | mààngò | 5+1 | 5+1 | *5+1 |
| 7 | 5+2 | 5+2 | 5+2 | *5+2 |
| 8 | 5+3 | 4+4 | 4+4 | *4+4 |
| 9 | 5+4 | 5+4 | 5+4? | *5+4 |
| 10 | wóì | ójí /àtìé | ójí | *(w)ójí |
| 15 | 10+5 | jìé | dié | *dié |
| 20 | sîì | sí | síí | *síí |

Table 4.91: Proto-Ijo numeral system

Both qualifying and counting terms for 'one' are attested in the Eastern Ijo languages (e.g. in Ibani). The Defaka form may be a borrowing. An unexplained allomorph for 'one' is attested as a part of the term for 'six' in Ijaw (?).

The root for 'two' (*mam) is an Ijo innovation. It has no parallels outside this language family. Its phonetic similarity to several other forms is a mere coincidence, e.g. ma- in the Jaad (Atlantic) $maa\varepsilon$ does not belong to the root and can be

explained as a class prefix. The lexical meaning 'twin, pair' (as attested in Nembe (East) according to (Kaliai 1964)) may underlie the Ijo term. However, no reliable parallels for this term with the meaning 'twin, pair' are establishable in NC.

The root for 'three' is apparently of NC origin, with its most archaic form attested in Defaka.

The term for 'four' is undoubtedly a reflex of the NC root.

The term for 'five' probably goes back to the NC root *tan(o). As in the case of 'three', its most archaic form is found in Defaka.

The terms for 'six', 'seven', and 'nine' follow the common patterns ('5+1', '5+2', and '5+4' respectively).

The Ijaw term for 'eight' must have derived from 'four' by means of partial reduplication (*ni- $n\acute{\epsilon}(n)$). This pattern is reconstructable on the Proto-NC level and will be discussed at length in the next chapter.

A specific counting term for 'ten' is reconstructable in the Eastern Ijo languages (*àtìé). The Defaka form is comparable to those found in the Ijaw languages.

A special form for 'fifteen' is reconstructable in Ijaw (* $di\acute{e}$), cf. e.g. the Nembe evidence: $di\acute{e}-\grave{e}s\acute{\iota}$ '300' (='15*20'). This form may go back to Ijaw * $d\acute{\iota}\grave{e}$ 'divide; separate into parts; split or break up into parts; share', 'distribute, donate', cf. Nembe $di\grave{e}$, Ibani (Koelle 1963[1854]) $di\grave{e}$ -, $di\acute{e}$.

As in a number of other languages that belong to different families within NC, a special form is attested for the term for 'twenty' (*sii). The term itself has several functions. It serves as a basis for a number of other terms for tens (also in Defaka), e.g. '40=20*2', ... '100=20*5'. The Ijaw terms for 16-19 are based on it as well, e.g. '16=20-4', etc.

4.4 Kru

Our analysis of the Kru numerals is based on nearly forty sources representative of five major groups and eleven major subgroups of the family. Preliminary reconstructions of the pertinent numerical terms (by sub-group) are represented in commented tables below.

4.4.1 'One', 'Two' and 'Three'

As in the majority of the NC languages the term for 'three' is the most persistent: the root *taa(n) can be reliably reconstructed for Proto-Kru.

Table 4.92: Kru stems for '1'-'3'

| | '1' | '1' | ' 1' | '2' | '2' | '3' |
|---------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------|--------|--------|
| Aizi | | mumo | yre | i-∫ı | | i-ta |
| Eastern | | | | | | |
| Bakwe/Wané | đô | | | sŝ | | ta |
| Bete/Godié | | 6lo/gbolo | | sə | | ta |
| Dida/Neyo | | bolo | | sá | | ta |
| Kodia | | gbyly/6yly | | SOI | | taː |
| Kuwa | dee | | | sõr | | tãằ |
| Seme | dyuõ | | byếẽ | | nĩ | tyáār |
| Western | | | | | | |
| Bassa ¹⁴ | doo | (g)boo? | | sấ | | tã |
| Grebo ¹⁵ | do(o) | | | sỗ | hwã/hã | taa(n) |
| Klao/Tajuasohn | do | | | son | | tan |
| Wee ¹⁶ | due/too | | | sən | | taan |

The same is applicable to the root for 'two' reconstructed as *so(n) in Proto-Kru (isolated forms are attested in the Seme and Grebo sub-groups only). It should be noted that in general the Seme numeral system is peculiar in many respects. These peculiarities (e.g. Seme being the only language with a full set of primary terms covering the sequence from 'one' to 'ten') may be due to the isolated status of the language. In his recent article entitled "Le sèmè/siamou n'est pas kru" Vogler argues that Seme is not a Kru language (see Vogler 2015). On the basis of a comparison between Kru, Gur and Mande (Samogo) morphology and lexicon he concludes that Seme is either remotely related to the Mande languages or represents a separate branch of Niger-Congo. As we hope to demonstrate below, Seme shows systematic correspondences with neither Kru nor Mande (including the contact Mande languages – Samogo and Jowulu).

'One'. It is likely that the root *do should be reconstructed on the Proto-Kru level. However, there is enough evidence for reconstructing the alternative root *(g)bolo.

¹⁴Bassa, Dewoin, Gbii.

¹⁵Grebo, Krumen, Glio-Oubi.

¹⁶Wee is a Western Kru group which includes (among other languages) Sapo, Krahn, Nyabwa, Wobe.

4.4.2 'Four' and 'Five'

Table 4.93: Kru stems for '4' and '5'

| | ' 4' | '4' | ' 4' | ' 5' | ' 5' | ' 5' |
|----------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Aizi | | | yeɓi | yu-gbo | | |
| Eastern | | | | | | |
| Bakwe/Wané | | hı̃ε⁴ | mrā: | g̃bàā, ŋ ^w ũ | | |
| Bete/Godié | | | mʊ-wana | gbu/gbi | | |
| Dida/Neyo | na | | | gbí | | |
| Kodia | na | | | ⁿ gby | | |
| Kuwa | μìjὲhε | | | | | wàyòɔ |
| Seme | | | yur | | | kwē̃l |
| Western | | | | | | |
| Bassa | hῒ-nyε(n) | | | | h-mm | |
| Grebo | | hεn | | gbə | mm | hun |
| Klao/Tajuasohn | nyìè | hεn | | | mù, | |
| | | | | | hoom? (< | |
| | | | | | m?) | |
| Wee | nyìε | | | | mm | |

The forms for 'four' in the left column apparently are the reflexes of the NC root that is preserved in its archaic form *na in Eastern Kru, whereas in Western Kru it changes into $nyi\grave{e}$.

Two major forms are observable for 'five', namely *gbo/gbo and *mm (Western).

4.4.3 'Six' to 'Nine'

It is immediately apparent that these numerals already followed the pattern '5+X' in Proto-Kru. As noted above, the Seme forms are innovations.

| | ' 6' | ' 6' | '7' | '7' | '8' | '8' | '8' | '9' | ' 9' ' 9' |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|-------------------------|
| Aizi | | fɔ | fri+2 | | | | pate | : | fi |
| Eastern | | | | | | | | | |
| Bakwe/Wané | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | |
| Bete/Godié | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | |
| Dida/Neyo | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | |
| Kodia | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | |
| Kuwa | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | |
| Seme | | kpẫâ | | kī̃î | | | kprā | î | kēl/ |
| | | _ | | | | | _ | | kal |
| Western | | | | | | | | | |
| Bassa | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | |
| Grebo | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | |
| Klao/Tajuasohn | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | | 4PL | | | 10-1 |
| Wee | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4.94: Kru stems and patterns for '6'-'9'

4.4.4 'Ten' and 'Twenty'

The root kvgba is attested beside the common NC root for 'ten' (*pu/fu) in Eastern and Kuwa. The root for 'twenty' is attested as golo in both Eastern and Western.

4.4.5 'Hundred' and 'Thousand'

All Kru sub-groups are characterized by the lack of a primary term for 'hundred'. The form for 'thousand' in Western Kru was borrowed from the Mande languages. A primary term for '400' (*dwi) that developed in Eastern Kru served as the basis for a rare pattern for 'thousand' attested in these languages ('400*2+200'). The reconstruction of the Proto-Kru numeral system is given in Table 4.95.

Table 4.95: Proto-Kru numeral system (*)

| 1 | do, (g)bolo | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|-------------|------|------------|
| 2 | so(n) | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | taa(n) | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | na | 10 | pu, kʊgba? |
| 5 | gbə/gbo, mm | 20 | golo |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | 20*5 |
| | | 1000 | 400*2+200 |

Table 4.96: Kru stems for '10' and '20'

| | '10' | '10' | '20' | '20' | '20' |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|------|----------|
| Aizi | bo | | gu | | |
| Eastern | | | | | |
| Bakwe/Wané | pờ, bu? | | grờ, g ^o lo | | |
| Bete/Godié | | kớgba | gwlớ /gɔlɔ | | |
| Dida/Neyo | | kớgba | glớ/góló | | |
| Kodia | | kʊgba | ĝalo | | |
| Kuwa | | kowaa | _ | 10*2 | |
| Seme | fu | | | | kār |
| Western | | | | | |
| Bassa | ɓada-bùè, | | | <10 | |
| | puuε, vu | | | | |
| Grebo | pu | | gōrō/wlờ | | |
| Klao/Tajuasohn | pue/punn | | wlòh-2 | | quilar-2 |
| Wee | pue/bue | | gwlʊ-2 | | kwela 2 |

Table 4.97: Kru stems and patterns for '100' and '1000'

| | '100' | '100' | '1000' | '1000' | '1000' |
|----------------|-------|---------|-------------|--------|-----------------|
| Aizi | | juyugbo | | | |
| Eastern | | | | | |
| Bakwe/Wané | 20*5 | | 400*2+20*10 | | |
| Bete/Godié | 20*5 | | 400*2+200 | | |
| Dida/Neyo | 20*5 | | 400*2+200 | | |
| Kodia | | | | | |
| Kuwa | | kòleh? | | 100*10 | |
| Seme | 20*5 | | | | lit: 'goat one' |
| Western | | | | | _ |
| Bassa | 20*5 | | | | borrowed |
| Grebo | 20*5 | | | | borrowed |
| Klao/Tajuasohn | 20*5 | | | | borrowed |
| Wee | 20*5 | | | | ? |

4.5 Kordofanian

The evidence of about twenty Kordofanian languages does not permit reconstructing the Proto-Kordofanian numeral system (assuming that Proto-Kordofanian existed). Comprehensive data for each of the four major groups is represented below (Table 4.98). Forms and patterns traceable in at least two groups are in bold. The forms are grouped within the lines in a more or less ad hoc manner, e.g. there is no special reason to believe that Talodi *lu(k)/li(k) 'one' corresponds to the forms with initial t-/t- attested in other groups.

The systematic presence of the final velar -k in some of the terms can also be found in the Atlantic languages (especially in North Atlantic).

The term for 'ten' appears in numerous forms in the Kordofanian languages, which is rare. At the same time, no root for 'ten' is represented in at least two

| | *Heiban | *Katla | *Rashad | *Talodi | *Kordofanian |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------|----------------|
| 1 | kwε-(t)tε(k) | ţí-ţʌk | -tta | lu(k)/li(k) | te(k)/ lu(k) |
| 1 | ŋɔ-(ʈ)ʈɔ | л-teen/tiin | | | tə(n) |
| 1 | *-lel? | | | tleidi | lel/ led? |
| 2 | | cik/heek | (k)ko(k) | | kok/kek/ cik |
| 2 | -can /-ṛan, | | | we-۲۸k/-tta | (can/τan, rak, |
| | rəm | | | | rəm) |
| 3 | tərəl/terel | ţΛţ | tta | wa-ttak | tat/tə͡ʈ/ ʈak |
| 3 | -rıcın/-gıt∫ın | i-hwлу | | | (ritin/ricin, |
| | | • | | | hwлy) |
| 4 | k(w)ɔ- | | ya-rem/wa- | -pandə | -rəŋ/-randə/- |
| | _ლ ეეე/ma- | | rʊm | | ranto/-rom? |
| | ღეan/-rlon/- | | | | |
| | ժլت | | | | |
| 4 | | л-gлlлm/i- | | kekka | (-gálàm, |
| | | hʌlʌm | | | kekka) |
| 5 | tʊ-dìní/-ðɛnɛ | i-duliin | | | dinin/ |
| | | | | | dulin? |
| 5 | ŋer-/ɲer- | | *per- | | ŋer-/ per- |
| 5 | | յ ၁-gbəlın | wʊ-ram, ma | 'hand'-'1', | ('hand',) |
| | | <i>.</i> 5 | • | ki-liəgum | ` ' ' |
| | | | | kı-lıəgum | |

Table 4.98: Kordofanian numerals 1-5

Table 4.99: Kordofanian numerals >5

| | *Heiban | *Katla | *Rashad | *Talodi | *Kordofanian |
|------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| 6 | 5+1 | <5 | nere(-r/-l/-y) (< *5+1?) | 5+1 | 5+1 |
| 6 | 3+3? 3PL | | | | (3+3) |
| 7 | 5+2 | 5+2 | 5+2 | 5+2 | < A5+2 |
| 7 | 4+3 | 3PL+1 | | | (4+3, 3PL+1) |
| 8 | duuba(ŋ) | | dubba/tuppa | | dubba |
| 8 | 5+3, 4 | | | 5+3, 4 | 5+3, 4 |
| | redupl.? | | | redupl. | redupl. |
| 8 | bə | tángìl/tinerey | | | (bɔ, təŋi-) |
| 9 | 10-1 | 10-1 | 10-1 | | 10-1 |
| 9 | 5+4 | յ álbàţín (<5?) | | 5+4 | 5+4 |
| 10 | di/ɗi/ri | *t^^, o-ro | kʊ-man (5PL) | ma-tu(l) | ? |
| 10 | | rakpac, | fəŋən | tiərum, | ? |
| | | i-hedʌkun | (fə-ŋən?) | nipţa, gurruŋ) | |
| 20 | 10*2 | 10*2 | 10+10 | 10*2 | 10*2 |
| 20 | turí | | | 'body', | ('body',?) |
| | ('grain'), | | | (a-rial, | |
| | 'big figure' | | | a-(na)ttu) | |
| 100 | 20*5, | 10*10 | 10*10 | 10*10, 20*5 | 10*10, 20*5 |
| | < Arabic | | | | |
| 1000 | rabic, 20*2*10 | absent | 10*10*10 | a-ǧar | ? |

languages simultaneously. Moreover, nearly every language in a group has its own term for 'ten'.

A primary term for 'eight' is distinguishable ¹⁷ in the Heiban and Rashad languages.

¹⁷I used data from the following Kordofanian languages and dialects: Aceron, Dagik, Heiban, Jomang, Katla, Koalib, Lafofa, Laro, Logol, Lumun, Moro, Nding, Orig, Rere, Shirumba, Tagoi, Talodi, Tegali, Tegem, Tima, Tira, Tocho, Utoro, Warnang.

4.6 Adamawa

Adamawa is the most divergent of the NC families. The variety of numeral systems attested in the Adamawa languages confirms this statement. This can be observed not only in cases of forms that belong to different groups, but often within groups and sub-groups as well, which makes the reconstruction of its numeral system quite problematic. In other words, it is not a rare case that small Adamawa branches consisting of only a pair of languages show incomparable forms. Some examples are in order here.

Let us compare the terms from 'one' to 'ten' in the Kim branch that is commonly attributed to the Mbum-(Day) group (Greenberg 14) (Table 4.100).

| | Besme | Kim |
|----|---------------|------------------------|
| 1 | mōndā/mbírāŋ | dú |
| 2 | tʃírí | zí |
| 3 | hāsī (hā-sī?) | tā |
| 4 | ndày | ndà |
| 5 | ndìyārá | nūwēy |
| 6 | māngùl | mènèngāl |
| 7 | dīyārā | βēálā/βēálār |
| 8 | ndā-sì (4+3?) | tīmāl/wá-zì-zí(10-2) |
| 9 | nòmīnā | làmādō/wá-zì-dú (10-1) |
| 10 | wàl | wòl |

Table 4.100: Numerals in the Kim branch

Only the terms for 'four', 'six', and 'ten' are comparable in these systems.

The Longuda language constitutes a separate branch of Waja-Jen (Greenberg 10). The table below gives an overview of the first ten numerical terms as attested in two dialects of Longuda (Table 4.101). The evidence for both dialects was collected by the same scholar (Ulrich Kleinewillinghöfer¹⁸). Morphological analysis of the forms is given according to Longurama of Koola (Longuda1) and Wala Lunguda (Longuda2).

Although we are dealing with two dialects of the same language, the roots for 'one', 'two', 'three', 'six', and 'ten' attested in them are different. At the same time, the terms covering the sequence from 'six' to 'nine' follow patterns com-

¹⁸https://mpi-lingweb.shh.mpg.de/numeral/Niger-Congo-Adamawa.htm

Table 4.101: Longuda numerals

| | Longuda1 | Longuda2 |
|----|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | laa-twè | naa-khal |
| 2 | nàà-kwế | naaa-shir |
| 3 | nàà-tsớr | naa-kwáí |
| 4 | nèé-nnyìr | naa-nyìr |
| 5 | nàà-nyớ | nàà-nyó |
| 6 | tsààtèn | na-khí-nà-kwáí (2*3) |
| 7 | í-néé-nyìr i-nàà-tsớr(4+3) | nyi-na-kwáí (4+3) |
| 8 | nyíí-tìn (<4?) | nyí-thìn (<4?) |
| 9 | é-nàà-nyó í-néé-nyìr(5+4?) | nyi-na-nnyó (4+5) |
| 10 | koo | nôm |

monly attested elsewhere. Thus the differences between these dialects appear to be greater than those between the languages within Mande or Bantu families. This raises the question as to whether a Proto-Kim or Proto-Longuda reconstruction is indeed relevant.

Moreover, the reconstruction is additionally hindered by the fact that numerical terms in the majority of the Adamawa languages are subject to the alignment by analogy more frequently than in other NC languages. General considerations regarding this problem can be found in Chapter 2. This is of special significance for the Adamawa languages since it affects etymological interpretations. The evidence from a number of languages belonging to the Duru sub-group of Leko-Nimbari (Greenberg 4) may serve as a case study (Table 4.102).

Table 4.102: Duru numerals

| Peere | Doyayo | Gimme | Gəunəm | Vəmnəm | Momi | Longto |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 dáa 2 i ro | gbúnú éé ré | wəəna idti qè | mani tε k | mà n ètên | muzo z ìttáz | wə́ŋŋá sitt ó |
| 3 tãã ro | taa rε | taa gè | taarək | tāán | tàáz | tãã bó |
| 4 na ro 5 núuno | náso nooné | 3 | nááró k nɔɔnò k | nānnò gbà náárò | ná z gbanáá | nab bó nõõmó |
| | ə nàən-gbúnú | nən gè | nɔɔ-waŋgə | gbāā-sə̀ mâl | bámbáz | |

Matching final segments of the first few numerical terms in each of these languages are highlighted in red. I agree with Larry Hyman that "it might not be analogy, rather the use of a marker" (p.c.) but it should be noted that though these segments are different in each case (i.e. they do not match even within a pair of languages), they are present in each language under discussion.

In Mumuye-Yandang, which is another branch of Leko-Nimbari (Greenberg 5), an additional sub-morpheme (-t) is attested that is not present in Duru (Table 4.103).

| | Mumuye | Bali | Yendang (dial.) |
|---|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 2 | zi ti | i-ye | í-nī |
| 3 | ta: ti | taa t | tâ:t |
| 4 | dề: tì | naat | nâ: t |

Table 4.103: Analogical alignments in Mumuye-Yandang

The following conclusions with regard to the Proto-Duru numeral system can be reached upon the basis of this evidence. First, the final segments (whatever their phonetic difference) should not be viewed as a hinderance to the comparison of numerical terms. This means that Momi $t \grave{a} \acute{a} z$ 'three' can (and should be) compared to Longto $t \~{a} \~{a} b\acute{o}$. The question of whether their final segments should be analysed as morphemes or sub-morphemes is of secondary importance for our purposes. At the same time, the quality of the second consonant in Proto-Leko-Nimbari is obscure, so we have to reconstruct the form as *taaX, where X is an unknown consonant.

As demonstrated above, numerical terms are exceptionally divergent within the family. In addition to this, systematic (diversified) alignment by analogy is often employed in the languages under study. Both factors make the reconstruction a challenging task, even though an attempt at reconstruction of the Adamawa numerals by a highly competent scholar is available (see Boyd 1989). His results, however, are of limited relevance for our comparative purposes, as the following example shows. According to Boyd, the Proto-Adamawa term for 'one' is to be reconstructed as *ku-di-n (the root *di) with *kwin being its later development. His ideas on how this proto-form is reflected in particular branches of the Adamawa family are summarized in the table below (Table 4.104). Notations in the first column refer to Grinberg's grouping of the Adamawa languages.

Even if Boyd's reconstruction of the Proto-Adamawa form is correct, a diachronic interpretation that impies an etymological relationship between bim-

Table 4.104: *kwin- reflexes in Adamawa according to Boyd

| | *Proto | Reflexes |
|-----|-----------|-------------------------|
| G1 | kwin | kun |
| G1 | kwin | kwaan |
| G2 | kwin | gu-(a)s(a) |
| G4 | kwin | gun, gbun, bin, wun-ga, |
| | | guu |
| G5 | kwi(t) | gbet, gorV |
| G5 | kwin | in(d)i |
| G6 | kwin-k | soŋ |
| G7 | kwin | indi > fa-ndi |
| G8 | kwin-kwin | bimbimi |
| G8 | kwi(n) | gwi > ju |
| G9 | kwin | tsuŋ/tsiŋ, cɔŋ |
| G10 | kwi-t | > kwat > kal |
| G13 | kwit | ɓuru, gulu |
| G13 | kwit | > kwat > bara(k) |
| G13 | kwin | toŋ |
| G14 | kwin | ɗu |
| Day | kwin-k | ngoŋ |
| Day | kwin | (k)wan > mon |

bimi, con, du and gbet does not fit the purpose of our integral comparative study of NC numerical terms because it can be used to justify nearly any etymological connection. In view of this, the Adamawa numerical terms will be treated in the same way as those from the preceding language families. First, the main forms of the numerical terms will be established, with no attempt at tracing them down to a provisional proto-form. Then the numeral systems of each of the Adamawa branches will be studied separately. Finally, an integral analysis of the available evidence pertaining to each of the terms will be offered. This approach will enable us to treat the Fali languages and even Laal together with the Adamawa languages, although their relationship to the latter is often questioned (in the case of Laal, doubts are raised as to whether it belongs to NC at all).

Table 4.105: Fali-Yingilum numerals103

| 1 | kpolo/bʌlo (< *lo?) | 7 | j <u>o</u> ros |
|---|---------------------|------|---|
| 2 | cuk, gbara | 8 | 4 redupl. |
| 3 | taan (< taaX) | 9 | 10-1/ŋgʌs kàm(kàn) k͡pòlò 'rest hand one' |
| 4 | naan | 10 | ra |
| 5 | kẽrew | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | yira/yilo | 100 | < Fula |
| | | 1000 | < Fula |

4.6.1 Fali-Yingilum (G11)

It should be noted that after a nasal, -r- in the Fali forms regularly corresponds to -N- in those of Yingilum, cf. '5' Fali $kerew \sim \text{Yingilum } kepau$, '7' $joros \sim \text{Yingilum } ján a$ s. An alignment by analogy is probably attested in the terms for 'three' and 'four' (*taaX > taan may have changed by analogy with *naan).

4.6.2 Kam (Nyimwom, G8)

Table 4.106: Kam numerals

| 1 | b <u>ii</u> (Meek: bimbini) (< *b-ii?) | 7 | jùp yi-raak (6,2 - 'second six'?) |
|---|--|------|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | yi-raak (i-ra) | 8 | sâl |
| 3 | càr | 9 | níízaa |
| 4 | nár (< *naX) | 10 | bóò |
| 5 | ŋwún | 20 | kpáímí ,*nkpó |
| 6 | jù:p | 100 | 20*5 |
| | | 1000 | ? |

Within the NC context, a reversive alignment by analogy may be considered: *naX '4' > nar by analogy with *car '3'. As Boyd rightfully observes, in the case of 'one' it is often unclear whether the initial consonant is a part of the root, or a reflex of the noun class prefix.

The term for 'seven' simulates the pattern '7=6+2' (this phenomenon is not infrequent in NC). Sometimes (e.g. in some of the Mande languages) this impression is due to the fact that the term for 'six' originally derived from '5+'. Over

time, an innovation replaced the original term for 'five', which was only preserved in the derived term for 'six'. Alternatively, the term for 'seven' could be explained as 'the other six' (or 'a big six' is some languages), as perhaps in Kam, assuming that $j\dot{u}$:p does not go back to the term for 'five'.

4.6.3 Leko-Duru-Mumuye (G4, G2, G5)

This group is often labeled Leko-Nimbari. Here we follow Raimund Kastenholz and Ulrich Kleinewillinghöfer, who note that "The term 'Nimbari' should not to be used as a classificatory term, nor should the scarce and surely in large parts erroneous data be given central significance in any comparative approach to Adamawa languages" (Kastenholz & Kleinewillinghöfer 2012).

4.6.3.1 Duru (G4)

Table 4.107: Duru numerals

| 1 | dớə, gbúnú, wớ-ŋŋá/wɔɔna/dá(ŋ)gá/*nge, man(i)/*mal | 7 | 5+2, (gútambe, 6+'odd', dómsàrà, 4+3) |
|---|--|------|--|
| 2 | du/ru/to, te/re | | 4PL/4+4, 5+3,(< Hausa) |
| 3 | tããtó/tããro | 9 | ' one finger is left ', nɨŋ̀sɨ́nè, |
| | | | 5+4, 10-1 |
| 4 | nató/naró (< *naX) | 10 | bō?, kob/kop/fób |
| 5 | núno/nɔɔnɨ̀, gbà náárò/gbanáá, | 20 | gbεg/gbàhsŧ́ (='staff'), *wɔ́ɔ́g |
| | sáá | | ('head'), zul/zur ('head'), (10*2, |
| | | | ráárò, jùgúyə), |
| 6 | gúú, 5+1 | 100 | temere < Fula, 20*5 |
| | | 1000 | uzinere < Fula, (dukə) |

This table provides an overview of forms and patterns attested in eleven sources for this sub-group. This degree of variety is not normally attested within a single sub-group, which raises doubts as to whether these languages should be grouped together.

Table 4.108: Leko numerals

| 1 | nɨ́ŋa/níiá (<ŋa?) | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|-------------------|------|---------------------|
| 2 | nnú, ra?, *-i? | 8 | 5+3, < Hausa |
| 3 | toorà/toonú | 9 | 5+4,' one is left ' |
| 4 | naarà/nεεr-əb | 10 | kób/kóp |
| 5 | núúnà/núnn-ub | 20 | ned níi gbed, laa-1 |
| 6 | nôŋgôs/núŋgóɔs | 100 | 20*5, < Fula |
| | | 1000 | 20*10?,< Fula |

4.6.3.2 Leko (G2)

Our study of this sub-group is based on the evidence of two languages. The summary table above is not descriptive of the language-specific mechanisms of the alignment by analogy. An overview of the numerical terms covering the sequence from 'two' to 'five' by language is provided below (Table 4.4. 107).

Table 4.109: Analogical alignments in two Leko languages

| | Kolbila (Zurá) | Samba Leko |
|---|----------------|---------------|
| 2 | in nú | iirà |
| 3 | too nú | toorà |
| 4 | neer əb | naa rà |
| 5 | núnn ub | núún à |

Apparently, the terms from 'three' to 'five' in these two languages are related to each other. At the same time, two groups of terms ('2-3' and '3-4') with an alignment by the ultima are observable in Kolbila. This is applicable to a group of Samba Leko terms as well, namely '2-4' (possibly also '5'; the fact that the Samba Leko terms are adjusted by both the vowel quality and the tone is noteworthy). This means that the seemingly unrelated roots for 'two' may have derived from a common etymon (still unknown to us) by means of alignment by analogy. The source form of 'two' remains obscure. Assuming that it was similar to the one reconstructed for the Duru sub-group (e.g. *ru), it is likely that the same form is to be reconstructed for Leko as well: *ru > Kolbila nu by analogy with toonu '3'; *ru > Samba Leko ra by analogy with toora '3'. However, the evidence in favor of this reconstruction is inconclusive. Alternatively, the initial vowel of the term for

'two' (*ii-/in-) may reflect the source root, while the final segment is potentially explained via an alignment by analogy with '3'.

4.6.3.3 Mumuye-Yandang (G5)

Table 4.110: Numerals in Mumuye-Yandang

| 1 | bīntī/bini (*< nti/ni?), gbétè | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|--------------------------------|------|---------------------|
| 2 | ziti, ye, nī | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | taat | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | naat | 10 | kop/kob |
| 5 | mă:ni, nɔng/ghìnān | 20 | mba-1, kar-1, mim-1 |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | 20*5 |
| | | 1000 | derived |

This sub-group is represented by three languages that show different forms of 'two'. The terms for 'three' and 'four' are adjusted by analogy. Studying them in a wider NC context reveals that the final consonant in 'four' was adjusted by analogy with 'three'. The alignment itself must have occurred already at the Proto-Mumue-Yandang level, which explains our provisional reconstructions suggested for this proto-language in the table above.

No evidence pertaining to the Nimbari numerals is available to us. The forms of 'one' given by Boyd (Boyd 1989) are noteworthy (Nimbari (n)yeme/ geme/ (zeme?)).

4.6.4 Mbum-Day (G13, G14, G6, Day)

4.6.4.1 Bua (G13)

This is very divergent branch that has been poorly documented. I'd like to thank Pascal Boyeldieu who has provided me with his personal data on Ba (Bua) and Lua (Niellim), as well as some other rare sources. The main forms and patterns are shown in Table 4.111.

Numerals in the Bua group can be presented as follows (Table 4.112)

Table 4.111: Bua numerals

| Fanya | Niellim | Tunya | Bua | Zan Gula | Kulaal (Gula) | Bolgo | Koke |
|------------------|-------------|--------|------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| 1 do/lo | 6údū/6úrū | sèlì | gúlu | sammā, saado | tóŋ | ba(k)ra, silla | barak |
| 2 i-ru/ li-ru | ndīdí/ndīrí | à-rī | i-li/í-rī: | ris:i/lissi | ròk | lēti, retè | lēdi |
| 3 taro | tērí | à-tā | í-tēr | to:ri | tòòs | teri | tēri |
| 4 nagi/ | nià:ní/néni | à-nā | í- | na:sı | nòrò | har | hār |
| naro | | | pāw/pa | õ | | | |
| 5 lugni | lùní | à-lōnī | í-lwār | tε(r) | lún | tisso | tisó |
| 6 kaba | tá:r | nānò | tàr | 5+1 | lú-én-tóŋ | tipsi | dípsil |
| 7 5+2 | longa | lúlú | lür | 5+2 | lú-é-ròk | 5+2 | tiglén |
| 8 < 4 | 3+4', | kàntā | <* 4 | 5+3 | | orhor | 4 redupl. |
| | < | ~ | PL? | | | (4 redupl. | |
| | Bagirmi | | | | | 5+3 | |
| 9 10-X | < | à-tī | lór-lor | 5+4 | sàkólínnòrò | diar, 6+3 | jār |
| | Bagirmi | | | | | | |
| 10 teba | < | kùtù | húlil/lo | rfilo:le/filori | yíppà | do(k) | dog |
| | Bagirmi, | | poo | | | | |
| | hulōa | | | | | | |
| 20 10*2 | doksap | 10*2 | <10PL | ʊ-fa:lε | | a-rep, | |
| | | | | | | a-hun, | |
| | | | | | | tehu | |
| 100 | ro/ru | à-rū | a-ru | < Arabic | míà/míè | | ae léd |
| 1000 | dubu | dūbú | dubu | < Arabic | hálìf | | ae har |

Table 4.112: Bua numerals (summarized)

| 1 | *do, *de?, bara(k), (tóŋ) | 7 | 5+2, 3+4, lúlú/lòŋgō/lur, (tiglen) |
|---|------------------------------------|------|------------------------------------|
| 2 | *di, *ri?, *ru?, (ròk), (rete) | 8 | 4 redupl., 5+3 |
| 3 | tar/tori/teri | 9 | ti, jar, 5+4, 10-X |
| 4 | na/nagi/niani, har | 10 | do(k), (kùtù), (filo:le), (yíppà), |
| | | | (teba) |
| 5 | luni/loni/*lu,tε(r), *kɔn?, (tiso) | 20 | 10*2, do-ksap, fa:lε, (a-rep), |
| | | | (a-hun) |
| 6 | 5+1, tá:r, (nānò), (kaba), tipsi | 100 | ro/ru |
| | | 1000 | < Bagirmi |

4.6.4.2 Kim (G14)

The first ten terms of Besme and Kim are given in the table above (Table 4.100). The term for 'twenty' in these languages follows the pattern '10*2', whereas the Kim term for 'hundred' is borrowed from Arabic. The Besme term for 'hundred' is borrowed from the French *sac* 'sack', whereas the term for 'thousand' is borrowed from Bagirmi.

4.6.4.3 Mbum (G6)

Table 4.113: Mbum numerals

| 1 | mbew/mbiew, bö5η/búónó/bóm/vaŋno | 7 | 10-3, rɪŋ, (rënām, tàrnấgà) |
|---|-------------------------------------|------|----------------------------------|
| 2 | sede/sere, gwa/bɔ̀-gë, bà-tì | 8 | 10-2, nama/namma/nènmà?ä |
| 3 | say | 9 | 10-1, doraŋ |
| 4 | nìŋ, nai | 10 | boo, dzama/dzémà, (dùɔ, hù-wàlë) |
| 5 | ndiɓi/ndēɓē/dūwēe/dápì | 20 | 10*2, '2 hands', 10+10 |
| 6 | ze(y)/ye(a), (tótókló, bì-gírò) | 100 | sɔ́d/sɔt, < Fula, < Arabic |
| | | 1000 | 'sac', bag', < Fula, < Bagirmi |

This sub-group is represented by a dozen languages. Unlike Leko-Duru-Mumue no alignment by analogy is attested. Some forms of 'two' are of unclear morphological structure.

4.6.4.4 Day

Table 4.114: Day numerals

| 1 | ngōń, *mon | 7 | 4+3 |
|---|--------------|------|---------------|
| 2 | dīí | 8 | 4 redupl.? |
| 3 | tà | 9 | 'lacking one' |
| 4 | ndà, *bī-yām | 10 | mò |
| 5 | sērì | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | tù |
| | | 1000 | < Bagirmi |

This branch is comprised of an isolated language. Its attribution to Mbum-Day has been a subject of scholarly debate. The form *mon '1' is postulated on the basis of $s\bar{\epsilon}ri$ mon 'six', whereas the reconstruction of * $b\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}m$ (* $b\bar{\imath}-y\bar{a}m$?) '4' is based on $b\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}m$ tà 'seven'.

4.6.5 Waja-Jen (G9, G10, G1, G7)

4.6.5.1 Jen (G9)

Table 4.115: Jen numerals

| 1 | kwín/*∫ín/ts i ng | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|------------------------------|------|----------------|
| 2 | ráb/*re, bwə-ng, bwa-yung | 8 | 4PL, 5+3 |
| 3 | gbunuŋ, bwa-tə | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | net, bwa-nyə | 10 | ∫óób, bwa-hywə |
| 5 | nóob/*na, bwa-hmə/*hwĩ | 20 | fa-1, ngwu-1 |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | 20*5 |
| | | 1000 | ∫ik-1, 20-fe |

This branch is represented by two languages: Burak and Jenjo (Dza). The evidence from this group is among Boyd's best arguments for the reconstruction of *kwin (< *ku-di-n) 'one'. The primary term li (bwa-li) 'fifteen' is attested in Jenjo. Accordingly, the term for 'sixteen' follows the pattern '15+1' (bwali ji tsing). Interestingly, in Burak the term for 'hundred' is li (li kwin).

The form *hwĩ 'five' is traceable in Jenjo compound terms covering the sequence from 'six' to 'nine' (hwĩ-tsɨng 'six', hwĩ-yung 'seven', etc.) as is the corresponding Burak form *na 'five' (naa-ſĩn 'six', náá-re 'seven', ná-tát 'eight'). The form *re 'two' is observable in náá-re 'seven', whereas *ʃĩn 'one' is traceable in naa-ʃĩn 'six'.

4.6.5.2 Longuda (G10)

The evidence for the first ten numerals in two Longuda dialects can be found in the table above (Table 4.101). The term for 'twenty' in these languages follows the pattern '10*2'. The forms of 'hundred' are $p\dot{u}l\dot{o}(w\dot{e})/phulewe$.

Table 4.116: Waja numerals

| 1 | w-in/d-in/kw-an/g-εεn/*k-un? | 7 | ni-bir/ni-ber/ni-bil/ni-bi(y) |
|---|------------------------------|------|------------------------------------|
| 2 | yó-rób/rəəp/yob/yo, (su) | 8 | na-rib/na-lib/na-rub (4*2) |
| 3 | taat, kunuŋ, (bwanbí) | 9 | 10-1, teer/teet/tɔɔrɔ |
| 4 | naat, (gwár) | 10 | kób/kub/kwab/kpop/kwu |
| 5 | nu(ŋ), (fwá:d) | 20 | 10*2, '2 hands' |
| 6 | nu-kun (<5+1?) | 100 | <10?, wɔn, (bwa-tigε) |
| | | 1000 | kʊʊl, nèe/kú-néŋ, 100*10, bi-kate, |
| | | | tedu |

4.6.5.3 Waja (G1)

Some languages in this sub-group are characterized by a sub-morphological alignment of the terms for 'three' and 'four' well-attested in Adamawa: Dadiya tal '3' ~ nal '4', Bangunji (dial.) 1 $t\acute{a}\acute{a}t$ '3' ~ $n\acute{a}\acute{a}t$ '4', Bangunji (dial.) 2 taar '3' ~ naar '4', Tula (Kitule) $j\acute{i}$ - $t:\grave{a}$ '3' ~ $j\acute{a}:-n\grave{a}$ '4'. As a result, these terms are treated as minimal contrastive pairs in the paradigm. Within the NC context, forms with the final -t should be considered prototypical in the case of both terms. This means that *naaX 'four' (final consonant unknown) may have evolved into *naat by analogy with 'three' in Proto-Waja. Later, an innovative form for 'three' developed in Awak and Waja: Awak $kun\acute{u}\eta$, Waja $kuno\eta$. The Dijim-Bwilim $bwanb\acute{u}$ is apparently an innovation.

Interestingly, the froms for 'six' attested throuought the sub-group resemble the Awak and Waja forms for 'three'. However, the forms for 'six' can be explained as '5+1' (assuming that they include an allomorph of *kun 'one').

4.6.5.4 Yungur (G7)

The terms for 'twenty', 'hundred' and 'thousand' are attested in only one source (Kaan (Libo)) out of the eight sources available for this branch, hence they are quoted in brackets. Morphological analysis of the terms for 'one' and 'two' is unclear: *fV may be a reflex of the original noun class prefix.

4.6.6 Laal

Finally, let us turn to the Laal numeral system. Laal's attribution to the Adamawa languages (as well as its attribution to NC) is debatable. Today it is assumed that

Table 4.117: Yungur numerals

| 1 | fini/fandi/pəndəŋ (< *ndi?), wunú | 7 | nbutu |
|---|-----------------------------------|------|----------------|
| 2 | raap, fətə/fiicì (< *tə/ci?) | 8 | 4 redupl. |
| 3 | táákén/(tɑɑrén) | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | kurun | 10 | bú(u), (kutun) |
| 5 | wonon/wonun | 20 | (10*2) |
| 6 | mindike | 100 | (-ru) |
| | | 1000 | (100*10) |

it is an isolated case within Niger-Congo. Comparative study of its numerical terms may shed light on its genealogical relationship (Table 4.118).

Table 4.118: Numerals in Laal

| 1 | 6ìdíl (6ì-díl?) | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|-----------------|------|--------------------|
| 2 | ?īsī (?ī-sī?) | 8 | 4 redupl. |
| 3 | māā | 9 | yàŋjáŋ |
| 4 | бīsān (бī-sān?) | 10 | tūū |
| 5 | sāb, *swa- | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | cìcààn | 100 | 10-'big' |
| | | 1000 | < Baguirmi < Hausa |

As in many other NC languages, the major problem with Laal numerals is the obscurity of their morphological structure. Pascal Boyeldieu established that traces of noun class suffixes are observable in Laal forms as their comparison to sG and PL forms show (see Boyeldieu 1982). However, as I tried to demonstrate elsewhere (Pozdniakov 2010), some traces of noun class prefixes had been preserved in this language as well. At this point, it seems reasonable to set the alternative variants aside for further comparison.

What follows is an attempt to synthesize the Adamawa evidence.

4.6.7 Proto-Adamawa

4.6.7.1 'One'

The main forms are given in Table 4.119.

Table 4.119: Adamawa stems for '1'

| | 1, | ,1, | ,1, | 1, | '1' | ,1, | ,1, | 1, |
|---------|---|--------------------|------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| Fali | | | °-lo | | | | | |
| Kam | b-ii | | | | | | | |
| Leko | | | | | | | | |
| Duru | | eęp | | -(ŋ)gá/ -na? / *nge | gbúnú | man(i)/*mal | P | |
| Leko | | | | n i ŋa/ níiá (<ŋa?) | , | | | |
| Mumuye | | 6ī-ntī/bi-ni | | | | | | gbétè |
| | | (:< nti/ni;) | | | | | | |
| Mbum | | | | | | | | |
| Bua | | ³p* | op* | | | | bara(k) | tóŋ, *si? |
| Kim | | | ďú | | | mōndā | mbírāŋ | |
| Mbum | | | | | bɔɔn/ búónó | | | mbew/ mbiew |
| Day | | | | ngōń | | *mon | | |
| Waja | | | | | | | | |
| Jen | kw-ín/ $^*\int$ -ín/ ts-ing (< * in) | | | | | | | |
| Longuda | | | | | | | | khal, twè |
| Waja | w-in/ d-in/ g-εεη/ *k-un? | | | | | | | |
| Yungur | | fi-ni/ | | | wunú | | | |
| | | fa-ndi/ | | | | | | |
| | | pé-ndén | | | | | | |
| | | (< *ndi?) | | | | | | |
| Laal | | 6ìdíl | | | | | | |
| | | (6 i -dil?) | | | | | | |

In accordance with Boyd's hypotheses discussed above, the forms in the first two columns may be related in view of the reconstruction of the root *di (possibly also *-in), the noun class prefix *ku- and the suffix *-n (*ku-di-n'1')

The last column lists forms that are attested in one of the branches only. The roots that can be tentatively reconstructed as *do, *nga/ngo; *(g)bunuand and *mon are noteworthy.

4.6.7.2 'Two'

The main forms of this root are quoted in Table 4.121. The grouping of forms is admittedly not substantiated enough. The variety of forms within this family is striking, even when unrestricted phonetic grouping is applied.

4.6.7.3 'Three'

Comparative evidence for this root points to its reconstruction as *taat (with further alignment by analogy within each of the branches). As in the other NC families, the root is exceptionally stable, in contrast to the roots for 'one' and 'two' that demonstrate a wide variety of forms. A shared innovation in Jen and Waja (attested in Burak, Awak and Waja) is noteworthy.

Table 4.120: Adamawa stems for '3'

| Fali-Yingilum | taan (< taaX) | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--------|------|------|-----|
| Kam | càr | | | | |
| Leko-Duru-Mumuye | | | | | |
| Duru | tããtó/tããro | | | | |
| Leko | toorà/toonú | | | | |
| Mumuye | taat | | | | |
| Mbum-Day | | | | | |
| Bua | tar/tori/teri | | | | |
| Kim | tā | | | hāsī | |
| Mbum | say | | | | |
| Day | tà | | | | |
| Waja-Jen | | | | | |
| Jen | bwa-tə | gbunuŋ | | | |
| Longuda | tsár | | kwáí | | |
| Waja | taat (bwanbí) | kunuŋ | | | |
| Yungur | táákén/(tɑɑrén) | | | | |
| Laal | | | | | māā |

Table 4.121: Adamawa stems for '2'

| | ,2, | ,2, | ,2, | ,5, | ,2, | ,2, | ,5, | ,2, | ,2, |
|----------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-----------|-------|-----|----------|
| Fali-Yingilum Kam | vi-raak (i-ra) | | | | | gbara | cuk | | |
| Leko-Duru-Mumuye | (1) mm (1) | | | | | | | | |
| Duru | | du/ru, to | | te/ re | | | | | |
| Leko | ra? | | ii-/ in-? | | | | | nnú | |
| Mumuye | | | ye | | ziti | | | nī | |
| $Mbum	ext{-}Day$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Bua | | *ru, (ròk) | di/ ri | | (rete) | | | | |
| Kim | | | | zí | tʃirí | | | | |
| Mbum | | | | 6à-tì | sede/sere | gwa/bò-gë | | | |
| Day | | | dīí | | | | | | |
| Waja-Jen | | | | | | | | | |
| Jen | ráb/ *re, | | | | | | | | , bu-emq |
| وأوريه ودرآ | | | | | ; ; | 2,111 | | | bwa-yung |
| Longuda Waja | vý-rýh/rnm/ | | | | ПП | V W C | (611) | | |
| ۲۷ ط) ط | you'dox | | | | | | (nc) | | |
| Yungur | raap | | | fətə/fiicì | | | | | |
| | | | | (< *tə/ ci?) | | | | | |
| Laal | | | | 7īsī (7ī-sī?) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

4.6.7.4 'Four'

Table 4.122: Adamawa stems for '4'

| Fali-Yingilum | naan | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|---------|--------|------|-----------|
| Kam | nár | | | | |
| | (< *naX) | | | | |
| Leko-Duru-Mumuye | | | | | |
| Duru | nató/naró | | | | |
| | (< *naX) | | | | |
| Leko | naarà/nεεr-əb |) | | | |
| Mumuye | naat | | | | |
| Mbum-Day | | | | | |
| Bua | na/nagi/niani | i | | har | |
| Kim | | | ndà(y) | | |
| Mbum | nai | nìŋ | | | |
| Day | | | ndà | | *bī-yām |
| Waja-Jen | | | | | |
| Jen | net | bwa-nyə | | | |
| Longuda | nnyìr/nyìr | | | | |
| Waja | naat | | | gwár | |
| Yungur | | | | | kurun |
| Laal | | | | | bīsān |
| | | | | | (bī-sān?) |

The main NC form *naX is predominant here, its second consonant being subject to alignment by analogy. The same root is likely to be reconstructed at the Proto-Adamawa level as well.

4.6.7.5 'Five'

The main root (nun) may be the same as in the Gur languages and may be etymologically related to the term for 'hand'. It is likely that the isolated forms quoted in the rightmost column go back to similar terms as well. The Jen root hma could be a borrowing from Chadian Arabic: xamsa '5'. The Mbum forms $nd\bar{e}b\bar{e}/d\bar{u}w\bar{e}e$ may be influenced by Fula (jowi 'five').

Table 4.123: Adamawa stems for '5'

| Fali-Yingilum | | kẽrew | | |
|------------------|----------------------------|------------|---------|---------------|
| Kam | ŋwún | 1101011 | | |
| Leko-Duru-Mumuye | · y · · · · · · · · | | | |
| Duru | núno/ | | | gbà náárò/ |
| | nəən ì , | | | gbanáá, sáá |
| Leko | núúnà/ | | | |
| | núnn-ub | | | |
| Mumuye | nong/ | | | mă:ni |
| | ghìnān | | | |
| Mbum-Day | | | | |
| Bua | | | | luni/ loni/ |
| | | | | *lu,tε(r), |
| | | | | *kɔn?, (tiso) |
| Kim | nūwē̯y | | ndìyārá | |
| Mbum | | | ndi6i/ | |
| | | | dūwēe/ | |
| | | | dápì | |
| Day | | | | sērì |
| Waja-Jen | | | | |
| Jen | nóob/ *na | -hmə/ *hwĩ | | |
| Longuda | nyś | | | |
| Waja | nu(ŋ) | | | fwá:d |
| Yungur | wo-non/ | | | |
| | wo-nun | | | |
| Laal | | | | sāb, *swa- |

4.6.7.6 'Six'

Table 4.124: Adamawa stems and patterns for '6'

| Fali-Yingilum | | | | yira/yilo |
|------------------|----------------|------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Kam | | jù:p | | |
| Leko-Duru-Mumuye | | | | |
| Duru | 5+1 | gúú | | |
| Leko | | | | nôŋgôs/núŋgóɔs |
| Mumuye | 5+1 | | | |
| Mbum-Day | | | | |
| Bua | 5+1 | | | tá:r, (nānò), (kaba), tipsi |
| Kim | | | | māngùl/mènèngāl |
| Mbum | | | | ze(y)/ye(a), tótókló, bì-gírò |
| Day | 5+1 | | | |
| Waja-Jen | | | | |
| Jen | 5+1 | | | |
| Longuda | | | tsààtèn | 2*3? |
| Waja | nu-kun (<5+1?) | | | |
| Yungur | | | | mindike |
| Laal | | | cìcààn | |

The most frequently attested pattern is '5+1'. However, there is a great variety of isolated forms (see the last column). The similarity between the Laal and Longuda forms is noteworthy; both may go back to Chadian Arabic *sit:e* 'six'. The Kim (and also Yungur?) form could be a borrowing from Bagirmi (*mìká* '6').

4.6.7.7 'Seven'

Table 4.125: Adamawa stems and patterns for '7'

| Fali-Yingilum | | | | | j <u>o</u> ros |
|------------------|--------------|-----|--------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Kam | | | 'second six' | | |
| Leko-Duru-Mumuye | | | | | |
| Duru | 5+2 | 4+3 | 6+'odd' | | gútambe, |
| | | | | | démsàrà |
| Leko | 5+2 | | | | |
| Mumuye | 5+2 | | | | |
| Mbum-Day | | | | | |
| Bua | 5+2 | 3+4 | | | lúlú/lòŋgō/lur, |
| | | | | | (tiglen) |
| Kim | | | | бēálā/ | dīyārā |
| | | | | бēálār | , |
| Mbum | | | | | 10-3, rɪŋ, |
| 1/12 0/11 | | | | | rënām, |
| | | | | | tàrnấgà |
| Day | | 4+3 | | | tarnaga |
| Waja-Jen | | 4+3 | | | |
| | 5 . 0 | | | | |
| Jen | 5+2 | 4 0 | | | |
| Longuda | | 4+3 | | | |
| Waja | | | | ni-bir/ | |
| | | | | -bil/-bi(y) | |
| Yungur | | | | | nbutu |
| Laal | 5+2 | | | | |

As in the case of 'six', the predominant pattern ('5+2') for 'seven' is rather plain. It co-exists with a variety of isolated forms of uncertain etymology.

Contents

4.6.7.8 'Eight'

Table 4.126: Adamawa stems and patterns for '8'

| Fali-Yingilum | 4 redupl. | | | |
|------------------|--------------|-----|---------------|-----------------|
| Kam | r redupi. | | | sâl |
| Leko-Duru-Mumuye | | | | 541 |
| Duru | 4PL/4+4 | 5+3 | | < Hausa |
| Leko | | 5+3 | | < Hausa |
| Mumuye | | 5+3 | | |
| Mbum-Day | | | | |
| Bua | 4 redupl. | 5+3 | | |
| Kim | ndāsì (4PL?) | | wázìzí (10-2) | tīmāl |
| Mbum | | | 10-2 | nam(m)a/nènmà?ä |
| Day | 4 redupl.? | | | |
| Waja-Jen | | | | |
| Jen | 4PL | 5+3 | | |
| Longuda | | | | nyíthìn |
| Waja | 4*2 | | | |
| Yungur | 4 redupl. | | | |
| Laal | 4 redupl. | | | |

The pattern '8=4 redupl.' is to be reconstructed at the Proto-Adamawa level.

4.6.7.9 'Nine'

Table 4.127: Adamawa stems and patterns for '9'

| Fali-Yingilum | | 10-1/ŋgʌs kàm(kàn) kpòlò 'rest hand one' | |
|------------------|-----|---|-----------|
| Kam | | | níízaa |
| Leko-Duru-Mumuye | | | |
| Duru | | 'one finger is left', nɨŋsɨne, 5+4, 10-1 | |
| Leko | 5+4 | 'one is left' | |
| Mumuye-Yandang | 5+4 | | |
| Mbum-Day | | | |
| Bua | 5+4 | 10-X | ti, jar |
| Kim | | 10-1 | nòmīnā |
| Mbum | | 10-1 | doraŋ |
| Day | | 'lacking one' | |
| Waja-Jen | | | |
| Jen | 5+4 | | |
| Longuda | 5+4 | | |
| Waja | | 10-1 | teer/teet |
| Yungur | 5+4 | | |
| Laal | | | yàŋjáŋ |

A primary term for 'nine' was apparently non-existent in Proto-Adamawa. A comparison between Bua *diar* and Kanuri *layár* may be suggestive if a borrowing is considered. The same applies to the terms for 'nine' in Waja (*tɔɔrɔ*) and Hausa (*tara*).

4.6.7.10 'Ten'

Two alternative roots for 'ten' (Table 4.128) are distinguishable (*boo and *kob attested in four and two groups respectively). The root d(u)o is observable in two Mbum-Day sub-groups. Finally, the root kutu(n) is found in two languages, namely in Tunya (Bua) and Kaan (Yungur). Assuming that ku- is a class prefix, this root may prove to be related to $t\bar{u}\bar{u}$ (Laal).

Table 4.128: Adamawa stems for '10'

| Fali-Yingilum | | | | | | ra |
|------------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|------|------------|
| Kam | bóò | | | | | |
| Leko-Duru-Mumuye | | | | | | |
| Duru | bō?, | kob/ | | | | |
| | | kop/ | | | | |
| | | fób | | | | |
| Leko | | kób/ | | | | |
| | | kóp | | | | |
| Mumuye | | kop/ | | | | |
| | | kob | | | | |
| Mbum-Day | | | | | | |
| Bua | | | do(k) | kùtù | | (filo:le), |
| | | | | | | (yíppà), |
| | | | | | | (teba) |
| Kim | | | | | wàl/ | |
| | | | | | wòl/ | |
| | | | | | wàr/ | |
|) d | , | | 1. | | *wèy | |
| Mbum | boo | | dùɔ | | hù- | dʒama/ |
| T. | , | | | | wàlë | dzémà |
| Day | mò̀ | | | | | |
| Waja-Jen | | C/ /1 | | | | 1 |
| Jen | | ∫óób | | | | bwa- |
| T 1 | | 1 /13 | | | | hywə |
| Longuda | | koo/ kù | | | | nôm |
| Waja | | kób/ | | | | |
| | | kub/ | | | | |
| | | kwab/ | | | | |
| | | kpop/ | | | | |
| V | h.:/\ | kwu | | 1 | | |
| Yungur Laal | bú(u) | | | kutun | | |
| Laal | | | | tūū | | |

4.6.7.11 'Twenty'

The term for 'twenty' (Table 4.129) in the Duru languages either follows the pattern '20=10*2' or goes back to the lexical roots for 'head' and 'staff'. The Niellim term *do-ksap* was likely borrowed from Bagirmi *dùg sap* 'twenty'.

Table 4.129: Adamawa stems and patterns for '20'

| Fali-Yingilum | 10*2 | | | | |
|------------------|--------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|------------------|
| Kam | 10 2 | | | | *ìkpó̯, |
| Rum | | | | | kpáímí |
| Leko-Duru-Mumuye | | | | | |
| Duru | 10*2 | | | gbeg/ | ráárò, |
| | | | | gbàhs í | jùgúyɔ |
| | | | | ('staff'), | |
| | | | | *wɔ́ɔ́g | |
| | | | | ('head'), | |
| | | | | zul/ zur | |
| * 1 | | | | ('head') | 1 (1 |
| Leko | | | laa-1 | | ned níi |
| M | | | | | gbed |
| Mumuye | | | | | mba-1, kar-1, |
| | | | | | mim-1 |
| Mbum-Day | | | | | 1111111 1 |
| Bua | 10*2 | | fa:lɛ | | do-ksap, |
| | | | | | a-rep, |
| | | | | | a-hun |
| Kim | 10*2 | | | | |
| Mbum | 10*2 | '2 hands', 10+10 | | | |
| Day | 10*2 | | | | |
| Waja-Jen - | | | | | |
| Jen | | | fa-1 | | ngwu-1 |
| Longuda | 10*2 | . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 | | | |
| Waja | 10*2 | 2 hands' | | | |
| Yungur Laal | 10*2 10*2 | | | | |
| Laal | 10 2 | | | | |

4.6.7.12 'Hundred'

Table 4.130: Adamawa stems and patterns for '100'

| Fali-Yingilum | | | | | < Fula |
|------------------|------|----------|---------|-------|------------------|
| Kam | 20*5 | | | | |
| Leko-Duru-Mumuye | | | | | |
| Duru | 20*5 | | | | < Fula |
| Leko | 20*5 | | | | < Fula |
| Mumuye | 20*5 | | | | |
| Mbum-Day | | | | | |
| Bua | | | | ro/ru | |
| Kim | | | | | < Arabic |
| Mbum | | | sád/sat | | < Fula, < Arabic |
| Day | | | tù | | |
| Waja-Jen | | | | | |
| Jen | 20*5 | | | | |
| Longuda | | | | | pùlò(wé)/phulewé |
| Waja | | <10? | | | wɔn, bwa-tigε |
| Yungur | | | | (-ru) | |
| Laal | | 10-'big' | | | |

The fact that this term was massively borrowed (most likely simultaneously) from Fula and Arabic suggests that it was lacking in Proto-Adamawa. It can be assumed that the root ru attested in Bua and Yungur is also a borrowing, this time from Bagirmi $\dot{a}r\dot{u}$ 'hundred'.

4.6.7.13 'Thousand'

The term for 'thousand' was massively borrowed from Fula, Bagirmi and Hausa, which points to its absence in the proto-language.

Table 4.131: Adamawa stems and patterns for '1000'

| Fali-Yingilum | | < Fula |
|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Kam | ? | |
| Leko-Duru-Mumuye | | |
| Duru | | < Fula, < Hausa |
| Leko | 20*10? | < Fula |
| Mumuye | ? | |
| Mbum-Day | | |
| Bua | | < Bagirmi |
| Kim | | < Bagirmi |
| Mbum | 'sack', bag' | < Fula, < Bagirmi |
| Day | | < Bagirmi |
| Waja-Jen | | |
| Jen | ∫ik-1, 20-fe | |
| Longuda | ? | |
| Waja | kʊʊl, nèe/kú-néŋ, 100*10, | |
| | bi-kate, tedu | |
| Yungur | (100*10) | |
| Laal | | < Baguirmi, < Hausa |

4.7 Ubangi

What follows is a preliminary analysis of the evidence of five separate language groups including Ubangi-Banda, Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka, Ngbandi, Sere-Ngbaka-Mba (A. Ngbaka-Mba, B.Sere), and Zande.

4.7.1 Banda

The form gba 'ten' is traceable in the Mbanza (Mabandja) terms for tens.

4.7.2 Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka

Ives Moñino's reconstructions (Moñino 1995) are quoted in the table under an asterisk. Selected noteworthy forms are also included.

In the diachronical perspective, the forms *liito and *bua 'two' probably included noun class prefixes. They go back to *-too and *-wa respectively (cf.vax '2' in Gbaya Mbodomo).

Table 4.132: Numerals in Banda

| 1 | bàlē (bà-lē?) | 7 | 5+2 | | |
|---|---------------|------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | bi∫i (bi-∫i?) | 8 | 5+3, ngebedede | | |
| 3 | vo-ta | 9 | 5+4, 8+1 | | |
| 4 | và-nā | 10 | mó-rófō, bu-fu, 'two hands ','all | | |
| | | | the fingers',*gba | | |
| 5 | mī-ndū | 20 | 'one person', 'the whole person', | | |
| | | | 'body-person-all' | | |
| 6 | 5+1, gazala | 100 | ngàmbò/ngbàngbò,'five per- | | |
| | | | sons' , < Sango , < Lingala? | | |
| | | 1000 | < French 'sack', < Lingala? | | |

Table 4.133: Numerals in Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka

| 1 | *kpók/kpóm ;ndáŋ | 7 | *5+2 |
|---|----------------------------------|------|----------------------------|
| 2 | *bùà, *líítò; bùwá (bù-wá?)/vàχ, | 8 | *5+3; 4PL |
| | -too | | |
| 3 | *tàr(à) | 9 | *5+4;kùsì |
| 4 | *nár(á) | 10 | *6ú/6ú-k <u>ố</u> |
| 5 | *mòòró/mòr-kɔ̯́ | 20 | *10*2 |
| 6 | *5+1, (gàzὲlὲ) | 100 | *góm-màá ; < Lingala |
| | | 1000 | < French 'sack', < Lingala |

In his discussion of *mɔɔ̀rɔ́ Moñino states that "La variante *mɔɔ̀rɔ́ semble être une contraction de *mɔ̀r-kɔơ̄, dans laquelle on peut reconnaître l'élément kɔơ̄ 'main' ... " (Moñino 1995: 655). He also makes the folowing observation regarding the reconstruction of the term for 'ten': "*bú 'dix' est en relation avec *bú 'façonner, faire un cercle, joindre les mains'; la série partielle bú-kɔơ̄ est encore plus explicite, et décrit le geste qui accompagne l'énonciation du chiffre 10 chez tous les locuteurs" (Moñino 1995: 656)¹⁹. This is an important point, especially in view of the relatively frequent occurrence of bu in the NC languages and the possible etymological relationship between *bu⁄a and phonetically similar forms attested in other branches. However, such a relationship would be doubtful within Moñino's ety-

¹⁹However, in some Gbaya languages, these forms differ by tone: Gbaya (Roulon-Doko) 6ú '10' ~ 6u 'to tap; to applaud, to roll'.

mological hypothesis.

The following etymology is suggested for 'hundred' by Thomas Elvis Guenekean: "The word $g \hat{j} m$ means 'cut' or 'gathered' and \widehat{nma} : means 'things'." According to Moñino, the form literally means 'frapper-l'une l'autre (les mains)' (Moñino 1995: 657).

4.7.3 Ngbandi

The Ngbandi and Yakoma evidence points toward the reconstruction outlined in the table below (Table 4.134).

| Table 4.134: | Numerals | s in I | Ngbandi |
|--------------|----------|--------|---------|
| | | | |

| 1 | kɔ(i) | 7 | mbara-mbara |
|---|------------|------|-------------------|
| 2 | Sε | 8 | miambe/myòmbè |
| 3 | ta | 9 | gumbaya |
| 4 | sio/syo | 10 | sui, bàlé |
| 5 | kõ/kū | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | mana, mèrē | 100 | ngbangbo |
| | | 1000 | < Lingala, Arabic |

4.7.4 Sere-Ngbaka-Mba

Since the languages within this group are extremely divergent, it seems reasonable to treat the evidence from its two major sub-groups separately.

```
Ngbaka-Mba (Table 4.135)
Sere (Table 4.136)
Sere-Ngbaka-Mba (Table 4.137)
```

4.7.5 Proto-Ubangi

The evidence pertaining to each of the numerical terms is summarized below.

4.7.5.1 'One'

Two competing roots (*le/ne and *k(p)o(k)) are distinguishable here.

²⁰https://mpi-lingweb.shh.mpg.de/numeral/Gbaya-Bossangoa.htm

Table 4.135: Numerals in Ngbaka-Mba

| 1 | kpó-/kpáà-, ɓa-wɨ, bī-nì/bì-rì, ú- ma | 7 | 5+2, (mā-nāníkà, lè-rezi, zyálá, sábá), sílànā/sélènā/ʃíēnā (<4?) |
|---|--|------|---|
| 2 | bī∫-ì/ɓī-sī, ɓi-né/bí-de, gbwò | 8 | sénā (2*4?), gba-dzena/mā- dʒénà, (5+3, 10-2) |
| 3 | ba-ta/ba-la | 9 | 5+4, 10-1, (me-newá) |
| 4 | ba-na/ba-ɗa/ba-la | 10 | nzò kpā̯('head-hand')/àngbà, abusa |
| 5 | bu-ruwe/bu-luve/θuwe, ?eve/ve/vue | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | ſí-tà/si-ta (2*3), mā-dĩà/ká-zyá, $5+1$ | 100 | < Sango, < Lingala, 20*5, (mya, k ú ló, kpode, ngūndāngū) |
| | | 1000 | gyu, kutu, < Arabic, < French ('sack'), 100*10 |

Table 4.136: Numerals in Sere

| 1 | njẽe | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|------|------|-------------------------------|
| 2 | SO | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | tá?ò | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | nà?ò | 10 | 6ῗ-kürü , mu?6ì ('on hands') |
| 5 | vo | 20 | ʻkill-person-one' |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | 'kill-persons-five', < Arabic |
| | | 1000 | 100*10 |

Table 4.137: Sere-Ngbaka-Mba numeral system (*)

| 1 | kí-lī, sa | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|----------------------|------|------------------------------|
| 2 | ī-jō/ī-yō/úé | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | bíá-tá/ā-tā | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | lu, bīà-ngì ~ bīà-mà | 10 | ŋgbɔ̃/bà-wē |
| 5 | ì-sìbē/bī-sùè | 20 | 'people one' |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | ndōŋgbʉ́, ngbàngbù< Sango |
| | | 1000 | sákì/sākè (< Sango < French) |

Table 4.138: Ubangi stems for '1'

| Banda | bàlē (bà-lē?) | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------|------|------------------------|----|
| Gbaya-Manza-Ngb | , | kpó(k)/ (kpém) | ndáŋ | | | |
| Ngbandi | | kɔ(i) | | | | |
| Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | | | | | | |
| Ngbaka-Mba | 6ī-nì/ | kpó-/ | | | ɓa-w i ú-ma | |
| | bì-rì | kpáà- | | | | |
| Sere | | | | njẽe | | |
| Zande | kí-lī | | | | | sa |

4.7.5.2 'Two'

Table 4.139: Ubangi stems for '2'

| Banda | bi∫i (bi-∫i?) | | |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka | | bùwá (bù-wá?)/vàχ | -too |
| Ngbandi | Sε | | |
| Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | | | |
| Ngbaka-Mba | bī-∫ì/ɓī-sī | gbwò | 6i-né/bí-de |
| Sere | | | so |
| Zande | | | ī-jō/ī-yō/úé |

The only root widely attested within this family is *si/ſi.

4.7.5.3 'Three' and 'four'

The roots for 'three' and 'four' can be securely reconstructed as *taar and *naar respectively (with an alignment by analogy applied).

4.7.5.4 'Five'

The Proto-Ubangi form is unclear, since the term for 'five' is based on the lexical root meaning 'hand' (*k2) in two groups out of five. The only root whose attestations are not limited to a single group is *du(w)/lu(w).

Table 4.140: Ubangi stems for '3' and '4'

| | ' 3' | ' 4' | '4' |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Banda | və-ta | và-nā | |
| Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka | tààr | náár | |
| Ngbandi | ta | | sio/syo |
| Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | | | |
| Ngbaka-Mba | ba-ta/ba-la | ba-na/ba-ɗa/ba- | -la |
| Sere | tá?ò | nà?ò | |
| Zande | bíá-tá/ā-tā | | lu, bīà-ngì ~ bīà-mà |

Table 4.141: Ubangi stems for '5'

| Banda | mī-ndū | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------|---------------|
| Gbaya-Manza-Ngba | ka | mòr-(k) | ó | |
| Ngbandi | | kõ/kū | | |
| Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | | | | |
| Ngbaka-Mba | bu-ruwe/-luve/θuwe | e | ?eve ~ ve/v | rue |
| Sere | | | vo | |
| Zande | | | | ì-sìbē/bī-sùè |

4.7.5.5 'Six'

Table 4.142: Ubangi stems and patterns for '6'

| Banda Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka | 5+1 5+1 | ga-zala gà-zèlè | |
|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Ngbandi | | | ma-na, mè-rē |
| Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | | | |
| Ngbaka-Mba | 5+1 | mā-díà/ká-zyá | ∫í-tà/si-ta (2*3) |
| Sere | 5+1 | | |
| Zande | 5+1 | | |

In addition to forms that follow the common pattern $^{\circ}6=5+1^{\circ}$, a number of other forms of uncertain etymology are attested in the first two groups (and possibly in

Sere-Ngbaka-Mba as well, assuming that our morphological analysis of pertinent forms is correct).

4.7.5.6 'Seven'

Table 4.143: Ubangi stems and patterns for '7'

| Banda | 5+2 | |
|--------------------|-----|----------------------------------|
| Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka | 5+2 | |
| Ngbandi | | mbara-mbara |
| Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | | |
| Ngbaka-Mba | 5+2 | mā-nāníkà, lè-rezi, zyálá, sábá, |
| | | sílànā/sélènā/∫íēnā (<4?) |
| Sere | 5+2 | |
| Zande | 5+2 | |

The variety of forms attested in Ngbaka-Mba is noteworthy.

4.7.5.7 'Eight'

Table 4.144: Ubangi stems and patterns for '8'

| Banda | 5+3 | | ngebedede |
|--------------------|-----|-------------|---------------------------|
| Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka | 5+3 | 4PL | |
| Ngbandi | | | miambe/myòmbè |
| Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | | | |
| Ngbaka-Mba | 5+3 | sέnā (2*4?) | g͡ba-dzena/mā-dʒέnà, 10−2 |
| Sere | 5+3 | | |
| Zande | 5+3 | | |

4.7.5.8 'Nine'

Apparently, at the family level the common pattern '5+' should be assumed for the terms from 'six' to 'nine'. Isolated forms attested in groups and sub-groups are quoted here (as well as in the cases of other families) in order to collect exhaustive evidence for further etymological analysis. Moreover, a small chance that the

Table 4.145: Ubangi stems and patterns for '9'

| Banda | 5+4 | 8+1 |
|--------------------|-----|-----------------|
| Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka | 5+4 | kùsì |
| Ngbandi | | gumbaya |
| Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | | |
| Ngbaka-Mba | 5+4 | 10-1, (me-newá) |
| Sere | 5+4 | |
| Zande | 5+4 | |

Niger-Congo proto-form is traceable within only a single branch should not be ignored.

4.7.5.9 'Ten'

Table 4.146: Ubangi stems for '10'

| Banda | bu-fu | *gba | mó-rófō, ' two hands', 'all the |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | fingers' |
| Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka | 'personne' | | inigers |
| Obaya Manza Mgbaka | ('joindre les | | |
| | mains') | | |
| Ngbandi | , | | sui, bàlé |
| Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | | | |
| Ngbaka-Mba | | nzò-kpā 'head'- | a-busa |
| - | | 'hand')/à-ngbà | |
| Sere | | | βῗ-kürü, |
| | | | 'on hands' |
| Zande | | ŋgbỗ/bà-wē | |

The reconstruction of the term for 'ten' is so problematic that it raises doubts as to whether it was present in Proto-Ubangi at all. In view of the convincing internal etymology suggested by Ives Moñino, the root bu alternating with pu and fu in some of the NC families is an unlikely candidate. The reconstruction of bu is worth considering. However, the root may not be primary.

4.7.5.10 'Twenty'

Table 4.147: Ubangi stems and patterns for '20'

| Banda | 'one person', 'the whole person', 'l | oody-person-all' |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Gbaya-Manza-Ng | gbaka | 10*2 |
| Ngbandi | | 10*2 |
| Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | ı | |
| Ngbaka-Mba | | 10*2 |
| Sere | 'kill-person-one' | |
| Zande | 'people one' | |

Two reconstruction possibilities are available here, i.e. the pattern $^{\circ}20=10*2$ commonly attested in NC, and a derivation from the lexical term meaning $^{\circ}$ person'.

4.7.5.11 'Hundred'

Table 4.148: Ubangi stems and patterns for '100'

| Banda | ngàmbɔ̀/ngbàngbò | 'five persons'< Sango, < Bangala (< Lingala?) |
|-------------------|------------------|--|
| Gbaya-Manza-Ngbal | ca | 'cut/gathered'-'things'? 'clap hands'?, < Lingala |
| Ngbandi | ngbangbo | |
| Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | | |
| Ngbaka-Mba | | < Sango, < Lingala, 20*5, (mya, |
| | | k ú ló, kpode, ngūndāngū) |
| Sere | | 'kill-persons-five', < Arabic |
| Zande | ngbàngbù < Sango | ʻndōŋg͡b ú |

Most of the forms are apparent borrowings which suggests that the term for 'hundred' was absent in Proto-Ubangi.

Table 4.149: Ubangi stems and patterns for '1000'

| Banda | < French, < Lingala? | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| Gbaya-Manza-Ngbaka | < French, < Lingala, tómaỳ | |
| Ngbandi | < Lingala, < Arabic | |
| Sere-Ngbaka-Mba | | |
| Ngbaka-Mba | < Lingala, < Arabic, < French, 100*10 | gyu |
| Sere | 1000*10 | |
| Zande | < Sango < French | |

4.7.5.12 'Thousand'

The absence of the term for 'thousand' in Proto-Ubangi is even more evident than the absence of the term for 'hundred.'

4.8 Dogon and Bangime

A step-by-step reconstruction of Dogon numerals does not seem reasonable because the family is relatively homogeneous. In addition, the formal differences between the numerical terms do not seem to correlate with the internal genealogical classification of the Dogon languages. The table below offers an overview of the pertinent data (Table 4.150) and is followed by a brief commentary.

Table 4.150: Dogon numerals

| 1 | túrú/tumə, ti(i) | 7 | suli/soli/soye |
|---|---|------|--------------------------------|
| 2 | $l\acute{\epsilon}(y)/l\acute{\sigma}(y)/n\acute{\epsilon}(y)/n\acute{\sigma}(y)$ | 8 | gá(a)rà, sagi, sele (< Mande?) |
| 3 | taan | 9 | túwó |
| 4 | nay(n), kεεso | 10 | pérú/pélú |
| 5 | núnέέ(n)/nǔ:(yn)/nûm | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | kuro/kule | 100 | 80 (sîìŋ/súŋ) +20, < Fula |
| | | 1000 | 800 (múnú) +200 |

'One': Najamba-Kindige: kúndé '1', Mombo yè:tá:ŋgù '1'.

'Two': The forms with the nasal n- attested in several dialects are variants of the basic form with *l-. It should be noted that the final palatal element is systematically attested in other numerical terms, e.g. in Ben Tey (Table 4.151).

Table 4.151: Final palatal in '2'

| 2 | yěy | 6 | kúròy |
|---|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| 4 | nǐ:y ⁿ | 7 | súy ⁿ òy ⁿ |
| 5 | nùmǔy¹ | 8 | gá:rà y |

Regardless of whether this element is a morpheme or not, we are certainly dealing with a phonetic alignment by the final segment. Thus the final -y should not be reconstructed even in those forms that show its presence in the majority of languages.

'Three': This is a persistent form with only minor modifications applied to it (e.g. *taandu*, *taali*).

'Four': This is the only term for which the final palatal (probably nasalized) is potentially reconstructable. If so, systematic alignments by analogy attested in final segments of other numerals are probably based on the form of 'four'. The root $k\varepsilon\varepsilon so/k\varepsilon:j\delta/k\varepsilon:j\varepsilon y/c\varepsilon z\delta/y\varepsilon-c\varepsilon z\delta$ is probably an innovation (see, however, Jeff Heath who argues for its archaic nature²¹). The term may be etymologically connected to the term for 'eighty', cf. Najamba-Kindige $s\hat{\imath}m$, $k\varepsilon:s\check{\imath}m$, Tommo So $k\varepsilon s\check{\imath}m$ and a number of other related forms (Yorno So $d\delta g\delta-s\check{\imath}m$ '80', "Dogon hundred", Valentin Vydrin, p.c., Perge Tegu $d\delta g\delta-s\check{\imath}m$ '80', Yanda Dom $s\imath n$ '80' etc.).

'Five': The etymological connection of this term with the lexical root meaning 'hand' $n \hat{u} m \hat{a} / n \hat{u} m \hat{o} / n \hat{o} \tilde{y}$ is immediately apparent.

'Six' and 'seven' are probably primary terms.

'Eight'. The root sagi attested in Najamba and Yanda Dom was probably borrowed from Mande. The forms sila, seele observable in a number of dialects may be related to it. The root $g\acute{a}(a)r\grave{a}$ is commonly attested in the majority of languages of this group, sometimes with a partial reduplication (Donno So/Yorno So/Toro So ga-gara/ga-gira). Partial reduplication is a popular means of deriving 'eight' from 'four' commonly attested throughout NC. In view of the fact that the Dogon counting system is based on 8, this root should probably be compared to $g\grave{a}r\acute{a}$, meaning 'big, large, a large quantity, a lot, go beyond (limit), more, to a greater extent'. Tonal differences may be neglected in this case, especially since the derived forms tend to be formally marked, e.g. tonally.

'Hundred'. The basic 'large number' in Dogon is 'eighty' rather than 'hundred', so this meaning should probably be reconstructed for *siiŋ/suŋ*. In view of this,

²¹http://dogonlanguages.org/

the fact that the term for 'hundred' was borrowed from Fula in nearly all Dogon languages is not a coincidence.

'Thousand'. Similarly, the root munu (var. musu / muudsu) '800' incorporated into the pattern '1000=800+200' is reconstructed in Dogon.

The Bangime numeral system should also be considered here, since most of the numerical terms attested in this isolated language are comparable to those found in Dogon (Table 4.152).

| _ | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------|---------------------|
| 1 | tòré/tǐyέ (in counting) | 7 | kĭjé |
| 2 | jíndò | 8 | sàágín (< Mande?) |
| 3 | táárù | 9 | tégò |
| 4 | nìjé | 10 | kúré |
| 5 | nŭndí | 20 | tàáw̃á |
| 6 | kěré | 100 | tèèmèdéré (< Fula) |
| | | 1000 | mŭʒú |

Table 4.152: Bangime numerals

As in Dogon, the terms covering the sequence from 'six' to 'nine' are primary. An isolated root for 'forty' (also represented in some of the Dogon languages) is attested in Bangime. Interestingly, the root is the same as the one found in some of the Mande languages, cf. Bangime $d\grave{e}v\acute{e}$, Dogulu Dom (Dogon) $d\grave{e}\acute{e}$, Mombo (Dogon) $d\grave{e}\acute{e}$, Marka Dafing $d\acute{e}b\acute{e}$, Bozo $d\grave{e}b\acute{e}/$ $l\acute{e}w\grave{e}$, Bamana $d\grave{e}b\acute{e}$.

The root for 'ten' does not correspond to the one attested in Dogon. The latter finds a direct parallel in Boko (East Mande *kuri* 'ten'.

4.9 Gur

It should be noted that the Gur languages are extremely divergent in the majority of their numerical terms (including those that prove to be fairly persistent in other families). The approach we took for the evidence studied above (i.e. the establishing of the most common forms and their further comparison to the data from other branches) may not appear fruitful in the case of the Gur languages.

To deal with the problem, we are going to use the classification of the Gur languages found in *Ethnolog*, namely A. Bariba, B. Central, C. Kulango, D. Lobi, E. Senufo, F. Teen, G. Tiefo, H. Tusia, I. Viemo, J. Wara-Natioro²². The Gur fam-

²²This classification is accepted here with slight modifications based on recent studies. For in-

ily comprises nearly a hundred languages. In terms of the classification outlined above, their distribution is uneven. Seven groups (Bariba, Kulango, Lobi, Teen, Tiefo, Tusia, Viemo) have an isolated language as their only member. Similarly, Wara-Natioro is represented by only three idioms. This means that the majority of the Gur languages are split between the two remaining groups, i.e. Senufo and Central. The former is comprised of about fifteen languages and is relatively homogenous. Its affiliation to Gur is often considered doubtful. Compared to Central, which embraces the majority of the Gur languages (nearly seventy), this group is relatively small. Two major sub-groups are identifiable within Central, i.e. Northern (38 languages) with Oti-Volta (33 languages) as the dominant branch and Southern (31 languages) with its dominant branch of Grusi (23 languages). In other words, 71 of the Gur languages (out of a total of 91) belong to either Oti-Volta, Grusi or Senufo. In addition to that, there are more than ten branches represented by a single isolated language each. No evidence points to their possible affiliation with the major branches or to their inter-relationship. The same can probably be said about several isolated languages affiliated (often uncritically) with the Central group (the Bwamu, Kurumfe, Dogoso-Khe, Gan-Dogosé, and Kirma-Tyurama branches). This already complex picture gets even more sophisticated in view of the following:

- 1. Branches represented by one or two languages (e.g. Buli-Konni, Notre, Yom-Nawdm) are distinguishable even within the most reliably established bodies of genetically related languages of this family.
- 2. According to Ulrich Kleinewillinghöfer (p.c.), who is a renowned expert in both Gur and Adamawa comparative linguistics, a border between these two families is not clear at all. This means that some of the Gur branches may prove to be more closely related to Adamawa.

Our reconstruction of the Gur numeral system is based on nearly 120 sources that vary in regards to the evidence they offer (cf. our considerations above). By addressing one of the most problematic cases (i.e. the reconstruction of the Gur term for 'one') we hope to work out a general approach that will eventually allow further comparison of the Gur evidence to that of other NC families.

stance, Dyan and Lobi are treated as members of the same branch.

4.9.1 'One'

The table below lists several forms of the term for 'one' in smaller Gur branches (Table 4.153).

| Gurma | Grusi-Eastern | Grusi-Western |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Akaselem: m̀-bá | Bago-Kusuntu: ŋʊrʊkpákpá | Chakali: dígímáná |
| Bimoba: yènn | Chala: -re-, -dớndʊlʊŋ | Deg: beŋ-kpaŋ/kpee |
| Miyobe: n-ni (-sε) | Delo: daale | Phuie: déò/dùdúmí |
| Nateni: -cɔ̃, dèn | Kabiye: kớ-yớm | Sisaala: kờ-bàlá/dìáŋ |
| Ngangam: mi-kpìɛkm | Lama: kó-đớm | Winyé: n-do |

Table 4.153: Diversity of stems for '1' in Gur

A brief study of these examples raises doubts as to whether the Gur numeral system is reconstructable at all (not to mention the Grusi-Northern system or those of the more isolated Gur branches).

Even if we consider one syllable roots of the CV(C)-type only, the impression will remain that every concievable root for 'one' is attested in the Gur languages. However, none of these roots is traceable in at least half of the Gur groups. This situation is reflected in the matrix below (Table 4.154).

Table 4.154: Distribution of the CV(C)- forms for '1' in the Gur lan-

| guages | | ` , | |
|--------|---|-----|---|
| | I | A | U |

| | I | A | U |
|---------------------------------|------|-----|------|
| P (p/f) | _ | _ | _ |
| B (b/w/m) | 3/5 | 1/4 | 1/1? |
| T (t) | 1/1 | 2/2 | _ |
| $D \left(\frac{d}{l} \right) $ | 3/16 | _ | 3/13 |
| C (c/s) | _ | _ | 1/1 |
| J(j/y/ny) | 1/18 | 1/1 | 1/1 |
| K(k/h/x) | 2/5 | 1/2 | 2/4 |
| G (g/ŋ) | 1/5 | 1/1 | 1/1 |

The first figure refers to the number of groups where a form is attested (with a maximum of 10 groups), whereas the second one refers to the number of lan-

guages. Thus, B-I denotes a form comprising a voiced labial consonant (b, w or m) and a front vowel that is attested in five languages within three groups (Central, Lobi-Dyan and Senufo) (Table 4.155).

Table 4.155: BI- forms for '1' in Gur (3 groups, 5 languages)

| béé | Ditammari B. Central | | 1. Northern | C. Oti-Volta | ii. Eastern |
|---------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| bìὲ- | Lobi | D. Lobi-Dyan | | | |
| bềg | Dyan | D. Lobi-Dyan | | | |
| nì-bín | Cebaara | E. Senufo | | | |
| nan-bin | Shempire | E. Senufo | | | |

The remaining forms are quoted below as an illustration of their extreme divergency.

(1) a. BA (1/4) (Table 4.156).

Table 4.156: BA- forms for '1' in Gur (1 group, 4 languages)

| M-bá | Akaselem | B. Central | 1. Northern | C. Oti-Volta | Gurma |
|---------|----------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|
| bàa | Konkomba | B. Central | 1. Northern | C. Oti-Volta | Gurma |
| mi-ba | Ngangam | B. Central | 1. Northern | C. Oti-Volta | Gurma |
| ǹbá/-bό | Ntcham | B. Central | 1. Northern | C. Oti-Volta | Gurma |

- b. BU (1/1): only pú-wò (possibly púw-ò, PU?) in Wara (J.Wara-Natioro)
- c. TI (1/1): only *tía* in Baatonum (A.Bariba)
- d. TA (2/2) (Table 4.157).

Table 4.157: TA- forms for '1' in Gur

| ta, taà, tãằ | Kulango (dial.) | C.Kulango |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| tani | Teen (dial.) | F.Teen |

e. DI (3/15) (Table 4.158).

Table 4.158: DI- forms for '1' in Gur

| dè | Bwamu (Boore) | B. Central | 1. Northern | A. Bwamu | |
|-------|------------------|------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| nni | Miyobe | B. Central | 1. Northern | C. Oti-Volta | iii. Gurma |
| dèn | Nateni | B. Central | 1. Northern | C. Oti-Volta | iii. Gurma |
| lé | Khe Southern | B. Central | 2. Southern | A. Dogoso-Khe | |
| í-lèŋ | Khisa | B. Central | 2. Southern | C. Gan-Dogose | |
| re- | Chala | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | i. Eastern |
| dííŋ | Paasaal | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | iii. Western |
| déò | Phuie | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | iii. Western |
| dìáŋ | Sisaala (dial.) | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | iii. Western |
| dìén | Sisaala (dial.) | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | iii. Western |
| diige | Tampulma | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | iii. Western |
| déiŋ | Kirma | B. Central | 2. Southern | E. Kirma-Tyurama | |
| dẽẽn- | Turka | B. Central | 2. Southern | E. Kirma-Tyurama | |
| nò-ni | Karaboro (dial.) | E. Senufo | | | |
| dἒ | Tiefo (dial.) | G. Tiefo | | | |

f. **DU** (3/13) (Table 4.159)

Table 4.159: DU- forms for '1' in Gur

| dòù | Bwamu | B. Central | 1. Northern | A. Bwamu | 1 |
|-------|----------------|------------|-------------|----------|--------------|
| dòòn | Bwamu | B. Central | 1. Northern | A. Bwamu | 1 |
| dò | Láá Láá | B. Central | 1. Northern | A. Bwamu | 1 |
| rσ | Chala | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | i. Eastern |
| kà-lờ | Kasem (dial.)1 | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | ii. Northern |
| kà-lʊ | Kasem (dial.)2 | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | ii. Northern |
| è-dù | Lyele | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | ii. Northern |
| ù-dù | Northern Nuni | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | ii. Northern |
| nà-dờ | Southern Nuni | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | ii. Northern |
| n-do | Winyé | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | iii. Western |
| nú-nu | Nafaanra | E. Senufo | | | |
| dũde | Viemo | I.Viemo | | | |

g. CU (1/2): only $m\grave{a}\text{-}c\acute{\tilde{z}}$ in Nateni (Central: 1. Northern: C.Oti-Volta: iii. Gurma

h. JI (1/19) (Table 4.160)

Table 4.160: CI- forms for '1' in Gur

| yéŋ/ wà-ɲī | ī Buli | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta i. Buli-Koma |
|------------|-----------------|--|
| ỹen | Mbelime | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta ii. Eastern |
| yènn | Bimoba | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iii. Gurma |
| yèn- | Gurma | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iii. Gurma |
| jèn'n | Moba | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iii. Gurma |
| bõ-yén | Birifor (dial.) | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iv. Western |
| bo-yæn | Birifor (dial.) | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iv. Western |
| bõ-yen | Dagaara | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iv. Western |
| | (dial.) | |
| yén- | Dagaara | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iv. Western |
| | (dial.) | |
| yén | Farefare | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iv. Western |
| yé | Moore | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iv. Western |
| bʊ-ŋjìŋ | Wali | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iv. Western |
| yín | Dagbani | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iv. Western |
| | (Dagomba) | |
| yın- | Hanga | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iv. Western |
| yín | Kamara | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iv. Western |
| yén- | Kantosi | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iv. Western |
| yín | Mampruli | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta iv. Western |
| nyěŋ | Yom (Pila) | B. Central 1. Northern C. Oti-Volta v. Yom-Nawdm |

i. JA (1/1) – only \grave{a} -y \grave{a} ? in Safaliba (B. Central: 1. Northern: C.Oti-Volta: iv. Western)

j. JU (1/1) – only $y \grave{o} n$ in Waama (B. Central: 1. Northern: C.Oti-Volta: ii. Eastern)

k. KI (2/5) (Table 4.161)

Table 4.161: KI- forms for '1' in Gur

| m̀-hén | Nawdm | B. Central | 1. Northern | C. Oti-Volta | v. Yom-Nawdm |
|---------|---------|------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| kpee | Deg | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | iii. Western |
| kpéé | Vagla | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | iii. Western |
| nì-kằ | Sìcìté | E. Senufo | | | |
| | Senufo | | | | |
| nìŋ-kìn | Supyire | E. Senufo | | | |
| | Senufo | | | | |

l. KA (1/2) (Table 4.162)

Table 4.162: KA- forms for '1' in Gur

| beŋ-kpaŋ | Deg | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | iii. Western |
|----------|-------|------------|-------------|----------|--------------|
| kpáŋ | Vagla | B. Central | 2. Southern | D. Grusi | iii. Western |

m. KU (2/3) (Table 4.163)

Table 4.163: KU- forms for '1' in Gur

| kpò | Khe (dial.) | B. Central | 2. Southern | A. Dogoso-Khe |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| tì-kpó? | Dogose | B. Central | 2. Southern | C. Gan-Dogose |
| t ^h i-kpo | Kaansá | B. Central | 2. Southern | C. Gan-Dogose |
| nú-kú | Toussian | H. Tusia | | |
| | (dial.) | | | |

n. GI (1/5) (Table 4.164)

Table 4.164: GI- forms for '1' in Gur

| niŋ-gbe nī-gbe | Palaka Senufo Nyarafolo Senufo | E. Senufo E. Senufo |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| ni-gῒ/ni-gĩ | Mamara Senufo (Minyanka) | E. Senufo |
| nin-gin | Shempire Senufo | E. Senufo |
| nu-gbe | Tagwana Senufo | E. Senufo |

- o. GA (1/1) only *nun-gba* in Djimini Senufo (E. Senufo).
- p. GU (1/1) only $gb\acute{u}$ in Northern Khe (B. Central: 2. Southern: A. Dogoso-Khe).

The only lacuna in this presentation is due to the lack of forms with voiceless labial consonants (this, however, may not prove true in the case of Wara-Natioro, as we hope to demonstrate below). It should be noted that the general distribution pattern is that a single form is attested in one branch out of ten, three forms are found in both two and three branches, and none of the forms is recorded in four or more branches. This makes an attempt at tracing them down to a source form (with its further comparison to the evidence of the other families) unreasonable. In view of the genetic classification of the Gur languages and the considerations presented above, the optimum solution to the problem probably lies within separate reconstructions of numerals in the following sixteen Gur branches that belong to ten major language groups of this family, assuming that each of them may shed some new light on the reconstruction of the Niger-Congo numeral system:

- 1. Bariba
- 2. Central: 1. Northern: A. Bwamu
- 2. Central: 1. Northern: B. Kurumfe
- 2. Central: 1. Northern: C. Oti-Volta
- 2. Central: 2. Southern: A. Dogoso-Khe
- 2. Central: 2. Southern: C. Gan-Dogose
- 2. Central: 2. Southern: D. Grusi
- 2. Central: 2. Southern: E. Kirma-Tyurama
- 3. Kulango
- 4. Lobi-Dyan
- 5. Senufo
- 6. Teen
- 7. Tiefo

Contents

- 8. Tusia
- 9. Viemo
- 10. Wara-Natioro.

Numerical terms as attested in each of these branches will be examined below.

4.9.2 Bariba

Table 4.165: Bariba numerals

| | rie | 7 | |
|---|-------|------|---------|
| 1 | tiā | 7 | 5+2 |
| 2 | ru | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | i-ta | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | 'n-nε | 10 | wə-kuru |
| 5 | nòobù | 20 | yεndu |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | 20*5 |
| | | 1000 | fòròto? |

4.9.3 Central Gur

4.9.3.1 Northern Central Gur

4.9.3.1.1 Bwamu

Table 4.166: Bwamu numerals

| 1 | do | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|-------|------|-------------------------|
| 2 | рū | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | tĩ | 9 | di̇̃iní/dènú |
| 4 | náa | 10 | pílú/píru/ʾbúrúù |
| 5 | hò-nú | 20 | βóní/βénle/kēwēníì |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | kʰĩminù (< Mande keme) |
| | | 1000 | 100*10, muaseé |

4.9.3.1.2 Kurumfe

Table 4.167: Kurumfe numerals

| 1 | dom | 7 | p̃ε̃ε |
|---|------|------|--------------------|
| 2 | hĩĩ | 8 | too |
| 3 | tãã | 9 | fa |
| 4 | nãã | 10 | fī |
| 5 | nom | 20 | sofe (<10?) |
| 6 | hʊrʊ | 100 | bεrʊ |
| | | 1000 | tซรrī < from Moore |

4.9.3.1.3 Oti-Volta

i. Buli-Koma (Table 4.168)

Table 4.168: Buli-Koma numerals

| 1 | yéŋ (adj.), ní (count) | 7 | yòpāāī, pối̇̀ |
|---|------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | yè, li | 8 | nāāniŋ/à-nîì (<* 4 redupl., 4PL?) |
| 3 | tà | 9 | nèūk/ỳwέ |
| 4 | nààsì/nísà | 10 | pī/bâŋ |
| 5 | nù | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | yùèbì/óbìŋ | 100 | kòòk, kobīga/bórà |
| | | 1000 | < Engl. |

ii. Eastern (Table 4.169)

Table 4.169: Eastern Oti-Volta numerals

| 1 | cērē, béé, dènnì (counting), yɛ̃nde/yòn, *de | 7 | pèléī/bérén, yīēkà/nyiekε, doodē (6+1) |
|---|---|-------------|---|
| 2 | dyā, dέέ, diání/dεεni, yēdē/yέndí | 8 | nēī/ǹ̀eí/ni/ninyē̃ |
| 3 | tâati/tâadi/tāārī | 9 | wáī/wɛi/wē |
| 4 | naa(si) | 10 | pwígē/pííkà/piíkε/piitε , *pi |
| 5 | num(mu)/nun | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | kūà/kuɔ, dūo, hằdwàm, kpàrùn | 100 1000 | kòyō/kookε/kɔ́úkpà/kɔ̀ɔ̀tà túsírè |

Please note the extreme divergency of languages within this branch: the variety of forms presented in the table above are attested in only four languages, i.e. Biali, Ditammari, Mbelime and Waama.

iii. Gurma (Table 4.170)

Table 4.170: Gurma numerals

| 1 | bá, yènn(do), den (isol.: ni, cɔ̃) | 7 | lòlé/lèlé (isol.: sééi, yehì) |
|---|------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | le/dɛ́/tɛ́ | 8 | ni(n) |
| 3 | tà | 9 | wè?/wéɛ/wɔ̂i/wáī |
| 4 | nà(hì) | 10 | píík/p ^w í?/fi/pita |
| 5 | mù/nùṁ/nu(pũ)/ŋùn | 20 | 10*2 (isol.: kòó, mùỳkú < mande?) |
| 6 | loòb/luu, kòdì/kouulǘ | 100 | kúb (isol.: pílɛ, kɔ̀ta) |
| | | 1000 | < kùtùkú'sack', borrowing |

iv. Western (Table 4.171)

Table 4.171: Western Oti-Volta numerals

| 1 | yen/yin, dam?, (dàkố?) | 7 | yopoi (< yo-poi?) |
|---|------------------------|------|----------------------|
| 2 | yi(?) | 8 | nii(n) |
| 3 | ta | 9 | way/wey |
| 4 | naasi/naar/nãan | 10 | pia/pie |
| 5 | nú | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | yobu | 100 | kob/kəə |
| | | 1000 | tur/tudi (borrowed?) |

v. Yom-Nawdm (Table 4.172)

Table 4.172: Yom-Nawdm numerals

| 1 | hén, nyěŋ- /nyěrɣə- | 7 | lèblé? (<6?), 5+2 |
|---|-------------------------|-----|-------------------|
| 2 | li/ré?/*rya? | 8 | nì:ndí; 10-2 |
| 3 | ta/tâ? | 9 | wέ?, 10-1 |
| 4 | naa/nὲὲsǝ | 10 | ?rí?, feya |
| 5 | nu | 20 | 2PL |
| 6 | ṃ̀rò:ndí (X+1?), lèèwòr | 100 | lémú, wor- |

Proto-Oti-Volta The evidence of five Oti-Volta branches (isolated forms excluded) is summarized in Table 4.173.

Table 4.173: Numerals in Proto-Oti-Volta

| | i. Buli- Koma | ii. Eastern | iii. Gurma | iv. Western | v. Yom- Nawdm | *Proto- Oti-Volta |
|-----|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | yéŋ, ní | dènnì, yɛ̃nde/ yòn, *de | yènn(do), den, ni | yen/ yin, dam | hén, nyěŋ | den/ yen, ni, de? |
| 2 | yè, li | dέέ(ni), yēdē | le/ dέ | yi(?) | li/ ré?/ *rya? | li/ yi |
| 3 | tà | tâati | tà | ta | ta | ta(t) |
| 4 | nààsì | naa(si) | nà(hì) | naasi | naa/ nèèsè | naa(si) |
| 5 | nù | nun | nùṁ/ nu/ ŋùn | nú | nu | nu |
| 6 | yùèbì/ óbìŋ | dūo | loòb/ luu | yobu | lèèw-èr | lob/ yob |
| 7 | yòpāāī, pối̇̀ | dood̄ε (6+1) | lòlé/ lèlé | yopoi | lèblé? | *lob-le (6+1)? poi(n)? |
| 8 | nāāniŋ/ à-níì | nềí/ ni/ ninyễ | ni(n) | nii(n) | nì:ndí | ni |
| 9 | nèūk/ ŋwé | wáī/ wεi/ wē | wὲʔ/ wέε/ wáī | way/ wey | wé? | wey/ we? |
| 10 | pī | pwígā/ pííkà/ *pi | píík/ p ^w í?/ fi | pia/ pie | fεγa | pi(k) |
| 20 | 10*2 | 10*2 | 10*2 | 10*2 | 2PL | 10*2 |
| 100 | kòòk, kobīga | kòγ̄ə/ kookε/ kɔ́úkpà | kúb | kob/ kɔɔ | lémú, wʊr- | kob, kook |

The reconstruction of the Oti-Volta numeral system is surprisingly unproblematic. In addition to the expectedly persistent reflexes of 'three' and 'four', homogeneous forms for 'two', 'five', and 'ten' are noteworthy. The term for 'eight' seems to be based on 'four' (either via the partial reduplication or according to the '4PL' pattern). In addition to that, Oti-Volta is characterized by the presence of the primary (homogeneous) forms of 'six', 'eight', and 'nine'. The forms of 'seven' are probably derived and follow the pattern '6+1'. It appears that the derivative form *lob-le > lole is already reconstructable at the Proto-Oti-Volta level.

4.9.3.2 Southern Central Gur

4.9.3.2.1 Dogoso-Khe

Table 4.174: Dogoso-Khe numerals

| 1 | kpò, lé | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|---------|------|--------------|
| 2 | jɔ(n) | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | tho | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | dáa | 10 | kpélé |
| 5 | no(n) | 20 | cúkúrì/gờʊsì |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | 20*5 |
| | | 1000 | kpέ |

The forms pertaining to these languages that are not present in the main databases are quoted according to Kerstin Winkellmann in (Miehe; Reineke; Winkelmann 2007b: 181-210). Although the numerals attested within the two languages of this group are quite persistent, Kerstin Winkellmann stresses their grammatical difference: "... while Dogo-so uses noun suffixes, so-Khe is a prefixing language" (Winkellmann 2007d: 209).

4.9.3.2.2 Gan-Dogose

Table 4.175: Gan-Dogose numerals

| 1 | kpo/po, (lèŋ) | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|-----------------------|------|--------------------------------------|
| 2 | y <u>ó/μο/ð͡ʒ</u> ðη | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | sáa/t ^h 3? | 9 | 5+4, 10-1 |
| 4 | nee/ì-yji, (á-dàa) | 10 | (kpoogo, gbùnè, kpélé, sí-nỡy - 5PL) |
| 5 | mwã/wàa, nồn | 20 | gbeere, (t∫úkúrì) |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | 20*5 |
| | | 1000 | kpíε 'a goat' |

Three of the languages belonging to this branch show too many forms, suggesting that we are dealing with a heterogeneous branch. In view of its numerical terms, it is not immediately apparent why this branch has been singled out.

4.9.3.2.3 Grusi

i. *Eastern Grusi (Table 4.176)

Table 4.176: Eastern Grusi numerals (*)

| 1 | dəm/lòm/yóm, re/ɔ́de | 7 | ပြောင်, 6+1, 4+3, 10-3 |
|---|-------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|
| 2 | la/lè | 8 | 4 redupl., 4PL, 10-2, toozo, (kpèèrè) |
| 3 | tòòsó/tooro | 9 | 10-1, isolated forms |
| 4 | násá/naara | 10 | fu, (nớá - 5PL, sàlá) |
| 5 | nʊ́/nʊ́ŋ, kpásì/gbấnzì | 20 | ko/kuo/koowu, (sao, nεέlè, 10*2) |
| 6 | lodò/looro/lèèjò, (3PL) | 100 | 20*5, < Ewe, ('guinea fowl') |
| | | 1000 | kòtòkó, kpoŋ |

ii. *Northern Grusi (Table 4.177)

Table 4.177: Northern Grusi numerals (*)

| 1 | du/lu, (téngí) | 7 | pὲ, (4+3, 5+2) |
|---|----------------|------|----------------------------------|
| 2 | le/lə̀/(ɲìí) | 8 | nānā (4 redupl.), (lyɛlɛ, bàndá) |
| 3 | tò/twà/cóò | 9 | nờgʊ, nìbu, (10-X) |
| 4 | na/nīān/nàas | 10 | fúgá, (fo) |
| 5 | nu | 20 | 10*2, (sāpōā, 10+10, swéní) |
| 6 | dờ, (5+pi) | 100 | bi, (zŏm) |
| | | 1000 | mừrờ |

iii. *Western Grusi (Table 4.178)

Table 4.178: Western Grusi numerals (*)

| 1 | kpáŋ/kpee, bala, do/deo/dííŋ/digi | 7 | lʊp,pέέ/piε , 5+2 |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|---|
| 2 | lε/nε/lìε | 8 | córí/kyórí, 5+3, (pɔɔ) |
| 3 | toro | 9 | némé/nìbí, 10-1, 5+4 |
| 4 | naa/naasi/naare | 10 | fi |
| 5 | nue/nwɔ̃/nòŋ | 20 | mέrέ, mʊgɔ́ (< Mande?), |
| 6 | lờrờ/*lug/dờ, 5+1, (go) | 100 1000 | (máágí, toko, ma-cu?) kòwá/kòó, zóló, lafa gboŋ/bớí |

The most probable *Proto-Grusi reconstructions based on the roots attested in at least two Grusi branches are summarized in the table below (Table 4.179).

Table 4.179: Proto-Grusi numeral system (*)

| 1 | do/du/lu, de/re | 7 | pε/lʊ-pε/lʊ-bε, 5+2 |
|---|---------------------|------|-----------------------------|
| 2 | lε/le/ne/ni | 8 | 4 redupl. |
| 3 | toro/toso/tɔ | 9 | 10-1, nibi/nibu (ni-bi/bu?) |
| 4 | naare/naasi/na | 10 | fu/fi |
| 5 | nu/nʊ | 20 | 10*2? |
| 6 | dv/lo-do/lo-ro, 5+1 | 100 | 20*5? bi? kɔwa/kɔɔ? |
| | | 1000 | kpoŋ/gboŋ |

4.9.3.2.4 Kirma-Tyurama

Table 4.180: Kirma-Tyurama numerals

| 1 | déiŋ/dẽẽná | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|------------|------|---------------------|
| 2 | hấĩ/hãl | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | síεi/siεl | 9 | 5+4, 10-1 |
| 4 | na(a) | 10 | nűɔ̈́sɔ̈̀/cḯŋcíelùó |
| 5 | di | 20 | kómòrré/gur̃ |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | gundi, 20*5 |
| | | 1000 | 200*5, 800+200 |

4.9.4 Kulango

The source form of the term for 'one' with a nasalized vowel is reconstructed on the basis of the evidence presented by Stefan Elders (2007: 323). As we have seen, the Gur term for 'five' is reconstructed as *nu on the basis of the evidence provided by the groups discussed above. It should be noted that this form goes back to the lexical root meaning 'hand' (Kulango nu- $g\dot{o}$). The term for 'ten' in Kulango is a reduplicated *nu, whereas a different root is attested for 'five'. It is also noteworthy that the terms for 'two', 'three', 'hundred' and 'thousand' are borrowed from Mande.

Table 4.181: Kulango numeral system

| 1 | ta(a) < *taà | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|----------------------|------|-----------------------------|
| 2 | bila(< Mande), nyʊờ | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | sããbe (< Mande) | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | na | 10 | nuunu (< *5redupl.), *ji/yi |
| 5 | tə | 20 | yipì-/dʒipi- |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | kεmὲ (< Mande) |
| | | 1000 | wulo (< Mande) |

4.9.5 Lobi-Dyan

According to Anthony Naden's classification (Naden 1989), these languages belong to different groups of the Gur languages, so their evidence will be presented separately.

"More recent classifications (Labouret and Manessy) regarded Lobi (Lobiri) and Jaane as closely related" (Miehe & Tham 2007: 212) (Table 4.182).

Table 4.182: Lobi-Dyan numerals

| | Lobi | Dyan | *Lobi-Dyan |
|------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | bìèl, *do | bɛ̃g/b͡g(ŋ)kù/bɪɛle, *dù | bıèl, *dò |
| 2 | nyò/nò | nẏ̀ | nyò(n) |
| 3 | t ^h ěr | thềs(i) | thḕs(i)/tʰěr |
| 4 | nấ | nàà | nấ |
| 5 | mɔ̀ì/*mà | dìèmà, *mɔ̀lɔ̀ | mòì/*mà/*mòlò, dìèmà, |
| 6 | 5+1 | 5+1 | 5+1 |
| 7 | 5+2 | 5+2 | 5+2 |
| 8 | 5+3 | 5+3 | 5+3 |
| 9 | 10-1 | 10-1 | 10-1 |
| 10 | nyờớr | ni-kpo | ni-kpo, nyờớr |
| 20 | kpèle | ceeru | kpèle, ceeru |
| 100 | tàmâ | tàmúgú | tàmâ |
| 1000 | gbờlanı | 100*10 | gbʊ̀lanı, 100*10 |

4.9.6 Senufo

Table 4.183: Senufo numerals

| 1 | nòn-, ni- | 7 | 5+2, 6+1 |
|---|---|------|--|
| | ngbe/nungba, nìkῒ/ningin | | |
| 2 | sin/soin/sun/syen | 8 | 5+3, 6+2 |
| 3 | tầã/taàr | 9 | 5+4, 10-1, 6+3 |
| 4 | tésyàr/sīcērē/tityere | e 10 | kε |
| 5 | bwa/bwɔ, guru/kuru (<'fist'), guno, (nɔ) | 20 | gbèn/ḡbēy, fulo, toko/togo, nafa, isolated forms |
| 6 | kwaṇ/kwāy, gbaara, gɔlɔŋ , 5+1, (nõli) | 100 | 20*5, lafa (< Kwa) |
| | | 1000 | 200*5, (gben-, bɔlɔ, pwoo, sakere) |

Many of the forms are quoted in brackets, i.e. they are isolated forms attested within the Senufo group comprising about fifteen idioms. As in a number of other Gur branches, the last syllable/segment of a numerical term often represents a coordinating noun class suffix. Below is an excerpt from the table showing the inflection of numerals by class in Tenyer (Syer variety), as published by Klaudia Dombrowsky-Hahn in (Miehe; Reineke; Winkelmann 2007a:420) (Table 4.184).

Table 4.184: Tenyer numerals (a fragment)

| Class SG | u | li | ke | te dim. |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 'one' | nun | nuni | nuŋ | nunge |
| Class PL | pi | ki | yi | te dim. |
| 'two' 'three' 'four' | syob ~ syou trab tikyireb | syã tar tihyer | syii tar tihyɛr | syimbi tarbi tihyerbi |

This presentation illustrates how problematic defining the numerical roots can be.

4.9.7 Teen

Table 4.185: Teen numerals

| 1 | tani | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 2 | nyor | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | sanr | 9 | 10-1 |
| 4 | nan | 10 | pərwə |
| 5 | to | 20 | toko |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | 20*5 |
| | | 1000 | danye |

4.9.8 Tiefo

Table 4.186: Tiefo numerals

| 1 | dề | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|-----------|------|----------------|
| 2 | jõ | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | sấ | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | ?u?໌ກ່ງວວ | 10 | támú, kẽ |
| 5 | kằ | 20 | kpã |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | 20*5 |
| | | 1000 | waga (< Mande) |

4.9.9 Tusia

Table 4.187: Tusia numerals

| 1 | nónkì, *nỗŋ | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|-------------|------|------------------------|
| 2 | nínó, *nῗŋ | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | tốnó | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | ńyấh/jẫ | 10 | gbãm/*gbɔ̃/bwɔ̀ |
| 5 | k(w)ló | 20 | túkúrí, *tiki |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | 20*5, kwἔ |
| | | 1000 | < píy 'goat', nấ''cow' |

4.9.10 Viemo

Table 4 188: Viemo numerals

| 1 | dũde, *dun- | 7 | 5+2? |
|---|-------------|------|----------|
| 2 | niinĩ | 8 | 4*2, 5+3 |
| 3 | sãsĩ | 9 | 10-1 |
| 4 | jumĩ | 10 | kwəmũ |
| 5 | kuεge, *k2 | 20 | fereyo |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | tãmõ |
| | | 1000 | vie-? |

4.9.11 Wara-Natioro

It should be noted that the most important evidence pertaining to this group is relatively recent. In his publication of the comparative lexical list Tasséré Sawadogo noted that Faniagara is radically different from both Wara and Natioro (Sawadogo 2002). Its similarity index with the Natioro and Wara dialects is 12 and 30 percent respectively (the SIL list? idem., p. 15). Thus he had every reason to postulate the existence of an isolated language (Palen) in the Wara-Natioro group.

Since the data collected by Tasséré Sawadogo is absent from the major databases that are now incorporated into the RefLex database by Guillaume Segerer, it seems reasonable to present it below for each Wara-Natioro-Paleni idiom in order to suggest the reconstruction of numerical terms within each of the three sub-groups and within the group as a whole (Table 4.189).

According to other sources, the forms $w\tilde{a}/nw\tilde{o}$, so are attested in Wara-Natioro for 'twenty'. The patterns '20*5' and '400*2+200' are attested for 'hundred' and 'thousand' respectively.

 $^{^{23}}$ Regarding the Natioro forms for 'one' André Prost remarks: 'puwolo (après un substantif: kaaba)' (Prost 1968: 78). Thus, the opposition between the Wara and Natioro forms of 'one' reflected in the table may be purely functional (for Wara Prost quotes the puwo and kapo forms).

Table 4.189: Wara-Natioro-Paleni numerals

| | | '1' | ' 2' | '3' | ' 4' | ·5' |
|---------|----------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|--------|
| Matiana | Dimagna | | | | | |
| | Dinaoro | ká:bà | pấndấ * 1′ | táe | ŋnáe ź | sùsú |
| Natioro | | ká:bà | pấndí * 1 | tá | nấ | sùsú |
| | Kawara | kābà | pridí | tá | ná | sùsú |
| *Natior |) | ká:bà | pấndí | tá(é) | ná (é) | sùsú |
| | | (ka-ba?) | | . 4 | | |
| Wara? | Sourani | рэ́ | bš | tấ | nàsá | sùsú |
| Wara | Negeni | kàpó | bŏ | tī́: | nấ:sắ | sùsú |
| Wara | Niansogoni | pڻ:wò | bŏ | tí: | ná:só | sùsú |
| *Wara | | рэ́ | bŏ, *nῗntó | tấ(i) | naaso | sùsú, |
| Palɛn | Faniagara | káfā | bá | tấ:ré | ná:ré | sùsú |
| *Palɛn | Faniagara | ká-fā | bá, *nî́nté | tấ:ré | ná:ré | sùsú, |
| | | | | | | *si/sɔ |
| *Wara- | | ba/fa, | nînté, bŏ | ta(r)i | na(r)i | sùsú, |
| Natioro | - | рэ | | | | sV |
| Paleni | | | | | | |
| | | ' 6' | ' 7' | ' 8' | ' 9' | '10' |
| Natioro | Dinaoro | ŋzàbś | té:ndé | nấŋgànấŋgánầ | kâwó | pwà: |
| Natioro | Timba | ὴzà:bś | dé:ndí | náŋgánáŋgánì | kāwòmű | pwź: |
| Natioro | Kawara | nsàbó | tèndí | nàŋgānàŋgádí | kàwữmò | рэ́ |
| *Nation |) | nsàbó | téndí | 4+4 | kawo | p(w)ś |
| | | (sa- | | | | 1 () |
| | | 1?) | | | | |
| Wara? | Sourani | sùrpó | sūrùdó | sì̇̀ntấ | sì̇̀n:á | kần:sú |
| Wara | Negeni | sírípò | sínī̇̃ntó | sīntí | sīn:á:sű | kầ:sấ |
| Wara | Niansogoni | sírìpò | sùrùntó | sī:ntí: | sín:ấ:sű | kầ:sấ |
| *Wara | - :-uiio 60iii | si-1 | si-2 | si-3 | si-4 | kầ:sấ |
| Palen | Faniagara | sĩnĩfà | sînînté | sōtá:ré | sōn:á:ré | fá |
| *Palen | Faniagara | si-1 | si-2 | s5-3 | s5-4 | fá |
| *Wara- | 0 | | 5+2, téndí? | 5+3, 4+4 | 5+4, kawo? | p(w)ɔ/ |
| Natioro | _ | 5+1 | 5 -2, tendi: | J.J, 171 | Jrt, Kawu: | fo, |
| Paleni | | | | | | kầ:sấ? |
| raieiii | | | | | | Ka:sa! |

4.9.12 Proto-Gur

4.9.12.1 'One'

The main forms of 'one' reconstructable in sixteen branches of Gur are as follows (Table 4.190).

Table 4.190: Stems for '1' in Gur

| A. Bariba | | | | tiā | |
|------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|----------------------|---------|
| B. Central: | do | | | | |
| 1. Northern | | | | | |
| A. Bwamu | | | | | |
| B. Kurumfe | dom | | | | |
| C. *Proto-Oti-Volta | | den/ | | | ni |
| | | yen, | | | |
| | | de? | | | |
| Southern | | le | kpò | | |
| A. Dogoso-Khe | | | | | |
| C. Gan-Dogose | | lèŋ | kpo/ | | |
| | | | po | | |
| D. *Proto-Grusi | do/ du/ lu | de/ re | | | |
| E. Kirma-Tyurama | | déiŋ/ | | | |
| | | dẽẽná | | | |
| C. Kulango | | | | $ta(a) < ta \hat{a}$ | |
| D. Lobi-Dyan | *dò | | | | |
| E. Senufo | | | ni- | | nìkì̇̀/ |
| | | | ŋgbe/ | | ningin |
| | | | nu- | | |
| | | | ŋgba | | |
| F. Teen | | _ | | tani | |
| G. Tiefo | | dề | | | |
| H. Tusia | | | | | nónkì |
| I. Viemo | dũde, *dun- | | | | |
| J. Wara-Natioro-Paleni | | | рэ | | |

An attempt to reconstruct a Proto-Gur form is probably not reasonable at this point, since all the forms quoted above are important for comparative purposes.

4.9.12.2 'Two'

Table 4.191: Stems for '2' in Gur

| | ' 2' | '2' | '2' | '2' | '2' |
|------------------------|--------------|--------|------------|------|---------|
| A. Bariba | ru | | | | |
| B. Central: | | | | | |
| 1. Northern | | | | | |
| A. Bwamu | рū | | | | |
| B. Kurumfe | | | | hĩĩ | |
| C. *Proto-Oti-Volta | | li/yi | | | |
| Southern | | | | | |
| A. Dogoso-Khe | jɔ(n) | | | | |
| C. Gan-Dogose | yá/ na/ dʒằŋ | | | | |
| D. *Proto-Grusi | | lε/ le | ne/ ni | | |
| E. Kirma-Tyurama | | | | hấĩ/ | |
| | | | | hãl | |
| C. Kulango | nyʊʊ̀ | | | | bila (< |
| | | | | | Mande) |
| D. Lobi-Dyan | nyò(n) | | | | |
| E. Senufo | | | | | sin/ |
| | | | | | soin/ |
| | | | | | sun/ |
| | | | | | syen |
| F. Teen | nyor | | | | |
| G. Tiefo | jõ | | ~ | | |
| H. Tusia | | | nínó, *nῗŋ | | |
| I. Viemo | | | niinĩ | | |
| J. Wara-Natioro-Paleni | | | nî́nté | | bŏ |

Apparent isolates and obvious borrowings are presented in the rightmost column.

4.9.12.3 'Three' and 'Four'

Table 4.192: Stems for '3' and '4' in Gur

| | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------|-------------------------|------------|
| A. Bariba | i-ta | | 'n-nε | |
| B. Central: | | | | |
| 1. Northern | | | | |
| A. Bwamu | tĩ | | náa | |
| B. Kurumfe | tãã | | nãã | |
| C. *Proto-Oti-Volta | ta(t) | | naa(si) | |
| Southern | | | | |
| A. Dogoso-Khe | tho | | dáa | |
| C. Gan-Dogose | sáa/ t ^h ò? | | nee/ ì-yjįį, (á-dàa) | |
| D. *Proto-Grusi | toro/ toso/ tɔ | | naare/ naasi/ na | |
| E. Kirma-Tyurama | síεi/ siεl | | na(a) | |
| C. Kulango | | sããbe | na | |
| | | (< | | |
| | | Mande) | | |
| D. Lobi-Dyan | thḕs(i)/ tʰěr | | nấ | |
| E. Senufo | tầã/ taàr | | | tésyàr/ |
| | | | | sīcērē/ |
| | | | | tityere |
| F. Teen | sanr | | nan | |
| G. Tiefo | sấ | | | ?u?ɔ̃/ ŋɔɔ |
| H. Tusia | tốnó | | ńyấh/ jẫ | |
| I. Viemo | sãsĩ | | | jumĩ |
| J. Wara-Natioro-Paleni | ta(r)i | | na(r)i | |

The reflexes of the most persistent NC roots are observable in the majority of the branches.

4.9.12.4 'Five'

Table 4.193: Stems for '5' in Gur

| | ' 5' |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| A. Bariba | nòobù | | | | |
| B. Central: | | | | | |
| 1. Northern | | | | | |
| A. Bwamu | hò-nú | | | | |
| B. Kurumfe | nəm | | | | |
| C. *Proto-Oti-Volta | nu | | | | |
| Southern | | | | | |
| A. Dogoso-Khe | nɔ(n) | | | | |
| C. Gan-Dogose | nồn | mwã/ | | | |
| | | wàa | | | |
| D. *Proto-Grusi | nu/ ทช | | | | |
| E. Kirma-Tyurama | | | | di | |
| C. Kulango | | | to | | |
| D. Lobi-Dyan | | màì/ | | dìèmà | |
| | | *mà/ | | | |
| | | *mòlò | | | |
| E. Senufo | guno, (nɔ) | bwa/ | | | |
| | | bwɔ | | | |
| F. Teen | | | to | | |
| G. Tiefo | | | | | kằ |
| H. Tusia | | | | | k(w)ló |
| I. Viemo | | | | | kuεge, |
| | | | | | *k <u>ə</u> |
| J. Wara-Natioro-Paleni | | | sùsú, sV | | |

The etymological relationship of *nu '5' and 'hand', is attested in Central Gur and possibly in Bariba and Senufo. Isolated bases may go back to this meaning as well. At the same time, the base preserved in Kulango, Teen and possibly Wara-Natioro-Paleni is comparable to *tan found in BC and some other families.

4.9.12.5 'Six' and 'Seven'

Table 4.194: Stems and patterns for '6' and '7' in Gur

| | ' 6' | ' 6' | '7' | '7' | ' 7' |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|------|---------|----------------|
| A. Bariba | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | |
| B. Central: | | | | | |
| 1. Northern | | | | | |
| A. Bwamu | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | |
| B. Kurumfe | | hʊrʊ | | pε̃ε̃ | |
| C. *Proto-Oti-Volta | | lob/ yob | | poi(n)? | *lob-le (6+1)? |
| Southern | | | | | |
| A. Dogoso-Khe | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | |
| C. Gan-Dogose | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | |
| D. *Proto-Grusi | 5+1 | dʊ/ | 5+2 | pε/ | |
| | | lo-do/ | | lʊ-pε/ | |
| | | lo-ro | | lʊ-bε | |
| E. Kirma-Tyurama | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | |
| C. Kulango | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | |
| D. Lobi-Dyan | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | |
| E. Senufo | 5+1, | kwa'n/ | 5+2 | | 6+1 |
| | | kwāy, | | | |
| | | gbaara, | | | |
| | | nõli | | | |
| F. Teen | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | |
| G. Tiefo | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | |
| H. Tusia | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | |
| I. Viemo | 5+1 | | 5+2? | | |
| J. Wara-Natioro-Paleni | 5+1 | | 5+2 | | téndí? |

The patterns * '6=5+1' and * '7=5+2' can be safely reconstructed at the Proto-Gur level. The exeptionally wide range of forms for 'six' attested in Senufo is noteworthy.

4.9.12.6 'Eight' and 'Nine'

Table 4.195: Stems and patterns for '8' and '9' in Gur

| | ' 8' | ' 8' | '8' | '9' | '9' | ' 9' |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|------|-------------|
| A. Bariba | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | | |
| B. Central: | | | | | | |
| 1. Northern | | | | | | |
| A. Bwamu | 5+3 | | | | | dầiní/ dènú |
| B. Kurumfe | | | too | | | fa |
| C. *Proto-Oti-Volta | | | ni | | | wey/we? |
| Southern | | | | | | |
| A. Dogoso-Khe | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | | |
| C. Gan-Dogose | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | 10-1 | |
| D. *Proto-Grusi | | 4 redupl. | | | 10-1 | nibi/nibu |
| | | | | | | (ni-bi/bu?) |
| E. Kirma-Tyurama | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | 10-1 | |
| C. Kulango | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | | |
| D. Lobi-Dyan | 5+3 | | | | 10-1 | |
| E. Senufo | 5+3 | | 6+2 | 5+4 | 10-1 | 6+3 |
| F. Teen | 5+3 | | | | 10-1 | |
| G. Tiefo | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | | |
| H. Tusia | 5+3 | | | 5+4 | | |
| I. Viemo | 5+3 | 4*2 | | | 10-1 | |
| J. Wara-Natioro-Paleni | 5+3 | 4+4 | | 5+4 | | kawo? |

In addition to the common patterns $^{\circ}8=5+3^{\circ}$ and $^{\circ}9=5+4^{\circ}$, alternative ones are attested for $^{\circ}$ eight' and $^{\circ}$ nine' ($^{\circ}8=4$ redupl.' and $^{\circ}9=10-1$ ' respectively).

4.9.12.7 'Ten'

Table 4.196: Stems for '10' in Gur

| A. Bariba | | wɔ-kuru | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----------|-----|--|
| B. Central: | | | | | |
| 1. Northern | | | | | |
| A. Bwamu | pílú/ píru/ ²búrúù | | | | |
| B. Kurumfe | fī | | | | |
| C. *Proto-Oti-Volta Southern | pi(k) | | | | |
| A. Dogoso-Khe | kpélé | | | | |
| C. Gan-Dogose | | kpoogo | nõy - 5PL | | gbùnè, kpélé, sí- |
| D. *Proto-Grusi | fu/ fi | | | | - |
| E. Kirma-Tyurama C. Kulango | | | nűốsồ | | cíŋcíelùó nuunu (< *5 redupl.), *ji/ yi |
| D. Lobi-Dyan | | ni-kpo | nyờớr | | J-/ |
| E. Senufo | | T | | kε | |
| F. Teen | pərwə | | | | |
| G. Tiefo | _ | | | kε̃ | támú |
| H. Tusia | | | | | gbãm/ |
| | | | | | *gbɔ̃/ |
| | | | | | bwò |
| I. Viemo | n(xx)2/f2 | kwəmũ | | | kầ:sấ? |
| J. Wara-Natioro-Paleni | p(w)ɔ/ fɔ | | | | Ka:sa: |

This term exhibits a variety of isolated (and possibly non-primary) forms. The main form has a voiceless labial as its initial consonant.

4.9.12.8 'Twenty'

Table 4.197: Stems and patterns for '20' in Gur

| | '20' | '20' | '20' | '20' | '20' |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
| A. Bariba | | | | | yɛndu |
| B. Central: | | | | | |
| 1. Northern | | | | | |
| A. Bwamu | | 6óní∕ | | | |
| | | bénle/ | | | |
| | | kēwēníì | | | |
| B. Kurumfe | sofe (<10?) | | | | |
| C. *Proto-Oti-Volta | 10*2 | | | | |
| Southern | | | | | |
| A. Dogoso-Khe | | gờʊsì | cúkúrì | | |
| C. Gan-Dogose | | gbeere | t∫úkúrì | | |
| D. *Proto-Grusi | 10*2? | | | | |
| E. Kirma-Tyurama | | gur̃ | | | kómòrré |
| C. Kulango | | | | | yipì-/ |
| | | | | | dʒipi- |
| D. Lobi-Dyan | | kpèle | ceeru | | |
| E. Senufo | | gbèn/ | | toko/ | fulo, |
| | | gbēy, | | togo | nafa |
| F. Teen | | | | toko | |
| G. Tiefo | | | | | kpã |
| H. Tusia | | | túkúrí | | *tiki |
| I. Viemo | | | | | fereyo |
| J. Wara-Natioro-Paleni | | | | | wấ/ |
| | | | | | nwõ, |
| | | | | | SO |

In view of the great variety of forms and patterns attested for this term, the existence of the term for 'twenty' in Proto-Gur is uncertain.

4.9.12.9 'Hundred'

Table 4.198: Stems and patterns for '100' in Gur

| A. Bariba | 20*5 | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|------|------------|-------|--------------|
| B. Central: | | | | | |
| 1. Northern | | | | | |
| A. Bwamu | | | | | kʰĩminù |
| | | | | | (< Mande |
| | | | | | keme) |
| B. Kurumfe | | | | berซ | |
| C. *Proto-Oti-Volta | | | kob, kook | | |
| Southern | | | | | |
| A. Dogoso-Khe | 20*5 | | | | |
| C. Gan-Dogose | 20*5 | | | | |
| D. *Proto-Grusi | 20*5? | | kɔwa/ kɔɔ? | bi? | |
| E. Kirma-Tyurama | 20*5 | | | gundi | |
| C. Kulango | | | | | kεmὲ (< |
| | | | | | Mande) |
| D. Lobi-Dyan | | tàmâ | | | |
| E. Senufo | 20*5 | | | | lafa (< Kwa) |
| F. Teen | 20*5 | | | | |
| G. Tiefo | 20*5 | | | | |
| H. Tusia | 20*5 | | kwĚ | | |
| I. Viemo | | tãmõ | | | |
| J. Wara-Natioro-Paleni | 20*5 | | | | |

4.9.12.10 'Thousand'

Table 4.199: Stems and patterns for '1000' in Gur

| A. Bariba | | | fòròto? | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------------|
| B. Central: | | | | |
| 1. Northern | | | | |
| A. Bwamu | | 100*10 | muaseé | |
| B. Kurumfe | | | | tosrı (< |
| | | | | Moore) |
| C. *Proto-Oti-Volta | | | | |
| Southern | | | | |
| A. Dogoso-Khe | kpέ | | | |
| C. Gan-Dogose | kpíε | | | |
| | ʻa goat' | | | |
| D. *Proto-Grusi | | | kpoŋ/gboŋ | |
| E. Kirma-Tyurama | | 200*5, 800+200 | | |
| C. Kulango | | | | wulo (< |
| D T 11 D | | | 1.1 | Mande) |
| D. Lobi-Dyan | | 100*10 | gbờlanı | |
| E. Senufo | | 200*5 | gben-, | |
| | | | bələ, | |
| | | | pwoo, | |
| F. Teen | | | sakere | |
| G. Tiefo | | | danyɛ | was (. |
| G. Helo | | | | waga (< Mande) |
| H. Tusia | < píy | | | ivialiue) |
| 11. Tusia | 'goat', | | | |
| | goat , nấ ^s | | | |
| | 'cow' | | | |
| I. Viemo | vie-? | | | |
| J. Wara-Natioro-Paleni | ,,,, | 400*2+20 | | |
| | | | | |

No evidence supports the reconstruction of the term for 'thousand' in this family.

4.10 Mande

The intermediate step-by-step reconstructions available for the Mande languages in **Vydrinms**'s Mande Etymological Dictionary and in **Vydrin** 2007²⁴ has made treatment of the data easier.

The genetic classification of Mande, outlined in the latter work, will serve as the basis for our analysis. This classification differs from the one suggested by Kastenholz and is accessible via *Ethnologue* (SimonsFenning2018). According to V. Vydrin,

Its major innovations, in comparison with that of Kastenholz, are the following:

- the Susu–Jalonke group is put together with the Southwestern group, rather than with Kastenholz's "Central Mande" (in fact, it is a return to the proposal of André Prost (1958));
- Soninke–Bozo, Samogho and Bobo are no longer considered as branches of the same genetic unit (Kastenholz's "Northwestern Mande"), but rather as independent groups inside Western Mande;
- the Mokole group is put together with Vai–Kono, rather than with Manding;
- in the Southern Mande group, Mwan is separated from Wan and put together with the Guro-Yaure subgroup;
- San (Samo) is put together with Bisa, rather than with Busa-Boko.' (Vydrin 2016: 110).

Let us note an important fact: the numeral system of Jowulu differs considerably in certain points both from other Samogho languages and from Mande languages in general. It is interesting to outline that in R. Kastenholz's classification (based on the method of shared innovations, rather than on lexicostatistics) Jowulu is given a special status, more precisely, the first split in his Northwestern Mande branch (Bozo-Soninke + Bobo + Samogo + Jowulu).

Our further analysis will be based on the evidence from twelve branches of Mande represented in Figure 4.1.

²⁴I would like to thank V. Vydrin for his suggestions and comments on the preliminary draft of this chapter.

Contents

| 1. Manding | | 7. Bozo-Soninke | | |
|--------------|---------|-----------------|--|--------------|
| 2. Jogo-Jeri | | 8. Bobo | | |
| 3. Mokole | 5. Susu | 9. Samogo | | 11. Eastern |
| 4. Vai-Kono | 6. SWM | 10. Jowulu | | 12. Southern |

Figure 4.1: Mande languages

4.10.1 'One'

Table 4.200: Mande stems for '1'

| Manding | *dś | *kélen | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Jogo-Jeri | *do | *kεlε (?) | | | díé(n)/ dúlì |
| Mokole | *dóndò | *kél <u>e</u> | | | |
| Vai-Kono | *dóndɔ | *N-kélen | | | |
| Susu | | *kédén | nde/ 'ndá | | |
| SWM | | *gìláaŋ | *tà | | |
| Bozo-Soninke | | kuən/ | | s <u>a</u> na | bane, fie |
| | | kεnε/ k <u>e</u> / | | | |
| | | ko | | | |
| Bobo | | | tàlá/ tèlé | | |
| Dzuun (Samogo) | | *ké | | *so/ sɔ?i/ | |
| | | | | $\mathrm{sw} \bar{	ilde{\epsilon}}$ | |
| Jowulu | | | tẽẽna/ | | |
| | | | tenŋ | | |
| SE-Eastern | *do | gòró/ | | | |
| | | gôon? | | | |
| SE-Southern | *dọ́ | | | | |

Vydrin's preliminary reconstructions, as well as isolated forms resulting from the analysis of the numerical terms, are marked with an asterisk [*].

The isoglosses for 'one' suggest the existence of two alternative roots (* $d\phi$ and *kelen) attested in both major Mande groups. The latter root is distinguishable under the assumption that the forms with a voiced velar attested in the Eastern branch of the South-Eastern group (Matya Samo $g\partial r\partial$, Southern Samo (Maka) $g\partial n$) are related to the **k**-forms found in Western Mande.

The next two roots, if related, may be suggestive with regard to the classification of Western Mande (otherwise, they probably represent similar unrelated forms). It should be noted that the root nda (Susu nde 'one, certain', ndende 'anybody, whoever; nobody', Jalonke nda 'certain') attested, according to Vydrin, in Susu-Jalonke may be related to * $d\phi$. The determiner * $d\phi$, which can be reconstructed at the Proto-Mande level, goes back to the root *do.

The rightmost column of the table embraces the isolated forms.

4.10.2 'Two'

Table 4.201: Mande stems for '2'

| Manding | *fìlá |
|----------------|---------------|
| Jogo-Jeri | *fàlá |
| Mokole | *fìla |
| Vai-Kono | *fèLá |
| Susu | *fìdíń |
| SWM | *fèelé |
| Bozo-Soninke | pề:ndé, fĭllò |
| Bobo | pálà |
| Dzuun (Samogo) | fí:(kí) |
| Jowulu | fúúli |
| SE-Eastern | *pela |
| SE-Southern | *pìì-lāŋ |

A common root for 'two' that may be tentatively recorded as *pila / fila is attested in all Mande branches. Its precise phonetic reconstruction is beyond the scope of our investigation. The reader can refer to the works of specialists in the historical phonetics of Mande. A reference designation that will enable us to compare this root to the evidence of the other NC families is sufficient for our reconstruction purposes.

4.10.3 'Three'

The common root *sakpa/ sagba/ sawa is represented in all Western branches. The relationship between some of the forms attested in the Eastern group (Southern Samo (Maka) $s\bar{z}\bar{z}$, Matya Samo tjzwz) remains uncertain. The Jowulu form is especially peculiar. It should be noted that the forms of some numerical terms

Table 4.202: Mande stems for '3'

| Manding | sàbá | |
|----------------|----------------------|--------|
| Jogo-Jeri | sègbá/sigbù | |
| Mokole | sàwa/saba | |
| Vai-Kono | sàkpá/sagba/sáwa | |
| Susu | sàxán/sàqáŋ/sawa | |
| SWM | sàwá/sāabā | |
| Bozo-Soninke | síkkò, sike | |
| Bobo | sàà (?) | |
| Dzuun (Samogo) | ʒiʔi/ʒì:gī ´/ʃwὲ/ɣei | |
| Jowulu | bzei < *jɔnŋ/i? | |
| SE-Eastern | soo/ców? | ?ààkɔ̃ |
| SE-Southern | | *yààká |

Table 4.203: Jowulu numerals

| Source | '1' | '2' | ' 3' | ' 4' | ' 5' |
|---|-------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Hochstetler (1996) | tẽểna | fuuli | bʒei, *dʒɔ̃ | p∫ırε¹ | tãã |
| Djilla et al. (2004) | tenŋ | fúúli | byàŋ, *jɔ̀n | pyiiraŋ | táánŋ |
| Carlson (1993) | tèènì | fu'u'lī | byāı́, *jɔ̣ɔ̄ | pi'i'rēī | taˈaˈ |
| Prost (1958) | têna | fole | dyue, *dyô | piœe | tâ |
| Source | ' 6' | '7' | '8' | ' 9' | '10' |
| Hochstetler (1996) Djilla et al. (2004) Carlson (1993) Prost (1958) | tãmãnī | dʒɔ̃m-pʊn | ful-pʊn | tẽm-pơn | bʒĩĩ |
| | táán-mání | jòn-pɔnni | fuuli-pɔnni | ten-ponni | byìnŋ |
| | taˈaˈ-mānī | jɔ̄ɔ̄̄-poˈnì | fu'l-po'nì | tèè-po'nì | byì̯ |
| | ton-te | dyômpônô | filepônô | têpônô | bî |

differ significantly depending on the source. Our study is based on four Jowulu sources that provide the following evidence²⁵ (Table 4.203).

The terms for 'seven', 'eight' and 'nine' follow the pattern '3,2,1+'to lose'' respectively (cf. their inaccurate interpretation in Hochstetler, see §4.10.9), hence the reconstruction of the term for 'three' with the initial palatal ($^*j\partial n$). The forms

²⁵Hochstetler https://mpi-lingweb.shh.mpg.de/numeral/Jowulu.htm, Djilla et al. (2004); Carlson (1993); Prost (1958).

quoted in Jowulu for 'three', 'four', and 'ten' are uncommon. If we were dealing with a language with a noun class system, we would have to conclude that a noun class marker (CL19?) with two allomorphs (p- and b- before voiced and voiceless respectively) is traceable in the pertinent forms. However, we are dealing with a language that undoubtedly belongs to Mande, so no class-related morphemes can be involved. This leaves the presence of the initial labial in the term for 'three' unexplained. A borrowing from Gur or Kru cannot be assumed since these languages lack the comparable forms. The only plausible solution is the alignment of 'three' and 'four' by analogy with 'ten' where it must have been originally present.

A special term for 'three' appears in South-Eastern. In Eastern it can be reconstructed as *7ààk $\tilde{\imath}$ or possibly **7àà-($k\tilde{\imath}$), cf. Bisa $kak\acute{\imath}$, Boko ?àà $\tilde{\imath}$ (in Koelle 1963[1854] $\acute{a}\acute{a}\gamma o$), Bokobaru (Zogb $\tilde{\imath}$) ?àà $g\tilde{\imath}$, Busa ?àà $k\tilde{\imath}$, Maya Samo $k\grave{a}ak\acute{\imath}$, Kyanga 'āà:, and Shanga ?à. The latter reconstruction is supported by the fact that the terms for 'three' and 'four' share the ultima, cf. the data are presented in the following table (Table 4.204).

| Boko | Boko (Koel 1963[1854]) | le Bokobaru | Busa | |
|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|
| ?àà-ɔ̃ síí-ɔ̃ | u — | ?àà-gõ síí-gõ | ?àà-kɔ̃ ſíí-kɔ̃ | |

Table 4.204: Final morphemes in the Boko-Busa numerals

It should be noted that in these languages, the syllable in question is also present in the terms for 'eight' that are built according to the pattern '5+3' (cf. e.g. Bobo Karu sớr-ààgō). Here we may be dealing with alignment by analogy, possibly with an additional final morpheme of uncertain meaning. It should be stressed that the ultima in 'three' and 'four' is never the same in the Eastern subgroup of the South-Eastern languages, whereas the medial velar is only attested in 'three' but not in 'four'. Assuming that the forms of the two Eastern branches are related, the term for 'three' can be reconstructed as *ʔààkɔ̄/yààká, whereas the term for 'four' may be interpreted as resulting from the alignment by analogy with the forms of 'three' attested in the Eastern branch of South-Eastern Mande. The evidence in favor of its etymological connection with *sakpa is inconclusive.

Table 4.205: Mande stems for '4'

| Manding | *náani | |
|----------------|-------------------------|---|
| Jogo-Jeri | náani | |
| Mokole | náani | |
| Vai-Kono | náánì | |
| Susu | náání | |
| SWM | *náánì | |
| Bozo-Soninke | na:na/nàtấ/nà:rá/naxat- | |
| Bobo | náà/nìẫ | |
| Dzuun (Samogo) | nããi/naai/nà:lế́ | |
| Jowulu | | p∫ırε ^ı <∫ırε ^ı ? |
| SE-Eastern | | sì/sííkõ |
| SE-Southern | | *yìì-sjiìyáౖ: zì̇̃ɛ́/yîî-sīë |

4.10.4 'Four'

An easily recognizable NC form (*náání/ nããi) can be reconstructed in Western Mande, whereas in South-Eastern Mande it is replaced with an innovation (*siì yá). This innovation may also be attested in Jowulu.

4.10.5 'Five'

There is a correspondence between d-/ l-/ s- within Western Mande, hence the Eastern forms with the initial s- should not necessarily be treated separately. A discussion of the exact phonetic reconstruction is better left to specialists in the field. For our purposes, it is sufficient to record that the Proto-Mande root for 'five' is reconstructed as $d\acute{u}uru'$ sốru.

However, the root(s) *wo, *ko are traceable in the compound numerical terms attested in Western Mande. They may be etymologically related to the lexical root meaning 'hand' (Vydrin, p.c.; cf. Proto-South-Mande *kô 'hand'). The latter may be a NC root, cf. e.g. the term for 'hand' in Proto-Gbaya (kô), Dida (Kru) (kō) and in other languages.

The Jowulu and Samogo forms are peculiar. As we hope to demonstrate in the next chapter, two alternative roots for 'five' can be reconstructed for NC, namely *tan/ton and *nu(n). Both roots are directly attested in these marginal groups. Is this enough to reconstruct the terms for 'five' traceable in NC for the Mande languages? We will return to this question in the last chapter of the book.

Table 4.206: Mande stems for '5'

| Manding | dúuru/loolu | *wo (cf. '7') | | |
|----------------|------------------|---------------|-----|----|
| Jogo-Jeri | sóólò/sóolo | | | |
| Mokole | lóəlu | *wo (cf. '7') | | |
| Vai-Kono | dú?u/sóó(?)ú | | | |
| Susu | suuli/sùlù | *fò (cf. '7') | | |
| SWM | dóólú/lóólu | *wɔ/ngò | | |
| Bozo-Soninke | | káláhá/kárágò | | |
| Bobo | | kʊ/kóò | | |
| Dzuun (Samogo) | | | | nữ |
| Jowulu | | | tãã | |
| SE-Eastern | *sodu: sóóro/sóò | | | |
| SE-Southern | sớớdú/sólú | | | |

4.10.6 'Six'

Table 4.207: Mande stems and patterns for '6'

| Manding | wóro (5+1) | |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Jogo-Jeri | mòòdó (5+1?)/mì:lù | |
| Mokole | wśɔrɛ/wɔyɔ (5+1) | |
| Vai-Kono | wóolo/wooro (5+1) | |
| Susu | sénní (5+1?) | |
| SWM | *5+1 | |
| Bozo-Soninke | goro? (5+1?) | tűmù/tũmi |
| Bobo | 5+1 | |
| Dzuun (Samogo) | | t(s)ằmễ´/tsìì |
| Jowulu | 5+1 | |
| SE-Eastern | 5+1 | |
| SE-Southern | 5+1, wáń? | |

The reconstruction of the Mande term for 'six' is problematic. The root t(s)um is worth considering, since it is attested in both Bozo-Soninke and Samogo (the root found in Susu is probably isolated). Its reconstruction at the Proto-Mande level is, however, unlikely. The common pattern '6=5+1' is attested in both major

Contents

branches. The root *woro* is non-primary and eventually goes back to the aforementioned pattern (or to the pattern '6'='hand'+1' to be precise). This hypothesis is supported by the forms of 'seven' as well.

4.10.7 'Seven'

| ose' |
|------|
| |
| |
| |

A few remarks are in order before we turn to the discussion of the term for 'seven'. In the majority of the Mande branches, the term represents a compound. Its second element goes back to the term for 'two', cf. e.g. Jula wólonfilà '7', filà '2'.

The relationship between the terms for 'six' and 'seven' is based on alignment by analogy. This bond sometimes results in unification of the terms, so that sources may explain 'seven' as '6+1' (despite the fact that 'two', not 'one', is manifestly present in 'seven'). This interpretation has become recurrent for the Mokole languages. According to Phillip Logan²⁶, the Kuranko evidence is as follows: wərənfila ('6+1') (?! -K.P.), wərə '6', fila '2', kelen '1'. The same idea is applied to Lele (cf. Marc Gebhard²⁷: wərən kela ('6+1')²⁸, wəərə '6', fela '2',

²⁶https://mpi-lingweb.shh.mpg.de/numeral/Kuranko.htm

²⁷https://mpi-lingweb.shh.mpg.de/numeral/Lele-Mande.htm

²⁸According to (Vydrine 2009), the Lele term for 'seven' is wόrəncela (or wəyenkela in the Southern dialect).https://mpi-lingweb.shh.mpg.de/numeral/Jowulu.htmMende núú ḡbəyɔ́ngo '20' ('person finished'). https://mpi-lingweb.shh.mpg.de/numeral/Mende.htm

kelɛŋ '1') and Kakabe (cf. Daria Mishchenko²⁹: wɔʻrɔwila ('6+1'), wɔʻɔrɔ '6', fila '2', kelen '1'). Other scholars are more reserved, stating that 'Kono has a decimal system with special construction for 7'³⁰. It is, however, quite evident that the forms in question follow the pattern '5+2' (or at least 'X+2' with X being an unidentified component).

It is not a mere coincidence that the interpretation outlined above is recurrent in the Mokole languages, where the forms of 'six' and 'seven' have become partially unified. In a number of languages from other groups that have etymologically related terms for 'six' and 'seven', these terms differ in their second consonant, cf. Bamana (Manding): wólonwula '7', wóɔrɔ '6'.

In both groups of South-Eastern Mande the patterns '5+1' and '5+2' for 'six' and 'seven' respectively are still clearly recognizable (Table 4.209).

| | ' 5' | ' 1' | ' 6' | ' 2' | ' 7' |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| SE: Eastern: Busa | sáo | do | sóo-do | pia | soo-pia |
| SE: Southern: Beng | sá-ŋ | do | só-do | pla-ŋ | só-pla |

Table 4.209: Stems for '6' and '7' in South-Eastern Mande

Taking all of this into consideration, the most likely evolution scenario for 'six' and 'seven' is as follows:

- At the most archaic Proto-Mande level the terms for 'six', 'seven' (and also 'eight' as we hope to demonstrate below) followed the pattern 'X+1,2,3' respectively. The X-element in this pattern possibly represented an archaic root with the meaning 'hand' (?) *ko(*N-ko > *go/wo?).
- Proto-Mande developed the root *dúuru/ sɔ́ɔ́ru '5'.
- This new root served as the basis for the South-Eastern Mande terms for 'six', 'seven' and 'eight'.
- In Western Mande this process is only attested in single languages, e.g. in Vai (sóó?ú '5', sôŋ làndó '6' (làndó '1'), sôŋ fè?á '7' (fè?á '2')) and Looma (dooluo '5', dɔzita '6', dɔfela '7', dɔśawà '8').

²⁹https://mpi-lingweb.shh.mpg.de/numeral/kakabe.htm

³⁰Raimund Kastenholz,https://mpi-lingweb.shh.mpg.de/numeral/kono.htm

Contents

- The majority of the Western Mande languages retained the inherent forms for 'six' and 'seven', but their derivational motivation became unapparent (at least in the case of the first component, cf. Bandi $nd\delta\delta l\acute{u}(\eta)$ '5', but $ng\delta h\acute{t}t\acute{a}\eta$ '6' ($h\acute{t}t\grave{a}\eta$ '1') and $ng\delta f\acute{e}l\grave{a}\eta$ '7' ($feel\acute{e}$ '2') in contrast to Looma).
- This factor conditioned the partial unification of the terms for 'six' and 'seven' (by analogy) in some of the Western Mande languages (Mokole in particular).

4.10.8 'Eight'

Table 4.210: Mande stems and patterns for '8'

| Manding | séegi/ séki/ séyi | | |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Jogo-Jeri | seegi/ seki/ seyi | ma+3 | |
| Mokole | sέεn/ saεn/ seyi | ma i 3 | |
| Vai-Kono | séi/ séin | 5+3 | |
| Susu | SCI/ SCIII | 5+3 | |
| SWM | | wá-yákpá/ | |
| 5 ***** | | wa yanpa/ wo-yaagba/ | |
| | | ngòsákbá(n) | |
| | | (5+3) | |
| Bozo-Soninke | segi-/ seegu | , | |
| Bobo | sékì/ t∫èkí | | |
| Dzuun (Samogo) | · · | | kàà, 4pl |
| Jowulu | | | 2+'to lose' |
| SE-Eastern | | *5+3 | sípe, kíwísí (<4) |
| SE-Southern | | sőå-gā/ sálààkā/ | |
| | | sòlàá/ sé-yā̯ | |
| | | (5+3?) | |

The pattern '8=4*2'/'4PL' commonly found in the majority of the families discussed above is barely attested in Mande. Meanwhile, the phonetic similarity between naai '4' ~ $\eta aai(n)$ '8' (attested in the majority of the Samogo dialects) is hardly an accident.

The etymology of $k\dot{a}\dot{a}$ (not found outside Seenku) is unknown.

The pattern '5+3' is inconclusive, because it often developss independently in various languages. The interpretation of the main Mande root (tentatively de-

scribed as seki/segi) is uncertain. On the one hand, its current forms suggest that this root can be reconstructed not only for Proto-Western Mande, but for Proto-Mande as well (cf. South-Eastern forms, in particular $s\ddot{a}\ddot{a}g\bar{a}$ '8'). On the other hand, such reconstruction is hindered by at least two issues.

Firstly, the second velar in the South-Eastern Mande forms does not belong to the root. It is part of a reduced segment that goes back to the term for 'three' (cf. Tura yäká '3'), whereas the first segment goes back to the term for 'five' (cf. Tura sőlű, sőőlű, sőlő). The comparative analysis of the forms of 'eight' attested in the South-Eastern Mande languages (not quoted here in detail) strongly suggests that the South-Eastern Mande pattern for 'eight' is '5+3'.

Secondly, this reconstruction is problematic from a typological point of view. As has been demonstrated above, our evidence prevents us from reconstructing primary roots for 'six' and 'seven'. In terms of typology, a primary root for 'eight' would look highly unusual in this context. Such a root could be expected in those few numeral systems where 'eight' is a basic numeral (just like 'twelve' is a basic numeral in some of the Benue-Congo numeral systems described above, hence '100=12*8+4'). However, 'eight' has never been a basic unit of counting in Mande systems. The existence of a primary term for 'forty' (assuming that 'forty' is '8*5') in some of the Mande languages could be interpreted as a hint at a special status of 'eight'. However, this is not supported by any real evidence.

This raises a question about the etymology of the Western Mande term for 'eight' (seki/segi). Its resemblance to the term for 'three' (especially in Bozo and Soninke, cf. Jenaama Bozo $sik\grave{e}\tilde{u}$ '3' ~ $s\grave{e}k:i$ '8') may be suggestive here. Is there enough evidence to reject the hypothesis that 'eight' in the Proto-Western Mande was built according to the pattern '8=plus 3' (this would assume a counting reference to 'five')?

Despite the doubts expressed above, these forms are worth comparing to other forms of 'eight' attested in other NC families.

4.10.9 'Nine'

Two competitive patterns are distinguishable here ('9=5+4' and '9=10-1'). In some of the branches (e.g. SWM, Vai-Kono) they are attested side-by-side.

At the same time, these patterns cannot be postulated for some of the languages without additional support. The pattern '9=10-1' seems to be apparent in South-Eastern Mande and some of the SWM languages only, cf. Boko '9': $k \tilde{e} o k w i$ (lit: 'tear away 1 (from) 10'), k w i '10'; in Busa '9': $k \tilde{e} n d o / k \tilde{i} n d o k w i$ (lit: 'tear away 1 (from) 10'), k w i '10', d o '1'; in Bandi (SWM) $t a \tilde{a} - v u$ '9', $t \tilde{a} (\eta)$ '1', p u u '10'. According to Robert Carlson (Carlson 1993: 30), the terms from 'seven' to 'nine' in Jowulu

Table 4.211: Mande stems and patterns for '9'

| Manding | | kònonto (10-1?) |
|----------------|------|---------------------|
| Jogo-Jeri | ma+4 | |
| Mokole | | kànəndən (10-1?) |
| Vai-Kono | 5+4 | kònónton |
| Susu | 5+4 | |
| SWM | 5+4 | 10-1 |
| Bozo-Soninke | | kàp:í/káfì/kabi |
| Bobo | | kờrờnôŋ |
| Dzuun (Samogo) | | kjè:rő/kle:lo/kùòmè |
| Jowulu | | 1+'lose' |
| SE-Eastern | 5+4 | 10-1 |
| SE-Southern | 5+4 | |
| | | |

check accents on o follow the pattern '1-3' + 'lose' ($f\acute{s}n\grave{i}$), i.e. $j\~s\~s$ - $p\acute{s}n\grave{i}$ '7', $f\acute{u}l$ - $p\acute{s}n\grave{i}$ '8', and $t\grave{e}\grave{e}$ - $p\acute{s}n\grave{i}$ '9' (note that these terms are misinterpreted as 3+4, 2*4, 5+4³¹ by Lee Hochstetler).

The root $k \partial nonto/k \partial nondo(n)$ attested in Manding and Mokole is unclear and deserves discussion by specialists. On the contrary, the forms interpreted as the combination of '5+4' in the table below seem to be quite transparent (Table 4.212).

Table 4.212: '9 = 5+4' in Mande

| Language | ' 9' | ' 5' | ' 4' |
|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Kyanga Tura Susu Vai | sòò∫í sɔ̂ìsē sólómánáání sɔ̂ŋ náánì | sóórū sólú súlí sóó(?)ú | ∫íí j ì sē náání náánì |
| Bobo Madare | kórónỗ | kóò | náà |

This section, however, is not unproblematic. The Jogo-Jeri non-primary terms for '6-9' are formed by two components. The second (i.e. the terms for 'one', 'two', 'three' and 'four' respectively) is easily recognizable, whereas the etymology of the first (ma-) is unclear.

³¹https://mpi-lingweb.shh.mpg.de/numeral/Jowulu.htm

4.10.10 'Ten'

Table 4.213: Mande stems for '10'

| Manding | *tán | *bî | |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Jogo-Jeri | táà(n), ta | | |
| Mokole | tán | *bí | |
| Vai-Kono | tâŋ | | |
| Susu | *tòngó | fùú | |
| SWM | | *puu | |
| Bozo-Soninke | tan/téeŋ/cεmi | | |
| Bobo | | fồ | ф |
| Dzuun (Samogo) | t(s)eũ/ceũ | | |
| Jowulu | | | bʒĩĩ /byìnŋ |
| SE-Eastern | | *fu/*vu (<* pu) | kwi/kuri, wókòì |
| SE-Southern | | *bù | gớô(dō),kồŋ |
| | | | sójolú, |

This term is especially interesting in light of the fact that the distribution of the isoglosses of 'ten' served as the basis for Maurice Delafosse's early classification of the Mande languages including the *Mande-tan* and *Mande-fu* groups. These two roots are indeed the main Mande roots with this meaning. However, their distribution does not correspond to the two major branches of Mande as they are distinguished today. The root *tan is indeed found in all groups of the Western branch except for Bobo and SWM. However, the attestations of the root *pu/fu are not limited to South-Eastern and extend to a number of the Western branches such as Bobo, SWM, Susu (and possibly Manding-Mokole, assuming that its reflex denotes tens in compound numerals). Isolated forms attested in South-Eastern and in peripheral Western languages are noteworthy.

The reconstruction of pu/fu for Proto-Mande and the interpretation of tan as the Proto-Western Mande innovation seem well-founded.

The etymology of *tan is obscure. Its similarity to the locally attested root *tan (cf. Soninke tàán 'foot, leg'; 'wheel'; 'time' (when counting), Bozo Tieyaxo tɔn 'foot, leg'; 'time' (when counting), Bozo Hainyaxo tǎ, Bozo Tiemacewe tawa, Bozo Sorogama taba) is likely a coincidence. Lexical roots with the meaning 'foot' are attested in NC numeral systems, usually as a basis for the non-compound terms for 'fifteen'. The logic behind this development is simple: 'ten' is 'two

hands', 'twenty' means 'man', i.e. 'two hands and two feet', hence 'fifteen' is 'foot'. This seems to be the case for Boko and Busa, where a non-compound term for 'fifteen' $(g\tilde{\epsilon}o/g\tilde{\epsilon}ro)$ is attested (hence '16=15+1' in these languages). This root is etymologically related to 'foot, leg' in Duungoma (Samogo) $g\tilde{\epsilon}$, Dan $g\hat{\epsilon}$, Mano $g\tilde{a}$ (it should be noted that within Mande a non-compound root for 'fifteen' is also attested in Ligbi, cf. $tig\acute{a}n/tig\acute{a}$ '15', $tig\acute{a}$ - $tig\acute{a}$

In addition, a similarity to the term for 'one' as attested in some of the languages must be a coincidence.

A hypothesis assuming a semantic shift *NC *tan '5' > Proto-Western-Mande tan '10' in parallel with the development of the Mande innovation * $d\acute{u}uru/$ $s\acute{o}s\acute{r}u$ 'five' seems to be a better explanation.

It bears reminding that the Bokobaru root kuri 'ten' (cf. also Boko kúúli recorded by Koelle) has a direct parallel in the isolated Bangime language ($kúr\acute{\epsilon}$).

4.10.11 'Twenty'

| 1. | 11 20 | | |
|----------------|------------------------|------|------------------------------------|
| Manding | <'human'? | | |
| Jogo-Jeri | | | _J ālām <u>à</u> /kèlèmó |
| Mokole | <'human'? | | |
| Vai-Kono | <'human' | 10*2 | |
| Susu | <'human' | | |
| SWM | <'human'? | 10*2 | |
| Bozo-Soninke | | 10*2 | |
| Bobo | | | kpòró, córò |
| Dzuun (Samogo) | <'human' | | fwé |
| Jowulu | | | kõne/konninŋ |
| SE-Eastern | | 10*2 | kèè-/ka |
| SE-Southern | <'human' ³² | 10*2 | уэ |

Table 4.214: Mande stems and patterns for '20'

There is every reason to believe that the term for 'twenty' was based on the lexical root(s) meaning 'human person' at the Proto-Mande level. The etymology of some of the isolated forms presented in the table should be sought with this in mind.

³²Mende núú ஹôyóngo '20' ('person finished'). https://mpi-lingweb.shh.mpg.de/numeral/Mende. htm

4.10.12 'Hundred'

Table 4.215: Mande stems and patterns for '100'

| Manding | *kèmé | |
|----------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Jogo-Jeri | čěmé/t∫ímí | 20*5 |
| Mokole | kème | |
| Vai-Kono | keme | |
| Susu | kèmé | |
| SWM | kεmε(ŋ) | Kpelle: < 'head' (ŋwúṅ) |
| Bozo-Soninke | kame/keme | ʻislam'-60 |
| Bobo | | ϶̄ɔ̄(lì)/zὸ(lớ) |
| Dzuun (Samogo) | | 20*5, 80+20 |
| Jowulu | | 'rope'*5 |
| SE-Eastern | | *20*5 |
| SE-Southern | *kèmé? | kḕŋ̄/kḕi̇̃, la/lú |

The root $k\varepsilon m\varepsilon$, widely attested throughout Western Africa, is noteworthy. Its original semantics deserve a separate study: it is well known that in some languages this root can be used for 'sixty' or 'eighty' and not for 'hundred' (the archaic Bamana counting system: $m\grave{a}nink\grave{e}m\varepsilon$ '60', $b\acute{a}manank\grave{e}m\varepsilon$ / $k\grave{e}m\varepsilon$ '80', $k\grave{e}m\varepsilon$ ní $m\grave{u}gan$ '100' (80+20)) (Vydrin & Perekhvalskaya 2015: 360).

check keng/kai

4.10.13 'Thousand'

The roots for 'thousand' attested in the Mande languages were borrowed from by the Western African languages. The original meaning of the Mande root wáa/wága may be 'a basket of cola nuts' (Perekhvalskaja, Vydrin & Perekhvalskaya 2015: 361), cf. Bamana wágá 'panier à colas', Bobo wágá 'panier qui sert à transporter les colas ou wòlōwágá.'

Table 4.216: Mande stems and patterns for '1000'

| Manding | wúlú/ wúli | wáa/ wá/ wà/ wága | bà |
|----------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Jogo-Jeri | búlí, wúlú (< | | |
| | manding) | | |
| Mokole | | wàa/ wá/ waga | |
| Vai-Kono | wúl | | |
| Susu | wúlù/ wúlì | | |
| SWM | wùlù | wála/ wáá | |
| Bozo-Soninke | gulu | waxa | ('islam')- muso,wúdzǜnè |
| Bobo | | | mass, waasame |
| Dzuun (Samogo) | | gbà'à, baa | bi 'goat', |
| | | | 800+200, <juula< td=""></juula<> |
| Jowulu | | wa'a' | 800+200 |
| SE-Eastern | | wàà '200' | 200*5,vûû, 'dúú, |
| | | | pàdí, pə, boro |
| SE-Southern | wúlù/ wlű/ | *wágá: wáá | kpi , ken |
| | gblű (?) | | |

Table 4.217 gives an overview of Mande forms and patterns that will be used for further comparison to the evidence of other families (Table 4.209).

Table 4.217: Numerals in Proto-Mande

| 1 | do, kelen | 7 | wɔ-X-fila ('hand'+2?) |
|---|--------------------------------|------|--------------------------------|
| 2 | pila/fila | 8 | seki/segi (<ʻplus'-3?) |
| 3 | sakpa/sagba/sawa, | 9 | kònonto/kònondo(n) (10-1, 5+4) |
| | ?ààkɔ̃/yààká? | | |
| 4 | náání/nããi | 10 | pu/fu, tan (< *'5'?) |
| 5 | dúuru/sóóru, wo? ko? **tan? (> | 20 | <'human' |
| | '10'?), nữ? | | |
| 6 | wərə (wə-rə? 'hand'+1?), | 100 | kεmε, 20*5 |
| | t(s)um? | | |
| | | 1000 | wulu, wa(g)a |

4.11 Mel

A narrow definition of the Mel family is preferred here (in accordance with the classification of the Atlantic languages suggested in (Pozdniakov & Segerer 2017). This family comprises two compact language groups, namely Northern (Temne, Landuma, and all Baga languages except for Baga Fore and Baga Mboteni, namely Baga Koba, Baga Maduri, Baga Sitemu and others) and Southern (Kisi, Sherbro, Mani, and Krim). Sua, Limba and Gola are not included within the Mel family and are viewed as isolated NC languages. The numeral systems of the two Mel groups comprised of the distant languages are treated separately below.

4.11.1 Southern Mel

Table 4.218: South Mel numerals

| | Kisi | Sherbro | Bullom | Mani (Bullom So) | Krim |
|------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | pìlèé/pilɔ, *pum? | bul | (nim)-bul | nìm-búl | yì-m <u>o</u> |
| 2 | díŋ/C-íŋ/C- óŋ,danyõ | tɪŋ | (nin)- tsiŋ/tiŋ | nìn-cáŋ | yì- γın/yèèn, dím |
| 3 | ŋg-àá/y-àá | ræ | (niin)-ra | nìn-rá | yì-γa/gàà |
| 4 | hìóólú | hyol | (nii)-hiɔɔl | nìŋ-nyól/- nyól | yì-hǐ <u>o</u> n |
| 5 | ŋùὲέnú | mεn | (nii)-man | nìmán < niN-wán? | yì-wεn/n- wén |
| 6 | 5+1 | 5+1 | 5+1 | 5+1 | 5+1 |
| 7 | 5+2 | 5+2 | 5+2 | 5+2 | 5+2 |
| 8 | 5+3 | 5+3 | 5+3 | 5+3 | 5+3 |
| 9 | 5+4 | 5+4 | 5+4 | 5+4 | 5+4 |
| 10 | tá | wāŋ | waan | wàm | wāŋ/wàn |
| 20 | bídìí(ŋ)/bélé | 'finished it is man' | u-təəŋ | ù-tòŋ | <'person' |
| 100 | < Mande | < English | | pé, < Susu | |
| 1000 | < Mande | < English | | < Susu | |

Noun class markers are usually positioned as suffixes in Kisi. However, the first numerical terms in this language have noun class prefixes, which makes the forms look inconsistent, cf. muun/mijjn/nijjn/din, tijjn/di-tijn/di-tijn

The terms for 'hundred' and 'thousand' were probably absent in Proto-South-Mel. The similarity between Kisi t5 'ten' and Bullom-Mani $t5\eta$ 'twenty' is noteworthy. 'Twenty' may follow the pattern '20=10pl'. If so, the original $t5\eta$ 'ten' should be viewed as an early borrowing from Western Mande (*tan '10'). In this case, *wan '10' is an innovation (probably based on *wan/wen 'five') that developed in South Mel after Kisi had separated. The numeral system of modern Kisi exhibits no significant changes from the forms described by Koelle. It includes the form η am-puum '6' (Tucker Childs: η $\delta\eta$ p \dot{u} m) that may have retained an archaic allomorph of 'one' (*pum). The forms that will be used for further comparison are summed up in the table below (Table 4.219).

Table 4.219: Proto-South Mel numeral system (*)

| 1 | pìlὲ/pilɔ (< *lε/lɔ?), bul, mɔ | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| 2 | tsiŋ/tiŋ | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | ra | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | hiəl | 10 | 5PL? , < *West Mande? |
| 5 | wan/wen | 20 | 'person', 10PL? |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100, 1000 | absent |

4.11.2 Northern Mel

A higher degree of homogeneity observable in these languages allows an instant reconstruction of their numeral system at the Proto-Nothern Mel (Table 4.220)

4.11.3 Proto-Mel

The table below gives an overview of South Mel and North Mel forms (Table 4.221).

4.12 Atlantic

Our step-by-step reconstruction of numeral systems in the Atlantic languages will be based on their classification suggested in Pozdniakov & Segerer 2017 (forthcoming) that distinguishes two main groups within the Atlantic family, namely Northern and Bak.

Table 4.220: Proto-Northern Mel numeral system (*)

| 1 | -in | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| 2 | -rəŋ | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | -sas | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | -ŋkɨlε/-nlε | 10 | təfʌt (< tə-f-ət?)/pu , wɨt∫ə? |
| 5 | kə-ţamaţ (< * kə- ţa 'hand'?) | 20 | 10*2, kə-gba (< *bay/bey |
| | | | 'chief'?) |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100, 1000 | absent |

Table 4.221: Proto-Mel numeral system (*)

| 1 | -in,< *lε/lɔ? | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 2 | díŋ/tsiŋ/tiŋ, -rəŋ | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | *tat (> sas, ra) | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | hiəl, -ŋkɨlɛ/<-nlɛ? | 10 | *pu/fu, 5pl? |
| 5 | wan/wen, <'hand' | 20 | 'person', 10pl? |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100, 1000 | absent |

4.12.1 Northern

The numeral systems of Northern Atlantic are treated below by sub-group.

4.12.1.1 Cangin

Table 4.222: Proto-Cangin numerals (*)

| 1 | no | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|---------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| 2 | nak | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | haj/?éeyə | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | nik-il < *nak-il? | 10 | sabbo (< Fula), da:ŋkah |
| 5 | jat (<'hand'), ʔiːp | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100, 1000 | < Wolof? Fula? |

Some of the reconstructions presented above are not immediately apparent and are in need of additional commentary. A detailed discussion of each of them

would be impossible here, so we will take the reconstruction suggested for 'four' (*nik-i*[) as a sample.

At first glance, the forms of 'four' attested in the Cangin languages have nothing in common. Two of the five Cangin languages have *kinil* 'four' (Ndut-Palor), whereas in the remaining three (Laala, Noon, and Safin) *nikis* is used in this function. The easiest solution to the problem would be to postulate two alternative forms for this group. However, as the evidence of comparative-historical phonetics suggests, the final -I in Ndut-Palor regularly corresponds to the final -s in Laala-Ndut-Safin (Table 4.223).

| *-[| 'eye' | 'black' | 'road' | 'four' |
|-------|-------------|-----------------|--------|-------------|
| Ndut | ?il | suul | wal | kinil |
| Palor | ?il | suul | waal | kinil, enil |
| Laala | kəs | *susu s | was | nikis |
| Noon | kwas | *su j us | waz | nigis |
| Safin | xa s | *suzu s | was | nikis |

Table 4.223: 1 ~ s regular correspondence in Cangin

This fact alone urges closer examination of the forms quoted above. Further analysis shows that a fossilized noun class prefix kV- is present in some of the Palor numerals, cf. *ka-nak* 'deux', *ke-jek* 'trois', *ki-nil* 'quatre', *kip* 'cinq. At the same time, the suffix -Vs is observable in the Noon numerals, cf. *jet-us* 'five'. This evidence combined suggests the following development of the forms for 'four' (Table 4.224).

Table 4.224: Development of *nik-Vl '4' in Cangin

| Proto-Cangin | *nik-V[| | |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Laala/Noon /Safin | *nik- V s | | nikis |
| Ndut/Palor | *ki -nik- V[| ki -nik-il | kinil |

4.12.1.2 Nyun-Buy

Numerical terms are highly divergent within this sub-group, so it seems reasonable to treat them by branch (Table 4.225).

Table 4.225: Nyun-Buy numerals

| | Nyun | Buy (Kobiana, Kasanga) |
|------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | duk | tee(na), -anɔ? |
| 2 | nak | naŋ |
| 3 | lal | taar |
| 4 | ren(d)-ek | sannaŋ |
| 5 | ci-lax (<'hand'), -məkila | ju-roog (<'hand'?) |
| 6 | 5+1 | 5+1 |
| 7 | 5+2 | 5+2 |
| 8 | 5+3 | 4+4 |
| 9 | 5+4 | 5+4 |
| 10 | ha-lax (<'hands') | 5PL, ntaajã |
| 20 | <'king' | < Mande, 10*2 |
| 100 | < Mande | < Mande, < French |
| 1000 | < Mande | ŋ-kontu < Portuguese ³³ |

The pattern '5'='hand' \sim '10'='hands' is immediately apparent in Nyun. In the case of Buy, it can be accepted only under the assumption that the derived term for 'five' became phonetically distant from its source form, cf. Kasanga ji-rek, Kobiana ji-hak 'hand' (these forms must be related to Nyun ci-lax 'hand'). In any case, the Kasanga term na-roog follows the pattern '5PL' that uses the same plural noun class as the one attested in na-rek 'hands'.

The forms for 'ten' attested in Joola Ejamat (Atlantic Bak) *si-ntaaja* is important for the diachronic interpretation of the Kobiana form *ntaajã*. The evidence suggests that the latter was probably directly borrowed from Joola³⁴ (as was *-anɔ?* 'one').

4.12.1.3 Jaad-Biafada

The forms of 'one' ($pi/n\varepsilon$) are distinguishable in the compound numerals, cf. Jaad pka- $in\varepsilon$ '6' ('5+1'), Biafada mpaaji nyi '7' ('6+1'), etc. The term for 'five' goes back to the lexical root meaning 'hand' (Biafada ga-bada, Jaad ko-bada).

³³Guillaume Segerer (p.c.).

³⁴According to Guillaume Segerer (p.c.) it is possible that the Ejamat and Kobiana forms both come from Manjak.

Table 4.226: Jaad-Biafada numerals

| 1 | nnəmma, *pi/nε/-inε, -kkã | 7 | 5+2, 6+1 (< Manjak) |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| 2 | ke, ma-ae | 8 | 5+3, wose/wase |
| 3 | jo/t∫aw | 9 | 5+4, leberebo |
| 4 | n(n)e/nnihi | 10 | (p)po |
| 5 | bəda (<ʻhand') | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | 5+1, paaji (< Manjak), ŋka-? | 100, 1000 | < Fula |

4.12.1.4 Tenda

The reconstruction of the Proto-Tenda numerals (K.Pozdniakov, ms) is based on a comparative analysis of five Tenda languages: Basari, Tanda, Bedik, Bapen, Konyagi.

Table 4.227: Tenda numerals (*)

| 1 | bat, ndi/riye/diye/iye, mbɔ | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 2 | ki | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | taţ | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | nàx | 10 | poxw |
| 5 | mbəd (<'hand'), cɔ/njɔ | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100, 1000 | < Fula, < Mande |

The etymology of the Konyagi term for 'five (*mbəd*') is based on the Jaad-Biafada evidence (these languages belong to the same sub-group as Tenda).

4.12.1.5 Fula-Sereer

The numerical terms are highly divergent within this sub-group, so it seems reasonable to treat them by language (Table 4.228).

The fact that the Seerer terms covering the sequence from 'two' to 'five' have the same final segment is noteworthy. This could potentially be interpreted as a special morpheme or as a sub-morpheme that resulted from alignment by analogy. This discussion will be resumed below. Here it can only be stated that the

³⁵Reviewing my first version of the book, Guillaume Segerer has advanced a new interesting etymology for Fula: *jow-i* '5' = *jun-ngo* '*jow-ngo* 'hand'. His hypothesis is quite possible.

Table 4.228: Fula-Sereer numerals

| | Fula | Sereer |
|------|----------------------|----------|
| 1 | go?o | leŋ |
| 2 | ɗiɗi | ɗik |
| 3 | tati | tadik |
| 4 | na(y)i | nahik |
| 5 | jo(w)i ³⁵ | 6e-tVk |
| 6 | 5+1 | 5+1 |
| 7 | 5+2 | 5+2 |
| 8 | 5+3 | 4+4 |
| 9 | 5+4 | 5+4 |
| 10 | sapp-o | xar6- |
| 20 | noogas/noogay | 10*2 |
| 100 | teeme- | < Fula |
| 1000 | < Mande, < Hausa | < Wolof? |

morphological analysis of the Sereer term for 'five' (*be-tVk*) suggested in the table below is not immediately apparent and is thus debatable. Within this approach the element **be**- is interpreted as a noun class prefix despite the fact that such a class is lacking in Sereer. Complex issues pertaining to the reconstruction of the term for 'five' will not be treated here. We shall only note that the plural animate class is reconstructable as **be**- (class 2) in Proto-Fula-Sereer.

4.12.1.6 Wolof

Table 4.229: Wolof numerals

| 1 | CL-enn | 7 | 5+2 | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|--|
| 2 | ñaar (< *CL-(X)aar) | 8 | 5+3 | |
| 3 | ñ-ett (< *CL-(X)ett) | 9 | 5+4 | |
| 4 | \tilde{n} -ent (< *CL-(X)en(i)t) | 10 | fukk | |
| 5 | jurom | 20 | < 'person', 10*2 | |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100, 1000 | < Fula, < Mande | |

The Wolof term for 'one' exhibits the agreement in noun class, cf. k-enn nit

'one person', *g-enn garab* 'one tree', *f-enn* 'somewhere', *l-enn* 'something', etc. The same can be applied to the terms covering the sequence from 'two' to 'four' as demonstrated in Pozdniakov 2015: 82. Nothing is known about the original radical of the root (assuming there was one) since it was replaced by a noun class consonant.

Speaking of 'twenty', it should be said that the form nit(t) (apparently related to the lexical root nit 'person') is widely used alongside the common Wolof pattern '10*2'.

4.12.1.7 Nalu-Baga Fore-Baga Mboteni

This sub-group is the most problematic within Northern Atlantic. Admittedly, the evidence pertaining to their classification as Northern is inconclusive. Moreover, the sub-group itself is highly heterogeneous, which affects its numeral systems as well. The pertinent data for each of these languages is provided below (Table 4.230).

| Table 4.230: Numeral | ls in Nalı | ı, Baga Fore | and Baga Mboteni |
|----------------------|------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | | |

| | Nalu | Baga Fore | Baga Mboteni |
|------|------------------------|--|-------------------|
| 1 | de:ndɪk | ki-ben | mbó |
| 2 | bi-lɛ | ci-di | sà-lé |
| 3 | p-aat | ci-tɛt | n-dér |
| 4 | bii-naaŋ | ci-nɛŋ | í-nà |
| 5 | teedoŋ (< tέ 'hand'?) | su-sa(n) | ì-rìβĕ, *ba(x)? |
| 6 | 5+1 | 5+1 | 5+1 |
| 7 | 5+2 | 5+2 | 5+2 |
| 8 | 5+3 | 5+3 | 5+3 |
| 9 | 5+4 | 5+4 | 5+4 |
| 10 | 5*2, *a-lafaŋ? | ε -t ε -l ε (<'hands'+2) | tèn (< '*hand'?) |
| 20 | 10*2 | 10*2 | 10*2 |
| 100 | m-laak | bɔ-1 | < Mande |
| 1000 | m-naak (100pl?) < Susu | tɛngbeŋ-1 | ? |

4.12.1.8 Proto-Atlantic North

The prospects for the reconstruction of the Proto-North Atlantic numerals are discussed below.

4.12.1.8.1 'One' (Table 4.231)

Table 4.231: Numerals for '1' in Northern Atlantic

| Cangin | | no | | |
|--------------|---------|-----|-----|--------------|
| Nyun | | | | duk |
| Buy | | no? | | tee(na) |
| Jaad-Biafada | *pi/nε | | | nnəmma,pakkâ |
| Tenda | di(ye) | | mbo | bat |
| Fula-Sereer | leŋ | | | go?o |
| Wolof | -enn | | | |
| Nalu | deendik | | mbó | ki-ben |

Isolated forms are quoted in the rightmost column. Direct parallels to some other forms are attested in Cangin – Buy $(n\mathfrak{I})$ and Konyagi – Baga Mboteni $(mb\mathfrak{I})$. The most common root is *di(n)/li(n)/li(n)/li(n)/li(n) (assuming that these forms are related).

4.12.1.8.2 'Two', 'Three' and 'Four' (Table 4.232)

The forms of 'two' in Tenda-Jaad-Biafada can be explained as a shared innovation, since these two branches belong to the same sub-group. The forms quoted in the two leftmost columns could be related, but the pertinent evidence is inconclusive. The roots *nak and *di(k) are reserved for further comparison.

As in the majority of other NC branches, the terms for 'three' and 'four' (tentatively recorded as *tat '3' and *nak '4') are fairly consistent in North Atlantic. Thus it appears that the terms for 'two' and 'four' are the same (or phonetically similar) across the languages of this branch. Cangin is the only language that does not comply with the additional distribution, because in the case of Cangin both terms are reconstructed as *nak. Interestingly, the form of 'four' bears a suffix, hence it could potentially be explained as a derivative of 'two'. At the same time, the root nak 'four' is reminiscent of one of the most persistent NC roots with this meaning.

| | '2' | '2' | '2' | '2' | '3' | '3' | ' 4' | '4' |
|----------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|---------|--------|---------------------|------------|
| Cangin | nak | | | | | haj | nik-il < nak-il? | |
| Nyun | nak | | | | lal | | | ren(d)-ek |
| Buy | naŋ | | | | taar | | | sannaŋ |
| Jaad- Biafada | | | ke | | | jo/caw | n(n)e(hi) | |
| Tenda | | | ki | | taţ | | nàx | |
| Fula-Sereer | | ɗik | | | tati(k) | | na(y)i(k) | |
| Wolof | | | | X-aar | X-ett | | X-en(i)t | |
| Nalu | | di/lε | | | tεt/tat | | naaŋ/ nεŋ/ na | |

Table 4.232: Numerals for '2'-'4' in Northern Atlantic

In Jaad-Biafada we find the root *jow/caw '3'. This is undoubtedly an innovation in the group which is represented by a remarkable isogloss. This is therefore an argument in favour of interpreting this group as part of the northern branch of the Atlantic family: Biafada -njo / bíí-co/ bií-yo '3', Jaad ma-cao/ ma-caw/ má-cɔu '3'. It is possible that we are dealing with an ancient borrowing of Proto-Jaad-Biafada from Mande (from saba 'three').

In theory, it is possible that forms attested in the Cangin languages (*ka-hay* / * ?e-jɛ?), also originated from the Mande form (likely weakened to *habi / hawi). In this case, we find either reflexes of the Proto-NC form *tath or borrowings (taking into account very ancient forms) – from the Mande languages in numerous Northern Atlantic languages.

4.12.1.8.3 'Four'

The root *na(h)i-k can be securely reconstructed for Proto-Northern Atlantic. As has been demonstrated above, the initial $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ - of the Wolof term is a reflex of a noun class prefix that replaced the initial radical of the root. The final -t in the Wolof term probably resulted from the alignment by analogy with the term for 'three' that ends in -t, cf. * \tilde{n} -eenk? $\rightarrow \tilde{n}$ -eent '4' by analogy with \tilde{n} -ett '3'.

4.12.1.8.4 'Five' (Table 4.233) and the terms from 'six' to 'nine'

The North Atlantic languages are characterized by the term for 'five' being systematically derived from the lexical root meaning 'hand'. Interestingly, this development seems to post-date the replacement of the original root for 'hand' by

su-sa(n), *ba(x)?

ribə(l)

| Cangin | jat (<'hand') | | | ?i:p | |
|--------------|------------------|--------|----------|------|---------|
| Nyun | ci-lax (<'hand') | | | | -məkila |
| Buy | | | ju-roog | | |
| Jaad-Biafada | bəda ('hand') | | | | |
| Tenda | mbəd (<'hand'?) | co/njo | | | |
| Fula-Sereer | | jo(w)i | * be-tVk | | |

teedon/*tee ('hand'?)

jurom

Wolof

Nalu

Table 4.233: Numerals for '5' in Northern Atlantic

an innovation in the majority of the branches. At least four independent formations of this kind are attested within eight branches (cf. the evidence quoted in the leftmost column of the table). Both Tenda and Jaad-Biafada terms for 'five' are of common ancestry: they seem to have developed from the root *bəda at the Proto-Jaad-Biafada level, since both languages belong to the same sub-group. This probably indicates that the pattern based on the term for 'hand' was used in the languages that belong to the Northern group at the proto-level (possibly as an alternative to the inherent NC root for 'five'). In view of this, the formal alterations of 'five' are easily explained as those automatically caused by the replacement of the inherent term for 'hand' by an innovation. As we hope to demonstrate in the next chapter, the derivational pattern 'hand' > 'five' is surprisingly rare in the NC languages. It is barely attested, for example, in Benue-Congo, thus being characteristic of the North Atlantic languages (and the Atlantic languages on the whole, see below).

In view of this, the reflexes of the inherent NC root for 'five' could have been preserved in only a minority of North Atlantic branches. The roots *jo/ co, *tVk/ rog and *rib/ ?i:p unrelated to the term for 'hand' deserve special attention within this context.

The pattern '5+' ('hand'+) can be securely reconstructed for the terms covering the sequence from 'six' to 'nine'. The uncommon pattern '7=6+1' attested in Biafada was borrowed from one of the Manjak languages (Atlantic Bak), as was the derived term for 'six' (*mpaaji*).

| | '10' | '10' | '10' | '20' | '20' | '20' |
|--------------|-------|-------------|--------------------|-------|----------|-------------------|
| Cangin | | | < Fula, da:ŋkah | 10*2 | | |
| Nyun | | <'hands' | - | | <'king' | |
| Buy | | 5PL | ntaajã | 10*2 | | < Mande |
| Jaad-Biafada | (p)po | | | 10*2 | | |
| Tenda | pəxw | | | 10*2 | | lapεm |
| Fula-Sereer | | | sapp-o, xarɓ- | 10*2 | | noogas/ noogay |
| Wolof | fukk | | | 10*2 | 'person' | J , |
| Nalu | | 5*2 | *a-lafaŋ? | 10*2? | _ | |

Table 4.234: Numerals and patterns for '10' and '20' in Northern Atlantic

4.12.1.8.5 'Ten' and 'Twenty' (Table 4.234)

With the evidence of the three branches, the reconstruction of the term for 'ten' (tentatively recorded as *pok) seems secure. Its attestations are admittedly limited, apparently due to its replacement with derived terms based on 'five' ('hand'). This reconstruction is also supported by the presence of the final velar: as we have seen, it is reconstructable in a number of other numerical terms at the proto-level.

The pattern for 'twenty' is reconstructable as '20=10*2'. Particular derivates based on the typologically widely attested patterns ('20' < 'person', 20 < 'king') seem to have formed independently.

4.12.1.8.6 'Hundred' and 'thousand'

The evidence points to the absence of these terms in Proto-North Atlantic. Attested forms are borrowings from 'influential' languages such as Fula, Wolof, Manding, Hausa (in the case of Niger Fulfulde). Interestingly, the terms in question are already borrowings in some of these source-languages.

Proto-North Atlantic numeral system (Table 4.235)

Table 4.235: Proto-North Atlantic numeral system (*)

| 1 | di(n)/li(n)/ye(n)/ne(n), mbɔ | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 2 | di(k), nak | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | taţ | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | nak | 10 | pok |
| 5 | <'hand', jo, tVk/rog, rib/?i:p | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100, 1000 | absent |

4.12.2 Bak

4.12.2.1 Joola languages

Over a hundred sources covering the numeral systems of fifteen major Joola dialects have been made available to us courtesy of Guillaume Segerer. His collection of evidence may be labeled a 'dialect atlas' of numerical terms. These terms often exhibit significant variations not only in their phonetics but in the inventory of lexical roots as well³⁶. The name Joola pertains to a group of at least seven related languages (including Bayot). A study of their numeral systems may help set a clearer distinction between these languages. Moreover, it might shed some light on their (hitherto unclear) internal classification.

Numerical terms as attested in ten major Joola languages are discussed below.

4.12.2.1.1 'One' (Table 4.236)

Table 4.236: Joola numerals for '1'

| Bliss | Kasa | Fogny | Keeraak | Bayot |
|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Banjal | Mlomp | Karon | Ejamat | Kwaatay |
| -anɔʔ -anor | -anor -anor (akon) (ta) | -anor -anor əkon | -anor -anor yinka, (sia) | (akon) don fɛnɛŋ |

³⁶I wish to express my gratitude to G.Segerer for his assistance with regard to the dialectal attribution of sources.

Contents

The main form is reconstructed as *-anor, with the initial vowel forming a part of the root. The only languages where this root is not present are Bayot (don '1') and Kwaatay (fenen '1'). The root akon with a vocalic opening (sporadically attested in Kasa and Bayot) is found in Fogny alongside *-anor.

4.12.2.1.2 'Two', 'three' and 'four' (Table 4.237)

Table 4.237: Joola numerals for '2'-'4'

| Bliss | Kasa | Fogny | Keeraak | Bayot |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Banjal ———————————————————————————————————— | Mlomp | Karon | Ejamat | Kwaatay |
| '2' | | | | |
| si-lubə? | si-łuby? | (liba) | sı'subə | ?i-rigə?/ |
| si-rubə | sı-subəl | su-supək/ çi-çipək ^h | si-lu:b3? | tīgga sī'subə |
| ' 2' | | | | |
| | si-gäbä, (ku-menten) | si-gäbä? | | |
| si-gaba? | , | | si-gäbä | |
| ' 3' | | | | |
| si-həəji | si-hx:ɟi? | si-feegiir/ si-fe:ɟiʔ | sı-hə:jı | i-fiigi?/ i-fəəʒi |
| gu-fı:gır/ si-fvɨjir | sı-hə:jıl | si-hə:ci:l | si-həəji, (fu- fooateen) | ki-hɤ:ɟiʔ |
| ' 4' | | | | |
| si-bäkir | si-bä:kir/ | si-bäkir/ | si-bacir | sı-paðit |
| si-baagir | si-bäki? sı-bacıl | si-ba:ci:r çı-päkil/ si-ba:ci:l | si-bäkir | ki-bäkir |

Two alternative roots for 'two' are attested in Joola, namely *si-{ubə?} and a relatively wide-spread *si-gaba?.

The term for 'three' goes back to *si-feegir, with its reflexes being attested in all dialects.

The term for 'four' is securely reconstructed as *si-bääkiŢ.

4.12.2.1.3 'Five' and 'ten' (Table 4.238)

Table 4.238: Joola numerals for '5' and '10'

| Bliss | Kasa | Fogny | Keeraak | Bayot |
|--------------------|---------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| Banjal | Mlomp | Karon | Ejamat | Kwaatay |
| ' 5' | | | | |
| hu-tək | hu-tək ^h | fu-tɔk/ u-sɔk | hu-tək | o-to/ |
| fu-tok | | ı-çäkʰ/ i-sak | fu-tɔk/ | ɔ-rɔ hu-tɔk |
| Tu-tok | | 1-60K / 1-8aK | hu-sok | nu-tsk |
| ' 5' | | | | |
| | (naa-suan) | | | |
| | ŋaa-suwaŋ | | | |
| ' 5' | | | | |
| | | *fu-tam | | |
| *tən | | | | |
| '10' | | | | |
| ku-ŋɛn | ku-ŋɛn | ku-ŋɛn | ku-ŋɛn | |
| <'hands' | <'hands' | <'hands' | <'hands' | |
| gu-ɲɛn <'hands' | | | ku-ŋɛn <'hands' | |
| '10' | | | | |
| | | | | gu-tie(pɔkɔ) |
| | se-bees | 200 0000000000000000000000000000000000 | | 'hands' |
| | se-bees 'hands' | ŋaa-suwan | | su-monu/ |
| | nanus | | | su-ŋɔmu 'hands' |

The Banjal form *tən (reconstructed on the basis of the compound numerical terms) and the (related?) Fogny form *fu-tam* attested in a source dating to the seventeenth century (d'Avezac 1845) are of special interest.

The Mlomp form of 'five' (sporadically attested in Kasa as well) is identical to the Karon form for 'ten' (*naa-suwan* in both cases). The etymology of these forms is unclear. At the same time, the majority of the forms for 'ten' (but not for 'five' as in the majority of the North Atlantic languages) go back to the lexical root meaning 'hands'. To illustrate this point, the lexical stems for 'hand' in the Joola languages are quoted in the table (Table 4.239).

As can be deduced from the presentation above, at least four lexical roots for 'hand' that serve as a basis for the terms for 'ten' are distinguishable in Joola. Interestingly, the source roots and the numerical terms that depend on them are not necessarily the same within a language. The main root is $*ku-\eta\varepsilon n/ku-\eta\varepsilon n$ '10' <'hands'. At the same time, $b\varepsilon\varepsilon s$ 'hand' yields $s\varepsilon-b\varepsilon\varepsilon s$ 'ten' in Mlomp. This derivative is not attested in in Kasa and Karon where $b\varepsilon\varepsilon s$ 'hand' alternates with $\eta\varepsilon n/\eta\varepsilon n$ 'hand'. The base $*ka-t\varepsilon$ 'hand' attested in Bayot and Kasa yields $gu-ti\varepsilon$ in Bayot. Finally, $\varepsilon-m\eta u$ 'hand' > $su-m\eta u$ 'ten' in Kwaatay (also $\varepsilon-\eta \tau u$ 'hand' > $su-\eta \tau u$ 'ten' with a metathesis).

As noted above, the root ε -ntaaja attested in Keeraak and Ejamat was possibly incorporated into Kobiana (North Atlantic). This root, admittedly very rare in the Joola cluster, is the only primary one for 'ten' and as such it deserves special attention (especially in view of its later replacement with the derivatives based on 'hand').

4.12.2.1.4 'Twenty', 'hundred', and 'thousand'

Two apparent derivational patterns are used for the term for 'twenty' in the Joola languages:

```
-'king': Bliss a-yuy, Banjal ə-vi/ə-vvi, Kasa a-yi/ ɔ-ji, Karon əwi, Bayot ə-y;
-'person': Kasa an / bu-k-an, Fogny ka-banan 'person finished'.
```

In Kwaatay the term for 'twenty' is based on 'mouth' (bu-tum-an).

The terms for 'hundred' and 'thousand' are borrowings from Mande or 'influential' Atlantic languages (often either Fula or Wolof) in the majority of the dialects, cf. *keme/teme* '100', *wuli, juni* '1000'.

In conclusion it should be added that the Joola terms covering the sequence from 'six' to 'nine' follow the common pattern '5+'.

Table 4.239: Joola stems for 'hand'

| Bliss | Kasa | Fogny | Keeraak | Bayot |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| Banjal | Mlomp | Karon | Ejamat | Kwaatay |
| 'hand' | | | | |
| ka-ŋɛn(ak) | ka-ŋɛn | ka-ŋen(ak)/ ka-ŋεn | ka-ŋɛn | |
| ga-ɲεn/ ka-ɲεn(ak) ' 'hand' | | ka-ɲɛn | ka-ŋεn(ak) | ka-ŋyɛn(ak) |
| | e-bees | | | |
| ε-pεs 'hand' | ε-bεεs | ε-ρεs/ ε-bεs | | |
| 'hand' | | | | ε-mɔŋu/ ε-ŋɔmu |
| папц | ka-se? | | | ka-te/ ga-te/ te/ kə-se |
| 'hand' | | | | |
| bu-lehej | | ε-lεcεs | | |
| 'hand' | | 'upper arm' | | |
| bi-lɛfɛj | | -FF | bu-lɛfec | |
| , | | | 'inner hand' | |
| 'hand' | | | | |
| ka- | | | kə-lənum | |
| şɛɲum(əku) | | | 'hand' | |

4.12.2.2 Manjak languages

This branch is represented by three closely related languages (Manjak, Mankanya, Pepel). Numerical terms attested in them are presented in the table below (Table 4.240).

Table 4.240: Manjak numerals

| 1 | lool(e)/loŋ | 7 | 6+1, jand/jaan?/ cand (Pepel) |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--------------------------------|
| 2 | -təb/-təw, -pugut/pugus (Pepel) | 8 | 4PL, koas/vas |
| 3 | wa-(y)ant/wa-jent/ jens | 9 | 10-1, (8+1) |
| 4 | baakər/wakər | 10 | 5pl ('hands'), (n)taaja/taaya, |
| | | | taim (Pepel) |
| 5 | ηεεn ('hand') | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | paagi/paaji | 100 | < French |
| | | 1000 | kont |

As can be gleaned from the table, the Manjak stems for numerals are very different from those attested in Joola. At the same time, morphological and lexical evidence strongly suggests that these two branches are genetically the closest and belong to the same Bak sub-group.

This implies that the numeral system of one of these branches must have undergone systematic innovations. We will reserve our conclusions until the evidence from the other Bak sub-groups, i.e. Balant and Bijogo, is reviewed.

4.12.2.3 Balant

Despite the fact that Balant is usually treated as one language, we will present the evidence of Balant Ganja and Balant Kentohe separately (Table 4.241), because the difference between these two idioms is of key importance to our study.

The opening sequence of the Ganja terms is quoted according to Creissels & Biaye 2015. They form the most reliable part of the presentation. A few remarks pertaining to the differences in these Balant dialects are in order. First of all, the Balant Kentohe terms for 'one', 'two', 'three' and 'six' exhibit a final homorganic nasal of uncertain origin. The forms attested by Koelle in the 19th century sources suggest that we are dealing with a morpheme -n not assimilated to a preceeding consonant by point of articulation. Secondly, Koelle's evidence speaks in favor of 'six' being a base for a larger group of numerical terms. According to him, not only 'eight' and 'nine' but also 'ten' followed the pattern '6+'.

Table 4.241: Balant numerals

| | Balant Ganja | Balant Kentohe |
|------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | hódà/wódā/-oda?, bóódíbó/wodibo | -ɔɔdn/ho:dn/fóóda |
| | (counting) | |
| 2 | sìbí/-sebe | -sıbm/-sebm/g-ʃiíbn (Koelle |
| | | 1963[1854]) |
| 3 | hàbí/yààbiī̄ | -habm/káábn (Koelle 1963[1854]) |
| 4 | tàllá/tàhàlā | -tasla/tahla/tá∫iila (Koelle |
| | | 1963[1854]) |
| 5 | jìíf/jéèf | cuf/'-cef/kiif ~ ciif (Koelle |
| | | 1963[1854]) |
| 6 | fááj/faac | mfaacn/faad (Koelle 1963[1854]), |
| | | 5+1 |
| 7 | 6+1 | 6+1, 5+2 |
| 8 | táhtállà/tāntàhlā (4 redupl.), 6+2 | 5+3, 6+2 (Koelle 1963[1854]) |
| 9 | jíntàllá/jīntàhlā (5+4) | 5+4, 6+3 (Koelle 1963[1854]) |
| 10 | jímmín/jīnmīnn (<5?) | cıfmıın/f-cef meen (<5?), 6+4 |
| | | (Koelle 1963[1854]) |
| 20 | 10*2 | <'person' |
| 100 | gèmé/kɛmɛ (borrowed) | <'5 persons' |
| 1000 | wílí (borrowed), kont | f-ko:nti |

4.12.2.4 Bijogo

Let us examine an analysis of the Bijogo numeral system found in (Segerer 2002). According to him, the term for 'one' is *nood* ('cette forme est retenue pour l'énumération abstraite', ibid. 171). His interpretation of *-d as the only true reflex of the etymon (with other segments ensuring the grammatical agreement) is immediately convincing, cf. the following examples quoted by him (ibid. 171):

- (2) a. o-to o-nood 'a person'
 - b. e-booti ε - $n\varepsilon\varepsilon d$ 'a dog'
 - c. u-gbe u-need 'a road'
 - d. ka-jɔkɔ n-ka-d 'a house'
 - e. η*ɔ-katɔ* η-η*ɔ-d* 'a fish'.

Table 4.242: Bijogo numerals

| | Bijogo Kagbaga (Bubaque) | Bijogo (other dialects) |
|------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | n-ɔɔd (*-d) | |
| 2 | n-somb (Segerer, p.c.), n-sombεnt | sòòbέ/súngb/cuuwε, ndank (Kamona) |
| 3 | ກ-ກວ-ວkວ (<'fingers') | |
| 4 | ya-agenek | |
| 5 | n-de-ɔkɔ (dε 'to finish', -ɔkɔ | nu-duβ-ɔkɔ (Kamona) |
| | 'hand') | |
| 6 | 5+1 | |
| 7 | 5+2 | |
| 8 | 5+3 | |
| 9 | 5+4 | |
| 10 | n-ruakə (ru 'to rise', -əkə 'hand') | |
| 20 | o-joko ('person'), -ansak-o-to ('to | ŋɔjɛt oto (Kamona), |
| | finish'+'somebody') | Koelle 1963[1854]: |
| | | ríaakóóto/ŋórembaſóóto |
| 100 | 20*5 | |
| 1000 | kuntu | |

Segerer justly observes that 'La forme générale de l'élément ayant pour valeur 'un (autre)' est donc **(V)-n-pC-d**, où **pC** est le préfixe de classe du nom déterminé' (ibid. 171).

He also quotes the form *dideeki* 'seul' (var. *deeki* 'tout seul'). A variant of this form probably appears as $\grave{e}d\grave{i}g\acute{e}/$ $n\acute{e}\acute{e}dig\emph{e}/$ $m\acute{o}dii\emph{g}\emph{e}$ 'one' in Wilson and Koelle.

As established by Segerer, the same root is attested as $\jmath k\jmath$ in the terms for 'five' and 'ten'.

4.12.2.5 Proto-Bak

Now we will compare the Bak numerals.

4.12.2.5.1 'One' (Table 4.243)

Table 4.243: Bak numerals for '1'

| Joola | don | -anor, əkon, fɛnɛŋ, yinka, (sia), (ta) |
|--------|---------------------|--|
| Manjak | lool(e)/ loŋ | |
| Balant | | -ɔda? |
| Bijogo | *d | -edìgɛ |

A comparison of the terms quoted in the leftmost column yields the form that can be tentatively recorded as *don. The rightmost column gives an overview of roots attested in only one out of four branches.

4.12.2.5.2 'Two' (Table 4.244)

Table 4.244: Bak numerals for '2'

| Joola | si- 1 ubə? | si-gaba? |
|--------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Manjak | | -təb/-təw, pugut/pugus |
| Balant | sıbı/-sebe | |
| Bijogo | sòòbé/súngb/cuuwe | |

The leftmost column presents the root attested in three sub-groups. It is traceable to *tuba?.

4.12.2.5.3 'Three' and 'four' (Table 4.245)

For the first time in our step-by-step analysis of numeral systems in the numerous NC families we observe the existence of a separate root for 'three' in each of the branches of a language group.

The term for 'four' exhibits an isolated Joola-Manjak innovation as well as isolated innovations in Balant and Bijogo.

Table 4.245: Bak numerals for '3' and '4'

| | '3' | '4' | ' 4' |
|--------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Joola | si-feegir | si-bääkiŗ | |
| Manjak | wa-(y)ant/wa-jent/jens | baakər/wakər | |
| Balant | habi/yabi | | tasala/tahala |
| Bijogo | n-nɔ-ɔkɔ (<'fingers') | | ya-agenek |

4.12.2.5.4 'Five' (Table 4.246)

Table 4.246: Bak numerals for '5'

| Joola | | fu-tɔk, tən?, ŋaa-suwaŋ? (cf. '10') |
|--------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Manjak | μεεn ('hand') (cf. Joola '10') | |
| Balant | | jìíf/jéèf |
| Bijogo | n-de-ɔkɔ (dε 'to finish', -ɔkɔ | |
| | 'hand') | |

The pattern 'hand' > '5' is traceable within two branches. However, the roots involved are different in each case. Numerous isolated forms are grouped together in the rightmost column.

The terms from 'six' to 'nine' (Table 4.247)

Table 4.247: Bak numerals and patterns for '6'-'9'

| | ' 6' | ' 6' | ' 7' | ' 8' | ' 9' |
|------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Joola Manjak | 5+1 | paagi/ paaji | 5+2 6+1, jand/ jaan?/ cand | 5+3 4PL, koas/ ชกร | 5+4 10-1, (8+1) |
| Balant Bijogo | 5+1 | fááj/ faac | 6+1 5+2 | 4 redupl., 6+2 5+3 | 6+3, 5+4 5+4 |

The form *paag/paaj 'six' is a common Manjak-Balant isogloss³⁷. It is not

³⁷Guillaume Segerer is right to note (p.c.) that the Manjak-Balant form *paag- '6' may be ralated to Joola *-feegir/-həəji '3'

surprising that the primary term for 'six' attested in these languages served as the basis for the '7=6+1' pattern. This pattern received further development in Balant where it was employed for terms up to 'ten' (i.e. '10=6+4') according to the 19^{th} century sources. At the same time, the archaic pattern '8=4PL'/'8=4 redupl.' is attested in these languages alongside the pattern '8=6+2'.

4.12.2.5.5 'Ten' (Table 4.248)

| Joola | ε-ntaaja ³⁸ | ku-ŋɛn/ ɲɛn 'hands' | ʻhands' (bεεs, moηu/ | ŋaa-suwan |
|--------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | | Hanus | nomu, tie) | |
| Manjak | (n)taaja/ taaya | | 5pl ('hands') | taim |
| Balant | | | | jímmín, 6+4 |
| Bijogo | | | n-ruakɔ | |
| | | | (ru 'to rise', | |
| | | | -ɔkɔ 'hand') | |

Table 4.248: Bak numerals for '10'

In addition to the common pattern '10 = 'hands'', both branches share a common root (*ntaaja*) that could be interpreted as a shared Proto-Joola-Manjak innovation.

4.12.2.5.6 'Twenty', 'hundred' and 'thousand'

The term for 'twenty' is based on the lexical root meaning 'person' in all of the branches (except for Manjak, where it was replaced with the pattern '20=10*2'). The same development is observable in Balant Ganja as well.

The terms for 'hundred' and 'thousand' are most likely borrowings. However, the origin of kont/kunt 'thousand' attested in three of the Bak branches deserves special discussion (in North Atlantic this root (η -kontu) is found in both of the Buy languages).

Overview of the Bak numerical terms (Table 4.249)

³⁸The stem is attested only in Joola Feloup, so, it seems to be borrowed from Manjak.

Table 4.249: Bak numerals

| 1 | don/lɔŋ, -anor, əkon | 7 | 6+1, 5+2, jand/jaan?/ cand (Pepel) |
|---|--------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|
| 2 | łubə?, -təb/-təw, -pugut/pugus | s 8 | 4PL/4 redupl., υλs |
| 3 | feegir, yant/jent, habi/yabi | 9 | 5+4, 10-1, 6+3 |
| 4 | baakər/wakər, tasala/tahala | 10 | 5pl ('hands'), (n)taaj, taim, -suwan |
| 5 | 'hand', tɔk, tən? | 20 | 'person', 10*2 |
| 6 | paag/paaj, 5+1 | 100 | borrowed |
| | | 1000 | kunt (borrowed?) |

4.12.3 North Atlantic and Bak Atlantic numerals in the comparative perspective

It should be stressed that the Atlantic family is among the most divergent within Niger-Congo. Some of the numerical terms in both of the Atlantic groups exhibit a variety of forms potentially explained as Proto-NC reflexes. Moreover, the comparative evidence presented in Tables 3.225 (Proto-North-Atlantic) and 3.239 (Proto-Bak-Atlantic) points to the near total absence of common roots present in both groups. The only exception to this is the root $t \frac{t}{2} \frac{t}{V} k$ 'five'.

In view of this, the only available solution would be the study of the Atlantic evidence within a wider NC context (i.e. in contrast to the reconstructions available for other NC families). A comparison of the intermediate reconstructions within the macro-family will be offered in the next chapter.

4.13 Isolated languages vs. Atlantic and Mel

According to the traditional classification outlined in Sapir 1971, Limba, Sua and Gola belong to the Atlantic languages. However, as we tried to demonstrate in Pozdniakov & Segerer 2017 (forthcoming) this hypothesis is as ill-grounded today as it was half a century ago.

An overview of the pertinent data for each language is presented in the tables below.

Table 4.250: Sua numerals

| 1 | sən | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|--------|------|----------|
| 2 | cen | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | b-rar | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | b-nan | 10 | teŋi |
| 5 | səŋgun | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | kεmε |
| | | 1000 | uŋ-kɔntu |

Table 4.251: Gola numerals

| 1 | guùŋ | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|------------------|------|-----------|
| 2 | tì-yèe/tī-el/cel | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | taai/tāāl | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | tii-nàŋ | 10 | zììyà |
| 5 | nòònòŋ | 20 | kpè(w)ùŋ |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | 20*5 |
| | | 1000 | < English |

4.13.1 Sua

4.13.2 Gola

4.13.3 Limba

Table 4.252: Limba numerals

| 1 | ha-nthe | 7 | 5+2 |
|---|--------------|------|-----------------------|
| 2 | ka-le/kaa-ye | 8 | 5+3 |
| 3 | ka-tati | 9 | 5+4 |
| 4 | ka-naŋ | 10 | kəhi |
| 5 | ka-səhi | 20 | 10*2 |
| 6 | 5+1 | 100 | kεmε, wuli (borrowed) |
| | | 1000 | wulu (< Mande) |

Contents

The chapter 3 includes 250 tables presenting the evidence by group, branch or sometimes a dialect of a certain language. Among them are summary tables that provide an overview of the numerical terms in twelve major families of Niger-Congo and in a number of isolated languages. Our attempt at reconstructing the Proto-Niger-Congo numeral system on the basis of this comprehensive evidence will be presented in the chapter 4.

References

- Blench, Roger. 2013. *Niger-Congo: An alternative view*. http://www.rogerblench.info/Language/Niger-Congo/General/Niger-Congo%20an%20alternative%20view.pdf. Ms.
- Boyd, Raymond. 1989. Number systems in the adamawa branch of Niger-Congo. *African Languages and Cultures* 2(2). 149–173.
- Boyeldieu, Pascal. 1982. *Deux études laal (Moyen-Chari, tchad)*. Berlin: Dietrich Reimer Verlag.
- Carlson, Robert J. 1993. A sketch of jo: A mande language with a feminine pronoun. *Mandenkan* 25. 1–109.
- Creissels, Denis & Séckou Biaye. 2015. Le balant ganja: Phonologie, morphosyntaxe, liste lexicale, textes. Dakar: IFAN.
- d'Avezac, Armand. 1845. Notice sur le pays et le peuple des Yébous en Afrique. *Mémoires de la Société Ethnologique* 2. 1–196.
- Djilla, Mama, Bart Eenkhoorn & Jacqueline Eenkhoorn-Pilon. 2004. *Phonologie du jôwulu ("samogho"): Langue mandé du Mali et du burkina faso.* Köln: Rüdiger Köppe Verlag.
- Elders, Stefan. 2007. Kulango. In Gudrun Miehe, Brigitte Reineke & Kerstin Winkelmann (eds.), Noun class systems in Gur languages. Southwestern Gur languages (without Gurunsi), vol. 2007, 292–330. Köln: Rüdiger Köppe.
- Hochstetler, Lee. 1996. Enquête linguistique sur le duungoma: Une langue samogo parlée au burkina faso et au mali. *Mandenkan* 31. 1–57.
- Kaliai, M. H. I. 1964. Nembe-English dictionary. (R. Blench ed. 2008).
- Kastenholz, Raimund & Ulrich Kleinewillinghöfer. 2012. *Nimbari as a language name*. https://www.blogs.uni-mainz.de/fb07-adamawa/files/2012/06/Nimbari.pdf.
- Koelle, Sigismund Wilhelm. 1963[1854]. Polyglotta africana, or a comparative vocabulary of nearly three hundred words and phrases in more than one hundred distinct African languages. London: Church Missionary House.
- Miehe, Gudrun, Brigitte Reineke & Kerstin Winkelmann (eds.). 2007. Noun class systems in Gur languages. Southwestern Gur languages (without Gurunsi). Vol. I. Köln: Rüdiger Köppe.

- Miehe, Gudrun & Florian Tham. 2007. Lobiri. I. 211-237.
- Moñino, Yves. 1995. Le proto-gbaya: Essai de linguistique comparative historique sur vingt-et-une langues d'afrique centrale. Paris: Editions Peeters pour l'Société des Études Linguistiques et Anthropologiques de France (SELAF) avec le concours du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS).
- Naden, Anthony Joshua. 1989. Gur. In John T. Bendor-Samuel (ed.), *The Niger-Congo languages: A classification and description of africa's largest language family*, 140–168. Lanham MD, New York & London: University Press of America. By arrangement with the Summer Institute of Linguistics (SIL).
- Pozdniakov, Konstantin. 2010. *Laal: an isolate language?* Paper presented at the Workshop 'Language Isolates in Africa', Lyon, December 3-4, 2010.
- Pozdniakov, Konstantin. 2015. Diachronie des classes nominales atlantiques: Morphophonologie, morphologie, sémantique. In Denis Creissels & Konstantin Pozdniakov (eds.), *Les classes nominales dans les langues atlantiques*, 54–102. Köln: Rüdiger Köppe Verlag.
- Pozdniakov, Konstantin & Guillaume Segerer. 2017. Genealogical classification of Atlantic languages. In Friederike Lüpke (ed.), Oxford guide to the world's languages: Atlantic. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Prost, André. 1958. Quelques notes sur le don (samogho). Bulletin de l'Institut Fondamental d'Afrique Noire 20-3/4. 612-623.
- Prost, André. 1968. *Deux langues voltaïques en voie de disparition: Le wara et le natioro*. Dakar: Université de Dakar.
- Sapir, J. David. 1971. West atlantic: An inventory of the languages, their noun class systems and consonant alternation. In Thomas A. Sebeok (ed.), *Linguistics in sub-Saharan Africa* (Current trends in linguistics 7), 45–112. The Hague & Paris: Mouton & Co.
- Sawadogo, Tasséré. 2002. *Rapport d'enquête sur le natioro*. Electronic document. Segerer, Guillaume. 2002. *La langue bijogo de bubaque (guinée bissau)*. Louvain, Paris: Editions Peeters.
- Vogler, Pierre. 2015. Le sèmè / siamou n'est pas kru. <hal-01182225>.
- Vydrin, Valentin. 2007. South mande reconstruction: Initial consonants. In *Aspekty komparativistiki-2. Orientalia et classica XI: Trudy instituta vostochnykh kul'tur i antichnosti*, 409–498. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo Rossijskogo Gosudarstvennogo Gumanitarnogo Universiteta.
- Vydrin, Valentin. 2016. Toward a Proto-Mande reconstruction and an etymological dictionary. *Faits de langues* 48. 109–123.
- Vydrin, Valentin & Elena Perekhvalskaya. 2015. Sistemy schislenija v jazykakh mande (системы счисления в языках манде) [counting systems in

- mande languages]. In Alexander Zheltov (ed.), *Afrikanskij sbornik 2015* (африканский сборник 2015) [African collection 2015]. 356–377. St. Petersburg: Muzej antropologii i etnografii RAN (Kunstkamera).
- Vydrine, Valentin. 2009. Esquisse de la langue lélé (groupe mokolé). *Mandenkan* 45. 29–104.
- Winkelmann, Kerstin. 2007a. Akasele. In Gudrun Miehe, Brigitte Reineke & Kerstin Winkelmann (eds.), *Noun class systems in Gur languages. Southwestern Gur languages (without Gurunsi)*, vol. 2012, 407–424. Köln: Rüdiger Köppe.
- Winkelmann, Kerstin. 2007b. Khe. In Gudrun Miehe, Brigitte Reineke & Kerstin Winkelmann (eds.), *Noun class systems in Gur languages. Southwestern Gur languages (without Gurunsi)*, vol. 2007, 181–193. Köln: Rüdiger Köppe.

The numeral system of Proto-Niger-Congo

This book proposes the reconstruction of the Proto-Niger-Congo numeral system. The emphasis is placed on providing an exhaustive account of the distribution of forms by families, groups, and branches. The big data bases used for this purpose open prospects for both working with the distribution of words that do exist and with the distribution of gaps in postulated cognates. The distribution of filled cells and gaps is a useful tool for reconstruction.

The first chapter of this book is devoted to the study of various uses of noun class markers in numeral terms. The second chapter deals with the alignment by analogy in numeral systems. Chapter 3 offers a step-by-step reconstruction of number systems of the proto-languages underlying each of the twelve major NC families, on the basis of the step-by-step-reconstruction of numerals within each family. Chapter 4 deals with the reconstruction of the Proto-Niger-Congo numeral system on the basis of the step-by-step-reconstructions offered in Chapter 3. Chapter 5 traces the history of the numerals of Proto-Niger-Congo, reconstructed in Chapter 4, in each individual family of languages.