

## BI / read / 1

BI 1	query	BI / read / 1			
BI 2	title	Posting summary			
BI 3	pattern	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content;"> <b>▼ message: Message</b>  <i>creationDate &lt; \$datetime</i>  <b>length</b>  <i>year(creationDate)</i> </div>			
BI 4		Given a \$datetime, find all Messages created before that moment. Group them by a 3-level grouping:			
BI 5		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. by year of creation</li> <li>2. for each year, group into Message types: is Comment or not</li> <li>3. for each year-type group, split into four groups based on length of their content           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0: <math>0 \leq \text{length} &lt; 40</math> (short)</li> <li>• 1: <math>40 \leq \text{length} &lt; 80</math> (one liner)</li> <li>• 2: <math>80 \leq \text{length} &lt; 160</math> (tweet)</li> <li>• 3: <math>160 \leq \text{length}</math> (long)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>			
BI 6	description				
BI 7	params	1	\$datetime	DateTime	
BI 8					
BI 9					
BI 10					
BI 11					
BI 12					
BI 13					
BI 14					
BI 15					
BI 16					
BI 17					
BI 18					
BI 19					
BI 20					
	result	1	year	32-bit Integer	R year(message.creationDate)
		2	isComment	Boolean	M True for Comments, False for Posts
		3	lengthCategory	32-bit Integer	C 0 for short, 1 for one-liner, 2 for tweet, 3 for long
		4	messageCount	64-bit Integer	A Total number of Messages in that group
		5	averageMessageLength	32-bit Float	A Average length of the Message content in that group
		6	sumMessageLength	64-bit Integer	A Sum of all Message content lengths
		7	percentageOfMessages	32-bit Float	A Number of Messages in group as a percentage of all messages created before the given date
	sort	1	year	↓	
		2	isComment	↑	False < True, i.e. Posts come first and Comments second
		3	lengthCategory	↑	
	limit	n/a			
	CPs	1.2, 3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 8.5			

BI / read / 2

BI 1	query	BI / read / 2		
BI 2	title	Tag evolution		
BI 3				
BI 4				
BI 5				
BI 6				
BI 7				
BI 8				
BI 9				
BI 10				
BI 11				
BI 12				
BI 13				
BI 14				
BI 15				
BI 16				
BI 17				
BI 18				
BI 19				
BI 20				
pattern	<pre> classDiagram     class TagClass {         name = \$tagClass     }     class tag {         name     }     TagClass "1" --&gt; "2" tag : hasType     tag "1" --&gt; "2" name : hasType     tag "*" --&gt; "1" message : &lt;&lt;opt&gt;&gt; hasTag     tag "*" --&gt; "1" message : &lt;&lt;opt&gt;&gt; hasTag     message "1" --&gt; "1" countWindow1 : count(message)     message "1" --&gt; "1" countWindow2 : count(message)     message "1" --&gt; "1" creationDate : creationDate in [\$date, \$date+100 days]     message "1" --&gt; "1" creationDate : creationDate in [\$date+100 days, \$date+200 days]   </pre>			
	<p>Find the Tags under a given \$tagClass that were used in Messages during in the 100-day time window starting at \$date and compare it with the 100-day time window that follows. For the Tags and for both time windows, compute the count of Messages.</p>			
params	1	\$date Date	Based on the creation day – TagClass – number of Messages factor table: (a) A flashmob date (b) A non-flashmob date	
	2	\$tagClass Long String	For both (a) and (b), TagClasses with a similar amount of Messages are selected	
result	1	tag.name Long String	R	Occurrences of the tag during the first time window
	2	countWindow1 32-bit Integer	A	Occurrences of the tag during the second time window
	3	countWindow2 32-bit Integer	A	Absolute difference of countWindow1 and countWindow2
	4	diff 32-bit Integer	A	
sort	1	diff ↓		
	2	tag.name ↑		
limit	100			
CPs	2.4, 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 5.3, 6.1, 8.2, 8.5			

### BI / read / 3

BI 1	query	BI / read / 3		
BI 2	title	Popular topics in a country		
BI 3	pattern			
BI 4		<p>Given a \$tagClass and a \$country, find all the Forums created in the given \$country, containing at least one Message with Tags belonging directly to the given \$tagClass, and count the Messages by the Forum which contains them.</p> <p>The location of a Forum is identified by the location of the Forum's moderator.</p>		
BI 5	description	<p>1 \$tagClass Long String TagClasses with a similar amount of Messages are selected</p>		
BI 6		<p>2 \$country Long String Big Countries are selected</p>		
BI 7	result	1	forum.id	ID
BI 8		2	forum.title	Long String
BI 9		3	forum.creationDate	DateTime
BI 10		4	person.id	ID
BI 11		5	messageCount	32-bit Integer
BI 12	sort	1	messageCount	↓
BI 13		2	forum.id	↑
BI 14	limit	20		
BI 15	CPs	1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 2.2, 2.4, 3.3, 8.2		
BI 16				
BI 17				
BI 18				
BI 19				
BI 20				

## BI / read / 4

BI 1	query	BI / read / 4		
BI 2	title	Top message creators by country		
BI 3	pattern			
BI 4	description	<p>Find the most popular Forums by Country, where the popularity of a Forum is measured by the number of members that Forum has from a given Country and the Forum was created after a given \$date.</p> <p>Calculate the top 100 most popular Forums. If a Forum is popular in multiple countries, it should only be calculated once with its largest membership. In case of a tie, the Forum with the smaller id value should be selected.</p> <p>For each member Person of the 100 most popular Forums, count the number of Messages (messageCount) they made in any of those (most popular) Forums. Also include those member Persons who have not posted any Messages (have a messageCount of 0).</p>		
BI 5	params	1	\$date	Date
BI 6		Selected from the first 30 days of the network		
BI 7	result	1	person.id	ID
BI 8		2	person.firstName	String
BI 9		3	person.lastName	String
BI 10		4	person.creationDate	DateTime
BI 11		5	messageCount	32-bit Integer
BI 12	sort	1	messageCount	↓
BI 13		2	person.id	↑
BI 14	limit	100		
BI 15	CPs	1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 3.3, 5.3, 6.1, 8.2, 8.4		

## BI / read / 5

BI 1	query	BI / read / 5			
BI 2	title	Most active posters of a given topic			
BI 3	pattern				
BI 4		<p>Get each Person (person) who has created a Message (message) with a given \$tag (direct relation, not transitive). Considering only these Messages, for each Person node:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Count its Messages (messageCount).</li> <li>• Count likes (likeCount) to its Messages.</li> <li>• Count Comments (replyCount) in reply to its Messages.</li> </ul> <p>The score is calculated according to the following formula: <math>1 \times \text{messageCount} + 2 \times \text{replyCount} + 10 \times \text{likeCount}</math>.</p>			
BI 5	params	1	\$tag	Long String	Tags with a similar amount of Messages are selected. To avoid caching, different Tags should be used than the ones in Q6 and Q7.
BI 6		2			
BI 7	result	3	person.id	ID	R
BI 8		4	replyCount	32-bit Integer	A
BI 9		5	likeCount	32-bit Integer	A
BI 10			messageCount	32-bit Integer	A
BI 11			score	32-bit Integer	A
BI 12	sort	1	score	↓	
BI 13		2	person.id	↑	
BI 14	limit	100			
BI 15	CPs	1.2, 2.3, 2.6, 8.2			
BI 16					
BI 17					
BI 18					
BI 19					
BI 20					

## BI / read / 6

BI 1	query	BI / read / 6			
BI 2	title	Most authoritative users on a given topic			
BI 3	pattern				
BI 4	description	<p>Given a \$tag, find all Persons (person1) that ever created a Message with the \$tag. For each of these Persons (person1) compute their “authority score” as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The “authority score” is the sum of “popularity scores” of the Persons (person2) that liked any of that Person’s Messages with the given \$tag (same criterion as for message1).</li> <li>A Person’s (person2) “popularity score” is defined as the total number of likes (by any Person person3) on any of their Messages (message2).</li> </ul>			
BI 5	params	1	\$tag	Long String	Tags with a similar amount of Messages are selected. To avoid caching, different Tags should be used than the ones in Q5 and Q7.
BI 6	result	1	person1.id	ID	R
BI 7		2	authorityScore	32-bit Integer	A
BI 8	sort	1	authorityScore	$\downarrow$	
BI 9		2	person1.id	$\uparrow$	
BI 10	limit	100			
BI 11	CPs	1.2, 2.3, 2.6, 3.3, 6.1, 8.2			
BI 12	relevance	Computing the authority scores might involve computing the popularity score for the same Person multiple times. Implementations are advised to avoid such redundant computations.			
BI 13					
BI 14					
BI 15					
BI 16					
BI 17					
BI 18					
BI 19					
BI 20					

## BI / read / 7

BI 1	query	BI / read / 7			
BI 2	title	Related topics			
BI 3	pattern				
BI 4		<p>Find all Messages that have a given \$tag. Find the related Tags attached to (direct) reply Comments of these Messages, but only of those reply Comments that do not have the given \$tag. Group the related Tags by name, and get the count of replies in each group.</p>			
BI 5	description	<p>Tags with a similar amount of Messages are selected. To avoid caching, different Tags should be used than the ones in Q5 and Q6.</p>			
BI 6		<p>params    1    \$tag    Long String    2    count    32-bit Integer</p>			
BI 7	result	1	relatedTag.name	Long String	R
BI 8		2	count	32-bit Integer	A
BI 9	sort	1	count	↓	
BI 10		2	relatedTag.name	↑	
BI 11	limit	100			
BI 12	CPs	1.4, 3.3, 5.2, 8.1			
BI 13					
BI 14					
BI 15					
BI 16					
BI 17					
BI 18					
BI 19					
BI 20					

## BI / read / 8

BI 1	query	BI / read / 8			
BI 2	title	Central person for a tag			
BI 3	pattern	<p>For each person with a matching hasInterest and/or hasCreator edge, compute person.score = (if hasInterest edge exists then 100 else 0) + count(message)</p>			
BI 4		<p>Calculate the sum of the friends' scores: friendsScore = sum(friend.score)</p> <p>person: Person —————— «opt» knows —————— friend: Person</p>			
BI 5	description	<p>Given a \$tag, find all Persons that are interested in the \$tag and/or have written a Message (Post or Comment) with a creationDate after a given \$startDate and that has a given \$tag. For each Person, compute the score as the sum of the following two aspects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 100, if the Person has this \$tag as their interest, or 0 otherwise</li> <li>• number of Messages by this Person with the given \$tag</li> </ul> <p>Also, for each Person, compute the sum of the score of the Person's friends (friendsScore).</p>			
BI 6		<p>1 \$tag Long String Tags with a similar amount of Messages are selected</p> <p>2 \$startDate Date (a): A range during which a flashmob event happened (it should yield at least a 5x difference) (b): A regular range (does not include a flashmob event)</p> <p>3 \$endDate Date</p>			
BI 7	result	1 person.id ID R	2 score 32-bit Integer A	3 friendsScore 32-bit Integer A	The sum of the score of the person's friends
BI 8		1 score + friendsScore ↓	2 person.id ↑		
BI 9	limit	100			
BI 10	CPs	1.2, 2.1, 2.3, 3.2, 5.3, 8.2, 8.4, 8.5			
BI 11	relevance	<p>Similarly to BI 16, there are two major ways to compute this query: (1) creating an induced subgraph of the interested Persons and their friends and performing the scoring on this graph or (2) performing the scoring without creating an induced subgraph and scoring the friends of a Person on-the-fly. The first approach is more efficient as it avoids redundant computations, however, specifying it needs support for composable graph queries.</p>			
BI 12					
BI 13					
BI 14					
BI 15					
BI 16					
BI 17					
BI 18					
BI 19					
BI 20					

## BI / read / 9

BI 1	query	BI / read / 9			
BI 2	title	Top thread initiators			
BI 3	pattern	<pre> graph LR     Person[Person] -- hasCreator --&gt; Post[Post]     Post -- "threadCount = count" --&gt; Post     Post -- "creationDate in [\$startDate, \$endDate]" --&gt; Post     Post -- replyOf*0.. --&gt; Message[Message]     Message -- "messageCount = count" --&gt; Message     Message -- "creationDate in [\$startDate, \$endDate]" --&gt; Message   </pre>			
BI 4	description	<p>For each Person, count the number of Posts they created in the time interval <math>[\\$startDate, \\$endDate]</math> (equivalent to the number of threads they initiated) and the number of Messages in each of their (transitive) reply trees, including the root Post of each tree. When calculating Message counts only consider Messages created within the given time interval.</p> <p>Return each Person, number of Posts they created, and the count of all Messages that appeared in the reply trees (including the Post at the root of tree).</p>			
BI 5	params	1	\$startDate	Date	Selected around the same date
BI 6		2	\$endDate	Date	80-100 days after the \$startDate
BI 7	result	1	person.id	ID	R
BI 8		2	person.firstName	String	R
BI 9		3	person.lastName	String	R
BI 10		4	threadCount	32-bit Integer	A The number of Posts created by that Person (the number of threads initiated)
BI 11		5	messageCount	32-bit Integer	A The number of Messages created in all the threads this Person initiated
BI 12	sort	1	messageCount	↓	
BI 13		2	person.id	↑	
BI 14	limit	100			
BI 15	CPs	1.2, 2.2, 2.3, 2.6, 3.2, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 8.1, 8.5			
BI 16					
BI 17					
BI 18					
BI 19					
BI 20					

## BI / read / 10

BI 1	query	BI / read / 10			
BI 2	title	Experts in social circle			
BI 3	pattern	<pre>     graph TD         Country[Country name = \$country] -- isPartOf --&gt; City[City]         City -- isLocatedIn --&gt; expertCandidatePerson[expertCandidatePerson: Person id]         startPerson[startPerson: Person id = \$personId] -- knows* --&gt; expertCandidatePerson         expertCandidatePerson -- id --&gt; Message[Message count for each (tag, person)]         expertCandidatePerson -- hasCreator --&gt; Message         TagClass[TagClass name = \$tagClass] -- hasType --&gt; Tag[Tag name]         Tag -- hasTag --&gt; Message         Tag -- hasTag --&gt; tag[Tag name]         Message -- hasTag --&gt; tag     </pre>			
BI 4	description	<p>Given a Person <code>startPerson</code> with ID <code>\$personID</code>, find all other Persons (<code>expertCandidatePerson</code>) that live in a given <code>\$country</code> and are connected to the <code>startPerson</code> on a <i>shortest path</i> with length in range <math>[\\$minPathDistance, \\$maxPathDistance]</math> through the <code>knows</code> relation.</p> <p>For each of these <code>expertCandidatePerson</code> nodes, retrieve all of their Messages that contain at least one Tag belonging to a given <code>\$tagClass</code> (direct relation not transitive). For each Message, retrieve all of its Tags.</p> <p>Group the results by Persons and Tags, then count the Messages by a certain Person having a certain Tag.</p>			
BI 5	params	1	\$personId	ID	(a) Persons with an average degree of <code>knows</code> edges are selected (b) Persons who have only one friend and that Person has two friends in total (including the original Person)
BI 6		2	\$country	String	Select mid-sized Countries
BI 7		3	\$tagClass	Long String	TagClasses with a similar degree of <code>hasType</code> edges are selected
BI 8		4	\$minPathDistance	32-bit Integer	3
BI 9		5	\$maxPathDistance	32-bit Integer	4
BI 10	result	1	expertCandidatePerson.id	ID	R
BI 11		2	tag.name	Long String	R
BI 12		3	messageCount	32-bit Integer	A Number of Messages created by that Person containing that Tag
BI 13	sort	1	messageCount	↓	
BI 14		2	tag.name	↑	
BI 15		3	expertCandidatePerson.id	↑	
BI 16	limit	100			
BI 17	CPs	1.2, 1.3, 2.3, 2.4, 2.6, 3.3, 5.3, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 8.1, 8.6			

## BI / read / 11

BI 1	query	BI / read / 11		
BI 2	title	Friend triangles		
BI 3	pattern	<pre> graph TD     Country[Country name = \$country] -- isPartOf --&gt; City1[City]     Country -- isPartOf --&gt; City2[City]     Country -- isPartOf --&gt; City3[City]     City1 -- isLocatedIn --&gt; personA[personA: Person]     City2 -- isLocatedIn --&gt; personB[personB: Person]     City3 -- isLocatedIn --&gt; personC[personC: Person]     personA -- knows --&gt; personB     personB -- knows --&gt; personC     personA -- knows --&gt; personC     style Country fill:#ffffcc     style City1 fill:#ccffcc     style City2 fill:#ccffcc     style City3 fill:#ccffcc     style personA fill:#ffcc99     style personB fill:#ffcc99     style personC fill:#ffcc99   </pre>		
BI 4				
BI 5				
BI 6				
BI 7				
BI 8				
BI 9				
BI 10				
BI 11				
BI 12				
BI 13				
BI 14	description	<p>For a given \$country, count all the distinct triples of Persons such that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• personA is friend of personB,</li> <li>• personB is friend of personC,</li> <li>• personC is friend of personA,</li> </ul> <p>and these friendships were created in the range [\$startDate, \$endDate].</p> <p>Distinct means that given a triple <math>t_1</math> in the result set <math>R</math> of all qualified triples, there is no triple <math>t_2</math> in <math>R</math> such that <math>t_1</math> and <math>t_2</math> have the same set of elements.</p>		
BI 15		1	\$country	Long String
BI 16		2	\$startDate	Date
BI 17		3	\$endDate	Date
BI 18				
BI 19				
BI 20				
params	1	\$country	Long String	Selected from the largest Countries (India, China)
	2	\$startDate	Date	Selected from a 30-day interval towards the end of the simulation time
	3	\$endDate	Date	Selected to yield around a 100-day interval
result	1	count	64-bit Integer	A
limit	n/a			
CPs	2.3, 2.5, 3.2			

## BI / read / 12

BI 1	query	BI / read / 12			
BI 2	title	How many persons have a given number of messages			
BI 3	pattern	<pre> sequenceDiagram     participant P1 as Person     participant P2 as Message     participant P3 as Post     P2-&gt;&gt;P3: messageCount = count     activate P3     P3--&gt;&gt;P1: hasCreator opt     deactivate P3     P1-&gt;&gt;P2: personCount = count     activate P2     constraint P2: content not empty and length &lt; \$lengthThreshold and \$startDate &lt; creationDate     deactivate P2     P3--&gt;&gt;P2: replyOf 0.. language in \$languages   </pre>			
BI 4		<p>For each Person, count the number of Messages they made (messageCount). Only count Messages with the following attributes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Its content is not empty (and consequently, the <code>imageFile</code> attribute is empty for Posts).</li> <li>Its <code>creationDate</code> is after <code>\$startDate</code> (exclusive, equality is not allowed).</li> <li>Its <code>length</code> is below the <code>\$lengthThreshold</code> (exclusive, equality is not allowed).</li> <li>It is written in any of the given <code>\$languages</code>.       <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The language of a Post is defined by its <code>language</code> attribute.</li> <li>The language of a Comment is that of the Post that initiates the thread where the Comment replies to.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>The Post and Comments in the reply tree's path (from the Message to the Post) do not have to satisfy the constraints for <code>content</code>, <code>length</code>, and <code>creationDate</code>.</p> <p>For each <code>messageCount</code> value, count the number of Persons with exactly <code>messageCount</code> Messages (with the required attributes).</p>			
BI 5	params	1	\$startDate	Date	Selected randomly from a 60-day interval.
BI 6		2	\$lengthThreshold	32-bit Integer	Balanced against <code>startDate</code> to filter around 30% of the Messages within a language and keep the variance low. The selection of this parameter uses a factor table of bucketed Message lengths and creation dates.
BI 7		3	\$languages	{String}	Only the most frequently used languages
BI 8	result	1	messageCount	32-bit Integer	A Number of Messages created
BI 9		2	personCount	32-bit Integer	A Number of Persons with <code>messageCount</code> Messages
BI 10	sort	1	personCount	↓	
BI 11		2	messageCount	↓	
BI 12	limit	n/a			
BI 13	CPs	1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 2.6, 3.2, 4.2, 4.3, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5			

## BI / read / 13

BI 1	query	BI / read / 13		
BI 2	title	Zombies in a country		
BI 3	pattern			
BI 4		<p>1. zombies = collect(zombie)</p>		
BI 5		<p>2. For each zombie IN zombies, calculate: zombieScore = zombieLikeCount / totalLikeCount</p>		
BI 6		<p>zombie: Person</p>		
BI 7		<p>totalLikeCount = count(likerPerson)</p>		
BI 8		<p>likerPerson: Person</p>		
BI 9		<p>creationDate &lt; \$endDate</p>		
BI 10		<p>hasCreator</p>		
BI 11		<p>Message</p>		
BI 12		<p>zombieLikeCount = count(likerZombie)</p>		
BI 13	description	<p>Find zombies within the given \$country, and return their zombie scores. A zombie is a Person created before the given \$endDate, which has created an average of [0, 1) Messages per month, during the time range between profile's creationDate and the given \$endDate. The number of months spans the time range from the creationDate of the profile to the \$endDate with partial months on both end counting as one month (e.g. a creationDate of Jan 31 and an \$endDate of Mar 1 result in 3 months).</p>		
BI 14		<p>For each zombie, calculate the following:</p>		
BI 15		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• zombieLikeCount: the number of likes received from other zombies.</li> <li>• totalLikeCount: the total number of likes received.</li> <li>• zombieScore: zombieLikeCount / totalLikeCount. If the value of totalLikeCount is 0, the zombieScore of the zombie should be 0.0.</li> </ul>		
BI 16	params	<p>For both zombieLikeCount and totalLikeCount, only consider likes received from profiles that were created before the given \$endDate.</p>		
BI 17		1	\$country	Long String
BI 18		2	\$endDate	Date
BI 19	Selected from the largest Countries (India, China)			
BI 20	Selected from the last days of the initial data set			
result	1	zombie.id	ID	R
	2	zombieLikeCount	32-bit Integer	A
	3	totalLikeCount	32-bit Integer	A
	4	zombieScore	32-bit Float	A
Determined as zombieLikeCount / totalLikeCount				
sort	1	zombieScore	↓	
	2	zombie.id	↑	
limit	100			
CPs	1.2, 2.1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.6, 3.2, 3.3, 4.2, 5.1, 5.3, 8.2, 8.4, 8.5			

## BI / read / 14

BI 1	query	BI / read / 14		
BI 2	title	International dialog		
BI 3		<p>For each pair of countries, calculate the cost as a sum of cases #1–4. Cases that have a match add to the final score with the specified value. Each case only counts once, multiple matches do not increase to the score.</p> <pre> graph LR     Country1[Country name = \$country1] -- isPartOf --&gt; City1[city1: City name]     City1 -- isLocatedIn --&gt; Person1[person1: Person id]     Person1 -- knows --&gt; Person2[person2: Person id]     Country2[Country name = \$country2] -- isPartOf --&gt; City2[City]     City2 -- isLocatedIn --&gt; Person2   </pre>		
BI 4		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Case 1: score += 4 (person1 hasCreator Comment, person2 replyOf Message)</li> <li>Case 2: score += 1 (Message hasCreator person1, Comment replyOf person2)</li> <li>Case 3: score += 10 (Message likes person1, person2 hasCreator Message)</li> <li>Case 4: score += 1 (Message hasCreator person1, person2 likes Message)</li> </ul>		
BI 5				
BI 6				
BI 7				
BI 8				
BI 9				
BI 10				
BI 11				
BI 12				
BI 13				
BI 14				
BI 15				
BI 16				
BI 17				
BI 18				
BI 19				
BI 20				
	pattern			
	description	<p>Consider all pairs of people (person1, person2) such that (1) they know each other, (2) one is located in a City of \$country1, and (3) the other is located in a City of \$country2. For each City of \$country1, return the highest scoring pair. If there are multiple top-scoring pairs in a city, return the pair with the lowest (person1.id, person2.id) using a lexicographical ordering.</p> <p>The score of a pair is defined as the sum of the subscores awarded for the following kinds of interaction. The initial value is score = 0.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. person1 has created a reply Comment to at least one Message by person2: score += 4</li> <li>2. person1 has created at least one Message that person2 has created a reply to: score += 1</li> <li>3. person1 liked at least one Message by person2: score += 10</li> <li>4. person1 has created at least one Message that was liked by person2: score += 1</li> </ol> <p>Consequently, the maximum score a pair can obtain is: 4 + 1 + 10 + 1 = 16.</p>		
	params	1 \$country1	Long String	(a) Correlated with parameter country2, i.e. the Countries are close and there are many Persons knowing each other (b) Uncorrelated with parameter country2, i.e. the Countries are afar and there are few Persons knowing each other
		2 \$country2	Long String	
	result	1 person1.id	ID	R
		2 person2.id	ID	R
		3 city1.name	Long String	R
		4 score	32-bit Integer	C
	sort	1 score	↓	
		2 person1.id	↑	
		3 person2.id	↑	
	limit	100		
	CPs	1.3, 1.4, 2.1, 3.1, 3.3, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 8.3, 8.4		

## BI / read / 15

BI 1	query	BI / read / 15			
BI 2	title	Trusted connection paths through forums created in a given timeframe			
BI 3	pattern	<p>Calculate the weight of the shortest path on knows edges between person1 and person2. Edge weights are determined as <math>1 / (\text{interaction score} + 1)</math>, where interaction score is the sum of cases #1 and #2 for the Person endpoints of the edge (tried both ways).</p>			
BI 4					
BI 5					
BI 6					
BI 7		<p>Given two Persons with IDs <math>\\$person1Id</math> and <math>\\$person2Id</math>, calculate the cost of the weighted shortest path between these two Persons, in the subgraph induced by the knows relationship. The interaction score of a knows edge is calculated based on the interactions of its Person endpoints:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Post (by the other Person) is 1.0 point.</li> <li>• Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Comment (by the other Person) is 0.5 points.</li> </ul>			
BI 8		<p>Only consider Messages that were created in a Forum that was created within the timeframe (interval) <math>[\\$startDate, \\$endDate]</math>. Note that for Comments, the containing Forum is that of the Post that the comment (transitively) replies to. Also note that interactions are counted both ways.</p>			
BI 9		<p>The weight for the shortest path algorithm is determined as <math>\frac{1}{\text{interaction score} + 1}</math>.</p>			
BI 10		<p>The result of the query is a single number, the cost of the weighted shortest path. If no such path exists, the query should return -1.0.</p>			
BI 11		params	1	$\$person1Id$	ID
BI 12			2	$\$person2Id$	ID
BI 13			3	$\$startDate$	Date
BI 14			4	$\$endDate$	Date
BI 15	result	1	weight	32-bit Float	C
BI 16	limit	n/a			
BI 17	CPs	1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 2.4, 3.3, 5.1, 5.3, 7.2, 7.3, 7.6, 7.7, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 8.6			

## BI / read / 16

BI 1	query	BI / read / 16			
BI 2	title	Fake news detection			
BI 3	pattern	<p>For \$tagX/\$dateX in [tagA/dateA, tagB/dateB], compute scoreX = count(messageX)</p> <p>1. Create an induced subgraph of Persons who created a Message with Tag \$tagX on \$dateX</p> <pre> graph LR     tag[Tag] -- hasTag --&gt; message[Message]     message -- hasCreator --&gt; person[Person]     subgraph "Induced Subgraph"         tag         message         person     end     </pre> <p>2. In the subgraph, count the Messages (using the same conditions) from People with <math>\leq \\$maxKnowsLimit</math> friends</p> <pre> graph LR     tag[Tag] -- hasTag --&gt; messageX[Message]     messageX -- hasCreator --&gt; person[Person]     subgraph "Induced Subgraph"         tag         messageX         person     end     person -- "count &lt;= \\$maxKnowsLimit" --&gt; friendCount[Person]     </pre>			
BI 4					
BI 5	description	<p>Given two Tag/date pairs (\$tagA/\$dateA and \$tagB/\$dateB), for each pair \$tagX/\$dateX:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Create an induced subgraph between Persons where for each pair of Persons person1/person2, both have created a Message on the day of \$dateX with Tag \$tagX.</li> <li>In the induced subgraph, only keep pairs of Persons who have at most <code>maxKnowsLimit</code> friends (in the induced subgraph).</li> <li>For these Persons, count the number of Messages created on \$dateX with Tag \$tagX.</li> </ul> <p>Return Persons who had at least one Messages for both \$tagA/\$dateA and \$tagB/\$dateB ranked by their total number of Messages (descending).</p>			
BI 6					
BI 7	params	1	\$tagA	Long String	(a) \$tagA/\$dateA, \$tagB/\$dateB are both selected to be a flashmob Tag/date combination (b) \$tagA/\$dateA, \$tagB/\$dateB are both selected to be a non-flashmob Tag/date combination
BI 8		2	\$dateA	Date	
BI 9		3	\$tagB	Long String	
BI 10		4	\$dateB	Date	
BI 11		5	\$maxKnowsLimit	32-bit Integer	Selected between 3 and 6
BI 12	result	1	person.id	ID	R
BI 13		2	messageCountA	32-bit Integer	A
BI 14		3	messageCountB	32-bit Integer	A
BI 15	sort	1	messageCountA + messageCountB	$\downarrow$	
BI 16		2	person.id	$\uparrow$	
BI 17	limit	20			
BI 18	CPs	5.3, 8.4, 8.5			
BI 19	relevance	<p>There are two major ways to compute this query: (1) create the induced subgraph as suggested by the specification (either as a view or in materialized form), or (2) skip creating the induced subgraph and perform on-the-fly check for the number of friends (who also posted at least one Message with the given Tag on the given date). The latter approach is easier to express in systems which do not provide graph views but might result in redundant computations (the query engine might repeatedly check whether a Person has at least one Message that satisfies the conditions).</p>			
BI 20					

## BI / read / 17

BI 1	query	BI / read / 17		
BI 2	title	Information propagation analysis		
BI 3	pattern	<pre>     graph TD         person1[person1: Person] -- "hasCreator" --&gt; message1[message1: Message]         person1 -- "hasMember" --&gt; forum1[forum1: Forum]         person1 -- "hasMember" --&gt; person2[person2: Person]         person1 -- "hasMember" --&gt; person3[person3: Person]         message1 -- "creationDate" --&gt; post1[post1: Post]         message1 -- "hasTag" --&gt; tag1[tag: Tag]         tag1 -- "name = \$tag" --&gt; tag2[tag: Tag]         tag2 -- "hasTag" --&gt; message2[message2: Message]         tag2 -- "hasTag" --&gt; comment1[comment: Comment]         post1 -- "replyOf*0..0" --&gt; message1         post1 -- "containerOf" --&gt; forum1         forum1 -- "hasMember" --&gt; person2         forum1 -- "hasMember" --&gt; person3         person2 -- "hasCreator" --&gt; message2         person3 -- "hasCreator" --&gt; comment1         forum2[forum2: Forum] -- "neg hasMember" --&gt; person1         forum2 -- "containerOf" --&gt; post2[post2: Post]         post2 -- "replyOf*0..0" --&gt; message2         message2 -- "count distinct" --&gt; query[message1.creationDate + \$delta &lt; creationDate]         message2 -- "replyOf" --&gt; comment1     </pre>		
BI 4				
BI 5				
BI 6				
BI 7				
BI 8				
BI 9				
BI 10				
BI 11				
BI 12				
BI 13				
BI 14				
BI 15				
BI 16				
BI 17				
BI 18	description	<p>This query aims to identify instances of “information propagation” when a Person (person1) submits a Message (message1) with a given \$tag to a Forum (forum1). This is read by other members of forum1, Persons person2 and person3 (who must be different Persons). Some time later (specified by the \$delta parameter), these persons have a discussion with the same \$tag in a different Forum (forum2) where person1 is not a member. The discussion consists of a Message (message2) by person2 and a direct reply Comment (comment) by person3.</p> <p>Return IDs of person1 with the number of interactions their Messages (might have) caused.</p>		
BI 19				
BI 20				
params	1	\$tag	Long String	Tags with a similar amount of Messages are selected
	2	\$delta	32-bit Integer	Measured in hours, selected to be between 8 and 16 hours.
result	1	person1.id	ID	R
	2	messageCount	32-bit Integer	A
sort	1	messageCount	↓	
	2	person1.id	↑	
limit	10			
CPs	2.1, 2.3, 2.5, 2.6, 8.1			

## BI / read / 18

BI 1	query	BI / read / 18		
BI 2	title	Friend recommendation		
BI 3	pattern			
BI 4				
BI 5				
BI 6				
BI 7				
BI 8				
BI 9				
BI 10				
BI 11				
BI 12				
BI 13				
BI 14				
BI 15				
BI 16				
BI 17				
BI 18				
BI 19				
BI 20				
	description	<p>For a given \$tag, for each person1 interested in \$tag, recommend new friends (person2) who</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>do not yet know person1</li> <li>have at least one mutual friend with person1</li> <li>are also interested in \$tag.</li> </ul> <p>Rank Persons person2 based on the number of mutual friends with person1.</p>		
	params	1	\$tag	Long String
		Tags with a similar amount of Persons are selected		
	result	1	person1.id	ID
		2	person2.id	ID
		3	mutualFriendCount	32-bit Integer
	sort	1	mutualFriendCount	$\downarrow$
		2	person1.id	$\uparrow$
		3	person2.id	$\uparrow$
	limit	20		
	CPs	2.5, 2.6, 8.1		

## BI / read / 19

BI 1	query	BI / read / 19									
BI 2	title	Interaction path between cities									
BI 3	pattern	<p>Find the shortest paths between all pairs of Persons in city1 and city2. The weight of a knows edge is based on the number of interactions between its Persons: <math>\text{knows.weight} = \max(\text{round}(40 - \sqrt{\text{numInteractions}}), 1)</math></p>									
BI 4											
BI 5											
BI 6		<p>Example for finding a path between person1 and person2</p>									
BI 7		<p>Given two Cities with IDs <math>\\$city1Id</math>, <math>\\$city2Id</math>, find Persons <math>person1</math>, <math>person2</math> living in these Cities (respectively) with the <i>cheapest</i> interaction path between them.</p>									
BI 8		<p>The cheapest path is equivalent to the <i>weighted shortest</i> path. It is computed on a subgraph of the Person-knows-Person graph with the edge weights based on the number of interactions. An <i>interaction</i> is a direct reply Comments from one Person to Messages by the other Person. Only knows edges with at least one interaction between their endpoint Persons are considered. For these, the weight of a knows edge is defined as: <math>\max(\text{round}(40 - \sqrt{\text{numInteractions}}), 1)</math></p>									
BI 9		<p>If there are multiple pairs of people with cheapest paths that have the same total weight, return all of them.</p>									
BI 10		<p><i>Note:</i> Interactions are counted both ways, e.g. if Alice knows Bob, Alice writes 2 reply Comments to Bob's Messages and Bob writes 3 reply Comments to Alice's Messages, their total number of interactions is 5 and the weight of the knows edge is 38.</p>									
BI 11		<p><i>Remark:</i> Determinism is ensured by using square root followed by rounding. For all integers between 1 and 100 000, the square root's fractional part is more than 10e-5 from 0.5, where the rounding could be non-deterministic based on floating point inaccuracies. As 10e-5 is significantly larger than the machine epsilon of IEEE 754 floats (both 32- and 64-bit), the floating point inaccuracies have no chance to affect the derived integer edge weights.</p>									
BI 12		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1</td> <td><math>\\$city1Id</math></td> <td>ID</td> <td>(a) Small Cities within the same Country</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td><math>\\$city2Id</math></td> <td>ID</td> <td>(b) Larger Cities from different Countries</td> </tr> </table>			1	$\$city1Id$	ID	(a) Small Cities within the same Country	2	$\$city2Id$	ID
1	$\$city1Id$	ID	(a) Small Cities within the same Country								
2	$\$city2Id$	ID	(b) Larger Cities from different Countries								
BI 13	result	1	person1.id	ID							
BI 14		2	person2.id	ID							
BI 15		3	totalWeight	32-bit Integer							
BI 16	sort	1	person1.id	↑							
BI 17		2	person2.id	↑							
BI 18	limit	n/a									
BI 19	CPs	3.3, 7.6, 7.7, 8.4, 8.6									
BI 20	relevance	To find the weighted shortest paths efficiently, the system can use e.g. a bidirectional Dijkstra algorithm. As the edge weights do not depend on any parameter, systems can pre-compute them (if they do not interleave reads and writes).									

## BI / read / 20

BI 1	query	BI / read / 20		
BI 2	title	Recruitment		
BI 3	pattern	<p>Compute weighted shortest path between all Persons who work in the Company to Person person2 on knows.weight</p>		
BI 4				
BI 5	description	<p>Consider knows edges where the endpoint Persons attended the same University and set the weight of the edge to the absolute difference between the year of enrolment plus 1. If the Persons attended multiple universities, we select the smallest (<math>\min</math>) value. Formally:</p> $w = \min_{\text{studyAt}_A, \text{studyAt}_B}  \text{studyAt}_A.\text{classYear} - \text{studyAt}_B.\text{classYear}  + 1$		
BI 6		<p>Given a \$company and a Person person2 with ID \$person2Id (who is not working and has not worked at \$company), find a different Person (person1) who works or at some point worked in \$company and is reachable from person2 through people who have studied together through the shortest weighted path.</p> <p>If there are multiple Person person1 nodes with the same shortest path length, return all of them.</p>		
BI 7	params	1	\$company	Long String
BI 8		2	\$person2Id	ID
BI 9	result	1	person1.id	ID
BI 10		2	totalWeight	32-bit Integer
BI 11	sort	1	totalWeight	↑
BI 12		2	person1.id	↑
BI 13	limit	20		
BI 14	CPs	3.3, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8, 8.4, 8.6		
BI 15	relevance	To find the weighted shortest paths efficiently, the system can use e.g. a bidirectional Dijkstra algorithm. As the edge weights do not depend on any parameter, systems can pre-compute them (if they do not interleave reads and writes).		
BI 16				
BI 17	BI 19			
BI 18				
BI 19				
BI 20				