# PEU 356 Assignment 8

## Mohamed Hussien El-Deeb (201900052)

## May 26, 2024

## Contents

1	6.4.2	6.4.2														3						
	1.1 Pro	olem																				3
		tion																				
2															5							
	2.1 Pro	olem																				5
	2.2 Solu	tion																				5
3	6.4.5												6									
	3.1 Pro	olem																				6
	3.2 Solu																					
4	6.4.6																					
	4.1 Pro	olem																				7
		tion																				
	4.2	1 P	art. (	(a.)	-			-							-							7
		2 P																				
5	6.5.2												9									
	5.1 Pro	olem																				9
	5.2 Solu																					
6	6.5.5													10								
	6.1 Pro	olem																				10
		tion																				

7	6.5.	6.5.8													
	7.1	Problem	2												
	7.2	Solution	.2												
8	6.5.15														
	8.1	Problem	.3												
	8.2	Solution	.3												
		8.2.1 Part (a)	.3												
		8.2.2 $\operatorname{Part}(b)$	.3												
		8.2.3 $\operatorname{Part}(c)$													
		8.2.4 Part $(d)$													
9	6.5.17														
	9.1	Problem	.5												
	9.2	Solution													

#### 1.1 Problem

As a converse of the theorem that Hermitian matrices have real eigenvalues and that eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal, show that if

- (a) the eigenvalues of a matrix are real and
- (b) the eigenvectors satisfy  $\mathbf{x}_i^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}_j = \delta_{ij}$ ,

then the matrix is Hermitian.

#### 1.2 Solution

Let M be a matrix with real eigenvalues and eigenvectors that satisfy  $\mathbf{x}_i^{\dagger}\mathbf{x}_j = \delta_{ij}$ . We want to show that M is Hermitian.

Let  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalues of M and  $\mathbf{x}_i$  be the eigenvectors of M and U being the diagonalization matrix. Since the eigenvalues of M are real, we have

$$\lambda_{i} = \lambda_{i}^{*}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{x}_{i} | \mathbf{x}_{j} \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$

$$M | \mathbf{x}_{i} \rangle = \lambda_{i} | \mathbf{x}_{i} \rangle$$

$$UMU^{-1} = D$$

$$\therefore D = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \dots, \lambda_{n})$$

$$\therefore D = D^{\dagger}$$

$$\therefore UMU^{-1} = \left(U^{-1}\right)^{\dagger} M^{\dagger} U^{\dagger}$$

Since M has orthogonal eigenvectors and the Diagonal matrix D's eigenvectors are the standard basis vectors which are orthogonal, U is a unitary matrix.

$$\therefore UMU^{-1} = UM^{\dagger}U^{-1}$$

$$\therefore M = M^{\dagger}$$

#### 2.1 Problem

Show that a real matrix that is not symmetric cannot be diagonalized by an orthogonal or unitary transformation.

Hint. Assume that the nonsymmetric real matrix can be diagonalized and develop a contradiction.

#### 2.2 Solution

Let A be a real matrix that is not symmetric, U be the orthogonal or unitary matrix that diagonalizes A, and D be the diagonal matrix.

$$UAU^{\dagger} = D$$

$$A = U^{\dagger}DU$$

$$A^\dagger = U^\dagger D^\dagger U$$

$$A^{\dagger} = U^{\dagger} D^* U$$

If we assume that eigenvalues of A are real, then the entries of D are real.

$$A^{\dagger} = U^{\dagger}DU$$

We know that A is not symmetric. Therefore, A is not equal to  $A^{\dagger}$ . This is a contradiction.

Note: If we assume an orthogonal transformation we don't need to assume that the eigenvalues are real.

## 3.1 Problem

A has eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  and corresponding eigenvectors  $|\mathbf{x}_i\rangle$ . Show that  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  has the same eigenvectors but with eigenvalues  $\lambda_i^{-1}$ .

## 3.2 Solution

$$A |\mathbf{x}_i\rangle = \lambda_i |\mathbf{x}_i\rangle$$

$$A^{-1}A |\mathbf{x}_i\rangle = A^{-1}\lambda_i |\mathbf{x}_i\rangle$$

$$|\mathbf{x}_i\rangle = \lambda_i A^{-1} |\mathbf{x}_i\rangle$$

Since A is invertible, we can be sure that  $\lambda_i \neq 0$ .

$$A^{-1} |\mathbf{x}_i\rangle = \lambda_i^{-1} |\mathbf{x}_i\rangle$$

#### 4.1 Problem

A square matrix with zero determinant is labeled singular.

(a) If A is singular, show that there is at least one nonzero column vector  $\mathbf{v}$  such that

$$A|\mathbf{v}\rangle = 0.$$

(b) If there is a nonzero vector  $|\mathbf{v}\rangle$  such that

$$A|\mathbf{v}\rangle = 0,$$

show that A is a singular matrix. This means that if a matrix (or operator) has zero as an eigenvalue, the matrix (or operator) has no inverse and its determinant is zero.

#### 4.2 Solution

#### 4.2.1 Part (a)

Let A be a singular matrix. Since A is singular,  $\det A = 0$ .

From the singular equation, we know that there is a nontrivial solution to the equation

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

$$\lambda = 0$$

Therefore, there is at least one nonzero column vector  $\mathbf{v}$  such that

$$A|\mathbf{v}\rangle = 0.$$

## 4.2.2 Part (b)

Let there be a nonzero vector  $|\mathbf{v}\rangle$  such that

$$A|\mathbf{v}\rangle = 0$$

This means that V is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue 0.

Since there is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue 0, det(A) = 0. Therefore, A is a singular matrix.

## 5.1 Problem

If A is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix, show that its eigenvalues  $\lambda$  satisfy the secular equation

$$\lambda^2 - \lambda \operatorname{trace}(A) + \det(A) = 0.$$

## 5.2 Solution

Let A be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det(A) = ad - bc$$

$$trace(A) = a + d$$

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} a - \lambda & b \\ c & d - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$(a - \lambda)(d - \lambda) - bc = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 - (a+d)\lambda + ad - bc = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 - \operatorname{trace}(A)\lambda + \det(A) = 0$$

#### $6 \quad 6.5.5$

#### 6.1 Problem

A is an *n* th-order Hermitian matrix with orthonormal eigenvectors  $|\mathbf{x}_i\rangle$  and real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ . Show that for a unit magnitude vector  $|\mathbf{y}\rangle$ ,

$$\lambda_1 \leq \langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \leq \lambda_n.$$

#### 6.2 Solution

We start by expanding the vector  $|\mathbf{y}\rangle$  in terms of the eigenvectors of A.

$$|\mathbf{y}\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i |\mathbf{x}_i\rangle$$

$$\langle \mathbf{y} | = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^* \langle \mathbf{x}_i |$$

$$\langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c_i^* c_j \langle \mathbf{x}_i | \mathbf{x}_j \rangle$$

Since the eigenvectors are orthonormal, we have

$$\langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |c_i|^2$$

Since the vector  $|\mathbf{y}\rangle$  has unit magnitude, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |c_i|^2 = 1$$

$$\langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c_i^* c_j \langle \mathbf{x}_i | \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{x}_j \rangle$$

$$\langle \mathbf{y}|\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{y}\rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c_i^* c_j \lambda_j \langle \mathbf{x}_i|\mathbf{x}_j \rangle$$

$$\langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |c_i|^2 \lambda_i$$

We can substitute the value of  $\lambda_i$  in the above equation with the smallest and largest eigenvalues.

$$(\langle \mathbf{y}|\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{y}\rangle)_{\min} = \lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^2 = \lambda_1$$

$$(\langle \mathbf{y}|\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{y}\rangle)_{\max} = \lambda_n \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^2 = \lambda_n$$

$$\lambda_1 \le \langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \le \lambda_n$$

## 7.1 Problem

A is a normal matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  and orthonormal eigenvectors  $|\mathbf{x}_n\rangle$ . Show that A may be written as

$$A = \sum_{n} \lambda_n |\mathbf{x}_n\rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_n|.$$

Hint. Show that both this eigenvector form of A and the original A give the same result acting on an arbitrary vector  $|\mathbf{y}\rangle$ .

## 7.2 Solution

Lets start by expanding the vector  $|\mathbf{y}\rangle$  in terms of the eigenvectors of A.

$$|\mathbf{y}\rangle = \sum_{n} c_{n} |\mathbf{x}_{n}\rangle$$

$$A|\mathbf{y}\rangle = A \sum_{n} c_{n} |\mathbf{x}_{n}\rangle = \sum_{n} c_{n} A |\mathbf{x}_{n}\rangle = \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} c_{n} |\mathbf{x}_{n}\rangle (1)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{x}_{n} | \mathbf{x}_{m}\rangle = \delta_{m}^{n}$$

$$A|\mathbf{y}\rangle = \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} |\mathbf{x}_{n}\rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_{n} | \sum_{m} c_{m} |\mathbf{x}_{m}\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} c_{m} |\mathbf{x}_{n}\rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_{n} | \mathbf{x}_{m}\rangle = \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} c_{n} |\mathbf{x}_{n}\rangle (2)$$

#### 8.1 Problem

Two matrices U and H are related by

$$U = e^{iaH}$$

with a real.

- (a) If H is Hermitian, show that U is unitary.
- (b) If U is unitary, show that H is Hermitian. (H is independent of a.)
- (c) If trace H = 0, show that  $\det U = +1$ .
- (d) If  $\det U = +1$ , show that trace H = 0.

Hint. H may be diagonalized by a similarity transformation. Then U is also diagonal. The corresponding eigenvalues are given by  $u_j = \exp(iah_j)$ .

#### 8.2 Solution

#### 8.2.1 Part (a)

If H is Hermitian, then  $H = H^{\dagger}$ .

$$\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{U} = e^{-ia\mathbf{H}^{\dagger}}e^{ia\mathbf{H}} = e^{-ia\mathbf{H} + ia\mathbf{H}} = e^{0} = I$$

$$U^{\dagger} = U^{-1}$$

#### 8.2.2 Part (b)

If U is unitary, then  $U^{\dagger}U = I$ .

$$\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{U} = e^{-ia\mathbf{H}^{\dagger}}e^{ia\mathbf{H}} = e^{ia\left(\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}^{\dagger}\right)} = I = e^{0}$$

$$ia\left(\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}^{\dagger}\right) = 0$$

$$\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{H}^{\dagger}$$

8.2.3 Part (c)

$$\det(e^M) = e^{\operatorname{trace}(M)}$$

$$\det(\mathbf{U}) = e^{ia \cdot \operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{H})}$$

If trace H = 0,

$$\det(\mathbf{U}) = e^{ia \cdot 0} = e^0 = 1$$

8.2.4 Part (d)

If det(U) = +1,

$$\det(\mathbf{U}) = e^{ia \cdot \operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{H})}$$

$$e^{ia \cdot \text{trace}(H)} = 1$$

$$ia \cdot \text{trace}(\mathbf{H}) = 0$$

$$trace(H) = 0$$

## 9.1 Problem

A matrix P is a projection operator satisfying the condition

$$P^2 = P$$
.

Show that the corresponding eigenvalues  $(\rho^2)_{\lambda}$  and  $\rho_{\lambda}$  satisfy the relation

$$(\rho^2)_{\lambda} = (\rho_{\lambda})^2 = \rho_{\lambda}.$$

This means that the eigenvalues of P are 0 and 1.

## 9.2 Solution

$$P | \mathbf{x}_i \rangle = \lambda_i | \mathbf{x}_i \rangle$$

$$PP |\mathbf{x}_i\rangle = \lambda_i^2 |\mathbf{x}_i\rangle$$

Subtracting the above two equations, we get

$$\left(\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i\right) |\mathbf{x}_i\rangle = 0$$

Since the eigenvectors are non-zero, we have

$$\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i = 0$$

$$\lambda = 0, 1$$

## References

- [1] G.B. Arfken, H.J. Weber, and F.E. Harris. *Mathematical Methods for Physicists: A Comprehensive Guide*. Elsevier Science, 2013.
- [2] M.H. El-Deeb. PEU-356 Assignments.