| Column | C

The Olivetti dataset will have better accuracy, as there is less variance between samples in a class, and the Olivetti samples are well-lit and nicely cropped.

Left column: model from first project

Olivetti	tti saii	ipics are	. wen ne e	illu illicei
Predicting people	s names	on the t	est set	
done in 0.008s		11	£0	
prec	ision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1 00	1 00	1 00	3
1	1.00	1.00	1.00 1.00	3
2				
3	0.75	1.00	0.86	3
4	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
5	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
6	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
7	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
8	1.00	0.67	0.80	3 3
	1.00	1.00	1.00	
9	1.00	0.67	0.80	3
10	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
11	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
12	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
13	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
14	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
15	1.00	0.33	0.50	3
16	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
17	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
18	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
19	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
20	0.60	1.00	0.75	3
21	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
22	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
23	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
24	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
25	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
26	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
27	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
28	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
29	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
30	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
31	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
32	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
33	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
34	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
35	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
36	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
37	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
38	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
39	0.75	1.00	0.86	3
accuracy			0.97	120
macro avg	0.98	0.97	0.96	120
weighted avg	0.98	0.97	0.96	120
[[3 0 0 0 0 0]]				
[0 3 0 0 0 0]				
[0 0 3 0 0 0]				
[0 0 0 3 0 0]				
[0 0 0 0 3 0]				
[0 0 0 0 0 3]]			

The Olivetti dataset has great accuracy across both models.

			-		
	precision	recall	f1-score	support	
ø	1.00	0.33	0.50	3	
1	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
2	0.67	0.67	0.67	3	
3	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
4	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
5	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
6	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
7	0.67	0.67	0.67	3	
8	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
9	1.00	0.67	0.80	3	
10	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
11	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
12	1.00	0.33	0.50	3	
13	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
14	0.75	1.00	0.86	3	
15	0.60	1.00	0.75	3	
16	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
17	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
18	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
19	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
20	0.75	1.00	0.86	3	
21	1.00	0.67	0.80	3	
22	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
23	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
24	0.75	1.00	0.86	3	
25	1.00	0.67	0.80	3	
26	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
27	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
28	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
29	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
30	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
31	1.00	0.67	0.80	3	
32	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
33	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
34	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
35	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
36	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
37	1.00	1.00	1.00	3	
38	0.75	1.00	0.86		
39	0.60	1.00	0.75	3	
accuracy			0.92	120	
macro avg	0.94	0.92	0.91	120	
weighted avg	0.94	0.92	0.91	120	
	A RESULT ===				
Accuracy score:0.94					

Right column:

second project

model from

LFW, min samples/person = 100

LFW, min samples/person = 100						
Predicting people's names on the test set done in 0.060s						
	prec	ision	recall	f1-score	support	
0		0.84	0.94	0.89	71	
1		0.96	0.75	0.84	36	
2		0.86	0.96	0.90	159	
3		0.96	0.70	0.81	33	
4		0.88	0.67	0.76	43	
accuracy				0.87	342	
macro avg		0.90	0.80	0.84	342	
weighted avg		0.88	0.87	0.87	342	
[[67 0	3 0	1]				
[2 27	6 0	ıj				
7 0 15	2 0	øj				
[11	6 23	2]				
[3 0 1	0 1	29]]				

With many samples per class, and few classes, both perform relatively well. First model performs better.

LFW, min samples/person = 100, reduce classes to 4

LEVV, IIIIII Sailipi	es/ person = 10	o, reduce ci	asses to 4			
Predicting people's names on the test set done in 0.051s						
	precision	recall	f1-score	support		
0	0.86	0.94	0.90	71		
1	0.87	0.72	0.79	36		
2	0.92	0.95	0.93	159		
3	1.00	0.82	0.90	33		
accuracy			0.91	299		
macro avg	0.91	0.86	0.88	299		
weighted avg	0.91	0.91	0.90	299		
[[67 0 4	0]					
[6 26 4	0]					
[5 3 151	. 0]					
[015	27]]					

Reducing the number of classes increases the accuracy.

LFW, min samples/person = 100, reduce samples to 100

Lrvv, Illiii sairipies/person = 100, reduce sairipies to 100						
Predicting people	e's names	on the t	est set			
done in 0.008s						
pre	cision	recall	f1-score	support		
0	0.73	0.73	0.73	30		
1	0.81	0.87	0.84	30		
2	0.79	0.63	0.70	30		
3	0.81	0.83	0.82	30		
4	0.76	0.83	0.79	30		
accuracy			0.78	150		
macro avg	0.78	0.78	0.78	150		
weighted avg	0.78	0.78	0.78	150		
[[22 2 2 1 3]						
[0 26 1 2 1]						
[4 3 19 2 2]						
[1 1 1 25 2]						
[3 0 1 1 25]	11					

Reducing the number of samples decreases the accuracy (even though we are equalizing the number of samples for all classes). However, the models have around the same accuracy now (will come back to this potential error at the end).

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.90	0.75	0.82	71
1	1.00	0.42	0.59	36
2	0.68	0.98	0.80	159
3	1.00	0.48	0.65	33
4	0.95	0.49	0.65	43
accuracy			0.76	342
macro avg	0.91	0.62	0.70	342
weighted avg	0.82	0.76	0.75	342
LDA				

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.93	0.79	0.85	71
1	1.00	0.44	0.62	36
2	0.75	0.98	0.85	159
3	1.00	0.48	0.65	33
accuracy			0.82	299
macro avg	0.92	0.67	0.74	299
weighted avg	0.85	0.82	0.80	299
	A DECLUIT			

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.73	0.73	0.73	30
1	0.81	0.83	0.82	30
2	0.83	0.83	0.83	30
3	0.71	0.67	0.69	30
4	0.71	0.73	0.72	30
accuracy			0.76	150
macro avg	0.76	0.76	0.76	150
weighted avg	0.76	0.76	0.76	150

LFW, min samples/person = 20, reduce classes to 40, reduce samples to 20

samples to 20					
Predicting people'	s names	on the to	est set		
done in 0.036s					
pred	ision	recall	f1-score	support	
0	0.50	0.50	0.50		
1	0.50	0.17	0.25		
2	0.50	0.17	0.25		
3	0.33	0.33	0.33		
4	0.27	0.50	0.35		
5	1.00	0.83	0.91		
6	0.00	0.00	0.00		
7	0.57	0.67	0.62		
8	0.43	0.50	0.46		
9	0.75	0.50	0.60		
10	0.33	0.17	0.22		
11	0.40	0.33	0.36		
12	0.12	0.17	0.14	6	
13	0.57	0.67	0.62	6	
14	0.57	0.67	0.62	6	
15	0.18	0.33	0.24	6	
16	1.00	0.67	0.80	6	
17	0.33	0.50	0.40	6	
18	0.20	0.33	0.25	6	
19	0.60	0.50	0.55	6	
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	
21	0.20	0.17	0.18	6	
22	0.11	0.17	0.13	6	
23	1.00	0.33	0.50	6	
24				6	
24 25	0.29	0.33	0.31		
	0.57	0.67	0.62	6	
26	0.67	0.33	0.44	6	
27	0.11	0.17	0.13	6	
28	0.60	0.50	0.55	6	
29	0.50	0.67	0.57	6	
30	1.00	0.67	0.80		
31	0.40	0.67	0.50	6	
32	0.50	0.33	0.40	6	
33	0.60	0.50	0.55		
34	1.00	0.50	0.67		
35	0.80	0.67	0.73	6	
36	1.00	0.67	0.80		
37	0.83	0.83	0.83		
38	0.50	0.17	0.25		
39	0.36	0.67	0.47		
accuracy			0.44	240	
macro avg	0.51	0.44	0.45	240	
weighted avg	0.51	0.44	0.45	240	
[[300001]					
[0 1 0 0 0 1]					
[0 0 1 0 0 0]					
[0 0 0 5 0 0]					
[0 0 0 0 1 0]					
[000004]]				

With many classes and few samples, both models perform poorly.

LFW, min samples/person = 20, reduce classes to 10, reduce samples to 20

samples to 20					
Predicting people's names on the test set					
done in 0.002s					
pre	cision	recall	f1-score	support	
0	0.33	0.33		6	
	0.80	0.67			
	0.60	0.50			
	0.00	0.00		6	
	0.50				
	0.56	0.83			
6	0.20				
	1.00	0.67			
8	0.57				
	0.60	0.50	0.55		
accuracy			0.50	60	
macro avg	0.52			60	
weighted avg	0.52	0.50	0.50	60	
	0.01				
[[20100120					
[04010010 [20301000					
[01000030					
[0 0 1 1 3 0 1 0					
[10000500					
[00012020					
[00000104					
[00000200					
[10000010					
[1000010	T 311				

Reducing the number of classes increases the accuracy (in model 2, as expected).

	precision	recall	f1-score	support				
ø	0.22	0.33	0.27	6				
1	0.38	0.50	0.43	6				
2	1.00	0.67	0.80	6				
	0.33	0.17	0.22	6				
4	0.29	0.33	0.31	6				
	1.00	0.50	0.67	6				
	0.18	0.33	0.24	6				
	1.00	0.67	0.80	6				
8	0.29	0.33	0.31	6				
9 10	0.67 0.50	0.67 0.50	0.67 0.50	6 6				
11	0.29	0.33	0.31	6				
12	0.50	0.33	0.40	6				
13	1.00	0.33	0.50	6				
14	0.43	0.50	0.46	6				
15	0.16	0.50	0.24	6				
16	0.67	0.33	0.44	6				
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	6				
18	0.24	0.67	0.35	6				
19	0.25	0.17	0.20	6				
20	0.60	0.50	0.55	6				
21 22	0.50 0.00	0.33 0.00	0.40 0.00	6 6				
23	0.50	0.50	0.50	6				
24	0.29	0.33	0.31	6				
25	0.75	0.50	0.60	6				
26	0.60	0.50	0.55	6				
27	0.33	0.33	0.33	6				
28	1.00	0.17	0.29	6				
29	0.80	0.67	0.73	6				
30	1.00	0.50	0.67	6				
31	0.20	0.17	0.18	6				
32	0.33	0.50	0.40	6				
33 34	1.00 0.62	0.33 0.83	0.50 0.71	6 6				
35	1.00	0.33	0.50	6				
36	0.50	0.83	0.62	6				
37	1.00	0.50	0.67	6				
38	0.20	0.17	0.18	6				
39	0.40	0.33	0.36	6				
accuracy			0.41	240				
macro avg	0.53	0.41	0.43	240				
weighted avg	0.53	0.41	0.43	240				
LD/	A RESULT ====							
Accuracy score	e:0.47							
ID DECILIT								
	======= LR RESULT ====== Accuracy score:0.43							
NO DECLUT								
======= NB RESULT ======= Accuracy score:0.39								
VANI DECLUT								
	KNN RESULT Accuracy score:0.20							
DT								
Accuracy score:0.11								

	precision	recall	f1-score	support		
				ээррэ. с		
0	0.75	0.50	0.60	6		
1	0.75	1.00	0.86	6		
2	0.71	0.83	0.77	6		
3	0.43	0.50	0.46	6		
4	0.60	0.50	0.55	6		
5	0.62	0.83	0.71	-		
6	0.50	0.33	0.40	6		
7	0.67	0.67	0.67	-		
8	0.83	0.83	0.83			
9	0.80	0.67	0.73	6		
accuracy			0.67			
macro avg						
weighted avg	0.67	0.67	0.66	60		
====== LDA RESULT =======						
Accuracy score:0.65						
====== LR RESULT ======						
Accuracy score:0.50						

Accuracy score:0.48

------ KNN RESULT =
Accuracy score:0.38

====== DT RESULT Accuracy score:0.33

======== SVM RESULT = Accuracy score:0.67

LFW, min samples/person = 20, reduce classes to 5, reduce samples to 20

10 20				
Predicting pe	eople's names	on the to	est set	
done in 0.001	ls			
	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.38	0.50	0.43	6
1	0.40	0.33	0.36	6
2	0.60	0.50	0.55	6
3	0.33	0.33	0.33	6
4	0.50	0.50	0.50	6
accuracy			0.43	30
macro avg	0.44	0.43	0.43	30
weighted avg	0.44	0.43	0.43	30
[[30030]				
[1 2 1 1 1]				
[10302]				
[2 2 0 2 0]				
[1 1 1 0 3]]	1			

Tace id:0	face id:1	Tace Id:2	Tace id:3	face id:4
Sec. of	CALLEGATION		-	
510		14	10.00	0
1				-
		Section 16		

Further reducing the number of classes does not increase the accuracy. Model 1 performs worse, will come back to this potential error at the end.

LFW, min samples/person = 100, reduce samples to 20

Predicting peop	le's names	on the t	est set	
Р	recision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.40	0.33	0.36	6
1	0.25	0.33	0.29	6
2	0.75	1.00	0.86	6
3	0.60	0.50	0.55	6
4	0.25	0.17	0.20	6
accuracy			0.47	30
macro avg	0.45	0.47	0.45	30
weighted avg	0.45	0.47	0.45	30
[[2 2 1 0 1]				
[3 2 0 0 1]				
[0 0 6 0 0]				
[0 1 1 3 1]				
[0 3 0 2 1]]	·			











With classes that look more similar to each other, the accuracy decreases (in model 2, as expected).

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.50	0.67	0.57	6
1	0.50	0.67	0.57	6
2	1.00	1.00	1.00	6
3	0.67	0.33	0.44	6
4	0.80	0.67	0.73	6
accuracy			0.67	30
macro avg	0.69	0.67	0.66	30
weighted avg	0.69	0.67	0.66	30
I D	A DECLIIT			

LDA RESULT Accuracy score:0.70
LR RESULT Accuracy score:0.70
NB RESULT Accuracy score:0.57
KNN RESULT Accuracy score:0.53
DT RESULT Accuracy score:0.33
SVM RESULT Accuracy score:0.67

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.42	0.83	0.56	6
1	0.60	0.50	0.55	6
2	0.67	0.67	0.67	6
3	0.50	0.33	0.40	6
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	6
accuracy			0.47	30
macro avg	0.44	0.47	0.43	30
weighted avg	0.44	0.47	0.43	30
			01.5	33

LDA RESULT Accuracy score:0.43
LR RESULT Accuracy score:0.40
NB RESULT Accuracy score:0.50
KNN RESULT Accuracy score:0.33
DT RESULT Accuracy score:0.40
======= SVM RESULT ======= Accuracy score:0.47

Potential error: for all the tests where the number of samples were reduced, model 1 (the left column) behaved much worse relative the model 2. When the samples weren't reduced, model 1 performed better. When the samples were reduced, model 2 performed the same or worse while displaying irregular behavior, while model 2 exhibited the expected behavior. Will test this potential error by formulating curated dataset, so that the reduceClassesAndSamples() function will not have to be used.