Model Test

Kinif Pierrick 5 avril 2018

Conclusion Roa one lag avec outliers + TobinsQ sans outliers sans lag + Roe one lag without outliers

Model Within with outliers

at least one couple (id-time) has NA in at least one index dimension in resulting pdata.frame to find out which, use e.g. table(index(your_pdataframe), useNA = "ifany") at least one couple (id-time) has NA in at least one index dimension in resulting pdata.frame to find out which, use e.g. table(index(your_pdataframe), useNA = "ifany") at least one couple (id-time) has NA in at least one index dimension in resulting pdata.frame to find out which, use e.g. table(index(your_pdataframe), useNA = "ifany")

at least one couple (id-time) has NA in at least one index dimension in resulting pdata.frame to find out which, use e.g. table(index(your_pdataframe), useNA = "ifany") at least one couple (id-time) has NA in at least one index dimension in resulting pdata.frame to find out which, use e.g. table(index(your_pdataframe), useNA = "ifany") at least one couple (id-time) has NA in at least one index dimension in resulting pdata.frame to find out which, use e.g. table(index(your_pdataframe), useNA = "ifany")

Table 1: Within Model without lag

	Table 1: Within Model without lag		
	Dependent variable:		
	ROA	TobinsQ	ROE
	(1)	(2)	(3)
SustainabilityPayLink	$0.038 \\ (0.044)$	0.023 (0.747)	-0.449 (0.785)
${\bf Sustainable The med Commitment}$	-0.258^* (0.137)	3.053 (2.290)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.327 \\ (2.463) \end{array} $
AuditScore	0.016 (0.131)	1.909 (2.216)	-0.200 (2.359)
EnergyProductivity	0.004 (0.012)	-0.127 (0.208)	0.051 (0.219)
CarbonProductivity	-0.012 (0.015)	-0.454^* (0.249)	-0.154 (0.262)
WaterProductivity	0.008 (0.010)	-0.055 (0.178)	-0.085 (0.186)
WasteProductivity	-0.007 (0.010)	-0.208 (0.174)	-0.128 (0.184)
Leverage	-0.00005 (0.0001)	-0.0004 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)
NetMargin	0.070*** (0.006)	-0.709^{***} (0.223)	0.160 (0.102)
FirmSize	-0.003 (0.008)	0.460*** (0.148)	0.122 (0.136)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2 F Statistic	$ \begin{array}{c} 1,191 \\ 0.175 \\ -0.252 \\ 16.649^{***} \text{ (df} = 10; 784) \end{array} $	1,063 0.105 -0.370 8.141*** (df = 10; 694)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1,191 \\ 0.014 \\ -0.496 \\ 1.133 \text{ (df} = 10; 784) \end{array} $

Table 2: Within Model with one lag

	Dependent variable:			
	ROA	TobinsQ	ROE	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
SustainabilityPayLink	-0.048 (0.053)	0.535 (0.682)	$1.140 \\ (1.005)$	
${\bf Sustainable The med Commitment}$	0.384** (0.166)	2.885 (2.086)	2.800 (3.149)	
AuditScore	$0.022 \\ (0.159)$	0.084 (1.992)	-1.141 (3.018)	
EnergyProductivity	0.018 (0.015)	0.093 (0.191)	-0.358 (0.281)	
CarbonProductivity	-0.039^{**} (0.018)	-0.049 (0.229)	0.185 (0.337)	
WaterProductivity	$0.037^{***} $ (0.013)	-0.094 (0.162)	-0.163 (0.238)	
WasteProductivity	0.003 (0.012)	-0.183 (0.159)	0.319 (0.236)	
Leverage	-0.00002 (0.00005)	0.0001 (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	
$\operatorname{NetMargin}$	$0.052^{***} $ (0.005)	-0.007 (0.058)	0.127 (0.088)	
FirmSize	-0.0002 (0.010)	-0.323^{***} (0.124)	-0.049 (0.185)	
Observations R ² Adjusted R ² F Statistic	1,191 0.161 -0.274 15.006*** (df = 10; 784)	$ \begin{array}{r} 1,059 \\ 0.024 \\ -0.495 \\ 1.668* (df = 10; 691) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 1,191 \\ 0.033 \\ -0.468 \\ 2.638^{***} \text{ (df = 10; 784)} \end{array} $	

Note:

Table 3: Within Model with two lag

	Dependent variable:		
	ROA	TobinsQ	ROE
	(1)	(2)	(3)
SustainabilityPayLink	-0.146^{**} (0.061)	-0.029 (0.601)	$0.668 \\ (1.196)$
${\bf Sustainable The med Commitment}$	0.197 (0.192)	0.435 (1.838)	0.976 (3.747)
AuditScore	-0.221 (0.184)	0.196 (1.787)	-1.844 (3.589)
EnergyProductivity	0.011 (0.017)	0.082 (0.168)	$0.047 \\ (0.334)$
CarbonProductivity	-0.012 (0.021)	-0.086 (0.202)	-0.109 (0.400)
WaterProductivity	0.023 (0.015)	-0.101 (0.143)	-0.125 (0.283)
WasteProductivity	-0.019 (0.014)	0.012 (0.141)	0.180 (0.281)
Leverage	0.00001 (0.0001)	0.0002 (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
NetMargin	0.036*** (0.004)	-0.006 (0.037)	0.031 (0.074)
FirmSize	0.010 (0.019)	-2.290*** (0.193)	0.825** (0.374)
Industry10	0.016 (0.054)		-1.425 (1.049)
Observations R ²	1,191 0.120	1,051 0.173	1,191 0.032
Adjusted R ² F Statistic	-0.337 9.705*** (df = 11; 783)	-0.265 $14.400^{***} (df = 10; 686)$	-0.471 2.364*** (df = 11; 783)

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 4: Within Model withoutla without outliers

	Dependent variable:		
	ROA	TobinsQ	ROE
	(1)	(2)	(3)
SustainabilityPayLink	$0.050 \\ (0.031)$	0.003 (0.541)	$0.155 \ (0.284)$
${\bf Sustainable The med Commitment}$	-0.183^* (0.097)	4.072** (1.662)	-0.213 (0.889)
AuditScore	0.056 (0.094)	1.434 (1.607)	$0.170 \\ (0.849)$
EnergyProductivity	0.008 (0.009)	-0.108 (0.151)	0.036 (0.079)
CarbonProductivity	-0.008 (0.010)	-0.449** (0.181)	-0.017 (0.095)
WaterProductivity	0.001 (0.007)	-0.081 (0.129)	-0.066 (0.068)
WasteProductivity	-0.010 (0.007)	-0.197 (0.126)	-0.070 (0.067)
Leverage	-0.00004 (0.00004)	-0.0003 (0.001)	-0.002^{***} (0.0004)
NetMargin	0.195*** (0.010)	0.362** (0.179)	0.275*** (0.037)
FirmSize	-0.016^{***} (0.006)	0.094 (0.133)	0.035 (0.049)
Observations R ² Adjusted R ² F Statistic	1,183 0.364 0.032 44.455*** (df = 10; 776)	1,053 0.156 -0.298 12.663*** (df = 10; 684)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1,181 \\ 0.112 \\ -0.353 \\ 9.727*** (df = 10; 775) \end{array} $

Note:

Table 5: Within Model with one lag without outliers

	Dependent variable:			
	ROA	$ \text{TobinsQ} \\ (2) $	ROE (3)	
	(1)			
${\bf Sustainability Pay Link}$	-0.006 (0.036)	$0.368 \ (0.554)$	-0.284 (0.315)	
${\bf Sustainable The med Commitment}$	0.366*** (0.113)	3.126* (1.693)	3.572*** (0.985)	
AuditScore	0.022 (0.108)	$0.250 \\ (1.618)$	-0.116 (0.944)	
EnergyProductivity	0.001 (0.010)	0.002 (0.155)	0.093 (0.088)	
CarbonProductivity	-0.010 (0.012)	-0.090 (0.186)	-0.244** (0.106)	
WaterProductivity	0.021** (0.009)	-0.028 (0.132)	0.126* (0.075)	
WasteProductivity	0.004 (0.008)	-0.160 (0.129)	$0.040 \\ (0.074)$	
Leverage	-0.00003 (0.00003)	-0.0001 (0.0005)	0.0004 (0.001)	
NetMargin	0.148*** (0.009)	0.028 (0.047)	0.093*** (0.028)	
FirmSize	-0.031^{***} (0.007)	-0.839^{***} (0.123)	$0.048 \\ (0.058)$	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2 F Statistic	1,182 0.276 -0.103 29.580*** (df = 10; 775)	1,050 0.082 -0.409 6.129*** (df = 10; 683)	1,185 0.043 -0.457 3.479*** (df = 10; 778)	

Table 6: Within Model with two lag without outliers

ROA (1) -0.087* (0.050) 0.127 (0.156) -0.236 (0.150) 0.005 (0.014)	TobinsQ (2) -0.093 (0.527) 1.153 (1.644) 0.209 (1.566)	ROE (3) -0.348 (0.396) -0.158 (1.261) -1.281 (1.183)
-0.087^* (0.050) 0.127 (0.156) -0.236 (0.150) 0.005	-0.093 (0.527) 1.153 (1.644) 0.209 (1.566)	-0.348 (0.396) -0.158 (1.261) -1.281
(0.050) 0.127 (0.156) -0.236 (0.150) 0.005	(0.527) 1.153 (1.644) 0.209 (1.566)	(0.396) -0.158 (1.261) -1.281
(0.156) -0.236 (0.150) 0.005	(1.644) 0.209 (1.566)	(1.261) -1.281
(0.150) 0.005	(1.566)	
	$0.063 \\ (0.147)$	$0.173 \\ (0.110)$
-0.011 (0.017)	-0.017 (0.177)	-0.301** (0.132)
0.018 (0.012)	-0.111 (0.126)	$0.036 \ (0.094)$
-0.014 (0.012)	0.002 (0.123)	-0.018 (0.093)
0.00002 (0.00005)	0.0001 (0.0005)	0.0004 (0.001)
0.077*** (0.006)	0.011 (0.032)	$0.051^{**} $ (0.025)
-0.016 (0.016)	-1.870*** (0.173)	$0.172 \\ (0.127)$
0.011 (0.044)		-1.388^{***} (0.346)
1,181 0.184 -0.246	1,043 0.151 -0.301 12.107*** (df = 10; 680)	$ \begin{array}{r} 1,185 \\ 0.039 \\ -0.465 \\ 2.832^{***} \text{ (df = 11; 777)} \end{array} $
	(0.012) -0.014 (0.012) 0.00002 (0.00005) 0.077*** (0.006) -0.016 (0.016) 0.011 (0.044) 1,181 0.184	