Roa Roe TobinsQ Eps

 $10\ 4\ 1\ 0.008862213\ 0.1934\ 0.3064\ 2.18\ 5.68\ 12\ 4\ 3\ -0.116790321\ 0.2045\ 0.4625\ 2.17\ 9.22\ 25\ 9\ 1\ 0.053158443$  $0.0285\ 0.0433\ 2.62\ 0.56\ 55\ 19\ 1\ 0.029784296\ -0.0408\ -0.1123\ 1.21\ -5.27\ 96\ 32\ 3\ -0.100672286\ -0.6182\ -1.6222\ 0.93$ -27.40 244 82 1 0.012212239 -0.0103 -1.1267 1.65 -1.82 Roic GreenScore CarbonProductivity WaterProductivity  $10\ 0.2608\ 0.57\ 0.96\ 0.96\ 12\ 0.3132\ 0.74\ 0.15\ 0.12\ 25\ 0.0399\ 0.84\ 0.87\ 0.99\ 55\ -0.0386\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.61\ 96\ -0.9442$ 0.20 0.04 0.00 244 -0.0124 0.00 0.00 0.00 WasteProductivity EnergyProductivity SustainabilityPayLink 10 0.94  $0.92\ 0\ 12\ 0.11\ 0.10\ 1\ 25\ 0.92\ 0.83\ 1\ 55\ 0.82\ 0.72\ 1\ 96\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 1\ 244\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0$  Sustainable The med Commitment AuditScore TotalAssets Leverage NetMargin 10 0 0 1.96088e+11 0.00 0.2667 12 0 1 2.31839e+11 0.26 0.2161  $25\ 1\ 1\ 1.00400e + 10\ 0.22\ 0.1891\ 55\ 1\ 1\ 9.17930e + 09\ 1.63\ 0.0165\ 96\ 0\ 1\ 5.59520e + 10\ 0.43\ - 0.3930\ 244\ 0\ 0$ 1.56000e+10 85.96 -0.0405 Industry Beta CostEquity FirmSize LogTobinsQ 10 7 1.67431042 1.985686e-02  $26.00183\ 0.77932488\ 12\ 7\ 1.99369967\ -1.196220e -03\ 26.16931\ 0.77472717\ 25\ 7\ 1.17393076\ 1.395238e -02\ 23.02984$  $0.96317432\ 55\ 5\ 0.40827036\ 4.917590 \\ e-03\ 22.94022\ 0.19062036\ 96\ 3\ -0.09305761\ 5.583457 \\ e-05\ 24.74776\ -0.093057761\ 5.583457 \\ e-05\ 24.747761\ 6.093577761\ 6.09357761\ 6.093577777770\ 6.0935777770\ 6.093577770\ 6$ 0.07257069 244 1 0.32871299 3.978813e-03 23.47054 0.50077529 CompaniesIndex YearIndex Ra Roa Roe TobinsQ Eps Roic 10 4 1 0.008862213 0.1934 0.3064 2.18 5.68 0.2608 11 4 2 -0.074606091 0.1801 0.3361  $2.54\ 6.45\ 0.2620\ 12\ 4\ 3\ -0.116790321\ 0.2045\ 0.4625\ 2.17\ 9.22\ 0.3132\ 22\ 8\ 1\ 0.007257007\ 0.0436\ 0.1185\ 1.69$  $0.53\ 0.0744\ 64\ 22\ 1\ 0.004962791\ 0.0181\ 0.1096\ 0.19\ 4.81\ NA\ 90\ 30\ 3\ 0.016544138\ 0.0099\ 0.0494\ 5.02\ 1.25$ 0.0331 GreenScore CarbonProductivity WaterProductivity WasteProductivity 10 0.57 0.96 0.96 0.94 11 0.75  $0.13\ 0.11\ 0.14\ 12\ 0.74\ 0.15\ 0.12\ 0.11\ 22\ 0.39\ 0.43\ 0.40\ 0.02\ 64\ 0.49\ 0.21\ 0.31\ 0.72\ 90\ 0.18\ 0.06\ 0.00\ 0.00$ EnergyProductivity SustainabilityPayLink SustainableThemedCommitment 10 0.92 0 0 11 0.11 1 0 12 0.10 1 0 22 0.20 0 1 64 0.19 0 1 90 0.00 0 0 AuditScore TotalAssets Leverage NetMargin Industry Beta 10 0  $0.2161\ 7\ 1.99369967\ 22\ 1\ 1250886000\ 0.67\ 0.0733\ 6\ 1.72904166\ 64\ 1\ 126947000000\ 0.29\ 0.0692\ 4\ 0.55713590\ 90$  $0.54505000000\ 1.16\ -0.0027\ 1\ 1.98391153\ CostEquity\ FirmSize\ LogTobinsQ\ 10\ 0.019856863\ 26.00183\ 0.7793249$  $11\, -0.001498353\, 26.05598\, 0.9321641\, 12\, -0.001196220\, 26.16931\, 0.7747272\, 22\, 0.020502692\, 20.94712\, 0.5247285\, 64$  $0.006674204\ 25.56704\ -1.6607312\ 90\ -0.001190347\ 24.72156\ 1.6134299\ Companies Index\ Year Index\ Ra\ Roa\ Roe$  $TobinsQ\ Eps\ 244\ 82\ 1\ 0.01221224\ -0.0103\ -1.1267\ 1.65\ -1.82\ 245\ 82\ 2\ -0.01831641\ -0.0087\ -1.2323\ 1.60\ -1.88\ 246\ -0.01831641\ -0.0087\ -1.2323\ 1.60\ -1.88\ 246\ -0.01831641\ -0.0087\ -1.2323\ 1.60\ -1.88\ 246\ -0.01831641\ -0.0087\ -1.2323\ 1.60\ -1.88\ -0.01831641\ -0.0087\ -1.2323\ 1.60\ -1.88\ -0.01831641\ -0.0087\ -0.0087\ -0.008$  $82\ 3\ -0.02299942\ -0.0085\ -5.4200\ 1.42\ -2.68\ 260\ 87\ 2\ 0.02516777\ 0.1302\ 3.7200\ 3.27\ 4.23\ 261\ 87\ 3\ 0.02014956$ 0.1377 4.2647 4.33 4.37 649 217 1 0.04816169 0.0797 1.2027 1.43 9.13 Roic GreenScore CarbonProductivity  $Water Productivity\ 244\ -0.0124\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 245\ -0.0103\ 0.16\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 246\ -0.0095\ 0.18\ 0.02\ 0.00\ 260\ 0.2501$ 0.65 0.08 0.06 261 0.2693 0.64 0.06 0.07 649 0.3741 0.58 0.56 0.63 WasteProductivity EnergyProductivity  $Sustainabilitv Pav Link \ 244 \ 0.00 \ 0.00 \ 0 \ 245 \ 0.00 \ 0.00 \ 0 \ 246 \ 0.00 \ 0.00 \ 0 \ 260 \ 0.13 \ 0.07 \ 1 \ 261 \ 0.12 \ 0.07 \ 1 \ 649 \ 0.87 \ 0.00 \ 0.$ 0.49 1 SustainableThemedCommitment AuditScore TotalAssets Leverage NetMargin 244 0 0 1.5600e+10  $14.86\ 0.1017\ 261\ 1\ 4.2580e + 09\ 10.36\ 0.0998\ 649\ 1\ 1\ 3.8657e + 10\ 157.90\ 0.0582\ Industry\ Beta\ CostEquity$  $Firm Size \ Log Tobins Q \ 244 \ 1 \ 0.3287130 \ 0.0039788133 \ 23.47054 \ 0.5007753 \ 245 \ 1 \ 0.3478115 \ 0.0097735020 \\$  $23.57397\ 0.4700036\ 246\ 1\ 0.3709959\ -0.0002225975\ 23.91744\ 0.3506569\ 260\ 2\ 1.0461019\ 0.0293954646\ 22.18444$  $1.1847900\ 261\ 2\ 0.1943379\ -0.0001166027\ 22.17207\ 1.4655675\ 649\ 6\ 1.0130601\ 0.0120541095\ 24.37799\ 0.3576744$ Companies Index Year<br/>Index Ra Roa Roe Tobins Q Eps 96 32 3 -0.10067229 -0.6182 -1.6222 0.93 -27.40 246 82  $3 - 0.02299942 - 0.0085 - 5.4200 \ 1.42 - 2.68 \ 649 \ 217 \ 1 \ 0.04816169 \ 0.0797 \ 1.2027 \ 1.43 \ 9.13 \ 655 \ 219 \ 1 \ 0.08541034$ 3.08 Roic GreenScore CarbonProductivity WaterProductivity 96 -0.9442 0.20 0.04 0.00 246 -0.0095 0.18 0.02  $0.00\ 649\ 0.3741\ 0.58\ 0.56\ 0.63\ 655\ -0.0699\ 0.15\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 656\ -0.0447\ 0.01\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 684\ 0.0638\ 0.28\ 0.04\ 0.00$ WasteProductivity EnergyProductivity SustainabilityPayLink 96 0.00 0.00 1 246 0.00 0.00 0 649 0.87 0.49  $1\ 655\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0\ 656\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0\ 684\ 0.04\ 0.01\ 0\ Sustainable The med Commitment\ Audit Score\ Total Assets$  $\text{Leverage NetMargin 96 0 1 } 5.5952\text{e} + 10\ 0.43\ - 0.3930\ 246\ 0\ 0\ 2.4390\text{e} + 10\ 143.99\ - 0.0201\ 649\ 1\ 1\ 3.8657\text{e} + 10\ 0.4300\text{e} + 10\ 0.$  $157.90\ 0.0582\ 655\ 0\ 0\ 4.6390e + 09\ 4.25\ -1.2500\ 656\ 0\ 0\ 9.6730e + 09\ 36.60\ -1.9008\ 684\ 0\ 1\ 1.3122e + 10\ 1.12$ 1.3549 Industry Beta CostEquity FirmSize LogTobinsQ  $96\ 3\ -0.09305761\ 5.583457e-05\ 24.74776\ -0.07257069$  $246\ 1\ 0.37099587\ -2.225975 e-04\ 23.91744\ 0.35065687\ 649\ 6\ 1.01306013\ 1.205411 e-02\ 24.37799\ 0.35767444\ 655$ 1.25648476 -7.538909e-04 23.29756 0.48242615 CompaniesIndex YearIndex Ra Roa Roe TobinsQ Eps 12 4 3  $-0.11679032\ 0.2045\ 0.4625\ 2.17\ 9.22\ 31\ 11\ 1\ 0.07534958\ 0.0307\ 0.0666\ 0.48\ 2.02\ 96\ 32\ 3\ -0.10067229\ -0.6182$  $-1.6222\ 0.93\ -27.40\ 97\ 33\ 1\ -0.11104214\ 0.0148\ 0.0377\ 0.93\ 1.58\ 99\ 33\ 3\ -0.20470062\ -0.1238\ -0.4113\ 0.85\ -13.18$ 157 53 1 -0.03996744 0.1694 0.2390 5.06 7.81 Roic GreenScore CarbonProductivity WaterProductivity 12

 $0.3132\ 0.74\ 0.15\ 0.12\ 31\ 0.0574\ 0.19\ 0.09\ 0.55\ 96\ -0.9442\ 0.20\ 0.04\ 0.00\ 97\ 0.0354\ 0.32\ 0.10\ 0.61\ 99\ -0.1927\ 0.26$ 0.04 0.00 157 0.2171 0.76 0.83 0.85 WasteProductivity EnergyProductivity SustainabilityPayLink 12 0.11 0.10  $1\ 31\ 0.00\ 0.06\ 0\ 96\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 1\ 97\ 0.00\ 0.58\ 0\ 99\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 1\ 157\ 0.97\ 0.69\ 1\ Sustainable The med Commitment$  $AuditScore\ Total Assets\ Leverage\ NetMargin\ 12\ 0\ 1\ 231839000000\ 0.26\ 0.2161\ 31\ 1\ 0\ 45136000000\ 0.36\ 0.0137$  $96\ 0\ 1\ 55952000000\ 0.43\ -0.3930\ 97\ 1\ 1\ 52589000000\ 0.64\ 0.1797\ 99\ 1\ 1\ 61689000000\ 0.77\ -0.1069\ 157\ 0\ 1$ 10130118000 0.10 0.2502 Industry Beta CostEquity FirmSize LogTobinsQ 12 7 1.99369967 -1.196220e-03  $26.16931\ 0.77472717\ 31\ 2\ 0.04167846\ 5.918058e-04\ 24.53295\ -0.73396918\ 96\ 3\ -0.09305761\ 5.583457e-05$  $24.84537 - 0.16251893 \ 157 \ 5 \ 0.82669264 \ 9.854973 \\ e-03 \ 23.03878 \ 1.62136648 \ Companies Index \ Year Index \ Ra$ Roa Roe TobinsQ Eps 178 60 1 0.01786521 0.0427 0.0951 0.69 11850.00 179 60 2 0.01307179 0.0393 0.0860 $0.75\ 12092.00\ 180\ 60\ 3\ -0.01783793\ 0.0447\ 0.0972\ 0.63\ 14656.00\ 649\ 217\ 1\ 0.04816169\ 0.0797\ 1.2027\ 1.43\ 9.13$ Roic GreenScore CarbonProductivity WaterProductivity 178 NA 0.02 0.00 0.00 179 NA 0.12 0.00 0.00 180 NA 0.18 0.06 0.00 649 0.3741 0.58 0.56 0.63 WasteProductivity EnergyProductivity SustainabilityPayLink  $178\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0\ 179\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0\ 180\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0\ 649\ 0.87\ 0.49\ 1\ Sustainable The med Commitment\ Audit Score$  $5.26186e+11\ 0.33\ 0.1021\ 649\ 1\ 1\ 3.86570e+10\ 157.90\ 0.0582\ Industry\ Beta\ CostEquity\ FirmSize\ LogTobinsQ$  $178\ 4\ 0.4094954\ 0.0049320458\ 26.78111\ -0.3710637\ 179\ 4\ 0.9378617\ 0.0263539129\ 26.90727\ -0.2876821\ 180\ 4$ 0.8519822 -0.0005111893 26.98892 -0.4620355 649 6 1.0130601 0.0120541095 24.37799 0.3576744

Table 1: Lagrange Multipliers test for random effects versus OLS

	DependentVariables	TimeEffect	IndividualEffect	TwowaysEffect
1	TobinsQ	0.5085	< .01 ***	< .01 ***
2	Roa	< .05 **	< .01 ***	< .01 ***
3	Roe	0.3423	< .01 ***	< .01 ***
4	Roic	0.3206	< .01 ***	< .01 ***
5	Ra	< .01 ***	< .01 ***	< .01 ***
6	Eps	0.6772	< .01 ***	< .01 ***

Table 2: F test for fixed effects versus OLS

	DependentVariables	TimeEffect	IndividualEffect	TwowaysEffect
1	TobinsQ	0.3235	< .01 ***	< .01 ***
2	Roa	< .05 **	< .01 ***	< .01 ***
3	Roe	0.5764	< .01 ***	< .01 ***
4	Roic	< .1 *	< .01 ***	< .01 ***
5	Ra	< .01 ***	0.9937	0.1637
6	Eps	0.3479	< .01 ***	< .01 ***

Table 3: Hausman Test with time effect in fixed model

	Dependent Variables	pvalue
1	TobinsQ	1
2	Roa	< .01 ***
3	Roe	< .01 ***
4	Roic	< .01 ***
5	Ra	< .01 ***
6	Eps	< .01 ***

Companies Index YearIndex Ra Roa Roe TobinsQ Eps 96 32 3 -0.100672286 -0.6182 -1.6222 0.93 -27.40 172 58 1 -0.001136554 -0.0916 -0.1497 4.36 -1.28 244 82 1 0.012212239 -0.0103 -1.1267 1.65 -1.82 245 82 2 -0.018316414 -0.0087 -1.2323 1.60 -1.88 246 82 3 -0.022999421 -0.0085 -5.4200 1.42 -2.68 286 96 1 NA 0.2821 0.4124 NA NA Roic Green CarbonProductivity WaterProductivity 96 -0.9442 0.20 0.04 0 172 -0.1013 0.00 0.00 0 244 -0.0124 0.00 0.00 0 245 -0.0103 0.16 0.00 0 246 -0.0095 0.18 0.02 0 286 NA 0.00

Table 4: Hausman Test with individual effect in fixed model

	Dependent Variables	pvalue
1	TobinsQ	< .01 ***
2	Roa	< .01 ***
3	Roe	< .01 ***
4	Roic	< .01 ***
5	Ra	< .01 ***
6	Eps	< .01 ***

Table 5: Hausman Test with twoways effects in fixed model

	DependentVariables	pvalue
1	TobinsQ	< .01 ***
2	Roa	< .01 ***
3	Roe	< .01 ***
4	Roic	< .01 ***
5	Ra	< .01 ***
6	Eps	< .01 ***

0.00 0 WasteProductivity EnergyProductivity SustainabilityPayLink 96 0 0 1 172 0 0 0 244 0 0 0 245 0 0 0 246 0 0 0 286 0 0 0 SustainableThemedCommitment AuditScore TotalAssets Leverage NetMargin 96 0  $1\ 55952000000\ 0.4300\ -0.3930\ 172\ 0\ 0\ 1568000000\ 0.3200\ -0.2284\ 244\ 0\ 0\ 15600000000\ 85.9600\ -0.0405\ 245$  $0\ 0\ 17300000000\ 93.9100\ -0.0207\ 246\ 0\ 0\ 24390000000\ 143.9900\ -0.0201\ 286\ 0\ 0\ 3279429000\ 0.0002\ 0.2131$ Industry Beta CostEquity FirmSize LogTobinsQ 96 3 -0.09305761 5.583457e-05 24.74776 -0.07257069 172  $0.34781146\ 9.773502e - 03\ 23.57397\ 0.47000363\ 246\ 1\ 0.37099587\ - 2.225975e - 04\ 23.91744\ 0.35065687\ 286\ 1\ NA$ NA 21.91094 NA Companies Index Year<br/>Index Ra Roa Roe TobinsQ Eps 10 4 1 0.008862213 0.1934 0.3064 $2.18\ 5.68\ 11\ 4\ 2\ -0.074606091\ 0.1801\ 0.3361\ 2.54\ 6.45\ 12\ 4\ 3\ -0.116790321\ 0.2045\ 0.4625\ 2.17\ 9.22\ 88\ 30\ 1$  $0.013049021\ 0.0075\ 0.0306\ 4.60\ 0.59\ 90\ 30\ 3\ 0.016544138\ 0.0099\ 0.0494\ 5.02\ 1.25\ 246\ 82\ 3\ -0.022999421\ -0.0085$ -5.4200 1.42 -2.68 Roic GreenScore CarbonProductivity WaterProductivity 10 0.2608 0.57 0.96 0.96 11 0.2620  $0.75\ 0.13\ 0.11\ 12\ 0.3132\ 0.74\ 0.15\ 0.12\ 88\ 0.0255\ 0.01\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 90\ 0.0331\ 0.18\ 0.06\ 0.00\ 246\ -0.0095\ 0.18$ 0.02 0.00 WasteProductivity EnergyProductivity SustainabilityPayLink 10 0.94 0.92 0 11 0.14 0.11 1 12 0.11  $0.10\ 1\ 88\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0\ 90\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0\ 246\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0\ Sustainable The med Commitment\ Audit Score\ Total Assets$  $Leverage\ NetMargin\ 10\ 0\ 0\ 1.96088e + 11\ 0.00\ 0.2667\ 11\ 0\ 1\ 2.07000e + 11\ 0.14\ 0.2167\ 12\ 0\ 1\ 2.31839e + 11$  $0.26\ 0.2161\ 88\ 0\ 0\ 3.25550e + 10\ 0.47\ - 0.0006\ 90\ 0\ 0\ 5.45050e + 10\ 1.16\ - 0.0027\ 246\ 0\ 0\ 2.43900e + 10\ 143.99$ -0.0201 Industry Beta CostEquity FirmSize LogTobinsQ 10 7 1.67431042 0.0198568629 26.00183 0.7793249  $11\ 7\ -0.05332218\ -0.0014983532\ 26.05598\ 0.9321641\ 12\ 7\ 1.99369967\ -0.0011962198\ 26.16931\ 0.7747272$ 1 0.37099587 -0.0002225975 23.91744 0.3506569 CompaniesIndex YearIndex Ra Roa Roe TobinsQ Eps  $244\ 82\ 1\ 0.01221224\ -0.0103\ -1.1267\ 1.65\ -1.82\ 245\ 82\ 2\ -0.01831641\ -0.0087\ -1.2323\ 1.60\ -1.88\ 246\ 82\ 3$  $-0.02299942 \,\, -0.0085 \,\, -5.4200 \,\, 1.42 \,\, -2.68 \,\, 260 \,\, 87 \,\, 2 \,\, 0.02516777 \,\, 0.1302 \,\, 3.7200 \,\, 3.27 \,\, 4.23 \,\, 261 \,\, 87 \,\, 3 \,\, 0.02014956$ 0.1377 4.2647 4.33 4.37 649 217 1 0.04816169 0.0797 1.2027 1.43 9.13 Roic GreenScore CarbonProductivity  $Water Productivity\ 244\ -0.0124\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 245\ -0.0103\ 0.16\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 246\ -0.0095\ 0.18\ 0.02\ 0.00\ 260\ 0.2501$ 0.65 0.08 0.06 261 0.2693 0.64 0.06 0.07 649 0.3741 0.58 0.56 0.63 WasteProductivity EnergyProductivity  $Sustainability PayLink\ 244\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0\ 245\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0\ 246\ 0.00\ 0.00\ 0\ 260\ 0.13\ 0.07\ 1\ 261\ 0.12\ 0.07\ 1\ 649\ 0.87$ 0.49 1 SustainableThemedCommitment AuditScore TotalAssets Leverage NetMargin 244 0 0 1.5600e+10  $85.96 \, \, -0.0405 \,\, 245 \,\, 0 \,\, 0 \,\, 1.7300e + 10 \,\, 93.91 \,\, -0.0207 \,\, 246 \,\, 0 \,\, 0 \,\, 2.4390e + 10 \,\, 143.99 \,\, -0.0201 \,\, 260 \,\, 1 \,\, 1 \,\, 4.3110e + 09 \,\, 10.0201 \,\, 10$ 14.86 0.1017 261 1 1 4.2580e+09 10.36 0.0998 649 1 1 3.8657e+10 157.90 0.0582 Industry Beta CostEquity  $Firm Size\ Log Tobins Q\ 244\ 1\ 0.3287130\ 0.0039788133\ 23.47054\ 0.5007753\ 245\ 1\ 0.3478115\ 0.0097735020$  $23.57397\ 0.4700036\ 246\ 1\ 0.3709959\ -0.0002225975\ 23.91744\ 0.3506569\ 260\ 2\ 1.0461019\ 0.0293954646\ 22.18444$  $1.1847900\ 261\ 2\ 0.1943379\ -0.0001166027\ 22.17207\ 1.4655675\ 649\ 6\ 1.0130601\ 0.0120541095\ 24.37799\ 0.3576744$ Companies Index Year Index Ra Roa Roe Tobins Q Eps 10 4 1 0.008862213 0.1934 0.3064 2.18 5.68 11 4 2  $-0.074606091\ 0.1801\ 0.3361\ 2.54\ 6.45\ 12\ 4\ 3\ -0.116790321\ 0.2045\ 0.4625\ 2.17\ 9.22\ 88\ 30\ 1\ 0.013049021\ 0.0075$ 

Table 6: Model comparison TobinsQ - Pool (1), Random (2)

	Dependent variable: LogTobinsQ	
	(1)	(2)
SustainabilityPayLink	0.079*	0.044
	(0.044)	(0.028)
SustainableThemedCommitment	0.063	0.075*
	(0.044)	(0.040)
AuditScore	0.158***	0.080**
	(0.044)	(0.037)
CarbonProductivity	-0.012	-0.082
	(0.135)	(0.062)
WaterProductivity	0.337**	0.116*
	(0.155)	(0.069)
WasteProductivity	-0.199	-0.203***
	(0.156)	(0.071)
FirmSize	-0.443***	-0.406***
	(0.015)	(0.022)
NetMargin	0.465***	-0.041
	(0.152)	(0.103)
Leverage	0.003	0.0001
	(0.003)	(0.003)
Industry	-0.026***	-0.024**
	(0.007)	(0.011)
Constant	10.701***	9.955***
	(0.345)	(0.530)
Observations	954	954
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.505	0.276
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.500	0.268
F Statistic (df = $10$ ; $943$ )	96.388***	35.977***

Table 7: Model comparison TobinsQ - Fixed with time (1), individual (2) and twoways effects (3)

	Dependent variable:  LogTobinsQ		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
SustainabilityPayLink	0.066 $(0.045)$	0.026 $(0.029)$	$0.026 \\ (0.030)$
${\bf Sustainable The med Commitment}$	0.056 $(0.044)$	0.065 $(0.048)$	$0.066 \\ (0.048)$
AuditScore	0.151*** (0.045)	0.031 $(0.043)$	0.035 $(0.043)$
CarbonProductivity	0.076 $(0.147)$	-0.092 (0.061)	-0.096 (0.068)
WaterProductivity	0.366** (0.157)	0.105 $(0.068)$	0.098 $(0.068)$
WasteProductivity	-0.178 (0.156)	$-0.200^{***}$ (0.070)	$-0.205^{***}$ (0.070)
FirmSize	$-0.442^{***}$ (0.015)	$-0.172^{***}$ (0.063)	$-0.125^*$ (0.073)
NetMargin	$0.453^{***}$ $(0.153)$	-0.160 (0.109)	-0.148 (0.109)
Leverage	0.003 (0.003)	-0.002 (0.003)	-0.002 (0.003)
Industry	$-0.025^{***}$ $(0.007)$		
Observations R <sup>2</sup>	954 0.507	954 0.051	954 0.041
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> F Statistic	$0.500 96.586^{***} (df = 10; 941)$	$-0.483$ $3.614^{***} (df = 9; 610)$	$-0.504$ $2.870^{***} (df = 9; 608)$

Note: \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 8: Model comparison Roa - Pool (1), Random (2)

	Dependent variable:  Roa		
	(1)	(2)	
SustainabilityPayLink	0.009**	0.005	
	(0.004)	(0.003)	
SustainableThemedCommitment	0.012***	0.014***	
	(0.003)	(0.004)	
AuditScore	-0.004	-0.001	
	(0.004)	(0.004)	
CarbonProductivity	0.024**	0.005	
Ť	(0.011)	(0.008)	
WaterProductivity	0.005	0.014	
v	(0.012)	(0.009)	
WasteProductivity	0.010	0.001	
	(0.012)	(0.009)	
FirmSize	-0.020***	-0.019***	
	(0.001)	(0.002)	
NetMargin	0.140***	0.060***	
· ·	(0.013)	(0.012)	
Leverage	-0.00000	-0.0001	
	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	
Industry	-0.002***	-0.002**	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	
Constant	0.522***	0.521***	
	(0.027)	(0.039)	
Observations	1,091	1,091	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.295	0.141	
Adjusted $R^2$	0.288	0.133	
F Statistic (df = 10; 1080)	45.104***	17.691***	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.0	

Table 9: Model comparison Roa - Fixed with time (1), individual (2) and two ways effects (3)

	Dependent variable:			
		Roa		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
SustainabilityPayLink	0.008** (0.004)	0.0002 $(0.004)$	$0.001 \\ (0.004)$	
${\bf Sustainable The med Commitment}$	0.012*** (0.004)	0.016** (0.007)	0.016** (0.006)	
AuditScore	-0.004 $(0.004)$	0.002 (0.006)	0.003 (0.006)	
CarbonProductivity	0.029** (0.012)	-0.011 (0.009)	-0.012 (0.009)	
WaterProductivity	$0.005 \\ (0.012)$	0.021** (0.010)	0.020** (0.009)	
WasteProductivity	$0.010 \\ (0.012)$	-0.002 (0.010)	-0.003 (0.010)	
FirmSize	$-0.020^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.031^{***}$ (0.009)	$-0.020^{**}$ (0.010)	
NetMargin	0.140*** (0.013)	$-0.034^{**}$ (0.016)	$-0.032^{**}$ (0.016)	
Leverage	0.00001 $(0.0003)$	-0.0002 $(0.0002)$	-0.0002 $(0.0002)$	
Industry	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.001)$			
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$ F Statistic	$ \begin{array}{c} 1,091 \\ 0.296 \\ 0.288 \\ 45.314^{***} \text{ (df} = 10; 1078) \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{r}     1,091 \\     0.041 \\     -0.487 \\     3.347*** (df = 9; 703)   \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{r}     1,091 \\     0.027 \\     -0.513 \\     2.180^{**} \text{ (df} = 9; 701)   \end{array} $	

Note: \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 10: Model comparison Roe - Pool (1), Random (2)

	Dependent variable:  Roe	
	(1)	(2)
SustainabilityPayLink	0.057***	0.023
	(0.018)	(0.019)
SustainableThemedCommitment	0.060***	0.097***
	(0.018)	(0.023)
AuditScore	0.002	0.003
	(0.018)	(0.022)
CarbonProductivity	0.059	-0.023
	(0.055)	(0.048)
WaterProductivity	0.019	0.049
	(0.063)	(0.054)
WasteProductivity	-0.031	-0.064
	(0.062)	(0.053)
FirmSize	-0.043***	-0.044***
	(0.006)	(0.009)
NetMargin	0.531***	0.348***
	(0.055)	(0.058)
Leverage	0.092***	0.077***
	(0.006)	(0.006)
Industry	-0.010***	-0.009**
	(0.003)	(0.004)
Constant	1.049***	1.121***
	(0.138)	(0.201)
Observations	1,108	1,108
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.254	0.172
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.247	0.164
F Statistic (df = $10$ ; $1097$ )	37.264***	22.719***
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<	(0.05; ***p<0.

Table 11: Model comparison Roe - Fixed with time (1), individual (2) and twoways effects (3)

		Dependent variable:  Roe		
	-			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
SustainabilityPayLink	0.053*** (0.019)	-0.029 (0.023)	-0.033 (0.024)	
${\bf Sustainable The med Commitment}$	0.059***	0.165***	0.164***	
	(0.018)	(0.038)	(0.039)	
AuditScore	0.0001 $(0.018)$	-0.005 $(0.036)$	-0.010 $(0.037)$	
CarbonProductivity	0.083 $(0.060)$	$-0.124^{**}$ (0.050)	-0.111** (0.054)	
WaterProductivity	0.026 $(0.063)$	0.081 $(0.055)$	$0.087 \ (0.055)$	
WasteProductivity	-0.024 (0.062)	-0.068 $(0.055)$	-0.063 (0.055)	
FirmSize	$-0.042^{***}$ (0.006)	$-0.183^{***}$ $(0.047)$	$-0.211^{***}$ $(0.054)$	
NetMargin	0.528*** (0.055)	0.012 (0.073)	0.013 (0.073)	
Leverage	0.092*** (0.006)	0.053*** (0.008)	0.052*** (0.008)	
Industry	$-0.010^{***}$ $(0.003)$	(1 1 1 7)	(* * * * * )	
Observations $\mathbb{R}^2$	1,108 0.254	1,108 0.119	1,108 0.119	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> F Statistic	$0.246$ $37.241^{***} (df = 10; 1095)$	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.355 \\ 10.779^{***} \text{ (df = 9; 720)} \end{array} $	$-0.358 -0.825^{***} (df = 9; 718)$	

Table 12: Model comparison Roic - Pool (1), Random (2)

	Dependent variable:  Roic	
	(1)	(2)
SustainabilityPayLink	0.011	0.001
• •	(0.007)	(0.007)
SustainableThemedCommitment	0.021***	0.025***
	(0.007)	(0.009)
AuditScore	-0.008	-0.003
	(0.007)	(0.008)
CarbonProductivity	0.044**	-0.018
	(0.022)	(0.017)
WaterProductivity	-0.002	0.037**
·	(0.024)	(0.019)
WasteProductivity	0.015	-0.0005
	(0.024)	(0.018)
FirmSize	-0.018***	-0.019***
	(0.003)	(0.004)
NetMargin	0.236***	0.064***
	(0.025)	(0.024)
Leverage	0.0005	-0.0002
	(0.001)	(0.001)
Industry	-0.003***	$-0.003^*$
	(0.001)	(0.002)
Constant	0.516***	0.570***
	(0.066)	(0.097)
Observations	976	976
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.138	0.045
Adjusted $R^2$	0.129	0.035
F Statistic (df = $10$ ; 965)	15.445***	4.516***
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<	(0.05; ***p<0

Table 13: Model comparison Roic - Fixed with time (1), individual (2) and twoways effects (3)

		Dependent variable:	
		Roic	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
SustainabilityPayLink	0.010 (0.007)	-0.008 (0.008)	-0.005 $(0.008)$
${\bf Sustainable The med Commitment}$	0.021*** (0.007)	0.026** (0.013)	0.027** (0.013)
AuditScore	-0.008 (0.007)	0.002 $(0.013)$	0.006 $(0.013)$
CarbonProductivity	0.048** (0.024)	$-0.053^{***}$ (0.017)	$-0.064^{***}$ (0.019)
WaterProductivity	-0.003 $(0.025)$	0.055*** (0.019)	0.050*** (0.019)
WasteProductivity	0.015 $(0.024)$	-0.006 $(0.019)$	-0.010 (0.019)
FirmSize	$-0.018^{***}$ (0.003)	$-0.061^{***}$ (0.016)	$-0.034^*$ (0.018)
NetMargin	0.236*** (0.025)	-0.064** (0.028)	$-0.069^{**}$ (0.028)
Leverage	$0.0005 \\ (0.001)$	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)
Industry	$-0.003^{***}$ $(0.001)$		
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	976 0.137 0.126	976 $0.056$ $-0.454$	976 $0.044$ $-0.477$
F Statistic	$15.313^{***} (df = 10; 963)$	$4.169^{***} (df = 9; 633)$	$3.232^{***} (df = 9; 631)$

Note: \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 14: Model comparison Ra - Pool (1), Random (2)

	Dependent variable:	
	(1)	(2)
SustainabilityPayLink	-0.003	-0.003
v	(0.004)	(0.004)
SustainableThemedCommitment	-0.003	-0.003
	(0.004)	(0.004)
AuditScore	-0.002	-0.002
	(0.004)	(0.004)
CarbonProductivity	0.035***	0.035***
	(0.012)	(0.012)
WaterProductivity	0.006	0.006
	(0.013)	(0.013)
WasteProductivity	0.012	0.012
	(0.013)	(0.013)
FirmSize	-0.0001	-0.0001
	(0.001)	(0.001)
NetMargin	$-0.023^*$	$-0.023^*$
	(0.012)	(0.012)
Leverage	-0.00005	-0.00005
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Industry	0.0003	0.0003
	(0.001)	(0.001)
Constant	0.006	0.006
	(0.027)	(0.027)
Observations	1,023	1,023
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.036	0.036
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.027	0.027
F Statistic (df = $10$ ; $1012$ )	3.812***	3.812***

Table 15: Model comparison Ra - Fixed with time (1), individual (2) and two ways effects (3)

		Dependent variable:	
		Ra	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
SustainabilityPayLink	0.002	-0.001	0.007
	(0.003)	(0.008)	(0.007)
SustainableThemedCommitment	0.0003	-0.005	0.001
	(0.003)	(0.012)	(0.011)
AuditScore	0.002	-0.006	0.004
	(0.003)	(0.012)	(0.011)
CarbonProductivity	-0.001	0.047***	0.011
v	(0.012)	(0.017)	(0.017)
WaterProductivity	-0.002	0.006	-0.005
v	(0.013)	(0.018)	(0.017)
WasteProductivity	0.0001	0.013	-0.001
v	(0.012)	(0.018)	(0.017)
FirmSize	-0.0005	-0.064***	0.004
	(0.001)	(0.016)	(0.017)
NetMargin	$-0.021^*$	-0.063**	$-0.047^{*}$
O .	(0.011)	(0.030)	(0.029)
Leverage	-0.0002	-0.002	-0.001
	(0.0003)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Industry	0.0004		
v	(0.001)		
Observations	1,023	1,023	1,023
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.005	0.094	0.007
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	-0.007	-0.422	-0.563
F Statistic	0.534 (df = 10; 1010)	$7.543^{***} (df = 9; 651)$	0.526 (df = 9; 649)

Table 16: Model comparison Eps - Pool (1), Random (2)

	Dependent variable: Eps	
	(1)	(2)
SustainabilityPayLink	-0.298	$-0.528^{*}$
• •	(0.326)	(0.319)
SustainableThemedCommitment	0.653**	0.826**
	(0.322)	(0.386)
AuditScore	-0.323	-0.179
	(0.325)	(0.375)
CarbonProductivity	1.203	-0.944
	(0.990)	(0.768)
WaterProductivity	-1.543	1.034
·	(1.122)	(0.863)
WasteProductivity	1.016	-0.467
	(1.103)	(0.854)
FirmSize	0.258**	0.288*
	(0.106)	(0.152)
NetMargin	3.884***	1.593*
	(0.901)	(0.914)
Leverage	-0.030	-0.032
	(0.020)	(0.023)
Industry	-0.053	-0.042
	(0.050)	(0.074)
Constant	-3.059	-3.473
	(2.472)	(3.567)
Observations	1,099	1,099
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.035	0.016
Adjusted $R^2$	0.027	0.006
F Statistic (df = $10$ ; $1088$ )	3.997***	1.716*
Note:	*p<0.1; **p	<0.05; ***p<

Table 17: Model comparison Eps - Fixed with time (1), individual (2) and twoways effects (3)

		Dependent variable:	
		Eps	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
SustainabilityPayLink	-0.340	-0.765**	$-0.670^{*}$
	(0.332)	(0.389)	(0.397)
SustainableThemedCommitment	0.637**	1.210*	1.249*
	(0.323)	(0.648)	(0.649)
AuditScore	-0.338	0.115	0.254
	(0.327)	(0.602)	(0.606)
CarbonProductivity	1.505	-1.873**	-2.181**
·	(1.078)	(0.834)	(0.907)
WaterProductivity	-1.499	2.117**	1.944**
v	(1.128)	(0.914)	(0.917)
WasteProductivity	1.066	-0.914	-1.047
v	(1.109)	(0.910)	(0.911)
FirmSize	0.263**	0.448	1.301
	(0.106)	(0.774)	(0.878)
NetMargin	3.875***	-0.956	-0.979
	(0.902)	(1.200)	(1.196)
Leverage	-0.030	-0.034	-0.034
	(0.020)	(0.031)	(0.031)
Industry	-0.053		
	(0.050)		
Observations	1,099	1,099	1,099
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.036	0.019	0.021
Adjusted $R^2$	0.025	-0.507	-0.507
F Štatistic	$4.068^{***} (df = 10; 1086)$	1.529 (df = 9; 715)	$1.737^* \text{ (df} = 9; 713)$
Note:		*p<0.1	l; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 18: Model based on LM, wild and hausmand test

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	Roa	Roe	
	(1)	(2)	
SustainabilityPayLink	0.008**	0.057***	
	(0.004)	(0.018)	
SustainableThemedCommitment	0.012***	0.060***	
	(0.004)	(0.018)	
AuditScore	-0.004	0.002	
	(0.004)	(0.018)	
CarbonProductivity	0.029**	0.059	
v	(0.012)	(0.055)	
WaterProductivity	0.005	0.019	
v	(0.012)	(0.063)	
WasteProductivity	0.010	-0.031	
·	(0.012)	(0.062)	
FirmSize	-0.020***	-0.043***	
	(0.001)	(0.006)	
NetMargin	0.140***	0.531***	
	(0.013)	(0.055)	
Leverage	0.00001	0.092***	
	(0.0003)	(0.006)	
Industry	-0.002***	-0.010***	
	(0.001)	(0.003)	
Constant		1.049***	
		(0.138)	
Observations	1,091	1,108	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.296	0.254	
Adjusted $R^2$	0.288	0.247	
F Statistic	$45.314^{***} (df = 10; 1078)$	$37.264^{***} (df = 10; 1097)$	

Table 19: Model based on LM, wild and hausmand test

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	LogTobinsQ	Roic	
	(1)	(2)	
SustainabilityPayLink	0.079* (0.044)	0.010 (0.007)	
${\bf Sustainable The med Commitment}$	0.063 $(0.044)$	0.021*** (0.007)	
AuditScore	0.158*** (0.044)	-0.008 (0.007)	
CarbonProductivity	-0.012 (0.135)	0.048** (0.024)	
WaterProductivity	0.337** (0.155)	-0.003 (0.025)	
WasteProductivity	-0.199 $(0.156)$	$0.015 \\ (0.024)$	
FirmSize	$-0.443^{***}$ (0.015)	$-0.018^{***}$ $(0.003)$	
NetMargin	0.465*** (0.152)	0.236*** (0.025)	
Leverage	0.003 $(0.003)$	0.0005 (0.001)	
Industry	$-0.026^{***}$ (0.007)	-0.003***  (0.001)	
Constant	10.701*** (0.345)		
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	954 0.505 0.500	976 0.137 0.126	
F Štatistic	$96.388^{***} (df = 10; 943)$	$15.313^{***} (df = 10; 963)$	

Table 20: Lagrange Multipliers test for random effects versus OLS

	DependentVariables	TimeEffect
1	TobinsQ	0.4748
2	Roa	< .01 ***
3	Roe	0.2508
4	Roic	< .01 ***

Table 21: F test for fixed effects versus OLS

	DependentVariables	TimeEffect
1	TobinsQ	0.5361
2	Roa	< .01 ***
3	Roe	0.9098
4	Roic	< .01 ***

Table 22: Hausman Test with time effect in fixed model

	DependentVariables	pvalue
1	TobinsQ	< .05 **
2	Roa	< .01 ***
3	Roe	< .01 ***
4	Roic	< .01 ***

Table 23: Pool Model

	$Dependent\ variable:$	
	LogTobinsQ	Roe
	(1)	(2)
GreenScore	0.669***	0.247***
	(0.093)	(0.038)
FirmSize	-0.413***	-0.037***
	(0.014)	(0.006)
NetMargin	0.528***	0.409***
0	(0.162)	(0.056)
Leverage	0.003	0.093***
	(0.004)	(0.006)
Industry	-0.030***	-0.011***
v	(0.007)	(0.003)
Constant	9.916***	0.909***
	(0.336)	(0.130)
Observations	956	1,107
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.481	0.250
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.479	0.246
F Statistic	$176.286^{***} (df = 5; 950)$	$73.250^{***} (df = 5; 1101)$

Table 24: Fixed Model

	$Dependent\ variable:$	
	Roa	Roic
	(1)	(2)
GreenScore	0.051***	0.044
	(0.008)	(0.032)
FirmSize	-0.018***	-0.0001
	(0.001)	(0.006)
NetMargin	0.134***	0.490***
	(0.013)	(0.054)
Leverage	-0.0003	0.001
	(0.001)	(0.001)
Industry	-0.002***	-0.004
v	(0.001)	(0.002)
Observations	1,094	957
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.268	0.083
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.263	0.077
F Statistic	$79.571^{***} (df = 5; 1086)$	$17.285^{***} (df = 5; 949)$