

# CSE 241 Class 4

Jeremy Buhler

September 2, 2015

On tap for today: more recurrences, more recursion trees, one new algorithm (binary search)

## 1 Recursion Trees: Two More Examples

**Recursion trees are a general way to solve recurrences. I want to make sure you're comfortable with how to construct and use them.**

- Start with a recurrence for  $T(n)$ .
- Sketch structure of recursive calls.
- Account local work performed for each call.
- Sum up work over entire tree.

**Here's an example we haven't seen before:**

$$T(n) \geq \begin{cases} c_0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + cn^2 & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Assume  $n$  is a power of 2. Let's draw the tree:

Now we sum over all the levels of the tree.

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{\log n - 1} \frac{cn^2}{2^k} + c_0n \\
&= cn^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\log n - 1} \frac{1}{2^k} + c_0n \\
&= cn^2 \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+\log n}} \right] + c_0n \\
&= cn^2 \left[ 2 - \frac{1}{2^{\log n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \right] + c_0n \\
&= cn^2 [2 - 2/n] + c_0n \\
&= 2cn^2 + c'n.
\end{aligned}$$

**Asymptotic growth: conclude that**  $T(n) = [\text{wait}] \Omega(n^2)$ . Why? Because recurrence itself is just a lower bound on  $T(n)$ .

**Here's another example:**

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c_0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 3T(\frac{n}{2}) + cn & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Assume  $n$  is a power of 2. Let's draw the tree:

Now we sum over all the levels of the tree.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 n - 1} cn \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k + c_0 3^{\log_2 n} \\
 &= cn \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 n - 1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k + c_0 n^{\log_2 3} \\
 &= cn \left[ \frac{(3/2)^{\log_2 n} - 1}{3/2 - 1} \right] + c_0 n^{\log_2 3} \\
 &= 2cn \left[ n^{\log_2(3/2)} - 1 \right] + c_0 n^{\log_2 3} \\
 &= (c_0 + 2c)n^{\log_2 3} - 2cn.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Asymptotic growth: conclude that**  $T(n) = [\text{wait}] \Theta(n^{\log_2 3})$ . Why? Because recurrence is exact – both upper and lower bound on  $T(n)$ .

**To review sneaky summation and log tricks, see Section 3.2 and Appendix A of your text!**

## 2 A New Recursion: Binary Search

Binary search is a classic example of a divide-and-conquer algorithm, albeit with nothing to combine.

- **Input:**
  - a sorted array of numbers  $A[p \dots r]$
  - a number  $x$
- **Returns:**
  - (an) index of  $x$  in  $A$  if it's present
  - “notFound” otherwise

BSEARCH( $x, A, p, r$ )

**if**  $p = r$  ▷ base case

**if**  $A[p] = x$

**return**  $p$

**else**

**return** *notFound*

$\text{mid} \leftarrow \lceil (p + r)/2 \rceil$

**if**  $A[\text{mid}] > x$

**return** BSEARCH( $x, A, p, \text{mid} - 1$ )

**else**

**return** BSEARCH( $x, A, \text{mid}, r$ )

**What is input size of binary search?**  $n = r - p + 1$  !

### 3 Correctness of Binary Search

Prove by induction on  $n$ .

- **Base:**  $n = 1$  – by inspection.
- **Inductive:** Consider  $A[p \dots r]$ , which is sorted. If  $x < A[\text{mid}]$  is present, it must be in the subarray  $A[p \dots \text{mid} - 1]$ . Otherwise, it must be in  $A[\text{mid} \dots r]$ . For each case, we recur on the correct subarray, which is shorter than  $A[p \dots r]$ . By inductive hyp., recursive call returns correct position of  $x$  if present, or *notFound* otherwise. QED.

### 4 Running Time of Binary Search

Let's analyze the algorithm's running time.

- **Base case** takes  $\Theta(1)$  time.
- **Inductive case** takes  $\Theta(1)$  time, plus *one* recursive call on an array of half the size.

For simplicity, assume again that  $n = r - p + 1$  is power of two. Our recurrence is then

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c_0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ T(n/2) + c & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Recursion tree is a “stick.”

Now sum the cost over the tree...

$$\begin{aligned}T(n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\log n - 1} c + c_0 \\&= c \log n + c_0 \\&= \Theta(\log n)\end{aligned}$$