

Finite Element Comparison of Homogenous Ridged and Non-Ridged X-Band Rectangular Waveguide Dispersion Characteristics

Samuel J. Wyss[†]

[†]School of Nuclear Engineering
Purdue University
West Lafayette, Indiana 47907
E-mail: wysss@purdue.edu

Abstract—Two dimensional Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is applied to assess dispersion characteristics of homogenous rectangular, circular and ridged rectangular X-Band waveguides. To model these systems *in silico*, the weak form of the wave equation is derived from Maxwell's Equations for both TE and TM modes. Perfect electrical conductors (PECs) are used as waveguide walls as to neglect the effect of wave leakage into the environment. The model is validated against the analytical dispersion curves for homogenous rectangular waveguides. Dispersion characteristics of circular waveguides are assessed. A comparison of dispersion characteristics for ridged and non-ridged rectangular waveguides is provided which is then used to assess real world applications of ridged waveguides.

I. INTRODUCTION

Waveguides are used in a plethora of applications ranging from transmitting microwave fields to acting as passive low-pass filters [1]. While any cross section of a single conductor waveguide can support TE and TM modes, rectangular and circular cross sections are commonly chosen due to their ease of construction and analytic propagation characteristics. However, a limitation of rectangular waveguides is the limited bandwidth of their dominant mode which is less than an octave [1]. By adding a single or double ridge to the mouth of a waveguide, the cutoff frequency of the dominant mode can be reduced thus allowing for increased signal bandwidth [1]. This increased bandwidth comes at the cost of reduced power capacity due to the reduction in breakdown potential between the ridges [1] making them less ideal for High Power Microwave (HPM) devices.

All wave phenomenon in an arbitrarily shaped, infinitely long waveguide at a given frequency are governed by the frequency domain Maxwell's Equations. Of these equations, Faraday's and Ampère's laws, can be manipulated to create Helmholtz wave equations which capture nearly all electromagnetic wave phenomenon to a high degree of accuracy [2]. The Finite Element Method (FEM) converges on the analytic solution of the wave equation by approximating a weak form of the Helmholtz equations over a finite set of elements within the simulation domain using weighted residuals. To convert the full wave equation to its weak form, the Galerkin method is employed for which the weighting functions are

identical to continuous basis functions as is common in Computational Electromagnetics (CEM) Finite Element Analysis (FEA) [2]. In the case of an arbitrarily shaped, infinitely long waveguide, the full field frequency domain solutions can be obtained by solving for the fields in a cross sectional slice of the waveguide. FEM operates on non-uniform, conformal meshes which allows for arbitrary waveguide cross sections to be modeled without stairstepping error unlike that of the structured meshes of Finite-Difference Time-Domain (FDTD). In addition to this, FEM allows for full three dimensional solutions of such a waveguide to be obtained by only solving for a representative two dimensional slice making FEM an ideal choice for analyzing homogenous waveguides.

The development and results of this work are laid out as follows. Section II-1 contains the derivation of the Helmholtz wave equations and corresponding boundary conditions from Maxwell's Equations. Section II-2 consists of the derivation of the Galerkin weak form of both Helmholtz wave equations. Section II-3 outlines the FEM assembly method using analytical forms of integrals derived in II-2. Sections III-A and III-B verifies the model against exact dispersion relations for multiple modes in rectangular waveguides and discusses FEM applicability in modeling circular waveguides respectively. Finally, Section III-C compares the dispersion characteristics of the rectangular and ridged rectangular waveguides for multiple modes and discusses practical applications of ridged waveguides.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

To model these systems *in silico*,

1) *Governing Equations*: The frequency domain Maxwell's Equations in the absence of electric or fictitious magnetic currents are,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j\omega\mathbf{B}, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = -j\omega\mathbf{D} \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{E} is the electric field intensity, \mathbf{B} is the magnetic flux density, \mathbf{H} is the magnetic field intensity, and \mathbf{D} is the electric flux density.

For a homogenous, infinite waveguide filled with a non-dispersive dielectric, \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{D} can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{B} = \mu\mathbf{H}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\mathbf{D} = \epsilon\mathbf{E}. \quad (4)$$

These constitutive relations can now be used to simplify (1-2) as in

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j\omega\mu\mathbf{H}, \quad (5)$$

and

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = -j\omega\epsilon\mathbf{E}. \quad (6)$$

In the case of the infinite waveguide, the TM and TE modes can be fully solving for E_z and H_z respectively as all other field components can be derived from these two transverse fields [2]- [3]. With this, (5-6) can be manipulated to solve for two independent 2-dimensional Helmholtz equations as

$$\nabla_t^2 E_z + k_c^2 E_z = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\nabla_t^2 H_z + k_c^2 H_z = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega \quad (8)$$

where $\nabla_t^2 = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$ the the trasverse Laplacian operator in cartesian coordinates, $k_c^2 = \omega^2\mu\epsilon + k_z^2$ is the cutoff wave number, k_z is the wavenumber in the direction of propagation, and Ω denotes all non-boundary locations within the simulation domain.

These relations hold for all locations excluding those on the PEC walls of the waveguide. This PEC wall condition manifests in the form of a Dirichlet boundary condition

$$E_z = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (9)$$

for the TM mode and Neumann boundary conditions

$$\partial_x H_z = 0, \quad \partial_y H_z = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (10)$$

for the TE mode where $\partial\Omega$ denotes the PEC surface surrounding the waveguide.

2) *Galerkin Weak Formulation*: With the governing equations established, we can now proceed with the discretization of an arbitrarily shaped waveguide to solve for both the transverse electric and magnetic fields. Using FEM, we break these 2D waveguide slices into a finite set of finitely sized elements and approximate the solution of (7-8) over each element. Triangular elements are chosen as they can be meshed together to form the boundaries of arbitrarily curved shapes well making them ideal for modeling geometries with no analytic solutions [3]. Linearly interpolating functions are used to approximate the solution of (7-8) at the nodes of each element. Linear interpolating functions are chosen for their overall simplicity and adequate accuracy for the determination of waveguide parameters [2] [3].

For an arbitrary triangular element, an generic scalar field ϕ can be Linearly interpolated over using

$$\phi^{(e)}(x, y) = a + bx + cy \quad (11)$$

where (e) refers to a specific element, a , b , c are scaling constants and x , y are the coordinates of the location within the node [3]. This interpolation scheme can now be applied to find the field value at an arbitrary vertex on the element as

$$\phi_l^{(e)} = a + bx_l + cy_l \quad (12)$$

where x , y are the coordinates of the node [3]. These nodal field expressions can now be combined to rewrite (11) in terms of the field value calculated at each node as

$$\phi^{(e)}(x, y) = N_1^{(e)}(x, y)\phi_1^{(e)} + N_2^{(e)}(x, y)\phi_2^{(e)} + N_3^{(e)}(x, y)\phi_3^{(e)} \quad (13)$$

with an arbitrary interpolating function $N_l^{(e)}$ given by

$$N_l^{(e)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\Delta^{(e)}} \left(a_l^{(e)} + b_l^{(e)}x + c_l^{(e)}y \right) \quad (14)$$

where $\Delta^{(e)}$ is the area of element e and $a_l^{(e)}$, $b_l^{(e)}$, $c_l^{(e)}$ are given by the following as in [3]

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^e &= x_2^e y_3^e - x_3^e y_2^e, b_1^e = y_2^e - y_3^e, c_1^e = x_3^e - x_2^e \\ a_2^e &= x_3^e y_1^e - x_1^e y_3^e, b_2^e = y_3^e - y_1^e, c_2^e = x_1^e - x_3^e \\ a_3^e &= x_1^e y_2^e - x_2^e y_1^e, b_3^e = y_1^e - y_2^e, c_3^e = x_2^e - x_1^e. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

With definitions (13-15) an arbitrary field with potential Dirichlet boundary conditions (noted by D) can be expressed as the superposition of all fields at each node as

$$\phi = \sum_{j=1}^N N_j \phi_j \sum_{j=1}^N N_j^D \phi_j^D \quad (16)$$

With the discretization of a generic field outlined, The Galerkin weak forms of (7-8) will now be derived in parallel. We begin by multiplying (7-8) by a weighting function which is identical to that of an interpolating function for the Galerkin procedure. The resulting weak forms are

3) *Finite Element Matrix Assembly*:

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

All update equations as defined in Section ?? were implemented in Rust. This language was chosen for its C++ like performance while enforcing compile-time memory safety which makes writing fast and safe CEM codes relatively easy. An overview of this implementation can be found in V-A.

A. *Verification and Validation*

B. *Circular Waveguides*

C. *Comparison of Ridged and Non-Ridged Waveguides*

IV. CONCLUSION

A 3-dimensional finite difference time domain was developed from Maxwell's Equations for a rectangular waveguide and cavity resonator. The model was validated against analytic results for narrow and wide band signals thereby verifying the model's calculated fields. From this, several dielectric materials were compared for use in X-Band cavity resonators

at 10GHz. These compared results were then explained using theoretical unloaded quality factors further verifying the accuracy of the model.

While relatively performant, there are many optimizations that could be made to the underlying implementation. Most notably tiled approaches could be taken to improve program cache locality to alleviate the memory bound nature of the loops in this implementation. Tiled approaches would also aid in exploiting the embarrassingly parallel structure Yee's FDTD algorithm gives rise to. Further improvements could also be made to the implementation to allowing for more complex geometries to be represented which may be useful for placing devices inside waveguides or using the waveguide as a source for another device. Finally, the user experience of this implementation should be improved as it is remarkably easy to save in tens to hundreds of gigabytes of data inadvertently shifting the bottleneck away from memory to disk performance.

V. APPENDIX

A. Code Structure

Code is broken up into logical modules, as is custom in Rust, which contain related aspects of the code. The file `./src/main.rs` contains the 'main' function that is built into a binary. The file `./src/solver.rs` contains

a high level interface for interacting with and bootstrapping the simulation. The file `./src/geometry.rs` contains a structure that holds information relevant to the geometry of the simulation. Finally, the `./src/engine.rs` contains all data and methods needed to evolve the simulation in time and contains much of the simulation code. All functions are commented using function comments in the source code which are automatically assembled into an interactive webpage containing all project documentation. Said documentation can be found under the `./doc/` directory. As such project documentation can either be viewed by looking at the source code and/or viewing the interactive documentation pages by opening `./doc/waveguide/index.html` with a web browser. The code can easily be compiled with cargo (the package manager that comes with Rust much like Pip for Python) using the command `cargo build --release`. The compiled binary can then be executed by running `./target/release/driver.exe`. This binary reads in data from `config.toml` which contains all simulation parameters.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. M. Pozar, *Microwave Engineering*. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [2] T. E. Roth, *ECE 61800 Lecture Notes*. Purdue University, 2024.
- [3] J.-M. Jin, *Theory and Computation of Electromagnetic Fields*. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.