System Verification and Validation Plan for ANN

Tanya Djavaherpour

April 15, 2024

Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Feb. 15, 2024	1.0	Initial Draft
Mar. 04, 2024	1.1	Modification According to the Feedback 1
Apr. 09, 2024	1.2	Modification According to the Feedback 2
Apr. 10, 2024	1.3	Modification According to Dr. Smith's Feedback
Apr. 15, 2024	1.4	Complete Unit Test

Contents

List of Tables

1 Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

symbol	description
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
IM	Instance Model
MG	Module Guide
MIS	Module Interface Specification
N	No
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
T	Test
VnV	Verification and Validation
Y	Yes

For complete symbols used within the system, please refer the section 1 in SRS (Djavaherpour, 2024d) document.

This document outlines the Verification and Validation (VnV) plan for the Artificial Neural Network for Image Classification project, as detailed in the SRS (Djavaherpour, 2024d). The purpose of this VnV plan is to ensure that all requirements and objectives outlined in the SRS (Djavaherpour, 2024d) are met with accuracy and efficiency.

The organization of this document starts with the General Information about the ANN in section 2. A verification plan is provided in section 3 and section 4 describes the system tests, including tests for functional and nonfunctional requirements. Test Description is explained in section 5 (will be added).

2 General Information

This Verification and Validation (VnV) Plan outlines the procedures and criteria to be used for ensuring the quality and reliability of the Artificial Neural Network (ANN) developed for Image Classification. Central to this plan is the systematic verification of the software's adherence to its requirements and specifications, as detailed in the Software Requirements Specification (Djavaherpour, 2024d), and the validation of its effectiveness in accurately classifying images within the scope of the CIFAR-10 dataset (Krizhevsky, 2009). This VnV process is crucial to affirm that the ANN system meets both its technical and user-centric goals.

2.1 Summary

The software being validated in this plan is an Artificial Neural Network designed for Image Classification, tailored specifically to work with the CIFAR-10 dataset (Krizhevsky, 2009). It allows users to upload images and efficiently classifies them into predefined categories. It operates within the constraints of available computational resources and is limited to handling images that fall under the CIFAR-10 dataset (Krizhevsky, 2009) categories, ensuring focused and optimized performance in its designated area.

2.2 Objectives

The primary objective of this VnV plan is to build confidence in the correctness and reliability of the Artificial Neural Network for Image Classification.

Our goal is to demonstrate that the system can classify images with a high degree of accuracy. We aim to significantly improve upon the less than 50% accuracy achieved in previous implementation (Djavaherpour, 2022), acknowledging that reaching 100% accuracy is not feasible due to inherent limitations in ANN models and the variability of image data. The focus will be on achieving the highest possible accuracy within these constraints. The system's accuracy will be measured through defined quantitative methods such as the cost function in SRS (Djavaherpour, 2024d).

2.3 Relevant Documentation

The ANN project is supported by several crucial documents. These include a Problem Statement (Djavaherpour, 2024c), which introduces the initial concept, and a Software Requirements Specification (Djavaherpour, 2024d) that outlines the necessary system requirements, accompanied by a Verification and Validation Report (Djavaherpour, 2024e) to ensure the system's compliance and efficacy.

3 Plan

In this section, the VnV plan of ANN is described. It begins with an introduction to the verification and validation team (subsection 3.1) and introduces the members and thei rules. Then is followed by the SRS verification plan (subsection 3.2), design verification plan (subsection 3.3), the VnV verification plan (subsection 3.4), implementation verification plan (subsection 3.5), automated testing and verification tools (subsection 3.6), and Software validation plan (subsection 3.7).

3.1 Verification and Validation Team

The VnV team members and their roles are shown in Table 1.

3.2 SRS Verification Plan

The verification process for the Artificial Neural Network's Software Requirements Specification document will be conducted as follows:

Name	Document	Role	Description
Dr. Spencer Smith	All	Instructor/ Reviewer	Review the documents, design and documentation style.
Tanya Djavaherpour	All	Author	Create and manage all the documents, create the VnV plan, perform the VnV testing, verify the implementation.
Fatemeh Norouziani	All	Domain Expert Reviewer	Review all the documents.
Atiyeh Sayadi	SRS	Secondary Reviewer	Review the SRS document.
Yi-Leng Chen	VnV Plan	Secondary Reviewer	Review the VnV plan.
Cynthia Liu	MG + MIS	Secondary Reviewer	Review the MG and MIS document.

Table 1: Verification and validation team

1. An initial review will be carried out by designated team members, which include Dr. Spencer Smith, Fatemeh Norouziani, Atiyeh Sayadi, and Tanya Djavaherpour. This review will utilize a manual method, guided by an SRS Checklist (Smith, 2022c) developed by Dr. Smith.

2. Reviewers can give feedback and revision suggestions to the author by creating issues on GitHub.

3. It is the responsibility of the author, Tanya Djavaherpour, to respond to and resolve these issues, incorporating feedback from both primary and secondary reviewers, as well as addressing any recommendations provided by the instructor, Dr. Spencer Smith.

3.3   Design Verification Plan

The verification of the design documentation, including the Module Guide (MG) and Module Interface Specification (MIS), will be conducted via a static analysis approach, namely document inspection. As shown in Table 1

this process will be led by the domain/primary expert, Fatemeh Norouziani, and supported by the secondary reviewer, Cynthia Liu. Additionally, Dr. Spencer Smith, the class instructor, will also conduct a review of these documents. Reviewers are encouraged to provide their feedback directly to the author by creating issues in the project's GitHub repository. It is the responsibility of the author to address and resolve these issues, taking into account all the suggestions made. The review process will be facilitated by utilizing the MG (Smith, 2022a) and MIS (Smith, 2022b) Checklists, which have been formulated by Dr. Spencer Smith.

3.4 Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan

Following the structure outlined in Table 1, the development and preliminary verification of the Verification and Validation plan will be undertaken by Author, Tanya Djavaherpour. Subsequent to this phase, domain expert, Fatemeh Norouziani, along with Yi-Leng Chen as a secondary reviewer, will review it. They give feedback and suggestions via GitHub issues. Once done, Instructor will do final review of the VnV plan. The whole review process will be aligned with the VnV Checklist (Smith, 2022d) that Dr. Smith has prepared. One specefic template has been defined for this purpose on the GitHub repository. It is the author's responsibility to check the submitted issues regularly and make necessary modifications.

3.5 Implementation Verification Plan

The implementation of the software will be verified using several techniques involving manual and automated techniques as outlined below:

- **Static Verification Techniques:** Code Walkthroughs will be the primary technique used for static verification. These sessions involve the development team, consisting of the author, Tanya Djavaherpour, and the domain expert, Fatemeh Norouziani, reviewing the code together. Initially, th author, Djavaherpour, thoroughly reviews the code independently, pinpointing possible issues. The final version of the code will be shared with the domain expert prior to the meeting, during which important test cases will be manually examined. In our static verification approach, we use code walkthroughs, guided by domain expert Fatemeh Norouziani. In the walkthrough meetings, we collaboratively discuss these points, focusing on logical inconsistencies and adherence to standards. The discussions and resolutions

are then documented systematically. Following this, the author addresses the highlighted issues, ensuring all modifications are well-documented. If substantial issues are detected, we schedule an additional walkthrough to verify the resolutions. This methodical process is crucial for ensuring the software's adherence to the project's quality standards. Also, this process allows the domain expert to present findings and raise questions, facilitating a comprehensive review.

• **Dynamic Testing:** Evaluation of the code will include both unit and system testing, focusing on the functional and nonfunctional requirements outlined in the SRS (Djavaherpour, 2024d) document. The tools used for these evaluations will be detailed in 3.6. Furthermore, the test cases used in system and unit testing will be stated in sections 4 and 5 (will be added), respectively. This approach ensures a thorough validation of the software across various levels of testing.

3.6   Automated Testing and Verification Tools

In this image classification project, Pylint (Python Code Quality Authority, 2023) and a regular testing process with a dedicated testing dataset of CIFAR-10 (Krizhevsky, 2009) are employed for automated testing and verification. Pylint (Python Code Quality Authority, 2023) is thorough in identifying coding issues, including syntax errors, logical bugs, and signs of problematic coding patterns, like complexity or redundancy. It upholds the PEP 8 style guide, promoting best practices in code formatting and naming. Pylint (Python Code Quality Authority, 2023) also supports type checking for annotated projects, identifies unused code, and flags potential string format errors. Security-wise, it alerts on usage of risky functions such as "exec" or "eval" and checks for documentation quality. Its flexibility in configuration allows customization according to project needs, playing a key role in sustaining the quality of our Python code. The combination of Pylint (Python Code Quality Authority, 2023) for code quality analysis and performance validation through targeted testing provides a comprehensive approach to maintaining robustness and effectiveness in the image classification system.

3.7   Software Validation Plan

Considering the extensive amount of experimental data required for a thorough software validation, this process falls outside the practical scope of our

ANN project. The constraints of time make it infeasible to collect and analyze the necessary data to validate system behavior comprehensively. However, we will ensure that the system undergoes rigorous verification to maintain high standards of quality and performance within these constraints.

4 System Test Description

In this section, we outline the test strategies for validating the ANN system's functional and nonfunctional requirements. The tests are designed to ensure compliance with the specifications detailed in the SRS, encompassing all aspects of system performance and quality.

4.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

The functional requirements are described in the SRS (Djavaherpour, 2024d) section 5.1. Implemented ANN will detect invalid inputs and, although the accuracy will not be 100%, classes of uploaded image will be determined. There are five functional requirements for this system. Testing R1 and R2 will be explained in the input verification section. R3 will be explained in the output verification test.

4.1.1 Input Verification

The inputs will be tested to satisfy R1 and R2 from ANN SRS (Djavaherpour, 2024d). Specifically, this test will ensure values of the inputs align with the input constraints. Table 2 displays the inputs and outputs of test cases for the input constraints tests.

Input Verification Test

1. T1: Valid Inputs

   Control: Automated Test
   Initial State: Pending Input

	Input (an imgae)		Output	
ID	*type*	*size*	valid?	Error Message
TC-ANN-1	PNG	mxn	Y	NONE
TC-ANN-2	JPG	mxn	Y	NONE
TC-ANN-3	GIF	mxn	N	Invalid Input Type
TC-ANN-4	PNG	(m+1)x(n)	N	Invalid Input Size
TC-ANN-5	JPG	(m+1)x(n)	N	Invalid Input Size
TC-ANN-6	GIF	(m+1)x(n)	N	Invalid Input Type
TC-ANN-7			N	Empty

Table 2: TC-ANN- Test Cases for Input Verification

Input: Set of input values for area of particular object given in the Table 2.

Output: Either give an appropriate error message for TC-ANN-1-3 to TC-ANN-1-7, or the class of the image object identified by the ANN as an output defined in the Table 2. It must be mentioned that if the type is not valid, the exception will be raised and the size will not be checked (like TC-ANN-6).

Test Case Derivation: Justified by the ANN's training to accurately classify valid input images according to the SRS (Djavaherpour, 2024d) specifications.

How test will be performed: An automated script will input valid and invalid images to the ANN. This automated test works with Pytest (Pytest Testing Framework, 2023).

4.1.2 Output Verification Test

To satisfy R3 from the SRS (Djavaherpour, 2024d), any input image should be properly classified and related to the correct output label from the set of 10 classes of CIFAR-10 (Krizhevsky, 2009).

1. T2: Classifier Test

Control: Automated Test

Initial State: Loading Trained ANN

Input: One image with one object

Output: Class of the image object

Test Case Derivation: The test is designed to evaluate the ANN's precision in classifying an individual image, reflecting its real-world application for singular image analysis.

How test will be performed: This test will be conducted using an automated script that inputs a single, randomly selected test image with one object into the trained ANN. The output will be the classified label provided by the ANN, which will then be compared to the actual label of the image to verify the accuracy of the classification. This test will be run 10 times and in each time the random image will be chosen from one folders. That said, after this pocess the software's perforamnec will be tested for all of the 10 classes of CIFAR-10 dataset (Krizhevsky, 2009), including airplane, automobile, bird, cat, deer, dog, frog, horse, ship, and truck. The purpose of this test is to make sure that system can print all of the classes' name properly.

4.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

NonFunctional requirements for ANN are given in SRS (Djavaherpour, 2024d) section 5.2.

4.2.1 Nonfunctional: Accuracy

Accuracy

1. T3: Accuracy Test

   Control: Automated Test

   Initial State: Loading Trained ANN

   Input: Set of test images form the CIFAR-10 dataset (Krizhevsky, 2009)

   Output: System accuracy based on prediction of class of the image object

How test will be performed: The test will be executed using an automated script that feeds a batch of test images from the CIFAR-10 dataset (Krizhevsky, 2009) into the trained ANN. The script will then compare the ANN's predicted class for each image against the known label, calculating the overall accuracy of the system. This process will quantify the model's performance in terms of correct classifications, providing a clear measure of its effectiveness in fulfilling the specified requirements. During this test, we just have the accuracy percentage to find how good is the trained network's performance. In fact, accuracy is the percentage of correct predictions.

4.2.2 Nonfunctional: Usability

Usability

1. T4: Usability Test

   Control: Manual with group of Computer Science students at McMaster

   Initial State: None

   Input: None

   Output: None

   How test will be performed: A diverse group of users will be asked to install and interact with the software, performing specified tasks. Following this, they will complete a survey focusing on aspects of usability such as ease of use, intuitiveness, and overall satisfaction. The survey results will be analyzed to identify areas for improvement in the software's usability. The questions are given in Table 3.

4.2.3 Nonfunctional: Maintainability

Maintainability

1. T5: Code Walkthrough Maintainability Test

   Control: Code Walkthrough

No.	Question	Answer
1.	Which operating system are you using?	
2.	Was system running smoothly on your computer? (Y or N)	
3.	How would you rate the response time of the software for various operations? (On a scale of 1-10)	
4.	How does the software handle errors or unexpected user actions?	
5.	During using the software, were received errors clear to recover them, if you received any?	
6.	Were you able to find all the functionalities easily?	
7.	Was there sufficient help or documentation available?	
8.	What, if anything, surprised you about the experience?	
9.	What specific feature or aspect of the software did you find least effective or most challenging?	
10.	What improvements or additional features would you suggest for enhancing the software's functionality or user experience?	
11.	How likely are you to recommend this software to others? (On a scale of 1-10)	

Table 3: Usability Test Survey

Initial State: None

Input: None

Output: Walkthrough Meeting aimed at identifying areas for improvement in system maintainability and documentation quality.

How test will be performed: In the code walkthrough meeting, team members (referenced in Table 1) will review the software's code and documentation, focusing on readability, modularity, and documentation clarity. Key findings and action points for enhancing maintainability will be documented for future reference and implementation.

2. T6: Automatic Maintainability Test

Control: Automatic

Initial State: None

Input: None

Output: Improve code quality with Pylint (Python Code Quality Authority, 2023).

How test will be performed: Pylint (Python Code Quality Authority, 2023) library can check the quality of code against different coding styles such as unused libraries and undefined variable. Which leads to easier maintenance.

4.2.4   Nonfunctional: Portability

Portability

1. T7: Portability Test

   Control: Manual

   Initial State: None

   Input: None

   Output: Successful running system over all platforms with different operating environments, including Windows and mcOS.

   How test will be performed: The Author (Tanya Djavaherpour) will try to install and run whole software on different operating systems. Also, need to ensure regression testing that means all the given test cases pass on all different operating system.

4.3   Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

The traceability between test cases and requirements is indicated in Table 4

	R1	R2	R3	NFR1	NFR2	NFR3	NFR4
4.1.1	X	X					
4.1.2			X				
4.2.1				X			
4.2.2					X		
4.2.3						X	
4.2.4							X

Table 4: Tracebility between test cases and requirements

5 Unit Test Description

This section includes unit testing for ANN modules. These modules are available in MG (Djavaherpour, 2024a) and MIS (Djavaherpour, 2024b) documents.

5.1 Unit Testing Scope

The unit tests cover all of the modules. These unit tests are directly applied to:

- ANN Control Module (M2)

- Saved ANN Model Module (M3)

- Output Module (M4)

- Data Preparing and Preprocessing Module (M9)

5.2 Tests for Functional Requirements

R1 and R3 are tested with T1 and T2 respectively in 4.1 using Pytets (Pytest Testing Framework, 2023). Functional tests not automated by PyTest (Pytest Testing Framework, 2023) are described in this section.

5.2.1   ANN Control Module (M2)

ANN Control Module is the main executable script for training a model or classifying an image.

1. test-id1
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: 1 to train the model
   Output: Pass if the model is trained correctly, and Fail if it is not.
   Test Case Derivation: The expected value in the Output field is justified by the following reasoning:

   - The main function should instantiate a Model object and call its save_model method when the user selects option '1' to train the model.

   - Therefore, if the main function executes successfully, it indicates that the model is trained or loaded correctly, and the save_model method is called, resulting in a Pass.

   How test will be performed: Run the main function with input '1' to simulate training the model and verify that the model is instantiated and the save_model method is called.

2. test-id2
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: 2 to classify the image.
   Output: Pass if the model classifies input correctly, and Fail if it is not.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - The main function should instantiate an Output object, call its set_class_name method, and then call its save_feedback method when the user selects option '2' to classify an image and provide feedback.

   - Therefore, if the main function executes successfully, it indicates that the image is classified correctly, and the set_class_name and save_feedback methods are called, resulting in a Pass.

How test will be performed: Run the main function with input
'2' to simulate classifying an image and providing feedback, and verify
that the Output object is instantiated, its methods set_class_name and
save_feedback are called.

5.2.2 Saved ANN Model Module (M3)

This document outlines the unit tests for the 'Model' class which handles the
saving, loading, and processing of machine learning model parameters.

1. test-id3

   Type: Automatic

   Initial State: N/A

   Input: Call to 'load_model' method with a non-existent file name.

   Output: Pass if a FileNotFoundError is raised, indicating correct error
   handling; Fail otherwise.

   Test Case Derivation:

   - The 'load_model' method is expected to raise a FileNotFoundError
     when it fails to find the specified file, demonstrating the method's
     robustness in handling file read errors.

   How test will be performed: The test will attempt to load a non-
   existent file by calling the 'load_model' method and check for a FileNot-
   FoundError to confirm proper error handling.

2. test-id4

   Type: Automatic

   Initial State: N/A

   Input: Successful data loading using the 'load_model' method.

   Output: Pass if the loaded data matches expected parameters; Fail
   otherwise.

   Test Case Derivation:

- This test checks if the 'load_model' method can correctly load data from a file and return the appropriate data structure. A match with expected parameters indicates correct functionality.

How test will be performed: The test will load data using the 'load_model' method and verify that the returned data matches predefined expected values.

3. test-id5

Type: Automatic

Initial State: N/A

Input: Invocation of the 'save_model' method under normal conditions.

Output: Pass if the method successfully saves data and returns True; Fail otherwise.

Test Case Derivation:

- The 'save_model' method should save the model parameters to a file and return True upon successful completion. This test verifies that the method performs as expected when no errors occur.

How test will be performed: Run the 'save_model' method with typical parameters and check that it returns True, indicating successful data saving.

4. test-id6

Type: Automatic

Initial State: N/A

Input: Attempt to save data using the 'save_model' method when a PermissionError is expected.

Output: Pass if a PermissionError is raised, confirming that the method correctly handles permission issues; Fail otherwise.

Test Case Derivation:

- The test aims to confirm that the 'save_model' method can appropriately handle a PermissionError by raising the expected exception when file writing permissions are restricted.

How test will be performed: The test will simulate a permission error during the file-saving process and verify that a PermissionError is raised.

5. test-id7
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Parameters provided to 'load_trained_classifier' to simulate classification.
   Output: Pass if the classifier correctly predicts using provided parameters; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - This test verifies that the 'load_trained_classifier' method correctly utilizes loaded model weights to predict and return the classification.

   - The method should return 'TestClass' as the prediction output when using predefined weights and input data.

   How test will be performed:

   - Run the method with mocked 'load_model' to return specific weights and check if the predicted class matches 'TestClass'.

6. test-id8
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Simulated scenario where 'load_model' cannot read the file (IOError).
   Output: Pass if IOError is raised correctly indicating file read issues; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - The 'load_model' method is expected to handle read errors gracefully by raising an IOError if the file is not accessible or corrupt.

How test will be performed:

- Attempt to load a file with a mocked 'numpy.load' setup to raise an IOError and verify that the error is correctly raised and identified.

7. test-id9
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Testing 'load_model' method under conditions that trigger an IOError (disk write failure).
   Output: Pass if IOError is correctly identified and reported; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - This test ensures that the 'load_model' method can appropriately handle and report disk write errors through proper error messaging.

   How test will be performed:

   - Simulate a disk write error using a mocked 'numpy.save' function that raises an IOError and check for the correct error handling.

8. test-id10
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Scenario where 'load_model' faces an unexpected error type (generic Exception).
   Output: Pass if the generic Exception is handled and re-reported correctly; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - To validate that 'load_model' properly re-raises exceptions with a custom message, ensuring that all potential errors are accounted for and clearly communicated.

   How test will be performed:

   - Induce a generic error in the 'load_model' method using a mocked 'numpy.save' and verify the exception handling by checking the re-raised error message.

5.3   Output Module (M4)

This document details the unit tests for the 'Output' class, which manages file operations sand user interactions for classifying images.

1. test-id11
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Text "Test sentence" to be appended to "feedback.txt".
   Output: Pass if the text is appended correctly; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - This test verifies that the 'append_to_file' method correctly opens a file in append mode and writes the specified text followed by a newline.

   How test will be performed:

   - The method is called with the specified inputs, and the mock verifies that the file operations are performed correctly.

2. test-id12
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Attempt to append text to a non-existent file.
   Output: Pass if a FileNotFoundError is raised; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - The method should raise a FileNotFoundError if it attempts to open a non-existent file, indicating robust error handling.

   How test will be performed:

   - The method is called, and the FileNotFoundError is expected to be raised due to the mocked file operation.

3. test-id13
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Simulate an IOError during file writing.
   Output: Pass if an IOError is correctly raised; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

- The test checks for proper exception handling when an IOError occurs due to file writing issues.

How test will be performed:

- An IOError is simulated through mocking, and the method is expected to raise this error appropriately.

4. test-id14
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Simulate an unexpected exception during file operation.
   Output: Pass if the unexpected exception is handled and logged correctly; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - The method should log and handle any unexpected exceptions that are not explicitly caught by earlier error handling code.

   How test will be performed:

   - An unexpected exception (generic Exception) is simulated to test the robustness of the method's error handling.

5. test-id15
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: User inputs 'y' indicating agreement with the classification.
   Output: Pass if feedback is saved correctly as "dog dog"; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - This test checks whether the 'save_feedback' method correctly handles user agreement by appending the correct feedback to the file.

   How test will be performed:

   - The test simulates user input of 'y' for agreement and verifies that the feedback "dog dog" is correctly appended to the feedback file.

6. test-id16

   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: User inputs 'n' followed by 'cat', indicating disagreement and providing an alternative classification.
   Output: Pass if feedback is saved correctly as "dog cat"; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - This test verifies that the 'save_feedback' method properly records a user's disagreement and alternative class name by appending the correct feedback to the file.

   How test will be performed:

   - The test simulates user inputs of 'n' and 'cat', and checks that the feedback "dog cat" is appended to the feedback file.

7. test-id17

   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: User inputs 'n', followed by an invalid class name 'xyz', and then 'cat'.
   Output: Pass if feedback is saved correctly as "dog cat" after user corrects the class name; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - This test assesses the 'save_feedback' method's ability to handle multiple user inputs where the first provided class name is invalid, ensuring that only valid feedback is recorded.

   How test will be performed:

   - The test simulates initial disagreement with the classification, an invalid class name input followed by a valid name, and checks the final written feedback.

8. test-id18

   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A

Input: Simulate user input that categorizes an image as 'cat'.
Output: Pass if the set_class_name method returns 'cat'; Fail otherwise.
Test Case Derivation:

- This test verifies the functionality of the 'set_class_name' method to correctly classify an image based on simulated input.

How test will be performed:

- Mock methods are used to simulate processing an image and setting its classification as 'cat'. The test checks that the method returns the correct classification.

5.3.1   Data Preparing and Preprocessing Module (M9)

This document outlines the unit tests for the 'Data' class, which is responsible for loading, processing, and preparing datasets for machine learning tasks.

1. test-id19
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Simulated CIFAR-10 dataset load operation.
   Output: Pass if the dataset is loaded correctly with proper shapes; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - This test verifies that the 'load_data' method can load data correctly and validate its shape to ensure it meets expected dimensions.

   How test will be performed:

   - Mocking is used to simulate the loading operation and shape checking is performed to confirm data integrity.

2. test-id20
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Attempt to load CIFAR-10 data with incorrect shapes to simulate a failed operation.

Output: Pass if an appropriate exception is raised due to incorrect data shapes; Fail otherwise.
Test Case Derivation:

- This test aims to ensure that the 'load_data' method raises an exception when data does not meet required specifications, maintaining robustness in data handling.

How test will be performed:

- The loading function is mocked to return data with incorrect shapes, and the method's error handling is tested.

3. test-id21
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: RGB images are processed to convert them into grayscale using the method under test.
   Output: Pass if all images are correctly converted to grayscale; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - The 'rgb2gray' method should accurately convert RGB images to grayscale by applying the correct formula. This test checks the method's effectiveness across multiple images.

   How test will be performed:

   - A batch of RGB images is processed, and the output is verified against expected grayscale values.

4. test-id22
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Normalization of pixel values in images from 0-255 to 0-1 range.
   Output: Pass if pixel values are correctly normalized; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - The 'prep_pixels' method is tested to ensure it can normalize image data correctly, crucial for machine learning model input preparation.

How test will be performed:

- The normalization process is applied to a set of images, and the results are checked to confirm they fall within the expected range.

5. test-id23
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Flattening 2D image data into 1D vectors.
   Output: Pass if images are correctly flattened without data loss; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - Ensuring that the 'flat_data' method effectively reshapes 2D images into 1D format without altering the data integrity is crucial for input to certain types of neural networks.

   How test will be performed:

   - Images are converted from 2D to 1D using the flattening process, and the output dimensions are checked to verify correctness.

6. test-id24
   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Shuffling of labeled image data to ensure randomness in data order.
   Output: Pass if data is correctly shuffled and labels are maintained; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - The 'shuffle_data' method is essential for randomizing the order of image data to prevent model bias during training. This test checks that the shuffling maintains correct label associations and achieves randomness.

   How test will be performed:

   - The dataset is shuffled using the method, and checks are made to ensure that no data is lost or mismatched during the process, verifying that the order of data is altered.

7. test-id25

   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Grayscale conversion for a single RGB image to validate the method's precision on individual images.
   Output: Pass if the single image is converted accurately to grayscale; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - Single image testing isolates the performance of the 'rgb2gray' method to ensure it can accurately apply the grayscale formula on an individual basis.

   How test will be performed:

   - A single RGB image is processed, and the resulting grayscale image is compared against expected values calculated using the standard luminosity formula.

8. test-id26

   Type: Automatic
   Initial State: N/A
   Input: Conversion of a batch of RGB images to grayscale to check consistent application across multiple instances.
   Output: Pass if all images in the batch are consistently converted to grayscale; Fail otherwise.
   Test Case Derivation:

   - This test evaluates the consistency and reliability of the 'rgb2gray' method when applied to multiple images, ensuring that the conversion formula is uniformly applied.

   How test will be performed:

   - A batch of images is processed, and each converted image is checked against expected grayscale values to confirm uniform application of the conversion formula.

5.4   Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

Unit testing the non-functional requirements is beyond the scope.

5.5 Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules

A traceability between test cases and modules is shown in Table 5.

	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10
T1					X				
T2		X	X	X					X
test-id1 - test-id2	X								
test-id3 - test-id10		X				X			X
test-id11 - test-id18			X	X		X			
test-id19 - test-id26							X	X	

Table 5: Tracebility between test cases and module

References

Tanya Djavaherpour. Cifar-10 classification using ANN. https://github.com/tanya-jp/CIFAR-Classification/blob/main/CIFAR_ANN.ipynb, 2022.

Tanya Djavaherpour. Module guide. https://github.com/tanya-jp/ANN-CAS741/blob/main/docs/Design/SoftArchitecture/MG.pdf, 2024a.

Tanya Djavaherpour. Module interface specification. https://github.com/tanya-jp/ANN-CAS741/blob/main/docs/Design/SoftDetailedDes/MIS.pdf, 2024b.

Tanya Djavaherpour. Problem statement and goals. https://github.com/tanya-jp/ANN-CAS741/blob/main/docs/ProblemStatementAndGoals/ProblemStatement.pdf, 2024c.

Tanya Djavaherpour. System requirements specification. https://github.com/tanya-jp/ANN-CAS741/blob/main/docs/SRS/SRS.pdf, 2024d.

Tanya Djavaherpour. System verification and validation report. https://github.com/tanya-jp/ANN-CAS741/blob/main/docs/VnVReport/VnVReport.pdf, 2024e.

Alex Krizhevsky. Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. Technical Report, 2009.

Pytest Testing Framework. Pytest. https://docs.pytest.org/en/8.0.x/, March 2023. Python testing framework.

Python Code Quality Authority. Pylint. https://pypi.org/project/pylint/, December 2023. Python static code analysis tool.

W. Spencer Smith. MG checklist. https://github.com/smiths/capTemplate/commits/9251702fdcb9800c59f6ed3d11d91e2bd62fca6d/docs/Checklists/MG-Checklist.pdf, 2022a.

W. Spencer Smith. MIS checklist. https://github.com/smiths/capTemplate/commits/9251702fdcb9800c59f6ed3d11d91e2bd62fca6d/docs/Checklists/MIS-Checklist.pdf, 2022b.

W. Spencer Smith. SRS checklist. https://github.com/smiths/capTemplate/commits/9251702fdcb9800c59f6ed3d11d91e2bd62fca6d/docs/Checklists/SRS-Checklist.pdf, 2022c.

W. Spencer Smith. VnV checklist. https://github.com/smiths/capTemplate/blob/9251702fdcb9800c59f6ed3d11d91e2bd62fca6d/docs/Checklists/VnV-Checklist.pdf, 2022d.