

**Problem 1. Week 3 - 4.)**

Let  $E : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $p$  be a prime.

(a) If we reduce the coefficients of the equation of  $E$  modulo  $p$ , we get a curve  $E : y^2 = x^3 + \overline{A}x + \overline{B}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . (The bar denotes reduction modulo  $p$ .) Is  $E$  necessarily an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ? That is, is the discriminant of  $E$  nonzero in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ? (Hint: the answer is no; justify why.)

(b) The curve  $E : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is said to have good reduction at  $p$  if the reduced curve  $E$  is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . And  $E$  has a bad reduction at  $p$  if not. Prove that every elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  has finitely many primes of bad reduction.

**Solution.**

(a) For  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  to be an elliptic curve, it must be the case that its discriminant is  $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$ . The same applies for an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , so we should check if  $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0 \implies \Delta_{\mathbb{F}_p} \neq 0$ .

Let's take an example of  $p = 3$ .

We know that  $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} = 4A^3 + 27B^2$  and that  $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_p} = 4\overline{A}^3 + 27\overline{B}^2$ .

If we consider  $A = 3$  and any  $B \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we can see that  $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} = 4 * 27 + 27B^2 > 0$ .

However, for our  $p = 3$  and  $A = 3$ , we have that  $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_p} = 4 * 27 + 27B^2 = 0 \pmod{3}$ .

This counter-example is enough to disprove the proposed implication that we wanted to check.  $\square$

**Problem 2. Week 4 - 2.)**

There is no obvious analogue for the index calculus approach for the DLP in  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Why not? What step fails when you try to generalize it for  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ?

**Solution.**

In the index calculus algorithm, the critical part is choosing a factor base, a set of *prime* numbers, which are used in later stages while creating a system of equations (modulo  $p$ ).

The mere notion of *prime* number is not defined over a  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , so such an analogue is impossible to trivially generalize.  $\square$