

Problem 1. Week 3 - 4.)

Let $E : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} and let p be a prime.

(a) If we reduce the coefficients of the equation of E modulo p , we get a curve $E : y^2 = x^3 + \overline{A}x + \overline{B}$ over \mathbb{F}_p . (The bar denotes reduction modulo p .) Is E necessarily an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p ? That is, is the discriminant of E nonzero in \mathbb{F}_p ? (Hint: the answer is no; justify why.)

(b) The curve $E : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ over \mathbb{Q} is said to have good reduction at p if the reduced curve E is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p . And E has a bad reduction at p if not. Prove that every elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} has finitely many primes of bad reduction.

Solution.

(a) For E/\mathbb{Q} to be an elliptic curve, it must be the case that its discriminant is $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$. The same applies for an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p , so we should check if $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0 \implies \Delta_{\mathbb{F}_p} \neq 0$.

Let's take an example of $p = 3$.

We know that $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} = 4A^3 + 27B^2$ and that $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_p} = 4\overline{A}^3 + 27\overline{B}^2$.

If we consider $A = 3$ and any $B \in \mathbb{Q}$, we can see that $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} = 4 * 27 + 27B^2 > 0$.

However, for our $p = 3$ and $A = 3$, we have that $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_p} = 4 * 27 + 27B^2 = 0 \pmod{3}$.

This counter-example is enough to disprove the proposed implication that we wanted to check.

(b) Let $E : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ over \mathbb{Q} be some elliptic curve. As we know, $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} = 4A^3 + 27B^2$ is discriminant of the elliptic curve E and it is true that $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$. Let's consider prime p , such that $p \mid \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We can see that for a reduced curve E over \mathbb{F}_p , it holds that $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_p} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \iff p \mid \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}$. As such, E has a bad reduction at p .

As the set of numbers that divide $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is finite, every elliptic curve E has finitely many bad reductions.

□

Problem 2. Week 4 - 2.)

There is no obvious analogue for the index calculus approach for the DLP in $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Why not? What step fails when you try to generalize it for $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$?

Solution.

In the index calculus algorithm, the critical part is choosing a factor base, a set of prime numbers, which are used in later stages while creating a system of equations (modulo p).

The mere notion of *prime* number is not defined over a $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$, so such an analogue is impossible to trivially generalize. \square