

Signature-Based Integrity

Mike West @ TPAC 2025

HTTP/1.1 200 OK

Date: Mon, 10 Nov 2025 01:00:00 GMT

Content-Type: application/json

Content-Length: 18

Unencoded-Digest: sha-256=:X48E9q...u9DBPE=:

Signature-Input: \

 signature=("unencoded-digest";sf); \

 keyid="JrQLj5P/89...PPsw3c5D0bs="; \

 tag="ed25519-integrity"

Signature: signature=:SbCdPU...pQGO+hrkAg==:

{"hello": "world"}

HTTP/1.1 200 OK

Date: Mon, 10 Nov 2025 01:00:00 GMT

Content-Type: application/json

Content-Length: 18

Unencoded-Digest: sha-256=:X48E9q...u9DBPE=:

Signature-Input:

signature=("unencoded-digest";sf); \

keyid="JrQLj5P/89...PPsw3c5D0bs="; \

tag="ed25519-integrity"

Signature: signature=:SbCdPU...pQGO+hrkAg==:

{"hello": "world"}

HTTP/1.1 200 OK

Date: Mon, 10 Nov 2025 01:00:00 GMT

Content-Type: application/json

Content-Length: 18

Unencoded-Digest: sha-256=:X48E9q...u9DBPE=:

Signature-Input: \

 signature=("unencoded-digest";sf); \

 keyid="JrQLj5P/89...PPsw3c5D0bs="; \

 tag="ed25519-integrity"

Signature: signature=:SbCdPU...pQGO+hrkAg==:

{"hello": "world"}

Content-Security-Policy: \

script-src 'ed25519-JrQLj5P/89...PPsw3c5D0bs='

```
<script src="https://my.cdn/script.js"
crossorigin="anonymous"
```

integrity="ed25519-JrQLj5P/89...PPsw3c5D0bs="

```
...></script>
```

Open Questions

1. Requirements for replacement, rollbacks, and redirects
([wicg/signature-based-integrity#45](#))?
2. Do developers understand the delta between supply-chain and content integrity ([wicg/signature-based-integrity#52](#))?
3. Does this assertion satisfy developer obligations (e.g. PCI DSS:
[wicg/signature-based-integrity#53](#))?
4. Can we improve the key rotation story
([wicg/signature-based-integrity#43](#))?

```
<esi:include  
    src="https://widgets.example/widget.include"  
/>  
  
<script  
    integrity="ed25519-JrQLj5P/89...PPsw3c5D0bs="  
    signature="ed25519-SbCdPU...pQGO+hrkAg==">  
    console.log("Amazing functionality goes here.");  
</script>
```

<https://mikewest.github.io/inline-integrity/>

Open Questions

1. Is the spelling reasonable? Perhaps the signature could be a parameter to the key rather than a distinct attribute (e.g. `integrity="ed25519-JrQL...0bs=?ed25519-SbCdP..."`)?
2. Could this be extended to cover assertions over external subresources that don't themselves assert a signature ([mikewest/inline-integrity#8](#))?