8 · ON THE DEFINITION OF LEARNING

his own learning', 'facilitation of learning (e.g. workplace among the different fields, learning', 'evidence-based learning), or on perspectives on interests and positions. As a education'. learning (e.g. consequence, individual, social, child, adult, the development of strong theory etc. organizational learning). building is inhibited. Parallel to these changes in education and learning, and in This indicates that learning An important aspect involved in cannot be defined once and for building a strong field of the net of social relations, a variety of all. learning theories of learning have Instead, the field must be theory is to clarify how learning evolved. The field considered as a collection of concepts and theories can prove of research on learning has perspectives useful on and conceptualizations of become very complex, with in relation to different contexts, different foci, learning. Conceptualizations of interests, problems and founders and proponents, learning situations. This schools, and disciplinary often base themselves on aspect can be judged in terms of approaches (Qvortrup particular metaphors, such as whether it is 'viable' (von & Wiberg 2013). Thus, the learning as Glasersfeld, phenomenon of learning as it 'acquisition', 'participation' 1996), 'operationally useful' and/or 'knowledge creation' appears today is (von Foerster, 1984) or if it takes manifold. It has emerged as an (Sfard 1998, the form evolving object, with multiple Qvortrup & Wiberg, 2013). of 'ideas as plans of operations connections Furthermore, different to be performed' (Dewey, to various disciplines of research conceptualizations [1929]1990) and fields of interest. of learning often imply different or of 'instruments of finding Within the multi-faceted and definite assumptions about one's way around' (Terhart, landscape of theories and 2003). Any concept of learning must be definitions of relationships of subject and object, individuality and context, considered in the light of the learning, there exists no general agreement on what learning inside and empirical outside, thinking and action, studies it is based on, and the really is, cognition and body, and various definitions and or on what is demanded of a definition of learning. Some knowledge and conceptualizations practice. Thus, it is important to proponents of of learning it adheres to. theories of learning tend to be sensitive to the variety of One difficulty, therefore, advocate their own viewpoint concepts involves coming to terms with constantly and to consider and theories of learning in the learning theories as mutually field, and to continue to cultivate changing definitions of learning; exclusive and therefore another relates to the question of variety. However, currently there how to move from learning, incompatible. Some try to unify the field of doesn't seem to be a way to learning objectives and learning learning into one comprehensive locate theory to educational settings, teaching theory theories of learning within a of learning (Illeris, 2006; Jarvis, unified field of research, where strategies and teaching theories. 2006), while others claim to concepts Learning of learning are thoroughly and theories help us to understand focus on particular aspects of learning systematically discussed across learning as a phenomenon, but (e.g. creative learning), on places the they field. There seems to be a lack of do not reflect upon what, how for mutual discussion and and why something should be

inspiration

taught

INTRODUCTION · 9

and learned in education (Ovortrup & Keiding 2016).

However, some

teaching includes both a theory of

teacher behavior (Hattie, 2009;

Terhart,

2011). Biggs and Tang (2007) call for a focus on 'constructive through encouraging individual alignment'

between teaching activities,

learning objectives, and different to construct knowledge

students'

learning through participation. But this is no simple matter, and 26, Cobb, 2007, p. 5). The

the attempts to establish

connections between theoretical to be that the alleged

concepts of

learning and teaching are based on educational designs attached

to

particular views of knowledge and learning. Examples of this

can be

found in some (social)

constructivist theories of teaching activities, which

take their point of departure in

the view of knowledge and

learning as

always socially situated, and as

arising from collective and

personal

constructions (Lave & Wenger

1991; Wenger 1998). Manifold

teaching

or pedagogical patterns

(Laurillard, 2012), such as

student-orientated

inquiry teaching, problem-based

teaching, cooperative learning,

and

computer-supported

collaborative teaching, have been

conceived and

referred to as if they inherently belong to particular social

constructivist

researchers claim that a theory of notions of knowledge and learning. Several of these

attempts tend to

student learning and a theory of focus on the teachers' proactive efforts to design teaching

activities

that facilitate students' learning

collaborative/cooperative efforts

(Ovortrup &

Keiding, 2015a; Hattie, 2009, p.

problem with

many of these approaches seems

interdependence