Specification Formatting Conventions

Misc – Workgroup: 0x10c Standards Committee RFC X1000

James Rhodes

April 11, 2012

This draft provides guidelines on formatting draft specifications for submission to the 0x10c standards committee. It is designed to loosely correlate with the formatting of RFCs.

1 Introduction

Currently there are no standards defined for the formatting of standards to the committee. This RFC proposes using the standards already in place in RFCs globally.

1.1 Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119

2 Numbering RFCs

The numbering prefix of RFCs submitted to the 0x10c standards committee begins at X1000, as these RFCs are independent of the globally recognised list of RFCs. Hence this document forms RFC X1000.

RFCs do not have a number assigned to them when they are initially submitted as drafts. Only once an RFC is voted to be standard by the committee is it assigned the number, whichever the next freely available number is in the numbering sequence.

3 Referencing RFCs

This is an example of how you would change the DOCTYPE if you wanted to reference RFC X1000 in your own XRFC.

 $<!DOCTYPE\ rfc\ SYSTEM\ "rfc2629.dtd"\ [<!ENTITY\ rfcx1000\ SYSTEM\ "https://raw.github.com/hach-que/0x10c-Standards/master/MISC/X1000_Spec_Formatting_Conventions.xml">]>$

Then in the references section, do:

&rfcx1000;

4 Formatting

Authors of RFCs for submission to the 0x10c standards committee are RECOMMENDED to use xml2ref as specified in RFC 2629

When authors want to submit their RFC they MUST include both the original source file if applicable (such as the .xml file if using xml2rfc) and a resulting plain text document that MUST formatted according to RFC conventions.

The website

5 Author Notation

Authors of RFCs MUST include their email address as part of their author status in an RFC.

Authors of RFCs MAY include an URI that is unique to them.

Inclusion of other information is OPTIONAL.

6 Required Sections

Authors of RFCs MUST provide a section for security considerations as specified in RFC 3552

7 Additional Notes

It is RECOMMENDED to authors of RFCs to look at the source file of this RFCs to gain a guideline on how RFCs are constructed with xml2rfc.

8 Security Considerations

This memo has no applicable security considerations.