SMART CONTRACT SECURITY AUDIT OF Lisprocoin



Lisprocoin

Audit Introduction

Auditing Firm Lisprocoin

Audit Architecture Lisprocoin Auditing Standard

Language Solidity

Client Firm Lisprocoin LSP20

Website https://www.lisprocoin.net

Twitter https://twitter.com/lisprocoin

Report Date Dicember 19,2022

About Lisprocoin

Crypto Exchange and swap/ switch Network chain

Audit Summary

Lisprocoin team has performed a line-by-line manual analysis and automated review of smart contracts. Smart contracts were analyzed mainly for common contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and manipulation hacks. According to the audit:



- ❖ Lisprocoin solidity source code has LOW RISK SEVERITY ❖ Lisprocoin smart contract has an ACTIVE OWNERSHIP ❖ Important owner privileges ¬BLACKLIST, WITHDRAW TO TREASURY
- Lisprocoin smart contract owner has multiple "Write Contract" privileges. Centralization risk correlated to the active owner is **MEDIUM**
- Lisprocoin smart contract utilizes REBASE. With rebase, the circulating token supply adjusts (increases or decreases) automatically or manually according to set parameters.

Be aware that smart contracts deployed on the blockchain aren't resistant to internal exploit, external vulnerability, or hack. For a detailed understanding of risk severity, source code vulnerability, exploitability, and audit disclaimer, kindly refer to the audit.

Contract address: 0x65Abd48bC38DEA1938AE94428590d4da54f74

Blockchain: **Polygon Chain**

Verify the authenticity of tisi report on LSP20 GitHub: https://github.com/15-Lippo/audit-Lisprocoin-Smart-contract

Table Of Contents

Audit Information

5

Audit	Scope	
-------	-------	--

Echelon Audit Standard

Audit	Methodology	
6		
Risk (Classification	
8		



<u>Sma</u>	rt Contract Risk Assessment
	Static Analysis
	Software Analysis
	Manual Analysis
	SWC Attacks
	Risk Status & Radar Chart
<u> Audi</u>	<u>t Summary</u>
22	Auditor's Verdict
<u>.ega</u>	<u>l Advisory</u>
	Important Disclaimer24
	About InterFi Network

LSP20 was consulted by Lisprocoin to conduct the smart contract security audit of their solidity

source codes. The audit scope of work is strictly limited to the mentioned solidity file(s) only:

Centralization Risk

Sal CONTO

Audit Scope

❖ LSP20.sol

Solidity Source Code On Blockchain (Verified Contract Source Code)

https://polygonscan.com/token/0x65Abd48bC38DEA1938AE94428590d4da54f74

Contract Name: Lisprocoin

Compiler Version: v0.8.4+commit.c7e474f2

Optimization Enabled: Yes with 200 runs

Other Settings:

default evmVersion, GNU GPLv3 license

Solidity Source Code On LSP20 GitHub https://github.com/15-Lippo/audit-

<u>Lisprocoin-smart-contract</u>

SHA-1 Hash

Solidity source code is audited at hash# d9db2d3028e7615d9bf7fbbb784e765797ffa9ceb4acf6ec8aadeec2427562c9

Audit Methodology

The scope of this report is to audit the smart contract source code of Lisprocoin. LSP20 has scanned contracts and reviewed codes for common vulnerabilities, exploits, hacks, and backdoors. Due to



being out of scope, LSP20 has not tested contracts on testnet to assess any functional flaws. Below is the list of commonly known smart contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and hacks:

Category

- Re-entrancy
 Unhandled
 Exceptions
 Transaction
 Order
 Dependency
- Integer Overflow

Smart Contract Vulnerabilities ❖ Unrestricted Action ❖ Incorrect

- Inheritance Order *
- Typographical Errors *

Requirement Violation

Gas Limit and Loops & Deployment
 Consistency & Repository
 Consistency & Data Consistency &
 Token Supply Manipulation

Source Code Review

- Access Control and Authorization
- Operations Trail and Event
 Generation Assets Manipulation
 Ownership Control Liquidity



LSP20 Echelon Audit Standard

The aim of LSP20" standard is to analyze smart contracts and identify the vulnerabilities and the hacks. Kindly note, LSP20 does not test smart contracts on testnet. It is recommended that smart contracts are thoroughly tested prior to the audit submission. Mentioned are the steps used by LSP20 to audit smart contracts:

- 1. Solidity smart contract source code reviewal:
 - Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to LSP20 to make sure we understand the size, and scope of the smart contract audit.
 - Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line to identify potential vulnerabilities.
- 2. Static, Manual, and Software analysis:
 - Test coverage analysis is the process of determining whether the test cases are covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
 - Symbolic execution is analyzing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
- 3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarify, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.
- 4. Specific, itemized, actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts

<u>Automated 3P frameworks used to assess the smart contract vulnerabilities</u>

Consensys Tools
 SWC Registry
 Solidity Coverage
 Open Zeppelin Code
 Analyzer
 Solidity Code Complier



Risk Classification

Smart contracts are generally designed to manipulate and hold funds denominated in ETH/MATIC.

This makes them very tempting attack targets, as a successful attack may allow the attacker to directly steal funds from the contract. Below are the typical risk levels of a smart contract:

Vulnerable: A contract is vulnerable if it has been flagged by a static analysis tool as such. As we will see later, this means that some contracts may be vulnerable because of a false positive.

Exploitable: A contract is exploitable if it is vulnerable and the vulnerability could be exploited by an external attacker. For example, if the "vulnerability" flagged by a tool is in a function that requires owning the contract, it would be vulnerable but not exploitable.

Exploited: A contract is exploited if it received a transaction on the main network which triggered one of its vulnerabilities. Therefore, a contract can be vulnerable or even exploitable without having been exploited.

Risk severity	Meaning
! High	This level vulnerabilities could be exploited easily and can lead to asset loss,
: riigii	data loss, asset, or data manipulation. They should be fixed right away.
	This level vulnerabilities are hard to exploit but very important to fix, they carry an elevated risk of smart contract manipulation, which can lead to high-risk severity
! Medium	
! Low	This level vulnerabilities should be fixed, as they carry an inherent risk of future exploits, and hacks which may or may not impact the smart contract execution.



This level vulnerabilities can be ignored. They are code style violations and informational statements in the code. They may not affect the smart contract

! Informational

execution

Centralization Risk

Centralization risk is the most common cause of decentralized finance hacks. When a smart contract has an active contract ownership, the risk related to centralization is elevated. There are some well-intended reasons to be an active contract owner, such as: • Contract owner can be granted the power to pause () or lock () the contract in case of an external attack.

Contract owner can use functions like, include(), and exclude() to add or remove wallets from fees, swap checks, and transaction limits. This is useful to run a presale, and to list on an exchange.

Authorizing a full centralized power to a single body can be dangerous. Unfortunately, centralization related risks are higher than common smart contract vulnerabilities. Centralization of ownership creates a risk of rug pull scams, where owners cash out tokens in such quantities that they become valueless. **Most important question to ask here is, how to mitigate centralization risk?** Here's InterFi's recommendation to lower the risks related to centralization hacks:

- Smart contract owner's private key must be carefully secured to avoid any potential hack.
 Smart contract ownership should be shared by multi-signature (multi-sig) wallets.
- Smart contract ownership can be locked in a contract, user voting, or community DAO can be introduced to unlock the ownership.

<u>Lisprocoin Centralization Status</u> : Lisprocoin smart contract has an **active ownership**.



Smart contract ownership is set to. 0x6Cb8flc1AD451F44eDeCb555A9f96cD856f4D5d3 at the time of the audit.

Static Analysis

Static Alialysis		
Symbol	Meaning	
6	Function can modify state	
(<u>e</u>	Function is payable	
E	Function is locked	
*	Function can be accessed	
g	Important functionality	
SafeMathInt Library L mul Internal		
111111		
ERC20	Interface	
totalSupply External NO		



| **QuickSwapPair** | Interface | |||
| L | name | External | | | NO| |

| L | approve | External] | O | NO] | I | L | transferFrom | External] | O | NO] |



| L | approve | External | | 🔘 | NO 📭 | | L | transfer | External | | | NO | | | | L | DOMAIN_SEPARATOR | External I | | L | PERMIT_TYPEHASH | External [| | L | nonces | External | | | NO | | | L | MINIMUM_LIQUIDITY | External | | | L | factory | External | | | NO | | | L | token0 | External | | | NO| | | L | token1 | External [| NO[| | L | getReserves | External | | | NO | | | L | priceOCumulativeLast | External | | | L | price1CumulativeLast PExternal | | | L | kLast | External | | | NO | L | mint | External [| 🕡 | NO[| | L | burn | External 🌡 | 💽 | L | swap | External | | O | NO | | L | skim | External | | | L | initialize | External | | NO | | | | | | | | | **QuickSwapRouter** | Interface | ||| | L | factory | External [| NO[| | L | WETH | External [| NO[| L | addLiquidity | External 🖟 | 🔘 | NO 🖟 | | L | addLiquidityETH | External [| III | NO[| | L | removeLiquidity | External 📗 | 🔘 | NO 📗 | | L | removeLiquidityETH | External 🖟 | 🌑 | NO 🖟 | | L | removeLiquidityWithPermit | External [| | | NO[] | | L | swapExactTokensForTokens | External | | NO | | | L | swapExactETHForTokens | External 🎚 | 🕮 |NO 🗓 | | L | swapTokensForExactETH | External | | | | | NO | | | | L | swapExactTokensForETH | External [I] | NO [I] | | L | swapETHForExactTokens | External [| 🕮 |NO[| | L | quote | External | | NO | | | L | getAmountOut | External | |



| L | getAmountIn | External [! | NO[! | L | getAmountsOut | External [| NO[|

```
| L | getAmountsIn | External | | | NO | |
| ^{\mathsf{L}} | removeLiquidityETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External [\![_{\!\mathsf{I}}^{\!\mathsf{I}}\,] | NO[\![_{\!\mathsf{I}}^{\!\mathsf{I}}\,]
| L | removeLiquidityETHWithPermitSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External [] | NO[] |
| L | swapExactTokensForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External [! | 📦 | NO[!]
| L | swapExactETHForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External | DO | NO | | | | | | |
| **QuickSwapFactory** | Interface | |||
| L | feeTo | External | | | | | | | | |
   | feeToSetter | External \P | NO\P
| L | getPair | External | | | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| L | allPairs | External | NO | ! |
| L | allPairsLength | External | | | | NO | |
| L | createPair | External | NO | NO |
| L | setFeeTo | External [ | O | NOL |
| L | setFeeToSetter | External | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **<mark>Ownable</mark>** | Implementation | |||
| L | <Constructor> | Public | | | NO | |
| L | owner | Public | | NO | |
| L | isOwner | Public | NO | !
| L | renounceOwnership | Public | | | | | | | onlyOwner |
| L | transferOwnership | Public | | onlyOwner |
| L | transferOwnership | Internal 🖺 | 👅 | |
| **ERC20Detailed** | Implementation | IERC20 |||
| L | name | Public | | NO | |
| L | symbol | Public | | NO | |
| L | decimals | Public | | NO | |
| **ATH** | Implementation | ERC20Detailed, Ownable | | |
| L | startRebase | External | | OnlyOwner |
| L | rebase | Internal 🖺 | 🔘 | |
| L | transfer | External | | | | validRecipient |
| L | transferFrom | External | | | | validRecipient |
| L | _basicTransfer | Internal 🖺 | 🔘 | |
| L | transferFrom | Internal 🖺 | 🔘 | |
| L | takeFee | Internal 🖺 | 🔘 | |
| L | addLiquidity | Internal 🖺 | 🔘 | swapping |
| L | swapBack | Internal 🖺 | 🔘 | swapping |
```



| L

```
| L
| L | withdrawAllToTreasury | External 🖟 | 🌑 | swapping onlyOwner |
| L | shouldTakeFee | Internal 🖺 |
| L | shouldRebase | Internal 🦰 |
| L | shouldAddLiquidity | Internal 🖺 |
 L | shouldSwapBack | Internal A | | |
  L<sub>setAutoRebase</sub>
                          | External 🖟 | 🌑 | onlyOwner |
                               | External | |
   setAutoAddLiquidity
                               onlyOwner | | | | | NO | |
     | allowance | External
 L | decreaseAllowance | External 🖟 | 🌑 | NO 🖟 |
 L | increaseAllowance | External | | NO | NO | |
 L | approve | External | | | NO
| L | checkFeeExempt | External [ | NO[ | | | | |
| L | getCirculatingSupply | Public [ | NO[ |
| L | isNotInSwap | External | | | | NO | |
| L | setFeeReceivers | External | | | | | onlyOwner |
| L | getLiquidityBacking | External | | | NO | |
   | setWhitelist | External 🏿 | 🌑 | onlyOwner |
| L | setBotBlacklist | External | | | onlyOwner |
 | L | setLP | External | | OnlyOwner |
| L | totalSupply | External [ | NO[ |
| L | balanceOf | External | | | NO | |
| L | isContract | Internal 🖺 | . | |
```



| L



Software Analysis

Function Signatures

```
16279055 => isContract(address)
39509351 => increaseAllowance(address,uint256)
43509138 => div(int256,int256) bbe93d91
=> mul(int256,int256) adefc37b
sub(int256,int256) a5f3c23b =>
add(int256,int256) 1b5ac4b5 =>
abs(int256) 771602f7 =>
add(uint256, uint256) b67d77c5 =>
sub(uint256, uint256) e31bdc0a =>
sub(uint256,uint256,string) c8a4ac9c =>
mul(uint256, uint256) a391c15b =>
div(uint256, uint256) b745d336 =>
div(uint256, uint256, string) f43f523a =>
mod(uint256, uint256) 18160ddd =>
totalSupply() 70a08231 =>
balanceOf(address) dd62ed3e =>
allowance(address, address) a9059cbb =>
transfer (address, uint256)
095ea7b3 => approve(address,uint256)
23b872dd => transferFrom(address,address,uint256)
06fdde03 => name()
95d89b41 => symbol()
313ce567 \Rightarrow decimals()
3644e515 => DOMAIN SEPARATOR()
30adf81f => PERMIT TYPEHASH() 7ecebe00
=> nonces (address)
d505accf => permit(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint8,bytes32,bytes32)
ba9a7a56 => MINIMUM LIQUIDITY() c45a0155 => factory() 0dfe1681 =>
token0() d21220a7 => token1()
0902flac => getReserves()
5909c0d5 => price0CumulativeLast()
5a3d5493 => price1CumulativeLast()
7464fc3d => kLast()
6a627842 \Rightarrow mint(address)
89afcb44 => burn(address)
022c0d9f => swap(uint256, uint256, address, bytes)
bc25cf77 => skim(address) fff6cae9 => sync()
485cc955 => initialize(address,address) ad5c4648 => WETH() e8e33700 =>
addLiquidity(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256) f305d719 =>
addLiquidityETH(address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256) baa2abde =>
removeLiquidity(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256) 02751cec =>
removeLiquidityETH(address, uint256, uint256, uint256, address, uint256)
removeLiquidityWithPermit(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256,bool,uint8,bytes3
2, bytes32) ded9382a
removeLiquidityETHWithPermit(address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256,bool,uint8,bytes32,byt
es32)
```



```
38ed1739 => swapExactTokensForTokens(uint256,uint256,address[],address,uint256)
8803dbee => swapTokensForExactTokens(uint256,uint256,address[],address,uint256)
7ff36ab5 => swapExactETHForTokens(uint256,address[],address,uint256)
4a25d94a => swapTokensForExactETH(uint256,uint256,address[],address,uint256)
18cbafe5 => swapExactTokensForETH(uint256,uint256,address[],address,uint256)
fb3bdb41 => swapETHForExactTokens(uint256,address[],address,uint256)
ad615dec => quote(uint256, uint256, uint256) 054d50d4
getAmountOut(uint256,uint256,uint256) 85f8c259 =>
getAmountIn(uint256, uint256, uint256) d06ca61f =>
getAmountsOut(uint256,address[]) 1f00ca74 => getAmountsIn(uint256,address[])
af2979eb =>
removeLiquidityETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256)
removeLiquidityETHWithPermitSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,u
int256,bool,uint8,bytes32,bytes32)
swapExactTokensForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(uint256, uint256, address[], address, uint256)
b6f9de95 => swapExactETHForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(uint256,address[],address,uint256)
791ac947 =>
swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(uint256,uint256,address[],address,uint256)
017e7e58 => feeTo() 094b7415
=> feeToSetter()
e6a43905 => getPair(address, address)
1e3dd18b => allPairs(uint256) 574f2ba3
=> allPairsLength() c9c65396 =>
createPair(address, address) f46901ed =>
setFeeTo(address) a2e74af6 =>
setFeeToSetter(address)
8da5cb5b => owner()
8f32d59b => isOwner()
715018a6 => renounceOwnership() f2fde38b
=> transferOwnership(address) d29d44ee
=> _transferOwnership(address)
706f86e9 => startRebase() af14052c
=> rebase()
f0774e71 => _basicTransfer(address,address,uint256)
cb712535 =>
             transferFrom(address,address,uint256)
20cb7bce => takeFee(address,address,uint256)
e8078d94 => addLiquidity() 6ac5eeee => swapBack()
bd595581 => withdrawAllToTreasury() 332402f8
=> shouldTakeFee(address,address) 63eab10a
=> shouldRebase() ee0c53c4 =>
shouldAddLiquidity() 0d5c6cea =>
shouldSwapBack() e15beb80 =>
setAutoRebase(bool) cfbac92f =>
setAutoAddLiquidity(bool) a457c2d7 =>
decreaseAllowance(address, uint256) d4399790
=> checkFeeExempt(address)
2b112e49 => getCirculatingSupply()
83b4ac68 => isNotInSwap()
753d02a1 => manualSync()
3c8e556d => setFeeReceivers(address,address,address,address)
d51ed1c8 => getLiquidityBacking(uint256) 854cff2f =>
setWhitelist(address) 37c9be87 =>
```



setBotBlacklist(address,bool) a22d4832 =>
setPairAddress(address)
2f34d282 => setLP(address)



Manual Analysis

Function	Description	Available	Status
Total Supply	provides information about the total token supply	Yes	Passed
Balance Of	provides account balance of the owner's account		
Transfer	executes transfers of a specified number of token to a specified address	Yes s	Passed
Annrovo	allow a spender to withdraw a set number of token from a specified account	Yes s	Passed
Approve	returns a set number of tokens from a spender to	Yes	Passed
Allowance	the owner	Yes	Passed
Rebase	circulating token supply adjusts (increases or decreases) automatically according to a token's price fluctuations		
		Yes	Passed



Blacklist	stops specified wallets from interacting with the smart contract function modules	Yes	! Low
Transfer Ownership	executes transfer of contract ownership to a specified wallet	Yes	Passed
Renounce	executes transfer of contract ownership to a dead		
Ownership	address	Yes	Passed

Notable Information Smart contract utilizes **SafeMath** function to avoid common smart

contract vulnerabilities.

Smart contract owner can **blacklist** certain wallets from interacting with the contract function modules.



Lisprocoin smart contract utilizes rebase. With rebase, the circulating token supply adjusts (increases or decreases) automatically or manually according to set parameters. Smart contract owner can withdraw \$LSP20 tokens from the token contract to treasury.

```
function withdrawAllToTreasury() external swapping onlyOwner {
uint256 amountToSwap = _gonBalances[address(this)].div(
```

Smart contract has a low severity issue which may or may not create any functional vulnerability.

```
"severity": 8, (! Low Severity)
```



[&]quot;Expected token Comma got 'Identifier'"

SWC Attacks

SWC ID	Description	Status
SWC-101	Integer Overflow and Underflow	Passed
SWC-102	Outdated Compiler Version	! Informational
SWC-103	Floating Pragma	Passed
SWC-104	Unchecked Call Return Value	Passed
SWC-105	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	Passed
SWC-106	Unprotected SELF-DESTRUCT Instruction	Passed
SWC-107	Re-entrancy	! Low
SWC-108	State Variable Default Visibility	Passed
SWC-109	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	Passed
SWC-110	Assert Violation	Passed
SWC-111	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	Passed
SWC-112	Delegate Call to Untrusted Callee	Passed
SWC-113	DoS with Failed Call	Passed
SWC-114	Transaction Order Dependence	Passed
SWC-115	Authorization through tx.origin	Passed
SWC-116	Block values as a proxy for time	Passed
SWC-117	Signature Malleability	Passed
SWC-118	Incorrect Constructor Name	Passed




SWC-119	Shadowing State Variables	Passed
SWC-120	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Passed
SWC-121	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	Passed
SWC-122	Lack of Proper Signature Verification	Passed
SWC-123	Requirement Violation	Passed
SWC-124	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	Passed
SWC-125	Incorrect Inheritance Order	Passed
SWC-126	Insufficient Gas Griefing	Passed
SWC-127	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	Passed
SWC-128	DoS With Block Gas Limit	Passed
SWC-129	Typographical Error	Passed
SWC-130	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U+202E)	Passed
SWC-131	Presence of unused variables	Passed
SWC-132	Unexpected Ether balance	Passed
SWC-133	Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments	Passed
SWC-134	Message call with the hardcoded gas amount	Passed
SWC-135	Code With No Effects (Irrelevant/Dead Code)	Passed
SWC-136	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	Passed



Risk Status & Radar Chart

Risk Severity	Status
High	No high severity issues identified
Medium	No medium severity issues identified
Low	2 low severity issues identified
Informational	1 informational severity issue identified
Centralization Risk	Active contract ownership identified





Auditor's Verdict

LSP20 team has performed a line-by-line manual analysis and automated review of smart contracts. Smart contracts were analyzed mainly for common contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and manipulation hacks. According to the audit:

- Lisprocoin smart contract source code has LOW RISK SEVERITY * Lisprocoin smart contract has an ACTIVE OWNERSHIP
- Lisprocoin centralization risk correlated to the active owner is MEDIUM

Note for stakeholders

- Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact on smart contract safety and security.
- If the smart contract is not deployed on any blockchain at the time of the audit, the contract can be modified or altered before blockchain development. Verify contract's deployment status in the audit report.
- ❖ Make sure that the project team's KYC/identity is verified by an independent firm.
- Always check if the contract's liquidity is locked. A longer liquidity lock plays an important role in the project's longevity. It is recommended to have multiple liquidity providers. Examine the unlocked token supply in the owner, developer, or team's private wallets. Understand the



project's tokenomics, and make sure the tokens outside of the LP Pair are vested or locked for a longer period.

Important Disclaimer

LSP20 provides contract development, testing, auditing and project evaluation services for blockchain projects. The purpose of the audit is to analyze the on-chain smart contract source code and to provide a basic overview of the project. **This report should not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purpose without InterFi's prior written consent.**

LSP20 provides the easy-to-understand assessment of the project, and the smart contract (otherwise known as the source code). The audit makes no statements or warranties on the security of the code. It also cannot be considered as enough assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bug-free status, or any other statements of the contract. While we have used all the data at our disposal to provide the transparent analysis, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only — we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts. Be aware that smart contracts deployed on a blockchain aren't resistant to external vulnerability, or a hack. Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact on smart contract safety and security. Therefore, LSP20 not guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contract.

The analysis of the security is purely based on the smart contracts alone. No applications or operations were reviewed for security. No product code has been reviewed.

This report should not be considered as an endorsement or disapproval of any project or team.

The information provided in this report does not constitute investment advice, financial advice, trading advice, or any other sort of advice and you should not treat any of the report's content as



such. Do conduct your due diligence and consult your financial advisor before making any investment decisions.

About LSP20

LSP20 Network provides intelligent blockchain solutions. InterFi is developing an ecosystem that is seamless and responsive. Some of our services: Blockchain Security, Token Launchpad, NFT Marketplace, etc. LSP20 mission is to interconnect multiple services like Blockchain Security, DeFi, Gaming, and Marketplace under one ecosystem that is seamless, multi-chain compatible, scalable, secure, fast, responsive, and easy to use.

LSP20 is built by a decentralized team of UI experts, contributors, engineers, and enthusiasts from all over the world. Our team currently consists of 6+ core team members, and 10+ casual contributors.

LSP20 provides manual, static, and automatic smart contract analysis, to ensure that project is checked against known attacks and potential vulnerabilities.



