

# Summary for Introduction to Set Theory

SEUNGWOO HAN

Hrbacek, Karel, and Thomas J. Jech. *Introduction to Set Theory, Revised and Expanded*. 3rd ed., CRC Press, 1999.

# CONTENTS

CHAPTER	SETS	PAGE 2
	1.1 Introduction to Sets	2
	1.2 Properties	2
	1.3 Axioms	2
	1.4 Elementary Operations on Sets	5
CHAPTER	RELATIONS, FUNCTION, AND ORDERING	PAGE 8
	2.1 Ordered Pairs	8
	2.2 Relations	8
	2.3 Functions	12
	2.4 Equivalences and Partitions	16
	2.5 Orderings	18
CHAPTER	NATURAL NUMBERS	PAGE 25
	3.1 Introduction to Natural Numbers	25
	3.2 Properties of Natural Numbers	26
	3.3 The Recursion Theorem	31
	3.4 Arithmetic of Natural Numbers	35
	3.5 Operations and Structures	40

# Chapter 1

## Sets

### 1.1 Introduction to Sets

#### Definition 1.1.1: Set

Every object in the universe of discourse is called a *set*.

### 1.2 Properties

#### Definition 1.2.1: Property

Any mathematical sentence<sup>a</sup> is called a *property*. If  $X, Y, \dots, Z$  are free variables of a property  $Q$ , we write  $Q(X, Y, \dots, Z)$  and say  $Q(X, Y, \dots, Z)$  is a property of  $X, Y, \dots, Z$ .

<sup>a</sup>Refer to mathematical logic textbook for detailed discussion.

### 1.3 Axioms

#### Axiom I The Axiom of Existence

There exists a set which has no elements.

$$\exists A \forall x \neg(x \in A)$$

#### Note:-

The Axiom of Existence guarantees that the universe of discourse is not void.

#### Axiom II The Axiom of Extensionality

If every element of  $X$  is an element of  $Y$  and every element of  $Y$  is an element of  $X$ , then  $X = Y$ .

$$\forall X \forall Y [\forall x (x \in X \iff x \in Y) \implies X = Y]$$

#### Note:-

The Axiom of Extensionality defines the equality relation with the containment relation( $\in$ ).

### Lemma 1.3.1

There exists only one set with no elements.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  are sets such that  $\forall x \neg(x \in A)$  and  $\forall x \neg(x \in B)$ . Then, we have  $\forall x (x \in A \iff x \in B)$ . Therefore, by The Axiom of Extensionality,  $A = B$  is guaranteed.  $\square$

### Definition 1.3.2: Empty Set

The unique set with no elements is called the *empty set* and is denoted  $\emptyset$ .

#### Note:-

Definition 1.3.2 is justified by Lemma 1.3.1.

### Axiom III The Axiom Schema of Comprehension

Let  $P(x)$  be a property of  $x$ . For any set  $A$ , there exists a set  $B$  such that  $x \in B$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $P(x)$ .

$$\forall A \exists B (x \in B \iff x \in A \wedge P(x))$$

#### Note:-

Axiom III is a *axiom schema* since it provides unlimited amount of axioms for varying  $P$ .

### Lemma 1.3.3

Let  $P(x)$  be a property of  $x$ . For any set  $A$ , there uniquely exists a set  $B$  such that  $x \in B$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $P(x)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B'$  be another set such that  $x \in B'$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $P(x)$ . Then, for any  $x$ , we have  $x \in B' \iff x \in A \wedge P(x) \iff x \in B$ . Hence, by The Axiom of Extensionality, we have  $B = B'$ .  $\square$

### Notation 1.3.4: Set-Builder Notation

Let  $P(x)$  be a property of  $x$ . Let  $A$  be a set. The unique set  $B$  such that  $x \in B$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $P(x)$  is denoted  $\{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$ .

#### Note:-

Notation 1.3.4 is justified by Lemma 1.3.3.

### Axiom IV The Axiom of Pair

For any  $A$  and  $B$ , there exists  $C$  such that  $x \in C$  if and only if  $x = A$  or  $x = B$ .

$$\forall A \forall B \exists C (x \in C \iff x = A \vee x = B)$$

#### Note:-

Similarly, the set  $C$  such that  $x \in C \iff x = A \vee x = B$  is unique by The Axiom of Extensionality.

### Notation 1.3.5

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. The unique set  $C$  such that  $x \in C$  if and only if  $x = A$  or  $x = B$  is denoted  $\{A, B\}$ . In particular, if  $A = B$ , we write  $\{A\}$  instead of  $\{A, A\}$ .

### Axiom V The Axiom of Union

For any  $S$ , there exists  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  if and only if  $x \in A$  for some  $A \in S$ .

$$\forall S \exists U (x \in U \iff \exists A x \in A \wedge A \in S)$$

### Definition 1.3.6: The Union of System of Sets

Let  $S$  be a set. The unique set  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  if and only if  $x \in A$  for some  $A \in S$  is denoted  $\bigcup S$ .

### Definition 1.3.7: The Union of Two Sets

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. Then,  $A \cup B$  denotes the unique set  $\bigcup \{A, B\}$ .

### Definition 1.3.8: Subset

Let  $A$  and  $B$  sets.  $B$  is said to be a *subset* of  $A$  if  $\forall x (x \in B \implies x \in A)$ . If  $B$  is a subset of  $A$ , then we write  $B \subseteq A$ .

### Axiom VI The Axiom of Power Set

For any  $S$ , there exists  $P$  such that  $X \in P$  if and only if  $X \subseteq S$ .

#### Note:-

Similarly, the set  $P$  is unique by The Axiom of Extensionality.

### Definition 1.3.9: Power Set

Let  $S$  be a set. The unique set  $P$  such that  $X \in P$  if and only if  $X \subseteq S$  is called the *power set* of  $S$  and is denoted  $\mathcal{P}(S)$ .

### Lemma 1.3.10

Let  $P(x)$  be a property of  $x$ . Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be sets such that  $P(x) \implies x \in A \wedge x \in A'$ . Then,  $\{x \in A \mid P(x)\} = \{x \in A' \mid P(x)\}$ .

**Proof.** For all  $x$ , we have  $x \in A \wedge P(x) \iff P(x) \iff x \in A' \wedge P(x)$ . Therefore, by The Axiom of Extensionality, the result follows.  $\square$

### Notation 1.3.11

Let  $P(x)$  be a property of  $x$ . If there exists a set  $A$  such that  $P(x)$  implies  $x \in A$ , we write  $\{x \mid P(x)\} \triangleq \{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$ , and it is called *the set of all  $x$  with the property  $P(x)$* .

#### Note:-

Notation 1.3.11 is justified by Lemma 1.3.10.

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 1.3.1

The set of all  $x$  such that  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B$  exists.

**Proof.** We have  $x \in A \wedge x \notin B \implies x \in A$ . Hence, the set exists and is equal to  $\{x \in A \mid x \in A \wedge x \notin B\}$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 1.3.2

Prove The Axiom of Existence only from The Axiom Schema of Comprehension and The Weak Axiom of Existence.

Weak Axiom of Existence Some set exists.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a set known to exist. Then, there exists  $B = \{x \in A \mid x \neq x\}$  by The Axiom Schema of Comprehension. Since  $\forall x (x = x)$ ,  $\forall x (x \notin B)$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 1.3.3

- (a) Prove that a set of all sets ( $\{x \mid \top\}$ ) does not exist.
- (b) Prove that  $\forall A \exists x (x \notin A)$ .

**Proof.**

- (a) Suppose  $V = \{x \mid \top\}$  exists. Then, by The Axiom Schema of Comprehension,  $R = \{x \in V \mid x \notin x\}$  exists. However, we have  $R \in R \iff R \notin R$  by definition of  $R$ . Hence,  $V$  does not exist.
- (b) Suppose  $\exists A \forall x (x \in A)$  for the sake of contradiction. Then,  $A$  is the set of all sets, which is impossible by (a).  $\square$

### Exercise 1.3.6

Prove  $\forall X \neg(\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq X)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $Y = \{u \in X \mid u \notin u\}$ . Then, by definition,  $Y \subseteq X$ , and thus  $Y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Now, suppose  $Y \in X$  for the sake of contradiction. Then,  $Y \in Y \iff Y \in X \wedge Y \notin Y \iff Y \notin Y$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $Y \notin X$ .  $\square$

## 1.4 Elementary Operations on Sets

### Definition 1.4.1: Proper Subset

Let  $A$  and  $B$  sets.  $B$  is said to be a *proper subset* of  $A$  if  $B \subseteq A$  and  $B \neq A$ . If  $B$  is a proper subset of  $A$ , we write  $B \subsetneq A$ .

### Definition 1.4.2: Elementary Operations on Sets

- (i) Intersection
  - The *intersection* of  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A \cap B$ , is the set  $\{x \mid x \in A \wedge x \in B\}$ .
- (ii) Union
  - The *union* of  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A \cup B$ , is the set  $\{x \mid x \in A \vee x \in B\}$ .
- (iii) Difference
  - The *difference* of  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A \setminus B$ , is the set  $\{x \mid x \in A \wedge x \notin B\}$ .
- (iv) Symmetric Difference
  - The *symmetric difference* of  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A \Delta B$ , is the set  $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ .

### Lemma 1.4.3 Simple Properties of Elementary Operations

- (i) Commutativity
  - $A \cap B = B \cap A$
  - $A \cup B = B \cup A$
  - $A \Delta B = B \Delta A$
- (ii) Associativity
  - $(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C)$
  - $(A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)$
  - $(A \Delta B) \Delta C = A \Delta (B \Delta C)$
- (iii) Distributivity
  - $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$
  - $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$
- (iv) De Morgan's Laws
  - $C \setminus (A \cap B) = (C \setminus A) \cup (C \setminus B)$
  - $C \setminus (A \cup B) = (C \setminus A) \cap (C \setminus B)$
- (v) Miscellaneous
  - $A \cap (B \setminus C) = (A \cap B) \setminus C$
  - $A \setminus B = \emptyset \iff A \subseteq B$
  - $A \Delta B = \emptyset \iff A = B$

### Definition 1.4.4: Intersection of System of Sets

Let  $S$  be a nonempty set. Then, the *intersection*  $\bigcap S$  is the set  $\{x \mid \forall A \in S (x \in A)\}$ .

#### Note:-

Note that  $\bigcap S$  exists for all nonempty  $S$  since  $\forall A \in S (x \in A) \implies x \in A_1$  where  $A_1$  is any set such that  $A_1 \in S$ .

### Definition 1.4.5: System of Mutually Disjoint Sets

We say the sets  $A$  and  $B$  are *disjoint* if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . A set  $S$  is a *system of mutually disjoint sets* if  $\forall A, B \in S, (A \neq B \implies A \cap B = \emptyset)$ .

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 1.4.2

- (i)  $A \setminus B = (A \cup B) \setminus B = A \setminus (A \cap B)$
- (ii)  $A \setminus (B \setminus C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap C)$
- (iii)  $A \cap B = A \setminus (A \setminus B)$

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad x \in A \wedge x \notin B &\iff x \in A \wedge x \notin B \vee x \in B \wedge x \notin B &> \vee\text{-intro} / \vee\text{-syllogism} \\ &\iff (x \in A \vee x \in B) \wedge x \notin B &> \text{Distribution} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A \wedge x \notin B &\iff x \in A \wedge x \notin A \vee x \in A \wedge x \notin B &> \vee\text{-intro} / \vee\text{-syllogism} \\ &\iff x \in A \wedge (x \notin A \vee x \notin B) &> \text{Distribution} \\ &\iff x \in A \wedge \neg(x \in A \wedge x \in B) &> \text{De Morgan's Law} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad x \in A \wedge \neg(x \in B \wedge x \notin C) &\iff x \in A \wedge (x \notin B \vee x \in C) &> \text{De Morgan's Law} \\ &\iff (x \in A \wedge x \notin B) \vee (x \in A \wedge x \in C) &> \text{Distribution} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(iii)} \quad \text{By (ii), } A \setminus (A \setminus B) = (A \setminus A) \cup (A \cap B) = A \cap B. \quad \square$$

### Exercise 1.4.4

For any set  $A$ , prove that a “complement” of  $A$  ( $\{x \mid x \notin A\}$ ) does not exist.

**Proof.** Let  $B$  be the complement of  $A$  for the sake of contradiction. Then,  $A \cup B$  is the set of all sets, which is impossible by Exercise 1.3.3.  $\square$



# Chapter 2

## Relations, Function, and Ordering

### 2.1 Ordered Pairs

#### Definition 2.1.1: Ordered Pair

$$(a, b) \triangleq \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$$

#### Theorem 2.1.2

$$(a, b) = (a', b') \iff a = a' \wedge b = b'$$

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) is direct.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $a = b$ , we have  $\{\{a\}\} = \{\{a'\}, \{a', b'\}\}$ , and thus  $\{a\} = \{a'\} = \{a', b'\}$ , leaving the only option  $a = a' = b'$ .

If  $a \neq b$ , we must have  $a' \neq b'$  by the argument above. Hence, we have  $\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} = \{\{a'\}, \{a', b'\}\}$ , which implies  $\{a\} = \{a'\}$  and  $\{a, b\} = \{a', b'\}$ .  $\square$

#### Definition 2.1.3: Ordered Triples and Quadruples

- $(a, b, c) = ((a, b), c)$
- $(a, b, c, d) = ((a, b, c), d)$

### Selected Problems

#### Exercise 2.1.1

If  $a, b \in A$ , then  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$ .

**Proof.** If  $a, b \in A$ , then  $\{a\}, \{a, b\} \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ , and thus  $(a, b) = \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ .  $\square$

### 2.2 Relations

#### Definition 2.2.1: Binary Relation

A set  $R$  is a *binary relation* if all elements of  $R$  are ordered pairs.

$$R \text{ is a binary relation} \iff (a \in R \implies \exists x, \exists y, a = (x, y))$$

### Notation 2.2.2

If  $(x, y) \in R$ , we write  $xRy$  and say  $x$  is in relation  $R$  with  $y$ .

### Definition 2.2.3: Domain, Range, and Field of Binary Relation

Let  $R$  be a binary relation.

- $\text{dom}R \triangleq \{x \mid \exists y \, xRy\}$  is called the *domain* of  $R$ .
- $\text{ran}R \triangleq \{y \mid \exists x \, xRy\}$  is called the *range* of  $R$ .
- $\text{field}R \triangleq \text{dom}R \cup \text{ran}R$  is called the *field* of  $R$ .
- If  $\text{field}R \subseteq X$ , we say that  $R$  is a *relation in*  $X$  or that  $R$  is a relation *between* elements of  $X$ .

### Lemma 2.2.4

Let  $R$  be a binary relation. Then,  $\text{dom}R$  and  $\text{ran}R$  exist.

**Proof.** By Exercise 2.2.1, if  $xRy$ , then  $x, y \in A \triangleq \bigcup(\bigcup R)$ . Hence,  $\text{dom}R$  and  $\text{ran}R$  exist.  $\square$

### Definition 2.2.5: Image and Inverse Image

Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $A$  be a set.

- $R[A] \triangleq \{y \in \text{ran}R \mid \exists x \in A, xRy\}$  is called the *image* of  $A$  under  $R$ .
- $R^{-1}[A] \triangleq \{x \in \text{dom}R \mid \exists y \in A, xRy\}$  is called the *inverse image* of  $A$  under  $R$ .

### Notation 2.2.6

We write  $\{(x, y) \mid \mathbf{P}(x, y)\}$  instead of  $\{w \mid \exists x, \exists y, w = (x, y) \wedge \mathbf{P}(x, y)\}$ .

### Definition 2.2.7: Inverse Relation

Let  $R$  be a binary relation. The *inverse* of  $R$  is the set

$$R^{-1} \triangleq \{(x, y) \mid yRx\}.$$

### Definition 2.2.8: Composition

Let  $R$  and  $S$  be binary relations. The relation

$$S \circ R \triangleq \{(x, z) \mid \exists y, xRy \wedge ySz\}$$

is called the *composition* of  $R$  and  $S$ .

### Definition 2.2.9: Membership Relation and Identity Relation

Let  $A$  be a set.

- The *membership relation on  $A$*  is defined by

$$\in_A \triangleq \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in A \wedge a \in b\}.$$

- The *identity relation on  $A$*  is defined by

$$\text{Id}_A \triangleq \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}.$$

### Definition 2.2.10: Cartesian Product

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. The set  $A \times B \triangleq \{(a, b) \mid a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$  is called the *Cartesian product* of  $A$  and  $B$ .

#### Lemma 2.2.11

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets.  $A \times B$  exists.

**Proof.** If  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , by Exercise 2.1.1, we have  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A \cup B))$ . □

#### Corollary 2.2.12

Let  $R$  and  $S$  be binary relations and  $A$  be a set. Then,  $R^{-1}$ ,  $S \circ R$ ,  $\in_A$ , and  $\text{Id}_A$  exist.

**Proof.**

- If  $yRx$ , then  $(x, y) \in (\text{ran } R) \times (\text{dom } R)$ .
- If  $(x, z) \in S \circ R$ , then  $(x, z) \in (\text{dom } R) \times (\text{ran } S)$ .
- If  $a, b \in A$ , then  $(a, b) \in A \times A$ .
- If  $a \in A$ , then  $(a, a) \in A \times A$ . □

#### Lemma 2.2.13

Let  $R$  be a binary relation. The inverse image of  $A$  under  $R$  is equal to the image of  $A$  under  $R^{-1}$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $\text{dom } R = \{x \mid \exists y \, xRy\} = \{x \mid \exists y \, yR^{-1}x\} = \text{ran } R^{-1}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & x \in (\text{the inverse image of } A \text{ under } R) \\ \iff & x \in \text{dom } R \wedge \exists y \in A, \, xRy \\ \iff & x \in \text{ran } R^{-1} \wedge \exists y \in A, \, yR^{-1}x \\ \iff & x \in (\text{the image of } A \text{ under } R^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$
□

#### Note:-

Lemma 2.2.13 resolves the possible ambiguity on the expression  $R^{-1}[A]$ .

#### Notation 2.2.14

We write  $A^2$  instead of  $A \times A$ .

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 2.2.1

Let  $R$  be a binary relation. Let  $A = \bigcup (\bigcup R)$ . Prove that  $(x, y) \in R$  implies  $x \in A$  and  $y \in A$ .

**Proof.** If  $(x, y) = \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\} \in R$ , Then  $\{x, y\} \in \bigcup R$ , and thus  $x, y \in A$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 2.2.3

Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. Prove:

- (i)  $R[A \cup B] = R[A] \cup R[B]$ .
- (ii)  $R[A \cap B] \subseteq R[A] \cap R[B]$ .
- (iii)  $R[A \setminus B] \supseteq R[A] \setminus R[B]$ .
- (iv) Show by an example that  $\subseteq$  and  $\supseteq$  in parts (ii) and (iii) cannot be replaced by  $=$ .
- (v)  $R^{-1}[R[A]] \supseteq A \cap \text{dom } R$  and  $R[R^{-1}[B]] \supseteq B \cap \text{ran } R$ . Give examples where equality does not hold.

**Proof.**

- (i)  $y \in R[A \cup B] \iff \exists x, x \in A \cup B \wedge xRy$   
 $\iff \exists x, (x \in A \wedge xRy) \vee (x \in B \wedge xRy)$   
 $\iff y \in R[A] \vee y \in R[B] \iff y \in R[A] \cup R[B]$
- (ii) Take any  $y \in R[A \cap B]$ . Then, there exists  $x \in A \cap B$  such that  $xRy$ . Hence,  $y \in R[A]$  and  $y \in R[B]$ .
- (iii) Take any  $y \in R[A] \setminus R[B]$ . Then, there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $xRy$ . If  $x \in B$ , it implies that  $y \in R[B]$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $x \in A \setminus B$ . Therefore,  $y \in R[A \setminus B]$ .
- (iv) Let  $a, b$ , and  $c$  be mutually different sets. Let  $R = \{(a, a), (b, a), (c, c)\}$ . Let  $A = \{a, c\}$  and  $B = \{b, c\}$ . Then,  $R[A \cap B] = \{c\} \subsetneq R[A] \cap R[B] = \{a, c\}$ , and  $R[A] \setminus R[B] = \emptyset \subsetneq R[A \setminus B] = \{a\}$ .
- (v) Take any  $a \in A \cap \text{dom } R$ . Then, there exists  $b$  such that  $aRb$ . Moreover,  $b \in R[A]$ . Since  $bR^{-1}a$ , we conclude that  $a \in R^{-1}[R[A]]$ .  
 Take any  $b \in B \cap \text{ran } R$ . Then, there exists  $a$  such that  $aRb$ . Moreover,  $a \in R^{-1}[B]$ . Hence,  $b \in R[R^{-1}[B]]$ .

### Exercise 2.2.4

Let  $R \subseteq X \times Y$ . Prove:

- (i)  $R[X] = \text{ran } R$  and  $R^{-1}[Y] = \text{dom } R$ .
- (ii)  $\text{dom } R = \text{ran } R^{-1}$  and  $\text{ran } R = \text{dom } R^{-1}$ .
- (iii)  $(R^{-1})^{-1} = R$ .
- (iv)  $R^{-1} \circ R \supseteq \text{Id}_{\text{dom } R}$  and  $R \circ R^{-1} \supseteq \text{Id}_{\text{ran } R}$

**Proof.**

- (i) We already have  $R[X] \subseteq \text{ran } R$  by definition. Take any  $y \in \text{ran } R$ . There exists  $x$  such that  $(x, y) \in R$ . Since  $R \subseteq X \times Y$ ,  $x \in X$ . Therefore,  $y \in R[X]$ ;  $\text{ran } R \subseteq R[X]$ . A similar argument goes for  $R^{-1}[Y]$ .
- (ii) See the proof of Lemma 2.2.13.
- (iii) For any relation  $R$  and for all  $x$  and  $y$ , we have  $xRy \iff yR^{-1}x$ . Since  $R^{-1}$  is also a relation, we have  $xRy \iff yR^{-1}x \iff x(R^{-1})^{-1}y$ .
- (iv) Take any  $x \in \text{dom } R$ . Then, there exists  $y$  such that  $xRy$ . Then,  $yR^{-1}x$ , and thus  $x(R^{-1} \circ R)x$ . A similar argument goes for  $R \circ R^{-1}$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 2.2.8

$A \times B = \emptyset$  if and only if  $A = \emptyset$  or  $B = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.**  $(\Rightarrow)$  If  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $(a, b) \in A \times B$  where  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , and thus  $A \times B \neq \emptyset$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  If  $A \times B \neq \emptyset$ , then  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  where  $(a, b) \in A \times B$ .  $\square$

## 2.3 Functions

### Definition 2.3.1: Function

A binary relation  $F$  is called a *function* (or *mapping*) if

$$\forall a \forall b_1 \forall b_2 (aFb_1 \wedge aFb_2 \implies b_1 = b_2).$$

For each  $a \in \text{dom } F$ , the unique  $b$  such that  $aFb$  is called the *value of  $F$  at  $a$*  and is denoted  $F(a)$  or  $F_a$ .

### Notation 2.3.2

If  $F$  is a function with  $\text{dom } F = A$  and  $\text{ran } F \subseteq B$ , we write  $F: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $\langle F(a) \mid a \in A \rangle$ ,  $\langle F_a \mid a \in A \rangle$ ,  $\langle F_a \rangle_{a \in A}$  for the function  $F$ . The range of the function  $F$  can then be denoted  $\{F(a) \mid a \in A\}$  or  $\{F_a\}_{a \in A}$ .

### Lemma 2.3.3

Let  $F$  and  $G$  be functions.  $F = G \iff \text{dom } F = \text{dom } G \wedge \forall x \in \text{dom } F, F(x) = G(x)$ .

**Proof.**  $(\Rightarrow)$  is direct.

$(\Leftarrow)$  Take any  $(x, F(x)) \in F$ . Then, we have  $(x, F(x)) = (x, G(x)) \in G$ . Therefore,  $F \subseteq G$ . Similarly,  $G \subseteq F$ , and thus  $F = G$ .  $\square$

### Definition 2.3.4

Let  $F$  be a function and  $A$  and  $B$  be sets.

- $F$  is a function *on*  $A$  if  $\text{dom } F = A$ .
- $F$  is a function *into*  $B$  if  $\text{ran } F \subseteq B$ .
- $F$  is a function *onto*  $B$  if  $\text{ran } F = B$ .
- The *restriction* of the function  $F$  to  $A$  is the function  $F|_A \triangleq \{(a, b) \in F \mid a \in A\}$ . If  $G$  is a restriction of  $F$  to some  $A$ , we say that  $F$  is an *extension* of  $G$ .

### Theorem 2.3.5

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions.

- $g \circ f$  is a function.
- $\text{dom}(g \circ f) = (\text{dom } f) \cap f^{-1}[\text{dom } g]$ .
- $\forall x \in \text{dom}(g \circ f), (g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ .

**Proof.**

- (i) Suppose  $x(g \circ f)z_1$  and  $x(g \circ f)z_2$ . There exists  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  such that  $xfy_1$ ,  $y_1gz_1$ ,  $xfy_2$ , and  $y_2gz_2$ . Since  $f$  and  $g$  are functions, we have  $y_1 = y_2$  and  $z_1 = z_2$ . Therefore,  $g \circ f$  is a function.
- (ii)  $x \in \text{dom}(g \circ f) \iff \exists z x(g \circ f)z$   
 $\iff \exists z \exists y xfy \wedge ygz$   
 $\iff x \in \text{dom } f \wedge f(x) \in \text{dom } g \iff x \in \text{dom } f \wedge x \in f^{-1}[\text{dom } g] \quad \square$

### Definition 2.3.6: Invertible Function

A function  $f$  is said to be *invertible* if  $f^{-1}$  is a function.

### Definition 2.3.7: Injective Function

A function  $f$  is said to be *injective* (or *one-to-one*) if

$$\forall a_1, a_2 \in \text{dom } f, (f(a_1) = f(a_2) \implies a_1 = a_2).$$

### Notation 2.3.8

Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a function.

- If  $f$  is a function *onto*  $B$ , we may write  $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ .
- If  $f$  is one-to-one, we may write  $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ .
- If  $f$  is one-to-one and onto  $B$ , we may write  $f : A \leftrightarrow B$ .

### Theorem 2.3.9

Let  $f$  be a function.

- $f$  is invertible if and only if  $f$  is one-to-one.
- If  $f$  is invertible, then  $f^{-1}$  is also invertible and  $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$ .

**Proof.**

- $(\implies)$  Suppose  $f^{-1}$  is a function. Then,  $f^{-1}(f(a)) = a$  for all  $a \in \text{dom } f$ . Hence, for all  $a_1, a_2 \in \text{dom } f$  such that  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ , it follows that  $a_1 = f^{-1}(f(a_1)) = f^{-1}(f(a_2)) = a_2$ ;  $f$  is one-to-one.  
 $(\impliedby)$  Suppose  $f$  is one-to-one. If  $yf^{-1}x_1$  and  $yf^{-1}x_2$ , then  $x_1fy$  and  $x_2fy$ , i.e.,  $y = f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Therefore,  $x_1 = x_2$ ;  $f^{-1}$  is a function.
- As  $f$  is a relation, by Exercise 2.2.4 (iii),  $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$ , and thus  $f^{-1}$  is invertible.  $\square$

### Definition 2.3.10: Compatible Functions

- Functions  $f$  and  $g$  are called *compatible* if  $\forall x \in (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g), f(x) = g(x)$ .
- A set of functions  $F$  is called a *compatible system of functions* if any two functions  $f$  and  $g$  from  $F$  are compatible.

### Lemma 2.3.11

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions.

- $f$  and  $g$  are compatible if and only if  $f \cup g$  is a function.
- $f$  and  $g$  are compatible if and only if  $f|_{(\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)} = g|_{(\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)}$ .

**Proof.**

- (i)  $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose  $x(f \cup g)y_1$  and  $x(f \cup g)y_2$ . WLOG,  $(x, y_1) \in f$ . If  $(x, y_2) \in f$ , since  $f$  is a function,  $y_1 = y_2$ . If  $(x, y_2) \in g$ , since  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible,  $y_1 = f(x) = g(x) = y_2$ . Therefore,  $f \cup g$  is a function.
- $(\Leftarrow)$  Take any  $x \in (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$ .  $(x, f(x)) \in f \cup g$  and  $(x, g(x)) \in f \cup g$ . Since  $f \cup g$  is a function, we have  $f(x) = g(x)$ .
- (ii) Let  $A = (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$ .
- $(\Rightarrow)$  By definition,  $\text{dom } f|_A = \text{dom } g|_A = (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$ . Moreover, for all  $x \in (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$ ,  $f|_A(x) = f(x) = g(x) = g|_A(x)$ . Hence, the result follows by Lemma 2.3.3.
- $(\Leftarrow)$  Take any  $x \in A$ . Then,  $f(x) = f|_A(x) = g|_A(x) = g(x)$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 2.3.12

If  $F$  is a compatible system of functions, then  $\bigcup F$  is a function with  $\text{dom } \bigcup F = \bigcup \{\text{dom } f \mid f \in F\}$ . The function  $\bigcup F$  extends all  $f \in F$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $\bigcup F$  is already a relation. If  $(a, b_1), (a, b_2) \in \bigcup F$ , then there exist  $f_1, f_2 \in F$  such that  $(a, b_1) \in f_1$  and  $(a, b_2) \in f_2$ . Since  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are compatible and  $a \in (\text{dom } f_1) \cap (\text{dom } f_2)$ , we have  $b_1 = f_1(a) = f_2(a) = b_2$ . Hence,  $\bigcup F$  is a function.

$\text{dom } \bigcup F = \bigcup \{\text{dom } f \mid f \in F\}$  since

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \text{dom } \bigcup F &\iff \exists y, (x, y) \in \bigcup F \\ &\iff \exists y, \exists f \in F, (x, y) \in f \\ &\iff \exists f \in F, x \in \text{dom } f \iff x \in \bigcup \{\text{dom } f \mid f \in F\}. \end{aligned}$$

Take any  $f \in F$ . As  $f \cup \bigcup F = \bigcup F$ ,  $f$  and  $\bigcup F$  are compatible by Lemma 2.3.11 (i). Moreover,  $\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } \bigcup F = \text{dom } f$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.3.11 (ii),  $f = f|_{\text{dom } f} = (\bigcup F)|_{\text{dom } f}$ ;  $\bigcup F$  extends each  $f \in F$ .  $\square$

### Definition 2.3.13

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. Then, we define

$$B^A \triangleq \{f \mid f \text{ is a function on } A \text{ into } B\}.$$

### Definition 2.3.14: Indexed System of Sets

- Let  $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$  be a function with domain  $I$ . We call the function  $S$  an *indexed system of sets* whenever we stress that the values of  $S$  are sets.
- We say that a system of sets  $A$  is *indexed* by  $S$  if  $A = \{S_i \mid i \in I\} = \text{ran } S$ .

### Notation 2.3.15

If  $A$  is indexed by  $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ , we may write

$$\bigcup \{S_i \mid i \in I\} \quad \text{or} \quad \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$$

instead of  $\bigcup A$ . Similarly, we may write  $\bigcap \{S_i \mid i \in I\}$  or  $\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$  instead of  $\bigcap A$ .

**Definition 2.3.16: Product of Indexed System of Sets**

Let  $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$  be an indexed system of sets. We call the set

$$\prod S \triangleq \{f \mid f \text{ is a function on } I \text{ and } \forall i \in I, f_i \in S_i\}$$

the *product* of the indexed system  $S$ .

**Notation 2.3.17**

Other notations for the product of the indexed system  $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$  are:

$$\prod \langle S(i) \mid i \in I \rangle, \quad \prod_{i \in I} S(i), \quad \prod_{i \in I} S_i.$$

**Note:-**

The existence of  $B^A$  and  $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$  is proved in Exercise 2.3.9.

**Note:-**

If  $A = S_i$  for all  $i \in I$ ,  $\prod_{i \in I} S_i = A^I$ .

**Selected Problems****Exercise 2.3.4**

Let  $f$  be a function. If there exists a function  $g$  such that  $g \circ f = \text{Id}_{\text{dom } f}$ , then  $f$  is invertible and  $f^{-1} = g|_{\text{ran } f}$ .

**Proof.** For  $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom } f$ , suppose  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Then,  $x_1 = (g \circ f)(x_1) = g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2)) = (g \circ f)(x_2) = x_2$ . Hence,  $f$  is one-to-one and is invertible by Theorem 2.3.9.

Take any  $(y, x) \in f^{-1}$ . Then, as  $x \in \text{dom } f$ , we must have  $(y, x) \in \text{Id}_{\text{dom } f}$ . Hence,  $f^{-1} \subseteq g|_{\text{ran } f}$ . Now, take any  $(y, x) \in g|_{\text{ran } f}$ . Since  $y \in \text{ran } f$ , there exists  $x' \in \text{dom } f$  such that  $(x', y) \in f$ . Since  $g \circ f = \text{Id}_{\text{dom } f}$ , we have  $x = x'$ . Therefore,  $(y, x) \in f^{-1}$ ;  $g|_{\text{ran } f} \subseteq f^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.3.6**

Let  $f$  be a function.

- (i)  $f^{-1}[A \cap B] = f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B]$
- (ii)  $f^{-1}[A \setminus B] = f^{-1}[A] \setminus f^{-1}[B]$

**Proof.** Thanks to Exercise 2.2.3 (ii) and (iii), we only need to prove the other inclusions.

- (i) Take any  $x \in f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B]$ . Then, there exists  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  such that  $xf a$  and  $xf b$ . Since  $f$  is a function,  $a = b$ , and thus  $x \in f^{-1}[A \cap B]$ .
- (ii) Take any  $x \in f^{-1}[A \setminus B]$ . Then,  $f(x) \in A \setminus B$ . If  $x \in f^{-1}[B]$ , we would have  $f(x) \in B$ ; thus  $x \notin f^{-1}[B]$ . Therefore,  $x \in f^{-1}[A] \setminus f^{-1}[B]$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.3.8**

Every system of sets  $A$  can be indexed by a function.



**Proof.** Let  $S$  be the function  $\text{Id}_A$  so  $S_i = i$  for all  $i \in A$ . Then,  $A = \{S_i \mid i \in A\}$ ;  $A$  is indexed by  $S$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 2.3.9

- (i) Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. Prove that  $B^A$  exists.
- (ii) Let  $\langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$  be an indexed system of sets. Prove that  $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$  exists.

**Proof.**

- (i) If  $f$  is a function from  $A$  into  $B$ , then  $f \subseteq A \times B$ , i.e.,  $f \in \mathcal{P}(A \times B)$ .
- (ii) If  $f$  is a function on  $I$  and  $f_i \in S_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $f$  is a function onto  $\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$ . Hence,  $f \in (\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i)^I$ .  $\square$

## 2.4 Equivalences and Partitions

### Definition 2.4.1: Equivalence

Let  $R$  be a binary relation in  $A$ .

- $R$  is called *reflexive* in  $A$  if  $\forall a \in A, aRa$ .
- $R$  is called *symmetric* in  $A$  if  $\forall a, b \in A, (aRb \implies bRa)$ .
- $R$  is called *transitive* in  $A$  if  $\forall a, b, c \in A, (aRb \wedge bRc \implies aRc)$ .
- $R$  is called an *equivalence* on  $A$  if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive in  $A$ .

### Definition 2.4.2: Equivalence Class

Let  $E$  be an equivalence on  $A$  and let  $a \in A$ . The *equivalence class of  $a$  modulo  $E$*  is the set

$$[a]_E \triangleq \{x \in A \mid xEa\}.$$

### Lemma 2.4.3

Let  $E$  be an equivalence on  $A$  and let  $a, b \in A$ .

- (i)  $aEb \iff [a]_E = [b]_E$
- (ii)  $\neg(aEb) \iff [a]_E \cap [b]_E = \emptyset$

**Proof.**

- (i)  $(\implies)$  Suppose  $aEb$ . Take any  $c \in [a]_E$ . Then,  $cEa$  and  $aEb$ , and thus  $cEb$  by transitivity. Hence,  $c \in [b]_E$ ;  $[a]_E \subseteq [b]_E$ .  $[b]_E \subseteq [a]_E$  can be shown similarly since  $bEa$  holds as  $E$  is symmetric.
- $(\impliedby)$  Suppose  $[a]_E = [b]_E$ . Since  $aEa$  by reflexivity, we have  $a \in [a]_E = [b]_E$ . Therefore,  $aEb$ .
- (ii)  $(\implies)$  Suppose  $[a]_E \cap [b]_E \neq \emptyset$ . Then, there exists  $c \in [a]_E \cap [b]_E$ , i.e.,  $cEa$  and  $cEb$ . Then, as  $E$  is symmetric, we have  $aEc$ , and therefore  $aEb$  by transitivity.
- $(\impliedby)$  Suppose  $aEb$ . Then, since  $aEa$  by reflexivity, we have  $a \in [a]_E$ . We can see  $a \in [b]_E$  from (i). Hence,  $[a]_E \cap [b]_E \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.4.4: Partition**

A system  $S$  of nonempty sets is called a *partition* of  $A$  if

- (i)  $S$  is a system of mutually disjoint sets (Definition 1.4.5) and
- (ii)  $\bigcup S = A$ .

**Definition 2.4.5: System of All Equivalence Classes**

Let  $E$  be an equivalence on  $A$ . The *system of all equivalence classes* modulo  $E$  is the set

$$A/E \triangleq \{[a]_E \mid a \in A\}.$$

**Theorem 2.4.6**

Let  $E$  be an equivalence on  $A$ . Then,  $A/E$  is a partition of  $A$ .

**Proof.** If  $[a]_E \neq [b]_E$ , then by Lemma 2.4.3, we have  $[a]_E \cap [b]_E = \emptyset$ . Since  $E$  is reflexive,  $a \in [a]_E$ ; each  $[a]_E$  is nonempty. Therefore,  $A/E$  is a system of mutually disjoint nonempty sets.

Take any  $a \in A$ . Since  $E$  is reflexive,  $a \in [a]_E \subseteq \bigcup A/E$ . Therefore,  $A \subseteq \bigcup A/E$ . Conversely, since  $[a]_E \subseteq A$ , we have  $\bigcup A/E \subseteq A$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.4.7**

Let  $S$  be a partition of  $A$ . The relation  $E_S$  in  $A$  is defined by

$$E_S \triangleq \{(a, b) \in A \times A \mid \exists C \in S, a \in C \wedge b \in C\}.$$

**Theorem 2.4.8**

Let  $S$  be a partition of  $A$ . Then,  $E_S$  is an equivalence on  $A$ .

**Proof.**

- Take any  $a \in A$ . As  $A = \bigcup S$ , there exists  $C \in S$  such that  $a \in C$ . Therefore,  $aE_S a$ .  $E_S$  is reflexive.
- Assume  $aE_S b$ . Then, there exists  $C \in S$  such that  $a, b \in C$ . Hence,  $bE_S a$ .  $E_S$  is symmetric.
- Assume  $aE_S b$  and  $bE_S c$ . Then, there exist  $C, D \in S$  such that  $a, b \in C$  and  $b, c \in D$ . Then,  $C \cap D \neq \emptyset$  as  $b$  belongs to both sets. Hence,  $C = D$ , which implies  $aE_S c$ .  $E_S$  is transitive.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.9**

- (i) If  $E$  is an equivalence on  $A$  and  $S = A/E$ , then  $E_S = E$ .
- (ii) If  $S$  is a partition of  $A$ , then  $A/E_S = S$ .

**Proof.**

- (i)  $aE_S b \xLeftrightarrow{\text{Definition 2.4.7}} \exists C \in S, a \in C \wedge b \in C \xLeftrightarrow{\text{Lemma 2.4.3}} \exists c \in A, a \in [c]_E \wedge b \in [c]_E \xLeftrightarrow{\text{Lemma 2.4.3}} aEb$ .
- (ii) Take any  $[a]_{E_S} \in A/E_S$ . Since  $S$  is a partition, there (uniquely) exists  $C$  such that  $a \in C$ . Then, for all  $b$ , we have  $b \in C \xLeftrightarrow{\text{Lemma 2.4.3}} aE_S b \xLeftrightarrow{\text{Lemma 2.4.3}} b \in [a]_{E_S}$ ;  $C = [a]_{E_S}$ . Therefore,  $A/E_S \subseteq S$ .

For the converse, take any  $C \in S$ . As  $C$  is nonempty, we may take some  $a \in C$ . Similarly, we have  $C = [a]_{E_S}$ . Therefore,  $C \subseteq A/E_S$ .  $\square$

**Note:-**

Theorem 2.4.9 essentially states that equivalence and partition describe the same “mathematical reality.”

**Definition 2.4.10: Set of Representatives**

A set  $X \subseteq A$  is called a *set of representatives* for the equivalence  $E_S$  (or for the partition  $S$  of  $A$ ) if

$$\forall C \in S, \exists a \in C, X \cap C = \{a\}.$$

## Selected Problems

**Exercise 2.4.2**

Let  $f$  be a function on  $A$  onto  $B$ . Define a relation  $E$  in  $A$  by:  $aEb$  if and only if  $f(a) = f(b)$ .

- (i) Show that  $E$  is an equivalence on  $A$ .
- (ii) Show that  $[a]_E = [a']_E$  implies that  $f(a) = f(a')$  so that the function  $\varphi$  on  $A/E$  into  $B$  defined by  $\varphi([a]_E) = f(a)$  is well-defined. Show also that  $\varphi$  is onto  $B$ .
- (iii) Let  $j$  be the function on  $A$  onto  $A/E$  given by  $j(a) = [a]_E$ . Show that  $\varphi \circ j = f$ .

**Proof.**

- (i)  $E$  can readily be shown to be reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.
- (ii) Assume  $[a]_E = [a']_E$ . Then,  $f(a) = f(a')$  by definition of  $E$ . Hence,  $\varphi$  is well-defined. Take any  $b \in B$ . Since  $f$  is onto, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = b$ . Hence,  $\varphi([a]_E) = f(a) = b$ ;  $\varphi$  is onto  $B$ .
- (iii)  $\text{dom}(\varphi \circ j) = (\text{dom } j) \cap j^{-1}[\text{dom } \varphi] = A = \text{dom } f$  since  $j$  is onto. For all  $a \in A$ ,  $(\varphi \circ j)(a) = \varphi([a]_E) = f(a)$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.3.3,  $\varphi \circ j = f$ .  $\square$

## 2.5 Orderings

**Definition 2.5.1: Partial Ordering and Strict Ordering**

Let  $R$  be a binary relation in  $A$ .

- $R$  is called *antisymmetric* in  $A$  if  $\forall a, b \in A, (aRb \wedge bRa \implies a = b)$ .
- $R$  is called *asymmetric* in  $A$  if  $\forall a, b \in A, \neg(aRb \wedge bRa)$ .
- $R$  is called a *(partial) ordering* of  $A$  if it is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive in  $A$ .
- $R$  is called a *strict ordering* of  $A$  if it is asymmetric and transitive in  $A$ .
- If  $R$  is a partial ordering of  $A$ , then the pair  $(A, R)$  is called an *ordered set*.

**Example 2.5.2**

- Define the relation  $\subseteq_A$  in  $A$  as follows:  $x \subseteq_A y$  if and only if  $x, y \in A \wedge x \subseteq y$ . Then,  $(A, \subseteq_A)$  is an ordered set.
- The relation  $\text{Id}_A$  is a partial ordering of  $A$ .

**Theorem 2.5.3**

- (i) Let  $R$  be a partial ordering of  $A$ . Then the relation  $S$  in  $A$  defined by

$$S \triangleq R \setminus \text{Id}_A$$

is a strict ordering.

- (ii) Let  $S$  be a strict ordering of  $A$ . Then the relation  $R$  in  $A$  defined by

$$R \triangleq S \cup \text{Id}_A$$

is a partial ordering.

**Proof.**

- (i) Suppose  $aSb$  and  $bSa$ . Since  $S \subseteq R$ , we have  $aRb$  and  $bRa$ . As  $R$  is antisymmetric, we have  $aRa$ , which is impossible since  $S \cap \text{Id}_S = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $S$  is asymmetric in  $A$ .  
Now, assuming  $aSb$  and  $bSc$ , we also have  $aRc$  since  $R$  is transitive. Moreover,  $a$  cannot be equal to  $c$  since  $S$  is shown to be asymmetric. Therefore,  $aSc$ ;  $S$  is transitive in  $A$ .
- (ii) Assume  $aRb$  and  $bRa$ . If  $a \neq b$ , then we have  $aSb$  and  $bSa$ , which is impossible. Therefore,  $a = b$ ;  $R$  is antisymmetric.  
Assume  $aRb$  and  $bRc$ . If  $a = b$  or  $b = c$ , then we immediately have  $aRc$ . If  $a \neq b$  and  $b \neq c$ , then  $aSb$  and  $bSc$ , and thus  $aSc$  as  $S$  is transitive in  $A$ ;  $R$  is transitive in  $A$ ).  
 $R$  is reflexive in  $A$  since  $\text{Id}_A \subseteq R$ . □

**Notation 2.5.4**

- If  $R$  is a partial ordering, we say  $S = R \setminus \text{Id}_A$  corresponds to the partial ordering  $R$ .
- If  $S$  is a strict ordering, we say  $R = S \cup \text{Id}_A$  corresponds to the strict ordering  $S$ .

**Definition 2.5.5: Comparability**

Let  $a, b \in A$  and let  $\leq$  be a partial ordering of  $A$ .

- We say that  $a$  and  $b$  are *comparable* in the ordering  $\leq$  if  $a \leq b$  or  $b \leq a$ .
  - We say that  $a$  and  $b$  are *incomparable* in the ordering  $\leq$  if neither  $a \leq b$  nor  $b \leq a$ .
- They can be stated equivalently in terms of the corresponding strict ordering  $<$ .
- We say that  $a$  and  $b$  are *comparable* in the ordering  $<$  if  $a = b$  or  $a < b$  or  $b < a$ .
  - We say that  $a$  and  $b$  are *incomparable* in the ordering  $<$  if none of  $a = b$ ,  $a < b$ , and  $b < a$  holds.

**Definition 2.5.6: Total Ordering**

An ordering  $\leq$  (or  $<$ ) is called *linear* or *total* if any two elements of  $A$  are comparable. The pair  $(A, \leq)$  is then called a *linearly ordered set*.

**Definition 2.5.7: Chain**

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .  $B$  is a *chain* in  $A$  if any two elements of  $B$  are comparable.

### Definition 2.5.8: Least/Minimal/Greatest/Maximal Element

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .

- $b \in B$  is the *least element* of  $B$  in the ordering  $\leq$  if  $\forall x \in B, b \leq x$ .
- $b \in B$  is a *minimal element* of  $B$  in the ordering  $\leq$  if  $\forall x \in B, (x \leq b \implies x = b)$ .
- $b \in B$  is the *greatest element* of  $B$  in the ordering  $\leq$  if  $\forall x \in B, x \leq b$ .
- $b \in B$  is a *maximal element* of  $B$  in the ordering  $\leq$  if  $\forall x \in B, (b \leq x \implies x = b)$ .

### Notation 2.5.9

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .

- The least element of  $B$  is denoted  $\min B$ .
- The greatest element of  $B$  is denoted  $\max B$ .

### Theorem 2.5.10

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .

- $B$  has at most one least element.
- The least element of  $B$ —if it exists—is also minimal.
- If  $B$  is a chain, then every minimal element of  $B$  is also least.

**Proof.**

- If  $b$  and  $b'$  are least elements of  $B$ , then  $b \leq b'$  and  $b' \leq b$  by the definition. As  $\leq$  is antisymmetric, we have  $b = b'$ .
- Let  $b$  be the least element of  $B$  (assuming its existence). Take any  $x \in B$  and assume  $x \leq b$ . Then, as  $b$  is the least, we have  $b \leq x$ . As  $\leq$  is antisymmetric,  $x = b$ ;  $b$  is minimal.
- Let  $b$  be a minimal element of  $B$ . Take any  $x \in B$ . Since  $b$  and  $x$  are comparable, it is  $x \leq b$  or  $b \leq x$ . If  $x \leq b$ , then  $x = b$  as  $b$  is minimal. Therefore,  $b$  is the least.  $\square$

### Note:-

Theorem 2.5.10 still holds when ‘least’ and ‘minimal’ are replaced by ‘greatest’ and ‘maximal’, respectively.

### Definition 2.5.11: Lower/Upper Bound and Infimum/Supremum

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .

- $a \in A$  is a *lower bound* of  $B$  in the ordered set  $(A, \leq)$  if  $\forall x \in B, a \leq x$ .
- $a \in A$  is called an *infimum* (or *greatest lower bound*) of  $B$  in the ordered set  $(A, \leq)$  if  $a = \max\{x \in A \mid x \text{ is a lower bound of } B\}$ .
- $a \in A$  is an *upper bound* of  $B$  in the ordered set  $(A, \leq)$  if  $\forall x \in B, x \leq a$ .
- $a \in A$  is called an *supremum* (or *least upper bound*) of  $B$  in the ordered set  $(A, \leq)$  if  $a = \min\{x \in A \mid x \text{ is an upper bound of } B\}$ .

### Notation 2.5.12

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .

- The infimum of  $B$  is denoted  $\inf B$ .
- The supremum of  $B$  is denoted  $\sup B$ .

### Theorem 2.5.13

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .

- (i)  $B$  has at most one infimum.
- (ii) If  $b$  is the least element of  $B$ , then  $b$  is the infimum of  $B$ .
- (iii) If  $b \in B$  is the infimum of  $B$ , then  $b$  is the least element of  $B$ .

**Proof.**

- (i) The result follows from the definition and Theorem 2.5.10 (i).
- (ii)  $b$  is a lower bound of  $B$ . If  $x$  is a lower bound of  $B$ , since  $b \in B$ , we must have  $x \leq b$ . Therefore,  $b$  is the greatest lower bound.
- (iii)  $b \in B$  is a lower bound of  $B$ , and thus  $b$  is the least element. □

#### Note:-

Theorem 2.5.13 still holds when ‘least’ and ‘infimum’ are replaced by ‘greatest’ and ‘supremum’, respectively.

### Definition 2.5.14: Isomorphism Between Ordered Sets

An *isomorphism* between two ordered sets  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \preceq)$  is a function  $f : P \hookrightarrow Q$  such that

$$\forall p_1, p_2 \in P, (p_1 \leq p_2 \iff f(p_1) \preceq f(p_2)).$$

If an isomorphism exists between  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \preceq)$ , then we say  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \preceq)$  are *isomorphic*. This is justified by Exercise 2.5.13.

### Lemma 2.5.15

Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a linearly ordered set and let  $(Q, \preceq)$  be an ordered set. Let  $h : P \hookrightarrow Q$  be a function such that

$$\forall p_1, p_2 \in P, (p_1 \leq p_2 \implies h(p_1) \preceq h(p_2)).$$

Then,  $h$  is an isomorphism between  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \preceq)$ , and  $(Q, \preceq)$  is linearly ordered.

**Proof.** Take any  $p_1, p_2 \in P$  and assume  $h(p_1) \preceq h(p_2)$ . Suppose  $p_2 < p_1$  for the sake of contradiction. Then, since  $h$  is injective,  $h(p_1) \neq h(p_2)$ , and thus  $h(p_1) \prec h(p_2)$ . Then, we have  $\neg(p_2 \leq p_1)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\neg(p_2 < p_1)$ . Therefore,  $p_1 \leq p_2$  since  $(P, \leq)$  is linearly ordered.

Take any  $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ . Then, since  $h$  is onto  $Q$ , there exist  $p_1, p_2 \in P$  such that  $q_1 = h(p_1)$  and  $q_2 = h(p_2)$ . Since  $P$  is linearly ordered, it is  $p_1 \leq p_2$  or  $p_2 \leq p_1$ . In either case, we have  $q_1 \preceq q_2$  or  $p_2 \preceq q_1$ . Therefore,  $(Q, \preceq)$  is linearly ordered. □

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 2.5.1

- (i) Let  $R$  be a partial ordering of  $A$  and let  $S$  be the strict ordering of  $A$  corresponding to  $R$ . Let  $R^*$  be the partial ordering of  $A$  corresponding to  $S$ . Show that  $R^* = R$ .
- (ii) Let  $S$  be a strict ordering of  $A$  and let  $R$  be the partial ordering of  $A$  corresponding to  $S$ . Let  $S^*$  be the partial ordering of  $A$  corresponding to  $R$ . Show that  $S^* = S$ .

**Proof.**

- (i)  $R^* = S \cup \text{Id}_A = (R \setminus \text{Id}_A) \cup \text{Id}_A = R$  since  $\text{Id}_A \subseteq R$ .
- (ii)  $S^* = R \setminus \text{Id}_A = (S \cup \text{Id}_A) \setminus \text{Id}_A = S$  since  $\text{Id}_A \cap S = \emptyset$ .

□

### Exercise 2.5.6

Let  $(A_1, <_1)$  and  $(A_2, <_2)$  be strictly ordered sets and let  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ . Define a relation  $<$  on  $B \triangleq A_1 \cup A_2$  as follows:

$$x < y \iff (x <_1 y) \vee (x <_2 y) \vee (x \in A_1 \wedge y \in A_2).$$

Show that  $<$  is a strict ordering of  $B$  and  $< \cap A_1^2 = <_1$ ,  $< \cap A_2^2 = <_2$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $< = <_1 \cup <_2 \cup A_1 \times A_2$ .

Suppose  $x < y$  and  $y < x$ . By definition,  $x, y \in A_1$  or  $x, y \in A_2$ . In both cases, we have  $(x <_1 y \text{ and } y <_1 x)$  or  $(x <_2 y \text{ and } y <_2 x)$ , which are impossible as  $<_1$  and  $<_2$  are asymmetric. Hence,  $<$  is asymmetric. Transitivity of  $<$  can be shown easily.

Since  $<_1 \cap A_2^2 = <_2 \cap A_1^2 = (A_1 \times A_2) \cap A_1^2 = (A_1 \times A_2) \cap A_2^2 = \emptyset$ , we get  $< \cap A_1^2 = <_1$  and  $< \cap A_2^2 = <_2$ . □

### Exercise 2.5.7

Let  $R$  be a reflexive and transitive relation in  $A$  ( $R$  is called a *preordering* of  $A$ ). Define a relation  $E$  in  $A$  by

$$aEb \iff aRb \wedge bRa.$$

Show that  $E$  is an equivalence on  $A$ . Define the relation  $R/E$  in  $A/E$  by

$$[a]_E R/E [b]_E \iff aRb.$$

Show that  $R/E$  is well-defined and that  $R/E$  is a partial ordering of  $A/E$ .

**Proof.** Since  $aEa \equiv aRa$  and  $R$  is reflexive,  $E$  is reflexive as well. Since  $aEb \equiv bEa$ ,  $E$  is symmetric. Since  $aEb \wedge bEc \iff (aRb \wedge bRc) \wedge (cRb \wedge bRa) \implies aRc \wedge cRa \iff aEc$ ,  $E$  is transitive. ✓

Assume  $[a]_E = [a']_E$  and  $[b]_E = [b']_E$ . Then, we have  $aEa'$  and  $bEb'$  by Lemma 2.4.3, i.e.,  $aRa'$ ,  $a'Ra$ ,  $bRb'$ , and  $b'Rb$ . By transitivity of  $R$ , it follows that  $aRb \iff a'Rb'$ . Therefore,  $R/E$  is well-defined. ✓

It can be shown readily that  $R/E$  is reflexive and transitive. To prove  $R/E$  is anti-symmetric, assume  $[a]_E R/E [b]_E$  and  $[b]_E R/E [a]_E$ . Then,  $aRb$  and  $bRa$ , which means  $aEb$ . Therefore,  $[a]_E = [b]_E$  by Lemma 2.4.3. ✓ □

### Exercise 2.5.8

Let  $A = \mathcal{P}(X)$  where  $X$  is a set.

- (i) Any  $S \subseteq A$  has a supremum in the ordering  $\subseteq_A$ ;  $\sup S = \bigcup S$ .
- (ii) Any  $S \subseteq A$  has an infimum in the ordering  $\subseteq_A$ ;  $\inf S = \begin{cases} \bigcap S & \text{if } S \neq \emptyset \\ X & \text{if } S = \emptyset \end{cases}$ .

**Proof.**

- (i) As  $C \subseteq_A \bigcup S$  for all  $C \in S$ ,  $\bigcup S$  is an upper bound of  $S$ . Let  $U$  be any upper bound of  $S$ . Take any  $x \in \bigcup S$ . Then, there exists  $C \in S$  such that  $x \in C$ . Since  $C \subseteq_A U$ , we have  $x \in U$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup S \subseteq U$ ;  $\bigcup S$  is the least upper bound of  $S$ .
- (ii) If  $S = \emptyset$ , then any  $C \in A$  is a lower bound of  $S$ . Since  $\bigcup A = X$ —by (i), the supremum of the set of lower bounds of  $S$ —is a lower bound of  $S$ ,  $X$  is the infimum of  $S = \emptyset$ . ✓  
 If  $S \neq \emptyset$ , as  $\bigcap S \subseteq C$  for all  $C \in S$ ,  $\bigcap S$  is a lower bound of  $S$ . Let  $L$  be any lower bound of  $S$ . Take any  $x \in L$ . Then,  $\forall C \in S$ ,  $x \in C$ , i.e.,  $x \in \bigcap S$ . Therefore,  $L \subseteq_A \bigcap S$ ;  $\bigcap S$  is the infimum of  $S$ . ✓ □

### Exercise 2.5.9

Let  $\text{Fn}(X, Y)$  be the set of all functions mapping a subset of  $X$  into  $Y$ , i.e.,  $\text{Fn}(X, Y) = \bigcup_{Z \in \mathcal{P}(X)} Y^Z$ . Define a relation  $\leq$  in  $\text{Fn}(X, Y)$  by

$$f \leq g \iff f \subseteq g.$$

- (i)  $\leq$  is a partial ordering of  $\text{Fn}(X, Y)$ .  
 (ii) Let  $F \subseteq \text{Fn}(X, Y)$ .  $\sup F$  exists if and only if  $F$  is a compatible system of functions. Moreover,  $\sup F = \bigcup F$  if it exists.

#### Proof.

- (i)  $\leq = \subseteq_{\text{Fn}(X, Y)}$  by definition;  $\subseteq_{\text{Fn}(X, Y)}$  is already a partial ordering of  $\text{Fn}(X, Y)$ .  
 (ii)  $(\Rightarrow)$  Assume  $h \in \text{Fn}(X, Y)$  is a supremum of  $F$ . Then,  $\forall f \in F$ ,  $f \subseteq h$ . Take any  $f, g \in F$ . Then,  $f \cup g \subseteq h$ , and thus  $f \cup g$  is a function as  $h$  is a function. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3.11,  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible. Hence,  $F$  is a compatible system of functions.  
 $(\Leftarrow)$  Assume  $F$  is a compatible system of functions. Then,  $\bigcup F \in \text{Fn}(X, Y)$  by Theorem 2.3.12, and  $f \leq \bigcup F$  for all  $f \in F$  by definition;  $\bigcup F$  is an upper bound of  $F$ . Let  $U$  be any upper bound of  $S$ . Take any  $(x, y) \in \bigcup F$ . Then, there exists  $f \in S$  such that  $(x, y) \in f$ . Since  $f \subseteq_A U$ , we have  $x \in U$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup F \subseteq U$ ;  $\bigcup F$  is the least upper bound of  $S$ . □

### Exercise 2.5.10

Let  $\text{Pt}(A)$  be the set of all partitions of  $A$ . Define a relation  $\preccurlyeq$  in  $\text{Pt}(A)$  by

$$S_1 \preccurlyeq S_2 \iff \forall C \in S_1, \exists D \in S_2, C \subseteq D.$$

(We say that the partition  $S_1$  is a *refinement* of the partition  $S_2$  if  $S_1 \preccurlyeq S_2$ .)

- (i)  $\preccurlyeq$  is a partial ordering of  $\text{Pt}(A)$ .  
 (ii)  $\inf T$  exists for all  $T \subseteq \text{Pt}(A)$ .  
 (iii)  $\sup T$  exists for all  $T \subseteq \text{Pt}(A)$ .

#### Proof.

- (i)  $\preccurlyeq$  is reflexive since, for all  $S \in \text{Pt}(A)$  and  $C \in S$ ,  $C \subseteq C$ , i.e.,  $S \preccurlyeq S$ . ✓  
 Assume  $S_1 \preccurlyeq S_2$  and  $S_2 \preccurlyeq S_1$ . Take any  $C \in S_1$ . Then, there exists  $D \in S_2$  such that  $C \subseteq D$ . In addition, there exists  $E \in S_1$  such that  $D \subseteq E$ . We have  $C \subseteq E$  but  $C$  is nonempty as  $S_1$  is a partition, which implies  $C \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore, as  $S_1$  is a partition, we must have  $C = E$  and thus  $C = D$ . Hence,  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ . This shows that  $\preccurlyeq$  is antisymmetric. ✓  
 Assume  $S_1 \preccurlyeq S_2$  and  $S_2 \preccurlyeq S_3$ . Take any  $C \in S_1$ . There exists  $D \in S_2$  such that  $C \subseteq D$ . There exists  $E \in S_3$  such that  $D \subseteq E$ . Hence,  $C \subseteq E$ ;  $S_1 \preccurlyeq S_3$ . This shows that  $\preccurlyeq$  is transitive. ✓



- (ii) Define a relation  $E$  in  $A$  by  $E \triangleq \{(a, b) \in A^2 \mid \forall S \in T, \exists C \in S, a \in C \wedge b \in C\}$ . It can be easily shown that  $E$  is an equivalence mimicking the proof of Theorem 2.4.8. Then,  $A/E \in \text{Pt}(A)$  by Theorem 2.4.6.

**Claim 1.**  $A/E$  is a lower bound of  $T$ .

**Proof.** If  $T = \emptyset$ , there is nothing to prove; so assume  $T \neq \emptyset$ . Take any  $S \in T$  and  $a \in A$ . Then, there exists  $C \in S$  such that  $a \in S$  since  $S$  is a partition of  $A$ . Let  $b \in [a]_E$ . Then, there exists  $D \in S$  such that  $a, b \in D$ , which implies  $C = D$ . Therefore,  $[a]_E \subseteq C$ . Hence,  $A/E \preceq S$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.** For each lower bound  $L$  of  $T$ ,  $L \preceq A/E$ .

**Proof.** If  $T = \emptyset$ , then  $A/E = \{A^2\}$  and every partition of  $A$  is a lower bound. Since  $S \preceq \{A^2\}$  for all  $S \in \text{Pt}(A)$ , the result follows.

Now, assume  $T \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $L$  be a lower bound of  $T$ . Take any  $D \in L$ . Fix some  $a \in D$ . Then, each  $d \in D$  has the property that  $\forall S \in T, \exists C \in S, \{a, d\} \subseteq D \subseteq C$  as  $L$  is a lower bound of  $T$ . Therefore,  $d \in [a]_E$ ;  $D \subseteq [a]_E$ . Hence,  $L \preceq A/E$ .  $\square$

Claims 1 and 2 say that  $\inf T = A/E$ . Hence,  $\inf T$  exists.

- (iii) Let  $T' \triangleq \{S' \in \text{Pt}(A) \mid \forall S \in T, S \preceq S'\}$ . By (ii),  $S^* \triangleq \inf T'$  exists.

**Claim 3.**  $S^*$  is an upper bound of  $T$ .

**Proof.** In (ii), we showed that  $S^* = A/E$  where  $E = \{(a, b) \in A^2 \mid \forall S' \in T', \exists C' \in S', a \in C' \wedge b \in C'\}$ . Take any  $S \in T$  and let  $C \in S$ . Fix some  $c_0 \in C$ .

Now, take arbitrary  $c \in C$ . Then, for all  $S' \in T'$ , since  $S \preceq S'$ , there exists  $D' \in S'$  such that  $c \in C \subseteq D'$ . Hence, we have  $cEc_0$ ;  $C \subseteq [c_0]_E$ . Therefore,  $S \preceq S^*$ .  $\square$

Claim 3 essentially says that  $S^* \in T'$ . By Theorem 2.5.13 (iii),  $S^* = \min T'$ , i.e.,  $S^* = \sup T$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 2.5.13

If  $h$  is isomorphism between  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \preceq)$ , then  $h^{-1}$  is an isomorphism between  $(Q, \preceq)$  and  $(P, \leq)$ .

**Proof.** Take any  $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ . Then, we have  $q_1 \preceq q_2 \iff h(h^{-1}(q_1)) \preceq h(h^{-1}(q_2)) \iff h^{-1}(q_1) \leq h^{-1}(q_2)$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 2.5.14

If  $f$  is an isomorphism between  $(P_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(P_2, \leq_2)$ , and if  $g$  is an isomorphism between  $(P_2, \leq_2)$  and  $P_3, \leq_3$ , then  $g \circ f$  is an isomorphism between  $(P_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(P_3, \leq_3)$ .

**Proof.**  $\text{ran}(g \circ f) = g[\text{ran } f] = P_3$ . Moreover,  $g \circ f$  is one-to-one. Hence,  $g \circ f : P_1 \hookrightarrow P_3$ . For all  $p, q \in P_1$ , we have  $p \leq_1 q \iff f(p) \leq_2 f(q) \iff g(f(p)) \leq_3 g(f(q))$ . Hence,  $g \circ f$  is an isomorphism between  $(P_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(P_3, \leq_3)$ .  $\square$

# Chapter 3

## Natural Numbers

### 3.1 Introduction to Natural Numbers

**Note:-**

We cannot prove an existence of an ‘infinite’ set (in the classical sense) or discuss infinity only from Axioms I to VI.

**Definition 3.1.1: Successor**

The *successor* of a set  $x$  is the set  $S(x) = x \cup \{x\}$ .

**Notation 3.1.2:  $n + 1$**

We write  $n + 1$  to denote  $S(n)$ . There is no implication regarding the classic “addition” in this notation.

**Notation 3.1.3: Natural Numbers**

- $0 = \emptyset$
- $1 = \{\emptyset\} = S(0) = 0 + 1$
- $2 = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} = S(1) = 1 + 1$
- ...

**Definition 3.1.4: Inductive Set**

A set  $I$  is called *inductive* if

$$0 \in I \wedge \forall n \in I, (n + 1) \in I.$$

**Axiom VII** Axiom of Infinity

An inductive set exists.

**Definition 3.1.5: Set of All Natural Numbers**

The *set of all natural numbers* is the set

$$\mathbb{N} \triangleq \{x \mid x \in I \text{ for all inductive set } I\}.$$

**Note:-**

**Axiom of Infinity** guarantees the existence of  $\mathbb{N}$ . For, if  $A$  is any inductive set, then  $\mathbb{N} = \{x \in A \mid x \in I \text{ for all inductive set } I\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.6**

$\mathbb{N}$  is inductive. In addition, if  $I$  is an inductive set, then  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq I$ .

**Proof.** Since  $0 \in I$  for all inductive set,  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $n \in I$  for all inductive set, and thus  $(n+1) \in I$  for all inductive set. Therefore,  $(n+1) \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{N}$  is inductive.

$\mathbb{N} \subseteq I$  directly follows from the definition of  $\mathbb{N}$ . □

**Definition 3.1.7**

The relation  $<$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by:  $m < n$  if and only if  $m \in n$ .

**Notation 3.1.8**

Although we did not prove  $<$  is a strict ordering of  $\mathbb{N}$ , we shall use  $\leq$  to denote the relation on  $\mathbb{N}$ :

$$\leq \triangleq < \cup \text{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}$$

**Selected Problems****Exercise 3.1.1**

- (i)  $\forall x, x \subseteq S(x)$
- (ii)  $\forall x, \neg(\exists z, x \subsetneq z \subsetneq S(x))$

**Proof.**

- (i)  $x \subseteq x \subseteq x \cup \{x\} = S(x)$
- (ii) Take any  $z$  such that  $x \subseteq z \subseteq S(x) = x \cup \{x\}$ . If  $z \subseteq x$ , then we have  $z = x$ . If  $z \not\subseteq x$ , then there exists  $y$  such that  $y \in z$  and  $y \notin x$ . However,  $y \in x \cup \{x\}$ , and thus  $y = x$ . Therefore,  $S(x) \subseteq z$ ;  $z = S(x)$ . In conclusion, any  $z$  such that  $x \subseteq z \subseteq S(x)$  must satisfy  $z = x$  or  $z = S(x)$ . □

**3.2 Properties of Natural Numbers****Theorem 3.2.1 The Induction Principle**

Let  $P(x)$  be a property (possibly with parameters).

$$P(0) \wedge \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (P(n) \implies P(n+1)) \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$$

**Proof.** The premise simply says that  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid P(n)\}$  is inductive. Therefore,  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq A$  follows. □

**Lemma 3.2.2**

- (i)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq n$
- (ii)  $\forall k, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k < n+1 \iff k < n \vee k = n)$

**Proof.**

(i) Let  $P(x)$  be the property " $0 \leq x$ ."  $P(0)$ , i.e.,  $0 \leq 0$ , holds since  $0 = 0$ .

Now, assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P(n)$ . If  $n = 0$ , then we have  $0 \in S(0) = n + 1$  by definition (Definition 3.1.1). If  $0 < n$ , then  $0 \in n$ , and thus  $0 \in n \cup \{n\} = S(n)$ . Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.

(ii) Note that  $k \in n \cup \{n\}$  if and only if  $k \in n$  or  $k = n$ . □

### Theorem 3.2.3 $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is Linearly Ordered

$(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is a linearly ordered set.

**Proof.** We first need to prove that  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is an ordered set.

**Claim 1.**  $<$  is transitive in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property " $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (k < m \wedge m < x \implies k < x)$ ."  $P(0)$  is true because there is no  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \in 0 = \emptyset$ .

Now assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P(n)$ . Now, let  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k < m$  and  $m < n + 1$ . By Lemma 3.2.2 (ii),  $m < n$  or  $m = n$ .

- If  $m < n$ , then we have  $k < n$  as  $P(n)$  holds,
- If  $m = n$ , then we immediately have  $k < n$ .

In both cases, we have  $k < n$ ; thus  $k < n + 1$  by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). Therefore, the result follows from The Induction Principle. □

**Claim 2.**  $<$  is asymmetric in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property " $\neg(x < x)$ ."  $P(0)$  evidently holds since  $\emptyset \notin \emptyset$ .

Now, assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P(n)$ . Suppose  $(n + 1) < (n + 1)$  for the sake of contradiction. By Lemma 3.2.2 (ii), we have  $(n + 1) = n$  or  $(n + 1) < n$ . In both cases, we have  $n < n$  by  $n < (n + 1)$  (from Lemma 3.2.2 (ii)) and Claim 1, which contradicts  $P(n)$ . Therefore,  $P(n + 1)$  holds. The result follows from The Induction Principle. □

Hence,  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is an ordered set by Claims 1 and 2 and Theorem 2.5.3. We are left to prove that  $\leq$  is a linear ordering of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Claim 3.**  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n < m \implies (n + 1) \leq m$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property " $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n < x \implies n + 1 \leq x)$ ."  $P(0)$  holds since there is no  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n < 0$ .

Now, assume  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P(m)$ . Take any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n < (m + 1)$ . Then, by Lemma 3.2.2, we have  $n = m$  or  $n < m$ . If  $n = m$ , then we have  $(n + 1) = (m + 1)$ , which implies  $(n + 1) \leq (m + 1)$ . If  $n < m$ , then  $(n + 1) \leq m < (m + 1)$ . Therefore, the result follows from The Induction Principle. □

**Claim 4.**  $<$  is a linear ordering of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property " $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m = x \vee m < x \vee x < m$ ."  $P(0)$  is essentially Lemma 3.2.2 (i).

Assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P(n)$ . Take any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $m < n$  or  $m = n$ , we have  $m < (n + 1)$  by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). If  $n < m$ , by Claim 3, we have  $(n + 1) \leq m$ . Hence,  $P(n + 1)$  holds. Therefore, the result follows from The Induction Principle. □

□

### Notation 3.2.4

We may write “ $\forall k < n, P(k)$ ” instead of “ $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, (k < n \implies P(k))$ ” or “ $\exists k < n, P(k)$ ” instead of “ $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, k < n \wedge P(k)$ ” when no confusion may arise. We may similarly write  $(\forall/\exists)k(\leq/>/\geq)n, P(k)$ .

### Theorem 3.2.5 The Strong Induction Principle

Let  $P(x)$  be a property (possibly with parameters). If, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P(k)$  holds for all  $k < n$ , then  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall k < n, \implies P(k) \implies P(n)] \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$$

**Proof.** Assume the premise  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall k < n, \implies P(k) \implies P(n)])$ . Let  $Q(n)$  be the property “ $\forall k < n, P(k)$ .”  $Q(0)$  holds since there is no  $k < 0$ .

Now, assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $Q(n)$ . Then, by the premise, we have  $P(n)$ . Lemma 3.2.2 (ii) enables us to say that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, (k < n + 1 \implies P(k))$ . Therefore,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $Q(n)$  holds by The Induction Principle.

Take any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we have  $k < k + 1$  and thus  $P(k)$  holds by  $Q(k + 1)$ .  $\square$

### Definition 3.2.6: Well-Ordering

A linear ordering  $\preceq$  of a set  $A$  is a *well-ordering* if every nonempty subset of  $A$  has a least element. Then, the ordered set  $(A, \preceq)$  is called a *well-ordered set*.

### Theorem 3.2.7 $\mathbb{N}$ is Well-Ordered

$(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is a well-ordered set.

**Proof.** Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  has no least element. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $\forall k < n, k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X$ , we must have  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X$  since otherwise  $n = \min X$ . Then, by The Strong Induction Principle,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X$ , i.e.,  $X = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 3.2.8

Let  $\emptyset \subsetneq X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . If  $X$  has an upper bound in the ordering  $\leq$ , then  $X$  has a greatest element.

**Proof.** Let  $Y \triangleq \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid k \text{ is an upper bound of } X\}$ . The assumption says that  $Y \neq \emptyset$ . By  $\mathbb{N}$  is Well-Ordered,  $n \triangleq \min Y = \sup X$  exists.

Suppose  $n \notin X$  for the sake of contradiction. Then,  $\forall m \in X, m < n$ , which implies  $n \neq 0$  as  $X \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $n = k + 1$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  by Exercise 3.2.4; and thus  $\forall m \in X, m \leq k$  by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). Then,  $k$  is an upper bound of  $A$  and  $k < n$ , which is a contradiction to  $n = \sup X$ . Therefore,  $n \in X$ , and hence  $n = \max X$  by Theorem 2.5.13.  $\square$

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 3.2.2

$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \implies m + 1 < n + 1)$ . Hence,  $S: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  where  $n \mapsto n + 1$  defines a one-to-one function on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** By Claim 3 in the proof of  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Linearly Ordered, we have  $m + 1 \leq n$ . Together with  $n < n + 1$ , we have  $m + 1 < n + 1$ .

Now, take any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \neq n$ . Then, by  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Linearly Ordered, we have  $m < n$  or  $n < m$ , i.e.,  $S(m) < S(n)$  or  $S(n) < S(m)$ . In both cases,  $S(m) \neq S(n)$ . Therefore,  $S$  is one-to-one.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.3

There exists  $X \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$  and  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$  such that  $f$  is injective.

**Proof.** Let  $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  where  $n \mapsto n + 1$ . Then,  $S$  is injective by Exercise 3.2.2. Since there exists no  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \cup \{n\} = \emptyset$ ,  $0 \notin \text{ran } S$ ;  $\text{ran } S \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{ran } S$  is the function we are looking for.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.4

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \exists! k \in \mathbb{N}, n = k + 1$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $x = 0 \vee \exists! k \in \mathbb{N}, x = k + 1$ .”  $P(0)$  holds by definition.

Now, assume  $P(n)$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n + 1 = k + 1$ , namely,  $k = n$ . If  $k'$  is another natural number such that  $n + 1 = k' + 1$ , then by Exercise 3.2.2, we have  $k = k'$ . Hence,  $P(n + 1)$  holds. The result follows from The Induction Principle.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.6

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n\}$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $x = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < x\}$ .” We have  $P(0)$  since there exists no  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m < 0$ .

Now, assume  $P(n)$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $n + 1 = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n\} \cup \{n\}$ . By Lemma 3.2.2 (ii),  $m < n + 1$  if and only if  $m < n$  or  $m = n$ . Therefore,  $\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n\} \cup \{n\} = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n \vee m = n\} = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n + 1\}$ ;  $P(n + 1)$  holds. The result follows from The Induction Principle.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.8

There is no function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n + 1) < f(n)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “there is no function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(0) = x$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n + 1) < f(n)$ .”

For the sake of induction, assume  $\forall k < n, P(k)$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose there exists  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(0) = n$  and  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, f(k + 1) < f(k)$ . Now, define  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $g(k) = f(k + 1)$ . Then,  $g(0) = f(1) < n$  and  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, g(k + 1) = f((k + 1) + 1) < f(k + 1) = g(k)$ . However, by  $P(g(0))$ , such  $g$  cannot exist; by contradiction,  $P(n)$  holds. Hence,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, P(m)$  by The Strong Induction Principle.

Finally, suppose there exists  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n + 1) < f(n)$ . Then, by  $P(f(0))$ , such  $f$  may not exist.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.11

Let  $P(x)$  be a property and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$P(k) \wedge \forall n \geq k, (P(n) \implies P(n + 1)) \implies \forall n \geq k, P(n)$$

**Proof.** Let  $Q(x)$  be the property “ $x < k \vee P(x)$ .” If  $k = 0$ , then  $P(0)$  holds. If  $k > 0$ , then  $0 < k$  holds. Hence, in both cases,  $Q(0)$  holds.

Now assume  $Q(n)$  holds where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Linearly Ordered, we have  $n + 1 < k$ ,  $n + 1 = k$ , or  $n + 1 > k$ . If  $n + 1 < k$  or  $n + 1 = k$ , we immediately have  $Q(n + 1)$ . If  $n + 1 > k$ , we have  $n \geq k$  by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). Therefore,  $P(n)$  holds, and thus  $P(n + 1)$  holds by assumption. Hence,  $Q(n + 1)$ . By The Induction Principle,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n < k \vee P(n)$ . In other words,  $\forall n \geq k, P(n)$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.12 The Finite Induction Principle

Let  $P(x)$  be a property and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$P(0) \wedge \forall n < k, (P(n) \implies P(n + 1)) \implies \forall n \leq k, P(n)$$

**Proof.** Let  $Q(x)$  be the property “ $x > k \vee P(x)$ .”  $Q(0)$  holds as  $P(0)$ .

Now, assume  $Q(n)$  holds where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Linearly Ordered, we have  $n + 1 \leq k$  or  $n + 1 > k$ . If  $n + 1 > k$ , then we immediately have  $Q(n + 1)$ . If  $n + 1 \leq k$ , by Lemma 3.2.2,  $n + 1 < k + 1$ . By Exercise 3.2.2 and  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Linearly Ordered, we must have  $n < k$ . Hence,  $P(n)$  holds, and therefore  $P(n + 1)$  holds by the assumption. By The Induction Principle,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > k \vee P(n)$ . In other words,  $\forall n \leq k, P(n)$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.13 The Double Induction Principle

Let  $P(x, y)$  be a property.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}, (k < m \vee k = m \wedge \ell < n \implies P(k, \ell)) \implies P(m, n)] \quad [*] \\ \implies \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, P(m, n) \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Let  $Q(x)$  be the property “ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(x, n)$ .”

Now, assume  $\forall k < m, Q(k)$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For the sake of induction, assume again that  $\forall \ell < n, P(m, \ell)$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, we have  $P(k, \ell)$  for all  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k < m$  or  $k = m$  and  $\ell < n$ . Hence, by  $[*]$ ,  $P(m, n)$ . By The Strong Induction Principle, we have  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(m, n)$ . In other words,  $Q(m)$ . Again by The Strong Induction Principle, we have  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, Q(m)$ , that is to say  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, P(m, n)$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 The Recursion Theorem

#### Definition 3.3.1: Sequence

- A *sequence* is a function whose domain is a natural number or  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- A sequence whose domain is a natural number  $n$  is called a *finite sequence of length  $n$*  and is denoted

$$\langle a_i \mid i < n \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle a_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle.$$

In particular,  $\langle \rangle = \emptyset$ —the *empty sequence*—is the unique sequence of length 0.

$$\text{Seq}(A) \triangleq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A^n$$

denote the set of all finite sequence of elements of  $A$ .

- A sequence whose domain is  $\mathbb{N}$  is called a *infinite sequence* and is denoted

$$\langle a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle a_i \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle a_i \rangle_{i=0}^{\infty}.$$

Infinite sequences of elements of  $A$  are members of  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ . We also use the notation  $\{a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  or  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ , etc., for the range of the sequence  $\langle a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ .

#### Note:-

- A natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the set of all natural numbers less than  $n$ . See Exercise 3.2.6.
- Since  $A^n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times A)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \{w \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, w = A^n\}$  exists, and thus  $\text{Seq}(A) = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$  exists.

#### Theorem 3.3.2 The Recursion Theorem

Let  $A$  be a set,  $a \in A$ , and  $g : A \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ . Then, there uniquely exists an infinite sequence  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  such that

- $f_0 = a$  and
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n)$ .

**Proof.** We say  $t : (m+1) \rightarrow A$  is an  *$m$ -step computation based on  $a$  and  $g$*  if  $t_0 = a$  and  $\forall k < m, t_{k+1} = g(t_k, k)$ . Let  $F \triangleq \{t \in \text{Seq}(A) \mid t \text{ is an } m \text{ step computation for some } m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Let  $f \triangleq \bigcup F$ .

**Claim 1.**  $f$  is a function.

**Proof.** We shall show that  $F$  is a compatible system of functions so we may conclude  $f$  is a function thanks to Theorem 2.3.12. Take any  $t, u \in F$ . Let  $n = \text{dom } t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m = \text{dom } u \in \mathbb{N}$ . WLOG,  $n \leq m$  (thanks to  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Linearly Ordered), i.e.,  $n \subseteq m$ . Hence,  $(\text{dom } t) \cap (\text{dom } u) = n$ . If  $n = 0$ , then it is done; assume  $n > 0$ . Then, there exists  $n' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n' + 1 = n$  by Exercise 3.2.4.

Surely,  $t_0 = a = u_0$ . Moreover, if  $t_k = u_k$  where  $k < n'$ , then  $k+1 < n'+1 = n$  (Exercise 3.2.2) and  $t_{k+1} = g(t_k, k) = g(u_k, k) = u_{k+1}$ . Therefore, by The Finite Induction Principle, we have  $\forall k \leq n', t_k = u_k$ ;  $t$  and  $u$  are compatible.  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{N}$  and  $\text{ran } f \subseteq A$ .



**Proof.** We already have  $\text{dom } f \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $\text{ran } f \subseteq A$  by Theorem 2.3.12. To show  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{N}$ , it suffices to show that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an  $n$ -step computation based on  $a$  and  $g$ . Clearly,  $t = \{(0, a)\}$  is a 0-step computation.

Assume there exists an  $n$ -step computation  $t: (n+1) \rightarrow A$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, define  $u: ((n+1)+1) \rightarrow A$  by  $u \triangleq t \cup \{(n+1, g(t_n, n))\}$ . Then, one may easily verify that  $u$  is an  $(n+1)$ -step computation. Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.  $\square$

We now check if  $f$  satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii).

(i) Clearly,  $f_0 = a$ .

(ii) Take any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $t$  be an  $(n+1)$ -step computation. Then,  $\forall k \leq n, f_k = t_k$ , and  $f_{n+1} = t_{n+1} = g(t_n, n) = g(f_n, n)$ .

Now, we are left to show the uniqueness of such  $f$ .

Let  $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  be a sequence that satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Clearly,  $f_0 = a = h_0$ . And, if  $f_n = h_n$ , then  $f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n) = g(h_n, n) = h_{n+1}$ . Therefore, by The Induction Principle,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, f_k = h_k$ , i.e.,  $f = h$  by Lemma 2.3.3.  $\square$

### Theorem 3.3.3

Let  $(A, \preceq)$  be a nonempty linearly ordered set with the properties:

- (i) For every  $p \in A$ , there exists  $q \in A$  such that  $p \prec q$ .
  - (ii) Every nonempty subset of  $A$  that has a  $\preceq$ -least element.
  - (iii) Every nonempty subset of  $A$  that has an upper bound has a  $\preceq$ -greatest element.
- Then,  $(A, \preceq)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ .

**Proof.** By (i),  $\{a \in A \mid x \prec a\} \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in A$  and it has a  $\preceq$ -least element. Hence, we may define  $g: A \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  by  $g(x, n) \triangleq \min\{a \in A \mid x \prec a\}$ . Then, The Recursion Theorem guarantees the existence of a function  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  such that:

- $f_0 = \min A$  by (i) and  $A \neq \emptyset$
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n) = \min\{a \in A \mid f_n \prec a\}$ .

By Exercise 3.3.1, we have  $f_m \prec f_n$  whenever  $m < n$ . This also implies that  $f$  is injective.

**Claim 1.**  $\text{ran } f = A$

**Proof.** Suppose  $\text{ran } f \subsetneq A$  for the sake of contradiction. Then,  $A \setminus \text{ran } f \neq \emptyset$ , and thus we may take  $p = \min(A \setminus \text{ran } f)$ , which gives  $p \neq f_0$  immediately. Hence,  $B = \{a \in A \mid a \prec p\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $p$  is an upper bound of  $B$ . By (iii),  $q = \max B$  exists. Since  $q \prec p$ , we have  $q \in \text{ran } f$ , i.e.,  $q = f_m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Suppose there is some  $r \in A$  such that  $q \prec r \prec p$ . Then,  $r \in B$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $q$ . Hence,  $p = \min\{a \in A \mid f_m \prec a\} = f_{m+1}$ , which contradicts  $p \notin \text{ran } f$ .  $\square$

We have  $f: \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow A$  by Claim 1. Hence, by  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Linearly Ordered and Lemma 2.5.15,  $f$  is an isomorphism between  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  and  $(A, \preceq)$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 3.3.4 The Recursion Theorem: General Version

Let  $S$  be a set and let  $g: \text{Seq}(S) \rightarrow S$ . Then, there exists a unique sequence  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$  such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_n = g(f|_n) = g(\langle f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} \rangle).$$

**Proof.** Define  $G: \text{Seq}(S) \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Seq}(S)$  by

$$G(t, n) = \begin{cases} t \cup \{(n, g(t))\} & \text{if } t \text{ is a sequence of length } n \\ \langle \rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by **The Recursion Theorem**, there exists a sequence  $F: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Seq}(S)$  such that:

- $F_0 = \langle \rangle$
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{n+1} = G(F_n, n)$ .

If  $F_k \in S^k$ , then  $F_{k+1} = F_k \cup \{(k, g(F_k))\} \in S^{k+1}$ . Hence, by **The Induction Principle**,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, F_n \in S^n$ . Moreover, since  $F_k \subsetneq_{\text{Seq}(S)} F_{k+1}$ , by **Exercise 3.3.1**,  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \implies F_m \subsetneq F_n)$ ; hence  $\{F_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a compatible system of functions.

Let  $f \triangleq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ . Then, we have  $f|_n = F_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_n = F_{n+1}(n) = g(F_n) = g(f|_n)$ .

Let  $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$  be another sequence such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, h_n = g(h|_n)$ . Suppose  $\forall k < n, f_k = h_k$ . Then, we have  $f_n = g(f|_n) = g(h|_n) = h_n$ . Therefore, by **The Strong Induction Principle**,  $f = h$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 3.3.5 The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version

Let  $a: P \rightarrow A$  and  $g: P \times A \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  be functions. Then, there uniquely exists a function  $f: P \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  such that

- (i)  $\forall p \in P, f(p, 0) = a(p)$
- (ii)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall p \in P, f(p, n+1) = g(p, f(p, n), n)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G: A^P \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A^P$  be defined by

$$G(x, n)(p) = g(p, x(p), n)$$

for each  $x \in A^P$ ,  $p \in P$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by **The Recursion Theorem**, there exists  $F: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A^P$  such that

$$F_0 = a \quad \text{and} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{n+1} = G(F_n, n).$$

Now, let  $f: P \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  be defined by  $f(p, n) = F_n(p)$ . We now check if  $f$  satisfies the conditions:

- (i) For all  $p \in P$ , we have  $f(p, 0) = F_0(p) = a(p)$ .
- (ii) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in P$ ,  $f(p, n+1) = F_{n+1}(p) = G(F_n, n)(p) = g(p, F_n(p), n) = g(p, f(p, n), n)$ .

Let  $h: P \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  be another function that satisfies (i) and (ii). Clear, we have  $\forall p \in P, f(p, 0) = a(p) = h(p, 0)$ . Assuming  $\forall p \in P, f(p, n) = h(p, n)$  gives, for all  $p \in P$ ,  $f(p, n+1) = g(p, f(p, n), n) = g(p, h(p, n), n) = h(p, n+1)$ . Hence, by **The Induction Principle**, we get  $f = h$ .  $\square$

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 3.3.1

Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  be an infinite sequence where  $(A, \preceq)$  is an ordered set. Then,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_n \prec f_{n+1} \implies \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (n < m \implies f_n \prec f_m).$$

**Proof.** Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathbf{P}(x)$  be the property “ $f_n \prec f_x$ .”  $\mathbf{P}(n+1)$  evidently holds. Now, suppose  $\mathbf{P}(k)$  holds where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, chaining  $f_n \prec f_k$  and  $f_k \prec f_{k+1}$  gives  $\mathbf{P}(k+1)$ . Therefore, by **Exercise 3.2.11**, we get  $\forall m \geq n+1, f_n \prec f_m$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3.3.2

Let  $(A, \preceq)$  be a nonempty linearly ordered set. We say that  $q \in A$  is a *successor* of  $p \in A$  if there is no  $r \in A$  such that  $p \prec r \prec q$ . Assume  $(A, \preceq)$  has the following properties:

- (i) Every  $p \in A$  has a successor.
  - (ii) Every nonempty subset of  $A$  has a  $\preceq$ -least element.
  - (iii) If  $p \in A$  is not the  $\preceq$ -least element of  $A$ , then  $p$  is a successor of some  $q \in A$ .
- Then,  $(A, \preceq)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ .

**Proof.** By (i), for each  $p \in P$ ,  $\{q \in A \mid p \prec q\} \neq \emptyset$ , and thus it has a  $\preceq$ -least element by (ii). Therefore, by [The Recursion Theorem](#), there exists a sequence  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  such that  $f_0 = \min A$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_{n+1} = \min\{q \in A \mid f_n \prec q\}$ .

**Claim 1.**  $\text{ran } f = A$

**Proof.** Suppose  $X \triangleq A \setminus \text{ran } f \neq \emptyset$  for the sake of contradiction. Then, by (ii), we may take  $p = \min X$ . Since  $\min A = f_0 \in \text{ran } f$ ,  $p$  is not the  $\preceq$ -least element of  $A$ . Hence, by (iii),  $p$  is a successor of some  $q \in A$ . As  $q \prec p$ , we have  $q \in \text{ran } f$  by minimality of  $q$ , i.e.,  $q = f_m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since there is no  $r \in A$  such that  $q \prec r \prec p$ , we have  $p = f_{m+1}$  by definition, which contradicts  $p \notin \text{ran } f$ .  $\square$

Since  $f_n \prec f_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by [Exercise 3.3.1](#),  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(m < n \implies f_m \prec f_n)$ , which means  $f$  is injective.

Therefore, together with [Claim 1](#),  $f$  is an isomorphism between  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  and  $(A, \preceq)$  by [Lemma 2.5.15](#).  $\square$

### Exercise 3.3.5 The Recursion Theorem: Finite Version

Let  $g$  be a function such that  $\text{dom } g \subseteq A \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\text{ran } g \subseteq A$ . Let  $a \in A$ . Then, there uniquely exists a sequence  $f$  of elements of  $A$  such that

- (i)  $f_0 = a$
- (ii)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $[n + 1 \in \text{dom } f \implies f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n)]$
- (iii)  $f$  is either an infinite sequence or a finite sequence of length  $k + 1$  and  $(f_k, k) \notin \text{dom } g$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\bar{A} = A \cup \{\bar{a}\}$  where  $\bar{a} \notin A$ . (Such  $\bar{a}$  exists by [Exercise 1.3.3 \(ii\)](#).) Define  $\bar{g} : \bar{A} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bar{A}$  by

$$\bar{g}(x, n) = \begin{cases} g(x, n) & \text{if } (x, n) \in \text{dom } g \\ \bar{a} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, [The Recursion Theorem](#) guarantees the existence of  $\bar{f} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bar{A}$  such that  $\bar{f}_0 = a$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bar{f}_{n+1} = \bar{g}(\bar{f}_n, n)$ . We have two cases: “ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bar{f}_n \neq \bar{a}$ ” and “ $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bar{f}_n = \bar{a}$ .” They are resolved by [Claims 1](#) and [2](#), respectively.

**Claim 1.** If “ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bar{f}_n \neq \bar{a}$ ,” then  $\bar{f}$  is an infinite sequence of elements of  $A$  that satisfies (i) and (ii).

**Proof.** The assumption essentially says that  $(\bar{f}_n, n) \in \text{dom } g$  and  $\bar{f}_{n+1} = g(\bar{f}_n, n) \in A$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{f}$  satisfies (i) and (ii). As  $\bar{f}_0 = a \in A$ ,  $\bar{f}$  is an infinite sequence of elements of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.** If “ $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \bar{f}_n = \bar{a}$ ,” then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\bar{f}|_{k+1}$  satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii).

**Proof.** By  $\mathbb{N}$  is Well-Ordered, we have  $\ell \triangleq \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \bar{f}_n = \bar{a}\}$ . Since  $\bar{f}_0 \in A$ , we have  $\ell \neq 0$ , and thus  $\ell = k + 1$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  by Exercise 3.2.4. It immediately follows that  $\forall n \leq k, \bar{f}_n \in A$ . Hence,  $f \triangleq \bar{f}|_{k+1}$  is a finite sequence of length  $k + 1$  of elements of  $A$ .

We check if  $f$  satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii):

- (i)  $f_0 = \bar{f}_0 = a$
- (ii) If  $n < k$ , i.e.,  $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f = k + 1$ , then  $f_{n+1} = \bar{f}_{n+1} = \bar{g}(\bar{f}_n, n) = g(f_n, n)$ .
- (iii) If  $(f_k, k) \in \text{dom } g$ , then we would have  $f_k = \bar{g}(f_k, k) = \bar{g}(f_k, k) = g(f_k, k) \neq \bar{a}$ . Hence, we must have  $(f_k, k) \notin \text{dom } g$ .  $\square$

Now, we prove the uniqueness. Let  $f$  and  $h$  be two sequences of elements of  $A$  that satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii). WLOG,  $\text{dom } h \subseteq \text{dom } f$ .

Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $x \in \text{dom } h \wedge f_x = h_x$ .”  $P(0)$  evidently holds.

**Claim 3.**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n + 1 \in \text{dom } f \wedge P(n) \implies P(n + 1))$

**Proof.** Assume  $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f$  and  $P(n)$ . Then, since  $(h_n, n) = (f_n, n) \in \text{dom } g$ ,  $n + 1 \in \text{dom } h$  and  $h_{n+1} = g(h_n, n) = g(f_n, n) = f_{n+1}$ . Hence,  $P(n + 1)$  holds.  $\square$

If  $f$  is a finite sequence, Claim 3 and The Finite Induction Principle imply  $h = f$ . If  $f$  is an infinite sequence, Claim 3 and The Induction Principle imply  $h = f$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3.3.6

If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , then there is a one-to-one (finite or infinite) sequence  $f$  such that  $\text{ran } f = X$ .

**Proof.** If  $X = \emptyset$ ,  $\langle \rangle$  is the one we are looking for. Assume  $X \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $g = \{((x, n), y) \in (X \times \mathbb{N}) \times X \mid y = \min\{k \in X \mid x < k\}\}$ . Then,  $g$  is a function with  $\text{dom } g \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\text{ran } g \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . By The Recursion Theorem: Finite Version, there exists a sequence  $f$  of elements of  $X$  such that

- (i)  $f_0 = \min X \triangleright \min X$  exists by  $\mathbb{N}$  is Well-Ordered
- (ii)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n + 1 \in \text{dom } f \implies f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n))$
- (iii)  $f$  is either an infinite sequence or a finite sequence of length  $k + 1$  and  $(f_k, k) \notin \text{dom } g$ .

Note that  $\text{dom } g = \{(x, n) \in X \times \mathbb{N} \mid \exists y \in X, x < y\}$ . Moreover, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f$ , we have  $f_n < f_{n+1}$ ; hence  $\forall m, n \in \text{dom } f, (m < n \implies f_m < f_n)$  (in the similar manner of Exercise 3.3.1), and thus  $f$  is injective.

Suppose  $Y = X \setminus \text{ran } f \neq \emptyset$  for the sake of contradiction. By  $\mathbb{N}$  is Well-Ordered, we may take  $y = \min Y$ . Then, by Theorem 3.2.8, we may let  $z = \max\{x \in X \mid x < y\}$ .  $z = f_m$  for some  $m \in \text{dom } f$ . Hence,  $y = f_{m+1}$ .  $\square$

## 3.4 Arithmetic of Natural Numbers

### Theorem 3.4.1

There uniquely exists a function  $+: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

- (i)  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, +(m, 0) = m$
- (ii)  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, +(m, n + 1) = S(+(m, n))$ .

**Proof.** The result directly follows from exploiting The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version with  $A = P = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a(p) = p$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $g(p, x, n) = S(x)$  for all  $p, x, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

#### Definition 3.4.2: Addition

The function  $+$  defined in Theorem 3.4.1 is called the *addition*.

#### Notation 3.4.3

For all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $+(m, 1) = +(m, 0 + 1) = +(m, 0) + 1 = m + 1$ . Hence, we may write  $m + n$  instead of  $+(m, n)$  without causing any confusion regarding Notation 3.1.2. We restate the defining properties of the addition for future reference:

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m + 0 = m \quad [1]$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m + (n + 1) = (m + n) + 1 \quad [2]$$

#### Theorem 3.4.4 $+$ is Commutative

Addition is commutative; that is to say

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m + n = n + m.$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m + x = x + m$ .”

**Claim 1.**  $P(0)$  holds.

**Proof.** Since  $m + 0 = m$  already, we only need to prove  $0 + m = m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall make use of induction. First of all  $0 + 0 = 0$  holds by [1].

Suppose  $0 + m = m$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 + (m + 1) &= (0 + m) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= m + 1. &> 0 + m = m \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle,  $0 + m = m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [P(n) \implies P(n + 1)]$

**Proof.** Assume  $P(n)$ . We shall show  $P(n + 1)$  holds by induction.  $0 + (n + 1) = (n + 1) + 0$  is already shown by Claim 1. Hence, assume  $m + (n + 1) = (n + 1) + m$  for fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + 1) + (n + 1) &= ((m + 1) + n) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= (n + (m + 1)) + 1 &> P(n) \\ &= ((n + m) + 1) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= ((m + n) + 1) + 1 &> P(n) \\ &= (m + (n + 1)) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= ((n + 1) + m) + 1 &> m + (n + 1) = (n + 1) + m \\ &= (n + 1) + (m + 1). &> [2] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle,  $P(n + 1)$  holds.  $\square$

From Claim 1, Claim 2, and The Induction Principle, we get  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m + n = n + m$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 3.4.5 $+$ is Associative

Addition is associative; that is to say

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k + m) + n = k + (m + n).$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (k + m) + x = k + (m + x)$ .”  $P(0)$  is direct by [1].  
Now, fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(n)$ . Then, for all  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (k + m) + (n + 1) &= ((k + m) + n) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= (k + (m + n)) + 1 &> P(n) \\ &= k + ((m + n) + 1) &> [2] \\ &= k + (m + (n + 1)). &> [2] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.  $\square$

### Theorem 3.4.6

There uniquely exists a function  $\cdot : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

- (i)  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot 0 = 0$
- (ii)  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot (n + 1) = m \cdot n + m$ .

**Proof.** The result directly follows from exploiting The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version with  $A = P = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a(p) = 0$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $g(p, x, n) = x + p$  for all  $p, x, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

### Definition 3.4.7: Multiplication

The function  $\cdot$  defined in Theorem 3.4.6 is called the *multiplication*.

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot 0 = 0 \quad [3]$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot (n + 1) = m \cdot n + m \quad [4]$$

### Theorem 3.4.8 $\cdot$ is Commutative

Multiplication is commutative, i.e.,

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot n = n \cdot m.$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot x = x \cdot m$ .”

**Claim 1.**  $P(0)$  holds.

**Proof.** Since  $m \cdot 0 = 0$  already by [3], we only need to prove  $0 \cdot m = 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall make use of induction. First of all  $0 \cdot 0 = 0$  holds by [3].

Suppose  $0 \cdot m = 0$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \cdot (m + 1) &= 0 \cdot m + 0 &> [4] \\ &= 0 + 0 &> 0 \cdot m = 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle,  $0 \cdot m = 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [P(n) \implies P(n+1)]$

**Proof.** Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(n)$ . We shall prove  $P(n+1)$  by induction. We already have  $0 \cdot (n+1) = (n+1) \cdot 0$  by Claim 1.

Fix any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $m \cdot (n+1) = (n+1) \cdot m$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (m+1) \cdot (n+1) &= (m+1) \cdot n + (m+1) &> [4] \\
 &= n \cdot (m+1) + (m+1) &> P(n) \\
 &= (n \cdot m + n) + (m+1) &> [4] \\
 &= (m \cdot n + n) + (m+1) &> P(n) \\
 &= (m \cdot n + m) + (n+1) &> + \text{ is Commutative, } + \text{ is Associative} \\
 &= m \cdot (n+1) + (n+1) &> [4] \\
 &= (n+1) \cdot m + (n+1) &> m \cdot (n+1) = (n+1) \cdot m \\
 &= (n+1) \cdot (m+1). &> [4]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle,  $P(n+1)$  holds.

From Claim 1, Claim 2, and The Induction Principle, we get  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot n = n \cdot m$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 3.4.9 · Distributes Over +

Multiplication is distributive over addition, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, k \cdot (m+n) &= k \cdot m + k \cdot n \quad \text{and} \\
 \forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m+n) \cdot k &= m \cdot k + n \cdot k.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, k \cdot (m+x) = k \cdot m + k \cdot x$ .”  $P(0)$  holds by [1] and [3].

Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(n)$ . Then, for each  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 k \cdot (m + (n+1)) &= k \cdot ((m+n) + 1) &> + \text{ is Associative} \\
 &= k \cdot (m+n) + k &> [4] \\
 &= (k \cdot m + k \cdot n) + k &> P(n) \\
 &= k \cdot m + (k \cdot n + k) &> + \text{ is Associative} \\
 &= k \cdot m + k \cdot (n+1). &> [4]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, we have  $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, k \cdot (m+n) = k \cdot m + k \cdot n$ .

Now, we have, for each  $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (m+n) \cdot k &= k \cdot (m+n) &> \cdot \text{ is Commutative} \\
 &= k \cdot m + k \cdot n \\
 &= m \cdot k + n \cdot k. &> \cdot \text{ is Commutative}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

### Theorem 3.4.10 · is Associative

Multiplication is associative, i.e.,

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k \cdot m) \cdot n = k \cdot (m \cdot n).$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (k \cdot m) \cdot x = k \cdot (m \cdot x)$ .”  $P(0)$  is direct from [3].  
Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(n)$ . Then, for each  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (k \cdot m) \cdot (n + 1) &= (k \cdot m) \cdot n + k \cdot m &> [4] \\ &= k \cdot (m \cdot n) + k \cdot m &> P(n) \\ &= k \cdot (m \cdot n + m) &> \cdot \text{Distributes Over } + \\ &= k \cdot (m \cdot (n + 1)). &> [4] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result follows by The Induction Principle.  $\square$

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 3.4.2

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \iff m + k < n + k)$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \iff m + x < n + x)$ .”  $P(0)$  is evident from [1].

Now, fix any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(k)$ . Then, for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m < n &\iff m + k < n + k &> P(k) \\ &\iff (m + k) + 1 < (n + k) + 1 &> \text{Exercise 3.2.2} \\ &\iff m + (k + 1) < n + (k + 1). &> + \text{ is Associative} \end{aligned}$$

By The Induction Principle, the result follows.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.4.3

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m \leq n \iff \exists! k \in \mathbb{N}, n = m + k)$$

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Fix any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, x = m + k$ .”  $P(m)$  holds since  $k = 0$  would satisfy by [1].

Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \leq n$  and assume  $P(n)$ . Then, there exists  $k$  such that  $n = m + k$ , which leads to  $n + 1 = m + (k + 1)$  by  $+$  is Associative. Hence,  $P(n + 1)$  holds. Therefore,  $\forall n \geq m, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = m + k$  by Exercise 3.2.11.

To prove the uniqueness, assume  $m + k = m + \ell$  where  $k, \ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . WLOG,  $k \leq \ell$ . If it were  $k < \ell$ , by Exercise 3.4.2 and  $+$  is Commutative, we must have  $m + k = k + m < \ell + m = \ell + m$ . Hence,  $k = \ell$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (n = m + x \implies m \leq n)$ .” We have evidently  $P(0)$  by [1].

Fix any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(k)$ . Then, for each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n = m + (k + 1)$ , we have  $n = (m + 1) + k$  thanks to  $+$  is Commutative and  $+$  is Associative, and thus  $m < m + 1 \leq n$  by  $P(k)$ . Hence, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.4.6

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, [k \neq 0 \implies (m < n \iff m \cdot k < n \cdot k)]$$



**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \iff m \cdot k < n \cdot k)$ .”  $P(1)$  holds since, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot 1 &= n \cdot (0 + 1) &> [1], + \text{ is Commutative} \\ &= n \cdot 0 + n &> [4] \\ &= 0 + n &> [3] \\ &= n. &> [1], + \text{ is Commutative} \end{aligned}$$

Now, fix any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(k)$ . Then, for each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m < n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m \cdot (k + 1) &= m \cdot k + m &> [4] \\ &< m \cdot k + n &> \text{Exercise 3.4.2} \\ &< n \cdot k + n &> P(k), + \text{ is Commutative, Exercise 3.4.2} \\ &= n \cdot (k + 1). &> [4] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Exercise 3.2.11, the result follows.  $\square$

## 3.5 Operations and Structures

### Definition 3.5.1: Operation

- A *unary operation* on  $S$  is a function on a subset of  $S$  into  $S$ .
- A *binary operation* on  $S$  is a function on a subset of  $S^2$  into  $S$ .

### Notation 3.5.2: Binary Operation

Non-letter symbols such as  $+$ ,  $\times$ ,  $*$ ,  $\Delta$ , etc., are often used to denote operations. The value of the operation  $*$  at  $(x, y)$  is then denoted  $x * y$  rather than  $*(x, y)$ .

### Definition 3.5.3: Closedness Under Operation

Let  $f$  be a binary operation on  $S$  and  $A \subseteq S$ .  $A$  is said to be *closed under the operation*  $f$  if  $\forall x, y \in A, [(x, y) \in \text{dom } f \implies f(x, y) \in A]$ .

### Definition 3.5.4: $n$ -Tuple

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An  $n$ -tuple is a finite sequence of length  $n$ .

#### Note:-

Let  $\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$  and  $\langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$  be two  $n$ -tuples. We have, by Lemma 2.3.3,

$$\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle = \langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle \iff \forall i < n, a_i = b_i.$$

This satisfies the usual defining property of  $n$ -tuple.

#### Note:-

- If  $\langle A_i \mid 0 \leq i < n \rangle$  is a finite sequence (of sets), then the product of the indexed system of sets  $\prod_{0 \leq i < n} A_i$  (Definition 2.3.16) is just the set of all  $n$ -tuples  $a = \langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$  such that  $\forall i < n, a_i \in A_i$ .
- If  $\forall i < n, A_i = A$ , then  $\prod_{0 \leq i < n} A_i = A^n$ .

- $A^0 = \{\langle \rangle\}$ .

### Notation 3.5.5

The ‘ordered pair’ (Definition 2.1.1),  $(a_0, a_1) = \{\{a_0\}, \{a_0, a_1\}\}$ , is different set from the ‘2-tuple’ (Definition 3.5.4),  $\langle a_0, a_1 \rangle = \{(0, a_0), (1, a_1)\}$ . Consequently,  $A_0 \times A_1$  (Definition 2.2.10) does not generally equal to  $\prod_{0 \leq i < 2} A_i$  (Definition 2.3.16).

However, since there is a natural one-to-one correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : A_0 \times A_1 &\longleftrightarrow \prod_{0 \leq i < 2} A_i \\ (a_0, a_1) &\longmapsto \langle a_0, a_1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for almost all practical purposes—when only the defining property of  $n$ -tuple is needed—it makes so difference which definition one uses.

Therefore, we do not distinguish between ordered pairs and 2-tuples now on. That is to say we use notations

$$\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$$

interchangeably from now on.

### Definition 3.5.6: $n$ -ary Relation

An  $n$ -ary relation  $R$  in  $A$  is a subset of  $A^n$ . We write  $R(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  instead of  $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \in R$ .

### Definition 3.5.7: $n$ -ary Operation

An  $n$ -ary operation  $F$  on  $A$  is a function on a subset of  $A^n$  into  $A$ . We write  $F(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  instead of  $F(\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle)$ .

#### Note:-

- 1-ary relations in  $A$  need not be distinguished from subsets of  $A$ .
- 1-ary operations on  $A$  need not be distinguished from functions on a subset of  $A$  into  $A$ .
- Nonempty 0-ary operations on  $A$  need not be distinguished from  $A$ . (A nonempty 0-ary operation is of the form  $\{(\langle \rangle, a)\}$  where  $a \in A$ ; a nonempty 0-ary operation is called a *constant*.)

### Definition 3.5.8: Structure

- A type  $\tau$  is an ordered pair  $(\langle r_0, \dots, r_{m-1} \rangle, \langle f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \rangle)$  of finite sequences of natural numbers.
- A structure of type  $\tau$  is a triple

$$\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$$

where  $R_i$  is an  $r_i$ -ary relation on  $A$  for each  $i < m$  and  $F_j$  is an  $f_j$ -ary operation on  $A$  for each  $j < n$ . In addition, we require  $F_j \neq \emptyset$  if  $f_j = 0$ , i.e.,  $F_j$  should be constant.  $A$  is called the *universe* of the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**Example 3.5.9**

$\mathfrak{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \langle \leq \rangle, \langle 0, +, \cdot \rangle)$  is a structure of type  $(\langle 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 2 \rangle)$ .

**Notation 3.5.10**

We often write the structure of type  $(\langle r_0, \dots, r_{m-1} \rangle, \langle f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \rangle)$  as a  $(1+m+n)$ -tuple, for example,  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq, 0, +, \cdot)$ , when it is understood which symbol represent relations and which operations.

**Definition 3.5.11: Isomorphism Between Structures**

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}'$  be structures of the same type  $\tau = (\langle r_0, \dots, r_{m-1} \rangle, \langle f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \rangle)$ . Write  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}' = (A', \langle R'_0, \dots, R'_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F'_0, \dots, F'_{n-1} \rangle)$ . An *isomorphism* between structures  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}'$  is a mapping  $h: A \hookrightarrow A'$  such that

- (i)  $\forall i < m, \forall a \in A^{r_i}, [R_i(a_0, \dots, a_{r_i-1}) \iff R'_i(h(a_0), \dots, h(a_{r_i-1}))]$
- (ii)  $\forall j < n, \forall a \in A^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \iff (h(a_0), \dots, h(a_{f_j-1})) \in \text{dom } F'_j]$
- (iii)  $\forall j < n, \forall a \in A^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \implies h(F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1})) = F'_j(h(a_0), \dots, h(a_{f_j-1}))]$ .

**Definition 3.5.12: Automorphism**

An isomorphism between a structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  and itself is called an *automorphism*.

**Definition 3.5.13: Closed Set**

Fix a structure  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$ . A set  $B \subseteq A$  is called *closed* if

$$\forall j < n, \forall a \in B^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \implies F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in B].$$

**Definition 3.5.14: Closure**

Fix a structure  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$ . Let  $C \subseteq A$ . The *closure* of  $C$ ,

$$\overline{C} \triangleq \bigcap \{B \subseteq A \mid C \subseteq B \text{ and } B \text{ is closed}\},$$

is the least closed set containing all elements of  $C$ .

**Theorem 3.5.15**

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$  be a structure and let  $C \subseteq A$ . If the sequence  $\langle C_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  is defined recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= C; \\ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, C_{i+1} &= C_i \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} F_j[C_i^{f_j}], \end{aligned}$$

then  $\overline{C} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i$ .

**Proof.** Note the recursive definition is justified by The Recursion Theorem. Let  $\tilde{C} \triangleq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i$ .

**Claim 1.**  $\bar{C} \subseteq \tilde{C}$

**Proof.** Since we have  $C_0 \subseteq \tilde{C}$ , it is enough to show that  $\tilde{C}$  is closed.

Take any  $j < n$  and  $a \in \tilde{C}^{f_j}$ . By the definition of  $\tilde{C}$ ,  $\forall r < f_j, \exists i_r \in \mathbb{N}, a_r \in C_{i_r}$ . We may take  $\bar{i} = \max\{i_r \mid r < f_j\}$  by Exercise 3.5.13. Since  $C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $a_r \in C_{i_r} \subseteq C_{\bar{i}}$  for all  $r < f_j$ . Hence, if  $(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j$ , we have  $F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in F_j[C_{\bar{i}}^{f_j}] \subseteq C_{\bar{i}+1} \subseteq \tilde{C}$ . Hence,  $\tilde{C}$  is closed.  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $\tilde{C} \subseteq \bar{C}$

**Proof.** Clearly  $C_0 = C \subseteq \bar{C}$ . If  $C_i \subseteq \bar{C}$ , then, for each  $j < n$ ,  $F_j[C_i^{f_j}] \subseteq \bar{C}$  since  $\bar{C}$  is closed. Hence,  $C_{i+1} \subseteq \bar{C}$ . Therefore, by The Induction Principle,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, C_i \subseteq \bar{C}$ ; hence  $\tilde{C} \subseteq \bar{C}$ .  $\square$

Combining Claims 1 and 2 completes the proof.  $\square$

### Theorem 3.5.16 The General Induction Principle

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$  be a structure and let  $C \subseteq A$ . Let  $P(x)$  be a property. If

- (i)  $\forall a \in C, P(a)$
  - (ii)  $\forall j < n, \forall a \in A^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \wedge \forall i < f_j, P(a_i) \implies P(F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}))]$
- hold, then  $\forall x \in \bar{C}, P(x)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B = \{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$ . (i) says  $C \subseteq B$  and (ii) says  $B$  is closed. Therefore,  $\bar{C} \subseteq B$ .  $\square$

#### Note:-

The Induction Principle is a special case of The General Induction Principle for the structure  $(\mathbb{N}, S)$  where  $S$  is the successor function.

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 3.5.4

Let  $B = \mathcal{P}(A)$ . Show that  $(B, \cup_B, \cap_B)$  and  $(B, \cap_B, \cup_B)$  are isomorphic structures.

**Proof.** Let  $h: B \rightarrow B$  be defined by  $h(X) = A \setminus X$ . If  $A \setminus X = A \setminus Y$ , then  $X = A \setminus (A \setminus X) = A \setminus (A \setminus Y) = Y$  by Exercise 1.4.2 (iii). Moreover,  $h(h(X)) = X$  for all  $X \in B$ . Hence,  $h: B \hookrightarrow B$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3.5.7

Let  $R$  be a set whose elements are  $n$ -tuples. Then,  $R$  is an  $n$ -ary relation in  $A$  for some  $A$ .

**Proof.** Let  $a \in R$ . Then,  $a = \{(0, a_0), \dots, (n-1, a_{n-1})\}$ . For each  $i < n$ ,  $a_i \in \{i, a_i\} \in (i, a_i) \in a \in R$ . Hence,  $a_i \in \bigcup [\bigcup (\bigcup R)]$ , i.e.,  $R$  is an  $n$ -ary relation in  $A = \bigcup [\bigcup (\bigcup R)]$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3.5.13

Let  $\langle k_0, \dots, k_{n-1} \rangle$  be a finite sequence of natural numbers of length  $n \geq 1$ . Then, its range  $\{k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}\}$  has a greatest element.

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “the range of a finite sequence of natural numbers of length  $x$  has a greatest element.”

Let  $\langle k_0 \rangle$  be a sequence of natural numbers of length 1. Then,  $k_0 = \max \text{ran} \langle k_0 \rangle$ . Hence,  $P(1)$ .

Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(n)$ . Take any  $k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$  with length  $n + 1$ . Let  $k' = \langle k_0, \dots, k_{n-1} \rangle$  be another sequence. Then, by  $P(n)$ , there exists  $m' = \max\{k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}\}$ . Now, let  $m = \max\{m', k_n\}$ . Then, for all  $i < n$ ,  $k_i \leq m' \leq m$ , and  $k_n \leq m$ . Hence,  $m$  is an upper bound of  $\text{ran } k$ ; the result follows by Theorem 3.2.8 and Exercise 3.2.11.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.5.15

Let  $R \subseteq A^2$  be a binary relation. Define a binary operation  $F_R$  on  $A^2$  by

$$F_R((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)) = \begin{cases} (a_1, b_2) & \text{if } a_2 = b_1 \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,

- (i) The closure of  $R$  in  $(A^2, F_R)$  is a transitive relation.
- (ii) If  $R$  is reflexive and symmetric,  $\bar{R}$  is also an equivalence.

**Proof.**

- (i) Take any  $a, b, c \in A$  and assume  $a\bar{R}b$  and  $b\bar{R}c$ . Then, since  $\bar{R}$  is closed,  $F((a, b), (b, c)) = (a, c) \in \bar{R}$ . Hence,  $\bar{R}$  is transitive.
- (ii)  $\text{Id}_A \subseteq R \subseteq \bar{R}$ ;  $\bar{R}$  is reflexive.

Let  $P(x, y)$  be the property “ $y\bar{R}x$ .” As  $R \subseteq \bar{R}$ , we have  $\forall (a, b) \in R, P(a, b)$ . Now, take any  $(a, b), (b, c) \in A^2$  such that  $P(a, b)$  and  $P(b, c)$ . Then, by (i), we have  $c\bar{R}a$ ;  $P(F_R((a, b), (b, c)))$  hold. Therefore, by The General Induction Principle,  $b\bar{R}a$  holds for all  $(a, b) \in \bar{R}$ .  $\square$

**End.**