

# Summary for Introduction to Set Theory

SEUNGWOO HAN

Hrbacek, Karel, and Thomas J. Jech. *Introduction to Set Theory, Revised and Expanded*. 3rd ed., CRC Press, 1999.

# CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>SETS</b>	<b>PAGE 3</b>
	1.1 Introduction to Sets	3
	1.2 Properties	3
	1.3 Axioms	3
	1.4 Elementary Operations on Sets	6
<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>RELATIONS, FUNCTION, AND ORDERING</b>	<b>PAGE 9</b>
	2.1 Ordered Pairs	9
	2.2 Relations	9
	2.3 Functions	13
	2.4 Equivalences and Partitions	18
	2.5 Orderings	20
<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>NATURAL NUMBERS</b>	<b>PAGE 27</b>
	3.1 Introduction to Natural Numbers	27
	3.2 Properties of Natural Numbers	28
	3.3 The Recursion Theorem	33
	3.4 Arithmetic of Natural Numbers	37
	3.5 Operations and Structures	45
<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>FINITE, COUNTABLE, AND UNCOUNTABLE SETS</b>	<b>PAGE 50</b>
	4.1 Cardinality of Sets	50
	4.2 Finite Sets	53
	4.3 Countably Infinite sets	57
	4.4 Linear Orderings	62
<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>CARDINAL NUMBERS</b>	<b>PAGE 66</b>
<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>ORDINAL NUMBERS</b>	<b>PAGE 67</b>



# Chapter 1

## Sets

### 1.1 Introduction to Sets

#### Definition 1.1.1: Set

Every object in the universe of discourse is called a *set*.

### 1.2 Properties

#### Definition 1.2.1: Property

Any mathematical sentence<sup>a</sup> is called a *property*. If  $X, Y, \dots, Z$  are free variables of a property  $Q$ , we write  $Q(X, Y, \dots, Z)$  and say  $Q(X, Y, \dots, Z)$  is a property of  $X, Y, \dots, Z$ .

<sup>a</sup>Refer to mathematical logic textbook for detailed discussion.

### 1.3 Axioms

#### Axiom I The Axiom of Existence

There exists a set which has no elements.

$$\exists A \forall x \neg(x \in A)$$

#### Note:-

The **Axiom of Existence** guarantees that the universe of discourse is not void.

#### Axiom II The Axiom of Extensionality

If every element of  $X$  is an element of  $Y$  and every element of  $Y$  is an element of  $X$ , then  $X = Y$ .

$$\forall X \forall Y [\forall x (x \in X \iff x \in Y) \implies X = Y]$$

#### Note:-

The **Axiom of Extensionality** defines the equality relation with the containment relation( $\in$ ).

### Lemma 1.3.1

There exists only one set with no elements.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  are sets such that  $\forall x \neg(x \in A)$  and  $\forall x \neg(x \in B)$ . Then, we have  $\forall x (x \in A \iff x \in B)$ . Therefore, by **The Axiom of Extensionality**,  $A = B$  is guaranteed.  $\square$

### Definition 1.3.2: Empty Set

The unique set with no elements is called the *empty set* and is denoted  $\emptyset$ .

#### Note:-

Definition 1.3.2 is justified by Lemma 1.3.1.

### Axiom III The Axiom Schema of Comprehension

Let  $P(x)$  be a property of  $x$ . For any set  $A$ , there exists a set  $B$  such that  $x \in B$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $P(x)$ .

$$\forall A \exists B (x \in B \iff x \in A \wedge P(x))$$

#### Note:-

Axiom III is a *axiom schema* since it provides unlimited amount of axioms for varying  $P$ .

### Lemma 1.3.3

Let  $P(x)$  be a property of  $x$ . For any set  $A$ , there uniquely exists a set  $B$  such that  $x \in B$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $P(x)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B'$  be another set such that  $x \in B'$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $P(x)$ . Then, for any  $x$ , we have  $x \in B' \iff x \in A \wedge P(x) \iff x \in B$ . Hence, by **The Axiom of Extensionality**, we have  $B = B'$ .  $\square$

### Notation 1.3.4: Set-Builder Notation

Let  $P(x)$  be a property of  $x$ . Let  $A$  be a set. The unique set  $B$  such that  $x \in B$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $P(x)$  is denoted  $\{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$ .

#### Note:-

Notation 1.3.4 is justified by Lemma 1.3.3.

### Axiom IV The Axiom of Pair

For any  $A$  and  $B$ , there exists  $C$  such that  $x \in C$  if and only if  $x = A$  or  $x = B$ .

$$\forall A \forall B \exists C (x \in C \iff x = A \vee x = B)$$

#### Note:-

Similarly, the set  $C$  such that  $x \in C \iff x = A \vee x = B$  is unique by **The Axiom of Extensionality**.

### Notation 1.3.5

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. The unique set  $C$  such that  $x \in C$  if and only if  $x = A$  or  $x = B$  is denoted  $\{A, B\}$ . In particular, if  $A = B$ , we write  $\{A\}$  instead of  $\{A, A\}$ .

### Axiom V The Axiom of Union

For any  $S$ , there exists  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  if and only if  $x \in A$  for some  $A \in S$ .

$$\forall S \exists U (x \in U \iff \exists A x \in A \wedge A \in S)$$

### Definition 1.3.6: The Union of System of Sets

Let  $S$  be a set. The unique set  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  if and only if  $x \in A$  for some  $A \in S$  is denoted  $\bigcup S$ .

### Definition 1.3.7: The Union of Two Sets

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. Then,  $A \cup B$  denotes the unique set  $\bigcup \{A, B\}$ .

### Definition 1.3.8: Subset

Let  $A$  and  $B$  sets.  $B$  is said to be a *subset* of  $A$  if  $\forall x (x \in B \implies x \in A)$ . If  $B$  is a subset of  $A$ , then we write  $B \subseteq A$ .

### Axiom VI The Axiom of Power Set

For any  $S$ , there exists  $P$  such that  $X \in P$  if and only if  $X \subseteq S$ .

#### Note:-

Similarly, the set  $P$  is unique by [The Axiom of Extensionality](#).

### Definition 1.3.9: Power Set

Let  $S$  be a set. The unique set  $P$  such that  $X \in P$  if and only if  $X \subseteq S$  is called the *power set* of  $S$  and is denoted  $\mathcal{P}(S)$ .

### Lemma 1.3.10

Let  $P(x)$  be a property of  $x$ . Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be sets such that  $P(x) \implies x \in A \wedge x \in A'$ . Then,  $\{x \in A \mid P(x)\} = \{x \in A' \mid P(x)\}$ .

**Proof.** For all  $x$ , we have  $x \in A \wedge P(x) \iff P(x) \iff x \in A' \wedge P(x)$ . Therefore, by [The Axiom of Extensionality](#), the result follows.  $\square$

### Notation 1.3.11

Let  $P(x)$  be a property of  $x$ . If there exists a set  $A$  such that  $P(x)$  implies  $x \in A$ , we write  $\{x \mid P(x)\} \triangleq \{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$ , and it is called *the set of all  $x$  with the property  $P(x)$* .

#### Note:-

Notation [1.3.11](#) is justified by Lemma [1.3.10](#).

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 1.3.1

The set of all  $x$  such that  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B$  exists.

**Proof.** We have  $x \in A \wedge x \notin B \implies x \in A$ . Hence, the set exists and is equal to  $\{x \in A \mid x \in A \wedge x \notin B\}$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 1.3.2

Prove **The Axiom of Existence** only from **The Axiom Schema of Comprehension** and **The Weak Axiom of Existence**.

**Weak Axiom of Existence** Some set exists.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a set known to exist. Then, there exists  $B = \{x \in A \mid x \neq x\}$  by **The Axiom Schema of Comprehension**. Since  $\forall x (x = x)$ ,  $\forall x (x \notin B)$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 1.3.3

- (a) Prove that a set of all sets ( $\{x \mid \top\}$ ) does not exist.
- (b) Prove that  $\forall A \exists x (x \notin A)$ .

**Proof.**

- (a) Suppose  $V = \{x \mid \top\}$  exists. Then, by **The Axiom Schema of Comprehension**,  $R = \{x \in V \mid x \notin x\}$  exists. However, we have  $R \in R \iff R \notin R$  by definition of  $R$ . Hence,  $V$  does not exist.
- (b) Suppose  $\exists A \forall x (x \in A)$  for the sake of contradiction. Then,  $A$  is the set of all sets, which is impossible by (a).  $\square$

### Exercise 1.3.6

Prove  $\forall X \neg(\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq X)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $Y = \{u \in X \mid u \notin u\}$ . Then, by definition,  $Y \subseteq X$ , and thus  $Y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Now, suppose  $Y \in X$  for the sake of contradiction. Then,  $Y \in Y \iff Y \in X \wedge Y \notin Y \iff Y \notin Y$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $Y \notin X$ .  $\square$

## 1.4 Elementary Operations on Sets

### Definition 1.4.1: Proper Subset

Let  $A$  and  $B$  sets.  $B$  is said to be a *proper subset* of  $A$  if  $B \subseteq A$  and  $B \neq A$ . If  $B$  is a proper subset of  $A$ , we write  $B \subsetneq A$ .

### Definition 1.4.2: Elementary Operations on Sets

- (i) Intersection
  - The *intersection* of  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A \cap B$ , is the set  $\{x \mid x \in A \wedge x \in B\}$ .
- (ii) Union
  - The *union* of  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A \cup B$ , is the set  $\{x \mid x \in A \vee x \in B\}$ .
- (iii) Difference
  - The *difference* of  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A \setminus B$ , is the set  $\{x \mid x \in A \wedge x \notin B\}$ .
- (iv) Symmetric Difference
  - The *symmetric difference* of  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A \Delta B$ , is the set  $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ .

### Lemma 1.4.3 Simple Properties of Elementary Operations

- (i) Commutativity
  - $A \cap B = B \cap A$
  - $A \cup B = B \cup A$
  - $A \Delta B = B \Delta A$
- (ii) Associativity
  - $(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C)$
  - $(A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)$
  - $(A \Delta B) \Delta C = A \Delta (B \Delta C)$
- (iii) Distributivity
  - $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$
  - $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$
- (iv) De Morgan's Laws
  - $C \setminus (A \cap B) = (C \setminus A) \cup (C \setminus B)$
  - $C \setminus (A \cup B) = (C \setminus A) \cap (C \setminus B)$
- (v) Miscellaneous
  - $A \cap (B \setminus C) = (A \cap B) \setminus C$
  - $A \setminus B = \emptyset \iff A \subseteq B$
  - $A \Delta B = \emptyset \iff A = B$

### Definition 1.4.4: Intersection of System of Sets

Let  $S$  be a nonempty set. Then, the *intersection*  $\bigcap S$  is the set  $\{x \mid \forall A \in S (x \in A)\}$ .

#### Note:-

Note that  $\bigcap S$  exists for all nonempty  $S$  since  $\forall A \in S (x \in A) \implies x \in A_1$  where  $A_1$  is any set such that  $A_1 \in S$ .

### Definition 1.4.5: System of Mutually Disjoint Sets

We say the sets  $A$  and  $B$  are *disjoint* if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . A set  $S$  is a *system of mutually disjoint sets* if  $\forall A, B \in S, (A \neq B \implies A \cap B = \emptyset)$ .



## Selected Problems

### Exercise 1.4.2

- (i)  $A \setminus B = (A \cup B) \setminus B = A \setminus (A \cap B)$
- (ii)  $A \setminus (B \setminus C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap C)$
- (iii)  $A \cap B = A \setminus (A \setminus B)$

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad x \in A \wedge x \notin B &\iff x \in A \wedge x \notin B \vee x \in B \wedge x \notin B &> \vee\text{-intro} / \vee\text{-syllogism} \\ &\iff (x \in A \vee x \in B) \wedge x \notin B &> \text{Distribution} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A \wedge x \notin B &\iff x \in A \wedge x \notin A \vee x \in A \wedge x \notin B &> \vee\text{-intro} / \vee\text{-syllogism} \\ &\iff x \in A \wedge (x \notin A \vee x \notin B) &> \text{Distribution} \\ &\iff x \in A \wedge \neg(x \in A \wedge x \in B) &> \text{De Morgan's Law} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad x \in A \wedge \neg(x \in B \wedge x \notin C) &\iff x \in A \wedge (x \notin B \vee x \in C) &> \text{De Morgan's Law} \\ &\iff (x \in A \wedge x \notin B) \vee (x \in A \wedge x \in C) &> \text{Distribution} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(iii)} \quad \text{By (ii), } A \setminus (A \setminus B) = (A \setminus A) \cup (A \cap B) = A \cap B. \quad \square$$

### Exercise 1.4.4

For any set  $A$ , prove that a “complement” of  $A$  ( $\{x \mid x \notin A\}$ ) does not exist.

**Proof.** Let  $B$  be the complement of  $A$  for the sake of contradiction. Then,  $A \cup B$  is the set of all sets, which is impossible by Exercise 1.3.3.  $\square$

# Chapter 2

## Relations, Function, and Ordering

### 2.1 Ordered Pairs

#### Definition 2.1.1: Ordered Pair

$$(a, b) \triangleq \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$$

#### Theorem 2.1.2

$$(a, b) = (a', b') \iff a = a' \wedge b = b'$$

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) is direct.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $a = b$ , we have  $\{\{a\}\} = \{\{a'\}, \{a', b'\}\}$ , and thus  $\{a\} = \{a'\} = \{a', b'\}$ , leaving the only option  $a = a' = b'$ .

If  $a \neq b$ , we must have  $a' \neq b'$  by the argument above. Hence, we have  $\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} = \{\{a'\}, \{a', b'\}\}$ , which implies  $\{a\} = \{a'\}$  and  $\{a, b\} = \{a', b'\}$ .  $\square$

#### Definition 2.1.3: Ordered Triples and Quadruples

- $(a, b, c) = ((a, b), c)$
- $(a, b, c, d) = ((a, b, c), d)$

### Selected Problems

#### Exercise 2.1.1

If  $a, b \in A$ , then  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$ .

**Proof.** If  $a, b \in A$ , then  $\{a\}, \{a, b\} \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ , and thus  $(a, b) = \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ .  $\square$

### 2.2 Relations

#### Definition 2.2.1: Binary Relation

A set  $R$  is a *binary relation* if all elements of  $R$  are ordered pairs.

$$R \text{ is a binary relation} \iff (a \in R \implies \exists x, \exists y, a = (x, y))$$

### Notation 2.2.2

If  $(x, y) \in R$ , we write  $xRy$  and say  $x$  is in relation  $R$  with  $y$ .

### Definition 2.2.3: Domain, Range, and Field of Binary Relation

Let  $R$  be a binary relation.

- $\text{dom}R \triangleq \{x \mid \exists y \, xRy\}$  is called the *domain* of  $R$ .
- $\text{ran}R \triangleq \{y \mid \exists x \, xRy\}$  is called the *range* of  $R$ .
- $\text{field}R \triangleq \text{dom}R \cup \text{ran}R$  is called the *field* of  $R$ .
- If  $\text{field}R \subseteq X$ , we say that  $R$  is a *relation in*  $X$  or that  $R$  is a relation *between* elements of  $X$ .

### Lemma 2.2.4

Let  $R$  be a binary relation. Then,  $\text{dom}R$  and  $\text{ran}R$  exist.

**Proof.** By Exercise 2.2.1, if  $xRy$ , then  $x, y \in A \triangleq \bigcup(\bigcup R)$ . Hence,  $\text{dom}R$  and  $\text{ran}R$  exist.  $\square$

### Definition 2.2.5: Image and Inverse Image

Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $A$  be a set.

- $R[A] \triangleq \{y \in \text{ran}R \mid \exists x \in A, xRy\}$  is called the *image* of  $A$  under  $R$ .
- $R^{-1}[A] \triangleq \{x \in \text{dom}R \mid \exists y \in A, xRy\}$  is called the *inverse image* of  $A$  under  $R$ .

### Notation 2.2.6

We write  $\{(x, y) \mid P(x, y)\}$  instead of  $\{w \mid \exists x, \exists y, w = (x, y) \wedge P(x, y)\}$ .

### Definition 2.2.7: Inverse Relation

Let  $R$  be a binary relation. The *inverse* of  $R$  is the set

$$R^{-1} \triangleq \{(x, y) \mid yRx\}.$$

### Definition 2.2.8: Composition

Let  $R$  and  $S$  be binary relations. The relation

$$S \circ R \triangleq \{(x, z) \mid \exists y, xRy \wedge ySz\}$$

is called the *composition* of  $R$  and  $S$ .

### Definition 2.2.9: Membership Relation and Identity Relation

Let  $A$  be a set.

- The *membership relation on  $A$*  is defined by

$$\in_A \triangleq \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in A \wedge a \in b\}.$$

- The *identity relation on  $A$*  is defined by

$$\text{Id}_A \triangleq \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}.$$

### Definition 2.2.10: Cartesian Product

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. The set  $A \times B \triangleq \{(a, b) \mid a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$  is called the *Cartesian product* of  $A$  and  $B$ .

#### Lemma 2.2.11

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets.  $A \times B$  exists.

**Proof.** If  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , by Exercise 2.1.1, we have  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A \cup B))$ . □

#### Corollary 2.2.12

Let  $R$  and  $S$  be binary relations and  $A$  be a set. Then,  $R^{-1}$ ,  $S \circ R$ ,  $\in_A$ , and  $\text{Id}_A$  exist.

**Proof.**

- If  $yRx$ , then  $(x, y) \in (\text{ran } R) \times (\text{dom } R)$ .
- If  $(x, z) \in S \circ R$ , then  $(x, z) \in (\text{dom } R) \times (\text{ran } S)$ .
- If  $a, b \in A$ , then  $(a, b) \in A \times A$ .
- If  $a \in A$ , then  $(a, a) \in A \times A$ . □

#### Lemma 2.2.13

Let  $R$  be a binary relation. The inverse image of  $A$  under  $R$  is equal to the image of  $A$  under  $R^{-1}$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $\text{dom } R = \{x \mid \exists y \, xRy\} = \{x \mid \exists y \, yR^{-1}x\} = \text{ran } R^{-1}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & x \in (\text{the inverse image of } A \text{ under } R) \\ \iff & x \in \text{dom } R \wedge \exists y \in A, \, xRy \\ \iff & x \in \text{ran } R^{-1} \wedge \exists y \in A, \, yR^{-1}x \\ \iff & x \in (\text{the image of } A \text{ under } R^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$
□

#### Note:-

Lemma 2.2.13 resolves the possible ambiguity on the expression  $R^{-1}[A]$ .

#### Notation 2.2.14

We write  $A^2$  instead of  $A \times A$ .

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 2.2.1

Let  $R$  be a binary relation. Let  $A = \bigcup (\bigcup R)$ . Prove that  $(x, y) \in R$  implies  $x \in A$  and  $y \in A$ .

**Proof.** If  $(x, y) = \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\} \in R$ , Then  $\{x, y\} \in \bigcup R$ , and thus  $x, y \in A$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 2.2.3

Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. Prove:

- (i)  $R[A \cup B] = R[A] \cup R[B]$ .
- (ii)  $R[A \cap B] \subseteq R[A] \cap R[B]$ .
- (iii)  $R[A \setminus B] \supseteq R[A] \setminus R[B]$ .
- (iv) Show by an example that  $\subseteq$  and  $\supseteq$  in parts (ii) and (iii) cannot be replaced by  $=$ .
- (v)  $R^{-1}[R[A]] \supseteq A \cap \text{dom } R$  and  $R[R^{-1}[B]] \supseteq B \cap \text{ran } R$ . Give examples where equality does not hold.

**Proof.**

- (i)  $y \in R[A \cup B] \iff \exists x, x \in A \cup B \wedge xRy$   
 $\iff \exists x, (x \in A \wedge xRy) \vee (x \in B \wedge xRy)$   
 $\iff y \in R[A] \vee y \in R[B] \iff y \in R[A] \cup R[B]$
- (ii) Take any  $y \in R[A \cap B]$ . Then, there exists  $x \in A \cap B$  such that  $xRy$ . Hence,  $y \in R[A]$  and  $y \in R[B]$ .
- (iii) Take any  $y \in R[A] \setminus R[B]$ . Then, there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $xRy$ . If  $x \in B$ , it implies that  $y \in R[B]$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $x \in A \setminus B$ . Therefore,  $y \in R[A \setminus B]$ .
- (iv) Let  $a, b$ , and  $c$  be mutually different sets. Let  $R = \{(a, a), (b, a), (c, c)\}$ . Let  $A = \{a, c\}$  and  $B = \{b, c\}$ . Then,  $R[A \cap B] = \{c\} \subsetneq R[A] \cap R[B] = \{a, c\}$ , and  $R[A] \setminus R[B] = \emptyset \subsetneq R[A \setminus B] = \{a\}$ .
- (v) Take any  $a \in A \cap \text{dom } R$ . Then, there exists  $b$  such that  $aRb$ . Moreover,  $b \in R[A]$ . Since  $bR^{-1}a$ , we conclude that  $a \in R^{-1}[R[A]]$ .  
 Take any  $b \in B \cap \text{ran } R$ . Then, there exists  $a$  such that  $aRb$ . Moreover,  $a \in R^{-1}[B]$ . Hence,  $b \in R[R^{-1}[B]]$ .

### Exercise 2.2.4

Let  $R \subseteq X \times Y$ . Prove:

- (i)  $R[X] = \text{ran } R$  and  $R^{-1}[Y] = \text{dom } R$ .
- (ii)  $\text{dom } R = \text{ran } R^{-1}$  and  $\text{ran } R = \text{dom } R^{-1}$ .
- (iii)  $(R^{-1})^{-1} = R$ .
- (iv)  $R^{-1} \circ R \supseteq \text{Id}_{\text{dom } R}$  and  $R \circ R^{-1} \supseteq \text{Id}_{\text{ran } R}$

**Proof.**

- (i) We already have  $R[X] \subseteq \text{ran } R$  by definition. Take any  $y \in \text{ran } R$ . There exists  $x$  such that  $(x, y) \in R$ . Since  $R \subseteq X \times Y$ ,  $x \in X$ . Therefore,  $y \in R[X]$ ;  $\text{ran } R \subseteq R[X]$ . A similar argument goes for  $R^{-1}[Y]$ .
- (ii) See the proof of Lemma 2.2.13.
- (iii) For any relation  $R$  and for all  $x$  and  $y$ , we have  $xRy \iff yR^{-1}x$ . Since  $R^{-1}$  is also a relation, we have  $xRy \iff yR^{-1}x \iff x(R^{-1})^{-1}y$ .
- (iv) Take any  $x \in \text{dom } R$ . Then, there exists  $y$  such that  $xRy$ . Then,  $yR^{-1}x$ , and thus  $x(R^{-1} \circ R)x$ . A similar argument goes for  $R \circ R^{-1}$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 2.2.8

$A \times B = \emptyset$  if and only if  $A = \emptyset$  or  $B = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.**  $(\Rightarrow)$  If  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $(a, b) \in A \times B$  where  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , and thus  $A \times B \neq \emptyset$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  If  $A \times B \neq \emptyset$ , then  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  where  $(a, b) \in A \times B$ .  $\square$

## 2.3 Functions

### Definition 2.3.1: Function

A binary relation  $F$  is called a *function* (or *mapping*) if

$$\forall a \forall b_1 \forall b_2 (aFb_1 \wedge aFb_2 \implies b_1 = b_2).$$

For each  $a \in \text{dom } F$ , the unique  $b$  such that  $aFb$  is called the *value of  $F$  at  $a$*  and is denoted  $F(a)$  or  $F_a$ .

### Notation 2.3.2

If  $F$  is a function with  $\text{dom } F = A$  and  $\text{ran } F \subseteq B$ , we write  $F: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $\langle F(a) \mid a \in A \rangle$ ,  $\langle F_a \mid a \in A \rangle$ ,  $\langle F_a \rangle_{a \in A}$  for the function  $F$ . The range of the function  $F$  can then be denoted  $\{F(a) \mid a \in A\}$  or  $\{F_a\}_{a \in A}$ .

### Lemma 2.3.3

Let  $F$  and  $G$  be functions.  $F = G \iff \text{dom } F = \text{dom } G \wedge \forall x \in \text{dom } F, F(x) = G(x)$ .

**Proof.**  $(\Rightarrow)$  is direct.

$(\Leftarrow)$  Take any  $(x, F(x)) \in F$ . Then, we have  $(x, F(x)) = (x, G(x)) \in G$ . Therefore,  $F \subseteq G$ . Similarly,  $G \subseteq F$ , and thus  $F = G$ .  $\square$

### Definition 2.3.4

Let  $F$  be a function and  $A$  and  $B$  be sets.

- $F$  is a function *on*  $A$  if  $\text{dom } F = A$ .
- $F$  is a function *into*  $B$  if  $\text{ran } F \subseteq B$ .
- $F$  is a function *onto*  $B$  if  $\text{ran } F = B$ .
- The *restriction* of the function  $F$  to  $A$  is the function  $F|_A \triangleq \{(a, b) \in F \mid a \in A\}$ . If  $G$  is a restriction of  $F$  to some  $A$ , we say that  $F$  is an *extension* of  $G$ .

### Theorem 2.3.5

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions.

- $g \circ f$  is a function.
- $\text{dom}(g \circ f) = (\text{dom } f) \cap f^{-1}[\text{dom } g]$ .
- $\forall x \in \text{dom}(g \circ f), (g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ .

**Proof.**

- (i) Suppose  $x(g \circ f)z_1$  and  $x(g \circ f)z_2$ . There exists  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  such that  $xfy_1$ ,  $y_1gz_1$ ,  $xfy_2$ , and  $y_2gz_2$ . Since  $f$  and  $g$  are functions, we have  $y_1 = y_2$  and  $z_1 = z_2$ . Therefore,  $g \circ f$  is a function.
- (ii)  $x \in \text{dom}(g \circ f) \iff \exists z x(g \circ f)z$   
 $\iff \exists z \exists y xfy \wedge ygz$   
 $\iff x \in \text{dom } f \wedge f(x) \in \text{dom } g \iff x \in \text{dom } f \wedge x \in f^{-1}[\text{dom } g] \quad \square$

### Definition 2.3.6: Invertible Function

A function  $f$  is said to be *invertible* if  $f^{-1}$  is a function.

### Definition 2.3.7: Injective Function

A function  $f$  is said to be *injective* (or *one-to-one*) if

$$\forall a_1, a_2 \in \text{dom } f, (f(a_1) = f(a_2) \implies a_1 = a_2).$$

### Notation 2.3.8

Let  $f$  be a function.

- If  $f$  is a function on  $A$  onto  $B$ , we may write  $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ .
- If  $f$  is an *injective* function on  $A$  into  $B$ , we may write  $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ .
- If  $f$  is an *injective* function on  $A$  onto  $B$ , we may write  $f : A \xhookrightarrow{\quad} B$ .
- If  $f$  is a function on a *subset* of  $A$  into  $B$ , we may write  $f : A \rightharpoonup B$ .

### Theorem 2.3.9

Let  $f$  be a function.

- (i)  $f$  is invertible if and only if  $f$  is one-to-one.  
(ii) If  $f$  is invertible, then  $f^{-1}$  is also invertible and  $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$ .

**Proof.**

- (i) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $f^{-1}$  is a function. Then,  $f^{-1}(f(a)) = a$  for all  $a \in \text{dom } f$ . Hence, for all  $a_1, a_2 \in \text{dom } f$  such that  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ , it follows that  $a_1 = f^{-1}(f(a_1)) = f^{-1}(f(a_2)) = a_2$ ;  $f$  is one-to-one.  
( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $f$  is one-to-one. If  $yf^{-1}x_1$  and  $yf^{-1}x_2$ , then  $x_1fy$  and  $x_2fy$ , i.e.,  $y = f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Therefore,  $x_1 = x_2$ ;  $f^{-1}$  is a function.
- (ii) As  $f$  is a relation, by Exercise 2.2.4 (iii),  $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$ , and thus  $f^{-1}$  is invertible.  $\square$

### Definition 2.3.10: Compatible Functions

- Functions  $f$  and  $g$  are called *compatible* if  $\forall x \in (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g), f(x) = g(x)$ .
- A set of functions  $F$  is called a *compatible system of functions* if any two functions  $f$  and  $g$  from  $F$  are compatible.

### Lemma 2.3.11

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions.

- (i)  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible if and only if  $f \cup g$  is a function.  
(ii)  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible if and only if  $f|_{(\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)} = g|_{(\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)}$ .

**Proof.**

- (i)  $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose  $x(f \cup g)y_1$  and  $x(f \cup g)y_2$ . WLOG,  $(x, y_1) \in f$ . If  $(x, y_2) \in f$ , since  $f$  is a function,  $y_1 = y_2$ . If  $(x, y_2) \in g$ , since  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible,  $y_1 = f(x) = g(x) = y_2$ . Therefore,  $f \cup g$  is a function.
- $(\Leftarrow)$  Take any  $x \in (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$ .  $(x, f(x)) \in f \cup g$  and  $(x, g(x)) \in f \cup g$ . Since  $f \cup g$  is a function, we have  $f(x) = g(x)$ .
- (ii) Let  $A = (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$ .
- $(\Rightarrow)$  By definition,  $\text{dom } f|_A = \text{dom } g|_A = (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$ . Moreover, for all  $x \in (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$ ,  $f|_A(x) = f(x) = g(x) = g|_A(x)$ . Hence, the result follows by Lemma 2.3.3.
- $(\Leftarrow)$  Take any  $x \in A$ . Then,  $f(x) = f|_A(x) = g|_A(x) = g(x)$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 2.3.12

If  $F$  is a compatible system of functions, then  $\bigcup F$  is a function with  $\text{dom } \bigcup F = \bigcup \{\text{dom } f \mid f \in F\}$ . The function  $\bigcup F$  extends all  $f \in F$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $\bigcup F$  is already a relation. If  $(a, b_1), (a, b_2) \in \bigcup F$ , then there exist  $f_1, f_2 \in F$  such that  $(a, b_1) \in f_1$  and  $(a, b_2) \in f_2$ . Since  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are compatible and  $a \in (\text{dom } f_1) \cap (\text{dom } f_2)$ , we have  $b_1 = f_1(a) = f_2(a) = b_2$ . Hence,  $\bigcup F$  is a function.

$\text{dom } \bigcup F = \bigcup \{\text{dom } f \mid f \in F\}$  since

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \text{dom } \bigcup F &\iff \exists y, (x, y) \in \bigcup F \\ &\iff \exists y, \exists f \in F, (x, y) \in f \\ &\iff \exists f \in F, x \in \text{dom } f \iff x \in \bigcup \{\text{dom } f \mid f \in F\}. \end{aligned}$$

Take any  $f \in F$ . As  $f \cup \bigcup F = \bigcup F$ ,  $f$  and  $\bigcup F$  are compatible by Lemma 2.3.11 (i). Moreover,  $\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } \bigcup F = \text{dom } f$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.3.11 (ii),  $f = f|_{\text{dom } f} = (\bigcup F)|_{\text{dom } f}$ ;  $\bigcup F$  extends each  $f \in F$ .  $\square$

### Definition 2.3.13

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. Then, we define

$$B^A \triangleq \{f \mid f \text{ is a function on } A \text{ into } B\}.$$

### Definition 2.3.14: Indexed System of Sets

- Let  $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$  be a function with domain  $I$ . We call the function  $S$  an *indexed system of sets* whenever we stress that the values of  $S$  are sets.
- We say that a system of sets  $A$  is *indexed* by  $S$  if  $A = \{S_i \mid i \in I\} = \text{ran } S$ .

### Notation 2.3.15

If  $A$  is indexed by  $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ , we may write

$$\bigcup \{S_i \mid i \in I\} \quad \text{or} \quad \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$$

instead of  $\bigcup A$ . Similarly, we may write  $\bigcap \{S_i \mid i \in I\}$  or  $\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$  instead of  $\bigcap A$ .



**Definition 2.3.16: Product of Indexed System of Sets**

Let  $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$  be an indexed system of sets. We call the set

$$\prod S \triangleq \{f \mid f \text{ is a function on } I \text{ and } \forall i \in I, f_i \in S_i\}$$

the *product* of the indexed system  $S$ .

**Notation 2.3.17**

Other notations for the product of the indexed system  $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$  are:

$$\prod \langle S(i) \mid i \in I \rangle, \quad \prod_{i \in I} S(i), \quad \prod_{i \in I} S_i.$$

**Note:-**

The existence of  $B^A$  and  $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$  is proved in Exercise 2.3.9.

**Note:-**

If  $A = S_i$  for all  $i \in I$ ,  $\prod_{i \in I} S_i = A^I$ .

**Selected Problems****Exercise 2.3.4**

Let  $f$  be a function. If there exists a function  $g$  such that  $g \circ f = \text{Id}_{\text{dom } f}$ , then  $f$  is invertible and  $f^{-1} = g|_{\text{ran } f}$ .

**Proof.** For  $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom } f$ , suppose  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Then,  $x_1 = (g \circ f)(x_1) = g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2)) = (g \circ f)(x_2) = x_2$ . Hence,  $f$  is one-to-one and is invertible by Theorem 2.3.9.

Take any  $(y, x) \in f^{-1}$ . Then, as  $x \in \text{dom } f$ , we must have  $(y, x) \in \text{Id}_{\text{dom } f}$ . Hence,  $f^{-1} \subseteq g|_{\text{ran } f}$ . Now, take any  $(y, x) \in g|_{\text{ran } f}$ . Since  $y \in \text{ran } f$ , there exists  $x' \in \text{dom } f$  such that  $(x', y) \in f$ . Since  $g \circ f = \text{Id}_{\text{dom } f}$ , we have  $x = x'$ . Therefore,  $(y, x) \in f^{-1}$ ;  $g|_{\text{ran } f} \subseteq f^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.3.6**

Let  $f$  be a function.

- (i)  $f^{-1}[A \cap B] = f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B]$
- (ii)  $f^{-1}[A \setminus B] = f^{-1}[A] \setminus f^{-1}[B]$

**Proof.** Thanks to Exercise 2.2.3 (ii) and (iii), we only need to prove the other inclusions.

- (i) Take any  $x \in f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B]$ . Then, there exists  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  such that  $xf a$  and  $xf b$ . Since  $f$  is a function,  $a = b$ , and thus  $x \in f^{-1}[A \cap B]$ .
- (ii) Take any  $x \in f^{-1}[A \setminus B]$ . Then,  $f(x) \in A \setminus B$ . If  $x \in f^{-1}[B]$ , we would have  $f(x) \in B$ ; thus  $x \notin f^{-1}[B]$ . Therefore,  $x \in f^{-1}[A] \setminus f^{-1}[B]$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.3.8**

Every system of sets  $A$  can be indexed by a function.

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be the function  $\text{Id}_A$  so  $S_i = i$  for all  $i \in A$ . Then,  $A = \{S_i \mid i \in A\}$ ;  $A$  is indexed by  $S$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 2.3.9

- (i) Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. Prove that  $B^A$  exists.
- (ii) Let  $\langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$  be an indexed system of sets. Prove that  $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$  exists.

**Proof.**

- (i) If  $f$  is a function from  $A$  into  $B$ , then  $f \subseteq A \times B$ , i.e.,  $f \in \mathcal{P}(A \times B)$ .
- (ii) If  $f$  is a function on  $I$  and  $f_i \in S_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $f$  is a function onto  $\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$ . Hence,  $f \in (\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i)^I$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 2.3.10

Let  $\langle F_a \mid a \in \bigcup S \rangle$  be an indexed system of sets.

- (i)  $\bigcup_{a \in \bigcup S} F_a = \bigcup_{C \in S} [\bigcup_{a \in C} F_a]$
- (ii)  $\bigcap_{a \in \bigcup S} F_a = \bigcap_{C \in S} [\bigcap_{a \in C} F_a]$  if  $S \neq \emptyset$  and  $\forall C \in S, C \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.**

- (i)  $x \in \bigcup_{a \in \bigcup S} F_a \iff \exists a \in \bigcup S, x \in F_a$   
 $\iff \exists C \in S, \exists a \in C, x \in F_a$   
 $\iff \exists C \in S, x \in \bigcup_{a \in C} F_a \iff x \in \bigcup_{C \in S} [\bigcup_{a \in C} F_a]$
- (ii)  $x \in \bigcap_{a \in \bigcup S} F_a \iff \forall a \in \bigcup S, x \in F_a$   
 $\iff \forall C \in S, \forall a \in C, x \in F_a$   
 $\iff \forall C \in S, x \in \bigcap_{a \in C} F_a \iff x \in \bigcap_{C \in S} [\bigcap_{a \in C} F_a]$   $\square$

### Exercise 2.3.11

Let  $\langle F_a \mid a \in A \rangle$  be a nonempty indexed system of sets.

- (i)  $B \setminus \bigcup_{a \in A} F_a = \bigcap_{a \in A} (B \setminus F_a)$
- (ii)  $B \setminus \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a = \bigcup_{a \in A} (B \setminus F_a)$

**Proof.**

- (i)  $x \in B \setminus \bigcup_{a \in A} F_a \iff x \in B \wedge \neg(\exists a \in A, x \in F_a)$   
 $\iff x \in B \wedge \forall a \in A, x \notin F_a$   
 $\iff \forall a \in A, (x \in B \wedge x \notin F_a) \iff x \in \bigcap_{a \in A} (B \setminus F_a)$
- (ii)  $x \in B \setminus \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a \iff x \in B \wedge \neg(\forall a \in A, x \in F_a)$   
 $\iff x \in B \wedge \exists a \in A, x \notin F_a$   
 $\iff \exists a \in A, (x \in B \wedge x \notin F_a) \iff x \in \bigcup_{a \in A} (B \setminus F_a)$   $\square$

### Exercise 2.3.12

Let  $R$  be a relation and let  $\langle F_a \mid a \in A \rangle$  be an indexed system of sets.

- (i)  $R[\bigcup_{a \in A} F_a] = \bigcup_{a \in A} R[F_a]$
- (ii)  $R[\bigcap_{a \in A} F_a] \subseteq \bigcap_{a \in A} R[F_a]$  if  $A \neq \emptyset$ .
- (iii)  $R[\bigcap_{a \in A} F_a] = \bigcap_{a \in A} R[F_a]$  if  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $R$  is an injective function.

(iv)  $R^{-1}[\bigcap_{a \in A} F_a] = \bigcap_{a \in A} R^{-1}[F_a]$  if  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $R$  is a function.

**Proof.**

- (i)  $y \in R[\bigcup_{a \in A} F_a] \iff \exists x \in \bigcup_{a \in A} F_a, xRy$   
 $\iff \exists a \in A, \exists x \in F_a, xRy$   
 $\iff \exists a \in A, y \in R[F_a] \iff x \in \bigcup_{a \in A} R[F_a]$
- (ii) Take any  $y \in R[\bigcap_{a \in A} F_a]$ . Then, there exists  $x \in \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a$  such that  $xRy$ . Hence, for all  $a \in A$ ,  $y \in R[F_a]$ , i.e.,  $y \in \bigcap_{a \in A} R[F_a]$ .
- (iii) If  $R$  is an injective function, then  $R^{-1}$  is also a function. Hence, the result follows from (iv) and the fact that  $R = (R^{-1})^{-1}$ .
- (iv) Thanks to (ii), since  $R^{-1}$  is a relation, we only need to prove the other inclusion. Take any  $x \in \bigcap_{a \in A} R^{-1}[F_a]$ . Fix any  $a^* \in A$ . Then, there exists  $y^* \in F_{a^*}$  such that  $xRy^*$ .  
 Now, take any  $a \in A$ . Then,  $\exists y \in F_a$  such that  $xRy$ . Since  $R$  is a function,  $y = y^*$ ;  $y^* \in F_a$ , i.e.,  $y^* \in \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a$ . Therefore,  $x \in R^{-1}[\bigcap_{a \in A} F_a]$ .  $\square$

## 2.4 Equivalences and Partitions

### Definition 2.4.1: Equivalence

Let  $R$  be a binary relation in  $A$ .

- $R$  is called *reflexive* in  $A$  if  $\forall a \in A, aRa$ .
- $R$  is called *symmetric* in  $A$  if  $\forall a, b \in A, (aRb \implies bRa)$ .
- $R$  is called *transitive* in  $A$  if  $\forall a, b, c \in A, (aRb \wedge bRc \implies aRc)$ .
- $R$  is called an *equivalence* on  $A$  if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive in  $A$ .

### Definition 2.4.2: Equivalence Class

Let  $E$  be an equivalence on  $A$  and let  $a \in A$ . The *equivalence class of  $a$  modulo  $E$*  is the set

$$[a]_E \triangleq \{x \in A \mid xEa\}.$$

### Lemma 2.4.3

Let  $E$  be an equivalence on  $A$  and let  $a, b \in A$ .

- (i)  $aEb \iff [a]_E = [b]_E$   
 (ii)  $\neg(aEb) \iff [a]_E \cap [b]_E = \emptyset$

**Proof.**

- (i) ( $\implies$ ) Suppose  $aEb$ . Take any  $c \in [a]_E$ . Then,  $cEa$  and  $aEb$ , and thus  $cEb$  by transitivity. Hence,  $c \in [b]_E$ ;  $[a]_E \subseteq [b]_E$ .  $[b]_E \subseteq [a]_E$  can be shown similarly since  $bEa$  holds as  $E$  is symmetric.  
 ( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose  $[a]_E = [b]_E$ . Since  $aEa$  by reflexivity, we have  $a \in [a]_E = [b]_E$ . Therefore,  $aEb$ .
- (ii) ( $\implies$ ) Suppose  $[a]_E \cap [b]_E \neq \emptyset$ . Then, there exists  $c \in [a]_E \cap [b]_E$ , i.e.,  $cEa$  and  $cEb$ . Then, as  $E$  is symmetric, we have  $aEc$ , and therefore  $aEb$  by transitivity.  
 ( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose  $aEb$ . Then, since  $aEa$  by reflexivity, we have  $a \in [a]_E$ . We can see  $a \in [b]_E$  from (i). Hence,  $[a]_E \cap [b]_E \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.4.4: Partition**

A system  $S$  of nonempty sets is called a *partition* of  $A$  if

- (i)  $S$  is a system of mutually disjoint sets (Definition 1.4.5) and
- (ii)  $\bigcup S = A$ .

**Definition 2.4.5: System of All Equivalence Classes**

Let  $E$  be an equivalence on  $A$ . The *system of all equivalence classes* modulo  $E$  is the set

$$A/E \triangleq \{[a]_E \mid a \in A\}.$$

**Theorem 2.4.6**

Let  $E$  be an equivalence on  $A$ . Then,  $A/E$  is a partition of  $A$ .

**Proof.** If  $[a]_E \neq [b]_E$ , then by Lemma 2.4.3, we have  $[a]_E \cap [b]_E = \emptyset$ . Since  $E$  is reflexive,  $a \in [a]_E$ ; each  $[a]_E$  is nonempty. Therefore,  $A/E$  is a system of mutually disjoint nonempty sets.

Take any  $a \in A$ . Since  $E$  is reflexive,  $a \in [a]_E \subseteq \bigcup A/E$ . Therefore,  $A \subseteq \bigcup A/E$ . Conversely, since  $[a]_E \subseteq A$ , we have  $\bigcup A/E \subseteq A$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.4.7**

Let  $S$  be a partition of  $A$ . The relation  $E_S$  in  $A$  is defined by

$$E_S \triangleq \{(a, b) \in A \times A \mid \exists C \in S, a \in C \wedge b \in C\}.$$

**Theorem 2.4.8**

Let  $S$  be a partition of  $A$ . Then,  $E_S$  is an equivalence on  $A$ .

**Proof.**

- Take any  $a \in A$ . As  $A = \bigcup S$ , there exists  $C \in S$  such that  $a \in C$ . Therefore,  $aE_S a$ .  $E_S$  is reflexive.
- Assume  $aE_S b$ . Then, there exists  $C \in S$  such that  $a, b \in C$ . Hence,  $bE_S a$ .  $E_S$  is symmetric.
- Assume  $aE_S b$  and  $bE_S c$ . Then, there exist  $C, D \in S$  such that  $a, b \in C$  and  $b, c \in D$ . Then,  $C \cap D \neq \emptyset$  as  $b$  belongs to both sets. Hence,  $C = D$ , which implies  $aE_S c$ .  $E_S$  is transitive.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.9**

- (i) If  $E$  is an equivalence on  $A$  and  $S = A/E$ , then  $E_S = E$ .
- (ii) If  $S$  is a partition of  $A$ , then  $A/E_S = S$ .

**Proof.**

- (i)  $aE_S b \iff \exists C \in S, a \in C \wedge b \in C \iff \exists c \in A, a \in [c]_E \wedge b \in [c]_E \iff aEb$ .  
Definition 2.4.7 Lemma 2.4.3
- (ii) Take any  $[a]_{E_S} \in A/E_S$ . Since  $S$  is a partition, there (uniquely) exists  $C$  such that  $a \in C$ . Then, for all  $b$ , we have  $b \in C \iff aE_S b \iff b \in [a]_{E_S}$ ;  $C = [a]_{E_S}$ . Therefore,  
Lemma 2.4.3  
 $A/E_S \subseteq S$ .

For the converse, take any  $C \in S$ . As  $C$  is nonempty, we may take some  $a \in C$ . Similarly, we have  $C = [a]_{E_S}$ . Therefore,  $C \subseteq A/E_S$ .  $\square$

**Note:-**

Theorem 2.4.9 essentially states that equivalence and partition describe the same “mathematical reality.”

**Definition 2.4.10: Set of Representatives**

A set  $X \subseteq A$  is called a *set of representatives* for the equivalence  $E_S$  (or for the partition  $S$  of  $A$ ) if

$$\forall C \in S, \exists a \in C, X \cap C = \{a\}.$$

## Selected Problems

**Exercise 2.4.2**

Let  $f$  be a function on  $A$  onto  $B$ . Define a relation  $E$  in  $A$  by:  $aEb$  if and only if  $f(a) = f(b)$ .

- (i) Show that  $E$  is an equivalence on  $A$ .
- (ii) Show that  $[a]_E = [a']_E$  implies that  $f(a) = f(a')$  so that the function  $\varphi$  on  $A/E$  into  $B$  defined by  $\varphi([a]_E) = f(a)$  is well-defined. Show also that  $\varphi$  is onto  $B$ .
- (iii) Let  $j$  be the function on  $A$  onto  $A/E$  given by  $j(a) = [a]_E$ . Show that  $\varphi \circ j = f$ .

**Proof.**

- (i)  $E$  can readily be shown to be reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.
- (ii) Assume  $[a]_E = [a']_E$ . Then,  $f(a) = f(a')$  by definition of  $E$ . Hence,  $\varphi$  is well-defined. Take any  $b \in B$ . Since  $f$  is onto, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = b$ . Hence,  $\varphi([a]_E) = f(a) = b$ ;  $\varphi$  is onto  $B$ .
- (iii)  $\text{dom}(\varphi \circ j) = (\text{dom } j) \cap j^{-1}[\text{dom } \varphi] = A = \text{dom } f$  since  $j$  is onto. For all  $a \in A$ ,  $(\varphi \circ j)(a) = \varphi([a]_E) = f(a)$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.3.3,  $\varphi \circ j = f$ .  $\square$

## 2.5 Orderings

**Definition 2.5.1: Partial Ordering and Strict Ordering**

Let  $R$  be a binary relation in  $A$ .

- $R$  is called *antisymmetric* in  $A$  if  $\forall a, b \in A, (aRb \wedge bRa \implies a = b)$ .
- $R$  is called *asymmetric* in  $A$  if  $\forall a, b \in A, \neg(aRb \wedge bRa)$ .
- $R$  is called a *(partial) ordering* of  $A$  if it is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive in  $A$ .
- $R$  is called a *strict ordering* of  $A$  if it is asymmetric and transitive in  $A$ .
- If  $R$  is a partial ordering of  $A$ , then the pair  $(A, R)$  is called an *ordered set*.

**Example 2.5.2**

- Define the relation  $\subseteq_A$  in  $A$  as follows:  $x \subseteq_A y$  if and only if  $x, y \in A \wedge x \subseteq y$ . Then,  $(A, \subseteq_A)$  is an ordered set.
- The relation  $\text{Id}_A$  is a partial ordering of  $A$ .

**Theorem 2.5.3**

- (i) Let  $R$  be a partial ordering of  $A$ . Then the relation  $S$  in  $A$  defined by

$$S \triangleq R \setminus \text{Id}_A$$

is a strict ordering.

- (ii) Let  $S$  be a strict ordering of  $A$ . Then the relation  $R$  in  $A$  defined by

$$R \triangleq S \cup \text{Id}_A$$

is a partial ordering.

**Proof.**

- (i) Suppose  $aSb$  and  $bSa$ . Since  $S \subseteq R$ , we have  $aRb$  and  $bRa$ . As  $R$  is antisymmetric, we have  $aRa$ , which is impossible since  $S \cap \text{Id}_S = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $S$  is asymmetric in  $A$ .  
Now, assuming  $aSb$  and  $bSc$ , we also have  $aRc$  since  $R$  is transitive. Moreover,  $a$  cannot be equal to  $c$  since  $S$  is shown to be asymmetric. Therefore,  $aSc$ ;  $S$  is transitive in  $A$ .
- (ii) Assume  $aRb$  and  $bRa$ . If  $a \neq b$ , then we have  $aSb$  and  $bSa$ , which is impossible. Therefore,  $a = b$ ;  $R$  is antisymmetric.  
Assume  $aRb$  and  $bRc$ . If  $a = b$  or  $b = c$ , then we immediately have  $aRc$ . If  $a \neq b$  and  $b \neq c$ , then  $aSb$  and  $bSc$ , and thus  $aSc$  as  $S$  is transitive in  $A$ ;  $R$  is transitive in  $A$ ).  
 $R$  is reflexive in  $A$  since  $\text{Id}_A \subseteq R$ . □

**Notation 2.5.4**

- If  $R$  is a partial ordering, we say  $S = R \setminus \text{Id}_A$  corresponds to the partial ordering  $R$ .
- If  $S$  is a strict ordering, we say  $R = S \cup \text{Id}_A$  corresponds to the strict ordering  $S$ .

**Definition 2.5.5: Comparability**

Let  $a, b \in A$  and let  $\leq$  be a partial ordering of  $A$ .

- We say that  $a$  and  $b$  are *comparable* in the ordering  $\leq$  if  $a \leq b$  or  $b \leq a$ .
  - We say that  $a$  and  $b$  are *incomparable* in the ordering  $\leq$  if neither  $a \leq b$  nor  $b \leq a$ .
- They can be stated equivalently in terms of the corresponding strict ordering  $<$ .
- We say that  $a$  and  $b$  are *comparable* in the ordering  $<$  if  $a = b$  or  $a < b$  or  $b < a$ .
  - We say that  $a$  and  $b$  are *incomparable* in the ordering  $<$  if none of  $a = b$ ,  $a < b$ , and  $b < a$  holds.

**Definition 2.5.6: Total Ordering**

An ordering  $\leq$  (or  $<$ ) is called *linear* or *total* if any two elements of  $A$  are comparable. The pair  $(A, \leq)$  is then called a *totally ordered set*.

**Definition 2.5.7: Chain**

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .  $B$  is a *chain* in  $A$  if any two elements of  $B$  are comparable.

### Definition 2.5.8: Least/Minimal/Greatest/Maximal Element

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .

- $b \in B$  is the *least element* of  $B$  in the ordering  $\leq$  if  $\forall x \in B, b \leq x$ .
- $b \in B$  is a *minimal element* of  $B$  in the ordering  $\leq$  if  $\forall x \in B, (x \leq b \implies x = b)$ .
- $b \in B$  is the *greatest element* of  $B$  in the ordering  $\leq$  if  $\forall x \in B, x \leq b$ .
- $b \in B$  is a *maximal element* of  $B$  in the ordering  $\leq$  if  $\forall x \in B, (b \leq x \implies x = b)$ .

### Notation 2.5.9

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .

- The least element of  $B$  is denoted  $\min B$ .
- The greatest element of  $B$  is denoted  $\max B$ .

### Theorem 2.5.10

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .

- $B$  has at most one least element.
- The least element of  $B$ —if it exists—is also minimal.
- If  $B$  is a chain, then every minimal element of  $B$  is also least.

**Proof.**

- If  $b$  and  $b'$  are least elements of  $B$ , then  $b \leq b'$  and  $b' \leq b$  by the definition. As  $\leq$  is antisymmetric, we have  $b = b'$ .
- Let  $b$  be the least element of  $B$  (assuming its existence). Take any  $x \in B$  and assume  $x \leq b$ . Then, as  $b$  is the least, we have  $b \leq x$ . As  $\leq$  is antisymmetric,  $x = b$ ;  $b$  is minimal.
- Let  $b$  be a minimal element of  $B$ . Take any  $x \in B$ . Since  $b$  and  $x$  are comparable, it is  $x \leq b$  or  $b \leq x$ . If  $x \leq b$ , then  $x = b$  as  $b$  is minimal. Therefore,  $b$  is the least.  $\square$

**Note:-**

Theorem 2.5.10 still holds when ‘least’ and ‘minimal’ are replaced by ‘greatest’ and ‘maximal’, respectively.

### Definition 2.5.11: Lower/Upper Bound and Infimum/Supremum

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .

- $a \in A$  is a *lower bound* of  $B$  in the ordered set  $(A, \leq)$  if  $\forall x \in B, a \leq x$ .
- $a \in A$  is called an *infimum* (or *greatest lower bound*) of  $B$  in the ordered set  $(A, \leq)$  if  $a = \max\{x \in A \mid x \text{ is a lower bound of } B\}$ .
- $a \in A$  is an *upper bound* of  $B$  in the ordered set  $(A, \leq)$  if  $\forall x \in B, x \leq a$ .
- $a \in A$  is called an *supremum* (or *least upper bound*) of  $B$  in the ordered set  $(A, \leq)$  if  $a = \min\{x \in A \mid x \text{ is an upper bound of } B\}$ .

### Notation 2.5.12

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .

- The infimum of  $B$  is denoted  $\inf B$ .
- The supremum of  $B$  is denoted  $\sup B$ .

### Theorem 2.5.13

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ .

- (i)  $B$  has at most one infimum.
- (ii) If  $b$  is the least element of  $B$ , then  $b$  is the infimum of  $B$ .
- (iii) If  $b \in B$  is the infimum of  $B$ , then  $b$  is the least element of  $B$ .

**Proof.**

- (i) The result follows from the definition and Theorem 2.5.10 (i).
- (ii)  $b$  is a lower bound of  $B$ . If  $x$  is a lower bound of  $B$ , since  $b \in B$ , we must have  $x \leq b$ . Therefore,  $b$  is the greatest lower bound.
- (iii)  $b \in B$  is a lower bound of  $B$ , and thus  $b$  is the least element. □

**Note:-**

Theorem 2.5.13 still holds when ‘least’ and ‘infimum’ are replaced by ‘greatest’ and ‘supremum’, respectively.

### Definition 2.5.14: Isomorphism Between Ordered Sets

An *isomorphism* between two ordered sets  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \preceq)$  is a function  $f : P \hookrightarrow Q$  such that

$$\forall p_1, p_2 \in P, (p_1 \leq p_2 \iff f(p_1) \preceq f(p_2)).$$

If an isomorphism exists between  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \preceq)$ , then we say  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \preceq)$  are *isomorphic*. This is justified by Exercise 2.5.13.

### Lemma 2.5.15

Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a totally ordered set and let  $(Q, \preceq)$  be an ordered set. Let  $h : P \hookrightarrow Q$  be a function such that

$$\forall p_1, p_2 \in P, (p_1 \leq p_2 \implies h(p_1) \preceq h(p_2)).$$

Then,  $h$  is an isomorphism between  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \preceq)$ , and  $(Q, \preceq)$  is totally ordered.

**Proof.** Take any  $p_1, p_2 \in P$  and assume  $h(p_1) \preceq h(p_2)$ . Suppose  $p_2 < p_1$  for the sake of contradiction. Then, since  $h$  is injective,  $h(p_1) \neq h(p_2)$ , and thus  $h(p_1) \prec h(p_2)$ . Then, we have  $\neg(p_2 \leq p_1)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\neg(p_2 < p_1)$ . Therefore,  $p_1 \leq p_2$  since  $(P, \leq)$  is totally ordered.

Take any  $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ . Then, since  $h$  is onto  $Q$ , there exist  $p_1, p_2 \in P$  such that  $q_1 = h(p_1)$  and  $q_2 = h(p_2)$ . Since  $P$  is totally ordered, it is  $p_1 \leq p_2$  or  $p_2 \leq p_1$ . In either case, we have  $q_1 \preceq q_2$  or  $p_2 \preceq q_1$ . Therefore,  $(Q, \preceq)$  is totally ordered. □

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 2.5.1

- (i) Let  $R$  be a partial ordering of  $A$  and let  $S$  be the strict ordering of  $A$  corresponding to  $R$ . Let  $R^*$  be the partial ordering of  $A$  corresponding to  $S$ . Show that  $R^* = R$ .
- (ii) Let  $S$  be a strict ordering of  $A$  and let  $R$  be the partial ordering of  $A$  corresponding to  $S$ . Let  $S^*$  be the partial ordering of  $A$  corresponding to  $R$ . Show that  $S^* = S$ .

**Proof.**

- (i)  $R^* = S \cup \text{Id}_A = (R \setminus \text{Id}_A) \cup \text{Id}_A = R$  since  $\text{Id}_A \subseteq R$ .



(ii)  $S^* = R \setminus \text{Id}_A = (S \cup \text{Id}_A) \setminus \text{Id}_A = S$  since  $\text{Id}_A \cap S = \emptyset$ . □

### Exercise 2.5.6

Let  $(A_1, <_1)$  and  $(A_2, <_2)$  be strictly ordered sets and let  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ . Define a relation  $<$  on  $B \triangleq A_1 \cup A_2$  as follows:

$$x < y \iff (x <_1 y) \vee (x <_2 y) \vee (x \in A_1 \wedge y \in A_2).$$

Show that  $<$  is a strict ordering of  $B$  and  $< \cap A_1^2 = <_1$ ,  $< \cap A_2^2 = <_2$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $< = <_1 \cup <_2 \cup A_1 \times A_2$ .

Suppose  $x < y$  and  $y < x$ . By definition,  $x, y \in A_1$  or  $x, y \in A_2$ . In both cases, we have  $(x <_1 y \text{ and } y <_1 x)$  or  $(x <_2 y \text{ and } y <_2 x)$ , which are impossible as  $<_1$  and  $<_2$  are asymmetric. Hence,  $<$  is asymmetric. Transitivity of  $<$  can be shown easily.

Since  $<_1 \cap A_2^2 = <_2 \cap A_1^2 = (A_1 \times A_2) \cap A_1^2 = (A_1 \times A_2) \cap A_2^2 = \emptyset$ , we get  $< \cap A_1^2 = <_1$  and  $< \cap A_2^2 = <_2$ . □

### Exercise 2.5.7

Let  $R$  be a reflexive and transitive relation in  $A$  ( $R$  is called a *preordering* of  $A$ ). Define a relation  $E$  in  $A$  by

$$aEb \iff aRb \wedge bRa.$$

Show that  $E$  is an equivalence on  $A$ . Define the relation  $R/E$  in  $A/E$  by

$$[a]_E R/E [b]_E \iff aRb.$$

Show that  $R/E$  is well-defined and that  $R/E$  is a partial ordering of  $A/E$ .

**Proof.** Since  $aEa \equiv aRa$  and  $R$  is reflexive,  $E$  is reflexive as well. Since  $aEb \equiv bEa$ ,  $E$  is symmetric. Since  $aEb \wedge bEc \iff (aRb \wedge bRc) \wedge (cRb \wedge bRa) \implies aRc \wedge cRa \iff aEc$ ,  $E$  is transitive. ✓

Assume  $[a]_E = [a']_E$  and  $[b]_E = [b']_E$ . Then, we have  $aEa'$  and  $bEb'$  by Lemma 2.4.3, i.e.,  $aRa'$ ,  $a'Ra$ ,  $bRb'$ , and  $b'Rb$ . By transitivity of  $R$ , it follows that  $aRb \iff a'Rb'$ . Therefore,  $R/E$  is well-defined. ✓

It can be shown readily that  $R/E$  is reflexive and transitive. To prove  $R/E$  is anti-symmetric, assume  $[a]_E R/E [b]_E$  and  $[b]_E R/E [a]_E$ . Then,  $aRb$  and  $bRa$ , which means  $aEb$ . Therefore,  $[a]_E = [b]_E$  by Lemma 2.4.3. ✓ □

### Exercise 2.5.8

Let  $A = \mathcal{P}(X)$  where  $X$  is a set.

(i) Any  $S \subseteq A$  has a supremum in the ordering  $\subseteq_A$ ;  $\sup S = \bigcup S$ .

(ii) Any  $S \subseteq A$  has an infimum in the ordering  $\subseteq_A$ ;  $\inf S = \begin{cases} \bigcap S & \text{if } S \neq \emptyset \\ X & \text{if } S = \emptyset \end{cases}$ .

**Proof.**

(i) As  $C \subseteq_A \bigcup S$  for all  $C \in S$ ,  $\bigcup S$  is an upper bound of  $S$ . Let  $U$  be any upper bound of  $S$ . Take any  $x \in \bigcup S$ . Then, there exists  $C \in S$  such that  $x \in C$ . Since  $C \subseteq_A U$ , we have  $x \in U$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup S \subseteq U$ ;  $\bigcup S$  is the least upper bound of  $S$ .

- (ii) If  $S = \emptyset$ , then any  $C \in A$  is a lower bound of  $S$ . Since  $\bigcup A = X$ —by (i), the supremum of the set of lower bounds of  $S$ —is a lower bound of  $S$ ,  $X$  is the infimum of  $S = \emptyset$ . ✓  
 If  $S \neq \emptyset$ , as  $\bigcap S \subseteq C$  for all  $C \in S$ ,  $\bigcap S$  is a lower bound of  $S$ . Let  $L$  be any lower bound of  $S$ . Take any  $x \in L$ . Then,  $\forall C \in S, x \in C$ , i.e.,  $x \in \bigcap S$ . Therefore,  $L \subseteq_A \bigcap S$ ;  $\bigcap S$  is the infimum of  $S$ . ✓  $\square$

### Exercise 2.5.9

Let  $\text{Fn}(X, Y)$  be the set of all functions mapping a subset of  $X$  into  $Y$ , i.e.,  $\text{Fn}(X, Y) = \bigcup_{Z \in \mathcal{P}(X)} Y^Z$ . Define a relation  $\leq$  in  $\text{Fn}(X, Y)$  by

$$f \leq g \iff f \subseteq g.$$

- (i)  $\leq$  is a partial ordering of  $\text{Fn}(X, Y)$ .  
 (ii) Let  $F \subseteq \text{Fn}(X, Y)$ .  $\sup F$  exists if and only if  $F$  is a compatible system of functions. Moreover,  $\sup F = \bigcup F$  if it exists.

**Proof.**

- (i)  $\leq = \subseteq_{\text{Fn}(X, Y)}$  by definition;  $\subseteq_{\text{Fn}(X, Y)}$  is already a partial ordering of  $\text{Fn}(X, Y)$ .  
 (ii)  $(\Rightarrow)$  Assume  $h \in \text{Fn}(X, Y)$  is a supremum of  $F$ . Then,  $\forall f \in F, f \subseteq h$ . Take any  $f, g \in F$ . Then,  $f \cup g \subseteq h$ , and thus  $f \cup g$  is a function as  $h$  is a function. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3.11,  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible. Hence,  $F$  is a compatible system of functions.  
 $(\Leftarrow)$  Assume  $F$  is a compatible system of functions. Then,  $\bigcup F \in \text{Fn}(X, Y)$  by Theorem 2.3.12, and  $f \leq \bigcup F$  for all  $f \in F$  by definition;  $\bigcup F$  is an upper bound of  $F$ . Let  $U$  be any upper bound of  $S$ . Take any  $(x, y) \in \bigcup F$ . Then, there exists  $f \in S$  such that  $(x, y) \in f$ . Since  $f \subseteq_A U$ , we have  $x \in U$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup F \subseteq U$ ;  $\bigcup F$  is the least upper bound of  $S$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 2.5.10

Let  $\text{Pt}(A)$  be the set of all partitions of  $A$ . Define a relation  $\preceq$  in  $\text{Pt}(A)$  by

$$S_1 \preceq S_2 \iff \forall C \in S_1, \exists D \in S_2, C \subseteq D.$$

(We say that the partition  $S_1$  is a *refinement* of the partition  $S_2$  if  $S_1 \preceq S_2$ .)

- (i)  $\preceq$  is a partial ordering of  $\text{Pt}(A)$ .  
 (ii)  $\inf T$  exists for all  $T \subseteq \text{Pt}(A)$ .  
 (iii)  $\sup T$  exists for all  $T \subseteq \text{Pt}(A)$ .

**Proof.**

- (i)  $\preceq$  is reflexive since, for all  $S \in \text{Pt}(A)$  and  $C \in S, C \subseteq C$ , i.e.,  $S \preceq S$ . ✓  
 Assume  $S_1 \preceq S_2$  and  $S_2 \preceq S_1$ . Take any  $C \in S_1$ . Then, there exists  $D \in S_2$  such that  $C \subseteq D$ . In addition, there exists  $E \in S_1$  such that  $D \subseteq E$ . We have  $C \subseteq E$  but  $C$  is nonempty as  $S_1$  is a partition, which implies  $C \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore, as  $S_1$  is a partition, we must have  $C = E$  and thus  $C = D$ . Hence,  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ . This shows that  $\preceq$  is antisymmetric. ✓  
 Assume  $S_1 \preceq S_2$  and  $S_2 \preceq S_3$ . Take any  $C \in S_1$ . There exists  $D \in S_2$  such that  $C \subseteq D$ . There exists  $E \in S_3$  such that  $D \subseteq E$ . Hence,  $C \subseteq E$ ;  $S_1 \preceq S_3$ . This shows that  $\preceq$  is transitive. ✓  
 (ii) Define a relation  $E$  in  $A$  by  $E \triangleq \{(a, b) \in A^2 \mid \forall S \in T, \exists C \in S, a \in C \wedge b \in C\}$ . It can be easily shown that  $E$  is an equivalence mimicking the proof of Theorem 2.4.8. Then,  $A/E \in \text{Pt}(A)$  by Theorem 2.4.6.

**Claim 1.**  $A/E$  is a lower bound of  $T$ .

**Proof.** If  $T = \emptyset$ , there is nothing to prove; so assume  $T \neq \emptyset$ . Take any  $S \in T$  and  $a \in A$ . Then, there exists  $C \in S$  such that  $a \in S$  since  $S$  is a partition of  $A$ . Let  $b \in [a]_E$ . Then, there exists  $D \in S$  such that  $a, b \in D$ , which implies  $C = D$ . Therefore,  $[a]_E \subseteq C$ . Hence,  $A/E \preceq S$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.** For each lower bound  $L$  of  $T$ ,  $L \preceq A/E$ .

**Proof.** If  $T = \emptyset$ , then  $A/E = \{A^2\}$  and every partition of  $A$  is a lower bound. Since  $S \preceq \{A^2\}$  for all  $S \in \text{Pt}(A)$ , the result follows.

Now, assume  $T \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $L$  be a lower bound of  $T$ . Take any  $D \in L$ . Fix some  $a \in D$ . Then, each  $d \in D$  has the property that  $\forall S \in T, \exists C \in S, \{a, d\} \subseteq D \subseteq C$  as  $L$  is a lower bound of  $T$ . Therefore,  $d \in [a]_E; D \subseteq [a]_E$ . Hence,  $L \preceq A/E$ .  $\square$

Claims 1 and 2 say that  $\inf T = A/E$ . Hence,  $\inf T$  exists.

(iii) Let  $T' \triangleq \{S' \in \text{Pt}(A) \mid \forall S \in T, S \preceq S'\}$ . By (ii),  $S^* \triangleq \inf T'$  exists.

**Claim 3.**  $S^*$  is an upper bound of  $T$ .

**Proof.** In (ii), we showed that  $S^* = A/E$  where  $E = \{(a, b) \in A^2 \mid \forall S' \in T', \exists C' \in S', a \in C' \wedge b \in C'\}$ . Take any  $S \in T$  and let  $C \in S$ . Fix some  $c_0 \in C$ .

Now, take arbitrary  $c \in C$ . Then, for all  $S' \in T'$ , since  $S \preceq S'$ , there exists  $D' \in S'$  such that  $c \in C \subseteq D'$ . Hence, we have  $cEc_0; C \subseteq [c_0]_E$ . Therefore,  $S \preceq S^*$ .  $\square$

Claim 3 essentially says that  $S^* \in T'$ . By Theorem 2.5.13 (iii),  $S^* = \min T'$ , i.e.,  $S^* = \sup T$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 2.5.13

If  $h$  is isomorphism between  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \preceq)$ , then  $h^{-1}$  is an isomorphism between  $(Q, \preceq)$  and  $(P, \leq)$ .

**Proof.** Take any  $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ . Then, we have  $q_1 \preceq q_2 \iff h(h^{-1}(q_1)) \preceq h(h^{-1}(q_2)) \iff h^{-1}(q_1) \leq h^{-1}(q_2)$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 2.5.14

If  $f$  is an isomorphism between  $(P_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(P_2, \leq_2)$ , and if  $g$  is an isomorphism between  $(P_2, \leq_2)$  and  $P_3, \leq_3$ , then  $g \circ f$  is an isomorphism between  $(P_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(P_3, \leq_3)$ .

**Proof.**  $\text{ran}(g \circ f) = g[\text{ran } f] = P_3$ . Moreover,  $g \circ f$  is one-to-one. Hence,  $g \circ f : P_1 \hookrightarrow P_3$ . For all  $p, q \in P_1$ , we have  $p \leq_1 q \iff f(p) \leq_2 f(q) \iff g(f(p)) \leq_3 g(f(q))$ . Hence,  $g \circ f$  is an isomorphism between  $(P_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(P_3, \leq_3)$ .  $\square$

# Chapter 3

## Natural Numbers

### 3.1 Introduction to Natural Numbers

**Note:-**

We cannot prove an existence of an ‘infinite’ set (in the classical sense) or discuss infinity only from Axioms I to VI.

**Definition 3.1.1: Successor**

The *successor* of a set  $x$  is the set  $S(x) = x \cup \{x\}$ .

**Notation 3.1.2:  $n + 1$**

We write  $n + 1$  to denote  $S(n)$ . There is no implication regarding the classic “addition” in this notation.

**Notation 3.1.3: Natural Numbers**

- $0 = \emptyset$
- $1 = \{\emptyset\} = S(0) = 0 + 1$
- $2 = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} = S(1) = 1 + 1$
- ...

**Definition 3.1.4: Inductive Set**

A set  $I$  is called *inductive* if

$$0 \in I \wedge \forall n \in I, (n + 1) \in I.$$

**Axiom VII** Axiom of Infinity

An inductive set exists.

**Definition 3.1.5: Set of All Natural Numbers**

The *set of all natural numbers* is the set

$$\mathbb{N} \triangleq \{x \mid x \in I \text{ for all inductive set } I\}.$$

**Note:-**

**Axiom of Infinity** guarantees the existence of  $\mathbb{N}$ . For, if  $A$  is any inductive set, then  $\mathbb{N} = \{x \in A \mid x \in I \text{ for all inductive set } I\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.6**

$\mathbb{N}$  is inductive. In addition, if  $I$  is an inductive set, then  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq I$ .

**Proof.** Since  $0 \in I$  for all inductive set,  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $n \in I$  for all inductive set, and thus  $(n+1) \in I$  for all inductive set. Therefore,  $(n+1) \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{N}$  is inductive.

$\mathbb{N} \subseteq I$  directly follows from the definition of  $\mathbb{N}$ . □

**Definition 3.1.7**

The relation  $<$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by:  $m < n$  if and only if  $m \in n$ .

**Notation 3.1.8**

Although we did not prove  $<$  is a strict ordering of  $\mathbb{N}$ , we shall use  $\leq$  to denote the relation on  $\mathbb{N}$ :

$$\leq \triangleq < \cup \text{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}$$

**Selected Problems****Exercise 3.1.1**

- (i)  $\forall x, x \subseteq S(x)$
- (ii)  $\forall x, \neg(\exists z, x \subsetneq z \subsetneq S(x))$

**Proof.**

- (i)  $x \subseteq x \subseteq x \cup \{x\} = S(x)$
- (ii) Take any  $z$  such that  $x \subseteq z \subseteq S(x) = x \cup \{x\}$ . If  $z \subseteq x$ , then we have  $z = x$ . If  $z \not\subseteq x$ , then there exists  $y$  such that  $y \in z$  and  $y \notin x$ . However,  $y \in x \cup \{x\}$ , and thus  $y = x$ . Therefore,  $S(x) \subseteq z$ ;  $z = S(x)$ . In conclusion, any  $z$  such that  $x \subseteq z \subseteq S(x)$  must satisfy  $z = x$  or  $z = S(x)$ . □

**3.2 Properties of Natural Numbers****Theorem 3.2.1 The Induction Principle**

Let  $P(x)$  be a property (possibly with parameters).

$$P(0) \wedge \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (P(n) \implies P(n+1)) \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$$

**Proof.** The premise simply says that  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid P(n)\}$  is inductive. Therefore,  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq A$  follows. □

**Lemma 3.2.2**

- (i)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq n$
- (ii)  $\forall k, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k < n+1 \iff k < n \vee k = n)$

**Proof.**

(i) Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $0 \leq x$ .”  $P(0)$ , i.e.,  $0 \leq 0$ , holds since  $0 = 0$ .

Now, assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P(n)$ . If  $n = 0$ , then we have  $0 \in S(0) = n + 1$  by definition (Definition 3.1.1). If  $0 < n$ , then  $0 \in n$ , and thus  $0 \in n \cup \{n\} = S(n)$ . Therefore, by **The Induction Principle**, the result follows.

(ii) Note that  $k \in n \cup \{n\}$  if and only if  $k \in n$  or  $k = n$ . □

### **Theorem 3.2.3** $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is Totally Ordered

$(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is a totally ordered set.

**Proof.** We first need to prove that  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is an ordered set.

**Claim 1.**  $<$  is transitive in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (k < m \wedge m < x \implies k < x)$ .”  $P(0)$  is true because there is no  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \in 0 = \emptyset$ .

Now assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P(n)$ . Now, let  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k < m$  and  $m < n + 1$ . By **Lemma 3.2.2 (ii)**,  $m < n$  or  $m = n$ .

- If  $m < n$ , then we have  $k < n$  as  $P(n)$  holds,
- If  $m = n$ , then we immediately have  $k < n$ .

In both cases, we have  $k < n$ ; thus  $k < n + 1$  by **Lemma 3.2.2 (ii)**. Therefore, the result follows from **The Induction Principle**. □

**Claim 2.**  $<$  is asymmetric in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\neg(x < x)$ .”  $P(0)$  evidently holds since  $\emptyset \notin \emptyset$ .

Now, assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P(n)$ . Suppose  $(n + 1) < (n + 1)$  for the sake of contradiction. By **Lemma 3.2.2 (ii)**, we have  $(n + 1) = n$  or  $(n + 1) < n$ . In both cases, we have  $n < n$  by  $n < (n + 1)$  (from **Lemma 3.2.2 (ii)**) and **Claim 1**, which contradicts  $P(n)$ . Therefore,  $P(n + 1)$  holds. The result follows from **The Induction Principle**. □

Hence,  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is an ordered set by **Claims 1 and 2** and **Theorem 2.5.3**. We are left to prove that  $\leq$  is a total ordering of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Claim 3.**  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n < m \implies (n + 1) \leq m$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n < x \implies n + 1 \leq x)$ .”  $P(0)$  holds since there is no  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n < 0$ .

Now, assume  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P(m)$ . Take any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n < (m + 1)$ . Then, by **Lemma 3.2.2**, we have  $n = m$  or  $n < m$ . If  $n = m$ , then we have  $(n + 1) = (m + 1)$ , which implies  $(n + 1) \leq (m + 1)$ . If  $n < m$ , then  $(n + 1) \leq m < (m + 1)$ . Therefore, the result follows from **The Induction Principle**. □

**Claim 4.**  $<$  is a total ordering of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m = x \vee m < x \vee x < m$ .”  $P(0)$  is essentially **Lemma 3.2.2 (i)**.

Assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P(n)$ . Take any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $m < n$  or  $m = n$ , we have  $m < (n + 1)$  by **Lemma 3.2.2 (ii)**. If  $n < m$ , by **Claim 3**, we have  $(n + 1) \leq m$ . Hence,  $P(n + 1)$  holds. Therefore, the result follows from **The Induction Principle**. □

□

### Notation 3.2.4

We may write “ $\forall k < n, P(k)$ ” instead of “ $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, (k < n \implies P(k))$ ” or “ $\exists k < n, P(k)$ ” instead of “ $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, k < n \wedge P(k)$ ” when no confusion may arise. We may similarly write  $(\forall/\exists)k(\leq/>/\geq)n, P(k)$ .

### Theorem 3.2.5 The Strong Induction Principle

Let  $P(x)$  be a property (possibly with parameters). If, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P(k)$  holds for all  $k < n$ , then  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall k < n, \implies P(k) \implies P(n)] \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$$

**Proof.** Assume the premise  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall k < n, \implies P(k) \implies P(n)])$ . Let  $Q(n)$  be the property “ $\forall k < n, P(k)$ .”  $Q(0)$  holds since there is no  $k < 0$ .

Now, assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $Q(n)$ . Then, by the premise, we have  $P(n)$ . Lemma 3.2.2 (ii) enables us to say that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, (k < n + 1 \implies P(k))$ . Therefore,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $Q(n)$  holds by The Induction Principle.

Take any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we have  $k < k + 1$  and thus  $P(k)$  holds by  $Q(k + 1)$ .  $\square$

### Definition 3.2.6: Well-Ordering

A total ordering  $\preceq$  of a set  $A$  is a *well-ordering* if every nonempty subset of  $A$  has a least element. Then, the ordered set  $(A, \preceq)$  is called a *well-ordered set*.

### Theorem 3.2.7 $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is Well-Ordered

$(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is a well-ordered set.

**Proof.** Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  has no least element. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $\forall k < n, k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X$ , we must have  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X$  since otherwise  $n = \min X$ . Then, by The Strong Induction Principle,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X$ , i.e.,  $X = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 3.2.8

Let  $\emptyset \subsetneq X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . If  $X$  has an upper bound in the ordering  $\leq$ , then  $X$  has a greatest element.

**Proof.** Let  $Y \triangleq \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid k \text{ is an upper bound of } X\}$ . The assumption says that  $Y \neq \emptyset$ . By  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Well-Ordered,  $n \triangleq \min Y = \sup X$  exists.

Suppose  $n \notin X$  for the sake of contradiction. Then,  $\forall m \in X, m < n$ , which implies  $n \neq 0$  as  $X \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $n = k + 1$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  by Exercise 3.2.4; and thus  $\forall m \in X, m \leq k$  by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). Then,  $k$  is an upper bound of  $A$  and  $k < n$ , which is a contradiction to  $n = \sup X$ . Therefore,  $n \in X$ , and hence  $n = \max X$  by Theorem 2.5.13.  $\square$

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 3.2.2

$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \implies m + 1 < n + 1)$ . Hence,  $S: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  where  $n \mapsto n + 1$  defines a one-to-one function on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** By Claim 3 in the proof of  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Totally Ordered, we have  $m+1 \leq n$ . Together with  $n < n+1$ , we have  $m+1 < n+1$ .

Now, take any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \neq n$ . Then, by  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Totally Ordered, we have  $m < n$  or  $n < m$ , i.e.,  $S(m) < S(n)$  or  $S(n) < S(m)$ . In both cases,  $S(m) \neq S(n)$ . Therefore,  $S$  is one-to-one.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.3

There exists  $X \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$  and  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$  such that  $f$  is injective.

**Proof.** Let  $S: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  where  $n \mapsto n+1$ . Then,  $S$  is injective by Exercise 3.2.2. Since there exists no  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \cup \{n\} = \emptyset$ ,  $0 \notin \text{ran } S$ ;  $\text{ran } S \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $S: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{ran } S$  is the function we are looking for.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.4

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \exists! k \in \mathbb{N}, n = k+1$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $x = 0 \vee \exists! k \in \mathbb{N}, x = k+1$ .”  $P(0)$  holds by definition.

Now, assume  $P(n)$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n+1 = k+1$ , namely,  $k = n$ . If  $k'$  is another natural number such that  $n+1 = k'+1$ , then by Exercise 3.2.2, we have  $k = k'$ . Hence,  $P(n+1)$  holds. The result follows from The Induction Principle.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.6

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n\}$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $x = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < x\}$ .” We have  $P(0)$  since there exists no  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m < 0$ .

Now, assume  $P(n)$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $n+1 = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n\} \cup \{n\}$ . By Lemma 3.2.2 (ii),  $m < n+1$  if and only if  $m < n$  or  $m = n$ . Therefore,  $\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n\} \cup \{n\} = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n \vee m = n\} = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n+1\}$ ;  $P(n+1)$  holds. The result follows from The Induction Principle.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.8

There is no function  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n+1) < f(n)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “there is no function  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(0) = x$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n+1) < f(n)$ .”

For the sake of induction, assume  $\forall k < n, P(k)$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose there exists  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(0) = n$  and  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, f(k+1) < f(k)$ . Now, define  $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $g(k) = f(k+1)$ . Then,  $g(0) = f(1) < n$  and  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, g(k+1) = f((k+1)+1) < f(k+1) = g(k)$ . However, by  $P(g(0))$ , such  $g$  cannot exist; by contradiction,  $P(n)$  holds. Hence,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, P(m)$  by The Strong Induction Principle.

Finally, suppose there exists  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n+1) < f(n)$ . Then, by  $P(f(0))$ , such  $f$  may not exist.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.11

Let  $P(x)$  be a property and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$P(k) \wedge \forall n \geq k, (P(n) \implies P(n+1)) \implies \forall n \geq k, P(n)$$



**Proof.** Let  $Q(x)$  be the property “ $x < k \vee P(x)$ .” If  $k = 0$ , then  $P(0)$  holds. If  $k > 0$ , then  $0 < k$  holds. Hence, in both cases,  $Q(0)$  holds.

Now assume  $Q(n)$  holds where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is **Totally Ordered**, we have  $n + 1 < k$ ,  $n + 1 = k$ , or  $n + 1 > k$ . If  $n + 1 < k$  or  $n + 1 = k$ , we immediately have  $Q(n + 1)$ . If  $n + 1 > k$ , we have  $n \geq k$  by **Lemma 3.2.2 (ii)**. Therefore,  $P(n)$  holds, and thus  $P(n + 1)$  holds by assumption. Hence,  $Q(n + 1)$ . By **The Induction Principle**,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n < k \vee P(n)$ . In other words,  $\forall n \geq k, P(n)$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.12 The Finite Induction Principle

Let  $P(x)$  be a property and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$P(0) \wedge \forall n < k, (P(n) \implies P(n + 1)) \implies \forall n \leq k, P(n)$$

**Proof.** Let  $Q(x)$  be the property “ $x > k \vee P(x)$ .”  $Q(0)$  holds as  $P(0)$ .

Now, assume  $Q(n)$  holds where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is **Totally Ordered**, we have  $n + 1 \leq k$  or  $n + 1 > k$ . If  $n + 1 > k$ , then we immediately have  $Q(n + 1)$ . If  $n + 1 \leq k$ , by **Lemma 3.2.2**,  $n + 1 < k + 1$ . By **Exercise 3.2.2** and  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is **Totally Ordered**, we must have  $n < k$ . Hence,  $P(n)$  holds, and therefore  $P(n + 1)$  holds by the assumption. By **The Induction Principle**,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > k \vee P(n)$ . In other words,  $\forall n \leq k, P(n)$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3.2.13 The Double Induction Principle

Let  $P(x, y)$  be a property.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}, (k < m \vee k = m \wedge \ell < n \implies P(k, \ell)) \implies P(m, n)] & \quad [*] \\ \implies \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, P(m, n) \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Let  $Q(x)$  be the property “ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(x, n)$ .”

Now, assume  $\forall k < m, Q(k)$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For the sake of induction, assume again that  $\forall \ell < n, P(m, \ell)$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, we have  $P(k, \ell)$  for all  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k < m$  or  $k = m$  and  $\ell < n$ . Hence, by  $[*]$ ,  $P(m, n)$ . By **The Strong Induction Principle**, we have  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(m, n)$ . In other words,  $Q(m)$ . Again by **The Strong Induction Principle**, we have  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, Q(m)$ , that is to say  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, P(m, n)$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 The Recursion Theorem

#### Definition 3.3.1: Sequence

- A *sequence* is a function whose domain is a natural number or  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- A sequence whose domain is a natural number  $n$  is called a *finite sequence of length  $n$*  and is denoted

$$\langle a_i \mid i < n \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle a_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle.$$

In particular,  $\langle \rangle = \emptyset$ —the *empty sequence*—is the unique sequence of length 0.

$$\text{Seq}(A) \triangleq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A^n$$

denote the set of all finite sequence of elements of  $A$ .

- A sequence whose domain is  $\mathbb{N}$  is called a *infinite sequence* and is denoted

$$\langle a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle a_i \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle a_i \rangle_{i=0}^{\infty}.$$

Infinite sequences of elements of  $A$  are members of  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ . We also use the notation  $\{a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  or  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ , etc., for the range of the sequence  $\langle a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ .

#### Note:-

- A natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the set of all natural numbers less than  $n$ . See Exercise 3.2.6.
- Since  $A^n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times A)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \{w \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, w = A^n\}$  exists, and thus  $\text{Seq}(A) = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$  exists.

#### Theorem 3.3.2 The Recursion Theorem

Let  $A$  be a set,  $a \in A$ , and  $g : A \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ . Then, there uniquely exists an infinite sequence  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  such that

- $f_0 = a$  and
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n)$ .

**Proof.** We say  $t : (m+1) \rightarrow A$  is an  *$m$ -step computation based on  $a$  and  $g$*  if  $t_0 = a$  and  $\forall k < m, t_{k+1} = g(t_k, k)$ . Let  $F \triangleq \{t \in \text{Seq}(A) \mid t \text{ is an } m \text{ step computation for some } m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Let  $f \triangleq \bigcup F$ .

**Claim 1.**  $f$  is a function.

**Proof.** We shall show that  $F$  is a compatible system of functions so we may conclude  $f$  is a function thanks to Theorem 2.3.12. Take any  $t, u \in F$ . Let  $n = \text{dom } t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m = \text{dom } u \in \mathbb{N}$ . WLOG,  $n \leq m$  (thanks to  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is **Totally Ordered**), i.e.,  $n \subseteq m$ . Hence,  $(\text{dom } t) \cap (\text{dom } u) = n$ . If  $n = 0$ , then it is done; assume  $n > 0$ . Then, there exists  $n' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n' + 1 = n$  by Exercise 3.2.4.

Surely,  $t_0 = a = u_0$ . Moreover, if  $t_k = u_k$  where  $k < n'$ , then  $k+1 < n'+1 = n$  (Exercise 3.2.2) and  $t_{k+1} = g(t_k, k) = g(u_k, k) = u_{k+1}$ . Therefore, by **The Finite Induction Principle**, we have  $\forall k \leq n', t_k = u_k$ ;  $t$  and  $u$  are compatible.  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{N}$  and  $\text{ran } f \subseteq A$ .

**Proof.** We already have  $\text{dom } f \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $\text{ran } f \subseteq A$  by Theorem 2.3.12. To show  $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{N}$ , it suffices to show that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an  $n$ -step computation based on  $a$  and  $g$ . Clearly,  $t = \{(0, a)\}$  is a 0-step computation.

Assume there exists an  $n$ -step computation  $t: (n+1) \rightarrow A$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, define  $u: ((n+1)+1) \rightarrow A$  by  $u \triangleq t \cup \{(n+1, g(t_n, n))\}$ . Then, one may easily verify that  $u$  is an  $(n+1)$ -step computation. Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.  $\square$

We now check if  $f$  satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii).

(i) Clearly,  $f_0 = a$ .

(ii) Take any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $t$  be an  $(n+1)$ -step computation. Then,  $\forall k \leq n, f_k = t_k$ , and  $f_{n+1} = t_{n+1} = g(t_n, n) = g(f_n, n)$ .

Now, we are left to show the uniqueness of such  $f$ .

Let  $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  be a sequence that satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Clearly,  $f_0 = a = h_0$ . And, if  $f_n = h_n$ , then  $f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n) = g(h_n, n) = h_{n+1}$ . Therefore, by The Induction Principle,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, f_k = h_k$ , i.e.,  $f = h$  by Lemma 2.3.3.  $\square$

### Theorem 3.3.3

Let  $(A, \preceq)$  be a nonempty linearly ordered set with the properties:

- (i) For every  $p \in A$ , there exists  $q \in A$  such that  $p \prec q$ .
  - (ii) Every nonempty subset of  $A$  that has a  $\preceq$ -least element.
  - (iii) Every nonempty subset of  $A$  that has an upper bound has a  $\preceq$ -greatest element.
- Then,  $(A, \preceq)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ .

**Proof.** By (i),  $\{a \in A \mid x \prec a\} \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in A$  and it has a  $\preceq$ -least element. Hence, we may define  $g: A \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  by  $g(x, n) \triangleq \min\{a \in A \mid x \prec a\}$ . Then, The Recursion Theorem guarantees the existence of a function  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  such that:

- $f_0 = \min A$   $\triangleright$  (i) and  $A \neq \emptyset$
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n) = \min\{a \in A \mid f_n \prec a\}$ .

By Exercise 3.3.1, we have  $f_m \prec f_n$  whenever  $m < n$ . This also implies that  $f$  is injective.

**Claim 1.**  $\text{ran } f = A$

**Proof.** Suppose  $\text{ran } f \subsetneq A$  for the sake of contradiction. Then,  $A \setminus \text{ran } f \neq \emptyset$ , and thus we may take  $p = \min(A \setminus \text{ran } f)$ , which gives  $p \neq f_0$  immediately. Hence,  $B = \{a \in A \mid a \prec p\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $p$  is an upper bound of  $B$ . By (iii),  $q = \max B$  exists. Since  $q \prec p$ , we have  $q \in \text{ran } f$ , i.e.,  $q = f_m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Suppose there is some  $r \in A$  such that  $q \prec r \prec p$ . Then,  $r \in B$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $q$ . Hence,  $p = \min\{a \in A \mid f_m \prec a\} = f_{m+1}$ , which contradicts  $p \notin \text{ran } f$ .  $\square$

We have  $f: \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow A$  by Claim 1. Hence, by  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Totally Ordered and Lemma 2.5.15,  $f$  is an isomorphism between  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  and  $(A, \preceq)$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 3.3.4 The Recursion Theorem: General Version

Let  $S$  be a set and let  $g: \text{Seq}(S) \rightarrow S$ . Then, there exists a unique sequence  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$  such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_n = g(f|_n) = g(\langle f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} \rangle).$$

**Proof.** Define  $G: \text{Seq}(S) \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Seq}(S)$  by

$$G(t, n) = \begin{cases} t \cup \{(n, g(t))\} & \text{if } t \text{ is a sequence of length } n \\ \langle \rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by **The Recursion Theorem**, there exists a sequence  $F: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Seq}(S)$  such that:

- $F_0 = \langle \rangle$
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{n+1} = G(F_n, n)$ .

If  $F_k \in S^k$ , then  $F_{k+1} = F_k \cup \{(k, g(F_k))\} \in S^{k+1}$ . Hence, by **The Induction Principle**,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, F_n \in S^n$ . Moreover, since  $F_k \subsetneq_{\text{Seq}(S)} F_{k+1}$ , by Exercise 3.3.1,  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \implies F_m \subsetneq F_n)$ ; hence  $\{F_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a compatible system of functions.

Let  $f \triangleq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ . Then, we have  $f|_n = F_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_n = F_{n+1}(n) = g(F_n) = g(f|_n)$ .

Let  $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$  be another sequence such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, h_n = g(h|_n)$ . Suppose  $\forall k < n, f_k = h_k$ . Then, we have  $f_n = g(f|_n) = g(h|_n) = h_n$ . Therefore, by **The Strong Induction Principle**,  $f = h$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 3.3.5 The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version

Let  $a: P \rightarrow A$  and  $g: P \times A \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  be functions. Then, there uniquely exists a function  $f: P \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  such that

- (i)  $\forall p \in P, f(p, 0) = a(p)$
- (ii)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall p \in P, f(p, n+1) = g(p, f(p, n), n)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G: A^P \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A^P$  be defined by

$$G(x, n)(p) = g(p, x(p), n)$$

for each  $x \in A^P$ ,  $p \in P$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by **The Recursion Theorem**, there exists  $F: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A^P$  such that

$$F_0 = a \quad \text{and} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{n+1} = G(F_n, n).$$

Now, let  $f: P \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  be defined by  $f(p, n) = F_n(p)$ . We now check if  $f$  satisfies the conditions:

- (i) For all  $p \in P$ , we have  $f(p, 0) = F_0(p) = a(p)$ .
- (ii) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in P$ ,  $f(p, n+1) = F_{n+1}(p) = G(F_n, n)(p) = g(p, F_n(p), n) = g(p, f(p, n), n)$ .

Let  $h: P \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  be another function that satisfies (i) and (ii). Clear, we have  $\forall p \in P, f(p, 0) = a(p) = h(p, 0)$ . Assuming  $\forall p \in P, f(p, n) = h(p, n)$  gives, for all  $p \in P$ ,  $f(p, n+1) = g(p, f(p, n), n) = g(p, h(p, n), n) = h(p, n+1)$ . Hence, by **The Induction Principle**, we get  $f = h$ .  $\square$

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 3.3.1

Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  be an infinite sequence where  $(A, \preceq)$  is an ordered set. Then,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_n \prec f_{n+1} \implies \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (n < m \implies f_n \prec f_m).$$

**Proof.** Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathbf{P}(x)$  be the property “ $f_n \prec f_x$ .”  $\mathbf{P}(n+1)$  evidently holds. Now, suppose  $\mathbf{P}(k)$  holds where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, chaining  $f_n \prec f_k$  and  $f_k \prec f_{k+1}$  gives  $\mathbf{P}(k+1)$ . Therefore, by Exercise 3.2.11, we get  $\forall m \geq n+1, f_n \prec f_m$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3.3.2

Let  $(A, \preceq)$  be a nonempty linearly ordered set. We say that  $q \in A$  is a *successor* of  $p \in A$  if there is no  $r \in A$  such that  $p \prec r \prec q$ . Assume  $(A, \preceq)$  has the following properties:

- (i) Every  $p \in A$  has a successor.
  - (ii) Every nonempty subset of  $A$  has a  $\preceq$ -least element.
  - (iii) If  $p \in A$  is not the  $\preceq$ -least element of  $A$ , then  $p$  is a successor of some  $q \in A$ .
- Then,  $(A, \preceq)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ .

**Proof.** By (i), for each  $p \in P$ ,  $\{q \in A \mid p \prec q\} \neq \emptyset$ , and thus it has a  $\preceq$ -least element by (ii). Therefore, by **The Recursion Theorem**, there exists a sequence  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  such that  $f_0 = \min A$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_{n+1} = \min\{q \in A \mid f_n \prec q\}$ .

**Claim 1.**  $\text{ran } f = A$

**Proof.** Suppose  $X \triangleq A \setminus \text{ran } f \neq \emptyset$  for the sake of contradiction. Then, by (ii), we may take  $p = \min X$ . Since  $\min A = f_0 \in \text{ran } f$ ,  $p$  is not the  $\preceq$ -least element of  $A$ . Hence, by (iii),  $p$  is a successor of some  $q \in A$ . As  $q \prec p$ , we have  $q \in \text{ran } f$  by minimality of  $q$ , i.e.,  $q = f_m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since there is no  $r \in A$  such that  $q \prec r \prec p$ , we have  $p = f_{m+1}$  by definition, which contradicts  $p \notin \text{ran } f$ .  $\square$

Since  $f_n \prec f_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Exercise 3.3.1,  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(m < n \implies f_m \prec f_n)$ , which means  $f$  is injective.

Therefore, together with Claim 1,  $f$  is an isomorphism between  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  and  $(A, \preceq)$  by Lemma 2.5.15.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.3.5 The Recursion Theorem: Partial Version

Let  $g$  be a function such that  $\text{dom } g \subseteq A \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\text{ran } g \subseteq A$ . Let  $a \in A$ . Then, there uniquely exists a sequence  $f$  of elements of  $A$  such that

- (i)  $f_0 = a$
- (ii)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $[n + 1 \in \text{dom } f \implies f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n)]$
- (iii)  $f$  is either an infinite sequence or a finite sequence of length  $k + 1$  and  $(f_k, k) \notin \text{dom } g$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\bar{A} = A \cup \{\bar{a}\}$  where  $\bar{a} \notin A$ . (Such  $\bar{a}$  exists by Exercise 1.3.3 (ii).) Define  $\bar{g} : \bar{A} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bar{A}$  by

$$\bar{g}(x, n) = \begin{cases} g(x, n) & \text{if } (x, n) \in \text{dom } g \\ \bar{a} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, **The Recursion Theorem** guarantees the existence of  $\bar{f} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bar{A}$  such that  $\bar{f}_0 = a$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bar{f}_{n+1} = \bar{g}(\bar{f}_n, n)$ . We have two cases: “ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bar{f}_n \neq \bar{a}$ ” and “ $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bar{f}_n = \bar{a}$ .” They are resolved by Claims 1 and 2, respectively.

**Claim 1.** If “ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bar{f}_n \neq \bar{a}$ ,” then  $\bar{f}$  is an infinite sequence of elements of  $A$  that satisfies (i) and (ii).

**Proof.** The assumption essentially says that  $(\bar{f}_n, n) \in \text{dom } g$  and  $\bar{f}_{n+1} = g(\bar{f}_n, n) \in A$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{f}$  satisfies (i) and (ii). As  $\bar{f}_0 = a \in A$ ,  $\bar{f}$  is an infinite sequence of elements of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.** If “ $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \bar{f}_n = \bar{a}$ ,” then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\bar{f}|_{k+1}$  satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii).

**Proof.** By  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Well-Ordered, we have  $\ell \triangleq \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \bar{f}_n = \bar{a}\}$ . Since  $\bar{f}_0 \in A$ , we have  $\ell \neq 0$ , and thus  $\ell = k + 1$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  by Exercise 3.2.4. It immediately follows that  $\forall n \leq k, \bar{f}_n \in A$ . Hence,  $f \triangleq \bar{f}|_{k+1}$  is a finite sequence of length  $k + 1$  of elements of  $A$ .

We check if  $f$  satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii):

- (i)  $f_0 = \bar{f}_0 = a$
- (ii) If  $n < k$ , i.e.,  $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f = k + 1$ , then  $f_{n+1} = \bar{f}_{n+1} = \bar{g}(\bar{f}_n, n) = g(f_n, n)$ .
- (iii) If  $(f_k, k) \in \text{dom } g$ , then we would have  $\bar{f}_\ell = \bar{g}(\bar{f}_k, k) = \bar{g}(f_k, k) = g(f_k, k) \neq \bar{a}$ . Hence, we must have  $(f_k, k) \notin \text{dom } g$ .  $\square$

Now, we prove the uniqueness. Let  $f$  and  $h$  be two sequences of elements of  $A$  that satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii). WLOG,  $\text{dom } h \subseteq \text{dom } f$ .

Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $x \in \text{dom } h \wedge f_x = h_x$ .”  $P(0)$  evidently holds.

**Claim 3.**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n + 1 \in \text{dom } f \wedge P(n) \implies P(n + 1))$

**Proof.** Assume  $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f$  and  $P(n)$ . Then, since  $(h_n, n) = (f_n, n) \in \text{dom } g$ ,  $n + 1 \in \text{dom } h$  and  $h_{n+1} = g(h_n, n) = g(f_n, n) = f_{n+1}$ . Hence,  $P(n + 1)$  holds.  $\square$

If  $f$  is a finite sequence, Claim 3 and The Finite Induction Principle imply  $h = f$ . If  $f$  is an infinite sequence, Claim 3 and The Induction Principle imply  $h = f$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3.3.6

If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , then there is a one-to-one (finite or infinite) sequence  $f$  such that  $\text{ran } f = X$ .

**Proof.** If  $X = \emptyset$ ,  $\langle \rangle$  is the one we are looking for. Assume  $X \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $g = \{((x, n), y) \in (X \times \mathbb{N}) \times X \mid y = \min\{k \in X \mid x < k\}\}$ . Then,  $g$  is a function with  $\text{dom } g \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\text{ran } g \subseteq X$ . By The Recursion Theorem: Partial Version, there exists a sequence  $f$  of elements of  $X$  such that

- (i)  $f_0 = \min X$   $\triangleright \min X$  exists by  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Well-Ordered
- (ii)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n + 1 \in \text{dom } f \implies f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n))$
- (iii)  $f$  is either an infinite sequence or a finite sequence of length  $k + 1$  and  $(f_k, k) \notin \text{dom } g$ .

Note that  $\text{dom } g = \{(x, n) \in X \times \mathbb{N} \mid \exists y \in X, x < y\}$ . Moreover, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f$ , we have  $f_n < f_{n+1}$ ; hence  $\forall m, n \in \text{dom } f, (m < n \implies f_m < f_n)$  (in the similar manner of Exercise 3.3.1), and thus  $f$  is injective.

Suppose  $Y = X \setminus \text{ran } f \neq \emptyset$  for the sake of contradiction. By  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is Well-Ordered, we may take  $y = \min Y$ . Then, by Theorem 3.2.8, we may let  $z = \max\{x \in X \mid x < y\}$ .  $z = f_m$  for some  $m \in \text{dom } f$ . Hence,  $y = f_{m+1}$ .  $\square$

## 3.4 Arithmetic of Natural Numbers

### Theorem 3.4.1

There uniquely exists a function  $+: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

- (i)  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, +(m, 0) = m$
- (ii)  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, +(m, n + 1) = S(+(m, n))$ .

**Proof.** The result directly follows from exploiting **The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version** with  $A = P = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a(p) = p$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $g(p, x, n) = S(x)$  for all  $p, x, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

#### Definition 3.4.2: Addition

The function  $+$  defined in Theorem 3.4.1 is called the *addition*.

#### Notation 3.4.3

For all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $+(m, 1) = +(m, 0 + 1) = +(m, 0) + 1 = m + 1$ . Hence, we may write  $m + n$  instead of  $+(m, n)$  without causing any confusion regarding Notation 3.1.2. We restate the defining properties of the addition for future reference:

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m + 0 = m \quad [1]$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m + (n + 1) = (m + n) + 1 \quad [2]$$

#### Theorem 3.4.4 $+$ is Commutative

Addition is commutative; that is to say

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m + n = n + m.$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m + x = x + m$ .”

**Claim 1.**  $P(0)$  holds.

**Proof.** Since  $m + 0 = m$  already, we only need to prove  $0 + m = m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall make use of induction. First of all  $0 + 0 = 0$  holds by [1].

Suppose  $0 + m = m$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 + (m + 1) &= (0 + m) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= m + 1. &> 0 + m = m \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by **The Induction Principle**,  $0 + m = m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [P(n) \implies P(n + 1)]$

**Proof.** Assume  $P(n)$ . We shall show  $P(n + 1)$  holds by induction.  $0 + (n + 1) = (n + 1) + 0$  is already shown by Claim 1. Hence, assume  $m + (n + 1) = (n + 1) + m$  for fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + 1) + (n + 1) &= ((m + 1) + n) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= (n + (m + 1)) + 1 &> P(n) \\ &= ((n + m) + 1) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= ((m + n) + 1) + 1 &> P(n) \\ &= (m + (n + 1)) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= ((n + 1) + m) + 1 &> m + (n + 1) = (n + 1) + m \\ &= (n + 1) + (m + 1). &> [2] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by **The Induction Principle**,  $P(n + 1)$  holds.  $\square$

From Claim 1, Claim 2, and The Induction Principle, we get  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m + n = n + m$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 3.4.5 $+$ is Associative

Addition is associative; that is to say

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k + m) + n = k + (m + n).$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (k + m) + x = k + (m + x)$ .”  $P(0)$  is direct by [1].  
Now, fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(n)$ . Then, for all  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (k + m) + (n + 1) &= ((k + m) + n) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= (k + (m + n)) + 1 &> P(n) \\ &= k + ((m + n) + 1) &> [2] \\ &= k + (m + (n + 1)). &> [2] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.  $\square$

### Theorem 3.4.6

There uniquely exists a function  $\cdot : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

- (i)  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot 0 = 0$
- (ii)  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot (n + 1) = m \cdot n + m$ .

**Proof.** The result directly follows from exploiting The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version with  $A = P = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a(p) = 0$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $g(p, x, n) = x + p$  for all  $p, x, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

### Definition 3.4.7: Multiplication

The function  $\cdot$  defined in Theorem 3.4.6 is called the *multiplication*.

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot 0 = 0 \quad [3]$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot (n + 1) = m \cdot n + m \quad [4]$$

### Theorem 3.4.8 $\cdot$ is Commutative

Multiplication is commutative, i.e.,

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot n = n \cdot m.$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot x = x \cdot m$ .”

**Claim 1.**  $P(0)$  holds.

**Proof.** Since  $m \cdot 0 = 0$  already by [3], we only need to prove  $0 \cdot m = 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall make use of induction. First of all  $0 \cdot 0 = 0$  holds by [3].

Suppose  $0 \cdot m = 0$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \cdot (m + 1) &= 0 \cdot m + 0 &> [4] \\ &= 0 + 0 &> 0 \cdot m = 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle,  $0 \cdot m = 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$



**Claim 2.**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [P(n) \implies P(n+1)]$

**Proof.** Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(n)$ . We shall prove  $P(n+1)$  by induction. We already have  $0 \cdot (n+1) = (n+1) \cdot 0$  by Claim 1.

Fix any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $m \cdot (n+1) = (n+1) \cdot m$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (m+1) \cdot (n+1) &= (m+1) \cdot n + (m+1) &> [4] \\
 &= n \cdot (m+1) + (m+1) &> P(n) \\
 &= (n \cdot m + n) + (m+1) &> [4] \\
 &= (m \cdot n + n) + (m+1) &> P(n) \\
 &= (m \cdot n + m) + (n+1) &> + \text{ is Commutative, } + \text{ is Associative} \\
 &= m \cdot (n+1) + (n+1) &> [4] \\
 &= (n+1) \cdot m + (n+1) &> m \cdot (n+1) = (n+1) \cdot m \\
 &= (n+1) \cdot (m+1). &> [4]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle,  $P(n+1)$  holds.

From Claim 1, Claim 2, and The Induction Principle, we get  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot n = n \cdot m$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 3.4.9 · Distributes Over +

Multiplication is distributive over addition, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, k \cdot (m+n) &= k \cdot m + k \cdot n \quad \text{and} \\
 \forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m+n) \cdot k &= m \cdot k + n \cdot k.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, k \cdot (m+x) = k \cdot m + k \cdot x$ .”  $P(0)$  holds by [1] and [3].

Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(n)$ . Then, for each  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 k \cdot (m + (n+1)) &= k \cdot ((m+n) + 1) &> + \text{ is Associative} \\
 &= k \cdot (m+n) + k &> [4] \\
 &= (k \cdot m + k \cdot n) + k &> P(n) \\
 &= k \cdot m + (k \cdot n + k) &> + \text{ is Associative} \\
 &= k \cdot m + k \cdot (n+1). &> [4]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, we have  $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, k \cdot (m+n) = k \cdot m + k \cdot n$ .

Now, we have, for each  $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (m+n) \cdot k &= k \cdot (m+n) &> \cdot \text{ is Commutative} \\
 &= k \cdot m + k \cdot n \\
 &= m \cdot k + n \cdot k. &> \cdot \text{ is Commutative}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

### Theorem 3.4.10 · is Associative

Multiplication is associative, i.e.,

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k \cdot m) \cdot n = k \cdot (m \cdot n).$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (k \cdot m) \cdot x = k \cdot (m \cdot x)$ .”  $P(0)$  is direct from [3].  
Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(n)$ . Then, for each  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (k \cdot m) \cdot (n + 1) &= (k \cdot m) \cdot n + k \cdot m &> [4] \\ &= k \cdot (m \cdot n) + k \cdot m &> P(n) \\ &= k \cdot (m \cdot n + m) &> \cdot \text{ Distributes Over } + \\ &= k \cdot (m \cdot (n + 1)). &> [4] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result follows by **The Induction Principle**. □

#### Lemma 3.4.11

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot 1 = m$$

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} m \cdot 1 &= m \cdot (0 + 1) &> [1], + \text{ is Commutative} \\ &= m \cdot 0 + m &> [4] \\ &= 0 + m &> [3] \\ &= m &> [1], + \text{ is Commutative} \end{aligned}$$

□

#### Theorem 3.4.12

There uniquely exists a function  $\uparrow: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

- (i)  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \uparrow 0 = 1$
- (ii)  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \uparrow (n + 1) = (m \uparrow n) \cdot m$

**Proof.** The result directly follows from exploiting **The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version** with  $A = P = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a(p) = 1$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $g(p, x, n) = x \cdot p$  for all  $p, x, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . □

#### Definition 3.4.13: Exponentiation

The function  $\uparrow$  defined in Theorem 3.4.12 is called the *exponentiation*. We write  $m^n$  instead of  $m \uparrow n$ .

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m^0 = 1 \tag{5}$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m^{n+1} = m^n \cdot m \tag{6}$$

#### Theorem 3.4.14 Laws of Exponents

- (i)  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m^1 = m$
- (ii)  $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, k^{m+n} = k^m \cdot k^n$
- (iii)  $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m \cdot n)^k = m^k \cdot n^k$
- (iv)  $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k^m)^n = k^{m \cdot n}$

**Proof.**

(i) Take any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} m^1 &= m^{0+1} &> [1], + \text{ is Commutative} \\ &= m^0 \cdot m &> [6] \\ &= 1 \cdot m &> [5] \\ &= m. &> \cdot \text{ is Commutative, Lemma 3.4.11} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let  $\mathbf{P}(x)$  be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, k^{m+x} = k^m \cdot k^x$ .”  $\mathbf{P}(0)$  holds since, for each  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} k^{m+0} &= k^m &> [1] \\ &= k^m \cdot 1 &> \text{Lemma 3.4.11} \\ &= k^m \cdot k^0. &> [5] \end{aligned}$$

Now, fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $\mathbf{P}(n)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} k^{m+(n+1)} &= k^{(m+n)+1} &> + \text{ is Associative} \\ &= k^{m+n} \cdot k &> [6] \\ &= (k^m \cdot k^n) \cdot k &> \mathbf{P}(x) \\ &= k^m \cdot (k^n \cdot k) &> \cdot \text{ is Associative} \\ &= k^m \cdot k^{n+1}. &> [6] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by **The Induction Principle**, the result follows.

(iii) Let  $\mathbf{P}(x)$  be the property “ $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m \cdot n)^x = m^x \cdot n^x$ .”  $\mathbf{P}(0)$  holds since, for each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (m \cdot n)^0 &= 1 &> [5] \\ &= 1 \cdot 1 &> \text{Lemma 3.4.11} \\ &= m^0 \cdot n^0. &> [5] \end{aligned}$$

Now, fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $\mathbf{P}(k)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (m \cdot n)^{k+1} &= (m \cdot n)^k \cdot (m \cdot n) &> [6] \\ &= (m^k \cdot n^k) \cdot (m \cdot n) &> \mathbf{P}(k) \\ &= (m^k \cdot m) \cdot (n^k \cdot n) &> \cdot \text{ is Commutative, } \cdot \text{ is Associative} \\ &= m^{k+1} \cdot n^{k+1}. &> [6] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by **The Induction Principle**, the result follows.

(iv) Let  $\mathbf{P}(x)$  be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (k^m)^x = k^{m \cdot x}$ .”  $\mathbf{P}(0)$  holds since, for each  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (k^m)^0 &= 1 &> [5] \\ &= k^0 &> [5] \\ &= k^{m \cdot 0}. &> [3] \end{aligned}$$

Now, fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $\mathbf{P}(n)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (k^m)^{n+1} &= (k^m)^n \cdot k^m &> [6] \\ &= k^{m \cdot n} \cdot k^m &> \mathbf{P}(n) \\ &= k^{m \cdot n + m} &> \text{Laws of Exponents (ii)} \\ &= k^{m \cdot (n+1)}. &> [4] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by **The Induction Principle**, the result follows. □

### Theorem 3.4.15

There uniquely exists  $\Sigma: \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

(i)  $\Sigma(\langle \rangle) = 0$ .

(ii)  $\Sigma(k) = \Sigma(k|_n) + k_n$  for all  $k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$  with length  $n + 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g : \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}) \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  be defined by

$$g(k, s, n) = \begin{cases} s + k_n & \text{if } n \in \text{dom } k \\ s & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by **The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version**, there exists a function  $f : \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}) \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

- (i)  $\forall k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}), f(k, 0) = 0$   
(ii)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}), f(k, n + 1) = g(k, f(k, n), n) = \begin{cases} f(k, n) + k_n & \text{if } n \in \text{dom } k \\ f(k, n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad [*]$

Now, define  $\Sigma : \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $\Sigma(k) = f(k, \text{dom } k)$ . (i) evidently holds.

**Claim 1.** Let  $k, \ell \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$ . If  $k \subseteq \ell$ , then  $f(k, \text{dom } k) = f(\ell, \text{dom } k)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property

$$\forall k, \ell \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}), [\text{dom } k = x \wedge k \subseteq \ell \implies f(k, x) = f(\ell, x)].$$

$P(0)$  is evident. Now, fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(n)$ .

Fix any  $k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$  with  $\text{dom } k = n + 1$ . Then, for any  $\ell \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$  with  $k \subseteq \ell$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\ell, n + 1) &= f(\ell, n) + \ell_n &> [*] \\ &= f(\ell|_n, n) + \ell_n &> P(n) \\ &= f(k|_n, n) + k_n &> k \subseteq \ell \\ &= f(k, n) + k_n &> P(n) \\ &= f(k, n + 1). &> [*] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by **The Induction Principle**, the result follows.  $\square$

Let  $k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$  with length  $n + 1$ . Then,  $\Sigma(k) = f(k, n + 1) = f(k, n) + k_n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(k) &= f(k, n + 1) \\ &= f(k, n) + k_n &> [*] \\ &= f(k|_n, n) + k_n &> \text{Claim 1} \\ &= \Sigma(k|_n) + k_n. \end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness easily follows.  $\square$

#### Notation 3.4.16: Summation

For the function  $\Sigma$  defined in Theorem 3.4.15, we write

$$\sum_{0 \leq i < n} k_i \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k_i$$

instead of  $\Sigma(\langle k_0, \dots, k_{n-1} \rangle)$ .

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 3.4.2

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \iff m + k < n + k)$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \iff m + x < n + x)$ .”  $P(0)$  is evident from [1].

Now, fix any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(k)$ . Then, for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m < n &\iff m + k < n + k &> P(k) \\ &\iff (m + k) + 1 < (n + k) + 1 &> \text{Exercise 3.2.2} \\ &\iff m + (k + 1) < n + (k + 1). &> + \text{ is Associative} \end{aligned}$$

By **The Induction Principle**, the result follows.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.4.3

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m \leq n \iff \exists! k \in \mathbb{N}, n = m + k)$$

**Proof.**  $(\Rightarrow)$  Fix any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, x = m + k$ .”  $P(m)$  holds since  $k = 0$  would satisfy by [1].

Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \leq n$  and assume  $P(n)$ . Then, there exists  $k$  such that  $n = m + k$ , which leads to  $n + 1 = m + (k + 1)$  by **+ is Associative**. Hence,  $P(n + 1)$  holds. Therefore,  $\forall n \geq m, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = m + k$  by Exercise 3.2.11.

To prove the uniqueness, assume  $m + k = m + \ell$  where  $k, \ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . WLOG,  $k \leq \ell$ . If it were  $k < \ell$ , by Exercise 3.4.2 and **+ is Commutative**, we must have  $m + k = k + m < \ell + m = \ell + m$ . Hence,  $k = \ell$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (n = m + x \implies m \leq n)$ .” We have evidently  $P(0)$  by [1].

Fix any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(k)$ . Then, for each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n = m + (k + 1)$ , we have  $n = (m + 1) + k$  thanks to **+ is Commutative** and **+ is Associative**, and thus  $m < m + 1 \leq n$  by  $P(k)$ . Hence, by **The Induction Principle**, the result follows.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.4.6

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, [k \neq 0 \implies (m < n \iff m \cdot k < n \cdot k)]$$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \iff m \cdot x < n \cdot x)$ .”  $P(1)$  holds since, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot 1 &= n \cdot (0 + 1) &> [1], + \text{ is Commutative} \\ &= n \cdot 0 + n &> [4] \\ &= 0 + n &> [3] \\ &= n. &> [1], + \text{ is Commutative} \end{aligned}$$

Now, fix any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(k)$ . Then, for each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m < n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m \cdot (k + 1) &= m \cdot k + m &> [4] \\ &< m \cdot k + n &> \text{Exercise 3.4.2} \\ &< n \cdot k + n &> P(k), + \text{ is Commutative, Exercise 3.4.2} \\ &= n \cdot (k + 1). &> [4] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Exercise 3.2.11, the result follows.  $\square$

## 3.5 Operations and Structures

### Definition 3.5.1: Operation

- A *unary operation* on  $S$  is a function  $S \rightarrow S$ .
- A *binary operation* on  $S$  is a function  $S^2 \rightarrow S$ .

### Notation 3.5.2: Binary Operation

Non-letter symbols such as  $+$ ,  $\times$ ,  $*$ ,  $\Delta$ , etc., are often used to denote operations. The value of the operation  $*$  at  $(x, y)$  is then denoted  $x * y$  rather than  $*(x, y)$ .

### Definition 3.5.3: Closedness Under Operation

Let  $f$  be a binary operation on  $S$  and  $A \subseteq S$ .  $A$  is said to be *closed under the operation*  $f$  if  $\forall x, y \in A, [(x, y) \in \text{dom } f \implies f(x, y) \in A]$ .

### Definition 3.5.4: $n$ -Tuple

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An  $n$ -tuple is a finite sequence of length  $n$ .

#### Note:-

Let  $\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$  and  $\langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$  be two  $n$ -tuples. We have, by Lemma 2.3.3,

$$\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle = \langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle \iff \forall i < n, a_i = b_i.$$

This satisfies the usual defining property of  $n$ -tuple.

#### Note:-

- If  $\langle A_i \mid 0 \leq i < n \rangle$  is a finite sequence (of sets), then the product of the indexed system of sets  $\prod_{0 \leq i < n} A_i$  (Definition 2.3.16) is just the set of all  $n$ -tuples  $a = \langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$  such that  $\forall i < n, a_i \in A_i$ .
- If  $\forall i < n, A_i = A$ , then  $\prod_{0 \leq i < n} A_i = A^n$ .
- $A^0 = \{\langle \rangle\}$ .

### Notation 3.5.5

The ‘ordered pair’ (Definition 2.1.1),  $(a_0, a_1) = \{\{a_0\}, \{a_0, a_1\}\}$ , is different set from the ‘2-tuple’ (Definition 3.5.4),  $\langle a_0, a_1 \rangle = \{(0, a_0), (1, a_1)\}$ . Consequently,  $A_0 \times A_1$  (Definition 2.2.10) does not generally equal to  $\prod_{0 \leq i < 2} A_i$  (Definition 2.3.16).

However, since there is a natural one-to-one correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : A_0 \times A_1 &\hookrightarrow \prod_{0 \leq i < 2} A_i \\ (a_0, a_1) &\mapsto \langle a_0, a_1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for almost all practical purposes—when only the defining property of  $n$ -tuple is needed—it makes so difference which definition one uses.

Therefore, we do not distinguish between ordered pairs and 2-tuples now on. That is to say we use notations

$$\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$$

interchangeably from now on.

### Definition 3.5.6: $n$ -ary Relation

An  $n$ -ary relation  $R$  in  $A$  is a subset of  $A^n$ . We write  $R(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  instead of  $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \in R$ .

### Definition 3.5.7: $n$ -ary Operation

An  $n$ -ary operation  $F$  on  $A$  is a function  $A^n \rightarrow A$ . We write  $F(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  instead of  $F(\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle)$ .

#### Note:-

- 1-ary relations in  $A$  need not be distinguished from subsets of  $A$ .
- 1-ary operations on  $A$  need not be distinguished from functions  $A \rightarrow A$ .
- Nonempty 0-ary operations on  $A$  need not be distinguished from  $A$ . (A nonempty 0-ary operation is of the form  $\{(\langle \rangle, a)\}$  where  $a \in A$ ; a nonempty 0-ary operation is called a *constant*.)

### Definition 3.5.8: Structure

- A type  $\tau$  is an ordered pair  $(\langle r_0, \dots, r_{m-1} \rangle, \langle f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \rangle)$  of finite sequences of natural numbers.
- A structure of type  $\tau$  is a triple

$$\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$$

where  $R_i$  is an  $r_i$ -ary relation on  $A$  for each  $i < m$  and  $F_j$  is an  $f_j$ -ary operation on  $A$  for each  $j < n$ . In addition, we require  $F_j \neq \emptyset$  if  $f_j = 0$ , i.e.,  $F_j$  should be constant.  $A$  is called the *universe* of the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

### Example 3.5.9

$\mathfrak{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \langle \leq \rangle, \langle 0, +, \cdot \rangle)$  is a structure of type  $(\langle 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 2 \rangle)$ .

### Notation 3.5.10

We often write the structure of type  $(\langle r_0, \dots, r_{m-1} \rangle, \langle f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \rangle)$  as a  $(1+m+n)$ -tuple, for example,  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq, 0, +, \cdot)$ , when it is understood which symbol represent relations and which operations.

### Definition 3.5.11: Isomorphism Between Structures

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}'$  be structures of the same type  $\tau = (\langle r_0, \dots, r_{m-1} \rangle, \langle f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \rangle)$ . Write  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}' = (A', \langle R'_0, \dots, R'_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F'_0, \dots, F'_{n-1} \rangle)$ . An *isomorphism* between structures  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}'$  is a mapping  $h: A \hookrightarrow A'$  such that

- (i)  $\forall i < m, \forall a \in A^{r_i}, [R_i(a_0, \dots, a_{r_i-1}) \iff R'_i(h(a_0), \dots, h(a_{r_i-1}))]$
- (ii)  $\forall j < n, \forall a \in A^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \iff (h(a_0), \dots, h(a_{f_j-1})) \in \text{dom } F'_j]$
- (iii)  $\forall j < n, \forall a \in A^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \implies h(F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1})) = F'_j(h(a_0), \dots, h(a_{f_j-1}))]$ .

### Definition 3.5.12: Automorphism

An isomorphism between a structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  and itself is called an *automorphism*.

### Definition 3.5.13: Closed Set

Fix a structure  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$ . A set  $B \subseteq A$  is called *closed* if

$$\forall j < n, \forall a \in B^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \implies F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in B].$$

### Definition 3.5.14: Closure

Fix a structure  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$ . Let  $C \subseteq A$ . The *closure* of  $C$ ,

$$\overline{C} \triangleq \bigcap \{B \subseteq A \mid C \subseteq B \text{ and } B \text{ is closed}\},$$

is the least closed set containing all elements of  $C$ .

### Theorem 3.5.15

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$  be a structure and let  $C \subseteq A$ . If the sequence  $\langle C_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  is defined recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= C; \\ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, C_{i+1} &= C_i \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} F_j[C_i^{f_j}], \end{aligned}$$

then  $\overline{C} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i$ .

**Proof.** Note the recursive definition is justified by **The Recursion Theorem**. Let  $\tilde{C} \triangleq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i$ .

**Claim 1.**  $\overline{C} \subseteq \tilde{C}$



**Proof.** Since we have  $C_0 \subseteq \tilde{C}$ , it is enough to show that  $\tilde{C}$  is closed.

Take any  $j < n$  and  $a \in \tilde{C}^{f_j}$ . By the definition of  $\tilde{C}$ ,  $\forall r < f_j, \exists i_r \in \mathbb{N}, a_r \in C_{i_r}$ . We may take  $\bar{i} = \max\{i_r \mid r < f_j\}$  by Exercise 3.5.13. Since  $C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $a_r \in C_{i_r} \subseteq C_{\bar{i}}$  for all  $r < f_j$ . Hence, if  $(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j$ , we have  $F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in F_j[C_{\bar{i}}^{f_j}] \subseteq C_{\bar{i}+1} \subseteq \tilde{C}$ . Hence,  $\tilde{C}$  is closed.  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $\tilde{C} \subseteq \bar{C}$

**Proof.** Clearly  $C_0 = C \subseteq \bar{C}$ . If  $C_i \subseteq \bar{C}$ , then, for each  $j < n$ ,  $F_j[C_i^{f_j}] \subseteq \bar{C}$  since  $\bar{C}$  is closed. Hence,  $C_{i+1} \subseteq \bar{C}$ . Therefore, by The Induction Principle,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, C_i \subseteq \bar{C}$ ; hence  $\tilde{C} \subseteq \bar{C}$ .  $\square$

Combining Claims 1 and 2 completes the proof.  $\square$

### Theorem 3.5.16 The General Induction Principle

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$  be a structure and let  $C \subseteq A$ . Let  $P(x)$  be a property. If

- (i)  $\forall a \in C, P(a)$
  - (ii)  $\forall j < n, \forall a \in A^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \wedge \forall i < f_j, P(a_i) \implies P(F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}))]$
- hold, then  $\forall x \in \bar{C}, P(x)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B = \{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$ . (i) says  $C \subseteq B$  and (ii) says  $B$  is closed. Therefore,  $\bar{C} \subseteq B$ .  $\square$

#### Note:-

The Induction Principle is a special case of The General Induction Principle for the structure  $(\mathbb{N}, S)$  where  $S$  is the successor function.

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 3.5.4

Let  $B = \mathcal{P}(A)$ . Show that  $(B, \cup_B, \cap_B)$  and  $(B, \cap_B, \cup_B)$  are isomorphic structures.

**Proof.** Let  $h: B \rightarrow B$  be defined by  $h(X) = A \setminus X$ . If  $A \setminus X = A \setminus Y$ , then  $X = A \setminus (A \setminus X) = A \setminus (A \setminus Y) = Y$  by Exercise 1.4.2 (iii). Moreover,  $h(h(X)) = X$  for all  $X \in B$ . Hence,  $h: B \hookrightarrow B$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3.5.7

Let  $R$  be a set whose elements are  $n$ -tuples. Then,  $R$  is an  $n$ -ary relation in  $A$  for some  $A$ .

**Proof.** Let  $a \in R$ . Then,  $a = \{(0, a_0), \dots, (n-1, a_{n-1})\}$ . For each  $i < n$ ,  $a_i \in \{i, a_i\} \in (i, a_i) \in a \in R$ . Hence,  $a_i \in \bigcup [\bigcup (\bigcup R)]$ , i.e.,  $R$  is an  $n$ -ary relation in  $A = \bigcup [\bigcup (\bigcup R)]$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3.5.10

Let  $A$  be a sequence of length  $n$ . Then,  $\prod_{0 \leq i < n} A_i \neq \emptyset \iff \forall i < n, A_i \neq \emptyset$

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “if  $A$  is a sequence of length  $x$ , then  $\prod_{0 \leq i < x} A_i \neq \emptyset \iff \forall i < x, A_i \neq \emptyset$ .”  $P(0)$  holds since, if  $A$  is a function with  $\text{dom } A = \emptyset$ , then  $\prod A = \{\emptyset\}$ .

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $P(n)$  holds. Take any sequence  $A$  of length  $n+1$ .

- Assume  $\prod A \neq \emptyset$  and take  $a \in \prod A$ . Then, for each  $i < n + 1$ ,  $a_i \in A_i$ ; and thus  $A_i \neq \emptyset$ .
- Assume  $\forall i < n + 1$ ,  $A_i \neq \emptyset$ . Then, by  $\mathbf{P}(n)$ , we may take  $a' \in \prod_{0 \leq i < n} A_i$ . We also may take  $b \in A_n$ . Then,  $a' \cup \{(n, b)\} \in \prod A$ .

Hence,  $\mathbf{P}(n)$  holds. Thus, the result follows by **The Induction Principle**.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.5.13

Let  $\langle k_0, \dots, k_{n-1} \rangle$  be a finite sequence of natural numbers of length  $n \geq 1$ . Then, its range  $\{k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}\}$  has a greatest element.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbf{P}(x)$  be the property “the range of a finite sequence of natural numbers of length  $x$  has a greatest element.”

Let  $\langle k_0 \rangle$  be a sequence of natural numbers of length 1. Then,  $k_0 = \max \text{ran} \langle k_0 \rangle$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{P}(1)$ .

Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $\mathbf{P}(n)$ . Take any  $k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$  with length  $n + 1$ . Let  $k' = \langle k_0, \dots, k_{n-1} \rangle$  be another sequence. Then, by  $\mathbf{P}(n)$ , there exists  $m' = \max\{k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}\}$ . Now, let  $m = \max\{m', k_n\}$ . Then, for all  $i < n$ ,  $k_i \leq m' \leq m$ , and  $k_n \leq m$ . Hence,  $m$  is an upper bound of  $\text{ran } k$ ; the result follows by Theorem 3.2.8 and Exercise 3.2.11.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.5.15

Let  $R \subseteq A^2$  be a binary relation. Define a binary operation  $F_R$  on  $A^2$  by

$$F_R((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)) = \begin{cases} (a_1, b_2) & \text{if } a_2 = b_1 \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,

- The closure of  $R$  in  $(A^2, F_R)$  is a transitive relation.
- If  $R$  is reflexive and symmetric,  $\bar{R}$  is also an equivalence.

**Proof.**

- Take any  $a, b, c \in A$  and assume  $a\bar{R}b$  and  $b\bar{R}c$ . Then, since  $\bar{R}$  is closed,  $F((a, b), (b, c)) = (a, c) \in \bar{R}$ . Hence,  $\bar{R}$  is transitive.
- $\text{Id}_A \subseteq R \subseteq \bar{R}$ ;  $\bar{R}$  is reflexive.

Let  $\mathbf{P}(x, y)$  be the property “ $y\bar{R}x$ .” As  $R \subseteq \bar{R}$ , we have  $\forall (a, b) \in R$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(a, b)$ . Now, take any  $(a, b), (b, c) \in A^2$  such that  $\mathbf{P}(a, b)$  and  $\mathbf{P}(b, c)$ . Then, by (i), we have  $c\bar{R}a$ ;  $\mathbf{P}(F_R((a, b), (b, c)))$  hold. Therefore, by **The General Induction Principle**,  $b\bar{R}a$  holds for all  $(a, b) \in \bar{R}$ .  $\square$

# Chapter 4

## Finite, Countable, and Uncountable Sets

### 4.1 Cardinality of Sets

#### Definition 4.1.1: Equipotent Sets

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets.  $A$  is *equipotent* to  $B$  if there is a function  $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ . We write  $|A| = |B|$ .

#### Lemma 4.1.2

Let  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  be sets.

- (i)  $|A| = |A|$ .
- (ii) If  $|A| = |B|$ , then  $|B| = |A|$ .
- (iii) If  $|A| = |B|$  and  $|B| = |C|$ , then  $|A| = |C|$ .

**Proof.**

- (i)  $\text{Id}_A$  is an injective function on  $A$  onto  $A$ .
- (ii) If  $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ , then  $f^{-1} : B \hookrightarrow A$ .
- (iii) If  $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ , and if  $g : B \hookrightarrow C$ , then  $f \circ g : A \hookrightarrow C$ . □

**Note:-**

Lemma 4.1.2 essentially says that  $|A| = |B|$  behaves like an equivalence relation.

#### Definition 4.1.3

- We say *the cardinality of  $A$  is less than or equal to the cardinality of  $B$*  if there is a function  $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ . We write  $|A| \leq |B|$ .
- We say *the cardinality of  $A$  is less than the cardinality of  $B$*  if  $|A| \leq |B|$  and  $\neg(|A| = |B|)$ . We write  $|A| < |B|$ .

#### Lemma 4.1.4

Let  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  be sets.

- (i) If  $|A| = |B|$ , then  $|A| \leq |B|$ .
- (ii)  $|A| \leq |A|$
- (iii) If  $|A| \leq |B|$  and  $|B| \leq |C|$ , then  $|A| \leq |C|$ .

**Proof.**

- (i) If  $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ , then  $f$  is injective as well.

- (ii)  $\text{Id}_A$  is an injective function on  $A$  into  $A$ .  
 (iii) If  $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ , and if  $g : B \hookrightarrow C$ , then  $f \circ g : A \hookrightarrow C$ . □

**Lemma 4.1.5**

If  $A_1 \subseteq B \subseteq A$  and  $|A_1| = |A|$ , then  $|B| = |A|$ .

**Note:-**

We present two proofs for Lemma 4.1.5. The second proof can be viewed as a more fundamental proof in the sense that it does not depend on **Axiom of Infinity**.

**Proof 1.** Let  $f : A \hookrightarrow A_1$ . Define a sequence  $\langle A_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  and  $\langle B_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= A, & B_0 &= B, \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, A_{n+1} &= f[A_n], & \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, B_{n+1} &= f[B_n] \end{aligned} \quad [*]$$

thanks to **The Recursion Theorem**.

We clearly have  $A_1 \subseteq B_0 \subseteq A_0$ . If  $A_{n+1} \subseteq B_n \subseteq A_n$ , then  $A_{n+2} = f[A_{n+1}] \subseteq B_{n+1} = f[B_n] \subseteq A_{n+1} = f[A_n]$  by  $[\ast]$ . Hence, by  $[\ast]$  and **The Induction Principle**, we have  $A_{n+1} \subseteq B_n \subseteq A_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C_n \triangleq A_n \setminus B_n$ . Then, by **Exercise 2.3.6 (ii)**,  $C_{n+1} = f[A_n] \setminus f[B_n] = f[A_n \setminus B_n] = f[C_n]$ . Let

$$C \triangleq \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \quad \text{and} \quad D \triangleq A \setminus C.$$

Hence,  $f[C] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \subseteq C$ . Now, define a function  $g : A \rightarrow A$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in C \\ x & \text{if } x \in D. \end{cases}$$

We immediately notice that  $g|_C = f|_C$  and  $g|_D$  are injective and their ranges— $f[C]$  and  $D$ —are disjoint;  $g$  is injective.

As,  $\forall n \geq 1, C_n \subseteq A_n \subseteq B_0 = B$ , we have  $f[C] \subseteq B$ . If  $x \in D$ , then  $x \in A \setminus C_0 = A \setminus (A \setminus B) = B$  by **Exercise 1.4.2 (iii)**.

Now, we shall show  $B \subseteq f[C] \cup D$  and thus  $B = \text{ran } g$ . Take any  $y \in B$ . Then,  $y \in C$  or  $y \in D$ . If  $y \in D$ , then it is done; so assume  $y \in C$ . Then, as  $y \notin A \setminus B = C_0$ ,  $y \in f[C]$ . Hence,  $g : A \hookrightarrow B$ . □

**Proof 2.** Let  $f : A \hookrightarrow A_1$ . Let  $F : \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$  be defined by  $F(X) = (A \setminus B) \cup f[X]$ . If  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A$ , then  $F(X) = (A \setminus B) \cup f[X] \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cup f[Y] = F(Y)$ . Hence, by **Exercise 4.1.10**, there exists  $C \subseteq A$  such that

$$C = (A \setminus B) \cup f[C].$$

Let  $D \triangleq A \setminus C$ .

Now, define a function  $g : A \rightarrow A$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in C \\ x & \text{if } x \in D. \end{cases}$$

Then, since  $f[C] \subseteq C$ ,  $g$  is injective.

Moreover,  $f[C] \subseteq \text{ran } f = A_1 \subseteq B$  and  $D = A \setminus C = A \setminus ((A \setminus B) \cup f[C]) \subseteq A \setminus (A \setminus B) = B$ , and thus  $\text{ran } g \subseteq B$ .

Now, take any  $y \in B$ . If  $y \in C$ , then, as  $y \notin A \setminus B$ ,  $y \in f[C]$ . Hence,  $B \subseteq f[C] \cup D$ . Therefore,  $g : A \hookrightarrow B$ . □

**Theorem 4.1.6** Cantor–Bernstein Theorem

If  $|X| \leq |Y|$  and  $|Y| \leq |X|$ , then  $|X| = |Y|$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f : X \hookrightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \hookrightarrow X$ . Then,  $g : Y \hookrightarrow g[Y]$ , i.e.,  $|Y| = |g[Y]|$ ; and  $g \circ f : X \hookrightarrow (g \circ f)[X]$ , i.e.,  $|X| = |(g \circ f)[X]|$ . Moreover,  $(g \circ f)[X] \subseteq g[Y] \subseteq X$ . Hence, by Lemma 4.1.5,  $|g[Y]| = |X|$ . We conclude  $|X| = |Y|$  from Lemma 4.1.2.  $\square$

**Assumption 4.1.7**

There are sets called *cardinal numbers* (or *cardinals*) with the property that for every set  $X$  there is a unique cardinal  $|X|$  (the *cardinal number of  $X$* , the *cardinality of  $X$* ) and sets  $X$  and  $Y$  are equipotent if and only if  $|X|$  is equal to  $|Y|$ .

**Note:-**

Assumption 4.1.7 essentially asserts the existence of a unique “representative” for each class of mutually equipotent sets. Assumption 4.1.7 is *harmless* in the sense that we only use it for convenience and we could formulate the theorems without it. We prove Assumption 4.1.7 in Chapter 8: **Axiom of Choice**. However, for certain classes of sets, cardinal numbers can be defined without the Axiom of Choice.

**Selected Problems****Exercise 4.1.2**

Let  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  be sets.

- (i) If  $|A| < |B|$  and  $|B| \leq |C|$ , then  $|A| < |C|$ .
- (ii) If  $|A| \leq |B|$  and  $|B| < |C|$ , then  $|A| < |C|$ .

**Proof.**

- (i) We already have  $|A| \leq |C|$  by Lemma 4.1.4 (iii). Let  $g : B \hookrightarrow C$ . Suppose  $f : A \hookrightarrow C$  for the sake of contradiction. Then,  $f^{-1} \circ g : B \hookrightarrow A$ , i.e.,  $|B| \leq |A|$ . By Cantor–Bernstein Theorem, we get  $|A| = |B|$ , which is a contradiction.
- (ii) We already have  $|A| \leq |C|$  by Lemma 4.1.4 (iii). Let  $g : A \hookrightarrow B$ . Suppose  $f : A \hookrightarrow C$  for the sake of contradiction. Then,  $g \circ f^{-1} : C \hookrightarrow B$ , i.e.,  $|C| \leq |B|$ . By Cantor–Bernstein Theorem, we get  $|B| = |C|$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Exercise 4.1.3**

If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $|A| \leq |B|$ .

**Proof.**  $\text{Id}_A$  is an injective function on  $A$  into  $B$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 4.1.7**

If  $S \subseteq T$ , then  $|A^S| \leq |A^T|$ . In particular,  $|A^m| \leq |A^n|$  if  $m \leq n$ .

**Proof.** If  $T = \emptyset$ , then  $A^S = A^T = \{\emptyset\}$  and it is done.

Assume  $T \neq \emptyset$ . Fix some  $t \in T$ . Now, define  $f : A^S \hookrightarrow A^T$  by  $g \mapsto g \cup \{(x, t) \mid x \in T \setminus S\}$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 4.1.10

Let  $F: \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$  be *monotone*, i.e., if  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A$ , then  $F(X) \subseteq F(Y)$ . Then,  $F$  has a least fixed point  $\bar{X}$ , that is to say  $F(\bar{X}) = \bar{X}$  and  $\forall X \subseteq A, (F(X) = X \implies \bar{X} \subseteq X)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $T \triangleq \{X \subseteq A \mid F(X) \subseteq X\}$ . Then, as  $A \in T$ ,  $T \neq \emptyset$ ; we may let  $\bar{X} \triangleq \bigcap T$ .

Then, for all  $X \in T$ ,  $\bar{X} \subseteq X$ ; and thus  $F(\bar{X}) \subseteq F(X) \subseteq X$ . We have  $F(\bar{X}) \subseteq \bigcap T = \bar{X}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{X} \in T$ .

On the other hand, we have  $F(F(\bar{X})) \subseteq F(\bar{X})$ , or  $F(\bar{X}) \in T$ , and thus  $\bar{X} = \bigcap T \subseteq F(\bar{X})$ . Therefore,  $F(\bar{X}) = \bar{X}$ . Moreover, if  $X$  is a fixed point, then  $X \in T$ , and thus  $\bar{X} = \bigcap T \subseteq X$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 4.1.14

A function  $F: \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$  is *continuous* if, for each sequence  $\langle X_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  of subsets of  $A$  such that  $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}, (i \leq j \implies X_i \subseteq X_j)$ ,  $F(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F(X_i)$  holds.

If  $\bar{X}$  is the least fixed point of a monotone continuous function,  $F: \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$ , then  $\bar{X} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$  where we define recursively  $X_0 = \emptyset$ ,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, X_{i+1} = F(X_i)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{X} \triangleq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$ . We have  $X_0 = \emptyset \subseteq X_1$ .

If  $X_n \subseteq X_{n+1}$ , then  $X_{n+1} \subseteq X_{n+2}$  since  $F$  is monotone. Hence,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, X_n \subseteq X_{n+1}$ . Therefore, similarly to Exercise 3.3.1, we have  $X_m \subseteq X_n$  whenever  $m \leq n$ . Hence,  $F(\tilde{X}) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F(X_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i = \tilde{X}$ ;  $\tilde{X}$  is a fixed point of  $F$ ; hence  $\bar{X} \subseteq \tilde{X}$ .

We have  $X_0 \subseteq \bar{X}$ . If  $X_n \subseteq \bar{X}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $X_{n+1} \subseteq F(\bar{X}) = \bar{X}$ . Hence, by **The Induction Principle**,  $\tilde{X} \subseteq \bar{X}$ .  $\square$

## 4.2 Finite Sets

### Definition 4.2.1: Finite Set and Infinite Set

A set  $S$  is *finite* if it is equipotent to some natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We then define  $|S| = n$  and say  $S$  has  $n$  elements. A set is *infinite* if it is not finite.

#### Note:-

According to Definition 4.2.1, cardinal numbers of finite sets are the natural numbers. We evidently have  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, |n| = n$ .

### Lemma 4.2.2

If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X \subsetneq n$ , then there is no  $f: n \hookrightarrow X$ .

**Proof.** If  $n = 0$ , there is no  $X \subsetneq n$ ; the assertion is true.

Assume the assertion holds for  $n$ . Suppose there is some  $f: (n+1) \hookrightarrow X$  where  $X \subsetneq n+1$ . There are two cases:  $n \in X$  and  $n \notin X$ .

If  $n \notin X$ , then  $X \subseteq n$ , and thus  $f|_n: n \hookrightarrow X \setminus \{f(n)\}$ ; however  $X \setminus \{f(n)\} \subsetneq X \subseteq n$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $n \in X$ , then  $n = f(k)$  for some  $k \leq n$ . Define a function  $g$  on  $n$  by following:

$$g(i) = \begin{cases} f(n) & \text{if } i = k < n \\ f(i) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $g: n \hookrightarrow X \setminus \{n\}$  and  $X \setminus \{n\} \subsetneq n$ , which is also a contradiction.  $\square$

### Corollary 4.2.3

- (i) If  $m \neq n$  where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there is no  $f : m \hookrightarrow n$ .
- (ii) If  $|S| = m$  and  $|S| = n$ , then  $m = n$ .
- (iii)  $\mathbb{N}$  is infinite.

**Proof.**

- (i) If  $n \neq m$ , by  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is **Totally Ordered**, we have  $n \subsetneq m$  or  $m \subsetneq n$ . In either case, we do not have such function by Lemma 4.2.2.
- (ii) By Lemma 4.1.2, we have  $|m| = |n|$ . (i) asserts that  $m = n$ ; otherwise we cannot have  $|m| = |n|$ .
- (iii) By Exercise 3.2.3, there exists  $f : \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow X$  where  $X \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$ . If there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $g : n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ f \circ g$  is a function on  $n$  onto a proper subset of  $n$ . This contradicts Lemma 4.2.2.  $\square$

### Theorem 4.2.4

If  $X$  is a finite set and  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $Y$  is finite.

**Proof.** We may assume  $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ , where  $\langle x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle$  is an injective sequence, and  $Y \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $g : n \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow n$  be defined by

$$g(a, -) = \begin{cases} \min\{j \in n \mid a < j \wedge x_j \in Y\} & \text{if it exists} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad [*]$$

By **The Recursion Theorem: Partial Version**, there exists a sequence  $k$  of elements in  $n$  such that

- (i)  $k_0 = \min\{j \in n \mid x_j \in Y\}$ .  $\triangleright Y \neq \emptyset$
- (ii)  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, [i + 1 \in \text{dom } k \implies k_{i+1} = g(k_i, i) = \min\{j \in n \mid k_i < j \wedge x_j \in Y\}]$ .
- (iii)  $k$  is either an infinite sequence or a finite sequence of length  $\ell + 1$  and  $(k_\ell, \ell) \notin \text{dom } g$ .

By (ii) and  $[*]$ ,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, (i + 1 \in \text{dom } k \implies k_i < k_{i+1})$ . Hence,  $k$  is injective. If  $k$  were an infinite sequence, i.e.,  $k : \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow n$ , then  $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |n|$ . Together with Exercise 4.1.3 and **Cantor–Bernstein Theorem**, we get  $|\mathbb{N}| = |n|$ , which contradicts **Corollary 4.2.3 (iii)**. Hence,  $k$  is a finite sequence of length  $\ell$ .

Let  $y_i \triangleq x_{k_i}$  for each  $i < \ell$ . By (i) and (ii), the sequence  $y$  is injective and its range is a subset of  $Y$ . By the same argument of Claim 1 of Theorem 3.3.3, we have  $\text{ran } y = Y$ . Therefore,  $y : \ell \hookrightarrow Y$ ;  $Y$  is finite.  $\square$

### Theorem 4.2.5

If  $X$  is finite and  $f$  is a function, then  $f[X]$  is finite. Moreover,  $|f[X]| \leq |X|$ .

**Proof.** If  $f[X] = \emptyset$ , then it is done; assume  $f[X] \neq \emptyset$ . WLOG,  $X \subseteq \text{dom } f$ .

We may assume  $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ , where  $\langle x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle$  is an injective sequence. Let  $g : \text{Seq}(n) \rightarrow n$  be defined by

$$g(\langle k_0, \dots, k_{\ell'-1} \rangle) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell' = 0 \\ \min\{k \in n \mid k_{\ell'-1} < k \wedge \forall i < \ell', f(x_{k_i}) \neq f(x_k)\} & \text{if it exists and } \ell' > 0 \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad [*]$$

Then, one may modify **The Recursion Theorem: General Version** to its partial version like **The Recursion Theorem: Partial Version** to get a sequence  $k$  of elements of  $n$  such that:

(i)  $\forall i \in \text{dom } k, k_i = g(k|_i)$ . In particular,  $k_0 = 0$ .

(ii)  $k$  is either an infinite sequence or a finite sequence of length  $\ell + 1$  and  $k \notin \text{dom } g$ .

By (i) and  $[*]$ ,  $\forall i, j \in \text{dom } k, (i \neq j \implies f(x_{k_i}) \neq f(x_{k_j}))$ , i.e., the sequence  $y = \langle f(x_{k_i}) \mid i \in \text{dom } k \rangle$  is injective and its range is a subset of  $f[X]$ .

By the similar reason as in the proof of Theorem 4.2.4,  $k$  is finite and  $\text{ran } y = f[X]$ . Finally, we get  $|f[X]| \leq |X|$  from  $x \circ y^{-1}: f[X] \hookrightarrow X$ .  $\square$

#### Lemma 4.2.6

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be finite sets.

(i)  $X \cup Y$  is finite; moreover,  $|X \cup Y| \leq |X| + |Y|$ .

(ii) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are disjoint, then  $|X \cup Y| = |X| + |Y|$ .

**Proof.**

(i) Write  $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$  and  $Y = \{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$  where  $\langle x_0, \dots, x_{m-1} \rangle$  and  $\langle y_0, \dots, y_{n-1} \rangle$  are injective sequences.

Now, define  $z: (n+m) \rightarrow X \cup Y$  by

$$z_i = x_i \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < n \quad \text{and} \quad z_i = y_{i-n} \quad \text{for } n \leq i < n+m.$$

(Here,  $i-n$  is the unique  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $i = n+k$ . See Exercise 3.4.3.) Hence, by Theorem 4.2.5,  $X \cup Y$  is finite and  $|X \cup Y| \leq n+m$ .

(ii) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are disjoint, then  $z: (n+m) \hookrightarrow X \cup Y$ . Hence,  $|X \cup Y| = n+m$ .  $\square$

#### Theorem 4.2.7

If  $S$  is finite and if every  $X \in S$  is finite, then  $\bigcup S$  is finite.

**Proof.** If  $|S| = 0$ , then it is done.

Assume that the statement is true for all  $S$  with  $|S| = n$ . Let  $S = \{X_0, \dots, X_n\}$  be a set with  $n+1$  elements such that each  $X_i \in S$  is finite. Then, we have

$$\bigcup S = \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} X_i \right) \cup X_n$$

but  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} X_i$  is finite by induction hypothesis and thus  $\bigcup S$  is finite by Lemma 4.2.6. Hence, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.  $\square$

#### Theorem 4.2.8

If  $X$  is finite, then  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is finite.

**Proof.** If  $|X| = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{\emptyset\}$ , which is indeed finite.

Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is finite for all  $X$  with  $|X| = n$ . Take any  $Y$  with  $|Y| = n+1$ . Let  $Y = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$  and  $X \triangleq \{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{P}(Y) = \mathcal{P}(X) \cup U$  where  $U = \{u \subseteq Y \mid y_n \in u\}$ . Moreover,  $f: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow U$  defined by  $f(x) = x \cup \{y_n\}$  is injective and onto  $U$ . Hence,  $U$  is finite. By Lemma 4.2.6,  $\mathcal{P}(Y)$  is finite. The result follows by The Induction Principle.  $\square$

#### Theorem 4.2.9

If  $X$  is infinite, then  $|X| > n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .



**Proof.** We clearly have  $0 \leq |X|$ .

For induction, fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $n \leq |X|$ , i.e., there exists  $f: n \hookrightarrow X$ . By Theorem 4.2.5,  $\text{ran } f \subsetneq X$ ; we may take  $x \in X \setminus \text{ran } f$ . Then,  $g \triangleq f \cup \{(n, x)\}$  is an injective function on  $n + 1$  into  $X$ ; hence  $n + 1 \leq |X|$ . Therefore, by The Induction Principle, we have  $n \geq |X|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which suffices to induce the result.  $\square$

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 4.2.1

If  $S = \{X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}\}$  is a finite set of mutually disjoint sets. Then,  $|\bigcup S| = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |X_i|$ .

**Proof.** If  $S = \emptyset$ , then  $|\bigcup S| = 0 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |X_i|$ .

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume the assertion holds for all  $S$  with  $|S| = n$ . Then, take any set  $T$  of mutually disjoint sets with  $|T| = n + 1$ . Write  $T = \{X_0, \dots, X_n\}$  and let  $S \triangleq \{X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}\}$ . Then, since  $\bigcup T = (\bigcup S) \cup X_n$ , and since  $\bigcup S$  and  $X_n$  are disjoint,  $|\bigcup T| = |\bigcup S| + |X_n| = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |X_i| + |X_n| = \sum_{i=0}^n |X_i|$ . Hence, the result follows from The Induction Principle.  $\square$

### Exercise 4.2.2

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite, then  $|X \times Y| = |X| \cdot |Y|$ .

**Proof.** We shall exploit the induction on  $|Y|$ . If  $|Y| = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |X \times Y| &= 0 &> \text{Exercise 2.2.8} \\ &= |X| \cdot |Y|. &> [3] \end{aligned}$$

Assume the statement holds for all  $X$  and  $Y$  with  $|Y| = n$ . Let  $Z = \{z_0, \dots, z_n\}$  be a set with  $|Z| = n + 1$ . Let  $Y \triangleq \{z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}\}$ . Then, for all  $X$ ,  $X \times Z = (X \times Y) \cup (X \times \{z_n\})$ . Note that  $X \times \{z_n\}$  can be identified with  $X$  via  $f: X \hookrightarrow X \times \{z_n\}$  defined by  $x \mapsto (x, z_n)$ . Hence, if  $X$  is finite,

$$\begin{aligned} |X \times Z| &= |X \times Y| + |X \times \{z_n\}| &> \text{Lemma 4.2.6} \\ &= |X \times Y| + |X| &> |X \times \{z_n\}| = |X| \\ &= |X| \cdot |Y| + |X| &> \mathbf{P}(n) \\ &= |X| \cdot (|Y| + 1) &> [4] \\ &= |X| \cdot |Z|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.  $\square$

### Exercise 4.2.3

If  $X$  is finite,  $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = 2^{|X|}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbf{P}(x)$  be the property “ $\forall X, (|X| = x \implies |\mathcal{P}(X)| = 2^{|X|})$ .”  $\mathbf{P}(0)$  holds since  $|\mathcal{P}(\emptyset)| = |\{\emptyset\}| = 1 = 2^0$ .

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $\mathbf{P}(n)$ . Let  $Y = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$  be a set with  $|Y| = n + 1$ . Let  $X \triangleq \{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 4.2.8,  $\mathcal{P}(Y) = \mathcal{P}(X) \cup U$  where  $U = \{u \subseteq$

$Y \mid y_n \in u\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{P}(X) \cap U = \emptyset$  and  $f: \mathcal{P}(X) \hookrightarrow U$  defined by  $x \mapsto x \cup \{y_n\}$  asserts  $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = |U|$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{P}(Y)| &= |\mathcal{P}(X)| + |U| &> \text{Lemma 4.2.6} \\ &= 2^n + 2^n &> |\mathcal{P}(X)| = |U|, \mathbf{P}(n) \\ &= 2^n \cdot 1 + 2^n \cdot 1 &> \text{Lemma 3.4.11} \\ &= 2^n \cdot 2 &> \cdot \text{Distributes Over } + \\ &= 2^{n+1}. &> [6] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by **The Induction Principle**, the result follows.  $\square$

#### Exercise 4.2.4

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite, then  $X^Y$  is finite and  $|X^Y| = |X|^{|Y|}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbf{P}(x)$  be the property “if  $X$  is finite and  $|Y| = x$ , then  $|X^Y| = |X|^x$ .”  $\mathbf{P}(0)$  holds since  $|X^\emptyset| = |\{\emptyset\}| = 1 = |X|^0$  for all  $X$ .

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $\mathbf{P}(n)$ . Let  $Y = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$  be a set with  $|Y| = n + 1$ . Let  $Z \triangleq \{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ . Take any finite set  $X$ .

We have  $|X^Y| = |X^Z \times X|$  since we may define  $f: X^Y \hookrightarrow X^Z \times X$  by  $g \mapsto (g|_Z, g(y_n))$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |X^Y| &= |X^Z \times X| \\ &= |X^Z| \cdot |X| &> \text{Exercise 4.2.1} \\ &= |X|^n \cdot |X| &> \mathbf{P}(n) \\ &= |X|^{n+1}. &> [6] \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by **The Induction Principle**.  $\square$

#### Exercise 4.2.6

$X$  is finite if and only if every  $\emptyset \subsetneq U \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  has a  $\subseteq$ -maximal element.

**Proof.**

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $|X| = n$  and  $\emptyset \subsetneq U \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Since  $|Y| \leq n$  for all  $Y \in U$ , by Theorem 3.2.8, we may let  $m \triangleq \max\{|Y| \mid Y \in U\}$ .

There exists  $Y \in U$  with  $|Y| = m$ . Then, for each  $Y' \in U$  such that  $Y \subseteq Y'$ , we have  $m \leq |Y'|$  by Exercise 4.1.3 and  $|Y'| \leq m$  by definition of  $m$ ; thus  $|Y'| = |Y| = m$  by **Cantor–Bernstein Theorem**, which implies we may not have  $Y \subsetneq Y'$  by Lemma 4.2.2. Hence,  $Y$  is a maximal element of  $U$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $X$  is infinite. Let  $U = \{Y \subseteq X \mid Y \text{ is finite}\}$ . (Note  $\emptyset \in U$ , hence  $U \neq \emptyset$ .) Take any  $Y \in U$ . Since  $Y \subsetneq X$ , we may take  $x \in X \setminus Y$ . Then,  $Y \subsetneq Y \cup \{x\}$  and  $Y \cup \{x\} \in U$  by Lemma 4.2.6. Hence, there is no maximal element of  $U$ .  $\square$

## 4.3 Countably Infinite sets

### Definition 4.3.1: Countably Infinite Set

- A set  $S$  is *countably infinite* if  $|S| = |\mathbb{N}|$ .
- A set  $S$  is *countable* if  $|S| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$ .
- $|\mathbb{N}| = \aleph_0$ , i.e., the cardinality of countably infinite sets is  $\aleph_0$ .

**Note:-**

In the book, the author uses the term ‘countable’ and ‘at most countable’ for  $|S| = |\mathbb{N}|$  and  $|S| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$ , respectively.

**Notation 4.3.2: Cardinality of Countably Infinite Sets**

We use the symbol  $\aleph_0$  (read *aleph-naught*) to denote the cardinality of countably infinite sets, i.e.,  $\aleph_0 = \aleph$ .

**Theorem 4.3.3**

A subset of a countably infinite set is countable.

**Proof.** Assume  $A$  is countably infinite and  $B \subseteq A$  is infinite. Let  $\langle a_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an injective sequence whose range is  $A$ .

Let  $g : \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be defined by

$$g(k) \triangleq \min \{ i \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_i \in B \setminus \{ a_{k_j} \mid j \in \text{dom } k \} \}.$$

Note that  $g$  is well-defined since  $B$  is infinite. Then, by **The Recursion Theorem: General Version**, there exists a sequence  $\langle k_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of natural numbers such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, k_n = g(k|_n)$ . By construction,  $\langle k_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is injective, and thus  $\langle a_{k_i} \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an injective sequence whose range is  $B$  by the same argument of Claim 1 of Theorem 3.3.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.4**

A set is countable if and only if it is either finite or countably infinite.

**Proof.**

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $S$  be countable. Let  $f : S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $|S| = |\text{ran } f|$  and  $\text{ran } f$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.3.3,  $S$  is countably infinite if it is not finite.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Theorem 4.2.9  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.5**

If  $X$  is countably infinite and  $f$  is a function, then  $f[X]$  is countable.

**Proof.** If  $f[X] = \emptyset$ , then it is done; assume  $f[X] \neq \emptyset$ . WLOG,  $X \subseteq \text{dom } f$ . Let  $\langle x_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an injective sequence whose range is  $X$ . Let  $g : f[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be defined by

$$g(y) \triangleq \min \{ i \in \mathbb{N} \mid y = f(x_i) \}.$$

$g$  is injective, and thus  $|f[X]| \leq \aleph_0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.6**

- (i) If  $A$  and  $B$  are countably infinite, then  $A \times B$  is countably infinite.
- (ii) If  $A$  is countably infinite and  $B \neq \emptyset$  is finite, then  $A \times B$  is countably infinite.
- (iii) If  $A$  and  $B$  are countable, then  $A \times B$  is countable.

**Proof.**

- (i) The function  $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  defined by  $f(x, y) = 2^x \cdot 3^y$  is injective by elementary number theory. Also, we have an injection  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  defined by  $g(x) = (x, 0)$ . Hence, by **Cantor–Bernstein Theorem**, we have  $|\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}| = \aleph_0$ .

(ii) Let  $|B| = n$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A \times B| &= |\mathbb{N} \times n| \\ &\leq |\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}| &> \text{Exercise 4.1.3} \\ &= \aleph_0. &> \text{Theorem 4.3.6} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $b \in B$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \aleph_0 &= |A| \\ &= |A \times \{b\}| &> a \mapsto (a, b) \\ &\leq |A \times B|. &> \text{Exercise 4.1.3} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by **Cantor–Bernstein Theorem**,  $|A \times B| = \aleph_0$ .

(iii) If one of them is empty, then  $A \times B = \emptyset$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are finite, then  $A \times B$  is finite by Exercise 4.2.2. If any of them is countably infinite, and if both are nonempty, then  $A \times B$  is countably infinite by (i) and (ii).  $\square$

#### Corollary 4.3.7

Let  $\langle A_i \mid i \in n \rangle$  be a system of countably infinite sets where  $n > 0$ . Then,  $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i$  is countably infinite.

**Proof.** Let  $P(x)$  be the property “ $\prod_{i=0}^{x-1} A_i$  is countably infinite for each system  $\langle A_i \mid i \in x \rangle$  of countably infinite sets.  $P(1)$  evidently holds.

Fix  $n > 0$  and assume  $P(n)$ . Now, take any system  $\langle A_i \mid i \in n+1 \rangle$  of countably infinite sets. Then, since we have a natural mapping  $f : \prod_{i=0}^n A_i \hookrightarrow \left( \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i \right) \times A_n$  defined by  $\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle \mapsto (\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle, a_n)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \prod_{i=0}^n A_i \right| &= \left| \left( \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i \right) \times A_n \right| \\ &= |\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}| &> P(n) \\ &= \aleph_0. &> \text{Theorem 4.3.6} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have  $P(n+1)$ .

Therefore, by Exercise 3.2.11, the result follows.  $\square$

#### Theorem 4.3.8

Let  $\langle a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  countably infinite system of infinite sequences. Then,  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ran } a_n$  is countable.

**Proof.** Define  $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ran } a_n$  by  $f(n, k) = a_n(k)$ . The result follows by Theorem 4.3.5 and Theorem 4.3.6.  $\square$

#### Note:-

Note that we cannot yet prove the proposition “the union of countably infinite system of countable sets is countable” since, if  $\langle A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  is the system, we do not have enough tools to show the existence of  $\langle a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  such that  $\text{ran } a_n = A_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Theorem 4.3.9

If  $A$  is countably infinite, then  $\text{Seq}(A)$  is countably infinite.

**Proof.** It is enough to show  $\text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{N}^n$  is countably infinite. Fix any  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\langle a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a_0(i) &= \langle \rangle \\ \forall n, i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a_{n+1}(i) &= \langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}, i_2 \rangle \\ &\text{where } g(i) = (i_1, i_2) \text{ and } a_n(i_1) = \langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The existence is justified by **The Recursion Theorem**. Then, with **The Induction Principle**, it is easy to prove that  $\text{ran } a_n = \mathbb{N}^n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.3.8,  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{N}^n$  is countably infinite.  $\square$

### Corollary 4.3.10

The set of all finite subsets of a countably infinite set is countably infinite.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be countably infinite. Let  $f : \text{Seq}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$  by  $f(\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle) = \{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ . Then,  $\text{ran } f$  is countable by Theorem 4.3.5 and Theorem 4.3.9.  $\text{ran } f$  is countably infinite since we have an injection  $a \mapsto \{a\}$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 4.3.11

An equivalence on a countably infinite set has at most countably many equivalence classes.

**Proof.** Let  $E$  be an equivalence on a countably infinite set  $A$ . Let  $f : A \rightarrow A/E$  be defined by  $a \mapsto [a]_E$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.3.5,  $A/E$  is countable.  $\square$

### Theorem 4.3.12

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$  be a structure. If  $C \subseteq A$  is countable, then  $\overline{C}$  is also countable.

**Proof.** Theorem 3.5.15 says that  $\overline{C} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i$  where  $C_0 = C$  and  $C_{i+1} = C_i \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} F_j[C_i^{f_j}]$ .

Let  $c : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow C$ . Let  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (n+1) \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{f_0} \times \dots \times \mathbb{N}^{f_{n-1}}$ . Now, define  $\langle a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \quad a_0(k) &\triangleq c(k) \\ \forall i, k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a_{i+1}(k) &\triangleq \begin{cases} F_p(a_i(r_p^0), \dots, a_i(r_p^{f_p-1})) & \text{if } 0 \leq p < n \\ a_i(q) & \text{if } p = n \end{cases} \\ &\text{where } g(k) = \langle p, q, \langle r_0^0, \dots, r_0^{f_0-1} \rangle, \dots, \langle r_{n-1}^0, \dots, r_{n-1}^{f_{n-1}-1} \rangle \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It is apparent by **The Induction Principle** that  $\text{ran } a_i = C_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.3.8,  $\overline{C}$  is countable.  $\square$

## Selected Problems

### Exercise 4.3.1

Let  $|A_1| = |A_2|$  and  $|B_1| = |B_2|$ .

- (i) If  $A_1 \cap B_1 = \emptyset$  and  $A_2 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $|A_1 \cup B_1| = |A_2 \cup B_2|$ .
- (ii)  $|A_1 \times B_1| = |A_2 \times B_2|$
- (iii)  $|\text{Seq}(A_1)| = |\text{Seq}(A_2)|$

**Proof.**

- (i) Let  $f_A: A_1 \hookrightarrow A_2$  and  $f_B: B_1 \hookrightarrow B_2$ . Then,  $f_A \cup f_B: A_1 \cup B_1 \hookrightarrow A_2 \cup B_2$ .
- (ii) Let  $f_A: A_1 \hookrightarrow A_2$  and  $f_B: B_1 \hookrightarrow B_2$ . We may define  $g: A_1 \times B_1 \hookrightarrow A_2 \times B_2$  by  $(a, b) \mapsto (f_A(a), f_B(b))$ .
- (iii) Let  $f: A_1 \hookrightarrow A_2$ . We may define  $g: \text{Seq}(A_1) \hookrightarrow \text{Seq}(A_2)$  by

$$\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \mapsto \langle f(a_0), \dots, f(a_{n-1}) \rangle.$$

□

#### Exercise 4.3.2

If  $A$  is finite and  $B$  is countably infinite, then  $A \cup B$  is countably infinite.

**Proof.** Let  $f_A: A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $f_B: B \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we may define  $g: A \cup B \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} (f_A(x), 0) & \text{if } x \in A \\ (f_B(x), 1) & \text{if } x \in B \setminus A \end{cases}$$

Hence,  $|A \cup B| \leq \aleph_0$  by Theorem 4.3.6. Moreover,  $\aleph_0 = |B| \leq |A \cup B|$  by Exercise 4.1.3. The result follows from Cantor–Bernstein Theorem. □

#### Exercise 4.3.4

If  $A$  is finite and nonempty, then  $\text{Seq}(A)$  is countably infinite.

**Proof.** Let  $B \triangleq A \cup \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by Exercise 4.3.2,  $B$  is countably infinite and  $\text{Seq}(B)$  is countably infinite by Theorem 4.3.9. Hence, as  $\text{Seq}(A) \subseteq \text{Seq}(B)$ ,  $|\text{Seq}(A)| \leq \aleph_0$ .

Fix any  $a \in A$ . Let  $s$  be the infinite sequence with  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, s_i = a$ . Then, we have  $f: \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \text{Seq}(A)$  defined by  $f(n) = s|_n$ ; thus  $\aleph_0 \leq |\text{Seq}(A)|$ . The result follows from Cantor–Bernstein Theorem. □

#### Exercise 4.3.5

Let  $A$  be countably infinite. The set  $[A]^n = \{S \subseteq A \mid |S| = n\}$  is countably infinite for all  $n > 0$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to show that  $[\mathbb{N}]^n$  is countably infinite for all  $n > 0$ . Evidently,  $i \mapsto \{i\}$  is an injective mapping on  $\mathbb{N}$  onto  $[\mathbb{N}]^1$ . Hence,  $|[\mathbb{N}]^1| = \aleph_0$ .

For the sake of induction, fix  $n > 0$  and assume  $|[\mathbb{N}]^n| = \aleph_0$ . We may define  $f: [\mathbb{N}]^n \hookrightarrow [\mathbb{N}]^{n+1}$  by

$$f(x) \triangleq x \cup \{ \max\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \in x\} + 1 \}.$$

Hence,  $\aleph_0 \leq |[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1}|$ .

Now, since  $|[\mathbb{N}]^n| = |\mathbb{N}^n| = \aleph_0$  by Corollary 4.3.7, there exists an injection  $g: [\mathbb{N}]^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^n$ . We define  $h: [\mathbb{N}]^{n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$  by

$$h(x) \triangleq g(x \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{(n, i)\} \\ \text{where } i = \max x.$$

Hence,  $|[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1}| \leq |\mathbb{N}^{n+1}| = \aleph_0$ . Exercise 3.2.11 assures that  $\forall n > 0, |[\mathbb{N}]^n| = \aleph_0$ . □

### Exercise 4.3.10

Let  $(S, \preceq)$  be a linearly ordered set and let  $\langle A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  be an infinite sequence of finite subsets of  $S$ . Then,  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$  is countable.

**Proof.** WLOG,  $A_n \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Claim 1.** For each finite  $A \subseteq S$ , there uniquely exists a unique isomorphism between  $(|A|, \leq \cap |A|^2)$  and  $(A, \preceq \cap A^2)$ .

**Proof.** We have existence for each  $A$  by Theorem 4.4.3. Hence, we only prove the uniqueness by induction. If  $|A| = 0$ , we have only one isomorphism  $\emptyset$ .

Fix some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume the proposition holds for all  $A$  with cardinality  $n$ . Take any  $A \subseteq S$  with  $|A| = n + 1$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two isomorphisms between  $(n + 1, \leq \cap (n + 1)^2)$  and  $(A, \preceq \cap A^2)$ . Then,  $f(n) = g(n)$  since the greatest element is unique. Let  $B = A \setminus \{f(n)\}$ . Then,  $f|_B$  and  $g|_B$  are isomorphisms between  $(n, \leq \cap n^2)$  and  $(B, \preceq \cap B^2)$ . Hence,  $f|_B = g|_B$ , and thus  $f = g$ . The result follows from **The Induction Principle**.

Claim 1 enables us to guarantee the existence of infinite sequence  $\langle a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  such that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

(i)  $a_n|_{|A_n|}$  is the isomorphism between  $(|A_n|, \leq \cap |A_n|^2)$  and  $(A_n, \preceq \cap A_n^2)$ .

(ii)  $\forall k \geq |A_n|$ ,  $a_n(k) = a_n(0)$ .

Hence,  $\text{ran } a_n = A_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and thus  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$  is countable by Theorem 4.3.8.  $\square$

### Exercise 4.3.11

Any partition of a countable set has a set of representatives.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be countable and  $S$  be a partition of  $A$ . There exists  $f : A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$X \triangleq \{f^{-1}(\min f[C]) \mid C \in S\}$$

is a set of representatives.  $\square$

## 4.4 Linear Orderings

### Definition 4.4.1: Similar Ordered Sets

Totally ordered sets  $(A, \leq)$  and  $(B, \preceq)$  are *similar* (have the same order type) if they are isomorphic. (Definition 2.5.14)

### Lemma 4.4.2

Every total ordering on a finite set is a well-ordering.

**Proof.** Let  $(A, \leq)$  be a finite totally ordered set. If  $B \subseteq A$  has  $|B| = 1$ , then the only element of  $B$  is  $\min B$ .

Now, fix  $n > 0$  and assume that every  $B \subseteq A$  with  $|B| = n$  has a least element. Take any  $B \subseteq A$  with  $|B| = n + 1$  and write  $B = \{b_0, \dots, b_n\}$ . Let  $C \triangleq \{b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}\}$ . Then, if  $b_n \leq \min C$ , then  $b_n$  is a least element of  $B$ ; otherwise,  $\min C$  is a least element of  $B$ . Hence, by Exercise 3.2.11, every nonempty finite subset of  $A$  has a least element, i.e.,  $(A, \leq)$  is well-ordered.  $\square$

### Theorem 4.4.3

If  $(A_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(A_2, \leq_2)$  are finite totally ordered sets with the same cardinality, then  $(A_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(A_2, \leq_2)$  are similar.

**Proof.** We shall conduct the induction on the size of the sets. If  $A_1 = A_2 = \emptyset$ , then they are evidently similar by the isomorphism  $\emptyset$ .

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume the proposition holds whenever  $|A_1| = |A_2| = n$ . Take any totally ordered sets  $(A_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(A_2, \leq_2)$  such that  $|A_1| = |A_2| = n + 1$ . By Lemma 4.4.2, there exist  $a_1 = \min A_1$  and  $a_2 = \min A_2$ . Let  $A'_1 \triangleq A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$  and  $A'_2 \triangleq A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}$ . Since  $(A'_1, \leq_1 \cap A'_1)$  and  $(A'_2, \leq_2 \cap A'_2)$  are finite totally ordered sets with  $|A'_1| = |A'_2| = n$ , there exists an isomorphism  $g: A'_1 \hookrightarrow A'_2$  by the induction hypothesis. Then,  $f \triangleq g \cup \{(a_1, a_2)\}$  is an isomorphism between  $(A_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(A_2, \leq_2)$ . Therefore, the result follows from **The Induction Principle**.  $\square$

### Lemma 4.4.4

If  $(A, \leq)$  is a totally ordered set, then  $(A, \leq^{-1})$  is also a totally ordered set.

**Proof.** Take any  $a, b \in A$ . Then, it is  $a \leq b$  or  $b \leq a$ . If  $a \leq b$ , then  $b \leq^{-1} a$ . If  $b \leq a$ , then  $a \leq^{-1} b$ . Hence,  $(A, \leq^{-1})$  is totally ordered.  $\square$

### Lemma 4.4.5

Let  $(A_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(A_2, \leq_2)$  be totally ordered sets such that  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ . The relation  $\leq$  on  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$  defined by

$$a \leq b \iff (a \leq_1 b) \vee (a \leq_2 b) \vee (a \in A_1 \wedge b \in A_2)$$

is a total ordering.

**Proof.** Exercise 2.5.6 already shows that  $\leq$  is an ordering of  $A$ . Totality follows directly by the definition.  $\square$

### Lemma 4.4.6

Let  $(A_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(A_2, \leq_2)$  be totally ordered sets. The relation  $\leq$  on  $A = A_1 \times A_2$  defined by

$$(a_1, a_2) \leq (b_1, b_2) \iff a_1 <_1 b_1 \vee (a_1 = b_1 \wedge a_2 \leq_2 b_2)$$

is a total ordering.

**Proof.**

- Assume  $(a_1, a_2) < (b_1, b_2)$  and  $(b_1, b_2) < (c_1, c_2)$ . If  $a_1 <_1 b_1$ , then, we have  $a_1 <_1 c_1$  by  $b_1 <_1 c_1$ . If  $b_1 <_1 c_1$ , then, we have  $a_1 <_1 c_1$  by  $a_1 <_1 b_1$ . In the only left case, we have  $a_1 = b_1 = c_1$  and  $a_2 <_2 b_2 <_2 c_2$ . Hence,  $(a_1, a_2) < (c_1, c_2)$ . Thus  $<$  is transitive in  $A$ .  $\checkmark$
- Assume  $(a_1, a_2) < (b_1, b_2)$  and  $(b_1, b_2) < (a_1, a_2)$ . Since  $a_1 \leq_1 b_1$  and  $b_1 \leq_1 a_1$ , by antisymmetry of  $\leq_1$ ,  $a_1 = b_1$ . The only option now is  $a_2 <_2 b_2$  and  $b_2 <_2 a_2$ , which implies  $a_2 = b_2$  by the antisymmetry of  $\leq_2$ . Hence,  $(a_1, a_2) = (b_1, b_2)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $<$  is asymmetric in  $A$ .  $\checkmark$
- Let  $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in A_1 \times A_2$ . As  $\leq_1$  is total, WLOG,  $a_1 \leq_1 b_1$ . If  $a_1 <_1 b_1$ , then we immediately have  $(a_1, a_2) < (b_1, b_2)$ . Now, assume  $a_1 = b_1$ . Then, as  $\leq_2$  is total, WLOG,  $a_2 \leq_2 b_2$ , and thus  $(a_1, a_2) \leq (b_1, b_2)$ . Hence,  $\leq$  is a total ordering.  $\square$



**Theorem 4.4.7**

Let  $\langle (A_i, \leq_i) \mid i \in I \rangle$  be an indexed system of totally ordered sets where  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . The relation  $\prec$  on  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  defined by

$$f \prec g \iff \text{diff}(f, g) \triangleq \{i \in I \mid f_i \neq g_i\} \neq \emptyset \wedge f_{i_0} <_{i_0} g_{i_0} \\ \text{where } i_0 = \min_{\leq} \text{diff}(f, g)$$

is a total strict ordering of  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ .

**Proof.**

- Assume  $f \prec g$  and  $g \prec h$  and let  $i_0 = \min \text{diff}(f, g)$  and  $j_0 = \min \text{diff}(g, h)$ .
  - If  $i_0 \leq j_0$ , then  $f_{i_0} < g_{i_0} \leq h_{i_0}$  and  $\text{diff}(f, h) = i_0$ .
  - If  $j_0 < i_0$ , then  $f_{j_0} = g_{j_0} < h_{j_0}$  and  $\text{diff}(f, h) = j_0$ .
 Hence,  $f \prec h$ ;  $\prec$  is transitive in  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ . ✓
- For  $f, g \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$  with  $f \neq g$ , since  $i_0 = \text{diff}(f, g) = \text{diff}(g, f)$ , we cannot have  $f \prec g$  and  $g \prec f$  because of the asymmetry of  $<_{i_0}$ . ✓
- If  $\text{diff}(f, g) = \emptyset$ , we have  $f = g$ . If  $i_0 = \min \text{diff}(f, g)$ , then we have  $f \prec g$  when  $f_{i_0} <_{i_0} g_{i_0}$  and  $g \prec f$  when  $g_{i_0} <_{i_0} f_{i_0}$ . Hence,  $\prec$  is a total ordering. ✓

**Definition 4.4.8: Dense Ordered Set**

An ordered set  $(X, \leq)$  is *dense* if

$$2 \leq |X| \wedge \forall a, b \in X, (a < b \implies \exists x \in X, a < x < b).$$

**Definition 4.4.9: Endpoints**

We now will call the least and greatest elements of a totally ordered set *endpoints* of the set.

**Theorem 4.4.10**

Let  $(P, \preceq)$  and  $(Q, \leq)$  be countable dense totally ordered sets without endpoints. Then,  $(P, \preceq)$  and  $(Q, \leq)$  are similar.

**Proof.** Let  $\langle p_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  be an injective sequence onto  $P$ . Let  $\langle q_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  be an injective sequence onto  $Q$ . Let us call  $h: P \rightarrow Q$  a *partial isomorphism* from  $P$  to  $Q$  if

$$\forall p, p' \in \text{dom } h, (p \prec p' \iff h(p) < h(p')).$$

**Claim 1.** If  $h$  is a partial isomorphism from  $P$  to  $Q$  with finite  $\text{dom } h$ , and if  $p \in P$  and  $q \in Q$ , then there exists a partial isomorphism  $h_{p,q}$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  that extends  $h$  such that  $p \in \text{dom } h_{p,q}$  and  $q \in \text{ran } h_{p,q}$ .

**Proof.** Write  $h = \{(p_{i_0}, q_{i_0}), \dots, (p_{i_k}, q_{i_k})\}$  where  $p_{i_0} \prec p_{i_1} \prec \dots \prec p_{i_k}$  and thus  $q_{i_0} < q_{i_1} < \dots < q_{i_k}$ . (This is justified by Theorem 4.4.3.) We have four cases:

- Assume  $p \in \text{dom } h$ . Then, let  $h' \triangleq h$ .
- Assume  $p \prec p_{i_0}$ . Then, as  $Q$  has no least element,  $n = \min\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid q_i < q_{i_0}\}$  exists. Let  $h' \triangleq h \cup \{(p, q_n)\}$ .
- Assume there exists  $e < k$  such that  $p_{i_e} \prec p \prec p_{i_{e+1}}$ . Then, as  $Q$  is dense,  $n = \min\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid q_{i_e} < q_i < q_{i_{e+1}}\}$  exists. Let  $h' \triangleq h \cup \{(p, q_n)\}$ .

- Assume  $p_{i_k} \prec p$ . Then, as  $Q$  has no greatest element,  $n = \min\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid q_{i_k} < q_i\}$  exists. Let  $h' \triangleq h \cup \{(p, q_n)\}$ .

Then,  $h'$  is a partial isomorphism from  $P$  to  $Q$  and  $p \in \text{dom } h'$ . Similarly, one may extend  $h'$  in the same way so it has  $q$  in its range.  $\square$

Now, we may create a sequence of compatible partial isomorphisms from  $P$  to  $Q$  recursively by

$$h_0 = \emptyset$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad h_{n+1} = (h_n)_{p_n, q_n}$$

where  $(h_n)_{p_n, q_n}$  is the extension of  $h_n$  (provided by the steps in the proof of Claim 1) such that  $p_n \in \text{dom}[(h_n)_{p_n, q_n}]$  and  $q_n \in \text{ran}[(h_n)_{p_n, q_n}]$ . Then,  $h \triangleq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h_n$  is a function by Theorem 2.3.12. It is easy to check if  $h: P \hookrightarrow Q$  is a desired isomorphism.  $\square$

#### Theorem 4.4.11

Let  $(P, \preceq)$  be a countable totally ordered set, and let  $(Q, \leq)$  be a countable dense totally ordered set without endpoints. Then, there exists  $h: P \hookrightarrow Q$  such that

$$\forall p, p' \in P, (p \prec p' \implies h(p) < h(p')).$$

**Proof.** This is essentially the one-sided version of Theorem 4.4.10. Let  $\langle p_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  be an injective sequence onto  $P$ . In a similar way as Claim 1 in the proof of Theorem 4.4.10, if  $f$  is a partial isomorphism from  $P$  to  $Q$  with finite  $\text{dom } f$ , and if  $p \in P$ , there exists another partial isomorphism  $f_p$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  that extends  $f$  such that  $p \in \text{dom } f_p$ .

Then, one is able to make a sequence of compatible partial isomorphisms from  $P$  to  $Q$  recursively by

$$h_0 = \emptyset$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad h_{n+1} = (h_n)_{p_n}$$

where  $(h_n)_{p_n}$  is the extension of  $h_n$  such that  $p_n \in \text{dom}[(h_n)_{p_n}]$ . The rest is the same as the proof of Theorem 4.4.10.  $\square$

## **Chapter 5**

### **Cardinal Numbers**

## **Chapter 6**

### **Ordinal Numbers**

## Chapter 7

### Alephs

# Chapter 8

## Axiom of Choice

*End.*