Summary for Introduction to Set Theory

SEUNGWOO HAN

Hrbacek, Karel, and Thomas J. Jech. *Introduction to Set Theory, Revised and Expanded*. 3rd ed., CRC Press, 1999.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER	JE15		
1.1	Introduction to Sets	2	
1.2	2 Properties	2	
1.3	3 Axioms	2	
1.4	Elementary Operations on Sets	5	
CHAPTER	Relations, Function, and Ordering	Page 8	
2.1	Ordered Pairs	8	
2.2	2 Relations	8	
	3 Functions	12	
	Fquivalences and Partitions	17	
	5 Orderings	19	
CHAPTER	Natural Numbers	Page 26	
3.1	Introduction to Natural Numbers	26	
3.2	2 Properties of Natural Numbers	27	
3.3	3 The Recursion Theorem	32	
3.4	Arithmetic of Natural Numbers	36	
3.5	5 Operations and Structures	44	
CHAPTER	Finite, Countable, and Uncountable Sets	PAGE 49	
	Cardinality of Sets 2 Finite Sets	49	
		52 56	
4.0	3 Countably Infinite sets	30	
CHAPTER	Cardinal Numbers	Page 62	
CHAPTER	Ordinal Numbers	Page 63	

CHAPTER	Alephs	Page 64
CHAPTER	Axiom of Choice	Page 65
OIIIII I LIIC	TENTONI OF CHICLE	

Chapter 1

Sets

1.1 Introduction to Sets

Definition 1.1.1: Set

Every object in the universe of discourse is called a set.

1.2 Properties

Definition 1.2.1: Property

Any mathematical sentence^a is called a *property*. If X, Y, \dots, Z are free variables of a property \mathbf{Q} , we write $\mathbf{Q}(X, Y, \dots, Z)$ and say $\mathbf{Q}(X, Y, \dots, Z)$ is a property of X, Y, \dots, Z .

^aRefer to mathematical logic textbook for detailed discussion.

1.3 Axioms

Axiom I The Axiom of Existence

There exists a set which has no elements.

$$\exists A \ \forall x \ \neg(x \in A)$$

Note:-

The Axiom of Existence guarantees that the universe of discourse is not void.

Axiom II The Axiom of Extensionality

If every element of X is an element of Y and every element of Y is an element of X, then X = Y.

$$\forall X \ \forall Y \ [\forall x \ (x \in X \iff x \in Y) \implies X = Y]$$

Note:-

The Axiom of Extensionality defines the equality relation with the containment relation (\in) .

Lemma 1.3.1

There exists only one set with no elements.

Proof. Let A and B are sets such that $\forall x \neg (x \in A)$ and $\forall x \neg (x \in B)$. Then, we have $\forall x (x \in A \iff x \in B)$. Therefore, by The Axiom of Extensionality, A = B is guaranteed.

Definition 1.3.2: Empty Set

The unique set with no elements is called the *empty set* and is denoted \emptyset .

Note:-

Definition 1.3.2 is justified by Lemma 1.3.1.

Axiom III The Axiom Schema of Comprehension

Let P(x) be a property of x. For any set A, there exists a set B such that $x \in B$ if and only if $x \in A$ and P(x).

$$\forall A \exists B (x \in B \iff x \in A \land \mathbf{P}(x))$$

🛉 Note:- 🛉

Axiom III is a axiom schema since it provides unlimited amount of axioms for varying P.

Lemma 1.3.3

Let P(x) be a property of x. For any set A, there uniquely exists a set B such that $x \in B$ if and only if $x \in A$ and P(x).

Proof. Let B' be another set such that $x \in B'$ if and only if $x \in A$ and P(x). Then, for any x, we have $x \in B' \iff x \in A \land P(x) \iff x \in B$. Hence, by The Axiom of Extensionality, we have B = B'.

Notation 1.3.4: Set-Builder Notation

Let P(x) be a property of x. Let A be a set. The unique set B such that $x \in B$ if and only if $x \in A$ and P(x) is denoted $\{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$.

Note:- 🛉

Notation 1.3.4 is justified by Lemma 1.3.3.

Axiom IV The Axiom of Pair

For any *A* and *B*, there exists *C* such that $x \in C$ if and only if x = A or x = B.

$$\forall A \forall B \exists C (x \in C \iff x = A \lor x = B)$$

Note:-

Similarly, the set C such that $x \in C \iff x = A \lor x = B$ is unique by The Axiom of Extensionality.

Notation 1.3.5

Let *A* and *B* be sets. The unique set *C* such that $x \in C$ if and only if x = A or x = B is denoted $\{A, B\}$. In particular, if A = B, we write $\{A\}$ instead of $\{A, A\}$.

Axiom V The Axiom of Union

For any *S*, there exists *U* such that $x \in U$ if and only if $x \in A$ for some $A \in S$.

$$\forall S \exists U (x \in U \iff \exists A x \in A \land A \in S)$$

Definition 1.3.6: The Union of System of Sets

Let *S* be a set. The unique set *U* such that $x \in U$ if and only if $x \in A$ for some $A \in S$ is denoted $\bigcup S$.

Definition 1.3.7: The Union of Two Sets

Let *A* and *B* be sets. Then, $A \cup B$ denotes the unique set $\bigcup \{A, B\}$.

Definition 1.3.8: Subset

Let *A* and *B* sets. *B* is said to be a *subset* of *A* if $\forall x (x \in B \implies x \in A)$. If *B* is a subset of *A*, then we write $B \subseteq A$.

Axiom VI The Axiom of Power Set

For any *S*, there exists *P* such that $X \in P$ if and only if $X \subseteq S$.

Note:-

Similarly, the set *P* is unique by The Axiom of Extensionality.

Definition 1.3.9: Power Set

Let *S* be a set. The unique set *P* such that $X \in P$ if and only if $X \subseteq S$ is called the *power* set of *S* and is denoted $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Lemma 1.3.10

Let P(x) be a property of x. Let A and A' be sets such that $P(x) \implies x \in A \land x \in A'$. Then, $\{x \in A \mid P(x)\} = \{x \in A' \mid P(x)\}$.

Proof. For all x, we have $x \in A \land P(x) \iff P(x) \iff x \in A' \land P(x)$. Therefore, by The Axiom of Extensionality, the result follows.

Notation 1.3.11

Let P(x) be a property of x. If there exists a set A such that P(x) implies $x \in A$, we write $\{x \mid P(x)\} \triangleq \{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$, and it is called the set of all x with the property P(x).

Note:-

Notation 1.3.11 is justified by Lemma 1.3.10.

Selected Problems

Exercise 1.3.1

The set of all x such that $x \in A$ and $x \notin B$ exists.

Proof. We have $x \in A \land x \notin B \implies x \in A$. Hence, the set exists and is equal to $\{x \in A \mid x \in A \land x \notin B\}$.

Exercise 1.3.2

Prove The Axiom of Existence only from The Axiom Schema of Comprehension and The Weak Axiom of Existence.

Weak Axiom of Existence Some set exists.

Proof. Let A be a set known to exist. Then, there exists $B = \{x \in A \mid x \neq x\}$ by The Axiom Schema of Comprehension. Since $\forall x (x = x), \ \forall x (x \notin B)$.

Exercise 1.3.3

- (a) Prove that a set of all sets($\{x \mid \top\}$) does not exist.
- (b) Prove that $\forall A \exists x (x \notin A)$.

Proof.

- (a) Suppose $V = \{x \mid T\}$ exists. Then, by The Axiom Schema of Comprehension, $R = \{x \in V \mid x \notin x\}$ exists. However, we have $R \in R \iff R \notin R$ by definition of R. Hence, V does not exist.
- (b) Suppose $\exists A \forall x (x \in A)$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, *A* is the set of all sets, which is impossible by (a).

Exercise 1.3.6

Prove $\forall X \neg (\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq X)$.

Proof. Let $Y = \{u \in X \mid u \notin u\}$. Then, by definition, $Y \subseteq X$, and thus $Y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Now, suppose $Y \in X$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, $Y \in Y \iff Y \in X \land Y \notin Y \iff Y \notin Y$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $Y \notin X$.

1.4 Elementary Operations on Sets

Definition 1.4.1: Proper Subset

Let *A* and *B* sets. *B* is said to be a *proper subset* of *A* if $B \subseteq A$ and $B \neq A$. If *B* is a proper subset of *A*, we write $B \subsetneq A$.

Definition 1.4.2: Elementary Operations on Sets

- (i) Intersection
 - The intersection of A and B, $A \cap B$, is the set $\{x \mid x \in A \land x \in B\}$.
- (ii) Union
 - The *union* of *A* and *B*, $A \cup B$, is the set $\{x \mid x \in A \lor x \in B\}$.
- (iii) Difference
 - The difference of A and B, $A \setminus B$, is the set $\{x \mid x \in A \land x \notin B\}$.
- (iv) Symmetric Difference
 - The symmetric difference of *A* and *B*, $A \triangle B$, is the set $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$.

Lemma 1.4.3 Simple Properties of Elementary Operations

- (i) Commutativity
 - $A \cap B = B \cap A$
 - $A \cup B = B \cup A$
 - $A \triangle B = B \triangle A$
- (ii) Associativity
 - $(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C)$
 - $(A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)$
 - $(A \triangle B) \triangle C = A \triangle (B \triangle C)$
- (iii) Distributivity
 - $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$
 - $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$
- (iv) De Morgan's Laws
 - $C \setminus (A \cap B) = (C \setminus A) \cup (C \setminus B)$
 - $C \setminus (A \cup B) = (C \setminus A) \cap (C \setminus B)$
- (v) Miscellaneous
 - $A \cap (B \setminus C) = (A \cap B) \setminus C$
 - $A \setminus B = \emptyset \iff A \subseteq B$
 - $A \triangle B = \emptyset \iff A = B$

Definition 1.4.4: Intersection of System of Sets

Let *S* be a nonempty set. Then, the *intersection* $\bigcap S$ is the set $\{x \mid \forall A \in S \ (x \in A)\}$.

Note:-

Note that $\bigcap S$ exists for all nonempty S since $\forall A \in S \ (x \in A) \implies x \in A_1$ where A_1 is any set such that $A_1 \in S$.

Definition 1.4.5: System of Mutually Disjoint Sets

We say the sets A and B are disjoint if $A \cap B = \emptyset$. A set S is a system of mutually disjoint sets if $\forall A, B \in S$, $(A \neq B \implies A \cap B = \emptyset)$.

Selected Problems

Exercise 1.4.2

- (i) $A \setminus B = (A \cup B) \setminus B = A \setminus (A \cap B)$
- (ii) $A \setminus (B \setminus C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap C)$
- (iii) $A \cap B = A \setminus (A \setminus B)$

Proof.

(i)
$$x \in A \land x \notin B \iff x \in A \land x \notin B \lor x \in B \land x \notin B$$
 \Rightarrow V-intro / V-syllogism \iff $(x \in A \lor x \in B) \land x \notin B$ \Rightarrow Distribution \Rightarrow Distribution \Rightarrow \Rightarrow Distribution \Rightarrow De Morgan's Law \Rightarrow Distribution \Rightarrow Distribution \Rightarrow Distribution \Rightarrow Distribution \Rightarrow Distribution

Exercise 1.4.4

For any set A, prove that a "complement" of A ($\{x \mid x \notin A\}$) does not exist.

Proof. Let *B* be the complement of *A* for the sake of contradiction. Then, $A \cup B$ is the set of all sets, which is impossible by Exercise 1.3.3.

Chapter 2

Relations, Function, and Ordering

2.1 Ordered Pairs

Definition 2.1.1: Ordered Pair

 $(a,b) \triangleq \{\{a\},\{a,b\}\}$

Theorem 2.1.2

$$(a,b) = (a',b') \iff a = a' \land b = b'$$

Proof. (\Leftarrow) is direct.

(⇒) If a = b, we have $\{\{a\}\} = \{\{a'\}, \{a', b'\}\}$, and thus $\{a\} = \{a'\} = \{a', b'\}$, leaving the only option a = a' = b'.

If $a \neq b$, we must have $a' \neq b'$ by the argument above. Hence, we have $\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} = \{\{a'\}, \{a', b'\}\}$, which implies $\{a\} = \{a'\}$ and $\{a, b\} = \{a', b'\}$.

Definition 2.1.3: Ordered Triples and Quadruples

- (a,b,c) = ((a,b),c)
- (a, b, c, d) = ((a, b, c), d)

Selected Problems

Exercise 2.1.1

If $a, b \in A$, then $(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$.

Proof. If $a, b \in A$, then $\{a\}, \{a, b\} \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, and thus $(a, b) = \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$.

2.2 Relations

Definition 2.2.1: Binary Relation

A set *R* is a *binary relation* if all elements of *R* are ordered pairs.

R is a binary relation \iff $(a \in R \implies \exists x, \exists y, a = (x, y))$

Notation 2.2.2

If $(x, y) \in R$, we write xRy and say x is in relation R with y.

Definition 2.2.3: Domain, Range, and Field of Binary Relation

Let *R* be a binary relation.

- dom $R \triangleq \{x \mid \exists y \ xRy \}$ is called the *domain* of R.
- $ran R \triangleq \{ y \mid \exists x \ xRy \}$ is called the *range* of *R*.
- field $R \triangleq \text{dom } R \cup \text{ran } R$ is called the *field* of R.
- If field $R \subseteq X$, we say that R is a *relation in* X or that R is a relation *between* elements of X.

Lemma 2.2.4

Let R be a binary relation. Then, dom R and ran R exist.

Proof. By Exercise 2.2.1, if xRy, then $x, y \in A \triangleq \bigcup (\bigcup R)$. Hence, dom R and ran R exist. \Box

Definition 2.2.5: Image and Inverse Image

Let *R* be a binary relation and *A* be a set.

- $R[A] \triangleq \{ y \in ran R \mid \exists x \in A, xRy \}$ is called the *image* of A under R.
- $R^{-1}[A] \triangleq \{x \in \text{dom } R \mid \exists y \in A, xRy \}$ is called the *inverse image* of A under R.

Notation 2.2.6

We write $\{(x, y) \mid \mathbf{P}(x, y)\}$ instead of $\{w \mid \exists x, \exists y, w = (x, y) \land \mathbf{P}(x, y)\}$.

Definition 2.2.7: Inverse Relation

Let *R* be a binary relation. The *inverse* of *R* is the set

$$R^{-1} \triangleq \{(x,y) \mid yRx \}.$$

Definition 2.2.8: Composition

Let *R* and *S* be binary relations. The relation

$$S \circ R \triangleq \{(x,z) \mid \exists y, xRy \land ySz\}$$

is called the *composition* of R and S.

Definition 2.2.9: Membership Relation and Identity Relation

Let *A* be a set.

• The membership relation on A is defined by

$$\in_A \triangleq \{(a,b) \mid a,b \in A \land a \in b\}.$$

• The *identity relation on A* is defined by

$$\mathrm{Id}_A \triangleq \{(a,a) \mid a \in A\}.$$

Definition 2.2.10: Cartesian Product

Let *A* and *B* be sets. The set $A \times B \triangleq \{(a, b) \mid a \in A \land b \in B\}$ is called the *Cartesian product* product of *A* and *B*.

Lemma 2.2.11

Let A and B be sets. $A \times B$ exists.

Proof. If $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, by Exercise 2.1.1, we have $(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A \cup B))$.

Corollary 2.2.12

Let *R* and *S* be binary relations and *A* be a set. Then, R^{-1} , $S \circ R$, \in_A , and Id_A exist.

Proof.

- If yRx, then $(x, y) \in (\operatorname{ran} R) \times (\operatorname{dom} R)$.
- If $(x,z) \in S \circ R$, then $(x,z) \in (\text{dom } R) \times (\text{ran } S)$.
- If $a, b \in A$, then $(a, b) \in A \times A$.
- If $a \in A$, then $(a, a) \in A \times A$.

Lemma 2.2.13

Let *R* be a binary relation. The inverse image of *A* under *R* is equal to the image of *A* under R^{-1} .

Proof. Note that dom $R = \{x \mid \exists y \ xRy \} = \{x \mid \exists y \ yR^{-1}x \} = \operatorname{ran} R^{-1}$. Therefore,

 $x \in (\text{the inverse image of } A \text{ under } R)$

 $\iff x \in \text{dom}\,R \land \exists y \in A, xRy$

 $\iff x \in \operatorname{ran} R^{-1} \wedge \exists y \in A, \ yR^{-1}x$

 \iff $x \in (\text{the image of } A \text{ under } R^{-1}).$

♦ Note:- 🛉

Lemma 2.2.13 resolves the possible ambiguity on the expression $R^{-1}[A]$.

Notation 2.2.14

We write A^2 instead of $A \times A$.

Selected Problems

Exercise 2.2.1

Let *R* be a binary relation. Let $A = \bigcup (\bigcup R)$. Prove that $(x, y) \in R$ implies $x \in A$ and $y \in A$.

Proof. If $(x, y) = \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\} \in R$, Then $\{x, y\} \in \bigcup R$, and thus $x, y \in A$. □

Exercise 2.2.3

Let *R* be a binary relation and *A* and *B* be sets. Prove:

- (i) $R[A \cup B] = R[A] \cup R[B]$.
- (ii) $R[A \cap B] \subseteq R[A] \cap R[B]$.
- (iii) $R[A \setminus B] \supseteq R[A] \setminus R[B]$.
- (iv) Show by an example that \subseteq and \supseteq in parts (ii) and (iii) cannot be replaced by =.
- (v) $R^{-1}[R[A]] \supseteq A \cap \text{dom } R$ and $R[R^{-1}[B]] \supseteq B \cap \text{ran } R$. Give examples where equality does not hold.

Proof.

- (i) $y \in R[A \cup B] \iff \exists x, x \in A \cup B \land xRy$
 - $\iff \exists x, (x \in A \land xRy) \lor (x \in B \land xRy)$
 - $\iff y \in R[A] \lor y \in R[B] \iff y \in R[A] \cup R[B]$
- (ii) Take any $y \in R[A \cap B]$. Then, there exists $x \in A \cap B$ such that xRy. Hence, $y \in R[A]$ and $y \in R[B]$.
- (iii) Take any $y \in R[A] \setminus R[B]$. Then, there exists $x \in A$ such that xRy. If $x \in B$, it implies that $y \in R[B]$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $x \in A \setminus B$. Therefore, $y \in R[A \setminus B]$.
- (iv) Let a, b, and c be mutually different sets. Let $R = \{(a, a), (b, a), (c, c)\}$. Let $A = \{a, c\}$ and $B = \{b, c\}$. Then, $R[A \cap B] = \{c\} \subsetneq R[A] \cap R[B] = \{a, c\}$, and $R[A] \setminus R[B] = \emptyset \subsetneq R[A \setminus B] = \{a\}$.
- (v) Take any $a \in A \cap \text{dom } R$. Then, there exists b such that aRb. Moreover, $b \in R[A]$. Since $bR^{-1}a$, we conclude that $a \in R^{-1}[R[A]]$.

Take any $b \in B \cap \operatorname{ran} R$. Then, there exists a such that aRb. Moreover, $a \in R^{-1}[B]$. Hence, $b \in R[R^{-1}[B]]$.

Exercise 2.2.4

Let $R \subseteq X \times Y$. Prove:

- (i) $R[X] = \operatorname{ran} R$ and $R^{-1}[Y] = \operatorname{dom} R$.
- (ii) $dom R = ran R^{-1}$ and $ran R = dom R^{-1}$.
- (iii) $(R^{-1})^{-1} = R$.
- (iv) $R^{-1} \circ R \supseteq \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{dom}R}$ and $R \circ R^{-1} \supseteq \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{ran}R}$

- (i) We already have $R[X] \subseteq \operatorname{ran} R$ by definition. Take any $y \in \operatorname{ran} R$. There exists x such that $(x, y) \in R$. Since $R \subseteq X \times Y$, $x \in X$. Therefore, $y \in R[X]$; $\operatorname{ran} R \subseteq R[X]$. A similar argument goes for $R^{-1}[Y]$.
- (ii) See the proof of Lemma 2.2.13.
- (iii) For any relation R and for all x and y, we have $xRy \iff yR^{-1}x$. Since R^{-1} is also a relation, we have $xRy \iff yR^{-1}x \iff x(R^{-1})^{-1}y$.
- (iv) Take any $x \in \text{dom } R$. Then, there exists y such that xRy. Then, $yR^{-1}x$, and thus $x(R^{-1} \circ R)x$. A similar argument goes for $R \circ R^{-1}$.

Exercise 2.2.8

 $A \times B = \emptyset$ if and only if $A = \emptyset$ or $B = \emptyset$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If $A \neq \emptyset$ and $B \neq \emptyset$, we have $(a, b) \in A \times B$ where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, and thus $A \times B \neq \emptyset$.

 (\Leftarrow) If $A \times B \neq \emptyset$, then $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ where $(a, b) \in A \times B$.

2.3 Functions

Definition 2.3.1: Function

A binary relation *F* is called a *function* (or *mapping*) if

$$\forall a \forall b_1 \forall b_2 (aFb_1 \land aFb_2 \implies b_1 = b_2).$$

For each $a \in \text{dom } F$, the unique b such that aFb is called the *value of F at a* and is denoted F(a) of F_a .

Notation 2.3.2

If F is a function with dom F = A and ran $F \subseteq B$, we write $F: A \to B$, $\langle F(a) \mid a \in A \rangle$, $\langle F_a \mid a \in A \rangle$, $\langle F_a \rangle_{a \in A}$ for the function F. The range of the function F can then be denoted $\{F(a) \mid a \in A\}$ or $\{F_a\}_{a \in A}$.

Lemma 2.3.3

Let F and G be functions. $F = G \iff \operatorname{dom} F = \operatorname{dom} G \land \forall x \in \operatorname{dom} F, F(x) = G(x)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) is direct.

(\Leftarrow) Take any $(x, F(x)) \in F$. Then, we have $(x, F(x)) = (x, G(x)) \in G$. Therefore, $F \subseteq G$. Similarly, $G \subseteq F$, and thus F = G. □

Definition 2.3.4

Let *F* be a function and *A* and *B* be sets.

- F is a function on A if dom F = A.
- *F* is a function *into B* if ran $F \subseteq B$.
- F is a function *onto* B if ran F = B.
- The *restriction* of the function F to A is the function $F|_A \triangleq \{(a,b) \in F \mid a \in A\}$. If G is a restriction of F to some A, we say that F is an *extension* of G.

Theorem 2.3.5

Let f and g be functions.

- (i) $g \circ f$ is a function.
- (ii) $\operatorname{dom}(g \circ f) = (\operatorname{dom} f) \cap f^{-1}[\operatorname{dom} g].$
- (iii) $\forall x \in \text{dom}(g \circ f), (g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)).$

- (i) Suppose $x(g \circ f)z_1$ and $x(g \circ f)z_2$. There exists y_1 and y_2 such that xfy_1 , y_1gz_1 , xfy_2 , and y_2gz_2 . Since f and g are functions, we have $y_1 = y_2$ and $z_1 = z_2$. Therefore, $g \circ f$ is a function.
- (ii) $x \in \text{dom}(g \circ f) \iff \exists z \ x(g \circ f)z$

$$\iff \exists z \,\exists y \, x \, f \, y \land y \, g z$$

$$\iff x \in \text{dom } f \land f(x) \in \text{dom } g \iff x \in \text{dom } f \land x \in f^{-1}[\text{dom } g] \quad \Box$$

Definition 2.3.6: Invertible Function

A function f is said to be *invertible* if f^{-1} is a function.

Definition 2.3.7: Injective Function

A function f is said to be *injective* (or *one-to-one*) if

$$\forall a_1, a_2 \in \text{dom } f, (f(a_1) = f(a_2) \implies a_1 = a_2).$$

Notation 2.3.8

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function.

- If f is a function onto B, we may write $f: A \rightarrow B$.
- If f is one-to-one, we may write $f: A \hookrightarrow B$.
- If f is one-to-one and onto B, we may write $f: A \hookrightarrow B$.

Theorem 2.3.9

Let f be a function.

- (i) *f* is invertible if and only if *f* is one-to-one.
- (ii) If f is invertible, then f^{-1} is also invertible and $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$.

Proof.

- (i) (\Rightarrow) Suppose f^{-1} is a function. Then, $f^{-1}(f(a)) = a$ for all $a \in \text{dom } f$. Hence, for all $a_1, a_2 \in \text{dom } f$ such that $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$, it follows that $a_1 = f^{-1}(f(a_1)) = f^{-1}(f(a_2)) = a_2$; f is one-to-one.
 - (\Leftarrow) Suppose f is one-to-one. If $yf^{-1}x_1$ and $yf^{-1}x_2$, then x_1fy and x_2fy , i.e., $y = f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. Therefore, $x_1 = x_2$; f^{-1} is a function.
- (ii) As f is a relation, by Exercise 2.2.4 (iii), $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$, and thus f^{-1} is invertible.

Definition 2.3.10: Compatible Functions

- Functions f and g are called *compatible* if $\forall x \in (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$, f(x) = g(x).
- A set of functions *F* is called a *compatible system of functions* if any two functions *f* and *g* from *F* are compatible.

Lemma 2.3.11

Let *f* and *g* be functions.

- (i) f and g are compatible if and only if $f \cup g$ is a function.
- (ii) f and g are compatible if and only if $f|_{(\text{dom } f)\cap(\text{dom } g)} = g|_{(\text{dom } f)\cap(\text{dom } g)}$.

- (i) (\Rightarrow) Suppose $x(f \cup g)y_1$ and $x(f \cup g)y_2$. WLOG, $(x, y_1) \in f$. If $(x, y_2) \in f$, since f is a function, $y_1 = y_2$. If $(x, y_2) \in g$, since f and g are compatible, $y_1 = f(x) = g(x) = y_2$. Therefore, $f \cup g$ is a function.
 - (\Leftarrow) Take any $x \in (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$. $(x, f(x)) \in f \cup g$ and $(x, g(x)) \in f \cup g$. Since $f \cup g$ is a function, we have f(x) = g(x).
- (ii) Let $A = (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$.
 - (⇒) By definition, $\operatorname{dom} f|_A = \operatorname{dom} g|_A = (\operatorname{dom} f) \cap (\operatorname{dom} g)$. Moreover, for all $x \in (\operatorname{dom} f) \cap (\operatorname{dom} g)$, $f|_A(x) = f(x) = g(x) = g|_A(x)$. Hence, the result follows by Lemma 2.3.3.
 - (\Leftarrow) Take any $x \in A$. Then, $f(x) = f|_A(x) = g|_A(x) = g(x)$.

Theorem 2.3.12

If *F* is a compatible system of functions, then $\bigcup F$ is a function with dom $\bigcup F = \bigcup \{ \text{dom } f \mid f \in F \}$. The function $\bigcup F$ extends all $f \in F$.

Proof. Note that $\bigcup F$ is already a relation. If $(a, b_1), (a, b_2) \in \bigcup F$, then there exist $f_1, f_2 \in F$ such that $(a, b_1) \in f_1$ and $(a, b_2) \in f_2$. Since f_1 and f_2 are compatible and $a \in (\text{dom } f_1) \cap (\text{dom } f_2)$, we have $b_1 = f_1(a) = f_2(a) = b_2$. Hence, $\bigcup F$ is a function.

 $dom | JF = | J\{dom f | f \in F\}$ since

$$x \in \text{dom} \bigcup F \iff \exists y, (x, y) \in \bigcup F$$

$$\iff \exists y, \exists f \in F, (x, y) \in f$$

$$\iff \exists f \in F, x \in \text{dom} f \iff x \in \bigcup \{\text{dom} f \mid f \in F\}.$$

Take any $f \in F$. As $f \cup \bigcup F = \bigcup F$, f and $\bigcup F$ are compatible by Lemma 2.3.11 (i). Moreover, dom $f \cap \text{dom } \bigcup F = \text{dom } f$. Hence, by Lemma 2.3.11 (ii), $f = f \big|_{\text{dom } f} = \big(\bigcup F\big) \big|_{\text{dom } f}$; $\bigcup F$ extends each $f \in F$.

Definition 2.3.13

Let A and B be sets. Then, we define

 $B^A \triangleq \{ f \mid f \text{ is a function on } A \text{ into } B \}.$

Definition 2.3.14: Indexed System of Sets

- Let $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ be a function with domain I. We call the function S an *indexed* system of sets whenever we stress that the values of S are sets.
- We say that a system of sets A is indexed by S if $A = \{S_i \mid i \in I\} = \operatorname{ran} S$.

Notation 2.3.15

If *A* is indexed by $S = \langle S_i | i \in I \rangle$, we may write

$$\bigcup \{S_i \mid i \in I\} \quad \text{or} \quad \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$$

instead of $\bigcup A$. Similarly, we may write $\bigcap \{S_i \mid i \in I\}$ or $\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$ instead of $\bigcap A$.

Definition 2.3.16: Product of Indexed System of Sets

Let $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ be an indexed system of sets. We call the set

$$\prod S \triangleq \{f \mid f \text{ is a function on } I \text{ and } \forall i \in I, f_i \in S_i\}$$

the *product* of the indexed system *S*.

Notation 2.3.17

Other notations for the product of the indexed system $S = \langle S_i | i \in I \rangle$ are:

$$\prod \langle S(i) \mid i \in I \rangle, \quad \prod_{i \in I} S(i), \quad \prod_{i \in I} S_i.$$

Note:-

The existence of B^A and $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$ is proved in Exercise 2.3.9.

Note:-

If $A = S_i$ for all $i \in I$, $\prod_{i \in I} S_i = A^I$.

Selected Problems

Exercise 2.3.4

Let f be a function. If there exists a function g such that $g \circ f = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{dom}f}$, then f is invertible and $f^{-1} = g\big|_{\mathrm{ran}f}$.

Proof. For $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom } f$, suppose $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. Then, $x_1 = (g \circ f)(x_1) = g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2)) = (g \circ f)(x_2) = x_2$. Hence, f is one-to-one and is inverible by Theorem 2.3.9.

Take any $(y, x) \in f^{-1}$. Then, as $x \in \text{dom } f$, we must have $(y, x) \in \text{Id}_{\text{dom } f}$. Hence, $f^{-1} \subseteq g\big|_{\text{ran } f}$. Now, take any $(y, x) \in g\big|_{\text{ran } f}$. Since $y \in \text{ran } f$, there exists $x' \in \text{dom } f$ such that $(x', y) \in f$. Since $g \circ f = \text{Id}_{\text{dom } f}$, we have x = x'. Therefore, $(y, x) \in f^{-1}$; $g\big|_{\text{ran } f} \subseteq f^{-1}$.

Exercise 2.3.6

Let *f* be a function.

- (i) $f^{-1}[A \cap B] = f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B]$
- (ii) $f^{-1}[A \setminus B] = f^{-1}[A] \setminus f^{-1}[B]$

Proof. Thanks to Exercise 2.2.3 (ii) and (iii), we only need to prove the other inclusions.

- (i) Take any $x \in f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B]$. Then, there exists $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that xfa and xfb. Since f is a function, a = b, and thus $x \in f^{-1}[A \cap B]$.
- (ii) Take any $x \in f^{-1}[A \setminus B]$. Then, $f(x) \in A \setminus B$. If $x \in f^{-1}[B]$, we would have $f(x) \in B$; thus $x \notin f^{-1}[B]$. Therefore, $x \in f^{-1}[A] \setminus f^{-1}[B]$.

Exercise 2.3.8

Every system of sets *A* can be indexed by a function.

Proof. Let *S* be the function Id_A so $S_i = i$ for all $i \in A$. Then, $A = \{S_i \mid i \in A\}$; *A* is indexed by *S*. □

Exercise 2.3.9

- (i) Let A and B be sets. Prove that B^A exists.
- (ii) Let $\langle S_i | i \in I \rangle$ be an indexed system of sets. Prove that $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$ exists.

Proof.

- (i) If f is a function from A into B, then $f \subseteq A \times B$, i.e., $f \in \mathcal{P}(A \times B)$.
- (ii) If f is a function on I and $f_i \in S_i$ for all $i \in I$, then f is a function onto $\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$. Hence, $f \in \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i\right)^I$.

Exercise 2.3.10

Let $\langle F_a \mid a \in \bigcup S \rangle$ be an indexed system of sets.

(i)
$$\bigcup_{a \in \bigcup S} F_a = \bigcup_{C \in S} \left[\bigcup_{a \in C} F_a \right]$$

(ii)
$$\bigcap_{a \in \bigcup S} F_a = \bigcap_{C \in S} [\bigcap_{a \in C} F_a]$$
 if $S \neq \emptyset$ and $\forall C \in S, C \neq \emptyset$.

Proof.

(i)
$$x \in \bigcup_{a \in \bigcup S} F_a \iff \exists a \in \bigcup S, x \in F_a$$

 $\iff \exists C \in S, \exists a \in C, x \in F_a$
 $\iff \exists C \in S, x \in \bigcup_{a \in C} F_a \iff x \in \bigcup_{C \in S} \left[\bigcup_{a \in C} F_a\right]$
(ii) $x \in \bigcap_{a \in \bigcup S} F_a \iff \forall a \in \bigcup S, x \in F_a$
 $\iff \forall C \in S, \forall a \in C, x \in F_a$
 $\iff \forall C \in S, x \in \bigcap_{a \in C} F_a \iff x \in \bigcap_{C \in S} \left[\bigcap_{a \in C} F_a\right]$

Exercise 2.3.11

Let $\langle F_a \mid a \in A \rangle$ be an nonempty indexed system of sets.

(i)
$$B \setminus \bigcup_{a \in A} F_a = \bigcap_{a \in A} (B \setminus F_a)$$

(ii)
$$B \setminus \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a = \bigcup_{a \in A} (B \setminus F_a)$$

Proof.

(i)
$$x \in B \setminus \bigcup_{a \in A} F_a \iff x \in B \land \neg (\exists a \in A, x \in F_a)$$

 $\iff x \in B \land \forall a \in A, x \notin F_a$
 $\iff \forall a \in A, (x \in B \land x \notin F_a) \iff x \in \bigcap_{a \in A} (B \setminus F_a)$
(ii) $x \in B \setminus \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a \iff x \in B \land \neg (\forall a \in A, x \in F_a)$
 $\iff x \in B \land \exists a \in A, x \notin F_a$
 $\iff \exists a \in A, (x \in B \land x \notin F_a) \iff x \in \bigcup_{a \in A} (B \setminus F_a)$

Exercise 2.3.12

Let *R* be a relation and let $\langle F_a \mid a \in A \rangle$ be an indexed system of sets.

(i)
$$R\left[\bigcup_{a\in A}F_a\right] = \bigcup_{a\in A}R\left[F_a\right]$$

(ii)
$$R\left[\bigcap_{a\in A}F_a\right]\subseteq\bigcap_{a\in A}R[F_a]$$
 if $A\neq\emptyset$.

(iii)
$$R\left[\bigcap_{a\in A}F_a\right]=\bigcap_{a\in A}R[F_a]$$
 if $A\neq\emptyset$ and R is an injective function.

(iv)
$$R^{-1}\left[\bigcap_{a\in A}F_a\right]=\bigcap_{a\in A}R^{-1}[F_a]$$
 if $A\neq\emptyset$ and R is a function.

Proof.

(i)
$$y \in R[\bigcup_{a \in A} F_a] \iff \exists x \in \bigcup_{a \in A} F_a, xRy \iff \exists a \in A, \exists x \in F_a, xRy \iff \exists a \in A, y \in R[F_a] \iff x \in \bigcup_{a \in A} R[F_a]$$

- (ii) Take any $y \in R[\bigcap_{a \in A} F_a]$. Then, there exists $x \in \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a$ such that xRy. Hence, for all $a \in A$, $y \in R[F_a]$, i.e., $y \in \bigcap_{a \in A} R[F_a]$.
- (iii) If R is an injective function, then R^{-1} is also a function. Hence, the result follows from (iv) and the fact that $R = (R^{-1})^{-1}$.
- (iv) Thanks to (ii), since R^{-1} is a relation, we only need to prove the other inclusion. Take any $x \in \bigcap_{a \in A} R^{-1}[F_a]$. Fix any $a^* \in A$. Then, there exists $y^* \in F_{a^*}$ such that xRy^* . Now, take any $a \in A$. Then, $\exists y \in F_a$ such that xRy. Since R is a function, $y = y^*$; $y^* \in F_a$, i.e., $y^* \in \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a$. Therefore, $x \in R^{-1} \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a$.

2.4 Equivalences and Partitions

Definition 2.4.1: Equivalence

Let *R* be a binary relation in *A*.

- *R* is called *reflexive* in *A* if $\forall a \in A$, *aRa*.
- R is called *symmetric in A* if $\forall a, b \in A$, $(aRb \implies bRa)$.
- R is called transitive in A if $\forall a, b, c \in A$, $(aRb \land bRc \implies aRc)$.
- R is called an equivalence on A if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive in A.

Definition 2.4.2: Equivalence Class

Let *E* be an equivalence on *A* and let $a \in A$. The *equivalence class of a modulo E* is the set

$$[a]_E \triangleq \{x \in A \mid xEa\}.$$

Lemma 2.4.3

Let *E* be an equivalence on *A* and let $a, b \in A$.

- (i) $aEb \iff [a]_E = [b]_E$
- (ii) $\neg (aEb) \iff [a]_E \cap [b]_E = \emptyset$

- (i) (\Rightarrow) Suppose aEb. Take any $c \in [a]_E$. Then, cEa and aEb, and thus cEb by transitivity. Hence, $c \in [b]_E$; $[a]_E \subseteq [b]_E$. $[b]_E \subseteq [a]_E$ can be shown similarly since bEa holds as E is symmetric.
 - (\Leftarrow) Suppose [a]_E = [b]_E. Since aEa by reflexivity, we have $a \in [a]_{E} = [b]_{E}$. Therefore, aEb.
- (ii) (\Rightarrow) Suppose $[a]_E \cap [b]_E \neq \emptyset$. Then, there exists $c \in [a]_E \cap [b]_E$, i.e., cEa and cEb. Then, as E is symmetric, we have aEc, and therefore aEb by transitivity.
 - (⇐) Suppose aEb. Then, since aEa by reflexivity, we have $a \in [a]_E$. We can see $a \in [b]_E$ from (i). Hence, $[a]_E \cap [b]_E \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 2.4.4: Partition

A system S of nonempty sets is called a partition of A if

- (i) S is a system of mutually disjoint sets (Definition 1.4.5) and
- (ii) $\bigcup S = A$.

Definition 2.4.5: System of All Equivalence Classes

Let *E* be an equivalence on *A*. The system of all equivalence classes modulo *E* is the set

$$A/E \triangleq \{ [a]_E \mid a \in A \}.$$

Theorem 2.4.6

Let E be an equivalence on A. Then, A/E is a partition of A.

Proof. If $[a]_E \neq [b]_E$, then by Lemma 2.4.3, we have $[a]_E \cap [b]_E = \emptyset$. Since E is reflexive, $a \in [a]_E$; each $[a]_E$ is nonempty. Therefore, A/E is a system of mutually disjoint nonempty sets.

Take any $a \in A$. Since E is reflexive, $a \in [a]_E \subseteq \bigcup A/E$. Therefore, $A \subseteq \bigcup A/E$. Conversely, since $[a]_E \subseteq A$, we have $\bigcup A/E \subseteq A$.

Definition 2.4.7

Let *S* be a partition of *A*. The relation E_S in *A* is defined by

$$E_S \triangleq \{(a,b) \in A \times A \mid \exists C \in S, \ a \in C \land b \in C\}.$$

Theorem 2.4.8

Let S be a partition of A. Then, E_S is a equivalence on A.

Proof.

- Take any $a \in A$. As $A = \bigcup S$, there exists $C \in S$ such that $a \in C$. Therefore, aE_Sa . E_S is reflexive.
- Assume aE_Sb . Then, there exists $C \in S$ such that $a, b \in C$. Hence, bE_Sa . E_S is symmetric.
- Assume aE_Sb and bE_Sc . Then, there exist $C,D \in S$ such that $a,b \in C$ and $b,c \in D$. Then, $C \cap D \neq \emptyset$ as b belongs to both sets. Hence, C = D, which implies aE_Sc . E_S is transitive.

Theorem 2.4.9

- (i) If *E* is an equivalence on *A* and S = A/E, then $E_S = E$.
- (ii) If *S* is a partition of *A*, then $A/E_S = S$.

- (i) $aE_S b \iff \exists C \in S, \ a \in C \land b \in C \iff \exists c \in A, \ a \in [c]_E \land b \in [c]_E \iff aEb.$ Lemma 2.4.3
- (ii) Take any $[a]_{E_S} \in A/E_S$. Since S is a partition, there (uniquely) exists C such that $a \in C$. Then, for all b, we have $b \in C \iff aE_S b \iff b \in [a]_{E_S}$; $C = [a]_{E_S}$. Therefore,

$$A/E_S \subseteq S$$
.

For the converse, take any $C \in S$. As C is nonempty, we may take some $a \in C$. Similarly, we have $C = [a]_{E_S}$. Therefore, $C \subseteq A/E_S$.

Note:-

Theorem 2.4.9 essentially states that equivalence and partition describe the same "mathematical reality."

Definition 2.4.10: Set of Representatives

A set $X \subseteq A$ is called a *set of representatives* for the equivalence E_S (or for the partition S of A) if

$$\forall C \in S, \exists a \in C, X \cap C = \{a\}.$$

Selected Problems

Exercise 2.4.2

Let f be a function on A onto B. Define a relation E in A by: aEb if and only if f(a) = f(b).

- (i) Show that *E* is an equivalence on *A*.
- (ii) Show that $[a]_E = [a']_E$ implies that f(a) = f(a') so that the function φ on A/E into B defined by $\varphi([a]_E) = f(a)$ is well-defined. Show also that φ is *onto* B.
- (iii) Let *j* be the function on *A* onto A/E given by $j(a) = [a]_E$. Show that $\varphi \circ j = f$.

Proof.

- (i) *E* can readily be shown to be reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.
- (ii) Assume $[a]_E = [a']_E$. Then, f(a) = f(a') by definition of E. Hence, φ is well-defined. Take any $b \in B$. Since f is onto, there exists $a \in A$ such that f(a) = b. Hence, $\varphi([a]_E) = f(a) = b$; φ is onto B.
- (iii) $\operatorname{dom}(\varphi \circ j) = (\operatorname{dom} j) \cap j^{-1}[\operatorname{dom} \varphi] = A = \operatorname{dom} f$ since j is onto. For all $a \in A$, $(\varphi \circ j)(a) = \varphi([a]_E) = f(a)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.3.3, $\varphi \circ j = f$.

2.5 Orderings

Definition 2.5.1: Partial Ordering and Strict Ordering

Let *R* be a binary relation in *A*.

- R is called antisymmetric in A if $\forall a, b \in A$, $(aRb \land bRa \implies a = b)$.
- R is called asymmetric in A if $\forall a, b \in A$, $\neg (aRb \land bRa)$.
- R is called a (partial) ordering of A if it is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive in
- *R* is called a *strict ordering* of *A* if it is asymmetric and transitive in *A*.
- If R is a partial ordering of A, then the pair (A, R) is called an *ordered set*.

Example 2.5.2

- Define the relation \subseteq_A in A as follows: $x \subseteq_A y$ if and only if $x, y \in A \land x \subseteq y$. Then, (A, \subseteq_A) is an ordered set.
- The relation Id_A is a partial ordering of A.

Theorem 2.5.3

(i) Let *R* be a partial ordering of *A*. Then the relation *S* in *A* defined by

$$S \triangleq R \setminus \mathrm{Id}_A$$

is a strict ordering.

(ii) Let *S* be a strict ordering of *A*. Then the relation *R* in *A* defined by

$$R \triangleq S \cup \mathrm{Id}_A$$

is a partial ordering.

Proof.

- (i) Suppose aSb and bSa. Since $S \subseteq R$, we have aRb and bRa. As R is antisymmetric, we have aRa, which is impossible since $S \cap Id_S = \emptyset$. Hence, S is asymmetric in A. Now, assuming aSb and bSc, we also have aRc since R is transitive. Moreover, a cannot be equal to c since S is shown to be asymmetric. Therefore, aSc; S is transitive in A.
- (ii) Assume aRb and bRa. If $a \neq b$, then we have aSb and bSa, which is impossible. Therefore, a = b; R is antisymmetric. Assume aRb and bRc. If a = b or b = c, then we immediately have aRc. If $a \neq b$ and $b \neq c$, then aSb and bSc, and thus aSc as S is transitive in A; R is transitive in A). R is reflexive in A since $Id_A \subseteq R$.

Notation 2.5.4

- If R is a partial ordering, we say $S = R \setminus Id_A$ corresponds to the partial ordering R.
- If S is a strict ordering, we say $R = S \cup Id_A$ corresponds to the strict ordering S.

Definition 2.5.5: Comparability

Let $a, b \in A$ and let \leq be a partial ordering of A.

- We say that a and b are comparable in the ordering \leq if $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$.
- We say that a and b are *incomparable* in the ordering \leq if neither $a \leq b$ nor $b \leq a$. They can be stated equivalently in terms of the corresponding strict ordering \leq .
- We say that a and b are comparable in the ordering < if a = b or a < b or b < a.
- We say that a and b are *incomparable* in the ordering < if none of a = b, a < b, and b < a holds.

Definition 2.5.6: Total Ordering

An ordering \leq (or <) is called *linear* or *total* if any two elements of *A* are comparable. The pair (A, \leq) is then called a *totally ordered set*.

Definition 2.5.7: Chain

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$. B is a *chain* in A if any two elements of B are comparable.

Definition 2.5.8: Least/Minimal/Greatest/Maximal Element

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$.

- $b \in B$ is the least element of B in the ordering \leq if $\forall x \in B, b \leq x$.
- $b \in B$ is a minimal element of B in the ordering \leq if $\forall x \in B$, $(x \leq b \implies x = b)$.
- $b \in B$ is the greatest element of B in the ordering \leq if $\forall x \in B, x \leq b$.
- $b \in B$ is a maximal element of B in the ordering \leq if $\forall x \in B$, $(b \leq x \implies x = b)$.

Notation 2.5.9

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$.

- The least element of *B* is denoted min *B*.
- The greatest element of B is denoted max B.

Theorem 2.5.10

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$.

- (i) *B* has at most one least element.
- (ii) The least element of *B*—it it exists—is also minimal.
- (iii) If *B* is a chain, then every minimal element of *B* is also least.

Proof.

- (i) If b and b' are least elements of B, then $b \le b'$ and $b' \le b$ by the definition. As \le is antisymmetric, we have b = b'.
- (ii) Let b be the least element of B (assuming its existence). Take any $x \in B$ and assume $x \le b$. Then, as b is the least, we have $b \le x$. As \le is antisymmetric, x = b; b is minimal.
- (iii) Let *b* be a minimal element of *B*. Take any $x \in B$. Since *b* and *x* are comparable, it is $x \le b$ or $b \le x$. If $x \le b$, then x = b as *b* is minimal. Therefore, *b* is the least.

Note:-

Theorem 2.5.10 still holds when 'least' and 'minimal' are replaced by 'greatest' and 'maximal', respectively.

Definition 2.5.11: Lower/Upper Bound and Infimum/Supremum

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$.

- $a \in A$ is a lower bound of B in the ordered set (A, \leq) if $\forall x \in B, a \leq x$.
- $a \in A$ is called an *infimum* (or *greatest lower bound*) of B in the ordered set (A, \leq) if $a = \max\{x \in A \mid x \text{ is a lower bound of } B\}$.
- $a \in A$ is an upper bound of B in the ordered set (A, \leq) if $\forall x \in B, x \leq a$.
- $a \in A$ is called an *supremum* (or *least upper bound*) of B in the ordered set (A, \leq) if $a = \min\{x \in A \mid x \text{ is an upper bound of } B\}$.

Notation 2.5.12

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$.

- The infimum of *B* is denoted inf *B*.
- The supremum of *B* is denoted sup *B*.

Theorem 2.5.13

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$.

- (i) *B* has at most one infimum.
- (ii) If *b* is the least element of *B*, then *b* is the infimum of *B*.
- (iii) If $b \in B$ is the infimum of B, then b is the least element of B.

Proof.

- (i) The result follows from the definition and Theorem 2.5.10 (i).
- (ii) b is a lower bound of B. If x is a lower bound of B, since $b \in B$, we must have $x \le b$. Therefore, b is the greatest lower bound.

(iii) $b \in B$ is a lower bound of B, and thus b is the least element.

Note:-

Theorem 2.5.13 still holds when 'least' and 'infimum' are replaced by 'greatest' and 'supremum', respectively.

Definition 2.5.14: Isomorphism Between Ordered Sets

An *isomorphism* between two ordered sets (P, \leq) and (Q, \preceq) is a function $f: P \hookrightarrow Q$ such that

$$\forall p_1, p_2 \in P, (p_1 \leq p_2 \iff f(p_1) \leq f(p_2)).$$

If an isomorphism exists between (P, \leq) and (Q, \preceq) , then we say (P, \leq) and (Q, \preceq) are *isomorphic*. This is justified by Exercise 2.5.13.

Lemma 2.5.15

Let (P, \leq) be a totally ordered set and let (Q, \leq) be an ordered set. Let $h: P \hookrightarrow Q$ be a function such that

$$\forall p_1, p_2 \in P, (p_1 \leq p_2 \implies h(p_1) \leq h(p_2)).$$

Then, h is an isomorphism between (P, \leq) and (Q, \leq) , and (Q, \leq) is totally ordered.

Proof. Take any $p_1, p_2 \in P$ and assume $h(p_1) \leq h(p_2)$. Suppose $p_2 < p_1$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, since h is injective, $h(p_1) \neq h(p_2)$, and thus $h(p_1) \prec h(p_2)$. Then, we have $\neg (p_2 \leq p_1)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\neg (p_2 < p_1)$. Therefore, $p_1 \leq p_2$ since (P, \leq) is totally ordered.

Take any $q_1, q_2 \in Q$. Then, since h is onto Q, there exist $p_1, p_2 \in P$ such that $q_1 = h(p_1)$ and $p_2 = h(p_2)$. Since P is totally ordered, it is $p_1 \leq p_2$ or $p_2 \leq p_1$. In either case, we have $q_1 \leq q_2$ or $p_2 \leq q_1$. Therefore, (Q, \leq) is totally ordered.

Selected Problems

Exercise 2.5.1

- (i) Let R be a partial ordering of A and let S be the strict ordering of A corresponding to R. Let R^* be the partial ordering of A corresponding to S. Show that $R^* = R$.
- (ii) Let *S* be a strict ordering of *A* and let *R* be the partial ordering of *A* corresponding to *S*. Let S^* be the partial ordering of *A* corresponding to *R*. Show that $S^* = S$.

Proof.

(i) $R^* = S \cup Id_A = (R \setminus Id_A) \cup Id_A = R$ since $Id_A \subseteq R$.

(ii) $S^* = R \setminus Id_A = (S \cup Id_A) \setminus Id_A = S$ since $Id_A \cap S = \emptyset$.

Exercise 2.5.6

Let $(A_1, <_1)$ and $(A_2, <_2)$ be strictly ordered sets and let $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. Define a relation \prec on $B \triangleq A_1 \cup A_2$ as follows:

$$x \prec y \iff (x <_1 y) \lor (x <_2 y) \lor (x \in A_1 \land y \in A_2).$$

Show that \prec is a strict ordering of B and $\prec \cap A_1^2 = <_1$, $\prec \cap A_2^2 = <_2$.

Proof. Note that $\prec = <_1 \cup <_2 \cup A_1 \times A_2$.

Suppose $x \prec y$ and $y \prec x$. By definition, $x, y \in A_1$ or $x, y \in A_2$. In both cases, we have $(x <_1 y \text{ and } y <_1 x)$ or $(x <_2 y \text{ and } y <_2 x)$, which are impossible as $<_1$ and $<_2$ are asymmetric. Hence, \prec is asymmetric. Transitivity of \prec can be shown easily.

Since $<_1 \cap A_2^2 = <_2 \cap A_1^2 = (A_1 \times A_2) \cap A_1^2 = (A_1 \times A_2) \cap A_2^2 = \emptyset$, we get $< \cap A_1^2 = <_1$ and $< \cap A_2^2 = <_2$.

Exercise 2.5.7

Let R be a reflexive and transitive relation in A (R is called a *preordering* of A). Define a relation E in A by

$$aEb \iff aRb \land bRa$$
.

Show that *E* is an equivalence on *A*. Define the relation R/E in A/E by

$$[a]_E R/E[b]_E \iff aRb.$$

Show that R/E is well-defined and that R/E is a partial ordering of A/E.

Proof. Since $aEa \equiv aRa$ and R is reflexive, E is reflexive as well. Since $aEb \equiv bEa$, E is symmetric. Since $aEb \wedge bEc \iff (aRb \wedge bRc) \wedge (cRb \wedge bRa) \implies aRc \wedge cRa \iff aEc$, E is transitive. \checkmark

Assume $[a]_E = [a']_E$ and $[b]_E = [b']_E$. Then, we have aEa' and bEb' by Lemma 2.4.3, i.e., aRa', a'Ra, bRb', and b'Rb. By transitivity of R, it follows that $aRb \iff a'Rb'$. Therefore, R/E is well-defined. \checkmark

It can be shown readily that R/E is reflexive and transitive. To prove R/E is antisymmetric, assume $[a]_E R/E[b]_E$ and $[b]_E R/E[a]_E$. Then, aRb and bRa, which means aEb. Therefore, $[a]_E = [b]_E$ by Lemma 2.4.3. \checkmark

Exercise 2.5.8

Let $A = \mathcal{P}(X)$ where X is a set.

- (i) Any $S \subseteq A$ has a supremum in the ordering \subseteq_A ; sup $S = \bigcup S$.
- (ii) Any $S \subseteq A$ has an infimum in the ordering \subseteq_A ; $\inf S = \begin{cases} \bigcap S & \text{if } S \neq \emptyset \\ X & \text{if } S = \emptyset \end{cases}$.

Proof.

(i) As $C \subseteq_A \bigcup S$ for all $C \in S$, $\bigcup S$ is an upper bound of S. Let U be any upper bound of S. Take any $x \in \bigcup S$. Then, there exists $C \in S$ such that $x \in C$. Since $C \subseteq_A U$, we have $x \in U$. Therefore, $\bigcup S \subseteq U$; $\bigcup S$ is the least upper bound of S.

(ii) If $S = \emptyset$, then any $C \in A$ is an lower bound of S. Since $\bigcup A = X$ —by (i), the supremum of the set of lower bounds of S—is a lower bound of S, X is the infimum of $S = \emptyset$. \checkmark If $S \neq \emptyset$, as $\bigcap S \subseteq C$ for all $C \in S$, $\bigcap S$ is a lower bound of S. Let L be any lower bound of S. Take any $X \in L$. Then, $\forall C \in L$, $X \in C$, i.e., $X \in \bigcap S$. Therefore, $X \subseteq A \cap S$ is the infimum of $X \in A$.

Exercise 2.5.9

Let $\operatorname{Fn}(X,Y)$ be the set of all functions mapping a subset of X into Y, i.e., $\operatorname{Fn}(X,Y) = \bigcup_{Z \in \mathcal{P}(X)} Y^Z$. Define a relation $\leq \operatorname{in} \operatorname{Fn}(X,Y)$ by

$$f \leq g \iff f \subseteq g$$
.

- (i) \leq is a partial ordering of Fn(X, Y).
- (ii) Let $F \subseteq \operatorname{Fn}(X, Y)$. sup F exists if and only if F is a compatible system of functions. Moreover, sup $F = \bigcup F$ if it exists.

Proof.

- (i) $\leq = \subseteq_{\operatorname{Fn}(X,Y)}$ by definition; $\subseteq_{\operatorname{Fn}(X,Y)}$ is already a partial ordering of $\operatorname{Fn}(X,Y)$.
- (ii) (⇒) Assume $h \in Fn(X,Y)$ is a supremum of F. Then, $\forall f \in F$, $f \subseteq s$. Take any $f,g \in F$. Then, $f \cup g \subseteq h$, and thus $f \cup g$ is a function as h is a function. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3.11, f and g are compatible. Hence, F is a compatible system of functions. (⇐) Assume F is a compatible system of functions. Then, $\bigcup F \in Fn(X,Y)$ by Theorem 2.3.12, and $f \subseteq \bigcup F$ for all $f \in F$ by definition; $\bigcup F$ is an upper bound of F. Let F be any upper bound of F. Then, there exists $f \in F$ such that $f(x,y) \in F$ is Since $f \subseteq_A U$, we have $f(x,y) \in F$ is the least upper bound of F.

Exercise 2.5.10

Let Pt(A) be the set of all partitions of A. Define a relation \leq in Pt(A) by

$$S_1 \preccurlyeq S_2 \iff \forall C \in S_1, \exists D \in S_2, C \subseteq D.$$

(We say that the partition S_1 is a refinement of the partition S_2 if $S_1 \leq S_2$.)

- (i) \leq is a partial ordering of Pt(A).
- (ii) inf T exists for all $T \subseteq Pt(A)$.
- (iii) sup T exists for all $T \subseteq Pt(A)$.

Proof.

(i) \leq is reflexive since, for all $S \in Pt(A)$ and $C \in S$, $C \subseteq C$, i.e., $S \leq S$.

Assume $S_1 \preccurlyeq S_2$ and $S_2 \preccurlyeq S_1$. Take any $C \in S_1$. Then, there exists $D \in S_2$ such that $C \subseteq D$. In addition, there exists $E \in S_1$ such that $D \subseteq E$. We have $C \subseteq E$ but C is nonempty as S_1 is a partition, which implies $C \cap E \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, as S_1 is a partition, we must have C = E and thus C = D. Hence, $S_1 \subseteq S_2$. This shows that \preccurlyeq is antisymmetric. \checkmark

Assume $S_1 \preccurlyeq S_2$ and $S_2 \preccurlyeq S_3$. Take any $C \in S_1$. There exists $D \in S_2$ such that $C \subseteq D$. There exists $E \in S_3$ such that $D \subseteq E$. Hence, $C \subseteq E$; $S_1 \preceq S_3$. This shows that \preccurlyeq is transitive. \checkmark

(ii) Define a relation E in A by $E \triangleq \{(a,b) \in A^2 \mid \forall S \in T, \exists C \in S, a \in C \land b \in C\}$. It can be easily shown that E is an equivalence mimicking the proof of Theorem 2.4.8. Then, $A/E \in Pt(A)$ by Theorem 2.4.6.

Claim 1. A/E is a lower bound of T.

Proof. If $T = \emptyset$, there is nothing to prove; so assume $T \neq \emptyset$. Take any $S \in T$ and $a \in A$. Then, there exists $C \in S$ such that $a \in S$ since S is a partition of A. Let $b \in [a]_E$. Then, there exists $D \in S$ such that $a, b \in D$, which implies C = D. Therefore, $[a]_E \subseteq C$. Hence, $A/E \leq S$.

Claim 2. For each lower bound *L* of T, $L \leq A/E$.

Proof. If $T = \emptyset$, then $A/E = \{A^2\}$ and every partition of A is a lower bound. Since $S \leq \{A^2\}$ for all $S \in Pt(A)$, the result follows.

Now, assume $T \neq \emptyset$. Let *L* be a lower bound of *T*. Take any $D \in L$. Fix some $a \in D$. Then, each $d \in D$ has the property that $\forall S \in T$, $\exists C \in S$, $\{a, d\} \subseteq D \subseteq C$ as *L* is a lower bound of *T*. Therefore, $d \in [a]_E$; $D \subseteq [a]_E$. Hence, $L \leq A/E$.

Claims 1 and 2 say that $\inf T = A/E$. Hence, $\inf T$ exists.

(iii) Let $T' \triangleq \{ S' \in Pt(A) \mid \forall S \in T, S \leq S' \}$. By (ii), $S^* \triangleq \inf T'$ exists.

Claim 3. S^* is an upper bound of T.

Proof. In (ii), we showed that $S^* = A/E$ where $E = \{(a, b) \in A^2 \mid \forall S' \in T', \exists C' \in S', a \in C' \land b \in C'\}$. Take any $S \in T$ and let $C \in S$. Fix some $c_0 \in C$.

Now, take arbitrary $c \in C$. Then, for all $S' \in T'$, since $S \leq S'$, there exists $D' \in S'$ such that $c \in C \subseteq D'$. Hence, we have cEc_0 ; $C \subseteq [c_0]_E$. Therefore, $S \leq S^*$.

Claim 3 essentially says that $S^* \in T'$. By Theorem 2.5.13 (iii), $S^* = \min T'$, i.e., $S^* = \sup T$.

Exercise 2.5.13

If h is isomorphism between (P, \leq) and (Q, \preceq) , then h^{-1} is an isomorphism between (Q, \preceq) and (P, \leq) .

Proof. Take any $q_1, q_2 \in Q$. Then, we have $q_1 \leq q_2 \iff h(h^{-1}(q_1)) \leq h(h^{-1}(q_2)) \iff h^{-1}(q_1) \leq h^{-1}(q_2)$.

Exercise 2.5.14

If f is an isomorphism between (P_1, \leq_1) and (P_2, \leq_2) , and if g is an isomorphism between (P_2, \leq_2) and P_3, \leq_3 , then $g \circ f$ is an isomorphism between (P_1, \leq_1) and (P_3, \leq_3) .

Proof. $\operatorname{ran}(g \circ f) = g[\operatorname{ran} f] = P_3$. Moreover, $g \circ f$ is one-to-one. Hence, $g \circ f : P_1 \hookrightarrow P_3$. For all $p, q \in P_1$, we have $p \leq_1 q \iff f(p) \leq_2 f(q) \iff g(f(p)) \leq_3 \iff g(f(q))$. Hence, $g \circ f$ is an isomorphism between (P_1, \leq_1) and (P_3, \leq_3) .

Chapter 3

Natural Numbers

3.1 Introduction to Natural Numbers

Note:-

We cannot prove an existence of an 'infinite' set (in the classical sense) or discuss infinity only from Axioms I to VI.

Definition 3.1.1: Successor

The *successor* of a set x is the set $S(x) = x \cup \{x\}$.

Notation 3.1.2: n + 1

We write n+1 to denote S(n). There is no implication regarding the classic "addition" in this notation.

Notation 3.1.3: Natural Numbers

- $0 = \emptyset$
- $1 = {\emptyset} = S(0) = 0 + 1$
- $2 = {\emptyset, {\emptyset}} = S(1) = 1 + 1$
- ..

Definition 3.1.4: Inductive Set

A set *I* is called *inductive* if

$$0 \in I \land \forall n \in I, (n+1) \in I.$$

Axiom VII Axiom of Infinity

An inductive set exists.

Definition 3.1.5: Set of All Natural Numbers

The set of all natural numbers is the set

$$\mathbb{N} \triangleq \{ x \mid x \in I \text{ for all inductive set } I \}.$$

Note:-

Axiom of Infinity guarantees the existence of \mathbb{N} . For, if A is any inductive set, then $\mathbb{N} = \{x \in A \mid x \in I \text{ for all inductive set } I\}$.

Lemma 3.1.6

 \mathbb{N} is inductive. In addition, if *I* is an inductive set, then $\mathbb{N} \subseteq I$.

Proof. Since $0 \in I$ for all inductive set, $0 \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $n \in I$ for all inductive set, and thus $(n+1) \in I$ for all inductive set. Therefore, $(n+1) \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, \mathbb{N} is inductive.

 $\mathbb{N} \subseteq I$ directly follows from the definition of \mathbb{N} .

Definition 3.1.7

The relation < on \mathbb{N} is defined by: m < n if and only if $m \in n$.

Notation 3.1.8

Although we did not prove < is a strict ordering of \mathbb{N} , we shall use \le to denote the relation on \mathbb{N} :

$$\leq \triangleq < \cup Id_{\mathbb{N}}$$

Selected Problems

Exercise 3.1.1

- (i) $\forall x, x \subseteq S(x)$
- (ii) $\forall x, \neg(\exists z, x \subseteq z \subseteq S(x))$

Proof.

- (i) $x \subseteq x \subseteq x \cup \{x\} = S(x)$
- (ii) Take any z such that such that $x \subseteq z \subseteq S(x) = x \cup \{x\}$. If $z \subseteq x$, then we have z = x. If $z \not\subseteq x$, then there exists y such that $y \in z$ and $y \notin x$. However, $y \in x \cup \{x\}$, and thus y = x. Therefore, $S(x) \subseteq z$; z = S(x). In conclusion, any z such that $x \subseteq z \subseteq S(x)$ must satisfy z = x or z = S(x).

3.2 Properties of Natural Numbers

Theorem 3.2.1 The Induction Principle

Let P(x) be a property (possibly with parameters).

$$P(0) \land \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (P(n) \Longrightarrow P(n+1)) \Longrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$$

Proof. The premise simply says that $A = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathbf{P}(n) \}$ is inductive. Therefore, $\mathbb{N} \subseteq A$ follows.

Lemma 3.2.2

- (i) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \le n$
- (ii) $\forall k, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k < n + 1 \iff k < n \lor k = n)$

Proof.

- (i) Let P(x) be the property " $0 \le x$." P(0), i.e., $0 \le 0$, holds since 0 = 0. Now, assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and P(n). If n = 0, then we have $0 \in S(0) = n+1$ by definition (Definition 3.1.1). If 0 < n, then $0 \in n$, and thus $0 \in n \cup \{n\} = S(n)$. Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.
- (ii) Note that $k \in n \cup \{n\}$ if and only if $k \in n$ or k = n.

Theorem 3.2.3 (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Totally Ordered (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is a totally ordered set.

Proof. We first need to prove that (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is an ordered set.

Claim 1. < is transitive in \mathbb{N} .

Proof. Let **P**(x) be the property " $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, ($k < m \land m < x \implies k < x$)." **P**(0) is true because there is no $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \in 0 = \emptyset$.

Now assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}(n)$. Now, let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and k < m and m < n + 1. By Lemma 3.2.2 (ii), m < n or m = n.

- If m < n, then we have k < n as P(n) holds,
- If m = n, then we immediately have k < n.

In both cases, we have k < n; thus k < n + 1 by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). Therefore, the result follows from The Induction Principle.

Claim 2. < is asymmetric in \mathbb{N} .

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $\neg(x < x)$." P(0) evidently holds since $\emptyset \notin \emptyset$.

Now, assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}(n)$. Suppose (n+1) < (n+1) for the sake of contradiction. By Lemma 3.2.2 (ii), we have (n+1) = n or (n+1) < n. In both cases, we have n < n by n < (n+1) (from Lemma 3.2.2 (ii)) and Claim 1, which contradicts $\mathbf{P}(n)$. Therefore, $\mathbf{P}(n+1)$ holds. The result follows from The Induction Principle.

Hence, (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is an ordered set by Claims 1 and 2 and Theorem 2.5.3. We are left to prove that \leq is a total ordering of \mathbb{N} .

Claim 3. $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n < m \implies (n+1) \leq m$

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(n < x \implies n + 1 \le x)$." P(0) holds since there is no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n < 0.

Now, assume $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}(m)$. Take any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n < (m+1). Then, by Lemma 3.2.2, we have n = m or n < m. If n = m, then we have (n+1) = (m+1), which implies $(n+1) \le (m+1)$. If n < m, then $(n+1) \le m < (m+1)$. Therefore, the result follows from The Induction Principle.

Claim 4. < is a total ordering of \mathbb{N} .

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m = x \lor m < x \lor x < m$." P(0) is essentially Lemma 3.2.2 (i).

Assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}(n)$. Take any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If m < n or m = n, we have m < (n + 1) by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). If n < m, by Claim 3, we have $(n + 1) \le m$. Hence, $\mathbf{P}(n + 1)$ holds. Therefore, the result follows from The Induction Principle.

Notation 3.2.4

We may write " $\forall k < n, \mathbf{P}(k)$ " instead of " $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(k < n \implies \mathbf{P}(k))$ " or " $\exists k < n, \mathbf{P}(k)$ " instead of " $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k < n \land \mathbf{P}(k)$ " when no confusion may arise. We may similarly write $(\forall /\exists)k(\le/>/\ge)n, \mathbf{P}(k)$.

Theorem 3.2.5 The Strong Induction Principle

Let P(x) be a property (possibly with parameters). If, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, P(k) holds for all k < n, then P(n) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall k < n, \implies \mathbf{P}(k) \implies \mathbf{P}(n)] \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{P}(n)$$

Proof. Assume the premise $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall k < n, \implies P(k) \implies P(n)])$. Let Q(n) be the property " $\forall k < n, P(k)$." Q(0) holds since there is no k < 0.

Now, assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{Q}(n)$. Then, by the premise, we have $\mathbf{P}(n)$. Lemma 3.2.2 (ii) enables us to say that $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(k < n+1 \implies P(k))$. Therefore, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{Q}(n)$ holds by The Induction Principle.

Take any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have k < k+1 and thus $\mathbf{P}(k)$ holds by $\mathbf{Q}(k+1)$.

Definition 3.2.6: Well-Ordering

A total ordering \leq of a set A is a well-ordering if every nonempty subset of A has a least element. Then, the ordered set (A, \leq) is called a well-ordered set.

Theorem 3.2.7 (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Well-Ordered

 (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is a well-ordered set.

Proof. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ has no least element. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\forall k < n, k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X$, we must have $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X$ since otherwise $n = \min X$. Then, by The Strong Induction Principle, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X$, i.e., $X = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.2.8

Let $\emptyset \subsetneq X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. If *X* has an upper bound in the ordering \leq , then *X* has a greatest element.

Proof. Let $Y \triangleq \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid k \text{ is an upper bound of } X\}$. The assumption says that $Y \neq \emptyset$. By (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Well-Ordered, $n \triangleq \min Y = \sup X$ exists.

Suppose $n \notin X$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, $\forall m \in X$, m < n, which implies $n \neq 0$ as $X \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, n = k + 1 for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by Exercise 3.2.4; and thus $\forall m \in X$, $m \leq k$ by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). Then, k is an upper bound of A and k < n, which is a contradiction to $n = \sup X$. Therefore, $n \in X$, and hence $n = \max X$ by Theorem 2.5.13.

Selected Problems

Exercise 3.2.2

 $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m < n \implies m+1 < n+1)$. Hence, $S : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ where $n \mapsto n+1$ defines a one-to-one function on \mathbb{N} .

Proof. By Claim 3 in the proof of (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Totally Ordered, we have $m+1 \leq n$. Together with n < n+1, we have m+1 < n+1.

Now, take any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \neq n$. Then, by (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Totally Ordered, we have m < n or n < m, i.e., S(m) < S(n) or S(n) < S(m). In both cases, $S(m) \neq S(n)$. Therefore, $S(m) \neq S(n)$ is one-to-one.

Exercise 3.2.3

There exists $X \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \mathbb{N} \to X$ such that f is injective.

Proof. Let $S: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ where $n \mapsto n+1$. Then, S is injective by Exercise 3.2.2. Since there exists no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \cup \{n\} = \emptyset$, $0 \notin \operatorname{ran} S$; $\operatorname{ran} S \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $S: \mathbb{N} \to \operatorname{ran} S$ is the function we are looking for. □

Exercise 3.2.4

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \exists ! k \in \mathbb{N}, n = k + 1$

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $x = 0 \lor \exists ! k \in \mathbb{N}$, x = k + 1." P(0) holds by definition.

Now, assume P(n) where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n+1=k+1, namely, k=n. If k' is another natural number such that n+1=k'+1, then by Exercise 3.2.2, we have k=k'. Hence, P(n+1) holds. The result follows from The Induction Principle.

Exercise 3.2.6

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n = \{ m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n \}$

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $x = \{ m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < x \}$." We have P(0) since there exists no $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with m < 0.

Now, assume P(n) where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $n+1 = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n\} \cup \{n\}$. By Lemma 3.2.2 (ii), m < n+1 if and only if m < n or m = n. Therefore, $\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n\} \cup \{n\} = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n+1\}$; P(n+1) holds. The result follows from The Induction Principle.

Exercise 3.2.8

There is no function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n+1) < f(n)$.

Proof. Let P(x) be the property "there is no function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that f(0) = x and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n+1) < f(n)$."

For the sake of induction, assume $\forall k < n$, P(k) where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose there exists $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that f(0) = n and $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, f(k+1) < f(k). Now, define $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by g(k) = f(k+1). Then, g(0) = f(1) < n and $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, g(k+1) = f((k+1)+1) < f(k+1) = g(k). However, by P(g(0)), such g cannot exist; by contradiction, P(n) holds. Hence, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, P(m) by The Strong Induction Principle.

Finally, suppose there exists $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, f(n+1) < f(n). Then, by $\mathbf{P}(f(0))$, such f may not exist.

Exercise 3.2.11

Let P(x) be a property and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$P(k) \land \forall n \ge k, (P(n) \Longrightarrow P(n+1)) \Longrightarrow \forall n \ge k, P(n)$$

Proof. Let Q(x) be the property " $x < k \lor P(x)$." If k = 0, then P(0) holds. If k > 0, then 0 < k holds. Hence, in both cases, Q(0) holds.

Now assume $\mathbf{Q}(n)$ holds where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Totally Ordered, we have n+1 < k, n+1=k, or n+1 > k. If n+1 < k or n+1=k, we immediately have $\mathbf{Q}(n+1)$. If n+1 > k, we have $n \geq k$ by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). Therefore, $\mathbf{P}(n)$ holds, and thus $\mathbf{P}(n+1)$ holds by assumption. Hence, $\mathbf{Q}(n+1)$. By The Induction Principle, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n < k \vee \mathbf{P}(n)$. In other words, $\forall n \geq k$, $\mathbf{P}(n)$.

Exercise 3.2.12 The Finite Induction Principle

Let P(x) be a property and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$P(0) \land \forall n < k, (P(n) \Longrightarrow P(n+1)) \Longrightarrow \forall n \le k, P(n)$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{Q}(x)$ be the property " $x > k \vee \mathbf{P}(x)$." $\mathbf{Q}(0)$ holds as $\mathbf{P}(0)$.

Now, assume $\mathbf{Q}(n)$ holds where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Totally Ordered, we have $n+1 \leq k$ or n+1 > k. If n+1 > k, then we immediately have $\mathbf{Q}(n+1)$. If $n+1 \leq k$, by Lemma 3.2.2, n+1 < k+1. By Exercise 3.2.2 and (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Totally Ordered, we must have n < k. Hence, $\mathbf{P}(n)$ holds, and therefore $\mathbf{P}(n+1)$ holds by the assumption. By The Induction Principle, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > k \vee \mathbf{P}(n)$. In other words, $\forall n \leq k$, $\mathbf{P}(n)$.

Exercise 3.2.13 The Double Induction Principle

Let P(x, y) be a property.

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}, (k < m \lor k = m \land \ell < n \Longrightarrow \mathbf{P}(k, \ell)) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{P}(m, n)] \qquad [*]$$
$$\Longrightarrow \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{P}(m, n)$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{Q}(x)$ be the property " $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{P}(x,n)$."

Now, assume $\forall k < m$, $\mathbf{Q}(k)$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For the sake of induction, assume again that $\forall \ell < n$, $\mathbf{P}(m,\ell)$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we have $\mathbf{P}(k,\ell)$ for all $k,\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that k < m or k = m and $\ell < n$. Hence, by [*], $\mathbf{P}(m,n)$. By The Strong Induction Principle, we have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{P}(m,n)$. In other words, $\mathbf{Q}(m)$. Again by The Strong Induction Principle, we have $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{Q}(m)$, that is to say $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{P}(m,n)$.

3.3 The Recursion Theorem

Definition 3.3.1: Sequence

- A sequence is a function whose domain is a natural number or \mathbb{N} .
- A sequence whose domain is a natural number *n* is called a *finite sequence of length n* and is denoted

$$\langle a_i | i < n \rangle$$
 or $\langle a_i | i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \rangle$ or $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$.

In particular, $\langle \rangle = \emptyset$ —the *empty sequence*—is the unique sequence of length 0.

$$Seq(A) \triangleq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A^n$$

denote the set of all finite sequence of elements of *A*.

• A sequence whose domain is $\mathbb N$ is called a *infinite sequence* and is denoted

$$\langle a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$$
 or $\langle a_i \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \rangle$ or $\langle a_i \rangle_{i=0}^{\infty}$.

Infinite sequences of elements of A are members of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$. We also use the notation $\{a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ or $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, etc., for the range of the sequence $\langle a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$.

Note:-

- A natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the set of all natural numbers less than n. See Exercise 3.2.6.
- Since $A^n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times A)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{A} = \{w \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, w = A^n\}$ exists, and thus $Seq(A) = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$ exists.

Theorem 3.3.2 The Recursion Theorem

Let *A* be a set, $a \in A$, and $g : A \times \mathbb{N} \to A$. Then, there uniquely exists an infinite sequence $f : \mathbb{N} \to A$ such that

- (i) $f_0 = a$ and
- (ii) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n).$

Proof. We say $t: (m+1) \to A$ is an m-step computation based on a and g if $t_0 = a$ and $\forall k < m, t_{k+1} = g(t_k, k)$. Let $F \triangleq \{ t \in \text{Seq}(A) \mid t \text{ is an } m \text{ step computation for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \}$. Let $f \triangleq \{ \mid F \mid A \in \mathbb{N} \}$.

Claim 1. f is a function.

Proof. We shall show that F is a compatible system of functions so we may conclude f is a function thanks to Theorem 2.3.12. Take any $t,u \in F$. Let $n = \text{dom } t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m = \text{dom } u \in \mathbb{N}$. WLOG, $n \le m$ (thanks to (\mathbb{N}, \le) is Totally Ordered), i.e., $n \subseteq m$. Hence, $(\text{dom } t) \cap (\text{dom } u) = n$. If n = 0, then it is done; assume n > 0. Then, there exists $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n' + 1 = n by Exercise 3.2.4.

Surely, $t_0 = a = u_0$. Moreover, if $t_k = u_k$ where k < n', then k + 1 < n' + 1 = n (Exercise 3.2.2) and $t_{k+1} = g(t_k, k) = g(u_k, k) = u_{k+1}$. Therefore, by The Finite Induction Principle, we have $\forall k \le n'$, $t_k = u_k$; t and u are compatible.

Claim 2. dom $f = \mathbb{N}$ and ran $f \subseteq A$.

Proof. We already have dom $f \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and ran $f \subseteq A$ by Theorem 2.3.12. To show dom f = A \mathbb{N} , it suffices to show that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an n-step computation based on a and g. Clearly, $t = \{(0, a)\}$ is a 0-step computation.

Assume there exists an *n*-step computation $t:(n+1)\to A$ where $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then, define $u: ((n+1)+1) \to A$ by $u \triangleq t \cup \{(n+1, g(t_n, n))\}$. Then, one may easily verify that u is an (n + 1)-step computation. Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.

We now check if f satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii).

- (i) Clearly, $f_0 = a$.
- (ii) Take any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let t be an (n+1)-step computation. Then, $\forall k \leq n, f_k = t_k$, and $f_{n+1} = t_{n+1} = g(t_n, n) = g(f_n, n).$

Now, we are left to show the uniqueness of such f.

Let $h: \mathbb{N} \to A$ be a sequence that satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Clearly, $f_0 = a = h_0$. And, if $f_n = h_n$, then $f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n) = g(h_n, n) = h_{n+1}$. Therefore, by The Induction Principle, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, f_k = h_k$, i.e., f = k by Lemma 2.3.3.

Theorem 3.3.3

Let (A, \preceq) be a nonempty linearly ordered set with the properties:

- (i) For every $p \in A$, there exists $q \in A$ such that $p \prec q$.
- (ii) Every nonempty subset of *A* that has a \leq -least element.
- (iii) Every nonempty subset of A that has an upper bound has a \leq -greatest element. Then, (A, \preceq) is isomorphic to (\mathbb{N}, \leq) .

Proof. By (i), $\{a \in A \mid x \prec a\} \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in A$ and it has a \leq -least element. Hence, we may define $g: A \times \mathbb{N} \to A$ by $g(x, n) \triangleq \min\{a \in A \mid x \prec a\}$. Then, The Recursion Theorem guarantees the existence of a function $f: \mathbb{N} \to A$ such that:

•
$$f_0 = \min A$$
 \triangleright (i) and $A \neq \emptyset$

• $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n) = \min\{a \in A \mid f_n \prec a\}.$ By Exercise 3.3.1, we have $f_m \prec f_n$ whenever m < n. This also implies that f is injective.

Claim 1. ran f = A

Proof. Suppose ran $f \subseteq A$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, $A \setminus \operatorname{ran} f \neq \emptyset$, and thus we $p \} \neq \emptyset$ and p is an upper bound of B. By (iii), $q = \max B$ exists. Since $q \prec p$, we have $q \in \operatorname{ran} f$, i.e., $q = f_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose there is some $r \in A$ such that $q \prec r \prec p$. Then, $r \in B$, which contradicts the maximality of q. Hence, $p = \min\{a \in A \mid f_m \prec a\} = f_{m+1}$, which contradicts $p \notin$ ran f.

We have $f: \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow A$ by Claim 1. Hence, by (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Totally Ordered and Lemma 2.5.15, f is an isomorphism between (\mathbb{N}, \leq) and (A, \leq) .

Theorem 3.3.4 The Recursion Theorem: General Version

Let S be a set and let $g: Seq(S) \to S$. Then, there exists a unique sequence $f: \mathbb{N} \to S$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_n = g(f|_n) = g(\langle f_0, f_1, \cdots, f_{n-1} \rangle).$$

Proof. Define $G: \operatorname{Seq}(S) \times \mathbb{N} \to \operatorname{Seq}(S)$ by

$$G(t,n) = \begin{cases} t \cup \{(n,g(t))\} & \text{if } t \text{ is a sequence of length } n \\ \langle \rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by The Recursion Theorem, there exists a sequence $F: \mathbb{N} \to \text{Seq}(S)$ such that:

- $F_0 = \langle \rangle$
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{n+1} = G(F_n, n).$

If $F_k \in S^k$, then $F_{k+1} = F_k \cup \{k, g(F_k)\} \in S^{k+1}$. Hence, by The Induction Principle, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_n \in S^n$. Moreover, since $F_k \subsetneq_{\text{Seq}(S)} F_{k+1}$, by Exercise 3.3.1, $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m < n \implies F_m \subsetneq F_n)$; hence $\{F_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a compatible system of functions.

hence $\{F_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a compatible system of functions. Let $f \triangleq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$. Then, we have $f \mid_n = F_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n = F_{n+1}(n) = g(F_n) = g(f \mid_n)$.

Let $h: \mathbb{N} \to S$ be another sequence such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $h_n = g(h|_n)$. Suppose $\forall k < n, f_k = h_k$. Then, we have $f_n = g(f|_n) = g(h|_n) = h_n$. Therefore, by The Strong Induction Principle, f = h.

Theorem 3.3.5 The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version

Let $a: P \to A$ and $g: P \times A \times \mathbb{N} \to A$ be functions. Then, there uniquely exists a function $f: P \times \mathbb{N} \to A$ such that

- (i) $\forall p \in P, f(p,0) = a(p)$
- (ii) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall p \in P, f(p, n+1) = g(p, f(p, n), n).$

Proof. Let $G: A^P \times \mathbb{N} \to A^P$ be defined by

$$G(x,n)(p) = g(p,x(p),n)$$

for each $x \in A^P$, $p \in P$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by The Recursion Theorem, there exists $F : \mathbb{N} \to A^P$ such that

$$F_0 = a$$
 and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{n+1} = G(F_n, n).$

Now, let $f: P \times \mathbb{N} \to A$ be defined by $f(p, n) = F_n(p)$. We now check if f satisfies the conditions:

- (i) For all $p \in P$, we have $f(p,0) = F_0(p) = a(p)$.
- (ii) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in P$, $f(p, n + 1) = F_{n+1}(p) = G(F_n, n)(p) = g(p, F_n(p), n) = g(p, f(p, n), n)$.

Let $h: P \times \mathbb{N} \to A$ be another function that satisfies (i) and (ii). Clear, we have $\forall p \in P, f(p,0) = a(p) = h(p,0)$. Assuming $\forall p \in P, f(p,n) = h(p,n)$ gives, for all $p \in P, f(p,n+1) = g(p,f(p,n),n) = g(p,h(p,n),n) = h(p,n+1)$. Hence, by The Induction Principle, we get f = h.

Selected Problems

Exercise 3.3.1

Let $f: \mathbb{N} \to A$ be an infinite sequence where (A, \preceq) is an ordered set. Then,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_n \prec f_{n+1} \Longrightarrow \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (n < m \Longrightarrow f_n \prec f_m).$$

Proof. Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{P}(x)$ be the property " $f_n \prec f_x$." $\mathbf{P}(n+1)$ evidently holds. Now, suppose $\mathbf{P}(k)$ holds where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, chaining $f_n \prec f_k$ and $f_k \prec f_{k+1}$ gives $\mathbf{P}(k+1)$. Therefore, by Exercise 3.2.11, we get $\forall m \geq n+1, f_n \prec f_m$.

Exercise 3.3.2

Let (A, \preceq) be a nonempty linearly ordered set. We say that $q \in A$ is a *successor* of $p \in A$ if there is no $r \in A$ such that $p \prec r \prec q$. Assume (A, \preceq) has the following properties:

- (i) Every $p \in A$ has a successor.
- (ii) Every nonempty subset of *A* has a \leq -least element.
- (iii) If $p \in A$ is not the \leq -least element of A, then p is a successor of some $q \in A$. Then, (A, \leq) is isomorphic to (\mathbb{N}, \leq) .

Proof. By (i), for each $p \in P$, $\{q \in A \mid p \prec q\} \neq \emptyset$, and thus it has a \preceq -least element by (ii). Therefore, by The Recursion Theorem, there exists a sequence $f : \mathbb{N} \to A$ such that $f_0 = \min A$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_{n+1} = \min \{q \in A \mid f_n \prec q\}$.

Claim 1. ran f = A

Proof. Suppose $X \triangleq A \setminus \operatorname{ran} f \neq \emptyset$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, by (ii), we may take $p = \min X$. Since $\min A = f_0 \in \operatorname{ran} f$, p is not the \preceq -least element of A. Hence, by (iii), p is a successor of some $q \in A$. As $q \prec p$, we have $q \in \operatorname{ran} f$ by minimality of q, i.e., $q = f_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since there is no $r \in A$ such that $q \prec r \prec p$, we have $p = f_{m+1}$ by definition, which contradicts $p \notin \operatorname{ran} f$.

Since $f_n \prec f_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Exercise 3.3.1, $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m < n \implies f_m \prec f_n)$, which means f is injective.

Therefore, together with Claim 1, f is an isomorphism between (\mathbb{N}, \leq) and (A, \leq) by Lemma 2.5.15.

Exercise 3.3.5 The Recursion Theorem: Partial Version

Let g be a function such that $\operatorname{dom} g \subseteq A \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\operatorname{ran} g \subseteq A$. Let $a \in A$. Then, there uniquely exists a sequence f of elements of A such that

- (i) $f_0 = a$
- (ii) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [n+1 \in \text{dom } f \implies f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n)]$
- (iii) f is either an infinite sequence or a finite sequence of length k+1 and $(f_k,k) \notin \text{dom } g$.

Proof. Let $\overline{A} = A \cup \{\overline{a}\}$ where $\overline{a} \notin A$. (Such \overline{a} exists by Exercise 1.3.3 (ii).) Define $\overline{g} : \overline{A} \times \mathbb{N} \to \overline{A}$ by

$$\overline{g}(x,n) = \begin{cases} g(x,n) & \text{if } (x,n) \in \text{dom } g \\ \overline{a} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, The Recursion Theorem guarantees the existence of $\overline{f}: \mathbb{N} \to \overline{A}$ such that $\overline{f}_0 = a$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \overline{f}_{n+1} = \overline{g}(\overline{f}_n, n)$. We have two cases: " $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \overline{f}_n \neq \overline{a}$ " and " $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \overline{f}_n = \overline{a}$." They are resolved by Claims 1 and 2, respectively.

Claim 1. If " $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \overline{f}_n \neq \overline{a}$," then \overline{f} is an infinite sequence of elements of A that satisfies (i) and (ii).

Proof. The assumption essentially says that $(\overline{f}_n, n) \in \text{dom } g$ and $\overline{f}_{n+1} = g(\overline{f}_n, n) \in A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., \overline{f} satisfies (i) and (ii). As $\overline{f}_0 = a \in A$, \overline{f} is an infinite sequence of elements of A.

Claim 2. If " $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \overline{f}_n = \overline{a}$," then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that \overline{f}_{k+1} satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii).

Proof. By (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Well-Ordered, we have $\ell \triangleq \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \overline{f}_n = \overline{a}\}$. Since $\overline{f}_0 \in A$, we have $\ell \neq 0$, and thus $\ell = k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by Exercise 3.2.4. It immediately follows that $\forall n \leq k, \overline{f}_n \in A$. Hence, $f \triangleq \overline{f}|_{k+1}$ is a finite sequence of length k+1 of elements of

We check if f satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii):

- (i) $f_0 = f_0 = a$
- (ii) If n < k, i.e., $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f = k + 1$, then $\underline{f}_{n+1} = \overline{f}_{n+1} = \overline{g}(\overline{f}_n, n) = g(f_n, n)$. (iii) If $(f_k, k) \in \text{dom } g$, then we would have $\overline{f}_{\ell} = \overline{g}(\overline{f}_k, k) = \overline{g}(f_k, k) = g(f_k, k) \neq \overline{a}$. Hence, we must have $(f_k, k) \notin \text{dom } g$.

Now, we prove the uniqueness. Let f and h be two sequences of elements of A that satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii). WLOG, $dom h \subseteq dom f$.

Let P(x) be the property " $x \in \text{dom } h \land f_x = h_x$." P(0) evidently holds.

```
Claim 3. \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n+1 \in \text{dom } f \land \mathbf{P}(n) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{P}(n+1))
Proof. Assume n+1 \in \text{dom } f and \mathbf{P}(n). Then, since (h_n, n) = (f_n, n) \in \text{dom } g, n+1 \in \text{dom } h
and h_{n+1} = g(h_n, n) = g(f_n, n) = f_{n+1}. Hence, P(n + 1) holds.
```

If f is a finite sequence, Claim 3 and The Finite Induction Principle imply h = f. If f is an infinite sequence, Claim 3 and The Induction Principle imply h = f.

Exercise 3.3.6

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, then there is a one-to-one (finite or infinite) sequence f such that ran f = X.

Proof. If $X = \emptyset$, $\langle \rangle$ is the one we are looking for. Assume $X \neq \emptyset$.

Let $g = \{((x, n), y) \in (X \times \mathbb{N}) \times X \mid y = \min\{k \in X \mid x < k\}\}$. Then, g is a function with dom $g \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and ran $g \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. By The Recursion Theorem: Partial Version, there exists a sequence *f* of elements of *X* such that

(i) $f_0 = \min X$

- \triangleright min X exists by (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Well-Ordered
- (ii) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n+1 \in \text{dom } f \implies f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n))$
- (iii) f is either an infinite sequence or a finite sequence of length k+1 and $(f_k,k) \notin \text{dom } g$. Note that dom $g = \{(x, n) \in X \times \mathbb{N} \mid \exists y \in X, x < y \}$. Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n+1 \in \text{dom } f$, we have $f_n < f_{n+1}$; hence $\forall m, n \in \text{dom } f$, $(m < n \implies f_m < f_n)$ (in the similar manner of Exercise 3.3.1), and thus f is injective.

Suppose $Y = X \setminus \operatorname{ran} f \neq \emptyset$ for the sake of contradiction. By (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Well-Ordered, we may take $y = \min Y$. Then, by Theorem 3.2.8, we may let $z = \max\{x \in X \mid x < y\}$. $z = f_m$ for some $m \in \text{dom } f$. Hence, $y = f_{m+1}$.

Arithmetic of Natural Numbers 3.4

Theorem 3.4.1

There uniquely exists a function $+: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (i)) $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, +(m,0) = m$
- (ii)) $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, +(m, n+1) = S(+(m, n)).$

Proof. The result directly follows from exploiting The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version with $A = P = \mathbb{N}$, a(p) = p for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and g(p, x, n) = S(x) for all $p, x, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.4.2: Addition

The function + defined in Theorem 3.4.1 is called the *addition*.

Notation 3.4.3

For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have +(m,1) = +(m,0+1) = +(m,0) + 1 = m+1. Hence, we may write m+n instead of +(m,n) without causing any confusion regarding Notation 3.1.2. We restate the defining properties of the addition for future reference:

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \, m+0=m \tag{1}$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m + (n+1) = (m+n) + 1$$
 [2]

Theorem 3.4.4 + is Commutative

Addition is commutative; that is to say

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m+n=n+m.$$

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m + x = x + m$."

Claim 1. P(0) holds.

Proof. Since m + 0 = m already, we only need to prove 0 + m = m for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall make use of induction. First of all 0 + 0 = 0 holds by [1].

Suppose 0 + m = m where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$0 + (m+1) = (0+m) + 1$$
 \triangleright [2]
= $m+1$. \triangleright $0 + m = m$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, 0 + m = m for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 2. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \lceil \mathbf{P}(n) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{P}(n+1) \rceil$

Proof. Assume P(n). We shall show P(n+1) holds by induction. 0+(n+1)=(n+1)+0 is already shown by Claim 1. Hence, assume m+(n+1)=(n+1)+m for fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$(m+1)+(n+1) = ((m+1)+n)+1 \qquad \triangleright [2]$$

$$= (n+(m+1))+1 \qquad \triangleright P(n)$$

$$= ((n+m)+1)+1 \qquad \triangleright [2]$$

$$= ((m+n)+1)+1 \qquad \triangleright P(n)$$

$$= (m+(n+1))+1 \qquad \triangleright [2]$$

$$= ((n+1)+m)+1 \qquad \triangleright m+(n+1) = (n+1)+m$$

$$= (n+1)+(m+1). \qquad \triangleright [2]$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, P(n + 1) holds.

From Claim 1, Claim 2, and The Induction Principle, we get $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m+n=n+m$.

Theorem 3.4.5 + is Associative

Addition is associative; that is to say

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k+m)+n=k+(m+n).$$

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, (k+m)+x=k+(m+x)." P(0) is direct by [1]. Now, fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(n). Then, for all $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(k+m)+(n+1) = ((k+m)+n)+1$$
 \triangleright [2]
= $(k+(m+n))+1$ \triangleright P(n)
= $k+((m+n)+1)$ \triangleright [2]
= $k+(m+(n+1))$. \triangleright [2]

Hence, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.

Theorem 3.4.6

There uniquely exists a function $\cdot: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (i) $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot 0 = 0$
- (ii) $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot (n+1) = m \cdot n + m$.

Proof. The result directly follows from exploiting The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version with $A = P = \mathbb{N}$, a(p) = 0 for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and g(p, x, n) = x + p for all $p, x, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.4.7: Multiplication

The function \cdot defined in Theorem 3.4.6 is called the *multiplication*.

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \, m \cdot 0 = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \cdot (n+1) = m \cdot n + m \tag{4}$$

Theorem 3.4.8 ⋅ is Commutative

Multiplication is commutative, i.e.,

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot n = n \cdot m.$$

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot x = x \cdot m$."

Claim 1. P(0) holds.

Proof. Since $m \cdot 0 = 0$ already by [3], we only need to prove $0 \cdot m = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall make use of induction. First of all $0 \cdot 0 = 0$ holds by [3].

Suppose $0 \cdot m = 0$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$0 \cdot (m+1) = 0 \cdot m + 0$$
 \triangleright [4]
= 0 + 0 \triangleright 0 · $m = 0$
= 0.

Hence, by The Induction Principle, $0 \cdot m = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 2. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [P(n) \Longrightarrow P(n+1)]$

Proof. Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(n). We shall prove P(n+1) by induction. We already have $0 \cdot (n+1) = (n+1) \cdot 0$ by Claim 1.

Fix any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $m \cdot (n+1) = (n+1) \cdot m$. Then,

$$(m+1) \cdot (n+1) = (m+1) \cdot n + (m+1) \qquad \triangleright [4]$$

$$= n \cdot (m+1) + (m+1) \qquad \triangleright P(n)$$

$$= (n \cdot m + n) + (m+1) \qquad \triangleright [4]$$

$$= (m \cdot n + n) + (m+1) \qquad \triangleright P(n)$$

$$= (m \cdot n + m) + (n+1) \qquad \triangleright + \text{ is Commutative, } + \text{ is Associative}$$

$$= m \cdot (n+1) + (n+1) \qquad \triangleright [4]$$

$$= (n+1) \cdot m + (n+1) \qquad \triangleright m \cdot (n+1) = (n+1) \cdot m$$

$$= (n+1) \cdot (m+1). \qquad \triangleright [4]$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, P(n + 1) holds.

From Claim 1, Claim 2, and The Induction Principle, we get $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot n = n \cdot m$.

Theorem 3.4.9 · Distributes Over +

Multiplication is distributive over addition, i.e.,

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \ k \cdot (m+n) = k \cdot m + k \cdot n$$
 and $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \ (m+n) \cdot k = m \cdot k + n \cdot k.$

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \cdot (m+x) = k \cdot m + k \cdot x$." P(0) holds by [1] and [3].

Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $\mathbf{P}(n)$. Then, for each $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$k \cdot (m + (n + 1)) = k \cdot ((m + n) + 1)$$
 \Rightarrow + is Associative
 $= k \cdot (m + n) + k$ \Rightarrow [4]
 $= (k \cdot m + k \cdot n) + k$ \Rightarrow P(n)
 $= k \cdot m + (k \cdot n + k)$ \Rightarrow + is Associative
 $= k \cdot m + k \cdot (n + 1)$. \Rightarrow [4]

Hence, by The Induction Principle, we have $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \ k \cdot (m+n) = k \cdot m + k \cdot n$. Now, we have, for each $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(m+n) \cdot k = k \cdot (m+n)$$
 \Rightarrow is Commutative
= $k \cdot m + k \cdot n$
= $m \cdot k + n \cdot k$. \Rightarrow is Commutative

Theorem 3.4.10 · is Associative

Multiplication is associative, i.e.,

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k \cdot m) \cdot n = k \cdot (m \cdot n).$$

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(k \cdot m) \cdot x = k \cdot (m \cdot x)$." P(0) is direct from [3]. Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(n). Then, for each $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(k \cdot m) \cdot (n+1) = (k \cdot m) \cdot n + k \cdot m \qquad \triangleright [4]$$

$$= k \cdot (m \cdot n) + k \cdot m \qquad \triangleright \mathbf{P}(n)$$

$$= k \cdot (m \cdot n + m) \qquad \triangleright \cdot \mathbf{Distributes \ Over +}$$

$$= k \cdot (m \cdot (n+1)). \qquad \triangleright [4]$$

Hence, the result follows by The Induction Principle.

Lemma 3.4.11

 $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot 1 = m$

Proof.

$$m \cdot 1 = m \cdot (0+1)$$
 \triangleright [1], + is Commutative
 $= m \cdot 0 + m$ \triangleright [4]
 $= 0 + m$ \triangleright [3]
 $= m$ \triangleright [1], + is Commutative

Theorem 3.4.12

There uniquely exists a function $\uparrow : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (i) $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \uparrow 0 = 1$
- (ii) $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \uparrow (n+1) = (m \uparrow n) \cdot m$

Proof. The result directly follows from exploiting The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version with $A = P = \mathbb{N}$, a(p) = 1 for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g(p, x, n) = x \cdot p$ for all $p, x, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.4.13: Exponentiation

The function \uparrow defined in Theorem 3.4.12 is called the *exponentiation*. We write m^n instead of $m \uparrow n$.

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \, m^0 = 1 \tag{5}$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \ m^{n+1} = m^n \cdot m$$
 [6]

Theorem 3.4.14 Laws of Exponents

- (i) $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m^1 = m$
- (ii) $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, k^{m+n} = k^m \cdot k^n$
- (iii) $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m \cdot n)^k = m^k \cdot n^k$
- (iv) $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k^m)^n = k^{m \cdot n}$

Proof.

(i) Take any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$m^1 = m^{0+1}$$
 \triangleright [1], + is Commutative
 $= m^0 \cdot m$ \triangleright [6]
 $= 1 \cdot m$ \triangleright [5]
 $= m$. \triangleright is Commutative, Lemma 3.4.11

(ii) Let $\mathbf{P}(x)$ be the property " $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, k^{m+x} = k^m \cdot k^x$." $\mathbf{P}(0)$ holds since, for each $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$k^{m+0} = k^m \qquad \qquad \triangleright [1]$$

$$= k^m \cdot 1 \qquad \qquad \triangleright \text{Lemma 3.4.11}$$

$$= k^m \cdot k^0. \qquad \triangleright [5]$$

Now, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $\mathbf{P}(n)$. Then,

$$k^{m+(n+1)} = k^{(m+n)+1}$$
 \Rightarrow + is Associative
 $= k^{m+n} \cdot k$ \Rightarrow [6]
 $= (k^m \cdot k^n) \cdot k$ \Rightarrow P(x)
 $= k^m \cdot (k^n \cdot k)$ \Rightarrow is Associative
 $= k^m \cdot k^{n+1}$. \Rightarrow [6]

Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.

(iii) Let P(x) be the property " $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m \cdot n)^x = m^x \cdot n^x$." P(0) holds since, for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(m \cdot n)^0 = 1$$
 > [5]
= 1 \cdot 1 > Lemma 3.4.11
= $m^0 \cdot n^0$. > [5]

Now, fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(k). Then,

$$(m \cdot n)^{k+1} = (m \cdot n)^k \cdot (m \cdot n) \qquad \triangleright [6]$$

$$= (m^k \cdot n^k) \cdot (m \cdot n) \qquad \triangleright \mathbf{P}(k)$$

$$= (m^k \cdot m) \cdot (n^k \cdot n) \qquad \triangleright \text{ is Commutative, } \cdot \text{ is Associative}$$

$$= m^{k+1} \cdot n^{k+1}. \qquad \triangleright [6]$$

Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.

(iv) Let $\mathbf{P}(x)$ be the property " $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(k^m)^x = k^{m \cdot x}$." $\mathbf{P}(0)$ holds since, for each $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(k^{m})^{0} = 1$$
 > [5]
= k^{0} > [5]
= $k^{m \cdot 0}$. > [3]

Now, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(n). Then,

$$(k^{m})^{n+1} = (k^{m})^{n} \cdot k^{m} \qquad \triangleright [6]$$

$$= k^{m \cdot n} \cdot k^{m} \qquad \triangleright P(n)$$

$$= k^{m \cdot n + m} \qquad \triangleright \text{Laws of Exponents (ii)}$$

$$= k^{m \cdot (n+1)}. \qquad \triangleright [4]$$

Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.

Theorem 3.4.15

There uniquely exists $\Sigma \colon \operatorname{Seq}(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbb{N}$ such that (i) $\Sigma(\langle \rangle) = 0$. (ii) $\Sigma(k) = \Sigma(k|_n) + k_n$ for all $k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$ with length n+1.

Proof. Let $g: Seq(\mathbb{N}) \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ be defined by

$$g(k, s, n) = \begin{cases} s + k_n & \text{if } n \in \text{dom } k \\ s & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version, there exists a function $f : \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}) \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

(i) $\forall k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}), f(k, 0) = 0$

(ii)
$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}), f(k, n + 1) = g(k, f(k, n), n) = \begin{cases} f(k, n) + k_n & \text{if } n \in \text{dom } k \\ f(k, n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 [*]

Now, define $\Sigma \colon \operatorname{Seq}(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbb{N}$ by $\Sigma(k) = f(k, \operatorname{dom} k)$. (i) evidently holds.

Claim 1. Let $k, \ell \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$. If $k \subseteq \ell$, then $f(k, \text{dom } k) = f(\ell, \text{dom } k)$.

Proof. Let P(x) be the property

$$\forall k, \ell \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N}), [\text{dom } k = x \land k \subseteq \ell \implies f(k, x) = f(\ell, x)].$$

P(0) is evident. Now, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(n).

Fix any $k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$ with dom k = n + 1. Then, for any $\ell \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$ with $k \subseteq \ell$,

$$f(\ell, n+1) = f(\ell, n) + \ell_n \qquad \triangleright [*]$$

$$= f(\ell|_n, n) + \ell_n \qquad \triangleright \mathbf{P}(n)$$

$$= f(k|_n, n) + k_n \qquad \triangleright k \subseteq \ell$$

$$= f(k, n) + k_n \qquad \triangleright \mathbf{P}(n)$$

$$= f(k, n+1). \qquad \triangleright [*]$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.

Let $k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$ with length n+1. Then, $\Sigma(k) = f(k,n+1) = f(k,n) + k_n$.

$$\Sigma(k) = f(k, n + 1)$$

$$= f(k, n) + k_n \qquad \triangleright [*]$$

$$= f(k|_n, n) + k_n \qquad \triangleright \text{Claim 1}$$

$$= \Sigma(k|_n) + k_n.$$

The uniqueness easily follows.

Notation 3.4.16: Summation

For the function Σ defined in Theorem 3.4.15, we write

$$\sum_{0 \le i < n} k_i \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k_i$$

instead of $\Sigma(\langle k_0, \cdots, k_{n-1} \rangle)$.

Selected Problems

Exercise 3.4.2

 $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \iff m + k < n + k)$

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m < n \iff m + x < n + x)$." P(0) is evident from [1].

Now, fix any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $\mathbf{P}(k)$. Then, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$m < n \iff m + k < n + k$$
 $\triangleright P(k)$
 $\iff (m + k) + 1 < (n + k) + 1$ $\triangleright Exercise 3.2.2$
 $\iff m + (k + 1) < n + (k + 1).$ $\triangleright + \text{ is Associative}$

By The Induction Principle, the result follows.

Exercise 3.4.3

 $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m \le n \iff \exists! k \in \mathbb{N}, n = m + k)$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Fix any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{P}(x)$ be the property " $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, x = m + k$." $\mathbf{P}(m)$ holds since k = 0 would satisfy by [1].

Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \le n$ and assume $\mathbf{P}(n)$. Then, there exists k such that n = m + k, which leads to n + 1 = m + (k + 1) by + is Associative. Hence, $\mathbf{P}(n + 1)$ holds. Therefore, $\forall n \ge m, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = m + k$ by Exercise 3.2.11.

To prove the uniqueness, assume $m+k=m+\ell$ where $k,\ell,m\in\mathbb{N}$. WLOG, $k\leq\ell$. If it were $k<\ell$, by Exercise 3.4.2 and + is Commutative, we must have $m+k=k+m<\ell+m=\ell+m$. Hence, $k=\ell$.

(\Leftarrow) Let **P**(x) be the property " $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, ($n = m + x \implies m \le n$)." We have evidently **P**(0) by [1].

Fix any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(k). Then, for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n = m + (k + 1), we have n = (m + 1) + k thanks to + is Commutative and + is Associative, and thus $m < m + 1 \le n$ by P(k). Hence, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.

Exercise 3.4.6

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, [k \neq 0 \implies (m < n \iff m \cdot k < n \cdot k)]$$

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m < n \iff m \cdot k < n \cdot k)$." P(1) holds since, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$n \cdot 1 = n \cdot (0+1)$$
 \triangleright [1], + is Commutative
= $n \cdot 0 + n$ \triangleright [4]
= $0 + n$ \triangleright [3]
= n . \triangleright [1], + is Commutative

Now, fix any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(k). Then, for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m < n,

$$m \cdot (k+1) = m \cdot k + m$$
 > [4]
 $< m \cdot k + n$ > Exercise 3.4.2
 $< n \cdot k + n$ > $P(k)$, + is Commutative, Exercise 3.4.2
 $= n \cdot (k+1)$. > [4]

Therefore, by Exercise 3.2.11, the result follows.

3.5 Operations and Structures

Definition 3.5.1: Operation

- A *unary operation* on *S* is a function on a subset of *S* into *S*.
- A binary operation on S is a function on a subset of S^2 into S.

Notation 3.5.2: Binary Operation

Non-letter symbols such as +, \times , *, \triangle , etc., are often used to denote operations. The value of the operation * at (x, y) is then denoted x * y rather than *(x, y).

Definition 3.5.3: Closedness Under Operation

Let f be a binary operation on S and $A \subseteq S$. A is said to be *closed under the operation* f if $\forall x, y \in A$, $[(x, y) \in \text{dom } f \implies f(x, y) \in A]$.

Definition 3.5.4: *n*-Tuple

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. An *n*-tuple is a finite sequence of length *n*.

Note:-

Let $\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ and $\langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$ be two *n*-tuples. We have, by Lemma 2.3.3,

$$\langle a_0, \cdots, a_{n-1} \rangle = \langle b_0, \cdots, b_{n-1} \rangle \iff \forall i < n, \ a_i = b_i.$$

This satisfies the usual defining property of n-tuple.

Note:-

- If $\langle A_i \mid 0 \le i < n \rangle$ is a finite sequence (of sets), then the product of the indexed system of sets $\prod_{0 \le i < n} A_i$ (Definition 2.3.16) is just the set of all n-tuples $a = \langle a_0, \cdots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ such that $\forall i < n, a_i \in A_i$.
- If $\forall i < n, A_i = A$, then $\prod_{0 \le i < n} A_i = A^n$.
- $A^0 = \{\langle \rangle \}.$

Notation 3.5.5

The 'ordered pair' (Definition 2.1.1), $(a_0, a_1) = \{\{a_0\}, \{a_0, a_1\}\}$, is different set from the '2-tuple' (Definition 3.5.4), $\langle a_0, a_1 \rangle = \{(0, a_0), (1, a_1)\}$. Consequently, $A_0 \times A_1$ (Definition 2.2.10) does not generally equal to $\prod_{0 \le i \le 2} A_i$ (Definition 2.3.16).

However, since there is a natural one-to-one correspondence

$$\delta: A_0 \times A_1 \longleftrightarrow \prod_{0 \le i < 2} A_i$$
$$(a_0, a_1) \longleftrightarrow \langle a_0, a_1 \rangle,$$

for almost all practical purposes—when only the defining property of *n*-tuple is needed)—it makes so difference which definition one uses.

Therefore, we do not distinguish between ordered pairs and 2-tuples now on. That is to say we use notations

$$\langle a_0, \cdots, a_{n-1} \rangle$$
 and (a_0, \cdots, a_{n-1})

interchangeably from now on.

Definition 3.5.6: *n*-ary Relation

An *n-ary relation* R in A is a subset of A^n . We write $R(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ instead of $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \in R$.

Definition 3.5.7: *n*-ary Operation

An *n*-ary operation F on A is a function on a subset of A^n into A. We write $F(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ instead of $F(\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle)$.

Note:-

- 1-ary relations in *A* need not be distinguished from subsets of *A*.
- 1-ary operations on *A* need not be distinguished from functions on a subset of *A* into *A*.
- Nonempty 0-ary operations on A need not be distinguished from A. (A nonempty 0-ary operation is of the form $\{(\langle \rangle, a)\}$ where $a \in A$; a nonempty 0-ary operation is called a *constant*.)

Definition 3.5.8: Structure

- A *type* τ is an ordered pair $(\langle r_0, \cdots, r_{m-1} \rangle, \langle f_0, \cdots, f_{n-1} \rangle)$ of finite sequences of natural numbers.
- A structure of type τ is a triple

$$\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \cdots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \cdots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$$

where R_i is an r_i -ary relation on A for each i < m and F_j is an f_j -ary operation on A for each j < n. In addition, we require $F_j \neq \emptyset$ if $f_j = 0$, i.e., F_j should be constant. A is called the *universe* of the structure \mathfrak{A} .

Example 3.5.9

 $\mathfrak{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \langle \leq \rangle, \langle 0, +, \cdot \rangle)$ is a structure of type $(\langle 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 2 \rangle)$.

Notation 3.5.10

We often write the structure of type $(\langle r_0, \cdots, r_{m-1} \rangle, \langle f_0, \cdots, f_{n-1} \rangle)$ as a (1+m+n)-tuple, for example, $(\mathbb{N}, \leq, 0, +, \cdot)$, when it is understood which symbol represent relations and which operations.

Definition 3.5.11: Isomorphism Between Structures

Let $\mathfrak A$ and $\mathfrak A'$ be structures of the same type $\tau=(\langle r_0,\cdots,r_{m-1}\rangle,\langle f_0,\cdots,f_{n-1}\rangle)$. Write $\mathfrak A=(A,\langle R_0,\cdots,R_{m-1}\rangle,\langle F_0,\cdots,F_{n-1}\rangle)$ and $\mathfrak A'=(A',\langle R'_0,\cdots,R'_{m-1}\rangle,\langle F'_0,\cdots,F'_{n-1}\rangle)$. An isomorphism between structures $\mathfrak A$ and $\mathfrak A'$ is a mapping $h\colon A\hookrightarrow A'$ such that

- (i) $\forall i < m, \forall a \in A^{r_i}, [R_i(a_0, \dots, a_{r_i-1}) \iff R'_i(h(a_0), \dots, h(a_{r_i}-1))]$
- (ii) $\forall j < n, \forall a \in A^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_i-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \iff (h(a_0), \dots, h(a_{f_i-1})) \in \text{dom } F_j']$
- (iii) $\forall j < n, \ \forall a \in A^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \\ \implies h(F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_i-1})) = F'_j(h(a_0), \dots, h(a_{f_i-1}))].$

Definition 3.5.12: Automorphism

An isomorphism between a structure $\mathfrak A$ and itself is called an *automorphism*.

Definition 3.5.13: Closed Set

Fix a structure $\mathfrak{A}=(A,\langle R_0,\cdots,R_{m-1}\rangle,\langle F_0,\cdots,F_{n-1}\rangle).$ A set $B\subseteq A$ is called *closed* if

$$\forall j < n, \ \forall a \in B^{f_j}, \ [(a_0, \cdots, a_{f_i-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \Longrightarrow F_j(a_0, \cdots, a_{f_i-1}) \in B].$$

Definition 3.5.14: Closure

Fix a structure $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \cdots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \cdots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$. Let $C \subseteq A$. The *closure* of C,

$$\overline{C} \triangleq \bigcap \{ B \subseteq A \mid C \subseteq B \text{ and } B \text{ is closed } \},$$

is the least closed set containing all elements of *C*.

Theorem 3.5.15

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \cdots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \cdots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$ be a structure and let $C \subseteq A$. If the sequence $\langle C_i | i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ is defined recursively by

$$C_0 = C;$$

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \ C_{i+1} = C_i \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} F_j[C_i^{f_j}],$$

then $\overline{C} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i$.

Proof. Note the recursive definition is justified by The Recursion Theorem. Let $\tilde{C} \triangleq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i$.

Claim 1. $\overline{C} \subseteq \tilde{C}$

Proof. Since we have $C_0 \subseteq \tilde{C}$, it is enough to show that \tilde{C} is closed.

Take any j < n and $a \in \tilde{C}^{f_j}$. By the definition of \tilde{C} , $\forall r < f_j$, $\exists i_r \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_r \in C_{i_r}$. We may take $\bar{\iota} = \max\{i_r \mid r < f_j\}$ by Exercise 3.5.13. Since $C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $a_r \in C_{i_r} \subseteq C_{\bar{\iota}}$ for all $r < f_j$. Hence, if $(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j$, we have $F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in F_j[C_{\bar{\iota}}^{f_j}] \subseteq C_{\bar{\iota}+1} \subseteq \tilde{C}$. Hence, \tilde{C} is closed.

Claim 2. $\tilde{C} \subseteq \overline{C}$

Proof. Clearly $C_0 = C \subseteq \overline{C}$. If $C_i \subseteq \overline{C}$, then, for each j < n, $F_j[C_i^{f_j}] \subseteq \overline{C}$ since \overline{C} is closed. Hence, $C_{i+1} \subseteq \overline{C}$. Therefore, by The Induction Principle, $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$, $C_i \subseteq \overline{C}$; hence $\widetilde{C} \subseteq \overline{C}$.

Combining Claims 1 and 2 completes the proof.

Theorem 3.5.16 The General Induction Principle

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$ be a structure and let $C \subseteq A$. Let $\mathbf{P}(x)$ be a property. If

- (i) $\forall a \in C$, $\mathbf{P}(a)$
- (ii) $\forall j < n, \forall a \in A^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \land \forall i < f_j, \mathbf{P}(a_i) \implies \mathbf{P}(F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}))]$ hold, then $\forall x \in \overline{C}, \mathbf{P}(x)$.

Proof. Let $B = \{x \in A \mid \mathbf{P}(x)\}$. (i) says $C \subseteq B$ and (ii) says B is closed. Therefore, $\overline{C} \subseteq B$. \square

♦ Note:- ♦

The Induction Principle is a special case of The General Induction Principle for the structure (\mathbb{N}, S) where S is the successor function.

Selected Problems

Exercise 3.5.4

Let $B = \mathcal{P}(A)$. Show that (B, \cup_B, \cap_B) and (B, \cap_B, \cup_B) are isomorphic structures.

Proof. Let $h: B \to B$ be defined by $h(X) = A \setminus X$. If $A \setminus X = A \setminus Y$, then $X = A \setminus (A \setminus X) = A \setminus (A \setminus Y) = Y$ by Exercise 1.4.2 (iii). Moreover, h(h(X)) = X for all $X \in B$. Hence, $h: B \hookrightarrow B$. □

Exercise 3.5.7

Let R be a set whose elements are n-tuples. Then, R is an n-ary relation in A for some A.

Proof. Let $a \in R$. Then, $a = \{(0, a_0), \dots, (n-1, a_{n-1})\}$. For each i < n, $a_i \in \{i, a_i\} \in (i, a_i) \in a \in R$. Hence, $a_i \in \bigcup [\bigcup (\bigcup R)]$, i.e., R is an n-ary relation in $A = \bigcup [\bigcup (\bigcup R)]$.

Exercise 3.5.10

Let *A* be a sequence of length *n*. Then, $\prod_{0 \le i < n} A_i \ne \emptyset \iff \forall i < n, A_i \ne \emptyset$

Proof. Let P(x) be the property "if A is a sequence of length x, then $\prod_{0 \le i < n} A_i \ne \emptyset \iff \forall i < n, A_i \ne \emptyset$." P(0) holds since, if A is a function with $\text{dom} A = \emptyset$, then $\prod A = \{\emptyset\}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(n) holds. Take any sequence A of length n + 1.

- Assume $\prod A \neq \emptyset$ and take $a \in \prod A$. Then, for each i < n+1, $a_i \in A_i$; and thus $A_i \neq \emptyset$.
- Assume $\forall i < n+1, A_i \neq \emptyset$. Then, by $\mathbf{P}(n)$, we may take $a' \in \prod_{0 \le i < n} A_i$. We also may take $b \in A_n$. Then, $a' \cup \{(n,b)\} \in \prod A$.

Hence, P(n) holds. Thus, the result follows by The Induction Principle.

Exercise 3.5.13

Let $\langle k_0, \cdots, k_{n-1} \rangle$ be a finite sequence of natural numbers of length $n \geq 1$. Then, its range $\{k_0, \cdots, k_{n-1}\}$ has a greatest element.

Proof. Let P(x) be the property "the range of a finite sequence of natural numbers of length x has a greatest element."

Let $\langle k_0 \rangle$ be a sequence of natural numbers of length 1. Then, $k_0 = \max \operatorname{ran} \langle k_0 \rangle$. Hence, **P**(1).

Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $\mathbf{P}(n)$. Take any $k \in \operatorname{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$ with length n+1. Let $k' = \langle k_0, \cdots, k_{n-1} \rangle$ be another sequence. Then, by $\mathbf{P}(n)$, there exists $m' = \max\{k_0, \cdots, k_{n-1}\}$. Now, let $m = \max\{m', k_n\}$. Then, for all i < n, $k_i \le m' \le m$, and $k_n \le m$. Hence, m is an upper bound of $\operatorname{ran} k$; the result follows by Theorem 3.2.8 and Exercise 3.2.11.

Exercise 3.5.15

Let $R \subseteq A^2$ be a binary relation. Define a binary operation F_R on A^2 by

$$F_R((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)) = \begin{cases} (a_1, b_2) & \text{if } a_2 = b_1 \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then.

- (i) The closure of R in (A^2, F_R) is a transitive relation.
- (ii) If *R* is reflexive and symmetric, \overline{R} is also an equivalence.

Proof.

- (i) Take any $a, b, c \in A$ and assume $a\overline{R}b$ and $b\overline{R}c$. Then, since \overline{R} is closed, $F((a, b), (b, c)) = (a, c) \in \overline{R}$. Hence, \overline{R} is transitive.
- (ii) $\operatorname{Id}_A \subseteq R \subseteq \overline{R}$; \overline{R} is reflexive.

Let P(x, y) be the property " $y\overline{R}x$." As $R \subseteq \overline{R}$, we have $\forall (a, b) \in R$, P(a, b). Now, take any $(a, b), (b, c) \in A^2$ such that P(a, b) and P(b, c). Then, by (i), we have $c\overline{R}a$; $P(F_R((a, b), (b, c)))$ hold. Therefore, by The General Induction Principle, $b\overline{R}a$ holds for all $(a, b) \in \overline{R}$.

Chapter 4

Finite, Countable, and Uncountable Sets

4.1 Cardinality of Sets

Definition 4.1.1: Equipotent Sets

Let *A* and *B* be sets. *A* is *equipotent* to *B* if there is a function $f: A \hookrightarrow B$. We write |A| = |B|.

Lemma 4.1.2

Let *A*, *B*, and *C* be sets.

- (i) |A| = |A|.
- (ii) If |A| = |B|, then |B| = |A|.
- (iii) If |A| = |B| and |B| = |C|, then |A| = |C|.

Proof.

- (i) Id_A is an injective function on A onto A.
- (ii) If $f: A \hookrightarrow B$, then $f^{-1}: B \hookrightarrow A$.
- (iii) If $f: A \hookrightarrow B$, and if $g: B \hookrightarrow C$, then $f \circ g: A \hookrightarrow C$.

Note:- 🛉

Lemma 4.1.2 essentially says that |A| = |B| behaves like an equivalence relation.

Definition 4.1.3

• We say the cardinality of A is less than or equal to the cardinality of B if there is a function $f: A \hookrightarrow B$. We write $|A| \leq |B|$.

• We say the cardinality of *A* is less than the cardinality of *B* if $|A| \le |B|$ and $\neg(|A| = |B|)$. We write |A| < |B|.

Lemma 4.1.4

Let A, B, and C be sets.

- (i) If |A| = |B|, then $|A| \le |B|$.
- (ii) $|A| \leq |A|$
- (iii) If $|A| \le |B|$ and $|B| \le |C|$, then $|A| \le |C|$.

Proof.

(i) If $f: A \hookrightarrow B$, then f is injective as well.

- (ii) Id_A is an injective function on A into A.
- (iii) If $f: A \hookrightarrow B$, and if $g: B \hookrightarrow C$, then $f \circ g: A \hookrightarrow C$.

Lemma 4.1.5

If $A_1 \subseteq B \subseteq A$ and $|A_1| = |A|$, then |B| = |A|.

Note:-

We present two proofs for Lemma 4.1.5. The second proof can be viewed as a more fundamental proof in the sense that it does not depend on Axiom of Infinity.

Proof 1. Let $f: A \hookrightarrow A_1$. Define a sequence $\langle A_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ and $\langle B_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ recursively by

$$A_0 = A, \qquad B_0 = B,$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, A_{n+1} = f[A_n], \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, B_{n+1} = f[B_n]$$
 [*]

thanks to The Recursion Theorem.

We clearly have $A_1 \subseteq B_0 \subseteq A_0$. If $A_{n+1} \subseteq B_n \subseteq A_n$, then $A_{n+2} = f[A_{n+1}] \subseteq B_{n+1} = f[B_n] \subseteq A_{n+1} = f[A_n]$ by [*]. Hence, by [*] and The Induction Principle, we have $A_{n+1} \subseteq B_n \subseteq A_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C_n \triangleq A_n \setminus B_n$. Then, by Exercise 2.3.6 (ii), $C_{n+1} = f[A_n] \setminus f[B_n] = f[A_n \setminus B_n] = f[C_n]$. Let

$$C \triangleq \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n$$
 and $D \triangleq A \setminus C$.

Hence, $f[C] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \subseteq C$. Now, define a function $g: A \to A$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in C \\ x & \text{if } x \in D. \end{cases}$$

We immediately notice that $g|_C = f|_C$ and $g|_D$ are injective and their ranges—f[C] and D—are disjoint; g is injective.

As, $\forall n \ge 1$, $C_n \subseteq A_n \subseteq B_0 = B$, we have $f[C] \subseteq B$. If $x \in D$, then $x \in A \setminus C_0 = A \setminus (A \setminus B) = B$ by Exercise 1.4.2 (iii).

Now, we shall show $B \subseteq f[C] \cup D$ and thus $B = \operatorname{ran} g$. Take any $y \in B$. Then, $y \in C$ or $y \in D$. If $y \in D$, then it is done; so assume $y \in C$. Then, as $y \notin A \setminus B = C_0$, $y \in f[C]$. Hence, $g : A \hookrightarrow B$.

Proof 2. Let $f: A \hookrightarrow A_1$. Let $F: \mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ be defined by $F(X) = (A \setminus B) \cup f[X]$. If $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A$, then $F(X) = (A \setminus B) \cup f[X] \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cup f[Y] = F(Y)$. Hence, by Exercise 4.1.10, there exists $C \subseteq A$ such that

$$C = (A \setminus B) \cup f[C].$$

Let $D \triangleq A \setminus C$.

Now, define a function $g: A \rightarrow A$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in C \\ x & \text{if } x \in D. \end{cases}$$

Then, since $f[C] \subseteq C$, g is injective.

Moreover, $f[C] \subseteq \operatorname{ran} f = A_1 \subseteq B$ and $D = A \setminus C = A \setminus ((A \setminus B) \cup f[C]) \subseteq A \setminus (A \setminus B) = B$, and thus $\operatorname{ran} g \subseteq B$.

Now, take any $y \in B$. If $y \in C$, then, as $y \notin A \setminus B$, $y \in f[C]$. Hence, $B \subseteq f[C] \cup D$. Therefore, $g: A \hookrightarrow B$.

Theorem 4.1.6 Cantor-Bernstein Theorem

If $|X| \le |Y|$ and $|Y| \le |X|$, then |X| = |Y|.

Proof. Let $f: X \hookrightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \hookrightarrow X$. Then, $g: Y \hookrightarrow g[Y]$, i.e., |Y| = |g[Y]|; and $g \circ f: X \hookrightarrow (g \circ f)[X]$, i.e., $|X| = |(g \circ f)[X]|$. Moreover, $(g \circ f)[X] \subseteq g[Y] \subseteq X$. Hence, by Lemma 4.1.5, |g[Y]| = |X|. We conclude |X| = |Y| from Lemma 4.1.2.

Assumption 4.1.7

There are sets called *cardinal numbers* (or *cardinals*) with the property that for every set X there is a unique cardinal |X| (the *cardinal number of* X, the *cardinality of* X) and sets X and Y are equipotent if and only if |X| is equal to Y.

Note:-

Assumption 4.1.7 essentially asserts the existence of a unique "representative" for each class of mutually equipotent sets. Assumption 4.1.7 is *harmless* in the sense that we only use it for convenience and we could formulate the theorems without it. We prove Assumption 4.1.7 in Chapter 8: Axiom of Choice. However, for certain classes of sets, cardinal numbers can be defined without the Axiom of Choice.

Selected Problems

Exercise 4.1.2

Let *A*, *B*, and *C* be sets.

- (i) If |A| < |B| and $|B| \le |C|$, then |A| < |C|.
- (ii) If $|A| \le |B|$ and |B| < |C|, then |A| < |C|.

Proof.

- (i) We already have $|A| \le |C|$ by Lemma 4.1.4 (iii). Let $g: B \hookrightarrow C$. Suppose $f: A \hookrightarrow C$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, $f^{-1} \circ g: B \hookrightarrow A$, i.e., $|B| \le |A|$. By Cantor–Bernstein Theorem, we get |A| = |B|, which is a contradiction.
- (ii) We already have $|A| \le |C|$ by Lemma 4.1.4 (iii). Let $g: A \hookrightarrow B$. Suppose $f: A \hookrightarrow C$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, $g \circ f^{-1}: C \hookrightarrow B$, i.e., $|C| \le |B|$. By Cantor–Bernstein Theorem, we get |B| = |C|, which is a contradiction.

Exercise 4.1.3

If $A \subseteq B$, then $|A| \le |B|$.

Proof. Id_A is an injective function on A into B.

Exercise 4.1.7

If $S \subseteq T$, then $|A^S| \le |A^T|$. In particular, $|A^m| \le |A^n|$ if $m \le n$.

Proof. If $T = \emptyset$, then $A^S = A^T = \{\emptyset\}$ and it is done.

Assume $T \neq \emptyset$. Fix some $t \in T$. Now, define $f : A^S \hookrightarrow A^T$ by $g \mapsto g \cup \{(x,t) \mid x \in T \setminus S\}$.

Exercise 4.1.10

Let $F: \mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ be monotone, i.e., if $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A$, then $F(X) \subseteq F(Y)$. Then, F has a least fixed point \overline{X} , that is to say $F(\overline{X}) = \overline{X}$ and $\forall X \subseteq A$, $(F(X) = X \Longrightarrow \overline{X} \subseteq X)$.

Proof. Let $T \triangleq \{X \subseteq A \mid F(X) \subseteq X\}$. Then, as $A \in T$, $T \neq \emptyset$; we may let $\overline{X} \triangleq \bigcap T$.

Then, for all $X \in T$, $\overline{X} \subseteq X$; and thus $F(\overline{X}) \subseteq F(X) \subseteq X$. We have $F(\overline{X}) \subseteq \bigcap T = \overline{X}$, i.e., $\overline{X} \in T$.

On the other hand, we have $F(F(\overline{X})) \subseteq F(\overline{X})$, or $F(\overline{X}) \in T$, and thus $\overline{X} = \bigcap T \subseteq F(\overline{X})$. Therefore, $F(\overline{X}) = \overline{X}$. Moreover, if X is a fixed point, then $X \in T$, and thus $\overline{X} = \bigcap T \subseteq X$. \square

Exercise 4.1.14

A function $F: \mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ is *continuous* if, for each sequence $\langle X_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ of subsets of A such that $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $(i \leq j \Longrightarrow X_i \subseteq X_j)$, $F(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F(X_i)$ holds.

If \overline{X} is the least fixed point of a monotone continuous function, $F: \mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathcal{P}(A)$, then $\overline{X} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$ where we define recursively $X_0 = \emptyset$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$, $X_{i+1} = F(X_i)$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{X} \triangleq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$. We have $X_0 = \emptyset \subseteq X_1$.

If $X_n \subseteq X_{n+1}$, then $X_{n+1} \subseteq X_{n+2}$ since F is monotone. Hence, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, X_n \subseteq X_{n+1}$. Therefore, similarly to Exercise 3.3.1, we have $X_m \subseteq X_n$ whenever $m \le n$. Hence, $F(\tilde{X}) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F(X_i) = \bigcup_{i = 1}^{\infty} X_i = \tilde{X}$; \tilde{X} is a fixed point of F; hence $\overline{X} \subseteq \tilde{X}$.

We have $X_0 \subseteq \overline{X}$. If $X_n \subseteq \overline{X}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $X_{n+1} \subseteq F(\overline{X}) = \overline{X}$. Hence, by The Induction Principle, $\tilde{X} \subseteq \overline{X}$.

4.2 Finite Sets

Definition 4.2.1: Finite Set and Infinite Set

A set *S* is *finite* if it is equipotent to some natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We then define |S| = n and say *S* has *n* elements. A set is *infinite* if it is not finite.

Note:-

According to Definition 4.2.1, cardinal numbers of finite sets are the natural numbers. We evidently have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, |n| = n$.

Lemma 4.2.2

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X \subsetneq n$, then there is no $f : n \hookrightarrow X$.

Proof. If n = 0, there is no $X \subseteq n$; the assertion is true.

Assume the assertion holds for n. Suppose there is some $f:(n+1) \hookrightarrow X$ where $X \subsetneq n+1$. There are two cases: $n \in X$ and $n \notin X$.

If $n \notin X$, then $X \subseteq n$, and thus $f \mid_n : n \hookrightarrow X \setminus \{f(n)\}$; however $X \setminus \{f(n)\} \subsetneq X \subseteq n$, which is a contradiction.

If $n \in X$, then n = f(k) for some $k \le n$. Define a function g on n by following:

$$g(i) = \begin{cases} f(n) & \text{if } i = k < n \\ f(i) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $g: n \hookrightarrow X \setminus \{n\}$ and $X \setminus \{n\} \subsetneq n$, which is also a contradiction.

Corollary 4.2.3

- (i) If $m \neq n$ where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there is no $f : m \hookrightarrow n$.
- (ii) If |S| = m and |S| = n, then m = n.
- (iii) \mathbb{N} is infinite.

Proof.

- (i) If $n \neq m$, by (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Totally Ordered, we have $n \subsetneq m$ or $m \subsetneq n$. In either case, we do not have such function by Lemma 4.2.2.
- (ii) By Lemma 4.1.2, we have |m| = |n|. (i) asserts that m = n; otherwise we cannot have |m| = |n|.
- (iii) By Exercise 3.2.3, there exists $f: \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow X$ where $X \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$. If there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g: n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $g^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ f \circ g$ is a function on n onto a proper subset of n. This contradicts Lemma 4.2.2.

Theorem 4.2.4

If *X* is a finite set and $Y \subseteq X$, then *Y* is finite.

Proof. We may assume $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$, where $\langle x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle$ is an injective sequence, and $Y \neq \emptyset$.

Let *g* be a function on a subset of $n \times \mathbb{N}$ into *n* defined by

$$g(a,-) = \begin{cases} \min\{j \in n \mid a < j \land x_j \in Y\} & \text{if it exists} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 [*]

By The Recursion Theorem: Partial Version, there exists a sequence k of elements in n such that

- (i) $k_0 = \min\{j \in n \mid x_j \in Y\}.$ $\triangleright Y \neq \emptyset$
- (ii) $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, [i+1 \in \text{dom } k \implies k_{i+1} = g(k_i, i) = \min\{j \in n \mid k_i < j \land x_j \in Y\}\}.$
- (iii) k is either an infinite sequence or a finite sequence of length $\ell+1$ and $(k_\ell,\ell) \notin \operatorname{dom} g$. By (ii) and [*], $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$, $(i+1 \in \operatorname{dom} k \implies k_i < k_{i+1})$. Hence, k is injective. If k were an infinite sequence, i.e., $k \colon \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow n$, then $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |n|$. Together with Exercise 4.1.3 and Cantor–Bernstein Theorem, we get $|\mathbb{N}| = |n|$, which contradicts Corollary 4.2.3 (iii). Hence, k is a finite sequence of length ℓ .

Let $y_i \triangleq x_{k_i}$ for each $i < \ell$. By (i) and (ii), the sequence y is injective and its range is a subset of Y. By the same argument of Claim 1 of Theorem 3.3.3, we have ran y = Y. Therefore, $y: \ell \hookrightarrow Y$; Y is finite.

Theorem 4.2.5

If *X* is finite and *f* is a function, then f[X] is finite. Moreover, $|f[X]| \le |X|$.

Proof. If $f[X] = \emptyset$, then it is done; assume $f[X] \neq \emptyset$. WLOG, $X \subseteq \text{dom } f$.

We may assume $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$, where $\langle x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle$ is an injective sequence. Let g be a function on a subset of Seq(n) into n defined by

$$g(\langle k_0, \cdots, k_{\ell'-1} \rangle) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell' = 0 \\ \min\{k \in n \mid k_{\ell'-1} < k \land \forall i < \ell', f(x_k) \neq f(x_{k_i})\} & \text{if it exists and } \ell' > 0 \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

[*]

Then, one may modify The Recursion Theorem: General Version to its partial version like The Recursion Theorem: Partial Version to get a sequence k of elements of n such that:

- (i) $\forall i \in \text{dom } k$, $k_i = g(k|_i)$. In particular, $k_0 = 0$.
- (ii) k is either an infinite sequence or a finite sequence of length $\ell + 1$ and $k \notin \text{dom } g$.

By (i) and [*], $\forall i, j \in \text{dom } k$, $(i \neq j \implies f(x_{k_i}) \neq f(x_{k_j}))$, i.e., the sequence $y = \langle f(x_{k_i}) | i \in \text{dom } k \rangle$ is injective and its range is a subset of f[X].

By the similar reason as in the proof of Theorem 4.2.4, k is finite and ran y = f[X]. Finally, we get $|f[X]| \le |X|$ from $x \circ y^{-1} : f[X] \hookrightarrow X$.

Lemma 4.2.6

Let *X* and *Y* be finite sets.

- (i) $X \cup Y$ is finite; moreover, $|X \cup Y| \le |X| + |Y|$.
- (ii) If *X* and *Y* are disjoint, then $|X \cup Y| = |X| + |Y|$.

Proof.

(i) Write $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$ and $Y = \{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ where $\langle x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}\rangle$ and $\langle y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\rangle$ are injective sequences.

Now, define $z:(n+m) \rightarrow X \cup Y$ by

$$z_i = x_i$$
 for $0 \le i < n$ and $z_i = y_{i-n}$ for $n \le i < n + m$.

(Here, i-n is the unique $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that i=n+k. See Exercise 3.4.3.) Hence, by Theorem 4.2.5, $X \cup Y$ is finite and $|X \cup Y| \le n+m$.

(ii) If *X* and *Y* are disjoint, then $z:(n+m) \hookrightarrow X \cup Y$. Hence, $|X \cup Y| = n+m$.

Theorem 4.2.7

If *S* is finite and if every $X \in S$ is finite, then $\bigcup S$ is finite.

Proof. If |S| = 0, then it is done.

Assume that the statement is true for all S with |S| = n. Let $S = \{X_0, \dots, X_n\}$ be a set with n + 1 elements such that each $X_i \in S$ is finite. Then, we have

$$\bigcup S = \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} X_i\right) \cup X_n$$

but $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} X_i$ is finite by induction hypothesis and thus $\bigcup S$ is finite by Lemma 4.2.6. Hence, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.

Theorem 4.2.8

If *X* is finite, then $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is finite.

Proof. If |X| = 0, then $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{\emptyset\}$, which is indeed finite.

Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is finite for all X with |X| = n. Take any Y with |Y| = n + 1. Let $Y = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$ and $X \triangleq \{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}(Y) = \mathcal{P}(X) \cup U$ where $U = \{u \subseteq Y \mid y_n \in u\}$. Moreover, $f: \mathcal{P}(X) \to U$ defined by $f(x) = x \cup \{y_n\}$ is injective and onto U. Hence, U is finite. By Lemma 4.2.6, $\mathcal{P}(Y)$ is finite. The result follows by The Induction Principle.

Theorem 4.2.9

If *X* is infinite, then |X| > n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We clearly have $0 \le |X|$.

For induction, fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $n \le |X|$, i.e., there exists $f: n \hookrightarrow X$. By Theorem 4.2.5, ran $f \subsetneq X$; we may take $x \in X \setminus \operatorname{ran} f$. Then, $g \triangleq f \cup \{(n, x)\}$ is an injective function on n+1 into X; hence $n+1 \le |X|$. Therefore, by The Induction Principle, we have $n \ge |X|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is suffices to induce the result.

Selected Problems

Exercise 4.2.1

If $S = \{X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}\}$ is a finite set of mutually disjoint sets. Then, $\left|\bigcup S\right| = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |X_i|$.

Proof. If $S = \emptyset$, then $\left| \bigcup S \right| = 0 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |X_i|$.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume the assertion holds for all S with |S| = n. Then, take any set T of mutually disjoint sets with |T| = n + 1. Write $T = \{X_0, \dots, X_n\}$ and let $S \triangleq \{X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}\}$. Then, since $\bigcup T = (\bigcup S) \cup X_n$, and since $\bigcup S$ and X_n are disjoint, $|\bigcup T| = |\bigcup S| + |X_n| = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |X_i| + |X_n| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} |X_i|$. Hence, the result follows from The Induction Principle.

Exercise 4.2.2

If *X* and *Y* are finite, then $|X \times Y| = |X| \cdot |Y|$.

Proof. We shall exploit the induction on |Y|. If |Y| = 0, then

$$|X \times Y| = 0$$
 \triangleright Exercise 2.2.8
= $|X| \cdot |Y|$. \triangleright [3]

Assume the statement holds for all X and Y with |Y| = n. Let $Z = \{z_0, \dots, z_n\}$ be a set with |Z| = n + 1. Let $Y \triangleq \{z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}\}$. Then, for all $X, X \times Z = (X \times Y) \cup (X \times \{z_n\})$. Note that $X \times \{z_n\}$ can be identified with X via $f: X \hookrightarrow X \times \{z_n\}$ defined by $x \mapsto (x, z_n)$. Hence, if X is finite,

$$|X \times Z| = |X \times Y| + |X \times \{z_n\}| \qquad \triangleright \text{ Lemma 4.2.6}$$

$$= |X \times Y| + |X| \qquad \triangleright |X \times \{z_n\}| = |X|$$

$$= |X| \cdot |Y| + |X| \qquad \triangleright P(n)$$

$$= |X| \cdot (|Y| + 1) \qquad \triangleright [4]$$

$$= |X| \cdot |Z|.$$

Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.

Exercise 4.2.3

If *X* is finite, $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = 2^{|X|}$.

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $\forall X$, ($|X| = x \implies |\mathcal{P}(X)| = 2^{|X|}$)." P(0) holds since $|\mathcal{P}(\emptyset)| = |\{\emptyset\}| = 1 = 2^0$.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $\mathbf{P}(n)$. Let $Y = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$ be a set with |Y| = n + 1. Let $X \triangleq \{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.2.8, $\mathcal{P}(Y) = \mathcal{P}(X) \cup U$ where $U = \{u \subseteq X \in \mathcal{P}(X) \cup U \}$

 $Y \mid y_n \in u \}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}(X) \cap U = \emptyset$ and $f : \mathcal{P}(X) \hookrightarrow U$ defined by $x \mapsto x \cup \{y_n\}$ asserts $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = |U|$. Therefore,

$$|\mathcal{P}(Y)| = |\mathcal{P}(X)| + |U| \qquad \triangleright \text{ Lemma 4.2.6}$$

$$= 2^{n} + 2^{n} \qquad \triangleright |\mathcal{P}(X)| = |U|, \mathbf{P}(n)$$

$$= 2^{n} \cdot 1 + 2^{n} \cdot 1 \qquad \triangleright \text{ Lemma 3.4.11}$$

$$= 2^{n} \cdot 2 \qquad \triangleright \text{ Distributes Over +}$$

$$= 2^{n+1}. \qquad \triangleright [6]$$

Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.

Exercise 4.2.4

If *X* and *Y* are finite, then X^Y is finite and $|X^Y| = |X|^{|Y|}$.

Proof. Let P(x) be the property "if X is finite and |Y| = x, then $|X^Y| = |X|^x$." P(0) holds since $|X^{\emptyset}| = |\{\emptyset\}| = 1 = |X|^0$ for all X.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $\mathbf{P}(n)$. Let $Y = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$ be a set with |Y| = n + 1. Let $Z \triangleq \{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$. Take any finite set X.

We have $|X^Y| = |X^Z \times X|$ since we may define $f: X^Y \hookrightarrow X^Z \times X$ by $g \mapsto (g|_Z, g(y_n))$. Hence,

$$|X^{Y}| = |X^{Z} \times X|$$

$$= |X^{Z}| \cdot |X| \qquad \triangleright \text{ Exercise 4.2.1}$$

$$= |X|^{n} \cdot |X| \qquad \triangleright \mathbf{P}(n)$$

$$= |X|^{n+1}. \qquad \triangleright [6]$$

The result follows by The Induction Principle.

Exercise 4.2.6

X is finite if and only if every $\emptyset \subsetneq U \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ has a \subseteq -maximal element.

Proof.

(⇒) Let |X| = n and $\emptyset \subsetneq U \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$. Since $|Y| \leq n$ for all $Y \in U$, by Theorem 3.2.8, we may let $m \triangleq \max\{|Y| \mid Y \in U\}$.

There exists $Y \in U$ with |Y| = m. Then, for each $Y' \in U$ such that $Y \subseteq Y'$, we have $m \le |Y'|$ by Exercise 4.1.3 and $|Y'| \le m$ by definition of m; thus |Y'| = |Y| = m by Cantor–Bernstein Theorem, which implies we may not have $Y \subsetneq Y'$ by Lemma 4.2.2. Hence, Y is a maximal element of U.

(⇐) Assume *X* is infinite. Let $U = \{ Y \subseteq X \mid Y \text{ is finite} \}$. (Note $\emptyset \in U$, hence $U \neq \emptyset$.) Take any $Y \in U$. Since $Y \subsetneq X$, we may take $x \in X \setminus Y$. Then, $Y \subsetneq Y \cup \{x\}$ and $Y \cup \{x\} \in U$ by Lemma 4.2.6. Hence, there is no maximal element of U.

4.3 Countably Infinite sets

Definition 4.3.1: Countably Infinite Set

- A set *S* is countably infinite if $|S| = |\mathbb{N}|$.
- A set *S* is *countable* if $|S| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$.
- $|\mathbb{N}| = \mathbb{N}$, i.e., the cardinality of countably infinite sets is \mathbb{N} .

Note:-

In the book, the author uses the term 'countable' and 'at most countable' for $|S| = |\mathbb{N}|$ and $|S| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$, respectively.

Notation 4.3.2: Cardinality of Countably Infinite Sets

We use the symbol \aleph_0 (read *aleph-naught*) to denote the cardinality of countably infinite sets, i.e., $\aleph_0 = \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 4.3.3

A subset of a countably infinite set is countable.

Proof. Assume *A* is countably infinite and $B \subseteq A$ is infinite. Let $\langle a_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an injective sequence whose range is *A*.

Let $g: Seq(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbb{N}$ be defined by

$$g(k) \triangleq \min \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_i \in B \setminus \{ a_{k_i} \mid j \in \text{dom } k \} \right\}.$$

Note that g is well-defined since B is infinite. Then, by The Recursion Theorem: General Version, there exists a sequence $\langle k_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_n = g(k|_n)$. By construction, $\langle k_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is injective, and thus $\langle a_{k_i} \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an injective sequence whose range is B by the same argument of Claim 1 of Theorem 3.3.3.

Corollary 4.3.4

A set is countable if and only if it is either finite or countably infinite.

Proof.

(⇒) Let *S* be countable. Let $f: S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Then, $|S| = |\operatorname{ran} f|$ and $\operatorname{ran} f$ is a subset of \mathbb{N} . Hence, by Theorem 4.3.3, *S* is countably infinite if it is not finite.

 (\Leftarrow) Theorem 4.2.9

Theorem 4.3.5

If *X* is countably infinite and *f* is a function, then f[X] is countable.

Proof. If $f[X] = \emptyset$, then it is done; assume $f[X] \neq \emptyset$. WLOG, $X \subseteq \text{dom } f$. Let $\langle x_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an injective sequence whose range is X. Let $g: f[X] \to \mathbb{N}$ be defined by

$$g(y) \triangleq \min\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid y = f(x_i)\}.$$

g is injective, and thus $|f[X]| \leq \aleph_0$.

Theorem 4.3.6

- (i) If *A* and *B* are countably infinite, then $A \times B$ is countably infinite.
- (ii) If *A* is countably infinite and $B \neq \emptyset$ is finite, then $A \times B$ is countably infinite.
- (iii) If *A* and *B* are countable, then $A \times B$ is countable.

Proof.

(i) The function $f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ defined by $f(x,y) = 2^x \cdot 3^y$ is injective by elementary number theory. Also, we have an injection $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ defined by g(x) = (x,0). Hence, by Cantor–Bernstein Theorem, we have $|\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}| = \aleph_0$.

(ii) Let |B| = n. Then, we have

$$|A \times B| = |\mathbb{N} \times n|$$

 $\leq |\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}| \Rightarrow \text{Exercise 4.1.3}$
 $= \aleph_0. \Rightarrow \text{Theorem 4.3.6}$

Let $b \in B$. Then, we have

$$\aleph_0 = |A|$$

$$= |A \times \{b\}| \qquad \triangleright a \mapsto (a, b)$$

$$\leq |A \times B|. \qquad \triangleright \text{Exercise 4.1.3}$$

Hence, by Cantor–Bernstein Theorem, $|A \times B| = \aleph_0$.

(iii) If one of them is empty, then $A \times B = \emptyset$. If A and B are finite, then $A \times B$ is finite by Exercise 4.2.2. If any of them is countably infinite, and if both are nonempty, then $A \times B$ is countably infinite by (i) and (ii).

Corollary 4.3.7

Let $\langle A_i \mid i \in n \rangle$ be a system of countably infinite sets where n > 0. Then, $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i$ is countably infinite.

Proof. Let P(x) be the property " $\prod_{i=0}^{x-1} A_i$ is countably infinite for each system $\langle A_i \mid i \in x \rangle$ of countably infinite sets. P(1) evidently holds.

Fix n > 0 and assume $\mathbf{P}(n)$. Now, take any system $\langle A_i \mid i \in n+1 \rangle$ of countably infinite sets. Then, since we have a natural mapping $f: \prod_{i=0}^n A_i \hookrightarrow \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i\right) \times A_n$ defined by $\langle a_0, \cdots, a_n \rangle \mapsto (\langle a_0, \cdots, a_{n-1} \rangle, a_n)$, we get

$$\left| \prod_{i=0}^{n} A_i \right| = \left| \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i \right) \times A_n \right|$$

$$= \left| \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \right| \qquad \triangleright \mathbf{P}(n)$$

$$= \aleph_0. \qquad \triangleright \text{Theorem 4.3.6}$$

Hence, we have P(n+1).

Therefore, by Exercise 3.2.11, the result follows.

Theorem 4.3.8

Let $\langle a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ countably infinite system of infinite sequences. Then, $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{ran} a_n$ is countable.

Proof. Define $f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{ran} a_n$ by $f(n, k) = a_n(k)$. The result follows by Theorem 4.3.5 and Theorem 4.3.6.

♦ Note:- 🖣

Note that we cannot yet prove the proposition "the union of countably infinite system of countable sets is countable" since, if $\langle A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ is the system, we do not have enough tools to show the existence of $\langle a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ such that ran $a_n = A_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 4.3.9

If *A* is countably infinite, then Seq(*A*) is countably infinite.

Proof. It is enough to show Seq(\mathbb{N}) = $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{N}^n$ is countably infinite. Fix any $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Define $\langle a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ recursively by

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a_0(i) = \langle \rangle$$

$$\forall n, i \in \mathbb{N}, a_{n+1}(i) = \langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}, i_2 \rangle$$
where $g(i) = (i_1, i_2)$ and $a_n(i_1) = \langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$.

The existence is justified by The Recursion Theorem. Then, with The Induction Principle, it is easy to prove that ran $a_n = \mathbb{N}^n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by Theorem 4.3.8, $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{N}^n$ is countably infinite.

Corollary 4.3.10

The set of all finite subsets of a countably infinite set is countably infinite.

Proof. Let *A* be countably infinite. Let $f: Seq(A) \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ by $f(\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle) = \{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$. Then, ran f is countable by Theorem 4.3.5 and Theorem 4.3.9. ran f is countably infinite since we have an injection $a \mapsto \{a\}$.

Theorem 4.3.11

An equivalence on a countably infinite set has at most countably many equivalence classes.

Proof. Let *E* be an equivalence on a countably infinite set *A*. Let $f: A \rightarrow A/E$ be defined by $a \mapsto [a]_E$. Hence, by Theorem 4.3.5, A/E is countable.

Theorem 4.3.12

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \cdots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \cdots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$ be a structure. If $C \subseteq A$ is countable, then \overline{C} is also countable.

Proof. Theorem 3.5.15 says that $\overline{C} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i$ where $C_0 = C$ and $C_{i+1} = C_i \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} F_j[C_i^{f_j}]$. Let $c : \mathbb{N} \twoheadrightarrow C$. Let $g : \mathbb{N} \twoheadrightarrow (n+1) \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{f_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{N}^{f_{n-1}}$. Now, define $\langle a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ recursively by

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \qquad a_0(k) \triangleq c(k)$$

$$\forall i, k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a_{i+1}(k) \triangleq \begin{cases} F_p(a_i(r_p^0), \cdots, a_i(r_p^{f_{p-1}})) & \text{if } 0 \leq p < n \\ a_i(q) & \text{if } p = n \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } g(k) = \langle p, q, \langle r_0^0, \cdots, r_0^{f_0-1} \rangle, \cdots, \langle r_{n-1}^0, \cdots, r_{n-1}^{f^{n-1}-1} \rangle \rangle.$$

It is apparent by The Induction Principle that $\operatorname{ran} a_i = C_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by Theorem 4.3.8, \overline{C} is countable.

Selected Problems

Exercise 4.3.1

Let $|A_1| = |A_2|$ and $|B_1| = |B_2|$.

- (i) If $A_1 \cap B_1 = \emptyset$ and $A_2 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$, then $|A_1 \cup B_1| = |A_2 \cup B_2|$.
- (ii) $|A_1 \times B_1| = |A_2 \times B_2|$
- (iii) $|Seq(A_1)| = |Seq(A_2)|$

Proof.

- (i) Let $f_A: A_1 \hookrightarrow A_2$ and $f_B: B_1 \hookrightarrow B_2$. Then, $f_A \cup f_B: A_1 \cup B_1 \hookrightarrow A_2 \cup B_2$.
- (ii) Let $f_A: A_1 \hookrightarrow A_2$ and $f_B: B_1 \hookrightarrow B_2$. We may define $g: A_1 \times B_1 \hookrightarrow A_2 \times B_2$ by $(a, b) \mapsto (f_A(a), f_B(b))$.
- (iii) Let $f: A_1 \hookrightarrow A_2$. We may define $g: Seq(A_1) \hookrightarrow Seq(A_2)$ by

$$\langle a_0, \cdots, a_{n-1} \rangle \mapsto \langle f(a_0), \cdots, f(a_{n-1}) \rangle.$$

Exercise 4.3.2

If *A* is finite and *B* is countably infinite, then $A \cup B$ is countably infinite.

Proof. Let $f_A: A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $f_B: B \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Then, we may define $g: A \cup B \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} (f_A(x), 0) & \text{if } x \in A \\ (f_B(x), 1) & \text{if } x \in B \setminus A \end{cases}$$

Hence, $|A \cup B| \le \aleph_0$ by Theorem 4.3.6. Moreover, $\aleph_0 = |B| \le |A \cup B|$ by Exercise 4.1.3. The result follows from Cantor–Bernstein Theorem.

Exercise 4.3.4

If A is finite and nonempty, then Seq(A) is countably infinite.

Proof. Let $B \triangleq A \cup \mathbb{N}$. Then, by Exercise 4.3.2, B is countably infinite and Seq(B) is countably infinite by Theorem 4.3.9. Hence, as Seq(A) ⊆ Seq(B), $|Seq(A)| \leq \aleph_0$.

Fix any $a \in A$. Let s be the infinite sequence with $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_i = a$. Then, we have $f: \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Seq}(A)$ defined by $f(n) = s |_n$; thus $\aleph_0 \leq |\operatorname{Seq}(A)|$. The result follows from Cantor–Bernstein Theorem.

Exercise 4.3.5

Let *A* be countably infinite. The set $[A]^n = \{ S \subseteq A \mid |S| = n \}$ is countably infinite for all n > 0.

Proof. It is enough to show that $[\mathbb{N}]^n$ is countably infinite for all n > 0. Evidently, $i \mapsto \{i\}$ is an injective mapping on \mathbb{N} onto $[\mathbb{N}]^1$. Hence, $|[\mathbb{N}]^1| = \aleph_0$.

For the sake of induction, fix n > 0 and assume $|[\mathbb{N}]^n| = \aleph_0$. We may define $f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \hookrightarrow [\mathbb{N}]^{n+1}$ by

$$f(x) \triangleq x \cup \big\{ \max\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \in x\} + 1 \big\}.$$

Hence, $\aleph_0 \leq |[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1}|$.

Now, since $|[\mathbb{N}]^n| = |\mathbb{N}^n| = \aleph_0$ by Corollary 4.3.7, there exists an injection $g : [\mathbb{N}]^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^n$. We define $h : [\mathbb{N}]^{n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ by

$$h(x) \triangleq g(x \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{(n, i)\}$$

where $i = \max x$.

Hence, $|[\mathbb{N}]^{n+1}| \leq |\mathbb{N}^{n+1}| \leq \aleph_0$. Exercise 3.2.11 assures that $\forall n > 0$, $|[\mathbb{N}]^n| = \aleph_0$.

Exercise 4.3.10

Let (S, \preceq) be a linearly ordered set and let $\langle A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ be an infinite sequence of finite subsets of S. Then, $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$ is countable.

Proof. WLOG, $A_n \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 1. For each finite $A \subseteq S$, there uniquely exists a unique isomorphism between $(|A|, \leq |A|)$ and $(A, \leq A)$.

Proof. We have existence for each A by ??. Hence, we only prove the uniqueness by induction. If |A| = 0, we have only one isomorphism \emptyset .

Fix some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume the proposition holds for all A with cardinality n. Take any $A \subseteq S$ with |A| = n + 1. Let f and g be two isomorphisms between $\left(n + 1, \leq \big|_{(n+1)^2}\right)$ and $\left(A, \preceq \big|_{A^2}\right)$. Then, f(n) = g(n) since the greatest element is unique. Let $B = A \setminus \{f(n)\}$. Then, $f \mid_n$ and $g \mid_n$ are isomorphisms between $\left(n, \leq \big|_{n^2}\right)$ and $\left(B, \preceq \big|_{B^2}\right)$. Hence, $f \mid_n = g \mid_n$, and thus f = g. The result follows from The Induction Principle.

Claim 1 enables us to guarantee the existence of infinite sequence $\langle a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

- (i) $a_n|_{|A_n|}$ is the isomorphism between $(|A_n|, \leq |_{|A_n|^2})$ and $(A_n, \leq |_{A_n^2})$.
- (ii) $\forall k \ge |A_n|, \ a_n(k) = a_n(0).$

Hence, $\operatorname{ran} a_n = A_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$ is countable by Theorem 4.3.8.

Exercise 4.3.11

Any partition of a countable set has a set of representatives.

Proof. Let A be countable and S be a partition of A. There exists $f: A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$X \triangleq \{ f^{-1}(\min f[C]) \mid C \in S \}$$

is a set of representatives.

Chapter 5 Cardinal Numbers

Chapter 6 Ordinal Numbers

Chapter 7 Alephs

Chapter 8 Axiom of Choice