

Summary for Introduction to Set Theory

SEUNGWOO HAN

Hrbacek, Karel, and Thomas J. Jech. *Introduction to Set Theory, Revised and Expanded*. 3rd ed., CRC Press, 1999.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER	SETS	PAGE 2
	1.1 Introduction to Sets	2
	1.2 Properties	2
	1.3 Axioms	2
	1.4 Elementary Operations on Sets	5
CHAPTER	RELATIONS, FUNCTION, AND ORDERING	PAGE 8
	2.1 Ordered Pairs	8
	2.2 Relations	8
	2.3 Functions	12
	2.4 Equivalences and Partitions	17
	2.5 Orderings	19
CHAPTER	NATURAL NUMBERS	PAGE 26
	3.1 Introduction to Natural Numbers	26
	3.2 Properties of Natural Numbers	27
	3.3 The Recursion Theorem	31
	3.4 Arithmetic of Natural Numbers	36
	3.5 Operations and Structures	40

Chapter 1

Sets

1.1 Introduction to Sets

Definition 1.1.1: Set

Every object in the universe of discourse is called a *set*.

1.2 Properties

Definition 1.2.1: Property

Any mathematical sentence^a is called a *property*. If X, Y, \dots, Z are free variables of a property Q , we write $Q(X, Y, \dots, Z)$ and say $Q(X, Y, \dots, Z)$ is a property of X, Y, \dots, Z .

^aRefer to mathematical logic textbook for detailed discussion.

1.3 Axioms

Axiom I The Axiom of Existence

There exists a set which has no elements.

$$\exists A \forall x \neg(x \in A)$$

Note:-

The Axiom of Existence guarantees that the universe of discourse is not void.

Axiom II The Axiom of Extensionality

If every element of X is an element of Y and every element of Y is an element of X , then $X = Y$.

$$\forall X \forall Y [\forall x (x \in X \iff x \in Y) \implies X = Y]$$

Note:-

The Axiom of Extensionality defines the equality relation with the containment relation(\in).

Lemma 1.3.1

There exists only one set with no elements.

Proof. Let A and B are sets such that $\forall x \neg(x \in A)$ and $\forall x \neg(x \in B)$. Then, we have $\forall x (x \in A \iff x \in B)$. Therefore, by The Axiom of Extensionality, $A = B$ is guaranteed. \square

Definition 1.3.2: Empty Set

The unique set with no elements is called the *empty set* and is denoted \emptyset .

Note:-

Definition 1.3.2 is justified by Lemma 1.3.1.

Axiom III The Axiom Schema of Comprehension

Let $P(x)$ be a property of x . For any set A , there exists a set B such that $x \in B$ if and only if $x \in A$ and $P(x)$.

$$\forall A \exists B (x \in B \iff x \in A \wedge P(x))$$

Note:-

Axiom III is a *axiom schema* since it provides unlimited amount of axioms for varying P .

Lemma 1.3.3

Let $P(x)$ be a property of x . For any set A , there uniquely exists a set B such that $x \in B$ if and only if $x \in A$ and $P(x)$.

Proof. Let B' be another set such that $x \in B'$ if and only if $x \in A$ and $P(x)$. Then, for any x , we have $x \in B' \iff x \in A \wedge P(x) \iff x \in B$. Hence, by The Axiom of Extensionality, we have $B = B'$. \square

Notation 1.3.4: Set-Builder Notation

Let $P(x)$ be a property of x . Let A be a set. The unique set B such that $x \in B$ if and only if $x \in A$ and $P(x)$ is denoted $\{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$.

Note:-

Notation 1.3.4 is justified by Lemma 1.3.3.

Axiom IV The Axiom of Pair

For any A and B , there exists C such that $x \in C$ if and only if $x = A$ or $x = B$.

$$\forall A \forall B \exists C (x \in C \iff x = A \vee x = B)$$

Note:-

Similarly, the set C such that $x \in C \iff x = A \vee x = B$ is unique by The Axiom of Extensionality.

Notation 1.3.5

Let A and B be sets. The unique set C such that $x \in C$ if and only if $x = A$ or $x = B$ is denoted $\{A, B\}$. In particular, if $A = B$, we write $\{A\}$ instead of $\{A, A\}$.

Axiom V The Axiom of Union

For any S , there exists U such that $x \in U$ if and only if $x \in A$ for some $A \in S$.

$$\forall S \exists U (x \in U \iff \exists A x \in A \wedge A \in S)$$

Definition 1.3.6: The Union of System of Sets

Let S be a set. The unique set U such that $x \in U$ if and only if $x \in A$ for some $A \in S$ is denoted $\bigcup S$.

Definition 1.3.7: The Union of Two Sets

Let A and B be sets. Then, $A \cup B$ denotes the unique set $\bigcup \{A, B\}$.

Definition 1.3.8: Subset

Let A and B sets. B is said to be a *subset* of A if $\forall x (x \in B \implies x \in A)$. If B is a subset of A , then we write $B \subseteq A$.

Axiom VI The Axiom of Power Set

For any S , there exists P such that $X \in P$ if and only if $X \subseteq S$.

Note:-

Similarly, the set P is unique by The Axiom of Extensionality.

Definition 1.3.9: Power Set

Let S be a set. The unique set P such that $X \in P$ if and only if $X \subseteq S$ is called the *power set* of S and is denoted $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Lemma 1.3.10

Let $P(x)$ be a property of x . Let A and A' be sets such that $P(x) \implies x \in A \wedge x \in A'$. Then, $\{x \in A \mid P(x)\} = \{x \in A' \mid P(x)\}$.

Proof. For all x , we have $x \in A \wedge P(x) \iff P(x) \iff x \in A' \wedge P(x)$. Therefore, by The Axiom of Extensionality, the result follows. \square

Notation 1.3.11

Let $P(x)$ be a property of x . If there exists a set A such that $P(x)$ implies $x \in A$, we write $\{x \mid P(x)\} \triangleq \{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$, and it is called *the set of all x with the property $P(x)$* .

Note:-

Notation 1.3.11 is justified by Lemma 1.3.10.

Selected Problems

Exercise 1.3.1

The set of all x such that $x \in A$ and $x \notin B$ exists.

Proof. We have $x \in A \wedge x \notin B \implies x \in A$. Hence, the set exists and is equal to $\{x \in A \mid x \in A \wedge x \notin B\}$. \square

Exercise 1.3.2

Prove The Axiom of Existence only from The Axiom Schema of Comprehension and The Weak Axiom of Existence.

Weak Axiom of Existence Some set exists.

Proof. Let A be a set known to exist. Then, there exists $B = \{x \in A \mid x \neq x\}$ by The Axiom Schema of Comprehension. Since $\forall x (x = x)$, $\forall x (x \notin B)$. \square

Exercise 1.3.3

- (a) Prove that a set of all sets ($\{x \mid \top\}$) does not exist.
- (b) Prove that $\forall A \exists x (x \notin A)$.

Proof.

- (a) Suppose $V = \{x \mid \top\}$ exists. Then, by The Axiom Schema of Comprehension, $R = \{x \in V \mid x \notin x\}$ exists. However, we have $R \in R \iff R \notin R$ by definition of R . Hence, V does not exist.
- (b) Suppose $\exists A \forall x (x \in A)$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, A is the set of all sets, which is impossible by (a). \square

Exercise 1.3.6

Prove $\forall X \neg(\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq X)$.

Proof. Let $Y = \{u \in X \mid u \notin u\}$. Then, by definition, $Y \subseteq X$, and thus $Y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Now, suppose $Y \in X$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, $Y \in Y \iff Y \in X \wedge Y \notin Y \iff Y \notin Y$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $Y \notin X$. \square

1.4 Elementary Operations on Sets

Definition 1.4.1: Proper Subset

Let A and B sets. B is said to be a *proper subset* of A if $B \subseteq A$ and $B \neq A$. If B is a proper subset of A , we write $B \subsetneq A$.

Definition 1.4.2: Elementary Operations on Sets

- (i) Intersection
 - The *intersection* of A and B , $A \cap B$, is the set $\{x \mid x \in A \wedge x \in B\}$.
- (ii) Union
 - The *union* of A and B , $A \cup B$, is the set $\{x \mid x \in A \vee x \in B\}$.
- (iii) Difference
 - The *difference* of A and B , $A \setminus B$, is the set $\{x \mid x \in A \wedge x \notin B\}$.
- (iv) Symmetric Difference
 - The *symmetric difference* of A and B , $A \Delta B$, is the set $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$.

Lemma 1.4.3 Simple Properties of Elementary Operations

- (i) Commutativity
 - $A \cap B = B \cap A$
 - $A \cup B = B \cup A$
 - $A \Delta B = B \Delta A$
- (ii) Associativity
 - $(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C)$
 - $(A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)$
 - $(A \Delta B) \Delta C = A \Delta (B \Delta C)$
- (iii) Distributivity
 - $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$
 - $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$
- (iv) De Morgan's Laws
 - $C \setminus (A \cap B) = (C \setminus A) \cup (C \setminus B)$
 - $C \setminus (A \cup B) = (C \setminus A) \cap (C \setminus B)$
- (v) Miscellaneous
 - $A \cap (B \setminus C) = (A \cap B) \setminus C$
 - $A \setminus B = \emptyset \iff A \subseteq B$
 - $A \Delta B = \emptyset \iff A = B$

Definition 1.4.4: Intersection of System of Sets

Let S be a nonempty set. Then, the *intersection* $\bigcap S$ is the set $\{x \mid \forall A \in S (x \in A)\}$.

Note:-

Note that $\bigcap S$ exists for all nonempty S since $\forall A \in S (x \in A) \implies x \in A_1$ where A_1 is any set such that $A_1 \in S$.

Definition 1.4.5: System of Mutually Disjoint Sets

We say the sets A and B are *disjoint* if $A \cap B = \emptyset$. A set S is a *system of mutually disjoint sets* if $\forall A, B \in S, (A \neq B \implies A \cap B = \emptyset)$.

Selected Problems

Exercise 1.4.2

- (i) $A \setminus B = (A \cup B) \setminus B = A \setminus (A \cap B)$
- (ii) $A \setminus (B \setminus C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap C)$
- (iii) $A \cap B = A \setminus (A \setminus B)$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad x \in A \wedge x \notin B &\iff x \in A \wedge x \notin B \vee x \in B \wedge x \notin B &> \vee\text{-intro} / \vee\text{-syllogism} \\ &\iff (x \in A \vee x \in B) \wedge x \notin B &> \text{Distribution} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A \wedge x \notin B &\iff x \in A \wedge x \notin A \vee x \in A \wedge x \notin B &> \vee\text{-intro} / \vee\text{-syllogism} \\ &\iff x \in A \wedge (x \notin A \vee x \notin B) &> \text{Distribution} \\ &\iff x \in A \wedge \neg(x \in A \wedge x \in B) &> \text{De Morgan's Law} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad x \in A \wedge \neg(x \in B \wedge x \notin C) &\iff x \in A \wedge (x \notin B \vee x \in C) &> \text{De Morgan's Law} \\ &\iff (x \in A \wedge x \notin B) \vee (x \in A \wedge x \in C) &> \text{Distribution} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(iii)} \quad \text{By (ii), } A \setminus (A \setminus B) = (A \setminus A) \cup (A \cap B) = A \cap B. \quad \square$$

Exercise 1.4.4

For any set A , prove that a “complement” of A ($\{x \mid x \notin A\}$) does not exist.

Proof. Let B be the complement of A for the sake of contradiction. Then, $A \cup B$ is the set of all sets, which is impossible by Exercise 1.3.3. \square

Chapter 2

Relations, Function, and Ordering

2.1 Ordered Pairs

Definition 2.1.1: Ordered Pair

$$(a, b) \triangleq \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$$

Theorem 2.1.2

$$(a, b) = (a', b') \iff a = a' \wedge b = b'$$

Proof. (\Leftarrow) is direct.

(\Rightarrow) If $a = b$, we have $\{\{a\}\} = \{\{a'\}, \{a', b'\}\}$, and thus $\{a\} = \{a'\} = \{a', b'\}$, leaving the only option $a = a' = b'$.

If $a \neq b$, we must have $a' \neq b'$ by the argument above. Hence, we have $\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} = \{\{a'\}, \{a', b'\}\}$, which implies $\{a\} = \{a'\}$ and $\{a, b\} = \{a', b'\}$. \square

Definition 2.1.3: Ordered Triples and Quadruples

- $(a, b, c) = ((a, b), c)$
- $(a, b, c, d) = ((a, b, c), d)$

Selected Problems

Exercise 2.1.1

If $a, b \in A$, then $(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$.

Proof. If $a, b \in A$, then $\{a\}, \{a, b\} \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, and thus $(a, b) = \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$. \square

2.2 Relations

Definition 2.2.1: Binary Relation

A set R is a *binary relation* if all elements of R are ordered pairs.

$$R \text{ is a binary relation} \iff (a \in R \implies \exists x, \exists y, a = (x, y))$$

Notation 2.2.2

If $(x, y) \in R$, we write xRy and say x is in relation R with y .

Definition 2.2.3: Domain, Range, and Field of Binary Relation

Let R be a binary relation.

- $\text{dom}R \triangleq \{x \mid \exists y \, xRy\}$ is called the *domain* of R .
- $\text{ran}R \triangleq \{y \mid \exists x \, xRy\}$ is called the *range* of R .
- $\text{field}R \triangleq \text{dom}R \cup \text{ran}R$ is called the *field* of R .
- If $\text{field}R \subseteq X$, we say that R is a *relation in* X or that R is a relation *between* elements of X .

Lemma 2.2.4

Let R be a binary relation. Then, $\text{dom}R$ and $\text{ran}R$ exist.

Proof. By Exercise 2.2.1, if xRy , then $x, y \in A \triangleq \bigcup(\bigcup R)$. Hence, $\text{dom}R$ and $\text{ran}R$ exist. \square

Definition 2.2.5: Image and Inverse Image

Let R be a binary relation and A be a set.

- $R[A] \triangleq \{y \in \text{ran}R \mid \exists x \in A, xRy\}$ is called the *image* of A under R .
- $R^{-1}[A] \triangleq \{x \in \text{dom}R \mid \exists y \in A, xRy\}$ is called the *inverse image* of A under R .

Notation 2.2.6

We write $\{(x, y) \mid P(x, y)\}$ instead of $\{w \mid \exists x, \exists y, w = (x, y) \wedge P(x, y)\}$.

Definition 2.2.7: Inverse Relation

Let R be a binary relation. The *inverse* of R is the set

$$R^{-1} \triangleq \{(x, y) \mid yRx\}.$$

Definition 2.2.8: Composition

Let R and S be binary relations. The relation

$$S \circ R \triangleq \{(x, z) \mid \exists y, xRy \wedge ySz\}$$

is called the *composition* of R and S .

Definition 2.2.9: Membership Relation and Identity Relation

Let A be a set.

- The *membership relation on A* is defined by

$$\in_A \triangleq \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in A \wedge a \in b\}.$$

- The *identity relation on A* is defined by

$$\text{Id}_A \triangleq \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}.$$

Definition 2.2.10: Cartesian Product

Let A and B be sets. The set $A \times B \triangleq \{(a, b) \mid a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ is called the *Cartesian product* of A and B .

Lemma 2.2.11

Let A and B be sets. $A \times B$ exists.

Proof. If $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, by Exercise 2.1.1, we have $(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A \cup B))$. □

Corollary 2.2.12

Let R and S be binary relations and A be a set. Then, R^{-1} , $S \circ R$, \in_A , and Id_A exist.

Proof.

- If yRx , then $(x, y) \in (\text{ran } R) \times (\text{dom } R)$.
- If $(x, z) \in S \circ R$, then $(x, z) \in (\text{dom } R) \times (\text{ran } S)$.
- If $a, b \in A$, then $(a, b) \in A \times A$.
- If $a \in A$, then $(a, a) \in A \times A$. □

Lemma 2.2.13

Let R be a binary relation. The inverse image of A under R is equal to the image of A under R^{-1} .

Proof. Note that $\text{dom } R = \{x \mid \exists y \, xRy\} = \{x \mid \exists y \, yR^{-1}x\} = \text{ran } R^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & x \in (\text{the inverse image of } A \text{ under } R) \\ \iff & x \in \text{dom } R \wedge \exists y \in A, \, xRy \\ \iff & x \in \text{ran } R^{-1} \wedge \exists y \in A, \, yR^{-1}x \\ \iff & x \in (\text{the image of } A \text{ under } R^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$
□

Note:-

Lemma 2.2.13 resolves the possible ambiguity on the expression $R^{-1}[A]$.

Notation 2.2.14

We write A^2 instead of $A \times A$.

Selected Problems

Exercise 2.2.1

Let R be a binary relation. Let $A = \bigcup (\bigcup R)$. Prove that $(x, y) \in R$ implies $x \in A$ and $y \in A$.

Proof. If $(x, y) = \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\} \in R$, Then $\{x, y\} \in \bigcup R$, and thus $x, y \in A$. \square

Exercise 2.2.3

Let R be a binary relation and A and B be sets. Prove:

- (i) $R[A \cup B] = R[A] \cup R[B]$.
- (ii) $R[A \cap B] \subseteq R[A] \cap R[B]$.
- (iii) $R[A \setminus B] \supseteq R[A] \setminus R[B]$.
- (iv) Show by an example that \subseteq and \supseteq in parts (ii) and (iii) cannot be replaced by $=$.
- (v) $R^{-1}[R[A]] \supseteq A \cap \text{dom } R$ and $R[R^{-1}[B]] \supseteq B \cap \text{ran } R$. Give examples where equality does not hold.

Proof.

- (i) $y \in R[A \cup B] \iff \exists x, x \in A \cup B \wedge xRy$
 $\iff \exists x, (x \in A \wedge xRy) \vee (x \in B \wedge xRy)$
 $\iff y \in R[A] \vee y \in R[B] \iff y \in R[A] \cup R[B]$
- (ii) Take any $y \in R[A \cap B]$. Then, there exists $x \in A \cap B$ such that xRy . Hence, $y \in R[A]$ and $y \in R[B]$.
- (iii) Take any $y \in R[A] \setminus R[B]$. Then, there exists $x \in A$ such that xRy . If $x \in B$, it implies that $y \in R[B]$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $x \in A \setminus B$. Therefore, $y \in R[A \setminus B]$.
- (iv) Let a, b , and c be mutually different sets. Let $R = \{(a, a), (b, a), (c, c)\}$. Let $A = \{a, c\}$ and $B = \{b, c\}$. Then, $R[A \cap B] = \{c\} \subsetneq R[A] \cap R[B] = \{a, c\}$, and $R[A] \setminus R[B] = \emptyset \subsetneq R[A \setminus B] = \{a\}$.
- (v) Take any $a \in A \cap \text{dom } R$. Then, there exists b such that aRb . Moreover, $b \in R[A]$. Since $bR^{-1}a$, we conclude that $a \in R^{-1}[R[A]]$.
 Take any $b \in B \cap \text{ran } R$. Then, there exists a such that aRb . Moreover, $a \in R^{-1}[B]$. Hence, $b \in R[R^{-1}[B]]$.

Exercise 2.2.4

Let $R \subseteq X \times Y$. Prove:

- (i) $R[X] = \text{ran } R$ and $R^{-1}[Y] = \text{dom } R$.
- (ii) $\text{dom } R = \text{ran } R^{-1}$ and $\text{ran } R = \text{dom } R^{-1}$.
- (iii) $(R^{-1})^{-1} = R$.
- (iv) $R^{-1} \circ R \supseteq \text{Id}_{\text{dom } R}$ and $R \circ R^{-1} \supseteq \text{Id}_{\text{ran } R}$

Proof.

- (i) We already have $R[X] \subseteq \text{ran } R$ by definition. Take any $y \in \text{ran } R$. There exists x such that $(x, y) \in R$. Since $R \subseteq X \times Y$, $x \in X$. Therefore, $y \in R[X]$; $\text{ran } R \subseteq R[X]$. A similar argument goes for $R^{-1}[Y]$.
- (ii) See the proof of Lemma 2.2.13.
- (iii) For any relation R and for all x and y , we have $xRy \iff yR^{-1}x$. Since R^{-1} is also a relation, we have $xRy \iff yR^{-1}x \iff x(R^{-1})^{-1}y$.
- (iv) Take any $x \in \text{dom } R$. Then, there exists y such that xRy . Then, $yR^{-1}x$, and thus $x(R^{-1} \circ R)x$. A similar argument goes for $R \circ R^{-1}$. \square

Exercise 2.2.8

$A \times B = \emptyset$ if and only if $A = \emptyset$ or $B = \emptyset$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If $A \neq \emptyset$ and $B \neq \emptyset$, we have $(a, b) \in A \times B$ where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, and thus $A \times B \neq \emptyset$.

(\Leftarrow) If $A \times B \neq \emptyset$, then $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ where $(a, b) \in A \times B$. \square

2.3 Functions

Definition 2.3.1: Function

A binary relation F is called a *function* (or *mapping*) if

$$\forall a \forall b_1 \forall b_2 (aFb_1 \wedge aFb_2 \implies b_1 = b_2).$$

For each $a \in \text{dom } F$, the unique b such that aFb is called the *value of F at a* and is denoted $F(a)$ or F_a .

Notation 2.3.2

If F is a function with $\text{dom } F = A$ and $\text{ran } F \subseteq B$, we write $F: A \rightarrow B$, $\langle F(a) \mid a \in A \rangle$, $\langle F_a \mid a \in A \rangle$, $\langle F_a \rangle_{a \in A}$ for the function F . The range of the function F can then be denoted $\{F(a) \mid a \in A\}$ or $\{F_a\}_{a \in A}$.

Lemma 2.3.3

Let F and G be functions. $F = G \iff \text{dom } F = \text{dom } G \wedge \forall x \in \text{dom } F, F(x) = G(x)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) is direct.

(\Leftarrow) Take any $(x, F(x)) \in F$. Then, we have $(x, F(x)) = (x, G(x)) \in G$. Therefore, $F \subseteq G$. Similarly, $G \subseteq F$, and thus $F = G$. \square

Definition 2.3.4

Let F be a function and A and B be sets.

- F is a function *on* A if $\text{dom } F = A$.
- F is a function *into* B if $\text{ran } F \subseteq B$.
- F is a function *onto* B if $\text{ran } F = B$.
- The *restriction* of the function F to A is the function $F|_A \triangleq \{(a, b) \in F \mid a \in A\}$. If G is a restriction of F to some A , we say that F is an *extension* of G .

Theorem 2.3.5

Let f and g be functions.

- $g \circ f$ is a function.
- $\text{dom}(g \circ f) = (\text{dom } f) \cap f^{-1}[\text{dom } g]$.
- $\forall x \in \text{dom}(g \circ f), (g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$.

Proof.

- (i) Suppose $x(g \circ f)z_1$ and $x(g \circ f)z_2$. There exists y_1 and y_2 such that xfy_1 , y_1gz_1 , xfy_2 , and y_2gz_2 . Since f and g are functions, we have $y_1 = y_2$ and $z_1 = z_2$. Therefore, $g \circ f$ is a function.
- (ii) $x \in \text{dom}(g \circ f) \iff \exists z x(g \circ f)z$
 $\iff \exists z \exists y xfy \wedge ygz$
 $\iff x \in \text{dom } f \wedge f(x) \in \text{dom } g \iff x \in \text{dom } f \wedge x \in f^{-1}[\text{dom } g] \quad \square$

Definition 2.3.6: Invertible Function

A function f is said to be *invertible* if f^{-1} is a function.

Definition 2.3.7: Injective Function

A function f is said to be *injective* (or *one-to-one*) if

$$\forall a_1, a_2 \in \text{dom } f, (f(a_1) = f(a_2) \implies a_1 = a_2).$$

Notation 2.3.8

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a function.

- If f is a function *onto* B , we may write $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$.
- If f is one-to-one, we may write $f : A \hookrightarrow B$.
- If f is one-to-one and onto B , we may write $f : A \leftrightarrow B$.

Theorem 2.3.9

Let f be a function.

- (i) f is invertible if and only if f is one-to-one.
(ii) If f is invertible, then f^{-1} is also invertible and $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$.

Proof.

- (i) (\implies) Suppose f^{-1} is a function. Then, $f^{-1}(f(a)) = a$ for all $a \in \text{dom } f$. Hence, for all $a_1, a_2 \in \text{dom } f$ such that $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$, it follows that $a_1 = f^{-1}(f(a_1)) = f^{-1}(f(a_2)) = a_2$; f is one-to-one.
(\impliedby) Suppose f is one-to-one. If $yf^{-1}x_1$ and $yf^{-1}x_2$, then x_1fy and x_2fy , i.e., $y = f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. Therefore, $x_1 = x_2$; f^{-1} is a function.
- (ii) As f is a relation, by Exercise 2.2.4 (iii), $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$, and thus f^{-1} is invertible. \square

Definition 2.3.10: Compatible Functions

- Functions f and g are called *compatible* if $\forall x \in (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g), f(x) = g(x)$.
- A set of functions F is called a *compatible system of functions* if any two functions f and g from F are compatible.

Lemma 2.3.11

Let f and g be functions.

- (i) f and g are compatible if and only if $f \cup g$ is a function.
(ii) f and g are compatible if and only if $f|_{(\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)} = g|_{(\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)}$.

Proof.

- (i) (\Rightarrow) Suppose $x(f \cup g)y_1$ and $x(f \cup g)y_2$. WLOG, $(x, y_1) \in f$. If $(x, y_2) \in f$, since f is a function, $y_1 = y_2$. If $(x, y_2) \in g$, since f and g are compatible, $y_1 = f(x) = g(x) = y_2$. Therefore, $f \cup g$ is a function.
- (\Leftarrow) Take any $x \in (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$. $(x, f(x)) \in f \cup g$ and $(x, g(x)) \in f \cup g$. Since $f \cup g$ is a function, we have $f(x) = g(x)$.
- (ii) Let $A = (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$.
- (\Rightarrow) By definition, $\text{dom } f|_A = \text{dom } g|_A = (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$. Moreover, for all $x \in (\text{dom } f) \cap (\text{dom } g)$, $f|_A(x) = f(x) = g(x) = g|_A(x)$. Hence, the result follows by Lemma 2.3.3.
- (\Leftarrow) Take any $x \in A$. Then, $f(x) = f|_A(x) = g|_A(x) = g(x)$. \square

Theorem 2.3.12

If F is a compatible system of functions, then $\bigcup F$ is a function with $\text{dom } \bigcup F = \bigcup \{\text{dom } f \mid f \in F\}$. The function $\bigcup F$ extends all $f \in F$.

Proof. Note that $\bigcup F$ is already a relation. If $(a, b_1), (a, b_2) \in \bigcup F$, then there exist $f_1, f_2 \in F$ such that $(a, b_1) \in f_1$ and $(a, b_2) \in f_2$. Since f_1 and f_2 are compatible and $a \in (\text{dom } f_1) \cap (\text{dom } f_2)$, we have $b_1 = f_1(a) = f_2(a) = b_2$. Hence, $\bigcup F$ is a function.

$\text{dom } \bigcup F = \bigcup \{\text{dom } f \mid f \in F\}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \text{dom } \bigcup F &\iff \exists y, (x, y) \in \bigcup F \\ &\iff \exists y, \exists f \in F, (x, y) \in f \\ &\iff \exists f \in F, x \in \text{dom } f \iff x \in \bigcup \{\text{dom } f \mid f \in F\}. \end{aligned}$$

Take any $f \in F$. As $f \cup \bigcup F = \bigcup F$, f and $\bigcup F$ are compatible by Lemma 2.3.11 (i). Moreover, $\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } \bigcup F = \text{dom } f$. Hence, by Lemma 2.3.11 (ii), $f = f|_{\text{dom } f} = (\bigcup F)|_{\text{dom } f}$; $\bigcup F$ extends each $f \in F$. \square

Definition 2.3.13

Let A and B be sets. Then, we define

$$B^A \triangleq \{f \mid f \text{ is a function on } A \text{ into } B\}.$$

Definition 2.3.14: Indexed System of Sets

- Let $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ be a function with domain I . We call the function S an *indexed system of sets* whenever we stress that the values of S are sets.
- We say that a system of sets A is *indexed* by S if $A = \{S_i \mid i \in I\} = \text{ran } S$.

Notation 2.3.15

If A is indexed by $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$, we may write

$$\bigcup \{S_i \mid i \in I\} \quad \text{or} \quad \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$$

instead of $\bigcup A$. Similarly, we may write $\bigcap \{S_i \mid i \in I\}$ or $\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$ instead of $\bigcap A$.

Definition 2.3.16: Product of Indexed System of Sets

Let $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ be an indexed system of sets. We call the set

$$\prod S \triangleq \{f \mid f \text{ is a function on } I \text{ and } \forall i \in I, f_i \in S_i\}$$

the *product* of the indexed system S .

Notation 2.3.17

Other notations for the product of the indexed system $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ are:

$$\prod \langle S(i) \mid i \in I \rangle, \quad \prod_{i \in I} S(i), \quad \prod_{i \in I} S_i.$$

Note:-

The existence of B^A and $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$ is proved in Exercise 2.3.9.

Note:-

If $A = S_i$ for all $i \in I$, $\prod_{i \in I} S_i = A^I$.

Selected Problems**Exercise 2.3.4**

Let f be a function. If there exists a function g such that $g \circ f = \text{Id}_{\text{dom } f}$, then f is invertible and $f^{-1} = g|_{\text{ran } f}$.

Proof. For $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom } f$, suppose $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. Then, $x_1 = (g \circ f)(x_1) = g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2)) = (g \circ f)(x_2) = x_2$. Hence, f is one-to-one and is invertible by Theorem 2.3.9.

Take any $(y, x) \in f^{-1}$. Then, as $x \in \text{dom } f$, we must have $(y, x) \in \text{Id}_{\text{dom } f}$. Hence, $f^{-1} \subseteq g|_{\text{ran } f}$. Now, take any $(y, x) \in g|_{\text{ran } f}$. Since $y \in \text{ran } f$, there exists $x' \in \text{dom } f$ such that $(x', y) \in f$. Since $g \circ f = \text{Id}_{\text{dom } f}$, we have $x = x'$. Therefore, $(y, x) \in f^{-1}$; $g|_{\text{ran } f} \subseteq f^{-1}$. \square

Exercise 2.3.6

Let f be a function.

- (i) $f^{-1}[A \cap B] = f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B]$
- (ii) $f^{-1}[A \setminus B] = f^{-1}[A] \setminus f^{-1}[B]$

Proof. Thanks to Exercise 2.2.3 (ii) and (iii), we only need to prove the other inclusions.

- (i) Take any $x \in f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B]$. Then, there exists $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that $xf a$ and $xf b$. Since f is a function, $a = b$, and thus $x \in f^{-1}[A \cap B]$.
- (ii) Take any $x \in f^{-1}[A \setminus B]$. Then, $f(x) \in A \setminus B$. If $x \in f^{-1}[B]$, we would have $f(x) \in B$; thus $x \notin f^{-1}[B]$. Therefore, $x \in f^{-1}[A] \setminus f^{-1}[B]$. \square

Exercise 2.3.8

Every system of sets A can be indexed by a function.

Proof. Let S be the function Id_A so $S_i = i$ for all $i \in A$. Then, $A = \{S_i \mid i \in A\}$; A is indexed by S . \square

Exercise 2.3.9

- (i) Let A and B be sets. Prove that B^A exists.
- (ii) Let $\langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ be an indexed system of sets. Prove that $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$ exists.

Proof.

- (i) If f is a function from A into B , then $f \subseteq A \times B$, i.e., $f \in \mathcal{P}(A \times B)$.
- (ii) If f is a function on I and $f_i \in S_i$ for all $i \in I$, then f is a function onto $\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$. Hence, $f \in (\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i)^I$. \square

Exercise 2.3.10

Let $\langle F_a \mid a \in \bigcup S \rangle$ be an indexed system of sets.

- (i) $\bigcup_{a \in \bigcup S} F_a = \bigcup_{C \in S} [\bigcup_{a \in C} F_a]$
- (ii) $\bigcap_{a \in \bigcup S} F_a = \bigcap_{C \in S} [\bigcap_{a \in C} F_a]$ if $S \neq \emptyset$ and $\forall C \in S, C \neq \emptyset$.

Proof.

- (i) $x \in \bigcup_{a \in \bigcup S} F_a \iff \exists a \in \bigcup S, x \in F_a$
 $\iff \exists C \in S, \exists a \in C, x \in F_a$
 $\iff \exists C \in S, x \in \bigcup_{a \in C} F_a \iff x \in \bigcup_{C \in S} [\bigcup_{a \in C} F_a]$
- (ii) $x \in \bigcap_{a \in \bigcup S} F_a \iff \forall a \in \bigcup S, x \in F_a$
 $\iff \forall C \in S, \forall a \in C, x \in F_a$
 $\iff \forall C \in S, x \in \bigcap_{a \in C} F_a \iff x \in \bigcap_{C \in S} [\bigcap_{a \in C} F_a]$ \square

Exercise 2.3.11

Let $\langle F_a \mid a \in A \rangle$ be a nonempty indexed system of sets.

- (i) $B \setminus \bigcup_{a \in A} F_a = \bigcap_{a \in A} (B \setminus F_a)$
- (ii) $B \setminus \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a = \bigcup_{a \in A} (B \setminus F_a)$

Proof.

- (i) $x \in B \setminus \bigcup_{a \in A} F_a \iff x \in B \wedge \neg(\exists a \in A, x \in F_a)$
 $\iff x \in B \wedge \forall a \in A, x \notin F_a$
 $\iff \forall a \in A, (x \in B \wedge x \notin F_a) \iff x \in \bigcap_{a \in A} (B \setminus F_a)$
- (ii) $x \in B \setminus \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a \iff x \in B \wedge \neg(\forall a \in A, x \in F_a)$
 $\iff x \in B \wedge \exists a \in A, x \notin F_a$
 $\iff \exists a \in A, (x \in B \wedge x \notin F_a) \iff x \in \bigcup_{a \in A} (B \setminus F_a)$ \square

Exercise 2.3.12

Let R be a relation and let $\langle F_a \mid a \in A \rangle$ be an indexed system of sets.

- (i) $R[\bigcup_{a \in A} F_a] = \bigcup_{a \in A} R[F_a]$
- (ii) $R[\bigcap_{a \in A} F_a] \subseteq \bigcap_{a \in A} R[F_a]$ if $A \neq \emptyset$.
- (iii) $R[\bigcap_{a \in A} F_a] = \bigcap_{a \in A} R[F_a]$ if $A \neq \emptyset$ and R is an injective function.

(iv) $R^{-1}[\bigcap_{a \in A} F_a] = \bigcap_{a \in A} R^{-1}[F_a]$ if $A \neq \emptyset$ and R is a function.

Proof.

- (i) $y \in R[\bigcup_{a \in A} F_a] \iff \exists x \in \bigcup_{a \in A} F_a, xRy$
 $\iff \exists a \in A, \exists x \in F_a, xRy$
 $\iff \exists a \in A, y \in R[F_a] \iff x \in \bigcup_{a \in A} R[F_a]$
- (ii) Take any $y \in R[\bigcap_{a \in A} F_a]$. Then, there exists $x \in \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a$ such that xRy . Hence, for all $a \in A$, $y \in R[F_a]$, i.e., $y \in \bigcap_{a \in A} R[F_a]$.
- (iii) If R is an injective function, then R^{-1} is also a function. Hence, the result follows from (iv) and the fact that $R = (R^{-1})^{-1}$.
- (iv) Thanks to (ii), since R^{-1} is a relation, we only need to prove the other inclusion. Take any $x \in \bigcap_{a \in A} R^{-1}[F_a]$. Fix any $a^* \in A$. Then, there exists $y^* \in F_{a^*}$ such that xRy^* .
 Now, take any $a \in A$. Then, $\exists y \in F_a$ such that xRy . Since R is a function, $y = y^*$; $y^* \in F_a$, i.e., $y^* \in \bigcap_{a \in A} F_a$. Therefore, $x \in R^{-1}[\bigcap_{a \in A} F_a]$. \square

2.4 Equivalences and Partitions

Definition 2.4.1: Equivalence

Let R be a binary relation in A .

- R is called *reflexive* in A if $\forall a \in A, aRa$.
- R is called *symmetric* in A if $\forall a, b \in A, (aRb \implies bRa)$.
- R is called *transitive* in A if $\forall a, b, c \in A, (aRb \wedge bRc \implies aRc)$.
- R is called an *equivalence* on A if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive in A .

Definition 2.4.2: Equivalence Class

Let E be an equivalence on A and let $a \in A$. The *equivalence class of a modulo E* is the set

$$[a]_E \triangleq \{x \in A \mid xEa\}.$$

Lemma 2.4.3

Let E be an equivalence on A and let $a, b \in A$.

- (i) $aEb \iff [a]_E = [b]_E$
 (ii) $\neg(aEb) \iff [a]_E \cap [b]_E = \emptyset$

Proof.

- (i) (\implies) Suppose aEb . Take any $c \in [a]_E$. Then, cEa and aEb , and thus cEb by transitivity. Hence, $c \in [b]_E$; $[a]_E \subseteq [b]_E$. $[b]_E \subseteq [a]_E$ can be shown similarly since bEa holds as E is symmetric.
 (\impliedby) Suppose $[a]_E = [b]_E$. Since aEa by reflexivity, we have $a \in [a]_E = [b]_E$. Therefore, aEb .
- (ii) (\implies) Suppose $[a]_E \cap [b]_E \neq \emptyset$. Then, there exists $c \in [a]_E \cap [b]_E$, i.e., cEa and cEb . Then, as E is symmetric, we have aEc , and therefore aEb by transitivity.
 (\impliedby) Suppose aEb . Then, since aEa by reflexivity, we have $a \in [a]_E$. We can see $a \in [b]_E$ from (i). Hence, $[a]_E \cap [b]_E \neq \emptyset$. \square

Definition 2.4.4: Partition

A system S of nonempty sets is called a *partition* of A if

- (i) S is a system of mutually disjoint sets (Definition 1.4.5) and
- (ii) $\bigcup S = A$.

Definition 2.4.5: System of All Equivalence Classes

Let E be an equivalence on A . The *system of all equivalence classes* modulo E is the set

$$A/E \triangleq \{[a]_E \mid a \in A\}.$$

Theorem 2.4.6

Let E be an equivalence on A . Then, A/E is a partition of A .

Proof. If $[a]_E \neq [b]_E$, then by Lemma 2.4.3, we have $[a]_E \cap [b]_E = \emptyset$. Since E is reflexive, $a \in [a]_E$; each $[a]_E$ is nonempty. Therefore, A/E is a system of mutually disjoint nonempty sets.

Take any $a \in A$. Since E is reflexive, $a \in [a]_E \subseteq \bigcup A/E$. Therefore, $A \subseteq \bigcup A/E$. Conversely, since $[a]_E \subseteq A$, we have $\bigcup A/E \subseteq A$. \square

Definition 2.4.7

Let S be a partition of A . The relation E_S in A is defined by

$$E_S \triangleq \{(a, b) \in A \times A \mid \exists C \in S, a \in C \wedge b \in C\}.$$

Theorem 2.4.8

Let S be a partition of A . Then, E_S is an equivalence on A .

Proof.

- Take any $a \in A$. As $A = \bigcup S$, there exists $C \in S$ such that $a \in C$. Therefore, $aE_S a$. E_S is reflexive.
- Assume $aE_S b$. Then, there exists $C \in S$ such that $a, b \in C$. Hence, $bE_S a$. E_S is symmetric.
- Assume $aE_S b$ and $bE_S c$. Then, there exist $C, D \in S$ such that $a, b \in C$ and $b, c \in D$. Then, $C \cap D \neq \emptyset$ as b belongs to both sets. Hence, $C = D$, which implies $aE_S c$. E_S is transitive. \square

Theorem 2.4.9

- (i) If E is an equivalence on A and $S = A/E$, then $E_S = E$.
- (ii) If S is a partition of A , then $A/E_S = S$.

Proof.

- (i) $aE_S b \xLeftrightarrow{\text{Definition 2.4.7}} \exists C \in S, a \in C \wedge b \in C \xLeftrightarrow{\text{Lemma 2.4.3}} \exists c \in A, a \in [c]_E \wedge b \in [c]_E \xLeftrightarrow{\text{Lemma 2.4.3}} aEb$.
- (ii) Take any $[a]_{E_S} \in A/E_S$. Since S is a partition, there (uniquely) exists C such that $a \in C$. Then, for all b , we have $b \in C \xLeftrightarrow{\text{Lemma 2.4.3}} aE_S b \xLeftrightarrow{\text{Lemma 2.4.3}} b \in [a]_{E_S}$; $C = [a]_{E_S}$. Therefore, $A/E_S \subseteq S$.

For the converse, take any $C \in S$. As C is nonempty, we may take some $a \in C$. Similarly, we have $C = [a]_{E_S}$. Therefore, $C \subseteq A/E_S$. \square

Note:-

Theorem 2.4.9 essentially states that equivalence and partition describe the same “mathematical reality.”

Definition 2.4.10: Set of Representatives

A set $X \subseteq A$ is called a *set of representatives* for the equivalence E_S (or for the partition S of A) if

$$\forall C \in S, \exists a \in C, X \cap C = \{a\}.$$

Selected Problems

Exercise 2.4.2

Let f be a function on A onto B . Define a relation E in A by: aEb if and only if $f(a) = f(b)$.

- (i) Show that E is an equivalence on A .
- (ii) Show that $[a]_E = [a']_E$ implies that $f(a) = f(a')$ so that the function φ on A/E into B defined by $\varphi([a]_E) = f(a)$ is well-defined. Show also that φ is onto B .
- (iii) Let j be the function on A onto A/E given by $j(a) = [a]_E$. Show that $\varphi \circ j = f$.

Proof.

- (i) E can readily be shown to be reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.
- (ii) Assume $[a]_E = [a']_E$. Then, $f(a) = f(a')$ by definition of E . Hence, φ is well-defined. Take any $b \in B$. Since f is onto, there exists $a \in A$ such that $f(a) = b$. Hence, $\varphi([a]_E) = f(a) = b$; φ is onto B .
- (iii) $\text{dom}(\varphi \circ j) = (\text{dom } j) \cap j^{-1}[\text{dom } \varphi] = A = \text{dom } f$ since j is onto. For all $a \in A$, $(\varphi \circ j)(a) = \varphi([a]_E) = f(a)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.3.3, $\varphi \circ j = f$. \square

2.5 Orderings

Definition 2.5.1: Partial Ordering and Strict Ordering

Let R be a binary relation in A .

- R is called *antisymmetric* in A if $\forall a, b \in A, (aRb \wedge bRa \implies a = b)$.
- R is called *asymmetric* in A if $\forall a, b \in A, \neg(aRb \wedge bRa)$.
- R is called a *(partial) ordering* of A if it is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive in A .
- R is called a *strict ordering* of A if it is asymmetric and transitive in A .
- If R is a partial ordering of A , then the pair (A, R) is called an *ordered set*.

Example 2.5.2

- Define the relation \subseteq_A in A as follows: $x \subseteq_A y$ if and only if $x, y \in A \wedge x \subseteq y$. Then, (A, \subseteq_A) is an ordered set.
- The relation Id_A is a partial ordering of A .

Theorem 2.5.3

- (i) Let R be a partial ordering of A . Then the relation S in A defined by

$$S \triangleq R \setminus \text{Id}_A$$

is a strict ordering.

- (ii) Let S be a strict ordering of A . Then the relation R in A defined by

$$R \triangleq S \cup \text{Id}_A$$

is a partial ordering.

Proof.

- (i) Suppose aSb and bSa . Since $S \subseteq R$, we have aRb and bRa . As R is antisymmetric, we have aRa , which is impossible since $S \cap \text{Id}_S = \emptyset$. Hence, S is asymmetric in A .
Now, assuming aSb and bSc , we also have aRc since R is transitive. Moreover, a cannot be equal to c since S is shown to be asymmetric. Therefore, aSc ; S is transitive in A .
- (ii) Assume aRb and bRa . If $a \neq b$, then we have aSb and bSa , which is impossible. Therefore, $a = b$; R is antisymmetric.
Assume aRb and bRc . If $a = b$ or $b = c$, then we immediately have aRc . If $a \neq b$ and $b \neq c$, then aSb and bSc , and thus aSc as S is transitive in A ; R is transitive in A).
 R is reflexive in A since $\text{Id}_A \subseteq R$. □

Notation 2.5.4

- If R is a partial ordering, we say $S = R \setminus \text{Id}_A$ corresponds to the partial ordering R .
- If S is a strict ordering, we say $R = S \cup \text{Id}_A$ corresponds to the strict ordering S .

Definition 2.5.5: Comparability

Let $a, b \in A$ and let \leq be a partial ordering of A .

- We say that a and b are *comparable* in the ordering \leq if $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$.
 - We say that a and b are *incomparable* in the ordering \leq if neither $a \leq b$ nor $b \leq a$.
- They can be stated equivalently in terms of the corresponding strict ordering $<$.
- We say that a and b are *comparable* in the ordering $<$ if $a = b$ or $a < b$ or $b < a$.
 - We say that a and b are *incomparable* in the ordering $<$ if none of $a = b$, $a < b$, and $b < a$ holds.

Definition 2.5.6: Total Ordering

An ordering \leq (or $<$) is called *linear* or *total* if any two elements of A are comparable. The pair (A, \leq) is then called a *linearly ordered set*.

Definition 2.5.7: Chain

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$. B is a *chain* in A if any two elements of B are comparable.

Definition 2.5.8: Least/Minimal/Greatest/Maximal Element

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$.

- $b \in B$ is the *least element* of B in the ordering \leq if $\forall x \in B, b \leq x$.
- $b \in B$ is a *minimal element* of B in the ordering \leq if $\forall x \in B, (x \leq b \implies x = b)$.
- $b \in B$ is the *greatest element* of B in the ordering \leq if $\forall x \in B, x \leq b$.
- $b \in B$ is a *maximal element* of B in the ordering \leq if $\forall x \in B, (b \leq x \implies x = b)$.

Notation 2.5.9

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$.

- The least element of B is denoted $\min B$.
- The greatest element of B is denoted $\max B$.

Theorem 2.5.10

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$.

- B has at most one least element.
- The least element of B —if it exists—is also minimal.
- If B is a chain, then every minimal element of B is also least.

Proof.

- If b and b' are least elements of B , then $b \leq b'$ and $b' \leq b$ by the definition. As \leq is antisymmetric, we have $b = b'$.
- Let b be the least element of B (assuming its existence). Take any $x \in B$ and assume $x \leq b$. Then, as b is the least, we have $b \leq x$. As \leq is antisymmetric, $x = b$; b is minimal.
- Let b be a minimal element of B . Take any $x \in B$. Since b and x are comparable, it is $x \leq b$ or $b \leq x$. If $x \leq b$, then $x = b$ as b is minimal. Therefore, b is the least. \square

Note:-

Theorem 2.5.10 still holds when ‘least’ and ‘minimal’ are replaced by ‘greatest’ and ‘maximal’, respectively.

Definition 2.5.11: Lower/Upper Bound and Infimum/Supremum

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$.

- $a \in A$ is a *lower bound* of B in the ordered set (A, \leq) if $\forall x \in B, a \leq x$.
- $a \in A$ is called an *infimum* (or *greatest lower bound*) of B in the ordered set (A, \leq) if $a = \max\{x \in A \mid x \text{ is a lower bound of } B\}$.
- $a \in A$ is an *upper bound* of B in the ordered set (A, \leq) if $\forall x \in B, x \leq a$.
- $a \in A$ is called an *supremum* (or *least upper bound*) of B in the ordered set (A, \leq) if $a = \min\{x \in A \mid x \text{ is an upper bound of } B\}$.

Notation 2.5.12

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$.

- The infimum of B is denoted $\inf B$.
- The supremum of B is denoted $\sup B$.

Theorem 2.5.13

Let (A, \leq) be an ordered set and $B \subseteq A$.

- (i) B has at most one infimum.
- (ii) If b is the least element of B , then b is the infimum of B .
- (iii) If $b \in B$ is the infimum of B , then b is the least element of B .

Proof.

- (i) The result follows from the definition and Theorem 2.5.10 (i).
- (ii) b is a lower bound of B . If x is a lower bound of B , since $b \in B$, we must have $x \leq b$. Therefore, b is the greatest lower bound.
- (iii) $b \in B$ is a lower bound of B , and thus b is the least element. □

Note:-

Theorem 2.5.13 still holds when ‘least’ and ‘infimum’ are replaced by ‘greatest’ and ‘supremum’, respectively.

Definition 2.5.14: Isomorphism Between Ordered Sets

An *isomorphism* between two ordered sets (P, \leq) and (Q, \preceq) is a function $f : P \hookrightarrow Q$ such that

$$\forall p_1, p_2 \in P, (p_1 \leq p_2 \iff f(p_1) \preceq f(p_2)).$$

If an isomorphism exists between (P, \leq) and (Q, \preceq) , then we say (P, \leq) and (Q, \preceq) are *isomorphic*. This is justified by Exercise 2.5.13.

Lemma 2.5.15

Let (P, \leq) be a linearly ordered set and let (Q, \preceq) be an ordered set. Let $h : P \hookrightarrow Q$ be a function such that

$$\forall p_1, p_2 \in P, (p_1 \leq p_2 \implies h(p_1) \preceq h(p_2)).$$

Then, h is an isomorphism between (P, \leq) and (Q, \preceq) , and (Q, \preceq) is linearly ordered.

Proof. Take any $p_1, p_2 \in P$ and assume $h(p_1) \preceq h(p_2)$. Suppose $p_2 < p_1$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, since h is injective, $h(p_1) \neq h(p_2)$, and thus $h(p_1) \prec h(p_2)$. Then, we have $\neg(p_2 \leq p_1)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\neg(p_2 < p_1)$. Therefore, $p_1 \leq p_2$ since (P, \leq) is linearly ordered.

Take any $q_1, q_2 \in Q$. Then, since h is onto Q , there exist $p_1, p_2 \in P$ such that $q_1 = h(p_1)$ and $q_2 = h(p_2)$. Since P is linearly ordered, it is $p_1 \leq p_2$ or $p_2 \leq p_1$. In either case, we have $q_1 \preceq q_2$ or $p_2 \preceq q_1$. Therefore, (Q, \preceq) is linearly ordered. □

Selected Problems

Exercise 2.5.1

- (i) Let R be a partial ordering of A and let S be the strict ordering of A corresponding to R . Let R^* be the partial ordering of A corresponding to S . Show that $R^* = R$.
- (ii) Let S be a strict ordering of A and let R be the partial ordering of A corresponding to S . Let S^* be the partial ordering of A corresponding to R . Show that $S^* = S$.

Proof.

- (i) $R^* = S \cup \text{Id}_A = (R \setminus \text{Id}_A) \cup \text{Id}_A = R$ since $\text{Id}_A \subseteq R$.
- (ii) $S^* = R \setminus \text{Id}_A = (S \cup \text{Id}_A) \setminus \text{Id}_A = S$ since $\text{Id}_A \cap S = \emptyset$.

□

Exercise 2.5.6

Let $(A_1, <_1)$ and $(A_2, <_2)$ be strictly ordered sets and let $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. Define a relation $<$ on $B \triangleq A_1 \cup A_2$ as follows:

$$x < y \iff (x <_1 y) \vee (x <_2 y) \vee (x \in A_1 \wedge y \in A_2).$$

Show that $<$ is a strict ordering of B and $< \cap A_1^2 = <_1$, $< \cap A_2^2 = <_2$.

Proof. Note that $< = <_1 \cup <_2 \cup A_1 \times A_2$.

Suppose $x < y$ and $y < x$. By definition, $x, y \in A_1$ or $x, y \in A_2$. In both cases, we have $(x <_1 y \text{ and } y <_1 x)$ or $(x <_2 y \text{ and } y <_2 x)$, which are impossible as $<_1$ and $<_2$ are asymmetric. Hence, $<$ is asymmetric. Transitivity of $<$ can be shown easily.

Since $<_1 \cap A_2^2 = <_2 \cap A_1^2 = (A_1 \times A_2) \cap A_1^2 = (A_1 \times A_2) \cap A_2^2 = \emptyset$, we get $< \cap A_1^2 = <_1$ and $< \cap A_2^2 = <_2$. □

Exercise 2.5.7

Let R be a reflexive and transitive relation in A (R is called a *preordering* of A). Define a relation E in A by

$$aEb \iff aRb \wedge bRa.$$

Show that E is an equivalence on A . Define the relation R/E in A/E by

$$[a]_E R/E [b]_E \iff aRb.$$

Show that R/E is well-defined and that R/E is a partial ordering of A/E .

Proof. Since $aEa \equiv aRa$ and R is reflexive, E is reflexive as well. Since $aEb \equiv bEa$, E is symmetric. Since $aEb \wedge bEc \iff (aRb \wedge bRc) \wedge (cRb \wedge bRa) \implies aRc \wedge cRa \iff aEc$, E is transitive. ✓

Assume $[a]_E = [a']_E$ and $[b]_E = [b']_E$. Then, we have aEa' and bEb' by Lemma 2.4.3, i.e., aRa' , $a'Ra$, bRb' , and $b'Rb$. By transitivity of R , it follows that $aRb \iff a'Rb'$. Therefore, R/E is well-defined. ✓

It can be shown readily that R/E is reflexive and transitive. To prove R/E is anti-symmetric, assume $[a]_E R/E [b]_E$ and $[b]_E R/E [a]_E$. Then, aRb and bRa , which means aEb . Therefore, $[a]_E = [b]_E$ by Lemma 2.4.3. ✓ □

Exercise 2.5.8

Let $A = \mathcal{P}(X)$ where X is a set.

- (i) Any $S \subseteq A$ has a supremum in the ordering \subseteq_A ; $\sup S = \bigcup S$.
- (ii) Any $S \subseteq A$ has an infimum in the ordering \subseteq_A ; $\inf S = \begin{cases} \bigcap S & \text{if } S \neq \emptyset \\ X & \text{if } S = \emptyset \end{cases}$.

Proof.

- (i) As $C \subseteq_A \bigcup S$ for all $C \in S$, $\bigcup S$ is an upper bound of S . Let U be any upper bound of S . Take any $x \in \bigcup S$. Then, there exists $C \in S$ such that $x \in C$. Since $C \subseteq_A U$, we have $x \in U$. Therefore, $\bigcup S \subseteq U$; $\bigcup S$ is the least upper bound of S .
- (ii) If $S = \emptyset$, then any $C \in A$ is a lower bound of S . Since $\bigcup A = X$ —by (i), the supremum of the set of lower bounds of S —is a lower bound of S , X is the infimum of $S = \emptyset$. ✓
 If $S \neq \emptyset$, as $\bigcap S \subseteq C$ for all $C \in S$, $\bigcap S$ is a lower bound of S . Let L be any lower bound of S . Take any $x \in L$. Then, $\forall C \in S$, $x \in C$, i.e., $x \in \bigcap S$. Therefore, $L \subseteq_A \bigcap S$; $\bigcap S$ is the infimum of S . ✓ □

Exercise 2.5.9

Let $\text{Fn}(X, Y)$ be the set of all functions mapping a subset of X into Y , i.e., $\text{Fn}(X, Y) = \bigcup_{Z \in \mathcal{P}(X)} Y^Z$. Define a relation \leq in $\text{Fn}(X, Y)$ by

$$f \leq g \iff f \subseteq g.$$

- (i) \leq is a partial ordering of $\text{Fn}(X, Y)$.
 (ii) Let $F \subseteq \text{Fn}(X, Y)$. $\sup F$ exists if and only if F is a compatible system of functions. Moreover, $\sup F = \bigcup F$ if it exists.

Proof.

- (i) $\leq = \subseteq_{\text{Fn}(X, Y)}$ by definition; $\subseteq_{\text{Fn}(X, Y)}$ is already a partial ordering of $\text{Fn}(X, Y)$.
 (ii) (\Rightarrow) Assume $h \in \text{Fn}(X, Y)$ is a supremum of F . Then, $\forall f \in F$, $f \subseteq h$. Take any $f, g \in F$. Then, $f \cup g \subseteq h$, and thus $f \cup g$ is a function as h is a function. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3.11, f and g are compatible. Hence, F is a compatible system of functions.
 (\Leftarrow) Assume F is a compatible system of functions. Then, $\bigcup F \in \text{Fn}(X, Y)$ by Theorem 2.3.12, and $f \leq \bigcup F$ for all $f \in F$ by definition; $\bigcup F$ is an upper bound of F . Let U be any upper bound of S . Take any $(x, y) \in \bigcup F$. Then, there exists $f \in S$ such that $(x, y) \in f$. Since $f \subseteq_A U$, we have $x \in U$. Therefore, $\bigcup F \subseteq U$; $\bigcup F$ is the least upper bound of S . □

Exercise 2.5.10

Let $\text{Pt}(A)$ be the set of all partitions of A . Define a relation \preceq in $\text{Pt}(A)$ by

$$S_1 \preceq S_2 \iff \forall C \in S_1, \exists D \in S_2, C \subseteq D.$$

(We say that the partition S_1 is a *refinement* of the partition S_2 if $S_1 \preceq S_2$.)

- (i) \preceq is a partial ordering of $\text{Pt}(A)$.
 (ii) $\inf T$ exists for all $T \subseteq \text{Pt}(A)$.
 (iii) $\sup T$ exists for all $T \subseteq \text{Pt}(A)$.

Proof.

- (i) \preceq is reflexive since, for all $S \in \text{Pt}(A)$ and $C \in S$, $C \subseteq C$, i.e., $S \preceq S$. ✓
 Assume $S_1 \preceq S_2$ and $S_2 \preceq S_1$. Take any $C \in S_1$. Then, there exists $D \in S_2$ such that $C \subseteq D$. In addition, there exists $E \in S_1$ such that $D \subseteq E$. We have $C \subseteq E$ but C is nonempty as S_1 is a partition, which implies $C \cap E \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, as S_1 is a partition, we must have $C = E$ and thus $C = D$. Hence, $S_1 \subseteq S_2$. This shows that \preceq is antisymmetric. ✓
 Assume $S_1 \preceq S_2$ and $S_2 \preceq S_3$. Take any $C \in S_1$. There exists $D \in S_2$ such that $C \subseteq D$. There exists $E \in S_3$ such that $D \subseteq E$. Hence, $C \subseteq E$; $S_1 \preceq S_3$. This shows that \preceq is transitive. ✓

- (ii) Define a relation E in A by $E \triangleq \{(a, b) \in A^2 \mid \forall S \in T, \exists C \in S, a \in C \wedge b \in C\}$. It can be easily shown that E is an equivalence mimicking the proof of Theorem 2.4.8. Then, $A/E \in \text{Pt}(A)$ by Theorem 2.4.6.

Claim 1. A/E is a lower bound of T .

Proof. If $T = \emptyset$, there is nothing to prove; so assume $T \neq \emptyset$. Take any $S \in T$ and $a \in A$. Then, there exists $C \in S$ such that $a \in C$ since S is a partition of A . Let $b \in [a]_E$. Then, there exists $D \in S$ such that $a, b \in D$, which implies $C = D$. Therefore, $[a]_E \subseteq C$. Hence, $A/E \preceq S$. \square

Claim 2. For each lower bound L of T , $L \preceq A/E$.

Proof. If $T = \emptyset$, then $A/E = \{A^2\}$ and every partition of A is a lower bound. Since $S \preceq \{A^2\}$ for all $S \in \text{Pt}(A)$, the result follows.

Now, assume $T \neq \emptyset$. Let L be a lower bound of T . Take any $D \in L$. Fix some $a \in D$. Then, each $d \in D$ has the property that $\forall S \in T, \exists C \in S, \{a, d\} \subseteq D \subseteq C$ as L is a lower bound of T . Therefore, $d \in [a]_E$; $D \subseteq [a]_E$. Hence, $L \preceq A/E$. \square

Claims 1 and 2 say that $\inf T = A/E$. Hence, $\inf T$ exists.

- (iii) Let $T' \triangleq \{S' \in \text{Pt}(A) \mid \forall S \in T, S \preceq S'\}$. By (ii), $S^* \triangleq \inf T'$ exists.

Claim 3. S^* is an upper bound of T .

Proof. In (ii), we showed that $S^* = A/E$ where $E = \{(a, b) \in A^2 \mid \forall S' \in T', \exists C' \in S', a \in C' \wedge b \in C'\}$. Take any $S \in T$ and let $C \in S$. Fix some $c_0 \in C$.

Now, take arbitrary $c \in C$. Then, for all $S' \in T'$, since $S \preceq S'$, there exists $D' \in S'$ such that $c \in C \subseteq D'$. Hence, we have cEc_0 ; $C \subseteq [c_0]_E$. Therefore, $S \preceq S^*$. \square

Claim 3 essentially says that $S^* \in T'$. By Theorem 2.5.13 (iii), $S^* = \min T'$, i.e., $S^* = \sup T$. \square

Exercise 2.5.13

If h is isomorphism between (P, \leq) and (Q, \leq) , then h^{-1} is an isomorphism between (Q, \leq) and (P, \leq) .

Proof. Take any $q_1, q_2 \in Q$. Then, we have $q_1 \leq q_2 \iff h(h^{-1}(q_1)) \leq h(h^{-1}(q_2)) \iff h^{-1}(q_1) \leq h^{-1}(q_2)$. \square

Exercise 2.5.14

If f is an isomorphism between (P_1, \leq_1) and (P_2, \leq_2) , and if g is an isomorphism between (P_2, \leq_2) and P_3, \leq_3 , then $g \circ f$ is an isomorphism between (P_1, \leq_1) and (P_3, \leq_3) .

Proof. $\text{ran}(g \circ f) = g[\text{ran } f] = P_3$. Moreover, $g \circ f$ is one-to-one. Hence, $g \circ f : P_1 \hookrightarrow P_3$. For all $p, q \in P_1$, we have $p \leq_1 q \iff f(p) \leq_2 f(q) \iff g(f(p)) \leq_3 g(f(q))$. Hence, $g \circ f$ is an isomorphism between (P_1, \leq_1) and (P_3, \leq_3) . \square

Chapter 3

Natural Numbers

3.1 Introduction to Natural Numbers

Note:-

We cannot prove an existence of an ‘infinite’ set (in the classical sense) or discuss infinity only from Axioms I to VI.

Definition 3.1.1: Successor

The *successor* of a set x is the set $S(x) = x \cup \{x\}$.

Notation 3.1.2: $n + 1$

We write $n + 1$ to denote $S(n)$. There is no implication regarding the classic “addition” in this notation.

Notation 3.1.3: Natural Numbers

- $0 = \emptyset$
- $1 = \{\emptyset\} = S(0) = 0 + 1$
- $2 = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} = S(1) = 1 + 1$
- ...

Definition 3.1.4: Inductive Set

A set I is called *inductive* if

$$0 \in I \wedge \forall n \in I, (n + 1) \in I.$$

Axiom VII Axiom of Infinity

An inductive set exists.

Definition 3.1.5: Set of All Natural Numbers

The *set of all natural numbers* is the set

$$\mathbb{N} \triangleq \{x \mid x \in I \text{ for all inductive set } I\}.$$

Note:-

Axiom of Infinity guarantees the existence of \mathbb{N} . For, if A is any inductive set, then $\mathbb{N} = \{x \in A \mid x \in I \text{ for all inductive set } I\}$.

Lemma 3.1.6

\mathbb{N} is inductive. In addition, if I is an inductive set, then $\mathbb{N} \subseteq I$.

Proof. Since $0 \in I$ for all inductive set, $0 \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $n \in I$ for all inductive set, and thus $(n+1) \in I$ for all inductive set. Therefore, $(n+1) \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, \mathbb{N} is inductive.

$\mathbb{N} \subseteq I$ directly follows from the definition of \mathbb{N} . \square

Definition 3.1.7

The relation $<$ on \mathbb{N} is defined by: $m < n$ if and only if $m \in n$.

Notation 3.1.8

Although we did not prove $<$ is a strict ordering of \mathbb{N} , we shall use \leq to denote the relation on \mathbb{N} :

$$\leq \triangleq < \cup \text{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}$$

Selected Problems**Exercise 3.1.1**

- (i) $\forall x, x \subseteq S(x)$
- (ii) $\forall x, \neg(\exists z, x \subsetneq z \subsetneq S(x))$

Proof.

- (i) $x \subseteq x \subseteq x \cup \{x\} = S(x)$
- (ii) Take any z such that $x \subseteq z \subseteq S(x) = x \cup \{x\}$. If $z \subseteq x$, then we have $z = x$. If $z \not\subseteq x$, then there exists y such that $y \in z$ and $y \notin x$. However, $y \in x \cup \{x\}$, and thus $y = x$. Therefore, $S(x) \subseteq z$; $z = S(x)$. In conclusion, any z such that $x \subseteq z \subseteq S(x)$ must satisfy $z = x$ or $z = S(x)$. \square

3.2 Properties of Natural Numbers**Theorem 3.2.1 The Induction Principle**

Let $P(x)$ be a property (possibly with parameters).

$$P(0) \wedge \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (P(n) \implies P(n+1)) \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$$

Proof. The premise simply says that $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid P(n)\}$ is inductive. Therefore, $\mathbb{N} \subseteq A$ follows. \square

Lemma 3.2.2

- (i) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq n$
- (ii) $\forall k, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k < n+1 \iff k < n \vee k = n)$

Proof.

(i) Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $0 \leq x$.” $P(0)$, i.e., $0 \leq 0$, holds since $0 = 0$.

Now, assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P(n)$. If $n = 0$, then we have $0 \in S(0) = n + 1$ by definition (Definition 3.1.1). If $0 < n$, then $0 \in n$, and thus $0 \in n \cup \{n\} = S(n)$. Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows.

(ii) Note that $k \in n \cup \{n\}$ if and only if $k \in n$ or $k = n$. □

Theorem 3.2.3 (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Linearly Ordered

(\mathbb{N}, \leq) is a linearly ordered set.

Proof. We first need to prove that (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is an ordered set.

Claim 1. $<$ is transitive in \mathbb{N} .

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (k < m \wedge m < x \implies k < x)$.” $P(0)$ is true because there is no $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \in 0 = \emptyset$.

Now assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P(n)$. Now, let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k < m$ and $m < n + 1$. By Lemma 3.2.2 (ii), $m < n$ or $m = n$.

- If $m < n$, then we have $k < n$ as $P(n)$ holds,
- If $m = n$, then we immediately have $k < n$.

In both cases, we have $k < n$; thus $k < n + 1$ by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). Therefore, the result follows from The Induction Principle. □

Claim 2. $<$ is asymmetric in \mathbb{N} .

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\neg(x < x)$.” $P(0)$ evidently holds since $\emptyset \notin \emptyset$.

Now, assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P(n)$. Suppose $(n + 1) < (n + 1)$ for the sake of contradiction. By Lemma 3.2.2 (ii), we have $(n + 1) = n$ or $(n + 1) < n$. In both cases, we have $n < n$ by $n < (n + 1)$ (from Lemma 3.2.2 (ii)) and Claim 1, which contradicts $P(n)$. Therefore, $P(n + 1)$ holds. The result follows from The Induction Principle. □

Hence, (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is an ordered set by Claims 1 and 2 and Theorem 2.5.3. We are left to prove that \leq is a linear ordering of \mathbb{N} .

Claim 3. $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n < m \implies (n + 1) \leq m$

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n < x \implies n + 1 \leq x)$.” $P(0)$ holds since there is no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n < 0$.

Now, assume $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P(m)$. Take any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n < (m + 1)$. Then, by Lemma 3.2.2, we have $n = m$ or $n < m$. If $n = m$, then we have $(n + 1) = (m + 1)$, which implies $(n + 1) \leq (m + 1)$. If $n < m$, then $(n + 1) \leq m < (m + 1)$. Therefore, the result follows from The Induction Principle. □

Claim 4. $<$ is a linear ordering of \mathbb{N} .

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m = x \vee m < x \vee x < m$.” $P(0)$ is essentially Lemma 3.2.2 (i).

Assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P(n)$. Take any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $m < n$ or $m = n$, we have $m < (n + 1)$ by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). If $n < m$, by Claim 3, we have $(n + 1) \leq m$. Hence, $P(n + 1)$ holds. Therefore, the result follows from The Induction Principle. □

□

Notation 3.2.4

We may write “ $\forall k < n, P(k)$ ” instead of “ $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, (k < n \implies P(k))$ ” or “ $\exists k < n, P(k)$ ” instead of “ $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, k < n \wedge P(k)$ ” when no confusion may arise. We may similarly write $(\forall/\exists)k(\leq/>/\geq)n, P(k)$.

Theorem 3.2.5 The Strong Induction Principle

Let $P(x)$ be a property (possibly with parameters). If, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P(k)$ holds for all $k < n$, then $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall k < n, \implies P(k) \implies P(n)] \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$$

Proof. Assume the premise $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall k < n, \implies P(k) \implies P(n)])$. Let $Q(n)$ be the property “ $\forall k < n, P(k)$.” $Q(0)$ holds since there is no $k < 0$.

Now, assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Q(n)$. Then, by the premise, we have $P(n)$. Lemma 3.2.2 (ii) enables us to say that $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, (k < n + 1 \implies P(k))$. Therefore, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $Q(n)$ holds by The Induction Principle.

Take any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have $k < k + 1$ and thus $P(k)$ holds by $Q(k + 1)$. \square

Definition 3.2.6: Well-Ordering

A linear ordering \preceq of a set A is a *well-ordering* if every nonempty subset of A has a least element. Then, the ordered set (A, \preceq) is called a *well-ordered set*.

Theorem 3.2.7 \mathbb{N} is Well-Ordered

(\mathbb{N}, \leq) is a well-ordered set.

Proof. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ has no least element. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\forall k < n, k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X$, we must have $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X$ since otherwise $n = \min X$. Then, by The Strong Induction Principle, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X$, i.e., $X = \emptyset$. \square

Theorem 3.2.8

Let $\emptyset \subsetneq X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. If X has an upper bound in the ordering \leq , then X has a greatest element.

Proof. Let $Y \triangleq \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid k \text{ is an upper bound of } X\}$. The assumption says that $Y \neq \emptyset$. By \mathbb{N} is Well-Ordered, $n \triangleq \min Y = \sup X$ exists.

Suppose $n \notin X$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, $\forall m \in X, m < n$, which implies $n \neq 0$ as $X \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $n = k + 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by Exercise 3.2.4; and thus $\forall m \in X, m \leq k$ by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). Then, k is an upper bound of A and $k < n$, which is a contradiction to $n = \sup X$. Therefore, $n \in X$, and hence $n = \max X$ by Theorem 2.5.13. \square

Selected Problems

Exercise 3.2.2

$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \implies m + 1 < n + 1)$. Hence, $S: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ where $n \mapsto n + 1$ defines a one-to-one function on \mathbb{N} .

Proof. By Claim 3 in the proof of (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Linearly Ordered, we have $m + 1 \leq n$. Together with $n < n + 1$, we have $m + 1 < n + 1$.

Now, take any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \neq n$. Then, by (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Linearly Ordered, we have $m < n$ or $n < m$, i.e., $S(m) < S(n)$ or $S(n) < S(m)$. In both cases, $S(m) \neq S(n)$. Therefore, S is one-to-one. \square

Exercise 3.2.3

There exists $X \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ such that f is injective.

Proof. Let $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ where $n \mapsto n + 1$. Then, S is injective by Exercise 3.2.2. Since there exists no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \cup \{n\} = \emptyset$, $0 \notin \text{ran } S$; $\text{ran } S \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{ran } S$ is the function we are looking for. \square

Exercise 3.2.4

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \exists! k \in \mathbb{N}, n = k + 1$

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $x = 0 \vee \exists! k \in \mathbb{N}, x = k + 1$.” $P(0)$ holds by definition.

Now, assume $P(n)$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n + 1 = k + 1$, namely, $k = n$. If k' is another natural number such that $n + 1 = k' + 1$, then by Exercise 3.2.2, we have $k = k'$. Hence, $P(n + 1)$ holds. The result follows from The Induction Principle. \square

Exercise 3.2.6

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n\}$

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $x = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < x\}$.” We have $P(0)$ since there exists no $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m < 0$.

Now, assume $P(n)$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $n + 1 = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n\} \cup \{n\}$. By Lemma 3.2.2 (ii), $m < n + 1$ if and only if $m < n$ or $m = n$. Therefore, $\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n\} \cup \{n\} = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n \vee m = n\} = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n + 1\}$; $P(n + 1)$ holds. The result follows from The Induction Principle. \square

Exercise 3.2.8

There is no function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n + 1) < f(n)$.

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “there is no function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(0) = x$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n + 1) < f(n)$.”

For the sake of induction, assume $\forall k < n, P(k)$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose there exists $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(0) = n$ and $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, f(k + 1) < f(k)$. Now, define $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $g(k) = f(k + 1)$. Then, $g(0) = f(1) < n$ and $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, g(k + 1) = f((k + 1) + 1) < f(k + 1) = g(k)$. However, by $P(g(0))$, such g cannot exist; by contradiction, $P(n)$ holds. Hence, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, P(m)$ by The Strong Induction Principle.

Finally, suppose there exists $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n + 1) < f(n)$. Then, by $P(f(0))$, such f may not exist. \square

Exercise 3.2.11

Let $P(x)$ be a property and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$P(k) \wedge \forall n \geq k, (P(n) \implies P(n + 1)) \implies \forall n \geq k, P(n)$$

Proof. Let $Q(x)$ be the property “ $x < k \vee P(x)$.” If $k = 0$, then $P(0)$ holds. If $k > 0$, then $0 < k$ holds. Hence, in both cases, $Q(0)$ holds.

Now assume $Q(n)$ holds where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Linearly Ordered, we have $n + 1 < k$, $n + 1 = k$, or $n + 1 > k$. If $n + 1 < k$ or $n + 1 = k$, we immediately have $Q(n + 1)$. If $n + 1 > k$, we have $n \geq k$ by Lemma 3.2.2 (ii). Therefore, $P(n)$ holds, and thus $P(n + 1)$ holds by assumption. Hence, $Q(n + 1)$. By The Induction Principle, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n < k \vee P(n)$. In other words, $\forall n \geq k, P(n)$. \square

Exercise 3.2.12 The Finite Induction Principle

Let $P(x)$ be a property and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$P(0) \wedge \forall n < k, (P(n) \implies P(n + 1)) \implies \forall n \leq k, P(n)$$

Proof. Let $Q(x)$ be the property “ $x > k \vee P(x)$.” $Q(0)$ holds as $P(0)$.

Now, assume $Q(n)$ holds where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Linearly Ordered, we have $n + 1 \leq k$ or $n + 1 > k$. If $n + 1 > k$, then we immediately have $Q(n + 1)$. If $n + 1 \leq k$, by Lemma 3.2.2, $n + 1 < k + 1$. By Exercise 3.2.2 and (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Linearly Ordered, we must have $n < k$. Hence, $P(n)$ holds, and therefore $P(n + 1)$ holds by the assumption. By The Induction Principle, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > k \vee P(n)$. In other words, $\forall n \leq k, P(n)$. \square

Exercise 3.2.13 The Double Induction Principle

Let $P(x, y)$ be a property.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, [\forall k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}, (k < m \vee k = m \wedge \ell < n \implies P(k, \ell)) \implies P(m, n)] \quad [*] \\ \implies \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, P(m, n) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $Q(x)$ be the property “ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(x, n)$.”

Now, assume $\forall k < m, Q(k)$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For the sake of induction, assume again that $\forall \ell < n, P(m, \ell)$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we have $P(k, \ell)$ for all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k < m$ or $k = m$ and $\ell < n$. Hence, by $[\ast]$, $P(m, n)$. By The Strong Induction Principle, we have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(m, n)$. In other words, $Q(m)$. Again by The Strong Induction Principle, we have $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, Q(m)$, that is to say $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, P(m, n)$. \square

3.3 The Recursion Theorem

Definition 3.3.1: Sequence

- A *sequence* is a function whose domain is a natural number or \mathbb{N} .
- A sequence whose domain is a natural number n is called a *finite sequence of length n* and is denoted

$$\langle a_i \mid i < n \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle a_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle.$$

In particular, $\langle \rangle = \emptyset$ —the *empty sequence*—is the unique sequence of length 0.

$$\text{Seq}(A) \triangleq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A^n$$

denote the set of all finite sequence of elements of A .

- A sequence whose domain is \mathbb{N} is called a *infinite sequence* and is denoted

$$\langle a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle a_i \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle a_i \rangle_{i=0}^{\infty}.$$

Infinite sequences of elements of A are members of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$. We also use the notation $\{a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ or $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, etc., for the range of the sequence $\langle a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$.

Note:-

- A natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the set of all natural numbers less than n . See Exercise 3.2.6.
- Since $A^n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times A)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{A} = \{w \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, w = A^n\}$ exists, and thus $\text{Seq}(A) = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$ exists.

Theorem 3.3.2 The Recursion Theorem

Let A be a set, $a \in A$, and $g : A \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$. Then, there uniquely exists an infinite sequence $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ such that

- $f_0 = a$ and
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n)$.

Proof. We say $t : (m+1) \rightarrow A$ is an *m -step computation based on a and g* if $t_0 = a$ and $\forall k < m, t_{k+1} = g(t_k, k)$. Let $F \triangleq \{t \in \text{Seq}(A) \mid t \text{ is an } m \text{ step computation for some } m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $f \triangleq \bigcup F$.

Claim 1. f is a function.

Proof. We shall show that F is a compatible system of functions so we may conclude f is a function thanks to Theorem 2.3.12. Take any $t, u \in F$. Let $n = \text{dom } t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m = \text{dom } u \in \mathbb{N}$. WLOG, $n \leq m$ (thanks to (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Linearly Ordered), i.e., $n \subseteq m$. Hence, $(\text{dom } t) \cap (\text{dom } u) = n$. If $n = 0$, then it is done; assume $n > 0$. Then, there exists $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n' + 1 = n$ by Exercise 3.2.4.

Surely, $t_0 = a = u_0$. Moreover, if $t_k = u_k$ where $k < n'$, then $k+1 < n'+1 = n$ (Exercise 3.2.2) and $t_{k+1} = g(t_k, k) = g(u_k, k) = u_{k+1}$. Therefore, by The Finite Induction Principle, we have $\forall k \leq n', t_k = u_k$; t and u are compatible. \square

Claim 2. $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{ran } f \subseteq A$.

Proof. We already have $\text{dom } f \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{ran } f \subseteq A$ by Theorem 2.3.12. To show $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{N}$, it suffices to show that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an n -step computation based on a and g . Clearly, $t = \{(0, a)\}$ is a 0-step computation.

Assume there exists an n -step computation $t: (n+1) \rightarrow A$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, define $u: ((n+1)+1) \rightarrow A$ by $u \triangleq t \cup \{(n+1, g(t_n, n))\}$. Then, one may easily verify that u is an $(n+1)$ -step computation. Therefore, by The Induction Principle, the result follows. \square

We now check if f satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii).

(i) Clearly, $f_0 = a$.

(ii) Take any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let t be an $(n+1)$ -step computation. Then, $\forall k \leq n, f_k = t_k$, and $f_{n+1} = t_{n+1} = g(t_n, n) = g(f_n, n)$.

Now, we are left to show the uniqueness of such f .

Let $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ be a sequence that satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Clearly, $f_0 = a = h_0$. And, if $f_n = h_n$, then $f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n) = g(h_n, n) = h_{n+1}$. Therefore, by The Induction Principle, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, f_k = h_k$, i.e., $f = h$ by Lemma 2.3.3. \square

Theorem 3.3.3

Let (A, \preceq) be a nonempty linearly ordered set with the properties:

- (i) For every $p \in A$, there exists $q \in A$ such that $p \prec q$.
 - (ii) Every nonempty subset of A that has a \preceq -least element.
 - (iii) Every nonempty subset of A that has an upper bound has a \preceq -greatest element.
- Then, (A, \preceq) is isomorphic to (\mathbb{N}, \leq) .

Proof. By (i), $\{a \in A \mid x \prec a\} \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in A$ and it has a \preceq -least element. Hence, we may define $g: A \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ by $g(x, n) \triangleq \min\{a \in A \mid x \prec a\}$. Then, The Recursion Theorem guarantees the existence of a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ such that:

- $f_0 = \min A$ by (i) and $A \neq \emptyset$
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n) = \min\{a \in A \mid f_n \prec a\}$.

By Exercise 3.3.1, we have $f_m \prec f_n$ whenever $m < n$. This also implies that f is injective.

Claim 1. $\text{ran } f = A$

Proof. Suppose $\text{ran } f \subsetneq A$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, $A \setminus \text{ran } f \neq \emptyset$, and thus we may take $p = \min(A \setminus \text{ran } f)$, which gives $p \neq f_0$ immediately. Hence, $B = \{a \in A \mid a \prec p\} \neq \emptyset$ and p is an upper bound of B . By (iii), $q = \max B$ exists. Since $q \prec p$, we have $q \in \text{ran } f$, i.e., $q = f_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose there is some $r \in A$ such that $q \prec r \prec p$. Then, $r \in B$, which contradicts the maximality of q . Hence, $p = \min\{a \in A \mid f_m \prec a\} = f_{m+1}$, which contradicts $p \notin \text{ran } f$. \square

We have $f: \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow A$ by Claim 1. Hence, by (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is Linearly Ordered and Lemma 2.5.15, f is an isomorphism between (\mathbb{N}, \leq) and (A, \preceq) . \square

Theorem 3.3.4 The Recursion Theorem: General Version

Let S be a set and let $g: \text{Seq}(S) \rightarrow S$. Then, there exists a unique sequence $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_n = g(f|_n) = g(\langle f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} \rangle).$$

Proof. Define $G: \text{Seq}(S) \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Seq}(S)$ by

$$G(t, n) = \begin{cases} t \cup \{(n, g(t))\} & \text{if } t \text{ is a sequence of length } n \\ \langle \rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by **The Recursion Theorem**, there exists a sequence $F: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Seq}(S)$ such that:

- $F_0 = \langle \rangle$
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{n+1} = G(F_n, n)$.

If $F_k \in S^k$, then $F_{k+1} = F_k \cup \{(k, g(F_k))\} \in S^{k+1}$. Hence, by **The Induction Principle**, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, F_n \in S^n$. Moreover, since $F_k \subsetneq_{\text{Seq}(S)} F_{k+1}$, by **Exercise 3.3.1**, $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \implies F_m \subsetneq F_n)$; hence $\{F_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a compatible system of functions.

Let $f \triangleq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$. Then, we have $f|_n = F_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n = F_{n+1}(n) = g(F_n) = g(f|_n)$.

Let $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$ be another sequence such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, h_n = g(h|_n)$. Suppose $\forall k < n, f_k = h_k$. Then, we have $f_n = g(f|_n) = g(h|_n) = h_n$. Therefore, by **The Strong Induction Principle**, $f = h$. \square

Theorem 3.3.5 The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version

Let $a: P \rightarrow A$ and $g: P \times A \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ be functions. Then, there uniquely exists a function $f: P \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ such that

- (i) $\forall p \in P, f(p, 0) = a(p)$
- (ii) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall p \in P, f(p, n+1) = g(p, f(p, n), n)$.

Proof. Let $G: A^P \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A^P$ be defined by

$$G(x, n)(p) = g(p, x(p), n)$$

for each $x \in A^P$, $p \in P$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by **The Recursion Theorem**, there exists $F: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A^P$ such that

$$F_0 = a \quad \text{and} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{n+1} = G(F_n, n).$$

Now, let $f: P \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ be defined by $f(p, n) = F_n(p)$. We now check if f satisfies the conditions:

- (i) For all $p \in P$, we have $f(p, 0) = F_0(p) = a(p)$.
- (ii) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in P$, $f(p, n+1) = F_{n+1}(p) = G(F_n, n)(p) = g(p, F_n(p), n) = g(p, f(p, n), n)$.

Let $h: P \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ be another function that satisfies (i) and (ii). Clear, we have $\forall p \in P, f(p, 0) = a(p) = h(p, 0)$. Assuming $\forall p \in P, f(p, n) = h(p, n)$ gives, for all $p \in P$, $f(p, n+1) = g(p, f(p, n), n) = g(p, h(p, n), n) = h(p, n+1)$. Hence, by **The Induction Principle**, we get $f = h$. \square

Selected Problems

Exercise 3.3.1

Let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ be an infinite sequence where (A, \preceq) is an ordered set. Then,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_n \prec f_{n+1} \implies \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (n < m \implies f_n \prec f_m).$$

Proof. Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{P}(x)$ be the property “ $f_n \prec f_x$.” $\mathbf{P}(n+1)$ evidently holds. Now, suppose $\mathbf{P}(k)$ holds where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, chaining $f_n \prec f_k$ and $f_k \prec f_{k+1}$ gives $\mathbf{P}(k+1)$. Therefore, by **Exercise 3.2.11**, we get $\forall m \geq n+1, f_n \prec f_m$. \square

Exercise 3.3.2

Let (A, \preceq) be a nonempty linearly ordered set. We say that $q \in A$ is a *successor* of $p \in A$ if there is no $r \in A$ such that $p \prec r \prec q$. Assume (A, \preceq) has the following properties:

- (i) Every $p \in A$ has a successor.
 - (ii) Every nonempty subset of A has a \preceq -least element.
 - (iii) If $p \in A$ is not the \preceq -least element of A , then p is a successor of some $q \in A$.
- Then, (A, \preceq) is isomorphic to (\mathbb{N}, \leq) .

Proof. By (i), for each $p \in P$, $\{q \in A \mid p \prec q\} \neq \emptyset$, and thus it has a \preceq -least element by (ii). Therefore, by [The Recursion Theorem](#), there exists a sequence $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ such that $f_0 = \min A$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_{n+1} = \min\{q \in A \mid f_n \prec q\}$.

Claim 1. $\text{ran } f = A$

Proof. Suppose $X \triangleq A \setminus \text{ran } f \neq \emptyset$ for the sake of contradiction. Then, by (ii), we may take $p = \min X$. Since $\min A = f_0 \in \text{ran } f$, p is not the \preceq -least element of A . Hence, by (iii), p is a successor of some $q \in A$. As $q \prec p$, we have $q \in \text{ran } f$ by minimality of q , i.e., $q = f_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since there is no $r \in A$ such that $q \prec r \prec p$, we have $p = f_{m+1}$ by definition, which contradicts $p \notin \text{ran } f$. \square

Since $f_n \prec f_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by [Exercise 3.3.1](#), $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m < n \implies f_m \prec f_n)$, which means f is injective.

Therefore, together with [Claim 1](#), f is an isomorphism between (\mathbb{N}, \leq) and (A, \preceq) by [Lemma 2.5.15](#). \square

Exercise 3.3.5 The Recursion Theorem: Finite Version

Let g be a function such that $\text{dom } g \subseteq A \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{ran } g \subseteq A$. Let $a \in A$. Then, there uniquely exists a sequence f of elements of A such that

- (i) $f_0 = a$
- (ii) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $[n + 1 \in \text{dom } f \implies f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n)]$
- (iii) f is either an infinite sequence or a finite sequence of length $k + 1$ and $(f_k, k) \notin \text{dom } g$.

Proof. Let $\bar{A} = A \cup \{\bar{a}\}$ where $\bar{a} \notin A$. (Such \bar{a} exists by [Exercise 1.3.3 \(ii\)](#).) Define $\bar{g} : \bar{A} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ by

$$\bar{g}(x, n) = \begin{cases} g(x, n) & \text{if } (x, n) \in \text{dom } g \\ \bar{a} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, [The Recursion Theorem](#) guarantees the existence of $\bar{f} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ such that $\bar{f}_0 = a$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{f}_{n+1} = \bar{g}(\bar{f}_n, n)$. We have two cases: “ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{f}_n \neq \bar{a}$ ” and “ $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{f}_n = \bar{a}$.” They are resolved by [Claims 1](#) and [2](#), respectively.

Claim 1. If “ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{f}_n \neq \bar{a}$,” then \bar{f} is an infinite sequence of elements of A that satisfies (i) and (ii).

Proof. The assumption essentially says that $(\bar{f}_n, n) \in \text{dom } g$ and $\bar{f}_{n+1} = g(\bar{f}_n, n) \in A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., \bar{f} satisfies (i) and (ii). As $\bar{f}_0 = a \in A$, \bar{f} is an infinite sequence of elements of A . \square

Claim 2. If “ $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \bar{f}_n = \bar{a}$,” then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bar{f}|_{k+1}$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii).

Proof. By \mathbb{N} is Well-Ordered, we have $\ell \triangleq \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \bar{f}_n = \bar{a}\}$. Since $\bar{f}_0 \in A$, we have $\ell \neq 0$, and thus $\ell = k + 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by Exercise 3.2.4. It immediately follows that $\forall n \leq k, \bar{f}_n \in A$. Hence, $f \triangleq \bar{f}|_{k+1}$ is a finite sequence of length $k + 1$ of elements of A .

We check if f satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii):

- (i) $f_0 = \bar{f}_0 = a$
- (ii) If $n < k$, i.e., $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f = k + 1$, then $f_{n+1} = \bar{f}_{n+1} = \bar{g}(\bar{f}_n, n) = g(f_n, n)$.
- (iii) If $(f_k, k) \in \text{dom } g$, then we would have $f_k = \bar{g}(f_k, k) = \bar{g}(f_k, k) = g(f_k, k) \neq \bar{a}$. Hence, we must have $(f_k, k) \notin \text{dom } g$. \square

Now, we prove the uniqueness. Let f and h be two sequences of elements of A that satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii). WLOG, $\text{dom } h \subseteq \text{dom } f$.

Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $x \in \text{dom } h \wedge f_x = h_x$.” $P(0)$ evidently holds.

Claim 3. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n + 1 \in \text{dom } f \wedge P(n) \implies P(n + 1))$

Proof. Assume $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f$ and $P(n)$. Then, since $(h_n, n) = (f_n, n) \in \text{dom } g$, $n + 1 \in \text{dom } h$ and $h_{n+1} = g(h_n, n) = g(f_n, n) = f_{n+1}$. Hence, $P(n + 1)$ holds. \square

If f is a finite sequence, Claim 3 and The Finite Induction Principle imply $h = f$. If f is an infinite sequence, Claim 3 and The Induction Principle imply $h = f$. \square

Exercise 3.3.6

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, then there is a one-to-one (finite or infinite) sequence f such that $\text{ran } f = X$.

Proof. If $X = \emptyset$, $\langle \rangle$ is the one we are looking for. Assume $X \neq \emptyset$.

Let $g = \{((x, n), y) \in (X \times \mathbb{N}) \times X \mid y = \min\{k \in X \mid x < k\}\}$. Then, g is a function with $\text{dom } g \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{ran } g \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. By The Recursion Theorem: Finite Version, there exists a sequence f of elements of X such that

- (i) $f_0 = \min X \triangleright \min X$ exists by \mathbb{N} is Well-Ordered
- (ii) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n + 1 \in \text{dom } f \implies f_{n+1} = g(f_n, n))$
- (iii) f is either an infinite sequence or a finite sequence of length $k + 1$ and $(f_k, k) \notin \text{dom } g$.

Note that $\text{dom } g = \{(x, n) \in X \times \mathbb{N} \mid \exists y \in X, x < y\}$. Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f$, we have $f_n < f_{n+1}$; hence $\forall m, n \in \text{dom } f, (m < n \implies f_m < f_n)$ (in the similar manner of Exercise 3.3.1), and thus f is injective.

Suppose $Y = X \setminus \text{ran } f \neq \emptyset$ for the sake of contradiction. By \mathbb{N} is Well-Ordered, we may take $y = \min Y$. Then, by Theorem 3.2.8, we may let $z = \max\{x \in X \mid x < y\}$. $z = f_m$ for some $m \in \text{dom } f$. Hence, $y = f_{m+1}$. \square

3.4 Arithmetic of Natural Numbers

Theorem 3.4.1

There uniquely exists a function $+: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (i) $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, +(m, 0) = m$
- (ii) $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, +(m, n + 1) = S(+(m, n))$.

Proof. The result directly follows from exploiting The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version with $A = P = \mathbb{N}$, $a(p) = p$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g(p, x, n) = S(x)$ for all $p, x, n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Definition 3.4.2: Addition

The function $+$ defined in Theorem 3.4.1 is called the *addition*.

Notation 3.4.3

For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $+(m, 1) = +(m, 0 + 1) = +(m, 0) + 1 = m + 1$. Hence, we may write $m + n$ instead of $+(m, n)$ without causing any confusion regarding Notation 3.1.2. We restate the defining properties of the addition for future reference:

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m + 0 = m \quad [1]$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m + (n + 1) = (m + n) + 1 \quad [2]$$

Theorem 3.4.4 $+$ is Commutative

Addition is commutative; that is to say

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m + n = n + m.$$

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m + x = x + m$.”

Claim 1. $P(0)$ holds.

Proof. Since $m + 0 = m$ already, we only need to prove $0 + m = m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall make use of induction. First of all $0 + 0 = 0$ holds by [1].

Suppose $0 + m = m$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 + (m + 1) &= (0 + m) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= m + 1. &> 0 + m = m \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, $0 + m = m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Claim 2. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [P(n) \implies P(n + 1)]$

Proof. Assume $P(n)$. We shall show $P(n + 1)$ holds by induction. $0 + (n + 1) = (n + 1) + 0$ is already shown by Claim 1. Hence, assume $m + (n + 1) = (n + 1) + m$ for fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + 1) + (n + 1) &= ((m + 1) + n) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= (n + (m + 1)) + 1 &> P(n) \\ &= ((n + m) + 1) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= ((m + n) + 1) + 1 &> P(n) \\ &= (m + (n + 1)) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= ((n + 1) + m) + 1 &> m + (n + 1) = (n + 1) + m \\ &= (n + 1) + (m + 1). &> [2] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, $P(n + 1)$ holds. \square

From Claim 1, Claim 2, and The Induction Principle, we get $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m + n = n + m$. \square

Theorem 3.4.5 $+$ is Associative

Addition is associative; that is to say

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k + m) + n = k + (m + n).$$

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (k + m) + x = k + (m + x)$.” $P(0)$ is direct by [1].
Now, fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $P(n)$. Then, for all $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (k + m) + (n + 1) &= ((k + m) + n) + 1 &> [2] \\ &= (k + (m + n)) + 1 &> P(n) \\ &= k + ((m + n) + 1) &> [2] \\ &= k + (m + (n + 1)). &> [2] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, the result follows. \square

Theorem 3.4.6

There uniquely exists a function $\cdot : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (i) $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot 0 = 0$
- (ii) $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot (n + 1) = m \cdot n + m$.

Proof. The result directly follows from exploiting The Recursion Theorem: Parametric Version with $A = P = \mathbb{N}$, $a(p) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g(p, x, n) = x + p$ for all $p, x, n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Definition 3.4.7: Multiplication

The function \cdot defined in Theorem 3.4.6 is called the *multiplication*.

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot 0 = 0 \quad [3]$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot (n + 1) = m \cdot n + m \quad [4]$$

Theorem 3.4.8 \cdot is Commutative

Multiplication is commutative, i.e.,

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot n = n \cdot m.$$

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot x = x \cdot m$.”

Claim 1. $P(0)$ holds.

Proof. Since $m \cdot 0 = 0$ already by [3], we only need to prove $0 \cdot m = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall make use of induction. First of all $0 \cdot 0 = 0$ holds by [3].

Suppose $0 \cdot m = 0$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \cdot (m + 1) &= 0 \cdot m + 0 &> [4] \\ &= 0 + 0 &> 0 \cdot m = 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, $0 \cdot m = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Claim 2. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [P(n) \implies P(n+1)]$

Proof. Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $P(n)$. We shall prove $P(n+1)$ by induction. We already have $0 \cdot (n+1) = (n+1) \cdot 0$ by Claim 1.

Fix any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $m \cdot (n+1) = (n+1) \cdot m$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (m+1) \cdot (n+1) &= (m+1) \cdot n + (m+1) &> [4] \\
 &= n \cdot (m+1) + (m+1) &> P(n) \\
 &= (n \cdot m + n) + (m+1) &> [4] \\
 &= (m \cdot n + n) + (m+1) &> P(n) \\
 &= (m \cdot n + m) + (n+1) &> + \text{ is Commutative, } + \text{ is Associative} \\
 &= m \cdot (n+1) + (n+1) &> [4] \\
 &= (n+1) \cdot m + (n+1) &> m \cdot (n+1) = (n+1) \cdot m \\
 &= (n+1) \cdot (m+1). &> [4]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, $P(n+1)$ holds.

From Claim 1, Claim 2, and The Induction Principle, we get $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \cdot n = n \cdot m$. \square

Theorem 3.4.9 · Distributes Over +

Multiplication is distributive over addition, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, k \cdot (m+n) &= k \cdot m + k \cdot n \quad \text{and} \\
 \forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m+n) \cdot k &= m \cdot k + n \cdot k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, k \cdot (m+x) = k \cdot m + k \cdot x$.” $P(0)$ holds by [1] and [3].

Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $P(n)$. Then, for each $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 k \cdot (m + (n+1)) &= k \cdot ((m+n) + 1) &> + \text{ is Associative} \\
 &= k \cdot (m+n) + k &> [4] \\
 &= (k \cdot m + k \cdot n) + k &> P(n) \\
 &= k \cdot m + (k \cdot n + k) &> + \text{ is Associative} \\
 &= k \cdot m + k \cdot (n+1). &> [4]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by The Induction Principle, we have $\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, k \cdot (m+n) = k \cdot m + k \cdot n$.

Now, we have, for each $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (m+n) \cdot k &= k \cdot (m+n) &> \cdot \text{ is Commutative} \\
 &= k \cdot m + k \cdot n \\
 &= m \cdot k + n \cdot k. &> \cdot \text{ is Commutative}
 \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 3.4.10 · is Associative

Multiplication is associative, i.e.,

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (k \cdot m) \cdot n = k \cdot (m \cdot n).$$

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (k \cdot m) \cdot x = k \cdot (m \cdot x)$.” $P(0)$ is direct from [3]. Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $P(n)$. Then, for each $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (k \cdot m) \cdot (n + 1) &= (k \cdot m) \cdot n + k \cdot m &> [4] \\
 &= k \cdot (m \cdot n) + k \cdot m &> P(n) \\
 &= k \cdot (m \cdot n + m) &> \cdot \text{Distributes Over } + \\
 &= k \cdot (m \cdot (n + 1)). &> [4]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result follows by The Induction Principle. \square

Selected Problems

Exercise 3.4.2

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \iff m + k < n + k)$$

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \iff m + x < n + x)$.” $P(0)$ is evident from [1].

Now, fix any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $P(k)$. Then, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 m < n &\iff m + k < n + k &> P(k) \\
 &\iff (m + k) + 1 < (n + k) + 1 &> \text{Exercise 3.2.2} \\
 &\iff m + (k + 1) < n + (k + 1). &> + \text{ is Associative}
 \end{aligned}$$

By The Induction Principle, the result follows. \square

Exercise 3.4.3

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m \leq n \iff \exists! k \in \mathbb{N}, n = m + k)$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Fix any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, x = m + k$.” $P(m)$ holds since $k = 0$ would satisfy by [1].

Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \leq n$ and assume $P(n)$. Then, there exists k such that $n = m + k$, which leads to $n + 1 = m + (k + 1)$ by $+$ is Associative. Hence, $P(n + 1)$ holds. Therefore, $\forall n \geq m, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n = m + k$ by Exercise 3.2.11.

To prove the uniqueness, assume $m + k = m + \ell$ where $k, \ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$. WLOG, $k \leq \ell$. If it were $k < \ell$, by Exercise 3.4.2 and $+$ is Commutative, we must have $m + k = k + m < \ell + m = \ell + m$. Hence, $k = \ell$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (n = m + x \implies m \leq n)$.” We have evidently $P(0)$ by [1].

Fix any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $P(k)$. Then, for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = m + (k + 1)$, we have $n = (m + 1) + k$ thanks to $+$ is Commutative and $+$ is Associative, and thus $m < m + 1 \leq n$ by $P(k)$. Hence, by The Induction Principle, the result follows. \square

Exercise 3.4.6

$$\forall k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, [k \neq 0 \implies (m < n \iff m \cdot k < n \cdot k)]$$

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “ $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m < n \iff m \cdot k < n \cdot k)$.” $P(1)$ holds since, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot 1 &= n \cdot (0 + 1) &> [1], + \text{ is Commutative} \\ &= n \cdot 0 + n &> [4] \\ &= 0 + n &> [3] \\ &= n. &> [1], + \text{ is Commutative} \end{aligned}$$

Now, fix any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $P(k)$. Then, for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} m \cdot (k + 1) &= m \cdot k + m &> [4] \\ &< m \cdot k + n &> \text{Exercise 3.4.2} \\ &< n \cdot k + n &> P(k), + \text{ is Commutative, Exercise 3.4.2} \\ &= n \cdot (k + 1). &> [4] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Exercise 3.2.11, the result follows. \square

3.5 Operations and Structures

Definition 3.5.1: Operation

- A *unary operation* on S is a function on a subset of S into S .
- A *binary operation* on S is a function on a subset of S^2 into S .

Notation 3.5.2: Binary Operation

Non-letter symbols such as $+$, \times , $*$, Δ , etc., are often used to denote operations. The value of the operation $*$ at (x, y) is then denoted $x * y$ rather than $*(x, y)$.

Definition 3.5.3: Closedness Under Operation

Let f be a binary operation on S and $A \subseteq S$. A is said to be *closed under the operation* f if $\forall x, y \in A, [(x, y) \in \text{dom } f \implies f(x, y) \in A]$.

Definition 3.5.4: n -Tuple

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. An n -tuple is a finite sequence of length n .

Note:-

Let $\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ and $\langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$ be two n -tuples. We have, by Lemma 2.3.3,

$$\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle = \langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle \iff \forall i < n, a_i = b_i.$$

This satisfies the usual defining property of n -tuple.

Note:-

- If $\langle A_i \mid 0 \leq i < n \rangle$ is a finite sequence (of sets), then the product of the indexed system of sets $\prod_{0 \leq i < n} A_i$ (Definition 2.3.16) is just the set of all n -tuples $a = \langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ such that $\forall i < n, a_i \in A_i$.
- If $\forall i < n, A_i = A$, then $\prod_{0 \leq i < n} A_i = A^n$.

- $A^0 = \{\langle \rangle\}$.

Notation 3.5.5

The ‘ordered pair’ (Definition 2.1.1), $(a_0, a_1) = \{\{a_0\}, \{a_0, a_1\}\}$, is different set from the ‘2-tuple’ (Definition 3.5.4), $\langle a_0, a_1 \rangle = \{(0, a_0), (1, a_1)\}$. Consequently, $A_0 \times A_1$ (Definition 2.2.10) does not generally equal to $\prod_{0 \leq i < 2} A_i$ (Definition 2.3.16).

However, since there is a natural one-to-one correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : A_0 \times A_1 &\longleftrightarrow \prod_{0 \leq i < 2} A_i \\ (a_0, a_1) &\longmapsto \langle a_0, a_1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for almost all practical purposes—when only the defining property of n -tuple is needed—it makes so difference which definition one uses.

Therefore, we do not distinguish between ordered pairs and 2-tuples now on. That is to say we use notations

$$\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$$

interchangeably from now on.

Definition 3.5.6: n -ary Relation

An n -ary relation R in A is a subset of A^n . We write $R(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ instead of $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \in R$.

Definition 3.5.7: n -ary Operation

An n -ary operation F on A is a function on a subset of A^n into A . We write $F(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ instead of $F(\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle)$.

Note:-

- 1-ary relations in A need not be distinguished from subsets of A .
- 1-ary operations on A need not be distinguished from functions on a subset of A into A .
- Nonempty 0-ary operations on A need not be distinguished from A . (A nonempty 0-ary operation is of the form $\{(\langle \rangle, a)\}$ where $a \in A$; a nonempty 0-ary operation is called a *constant*.)

Definition 3.5.8: Structure

- A type τ is an ordered pair $(\langle r_0, \dots, r_{m-1} \rangle, \langle f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \rangle)$ of finite sequences of natural numbers.
- A structure of type τ is a triple

$$\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$$

where R_i is an r_i -ary relation on A for each $i < m$ and F_j is an f_j -ary operation on A for each $j < n$. In addition, we require $F_j \neq \emptyset$ if $f_j = 0$, i.e., F_j should be constant. A is called the *universe* of the structure \mathfrak{A} .

Example 3.5.9

$\mathfrak{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \langle \leq \rangle, \langle 0, +, \cdot \rangle)$ is a structure of type $(\langle 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 2 \rangle)$.

Notation 3.5.10

We often write the structure of type $(\langle r_0, \dots, r_{m-1} \rangle, \langle f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \rangle)$ as a $(1+m+n)$ -tuple, for example, $(\mathbb{N}, \leq, 0, +, \cdot)$, when it is understood which symbol represent relations and which operations.

Definition 3.5.11: Isomorphism Between Structures

Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{A}' be structures of the same type $\tau = (\langle r_0, \dots, r_{m-1} \rangle, \langle f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \rangle)$. Write $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$ and $\mathfrak{A}' = (A', \langle R'_0, \dots, R'_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F'_0, \dots, F'_{n-1} \rangle)$. An *isomorphism* between structures \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{A}' is a mapping $h: A \hookrightarrow A'$ such that

- (i) $\forall i < m, \forall a \in A^{r_i}, [R_i(a_0, \dots, a_{r_i-1}) \iff R'_i(h(a_0), \dots, h(a_{r_i-1}))]$
- (ii) $\forall j < n, \forall a \in A^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \iff (h(a_0), \dots, h(a_{f_j-1})) \in \text{dom } F'_j]$
- (iii) $\forall j < n, \forall a \in A^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \implies h(F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1})) = F'_j(h(a_0), \dots, h(a_{f_j-1}))]$.

Definition 3.5.12: Automorphism

An isomorphism between a structure \mathfrak{A} and itself is called an *automorphism*.

Definition 3.5.13: Closed Set

Fix a structure $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$. A set $B \subseteq A$ is called *closed* if

$$\forall j < n, \forall a \in B^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \implies F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in B].$$

Definition 3.5.14: Closure

Fix a structure $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$. Let $C \subseteq A$. The *closure* of C ,

$$\bar{C} \triangleq \bigcap \{B \subseteq A \mid C \subseteq B \text{ and } B \text{ is closed}\},$$

is the least closed set containing all elements of C .

Theorem 3.5.15

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$ be a structure and let $C \subseteq A$. If the sequence $\langle C_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ is defined recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= C; \\ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, C_{i+1} &= C_i \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} F_j[C_i^{f_j}], \end{aligned}$$

then $\bar{C} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i$.

Proof. Note the recursive definition is justified by The Recursion Theorem. Let $\tilde{C} \triangleq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i$.

Claim 1. $\bar{C} \subseteq \tilde{C}$

Proof. Since we have $C_0 \subseteq \tilde{C}$, it is enough to show that \tilde{C} is closed.

Take any $j < n$ and $a \in \tilde{C}^{f_j}$. By the definition of \tilde{C} , $\forall r < f_j, \exists i_r \in \mathbb{N}, a_r \in C_{i_r}$. We may take $\bar{i} = \max\{i_r \mid r < f_j\}$ by Exercise 3.5.13. Since $C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $a_r \in C_{i_r} \subseteq C_{\bar{i}}$ for all $r < f_j$. Hence, if $(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j$, we have $F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in F_j[C_{\bar{i}}^{f_j}] \subseteq C_{\bar{i}+1} \subseteq \tilde{C}$. Hence, \tilde{C} is closed. \square

Claim 2. $\tilde{C} \subseteq \bar{C}$

Proof. Clearly $C_0 = C \subseteq \bar{C}$. If $C_i \subseteq \bar{C}$, then, for each $j < n$, $F_j[C_i^{f_j}] \subseteq \bar{C}$ since \bar{C} is closed. Hence, $C_{i+1} \subseteq \bar{C}$. Therefore, by The Induction Principle, $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, C_i \subseteq \bar{C}$; hence $\tilde{C} \subseteq \bar{C}$. \square

Combining Claims 1 and 2 completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.5.16 The General Induction Principle

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \langle R_0, \dots, R_{m-1} \rangle, \langle F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} \rangle)$ be a structure and let $C \subseteq A$. Let $P(x)$ be a property. If

- (i) $\forall a \in C, P(a)$
 - (ii) $\forall j < n, \forall a \in A^{f_j}, [(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}) \in \text{dom } F_j \wedge \forall i < f_j, P(a_i) \implies P(F_j(a_0, \dots, a_{f_j-1}))]$
- hold, then $\forall x \in \bar{C}, P(x)$.

Proof. Let $B = \{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$. (i) says $C \subseteq B$ and (ii) says B is closed. Therefore, $\bar{C} \subseteq B$. \square

Note:-

The Induction Principle is a special case of The General Induction Principle for the structure (\mathbb{N}, S) where S is the successor function.

Selected Problems

Exercise 3.5.4

Let $B = \mathcal{P}(A)$. Show that (B, \cup_B, \cap_B) and (B, \cap_B, \cup_B) are isomorphic structures.

Proof. Let $h: B \rightarrow B$ be defined by $h(X) = A \setminus X$. If $A \setminus X = A \setminus Y$, then $X = A \setminus (A \setminus X) = A \setminus (A \setminus Y) = Y$ by Exercise 1.4.2 (iii). Moreover, $h(h(X)) = X$ for all $X \in B$. Hence, $h: B \hookrightarrow B$. \square

Exercise 3.5.7

Let R be a set whose elements are n -tuples. Then, R is an n -ary relation in A for some A .

Proof. Let $a \in R$. Then, $a = \{(0, a_0), \dots, (n-1, a_{n-1})\}$. For each $i < n$, $a_i \in \{i, a_i\} \in (i, a_i) \in a \in R$. Hence, $a_i \in \bigcup \left[\bigcup \left(\bigcup R \right) \right]$, i.e., R is an n -ary relation in $A = \bigcup \left[\bigcup \left(\bigcup R \right) \right]$. \square

Exercise 3.5.13

Let $\langle k_0, \dots, k_{n-1} \rangle$ be a finite sequence of natural numbers of length $n \geq 1$. Then, its range $\{k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}\}$ has a greatest element.

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be the property “the range of a finite sequence of natural numbers of length x has a greatest element.”

Let $\langle k_0 \rangle$ be a sequence of natural numbers of length 1. Then, $k_0 = \max \text{ran} \langle k_0 \rangle$. Hence, $P(1)$.

Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $P(n)$. Take any $k \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{N})$ with length $n + 1$. Let $k' = \langle k_0, \dots, k_{n-1} \rangle$ be another sequence. Then, by $P(n)$, there exists $m' = \max\{k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}\}$. Now, let $m = \max\{m', k_n\}$. Then, for all $i < n$, $k_i \leq m' \leq m$, and $k_n \leq m$. Hence, m is an upper bound of $\text{ran } k$; the result follows by Theorem 3.2.8 and Exercise 3.2.11. \square

Exercise 3.5.15

Let $R \subseteq A^2$ be a binary relation. Define a binary operation F_R on A^2 by

$$F_R((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)) = \begin{cases} (a_1, b_2) & \text{if } a_2 = b_1 \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,

- (i) The closure of R in (A^2, F_R) is a transitive relation.
- (ii) If R is reflexive and symmetric, \bar{R} is also an equivalence.

Proof.

- (i) Take any $a, b, c \in A$ and assume $a\bar{R}b$ and $b\bar{R}c$. Then, since \bar{R} is closed, $F((a, b), (b, c)) = (a, c) \in \bar{R}$. Hence, \bar{R} is transitive.
- (ii) $\text{Id}_A \subseteq R \subseteq \bar{R}$; \bar{R} is reflexive.

Let $P(x, y)$ be the property “ $y\bar{R}x$.” As $R \subseteq \bar{R}$, we have $\forall (a, b) \in R, P(a, b)$. Now, take any $(a, b), (b, c) \in A^2$ such that $P(a, b)$ and $P(b, c)$. Then, by (i), we have $c\bar{R}a$; $P(F_R((a, b), (b, c)))$ hold. Therefore, by The General Induction Principle, $b\bar{R}a$ holds for all $(a, b) \in \bar{R}$. \square

End.