

MATH 593 - Module

A Ressegetes Stery

October 1, 2023

Contents

1	Module	2
2	Morphism of R-Modules	3
3	Construction of Submodules	3
4	Free Modules	3
5	Finiteness Conditions on Modules	3

1 Module

Definition 1.1 (R -Module). An (left) **R -Module** M is a set with two operations, often denoted as $(M, +, \times)$:

- **Addition** $(+): M \times M \rightarrow M$, s.t. $(M, +)$ is an abelian group.
- **Multiplication** $(\times): R \times M \rightarrow M$, s.t. it has the following properties:
 - Identity. For all $x \in M$, there exists $1 \in R$ s.t. $1 \cdot x = x$.
 - Associativity. For all $a, b \in R, x \in M$, $a(bx) = (ab)x$.
 - Distributivity in R . For all $a_1, a_2 \in R, (a_1 + a_2)x = a_1x + a_2x$.
 - Distributivity in M . For all $a \in R, x_1, x_2 \in M$, $a(x_1 + x_2) = ax_1 + ax_2$.

Right modules are defined with the same structure, but with $a \times b = b \cdot a$ for $a \in R, b \in M$, where \times is the multiplication in M , and \cdot the multiplication in R .

Definition 1.2 (Submodule). Let $(M, +, \times)$ be an R -module. $N \subseteq M$ is a **R -submodule** of M if $(N, +)$ is a subgroup of M ; and for all $n \in N, r \in R, n \times r \in N$.

Remark 1.1. Notice that R itself gives an R -module, just as \mathbb{K} gives a \mathbb{K} -vector space. Therefore $\langle S, \varphi \rangle$ an R -algebra induces a two-sided R -module structure. Check that this is indeed the case:

- **Addition**. Adopt the addition in S as a ring.
- **Identity**: Since ring homomorphisms map identity to identity, $\varphi(1_R) = 1_S$, implying that 1_R is the identity for scalar multiplication.
- **Associativity**. Results from the fact that multiplication in S is associative.
- **Distributivity in R/M** . Follows from the fact that φ is a ring homomorphism.

In this sense, module generalizes the algebra structure. Generally one cannot “revert” the structure of a module back to an ideal. Specifically, suppose that R is not commutative, then R is not an R -algebra.

Remark 1.2. (Left) ideals of R are submodules of R taken as an R -submodule.

Remark 1.3. Let M be an abelian group. Making M into a (left) R -module is equivalent to specifying a ring homomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$, where $\text{End}(\cdot)$ denotes the ring of endomorphisms on the specific structure.

It is worth noticing how the ring of endomorphism structure is defined. Specifically, the multiplication is the composition of endomorphisms on M . This can be viewed in two aspects:

- The associativity for R -modules is essentially stating that multiplication, i.e. elements of R “acting” on those in M is associative. Applying one action after another is the same as applying the composition of action.
- Consider the definition of function as a set of pairs. Then

$$R \times M \rightarrow M \cong (R \rightarrow M) \rightarrow M \cong R \rightarrow (M \rightarrow M)$$

as the application of functions is associative.

In particular, in the consideration of \mathbb{Z} -modules, the map $\varphi_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$ is determined uniquely by the requirement that $1 \mapsto 1_M = \text{Id}_M$. Since addition and multiplication should be preserved, $n \mapsto n \cdot \text{Id}_M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. With the specification above one could observe the correspondence:

- $\{\mathbb{Z} \text{ modules}\} \iff \{\text{Abelian groups}\}$
- $\{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \text{ modules}\} \iff \{\text{Abelian groups } M \text{ s.t. } nx = 0 \forall x \in M\}$

2 Morphism of R -Modules

3 Construction of Submodules

4 Free Modules

5 Finiteness Conditions on Modules