# MATH 594 - Representation of Finite Groups

# ARessegetes Stery

### March 13, 2024

# **Contents**

1	Complex Representation	2
2	Interpretation via the Group Algebra	3
3	Examples of Representations	4
4	Irreducible Representations	5
5	Character Theory	5

#### 1 Complex Representation

The motivation of introducing the representation of G is to have a linearized version of group action on sets. Recall that we have the correspondence between action of G on a set X and group homomorphism  $G \to S_x$  where  $S_x$  is the group of bijective maps on S, with the operation defined as composition. Explicitly, this is given by

$$\varphi: G \times X \to X \quad \leadsto \quad G \to S_x, \ g \mapsto \varphi(g, -) \in (X \to X)$$

We now give the formal definition on vector spaces:

**Definition 1.1** (Representation). A **(complex) representation** of a group G is a vector space V over  $\mathbb{C}$ , together with a group homomorphism

$$\rho: G \to \mathrm{GL}(V) := \{ \varphi: V \to V \mid \varphi \mathbb{C}\text{-linear isomorphism} \}$$

Equivalently, a representation of G is a vector space over  $\mathbb C$  with an action of G on  $V \rho : G \times V \to V$  s.t. for all  $g \in G$ , the induced map  $\varphi(g,-)$  os  $\mathbb C$ -linear.

**Notation.** The map  $\rho(g,-):V\to V$  is often abbreviated as  $\rho_g$ . The representation is denoted by V or  $\rho$ , with V emphasizing the vector space structure.

**Definition 1.2** (Dimension of Repr.). The **dimension** of the representation is  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ , with the same notation as above.

For most of the time, we will only consider the representation of finite groups on finite-dimensional vector spaces.

Remark 1.3. In general, one can consider representations over other fields than  $\mathbb{C}$ . The reasons why  $\mathbb{C}$  is chosen are the followings:

- 1) If G is finite, then  $|G| \in \mathbb{C}$  is always invertible.
- 2)  $\mathbb C$  is algebraically closed. The implications include, for example, every linear map has an eigenvalue.

These specialties will often appear in subsequent proofs.

**Definition 1.4** (Morphism of Repr.). Given two representations of G, V and W, a **morphism of representations** (or simply G-morphism) is a linear map  $f: V \to W$  s.t. f(gv) = g(fv) for all  $g \in G$ ,  $v \in V$ . This is an **isomorphism** if f is further bijective.

Remark 1.5. Following from the definitions we have the immediate results:

- 1) If  $V_1 \xrightarrow{f} V_2 \xrightarrow{g} V_3$  are morphisms of representation, then so is  $g \circ f$  since g(f(hv)) = g(hf(v)) = h(g(f(v))) for all  $h \in G$ ,  $v \in V$ . This gives the morphisms of objects, i.e. representations of G give a category.
- 2) If  $f: V \to W$  is an isomorphism of representations, then so is  $f^{-1}$  (simply by writing the equation for definition in the inverse order).
- 3) If V and W are representations of G, then  $\{f: V \to W \mid f G\text{-morphism}\} \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, W)$  gives a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector subspace.

This is clear as by the fact that f is linear, V as a representation is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

**Remark 1.6.** Given a finite-dimensional representation  $\rho: G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ , choosing a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of V gives us an isomorphism  $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ , i.e. we have the description of representations in matrices

$$\rho: G \to \mathrm{GL}(V) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}), \qquad g \mapsto \rho_g = (a_{ij}(g))$$

This implies that two representations are isomorphic if and only if there exists some matrix  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  s.t.  $(a_{ij}(g)) = A(b_{ij}(g))$ . In particular, applying the result twice gives that (with identification of representations and its matrix form)  $\rho_g = A\rho'_q A^{-1}$ , i.e. conjugate representations are isomorphic. Such morphisms of representations (A) are equivariant.

**Definition 1.7** (Sub-representation). Given a representation V of G, a **sub-representation** of V is a vector space  $W \subseteq V$  s.t.  $gv \in W$  for all  $v \in W, g \in G$ .

**Remark 1.8.** In particular, for W a sub-representation of V, it is itself a representation with the map  $\rho'$  being  $\rho(-)|_W$ . The inclusion  $W \hookrightarrow V$ ,  $x \mapsto X$  is a morphism of representation.

#### 2 Interpretation via the Group Algebra

Similar to the case of group action where we interpreted the structure of group action by the group homomorphism  $G \to S_x$ , we would like to have some equivalence to structures that are more explicit, and easier to analyze. This introduces the following definitions:

**Definition 2.1** (Group Algebra). Let G be a group. Then the **group algebra over**  $\mathbb{C}$ , denoted  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  is a vector space with a basis  $\{\alpha(g) \mid g \in G\}$  in bijection with elements in G (formally). Endow it with a multiplication  $\alpha(g) \cdot \alpha(g) = \alpha(gh)$  compatible with the group structure gives the desired ring structure.

**Remark 2.2.** Verifying the ring axioms, we have the fact that the identity in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  to be  $\alpha(e)$ . This is in fact a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra, with the associated morphism given by  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}[G]$ . Since the image of it are scalars, it is clearly in the center of the group.

Notice that G is not necessarily a finite group. Therefore the vector space can be infinite-dimensional, which we have imposed the requirement that every element should be a finite sum of linear combination of basis. In the following deduction, denote  $\sum'$  to be the finite sum.

**Proposition 2.3.** The group algebra is well-defined.

*Proof.* This is clear for the cases where G is finite. Consider the case where G is infinite. Then by definition of the group algebra, for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ , we have their decomposition into elements in the basis:

$$u = \sum_{g \in G}' a_g \alpha(g), \qquad v \in \sum_{g \in G}' b_g \alpha(g)$$

Multiplying these two terms together gives

$$u \cdot v = \sum_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{g_1 g_2 = g} (a_{g_1} b_{g_2}) \right) \alpha(g)$$

Furthermore there are only finitely many such  $a_g$ s and  $b_g$ s being nonzero, implying that there are only finitely many nonzero such products.

**Notation.** If G is abelian, and the correspondence of elements in G and in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  is written additively. Instead of  $\alpha(g)$  one usually writes  $\chi^g$  (with the convention that  $\chi^g \cdot \chi^h = \chi^{g+h}$ ).

Remark 2.4.  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  is a commutative ring if and only if G is an abelian group. "Only if" is clear as if  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  is commutative implies for all  $g,h\in G$ , they commute. "If" results from the fact that for every element in  $x\in\mathbb{C}[G]$  there exists a scalar  $\lambda$  s.t.  $\lambda x=\alpha(g)$  for some  $g\in G$  as  $\mathbb{C}$  is a field.

**Example 2.5.** If  $G=(\mathbb{Z},+)$ , identifying  $x\leftrightarrow \chi^x$  for  $x\in\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $\mathbb{C}[G]\simeq\bigoplus_{m]in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}\chi^m\simeq S^{-1}\mathbb{C}[x]$  for  $S=\langle x\rangle=\{1,x,x^2,\dots\}$ . These are the Laurent Polynomials.

If  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +)$ , we have the identification  $x^n = 1$ , giving  $\mathbb{C}[G] \simeq \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** We have the identification between representations of G and  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules. Morphisms and sub-objects (sub-representations and submodules) are also in correspondence.

*Proof.* It suffices to verify 1), as identifications in 2) and 3) are induced by 1).

Suppose that V is a representation of G, Then V has a structure of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module, whose addition is the same as in the vector space, and scalar multiplication is given by

$$\left(\sum_{g\in G}' (a_g \cdot \alpha(g))\right) \cdot v = \sum_{g\in G}' (a_g \cdot \alpha(gv))$$

Conversely, if M is a  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module, then it has a vector space structure via considering the action  $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[G]$  which acts on M; and the linear map is given by (g, -), where  $(g, x) \mapsto \alpha(g) \cdot x$  as specified by the  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  module.

Remark 2.7. In general, for a representation over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of G, it can be identified with  $\mathbb{F}[G]$ .

# 3 Examples of Representations

The following gives some common examples of representations:

1) Suppose that G acts on a set X. Let V be the free  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space associated to X, with basis  $\{\alpha(u) \mid u \in X\}$  in bijection with X. Define  $G \stackrel{\rho}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{GL}(V)$ ,  $g \mapsto \rho_g$ , with  $\rho_g(\alpha(u)) = \alpha(gu)$ . This is the <u>permutation representation</u> associated with X. This is essentially just the group action, as the representation is completely fixed via specifying its behavior on elements in X (i.e. with coefficient 1).

- 2) Example 1) applied to the action of G on itself,  $G \times G \to G$ ,  $(g,h) \mapsto (gh)$  induces a representation  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ . This is the regular representation of G. Viewed under the context of Proposition 2.6, this is the standard left  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module structure of itself (rings are left-modules over itself).
- 3) Direct sum of representations. If  $\rho_V: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  and  $\rho_W: G \to \operatorname{GL}(W)$  are representations of G, then we can get a representation  $\rho: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V \oplus W)$ , given by

$$\rho_g = (\rho_q^V, \rho_q^W) : G \times (V \oplus W) \to (V \oplus W), \quad (g, v \oplus w) \mapsto (gv, gw)$$

Under the context of Proposition 2.6, this corresponds to the direct sum of modules.

4) Tensor product of representations. Suppose that we have  $\rho: G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$  and  $\rho': G \to \mathrm{GL}(V')$  two representations of G. Then we can have

$$\widetilde{\rho} = \rho \otimes \rho' : G \to GL(V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V'), \quad g \mapsto (\rho_g \otimes \rho_g')$$

This is indeed a group homomorphism, as tensor product of maps behave functorially. That is, it commutes with composition of maps by the universal property of tensor product:

$$(f \otimes g) \circ (f' \otimes g') = (f \circ f') \otimes (g \circ g')$$

#### 4 Irreducible Representations

#### 5 Character Theory