

MATH 594 - Group

ARessegetes Stery

February 22, 2024

Contents

1	Group Preliminaries	2
2	Group of Permutations	5
3	Groups Generated by a Subset	6
4	The Dihedral Group	7
5	Product of Groups	8
6	Congruence Relations	9
7	Normal Subgroup, Quotient Group and Isomorphism Theorems	11
8	Symmetric and Alternating Group	16
9	Classification of Groups of Small Order	18
10	Group Action on Sets	18
11	Sylow Theorems	18
12	Application of Sylow Theorems	18
13	Finite Simple Groups	18

1 Group Preliminaries

Definition 1.1 (Group). A **group** is a set G together with a binary operation $G \times G \rightarrow G$, often written $(a, b) \mapsto a \cdot b$ or simply ab , s.t. the following properties are satisfied:

1. *Associativity:* $(ab)c = a(bc)$ for all $a, b, c \in G$.
2. *Existence of Identity:* There exists $e = e_G \in G$ s.t. $\forall a \in G, ae = a = ea$.
3. *Existence of Inverse:* For all $a \in G$, there exists $b \in G$ s.t. $ab = e = ba$.

Furthermore, if the operation is commutative, i.e. for all $a, b \in G, ab = ba$, then the group is **commutative**, or **abelian**.

Notation. If the group G is abelian, then the operation is often represented in additive notations (with operation denoted as “+”, and inverse of $a \in G$ being $-a$).

Remark 1.2. One implicitly presented condition is that the operation of groups need to be closed within the set predefined. This is indicated by the signature of the operation, which should land in G . This often needs to be checked when the group structure is defined in some larger structure.

Remark 1.3. From the definition of group there are some immediate facts/properties:

- 1) The identity in the group is unique. Suppose that there exist two identity elements e and e' , then by rule 2 $e = ee' = e'$.
- 2) For a given element in the group, the inverse of it is unique. Let b and b' both be the inverse of some $a \in G$. Then

$$b = b(ab') = (ba)b' = b'$$

the uniqueness allows us to unambiguously denote the inverse of a as a^{-1} . This also implies $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$, as clearly by the previous process a is the inverse of a^{-1} ; and the inverse is unique.

- 3) $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$. By the uniqueness of the inverse element, it suffices to check that the claimed inverse satisfies rule 2. This is indeed the case as

$$(ab)(b^{-1}a^{-1}) = (a(bb^{-1}))a^{-1} = (ae)a^{-1} = aa^{-1} = e$$

and for multiplication in the other sequence the checking is similar.

- 4) For $a, b, c \in G$, then $ab = ac \implies b = c$; and $ba = ca \implies b = c$. This results directly from the fact that a is invertible; and multiplying on the left/right, respectively, a , gives the desired result.

Remark 1.4. The associativity of operation in the groups gives the unambiguity of writing successive multiplications. Rigorously, when written $x_1 \dots x_n$ for $n \geq 2$, it is defined inductively on n via specifying the result to be $(x_1 \dots x_{n-1})x_n$. The convention is that for $n = 0$ this is simply the identity.

In particular one can unambiguously write out the power of an element:

$$a^n := \begin{cases} \underbrace{a \dots a}_n & n > 0 \\ e & n = 0 \\ \underbrace{a \dots a}_{-n} & n < 0 \end{cases}$$

This gives $a^m \cdot a^n = a^{m+n}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The cases where m and n are of the same sign are clear; and for those of opposite sign, applying the same elimination process as Remark 1.3 3) gives the desired result.

If G is abelian, in additive notation we often denote $n \cdot a := a^n$.

Definition 1.5. If G and H are groups, a **group homomorphism** $f : G \rightarrow H$ is a map s.t. $f(a \cdot b) = f(a) \cdot f(b)$ for all $a, b \in G$.

Proposition 1.6. If $f : G \rightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism, then $f(e_G) = e_H$, and $f(a^{-1}) = (f(a))^{-1}$.

Proof. By Remark 1.3 4) and the property of identity, we have

$$f(e_G) \cdot e_H = f(e_G) = f(e_G \cdot e_G) = f(e_G) \cdot f(e_G) \implies e_H = f(e_G)$$

For the second statement, use the above result:

$$e_H = f(e_G) = f(a \cdot a^{-1}) = f(a) \cdot f(a^{-1})$$

By the definition $(f(a))^{-1}$ is the inverse of $f(a)$. By the uniqueness of inverse this gives $f(a^{-1}) = (f(a))^{-1}$. □

Remark 1.7. Given $f : G \rightarrow H, g : H \rightarrow K$ which are both f and g are group homomorphisms, then $f \circ g$ is also a group homomorphism. This results from the fact that

$$f(g(a \cdot b)) = f(g(a) \cdot g(b)) = f(g(a)) \cdot f(g(b))$$

The fact that morphism is closed w.r.t. composition implies that the groups form a category Grps.

Definition 1.8. If G and H are groups, then $f : G \rightarrow H$ is a **group isomorphism** if it is a bijective group homomorphism.

Proposition 1.9. $f : G \rightarrow H$ being a group homomorphism is a group isomorphism if and only if there exists a group homomorphism $g : H \rightarrow G$ s.t. $g \circ f = \text{Id}_G$, and $f \circ g = \text{Id}_H$.

Proof. It suffices to show implication in two directions:

\Rightarrow : Since f is bijective, there must admit a (pointwise) inverse of f s.t. $f^{-1} \circ f = \text{Id}_G, f \circ f^{-1} = \text{Id}_H$. Define $g = f^{-1}$. It suffices to check that g is a group homomorphism. To prove this we need to verify that for all $u, v \in H, g(u \cdot v) = g(u) \cdot g(v)$.

Since f is bijective, f is in particular injective, i.e. $a = b$ if and only if $f(a) = f(b)$ for all $a, b \in G$. Therefore to verify the equality above it suffices to verify the equality after applying f , i.e. $f \circ g(u \cdot v) = f \circ g(u) \cdot f \circ g(v)$. Then the equality holds as $f \circ g = \text{Id}_H$.

\Leftarrow : Prove the contrapositive. If f is not injective, then g cannot be well-defined; and if f is not surjective, then the domain of the composition $f \circ g$ is not the whole H .

□

Remark 1.10. Recall that under the context of categories, isomorphisms are defined as in Proposition 1.9. The same proposition implies that group isomorphisms are isomorphisms in the categorical sense.

Remark 1.11. If there exists an isomorphism $f : G \rightarrow H$ between groups G and H , then G and H are considered as **isomorphic**, denoted $G \cong H$. This is an equivalence relation as compositions of isomorphisms are still isomorphisms.

Definition 1.12. Let G be a group. Then a **subgroup** of G is a subset $H \subseteq G$, which is in it self a group; and the inclusion map $i : H \hookrightarrow G$ is a group homomorphism. H being the subgroup of G is denoted as $H \leq G$.

Remark 1.13. The fact that the inclusion map is required to be a group homomorphism implies that the operation in H is simply the restriction of the operation in G .

Proposition 1.14. Let G be a group, and $H \subseteq G$ a subset. Then the followings are equivalent:

- i) H is a subgroup of G .
- ii) The following three conditions are satisfied:
 - 1) For all $a, b \in H$, $a \cdot b \in H$.
 - 2) $e_G \in H$.
 - 3) (Under the same operation of G) $a^{-1} \in H$ for all $a \in H$.
- iii) H is nonempty; and for all $x, y \in H$, $x \cdot y^{-1} \in H$.

The third condition is often used to test whether $H \subseteq G$ gives a subgroup.

Proof. Verify the following implications:

- i) \implies ii). By the definition of subgroup, H together with the same operation is a group, which by the definition of group is closed w.r.t. the group; and every element should admit an inverse. By the fact that i is an inclusion, and by Proposition 1.6 $i(e_H) = e_G$ with $e_G = e_H$.
- ii) \implies i). Check that H is a group: associativity is given by the fact that the operation is identical to that in G . and G is a group; existence of inverse and identity results directly from hypothesis 2) and 3); and the operation is defined as $H \times H \rightarrow H$ given by hypothesis 1).
- ii) \implies iii). By 2) H is nonempty. For all $x, y \in H$, by 3) $y^{-1} \in H$; and by 1) $x \cdot y^{-1} \in H$ given that both x and y^{-1} are in H .

- iii) \implies ii). Since H is nonempty, there exists $a \in H$. iii) implies that $a \cdot a^{-1} = e_G \in H$, giving 2). For all $a \in H$, let $x = e_G$ and $y = a$, which gives $a^{-1} \in H$, satisfying 3). For all $a, b \in H$, letting $x = a, y = b^{-1}$ gives $a \cdot b \in H$.

□

Proposition 1.15. Let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be a group homomorphism, then if $G' \leq G$, then $f(G') \leq H$.

Proof. Apply the result of Proposition 1.14. Since $G' \leq G$, $e_G \in G'$, and bby Proposition 1.6, $f(e_G) = e_H$, giving that $f(G')$ is nonempty. For all $x, y \in f(G')$, let $u, v \in G'$ s.t. $x = f(u), y = f(v)$. Since G' is a subgroup of G , $u \cdot v^{-1} \in G'$. By Proposition 1.6, this implies $f(u) \cdot f(v^{-1}) = f(u) \cdot f(v^{-1}) \in f(G')$, which gives that $f(G') \leq H$. □

Proposition 1.16. Let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be a group homomorphism. If $H' \leq H$, then $f^{-1}(H') \leq G$. In particular, $f^{-1}(e_H) = \ker f := \{u \in G \mid f(u) = e_H\}$ is a subgroup of G .

Proof. Apply the same argument as in the above proposition. $H' \leq H \implies e_H \in H' \implies e_G \in f^{-1}(H')$, i.e. $f^{-1}(H')$ is nonempty. For all $u, v \in f^{-1}(H')$, $f(u \cdot v^{-1}) = f(u)f(v)^{-1} \in H'$ since $H' \leq H$, which implies that $u \cdot v^{-1} \in f^{-1}(H')$, i.e. $f^{-1}(H')$ is a group. □

Proposition 1.17. Let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be a group homomorphism. Then f is injective if and only if $\ker f = \{e_G\}$.

Proof. Proceed by showing implication in both directions:

\implies : Let $u \in \ker f$. Then $f(a) = f(a) \cdot e = f(a) \cdot f(u) = f(a \cdot u)$. But f being injective implies that $a = a \cdot u$, i.e. $u = e$.

\Leftarrow : For $u, v \in G$ s.t. $f(u) = f(v)$, we have $e = f(u) \cdot (f(v))^{-1} = f(u) \cdot f(v^{-1}) = f(u \cdot v^{-1}) \implies \text{that } u \cdot v^{-1} \in \ker f$. But since the only element in $\ker f$ is the identity, this gives $u \cdot v^{-1} = e \implies u = v$, i.e. f is injective. □

2 Group of Permutations

Definition 2.1. Given a set Ω , the **permutation group** is defined to be $S_\Omega := \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \mid f \text{ bijection}\}$. Since compositions of bijective maps are still bijective, defining the operation to be composition gives this a group structure.

Remark 2.2. Notice that the permutation group structure depends only on the cardinality of the group on which permutations are considered. Explicitly, for $\alpha : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ a bijection, there exists an isomorphism between the corresponding groups of permutations: $\beta : S_\Omega \rightarrow S_{\Omega'} : f \mapsto \alpha \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}$. This is indeed an isomorphism as this is first a group homomorphism since

$$\beta(f \circ g) = \alpha \circ f \circ g \circ \alpha^{-1} = \alpha \circ f \circ (\alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha) \circ g \circ \alpha^{-1} = \beta(f) \circ \beta(g)$$

and this being an isomorphism follows from the fact that there exists an obvious inverse $\beta^{-1} : f \mapsto \alpha^{-1} \circ f \circ \alpha$. Therefore it suffices to denote such permutation group by the cardinality of Ω : for $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$ S_Ω is denoted as S_n .

Proposition 2.3 (Cayley). Every group can be embedded into some S_Ω . Explicitly, for group G the map $\alpha : G \rightarrow S_G$ s.t. $g \mapsto \alpha_g$ where $\alpha_g(h) = gh$ (α_g is the action of G on G defined by multiplication by g .) is an injective group homomorphism.

Proof. It suffices to syntactically check that the following requirements are satisfied:

- $\alpha_g \in S_G$. It suffices to check that indeed multiplication by an element in the group gives a bijection. This is clear as the action has an inverse, namely multiplying the inverse of that element.
- α gives a group homomorphism. By definition $\alpha_{gh} = \alpha_g \cdot \alpha_h$.
- α is injective. It suffices to check that $\ker \alpha = e_G$. This is indeed the case, as for $g \in G$ s.t. $\alpha_g = \text{Id}$, $\alpha_g(e_G) = g \cdot e_G = e_G \implies g = e_G$.

□

3 Groups Generated by a Subset

Remark 3.1. If $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of subgroups of G , then $\bigcap_{i \in I} H_i$ is also a subgroup of G . This can be verified by taking an element in the intersection, and check each rule of group is satisfied in each of the H_i s.

Definition 3.2. If $A \subseteq G$ is a subset of G , then the **subgroup generated by A** is defined as

$$\langle A \rangle := \bigcap_{A \subseteq H \leq G} H$$

Remark 3.3. By definition $\langle A \rangle$ is well-defined as it is described by concrete elements in the group; and as in particular $A \subseteq G \leq G$. By the previous remark, $\langle A \rangle$ is a subgroup of G . It is also the smallest subgroup that contains A .

Proposition 3.4. Let $A \subseteq G$ be a subset of G , then $\langle A \rangle = \{x_1 \dots x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}; \forall i, x_i \in G \text{ or } x_i^{-1} \in G\}$. For $n = 0$, define $x_1 \dots x_n = e$.

Proof. Proceed by double inclusion:

\subseteq : Proceed to show that RHS satisfies the definition of the H s above. For RHS consider $n = 1$, with $x_1 \in G$ which takes all elements in G . This gives $A \subseteq \text{RHS}$. Further use Proposition 1.14, which for any $x_1 \dots x_m, y_1 \dots y_n \in \text{RHS}$, each summand of $x_1 \dots x_m (y_1 \dots y_n)^{-1} = x_1 \dots x_m y_n^{-1} \dots y_1^{-1}$ is either in A or its inverse is in A implying that RHS is a group. Definition above gives the subset relation.

\supseteq : It suffices to verify that any element in the specified form is in $\langle A \rangle$. This is the case as for $x_1 \dots x_n$ where for all i , either $x_i \in A$ or $-x_i \in A$, $x_i \in \langle A \rangle$ by definition, and multiplication of two elements in the group is still in the group by closure of the operation.

□

Definition 3.5. The following defines some common terminology for characterization of a group:

- G is **finitely generated** if there exists a finite set $A \subseteq G$ s.t. $G = \langle A \rangle$.
- G is **finite** if it has finitely many elements.
- The **order** of G , denoted $|G|$, is the number of elements in G if it is finite; or ∞ if G is not finite (infinite).
- G is **cyclic** if it attains a generating set with a single element a . In this case G is denoted as $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- The **order** of $a \in G$, denoted $|a|$ is the order of $\langle a \rangle$.

Remark 3.6. Cyclic groups are abelian. By the alternative definition provided in Proposition 3.4, $\langle a \rangle = \{a^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Proposition 3.7. A group G is cyclic if and only if $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ if G is infinite, or $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Proof. Choose $a \in G$ s.t. $G = \langle a \rangle$. Proceed via showing implication in both directions:

\Rightarrow : Consider $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ s.t. $f(1) = a$. This is a group homomorphism, Then either

- f is *injective*. By definition of cyclic groups, for any $s \in G$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $s = a^m$. Then $f(m) = s$ according to the definition of f , giving that f is surjective. Then this falls into the first case, giving $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.
- f is *not injective*. Then there are nonzero elements in $\ker f$. Since $\ker f \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a smallest positive element. Define the map $f_n : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ s.t. $[1] \mapsto a$. Check the followings:
 - f_n is *well-defined*. It suffices to check that if $[m_1] = [m_2]$, then $f([m_1]) = f([m_2])$. This is indeed the case as

$$f([m_1]) = a^{m_1} \stackrel{!}{=} a^{m_1} \cdot a^{(m_2 - m_1)} = a^{m_2} \cdot a^{nk} = a^{m_2} \cdot (a^n)^k = a^{m_2} = f([m_2])$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\stackrel{!}{=}$ holds since $[m_1] = [m_2]$ implies $n \mid (m_1 - m_2)$. This gives $a^{m_1 - m_2} = e$ since $a^n = e$.

- f_n is *injective*. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $f_n([a]) = 0$, $a = 0$ as otherwise this conflicts with the hypothesis that n is the smallest of such integers.
- f_n is *surjective*. Follows from the same argument in the case where G is infinite.

\Leftarrow : Since $\mathbb{Z} = \langle 1 \rangle$ and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \langle [1] \rangle$, both of which are cyclic.

□

4 The Dihedral Group

Definition 4.1. Let $n \geq 3$, and $P_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$ be the regular n -gon s.t. its vertices are at the n -th roots of 1. Then the **dihedral group** D_{2n} is the group of symmetry of P_n . Alternatively, one can write

$$D_{2n} = \{\varphi \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \mid \varphi(P_n) = P_n\}$$

Remark 4.2. We have an injective map $\alpha : D_{2n} \rightarrow S_n$, where $\alpha(\varphi)$ is given by the restriction of φ to the vertices of P_n . This map is injective as $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ spans \mathbb{R}^2 . Therefore, specifying how the vertices are transformed (permuted) fixes the whole linear transformation.

Remark 4.3. Notice the following relations: by definition of rotation $\sigma^n = e$; and $\sigma\tau\sigma = \tau$, which implies $\sigma^{n-1}\tau = \tau\sigma$. This enables changing the sequence of applying σ s and τ s.

Proposition 4.4. For a fixed n , let σ be the operation of counter-clockwise rotation by $\frac{2\pi}{n}$ on P_n ; and τ_j be the operation of symmetry w.r.t. the symmetry axis passing through the vertex j (which is a direction; invariant w.r.t. transformations on P_n). Then for every $\alpha \in D_{2n}$, it must be in the form of σ^i or $\sigma^i \cdot \tau_j$, for some $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. How the operations permute the vertices is characterized by

$$\sigma : v_k \mapsto v_{k+1} \quad \tau : v_{j+k} \mapsto v_{j-k}$$

Following the strategy of the previous remark, to fix the whole operation α it suffices to fix how vertices are transformed. Since elements of D_{2n} are linear transformations, they map line segments to line segments, and therefore adjacent vertices to adjacent vertices. Then for $v_1 \mapsto v_{i+1}$, either $v_2 \mapsto v_{i+2}$, then $\alpha = \sigma^i$; or $v_2 \mapsto v_i$, then $\alpha = \sigma^i \tau_j$. The indices are considered modulo n and then plus 1. \square

Remark 4.5. Using Remark 4.3, we can check that indeed $\langle D_{2n} \rangle = D_{2n}$, by applying the remark to move all the rotations to the left of symmetries, and then reduce the expression by relations $\sigma^n = \tau^2 = e$.

5 Product of Groups

Definition 5.1 (Product of Groups). Suppose that we have a family of groups $(G_i)_{i \in I}$. The **product** of groups is defined as

$$\Pi_{i \in I} G_i := \{(x_i)_{i \in I} \mid x_i \in G_i \forall i \in I\}$$

with the operation defined component-wise i.e. $(x_i)_{i \in I} \cdot (y_i)_{i \in I} := (x_i y_i)_{i \in I}$.

Remark 5.2. By the definition of the operation, the identity in the product of groups $(G_i)_{i \in I}$ is $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ where e_i is the unique identity element in G_i ; and the inverse of $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is $(x_i^{-1})_{i \in I}$.

Proposition 5.3 (Universal Property of Product of Groups). Let group homomorphism $\pi_j : \Pi_{i \in I} G_i \rightarrow G_j, (x_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto x_j$ be the projections. Then given group homomorphisms $f_i : H \rightarrow G_i$ for all i , there exists a unique group homomorphism $f : H \rightarrow \Pi_{i \in I} G_i$ s.t. $\pi_i \circ f = f_i$ for all $i \in I$, i.e. the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & \prod_{i \in I} G_i \\
 & \searrow f_j & \downarrow \pi_j \\
 & & G_j
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Since the diagram is required to commute, the homomorphism f can be only defined as $f(x) = (f_i(x))_{i \in I}$, which gives the uniqueness. Existence follows from the fact that f_i s are group homomorphisms for all i , which implies that f is also a group homomorphism. \square

Example 5.4 (Chinese Remainder Theorem). Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ which are relatively prime. Then there exists group isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Consider group homomorphisms:

$$f : \mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, \quad [x + mn\mathbb{Z}] \mapsto [x + m\mathbb{Z}]$$

$$g : \mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \quad [x + mn\mathbb{Z}] \mapsto [x + n\mathbb{Z}]$$

Check that f and g are well-defined. For f , let $a = [x + mn\mathbb{Z}] = b = [y + mn\mathbb{Z}]$. This implies that $mn \mid (x - y)$. By definition, $f(a) = [x + m\mathbb{Z}]$, $f(b) = [y + m\mathbb{Z}]$. But this implies that $[x + m\mathbb{Z}] = [y + m\mathbb{Z}]$ as $mn \mid (x - y) \implies m \mid (x - y)$. The well-definedness of g is similar.

Use the universal property above (Proposition 5.3), there exists a unique $h : \mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $h_1 = f, h_2 = g$ where h_i indicates the projection to i -th field after applying h . Check that this is an isomorphism:

- h is injective. Consider the kernel of f : for all $[x + mn\mathbb{Z}] \in \ker f$, $[x + m\mathbb{Z}] = 0$ and $[x + n\mathbb{Z}] = 0$. But this implies that $m \mid x$ and $n \mid x$, i.e. $mn \mid x$, which gives $[x + mn\mathbb{Z}] = 0$. That is, elements in $\ker f$ are identically zero, which gives the injectivity.
- Notice that $\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z}$ has mn elements, while $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ has $m \cdot n = mn$ elements. Therefore h being injective implies h being bijective.

\square

6 Congruence Relations

Definition 6.1 (Left/Right Congruence). Let G be a group, with $H \leq G$. Then for $x, y \in G$,

- x and y are **left congruent** mod H , denoted $x \equiv_\ell y \pmod{H}$ if $x^{-1}y \in H$.
- x and y are **right congruent** mod H , denoted $x \equiv_r y \pmod{H}$ if $xy^{-1} \in H$.

Remark 6.2. \equiv_ℓ and \equiv_r are equivalence relations. The equivalence classes are noted as xH and Hx for $x \in G$, respectively.

Notation. If G is abelian, the operation is written additively. The congruence classes will then be denoted as $x + H$ and $H + x$ for left and right congruence classes, respectively.

Proof. The proof is similar for two equivalence relations, so we only check for left congruence:

- \equiv_ℓ is *Reflexive*. $x^{-1} \cdot x = e \in H$.
- \equiv_ℓ is *symmetric*. If $x^{-1}y \in H$, given that H is a subgroup of G , $(x^{-1}y)^{-1} \in H$. This implies that $y^{-1}x \in H$, i.e. $y \equiv_\ell x \pmod{H}$.
- \equiv_ℓ is *transitive*. Suppose that $x \equiv_\ell y \pmod{H}$, $y \equiv_\ell z \pmod{H}$. By the fact that subgroups are closed, $(x^{-1}y)(y^{-1}z) = x^{-1}z \in H$.

□

Remark 6.3. G is the disjoint union of equivalence classes w.r.t. \equiv_ℓ . For $x, y \in G$ s.t. $x \equiv_\ell y \pmod{H}$, there exists $h \in H$ s.t. $x = yh$.

Proposition 6.4. There is a bijection between xH and Hx for all $x \in G$, $H \leq G$.

Proof. Define the map $\varphi : \{xH \mid x \in G\} \rightarrow \{Hx \mid x \in G\}$, $gH \mapsto Hg^{-1}$. Check that this is well-defined: for $g_1, g_2 \in G$ s.t. $g_1H = g_2H$, there exists $h \in H$ s.t. $g_1 = g_2h$. Then $Hg_1 = H(g_2h)^{-1} = Hh^{-1}g_2^{-1} = Hg_2^{-1}$. It has inverse $Hg \mapsto g^{-1}H$, with well-definedness similarly proved, which implies that φ is a bijection.

□

Remark 6.5. In the prove above, we cannot define $\varphi : gH \mapsto Hg$ as in this case this is not well-defined. Specifically, if g_1 does not commute with h for $g_1 = g_2h$, $\varphi(g_2H) = Hg_1h$ which is not necessarily equal to Hg_1 .

Since the number of congruence classes w.r.t. $x \in G$ does not change with choice of left or right congruence classes and depends only on H , the following definition is well-defined:

Definition 6.6 (Index). Let G be a group, with $H \leq G$. Then the number of distinct xH for $x \in G$ is the **index** of H in G , denoted as $(G : H)$.

Remark 6.7. For all $g_1, g_2 \in H$, there exists bijections $g_1H \mapsto g_2H$ and $Hg_1 \mapsto Hg_2$, given by multiplication on the left by $g_2g_1^{-1}$, and multiplication on the right by $g_1^{-1}g_2$, respectively.

Theorem 6.8 (Lagrange). Let G be a group. If $H \leq G$, and G is finite, then $|G| = |H| \cdot (G : H)$.

Proof. By Remark 6.3, G is the disjoint union of congruence classes. There are $(G : H)$ congruence classes (in the form of xH for $x \in G \setminus H$), with each having $|H|$ elements (given by $\{xh \mid h \in H\}$).

□

Corollary 6.9. In particular, for all $H \leq G$, $|H| \mid |G|$. If G is finite, for all $g \in G$, $|\langle g \rangle| \mid |G|$, i.e. $g^{|G|} = g^{|\langle g \rangle| \cdot (G:\langle g \rangle)} = e$.

Example 6.10 (Fermat's Little Theorem). Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ with p prime. Then $|G| = p - 1$. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $p \nmid a$, $|[a]| = p - 1$, which implies that $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

We now seek to define a group structure on the congruence classes modulo a subgroup $H \leq G$. The issue is that the operation is not necessarily well-defined. The natural definition of the group operation is given via $(g_1H, g_2H) \mapsto (g_1g_2H)$. For $g_1 \equiv_\ell g'_1 \pmod{H}$, $g_2 \equiv_\ell g'_2 \pmod{H}$ we would like $g_1g_2 \equiv_\ell g'_1g'_2$. In terms of the elements, we have $g_1g_1'^{-1}g_2g_2'^{-1} \in H$ and we want $g_1g_2g_2'^{-1}g_1'^{-1} \in H$. This requires extra requirements on H .

Claim 6.11. The following two conditions are equivalent:

- For all $g_1^{-1}g'_1 \in H$, $g_2^{-1}g'_2 \in H$, this implies $(g_1g_2)^{-1}(g_1g_2)' \in H$.
- For all $x \in G$, $h \in H$, $xhx^{-1} \in H$.

Proof. Consider the following constructions in two directions:

\Rightarrow Notice $g_1^{-1}g_1 \in H$ by hypothesis. Choose $g_2^{-1} = x$, $g'_2 = x^{-1}$.

\Leftarrow Notice $(g_1g_2)^{-1}(g_1g_2)' = g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}g'_1g'_2 \in H$. Choose $g_2 = g'_2 = x$, with $g_1^{-1}g'_1 = h$. Such g_1 and g'_1 exists by first arbitrarily choose $g_1 \in H$ then compute $g'_1 = g_1h$.

□

This gives rise to the definition of normal subgroups, and the formulation quotient with respect to it, as follows.

7 Normal Subgroup, Quotient Group and Isomorphism Theorems

Definition 7.1 (Normal Subgroup). A subgroup $H \leq G$ is **normal** if for all $x \in G$, $xHx^{-1} \in H$, where

$$xHx^{-1} := \{xhx^{-1} \mid h \in H\}$$

Normal subgroups are denoted by $H \triangleleft G$.

Definition 7.2 (Quotient Group). Let G be a group, and $H \triangleleft G$. Then the **quotient group** G/H is the set of left equivalence classes w.r.t. H , together with the group operation $(g_1H)(g_2H) := (g_1g_2)H$.

Remark 7.3. Explicitly check that this gives a group structure: by definition we have the identity element eH , with the inverse of $g_1H = (g_1^{-1})H$. The well-definedness of the group follows from the fact that all the left congruence classes of H are well-defined, i.e. operations on it does not depends on the choice if representative. This also gives a group homomorphism $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ with $x \mapsto xH$. This is indeed a group homomorphism as $\pi(ab) = (ab)H = aHbH = \pi(a)\pi(b)$.

Remark 7.4. The definition above is identical when formulated in terms of left or right congruence classes. Since we have the bijection between left and right congruence classes, to check that the definitions are identical it suffices to check that the bijection is compatible with the group operation specified. This indeed can be defined as such, as denoting the bijection to be $\Phi : xH \mapsto Hx^{-1}$ we have

$$\Phi(xH \cdot yH) = Hx^{-1} \cdot Hy^{-1} := Hy^{-1}x^{-1} = \Phi((xy)H)$$

Example 7.5. The followings give some examples of normal subgroups:

1. Trivially, $\{e\}$ and G are normal subgroups of G .
2. If G is abelian, for all $x \in G, H \leq G$, we have $xHx^{-1} = xx^{-1}H = H$ which implies that every subgroup is normal. Further the quotient G/H is abelian, as by Remark 7.3, the operation in G induces the operation in G/H .
3. Consider the nontrivial case, where $G = D_3 = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle = \{e, \sigma, \sigma^2, \tau, \tau\sigma, \tau\sigma^2\}$. Then
 - Consider $H_1 = \langle \sigma \rangle = \{e, \sigma, \sigma^2\}$. Check $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^2\tau\tau = \sigma^2 \in H_1$; and $\tau\sigma^2\tau^{-1} = \tau\sigma^2\tau = \sigma\tau\tau = \sigma \in H_1$. Similarly for $\sigma\tau$ and $\sigma^2\tau$. This implies that H_1 is normal in G .
 - Consider $H_2 = \{e, \tau\}$. we have $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \sigma\tau\sigma^2 = \tau\sigma = \sigma^2\tau \notin H_2$ which implies that H_2 is not a normal subgroup.

Proposition 7.6. If $H \leq G$, then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1) H is a normal subgroup of G .
- 2) $gH = Hg$ for all $g \in G$, i.e. the left and right equivalence classes are equal.
- 3) $gHg^{-1} = H$ for all $g \in G$.

Proof. First see that statement 2) and 3) are equivalent, by right multiplying g and g^{-1} , respectively. For the rest of the equivalence, consider

- 3) \implies 1). This in particular implies that $xhx^{-1} \in H$ for all $h \in H$, which is exactly the definition of normal subgroups.
- 1) \implies 3). The definition of normal subgroups implies that $gHg^{-1} \subseteq H$ for all $g \in G$. Apply this to $g^{-1} \in G$ gives $g^{-1}Hg \subseteq H \implies H \subseteq gHg^{-1}$. Combining the two statements gives the desired equality. Alternatively, one can see that conjugating by g is an isomorphism onto its image, where inclusion in one side implies that this is bijective.

□

Corollary 7.7. In Every subgroup with index 2 is normal.

Proof. Let $H \leq G$ be index 2. Then the left congruence classes are given by $\{H, gH\}$ for $g \in G \setminus H$; with the right equivalence classes $\{H, Hg\}$. This implies that $gH = Hg$ in terms of individual elements. By Proposition 7.6 this implies that H is normal in G .

□

Proposition 7.8. Let $H \subseteq G$ be a subset. Then H is a normal subgroup in G if and only if there is some group homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow G'$ s.t. $\ker f = H$.

Proof. Consider implication in two directions:

\Rightarrow Consider the group homomorphism induced by the quotient structure: $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H, g \mapsto gH$. Then $\ker \pi = \{g \in G \mid gH = H\}$. This implies that $g \in H$.

\Leftarrow By Proposition 1.16 H is a subgroup in G . Check that it is normal: for all $h \in H, g \in G$, we have

$$f(ghg^{-1}) = f(g)f(h)f(g^{-1}) = f(g)(f(g))^{-1} = e \implies ghg^{-1} \in H$$

□

Proposition 7.9 (Universal Property of Quotient Group). Let G be a group, and H is normal in G . Let $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$, and $f : G \rightarrow G'$ be group homomorphisms s.t. $H \subseteq \ker f$. Then there exists a unique group homomorphism $\bar{f} : G/H \rightarrow G'$ s.t. $\bar{f} \circ \pi = f$, i.e. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G/H \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ & & G' \end{array}$$

Proof. For uniqueness, notice that since the diagram is required to commute, we have $\bar{f}(gH) = f(g)$ for all $g \in G$. Since π is surjective, the behavior of \bar{f} is described only on image of π , i.e. on congruence classes of form gH for $g \in G$. This gives the uniqueness of the map.

For existence, check that f is well-defined, and is indeed a group homomorphism:

- \bar{f} is well-defined. For $gH = g'H$, we want to show that $\bar{f}(gH) = \bar{f}(g'H)$, i.e. $f(g) = f(g')$. But $gH = g'H$ implies $g^{-1}g' \in H$, i.e. $f(g) \cdot (f(g'))^{-1} = f(g \cdot g'^{-1}) \in f(H) = e$, which gives $f(g) = f(g')$.
- \bar{f} is a group homomorphism. This is simply paraphrasing of the definition $(gH)(g'H) = (gg')H$.

□

Theorem 7.10 (First Isomorphism Theorem). If $f : G \rightarrow G'$ is a surjective group homomorphism, then $G' \simeq G/\ker f$, i.e. the following diagram commutes with \bar{f} an isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G/\ker f \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ & & G' \end{array}$$

Proof. Uniqueness and existence of \bar{f} follows from Prop 7.9.

Check that \bar{f} is an isomorphism. Surjectivity follows from the fact that f is surjective, and the diagram is required to commute. To check that \bar{f} is injective, consider $\ker \bar{f}$. For, $x \in \ker \bar{f}$, $\bar{f}(x) = f(x') = e$ for $x' \in G$ s.t. $\pi(x') = x$. But this implies that $x' \in \ker f$, i.e. $\pi(x') = x = e$. \square

Corollary 7.11. If $f : G \rightarrow G'$ is any group homomorphism, then $\text{im } f \simeq G/\ker f$.

Remark 7.12. If $f : G \rightarrow G'$ is a group homomorphism, and H' is normal in G , then $f^{-1}(H')$ is normal in G .

Proof. Denote $p' : G' \rightarrow G'/H'$ which is the projection into the quotient. Notice that $p' \circ f(f^{-1}(H')) = e$, i.e. $f^{-1}H = \ker(p' \circ f)$. Proposition 7.8 gives that $f^{-1}(H')$ is normal. \square

Remark 7.13. Let H and H' be normal in G and G' , respectively. Let $f : G \rightarrow G'$, $p : G \rightarrow G/H$, $p' : G' \rightarrow G'/H'$ be group homomorphisms s.t. $f(H) \subseteq H'$. Then there exists a unique group homomorphism $\bar{f} : G/H \rightarrow G'/H'$ s.t. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{f} & G' \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow p' \\ G/H & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & G'/H' \end{array}$$

Proof is by applying universal property (Proposition 7.9) on p and $p' \circ f$. It is applicable as $f(H) \subseteq H'$, i.e. $H \subseteq \ker(p' \circ f)$.

Parenthesis 7.14. Let $p : G \rightarrow G/H$ be the projection into the quotient. Then if $H \leq M$, then M is normal in G if and only if $p(M) = M/H$ is normal in G/H .

Proof. Show implications in both directions:

\Rightarrow Use Remark 7.13, with $G = G'$, $H' = M$, and f the identity map. By hypothesis that $H \leq M$, we have $f(H) \subseteq M$. The remark says that there exists a map $\bar{f} : G/H \rightarrow G/M$, with kernel $p(M)$ by the fact that the diagram commutes. Proposition 7.8 gives the fact that $p(M)$ is normal in G/H .

\Leftarrow Since M/H is normal in G/H it is valid to consider the quotient $(G/H)/(M/H)$ with the projection $p' : G/H \rightarrow (G/H)/(M/H)$, which is a group homomorphism. It is then clear that $\ker(p' \circ p) = M$, i.e. M is a normal subgroup by Proposition 7.8. \square

Theorem 7.15 (Third Isomorphism Theorem). Let G a group, and H, M subgroups in G s.t. $H \leq M \leq G$. Then $(G/H)/(M/H) \simeq G/M$.

Proof. Let $p : G \rightarrow G/H$ be the projection into the quotient. Consider the group homomorphism $\alpha : G/H \rightarrow G/M$, given by $xH \mapsto xM$. $\ker \alpha = \{xH \mid x \in M\} = p(M)$. By Parenthesis 7.14 we know that $p(M)$ is normal in G/H . The First Isomorphism Theorem (Theorem 7.10) gives the desired isomorphism. \square

The following theorem connects the subgroups in the quotient and the subgroups in the original group:

Theorem 7.16 (Correspondence). Let G be a group, and H a normal subgroup in G . Then we have an *order-preserving* bijection:

$$\Phi : \{\text{subgroups in } G/H\} \rightarrow \{\text{subgroups of } G \text{ containing } H\}$$

which maps normal subgroups to normal subgroups. Being *order-preserving* implies that $U \subseteq V$ if and only if $\Phi(U) \subseteq \Phi(V)$.

Proof. Define Φ as p^{-1} with p being the projection $G \rightarrow G/H$, as by the definition of quotient groups, we have $K \subseteq G/H \implies p^{-1}K \subseteq G$ by the fact that p^{-1} is order-preserving. Further by Parenthesis 7.14 we have $K \triangleleft G/H \implies p^{-1}K \triangleleft G$. The images are subgroups containing H , as in particular we have $p^{-1}(K) \supseteq p^{-1}(e) = H$.

Now check that the inverse of Φ exists; and the composition in two directions are both the identity. Check the followings:

- $p(p^{-1}(K)) = K$ for $K \leq G/H$. By definition $p(p^{-1}(K)) \subseteq K$. The equality follows from the fact that p is surjective.
- $p^{-1}(p(M)) = M$ for $M \leq G$. $p^{-1}(p(M)) \supseteq M$ is given by definition; while $g \in p^{-1}(p(M))$ implies that $gH = xH$ for $x \in M$ as p is surjective. But this implies that $g = xh$ for some $h \in H$, i.e. $g \in M$.

□

For the formulation of the Second Isomorphism Theorem, we need to first introduce some definitions:

Definition 7.17. Let $B \leq G$. Then the **normalizer** of B in G is defined as

$$N_G(B) := \{g \in G \mid gBg^{-1} \in B\}$$

Remark 7.18. By definition of normalizer, B is normal in G (the normalizer makes B a normal subgroup). This is also the largest subgroup of G in which B is normal, as suppose that there exists a larger one, it would be included in the normalizer by definition. The normalizer exists as in particular B is normal in B , implying that $B \subseteq N_G(B)$.

Notation. Let $A, B \leq G$ be subgroups. Denote

$$AB := \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$

Remark 7.19. By definition AB is not necessarily a subgroup in G : for $a_1b_1, a_2b_2 \in AB$, $a_1b_1(a_2b_2)^{-1} = a_1b_1b_2^{-1}a_2^{-1}$ which is not in the form of AB . But if $A \subseteq N_G(B)$, this is the case as we have

$$a_1b_1b_2^{-1}a_2^{-1} = (a_1a_2^{-1})(a_2b_1b_2^{-1}a_2^{-1})$$

which gives $a_1b_1(a_2b_2)^{-1} = a'b'$ for $a' = a_1a_2^{-1}$ and $b = a_2b_1b_2^{-1}a_2^{-1} \in B$.

Theorem 7.20 (Second Isomorphism Theorem). Let A and B be subgroups of G . Further let $A \subseteq N_G(B)$. Then $A \cap B \trianglelefteq A$ and $B \trianglelefteq AB$; and we have the isomorphism $A/(A \cap B) \simeq AB/B$.

Proof. Notice $A \cap B \subseteq B$ and $A \subseteq N_G(B)$. Therefore, for all $b \in A \cap B$, $a \in A$, $aba^{-1} \in A \cap B$ by closure of operation in A and B is normal in A . Further $B \triangleleft AB$ as $(ab)b'(ab)^{-1} = abb'b^{-1}a^{-1} \in B$ since $a \in N_G(B)$. Consider $f : A \rightarrow AB$, $a \mapsto ab$ for some fixed $b \in B$. Use the result in Remark 7.13 to get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & AB \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 A/(A \cap B) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & AB/B
 \end{array}$$

f is an isomorphism by definition, which implies that the induced homomorphism \bar{f} is an isomorphism. □

8 Symmetric and Alternating Group

Recall that the Symmetric group S_n is defined as

$$S_n := \{f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\} \mid f \text{ bijective}\}$$

with operation given by composition of maps.

Notation. For $\sigma \in S_n$, it is often denoted by the one-to-one mappings:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \dots & \sigma(n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 8.1. The composition of maps can be simply read off from the relations: for example

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Id}$$

Definition 8.2 (Cycle). In S_n , for $k \geq 2$, a **k -cycle** in S_n is a permutation $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ where $\sigma_{a_i} = a_{i+1}$ for $i < k$; and $\sigma(a_k) = a_1$; and $\sigma(i) = i$ for $i \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$.

Example 8.3. Adopting the notation for cycles, Example 8.1 can be written as $(321)(231) = e = \text{Id}$.

Definition 8.4 (Transposition). **Transpositions** in Symmetric groups are 2-cycles (ij) for $i < j$.

Remark 8.5. The following gives some basic properties of the Symmetric group:

1. Let $\sigma = (a_1 \dots, a_k)$ be a k -cycle. Then $|\sigma| = k$.
2. If σ and τ are disjoint cycles, i.e. the sets of elements that they act nontrivially on are disjoint, then $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$.
3. For all $\sigma \in S_n$, it can be written as a product of disjoint cycles, unique up to reordering. This can be constructed by chasing the image of any element x in σ , which decomposes σ into the product of a cycle and something else. The rest part of σ acts trivially on x , which implies that they are disjoint.
4. Cycle $(a_1 \dots a_k) = (a_1 a_k) \dots (a_1 a_3)(a_1 a_2)$. This implies that every $\sigma \in S_n$ can be decomposed into transpositions.

Parenthesis 8.6. All groups with 2 elements are isomorphic. $G = e, a$ gives $a \cdot a = e$, which implies that $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 8.7. Consider symmetric groups with small n :

1. $S_1 = \{e\}$.
2. $S_2 = \{e, (12)\}$. By Parenthesis 8.6, this is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
3. S_3 is not abelian: Let $\sigma = (123)$, $\tau = (12)$, we have $|\sigma| = 3$, $|\tau| = 2$, and further $S_3 = \{e, \sigma, \sigma^2, \tau, \tau\sigma, \tau\sigma^2\}$. This implies that $S_3 \simeq D_3$.

Definition 8.8 (Inversion). Inversions in σ is the set $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}$.

Definition 8.9 (Signature). Consider group homomorphism $\varepsilon : S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ where the operation in $\{\pm 1\}$ is integer multiplication, defined as $\sigma \mapsto (-1)^{\# \text{inversions in } \sigma}$. σ is even if $\varepsilon(\sigma) = 1$; and odd if $\varepsilon(\sigma) = -1$.

Example 8.10. Transpositions are odd. For $\sigma = (ij)$ with $i < j$, written out explicitly it is given by the map

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & i & \dots & j & \dots & n \\ 1 & \dots & j & \dots & i & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}$$

The inversions are given by $\{(i, k), (k, j) \mid i < k < j\} \cup \{(i, j)\}$. The first part has even elements which implies that $\varepsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^1 = -1$.

Example 8.11. If σ is a product of k transpositions, then $\varepsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^k$. By Remark 8.5 4., any k -cycle can be decomposed into $(k - 1)$ transpositions, which implies that its signature is $(-1)^{k-1}$.

Proposition 8.12. ε is a group homomorphism.

Proof. Consider $R = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the polynomial ring, where \mathbb{Q} is a field. This gives a domain as every nonzero element in a field is invertible.

Define $\Delta := \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$. R being a domain implies that this is nonzero. Given $\sigma \in S_n$, we can construct a map $\varphi_\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ which is a morphism of \mathbb{Q} -algebra (homomorphism that is \mathbb{Q} -linear). By the universal property of multivariate polynomial ring, to specify φ_σ , it suffices to specify the image of x_i s. Define $\varphi_\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$ for all i .

Notice that $\varphi_\sigma(\Delta) = \prod_{i < j} (x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) = \varepsilon(\sigma) \cdot \Delta$. Now consider the map $\varphi : \sigma \mapsto \varphi_\sigma$. Notice that this is a group homomorphism: in particular $\varphi_\sigma \circ \varphi_\tau = \varphi_{\sigma\tau}$ as maps are associative. Apply to Δ gives $\varepsilon(\sigma)\varepsilon(\tau) = \varepsilon(\sigma\tau)\Delta$, i.e. $(\varepsilon(\sigma)\varepsilon(\tau) - \varepsilon(\sigma\tau))\Delta = 0$. Since R is a domain, and $\Delta \neq 0$, this implies that $\varepsilon(\sigma)\varepsilon(\tau) = \varepsilon(\sigma\tau)$ which gives the desired group homomorphism. \square

Definition 8.13 (Alternating Group). The **Alternating Group** A_n is defined as $A_n := \ker \varepsilon_n$ for $\varepsilon_n : S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \simeq S_2$

Remark 8.14. For $n \geq 2$, transpositions exist, which implies that ε is surjective, with $e \mapsto 1, \tau \mapsto -1$ for τ some transposition. The First Isomorphism Theorem (Theorem 7.10) gives that $S_n/A_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

9 Classification of Groups of Small Order

Proposition 9.1. If G is a finite group, and $|G| = p$ which is prime, then $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Choose $x \in G$ s.t. $x \neq e$. Denote $H = \langle x \rangle$. Clearly $|H| \geq 2$, as in particular both x and e are in H . By Lagrange, $|H| \mid p$, which implies that $H = G$, i.e. G is cyclic. Proposition 3.7 gives the desired isomorphism. \square

10 Group Action on Sets

11 Sylow Theorems

12 Application of Sylow Theorems

13 Finite Simple Groups