

# Peer review project 1 - Sara Nordin Hällgren

---

Overall a good structure and clear presentation. The different classifiers are clearly presented as well as the strategy. Might be nice to have a list of the datasets presented in the beginning as well (or just summarized somewhere).

## Iris

- Good presentation with the accuracy score and the accuracy as a function of "k" for kNN
- Nice to have the exact syntax for the models if recreation of the test is wanted
- Very nice and clean plots of the decision boundaries

## Digits

- Good description of the dataset as well as presenting that the features are strongly correlated
- Hard dataset to visualize, but a nice try
- Nice to add another image dataset to test QDA, as you say it only "implies" this assumption

## Wine

- Good to recognize that the reason kNN performs poorly is the lack of data (as it is more data-driven than the others)
- As well as no clear "island" of data in the middle
- Don't know enough about random forest yet to comment on that slide

## Vowel recognition

- As you say by the structure of the plot the dataset is very difficult to classify with feature 1 and 2