

Part-of-Speech Tagging

+

Neural Networks

CS 287

Quiz: ReLU

Last class we focused on standard hinge loss. Consider now the squared hinge loss,

$$L_{hinge} = \max\{0, 1 - (\hat{y}_c - \hat{y}_{c'})^2\}$$

What is the effect does this have on the loss? How do the parameters gradients change?

Contents

Penn Treebank

Hi! I am the ptb.

Penn Treebank

Statistics

Parse Tree

Dataset: Penn Treebank

Penn Treebank,

- ▶ Central dataset in NLP.
- ▶ 1M word tokens, collected from Wall Street Journal.
- ▶ Annotated with syntactic structure.

Shared Tasks

Tagset

Pass out examples

Linguistically

Why are tags important useful.

Tagging

How hard is this task?
rare words.

Tag Features: Word Properties

Representation can use specific aspects of text.

- ▶ \mathcal{F} ; Spelling, all-capitals, trigger words, etc.
- ▶ $\mathbf{x} = \sum_i \delta(f_i)$

Example: Spam Email

Your diploma puts a UUNIVERSITY JOB PLACEMENT COUNSELOR
at your disposal.

$\mathbf{x} = v(\text{misspelling}) + v(\text{allcapital}) + v(\text{trigger:diploma}) + \dots$

$$\mathbf{x}^\top = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \text{misspelling} \\ \vdots \\ \text{capital} \\ \text{word:diploma} \end{matrix}$$

Features used in state of the art

What if we just used words and context?

Contents

Sentence Tagging

- ▶ w_1, \dots, w_n ; sentence words
- ▶ t_1, \dots, t_n ; sentence tags
- ▶ \mathcal{C} ; output class, set of tags.

Window Model

Goal: predict t_5 .

- ▶ Windowed word model.

$$w_1 w_2 [w_3 w_4 w_5 w_6 w_7] w_8$$

- ▶ w_3, w_4 ; left context
- ▶ w_6, w_7 ; right context

Boundary Cases

Goal: predict t_2 .

$$[< s > w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4] w_5 w_6 w_7 w_8$$

Goal: predict t_8 .

$$w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5 [w_6 w_7 w_8 < /s > < /s >]$$

k Symbols $< s >$ and $< /s >$ represent boundary padding.

The Role of Features

- ▶ Recall Zipf's law.
- ▶ Many words are ..
- ▶ Can capture patterns. example.

How much does this matter?

graph of tagging.

Sparse Tagging Model

- ▶ Create training data,

$$(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y}_n)$$

- ▶ Each \mathbf{x}_i includes features of window.
- ▶ Each \mathbf{y}_i is the one-hot tag encoding.
- ▶ Prediction accuracy is measured identically.

Naive Bayes/Logistic Regression for Tagging

- ▶ Setup is identical to text classification.



$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{b}$$

Contents

Collobert and Weston Natural Language Processing (almost) from Scratch

Two ideas

- ▶ Non-linear Models
- ▶ Dense Word embeddings

(1) Non-Linear Models for Classification

- ▶ Neural network represent any non-linear classifier, for example

$$NN_1 = f_1(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{W}^1 + \mathbf{b}^1))$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = f_2(NN_1\mathbf{W}^2 + \mathbf{b}^2)$$

- ▶ Where $\mathbf{W}^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{in}} \times d_{\text{mid}}}$, $\mathbf{b}^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d_{\text{mid}}}$
- ▶ $\mathbf{W}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{mid}} \times d_{\text{out}}}$, $\mathbf{b}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d_{\text{out}}}$
- ▶ Activation f_1 is non-linear.

Decision $\arg \max \hat{\mathbf{y}}$

Can learn non-linear decision boundary. Diagram

For instance, f_1 Sigmoid and f_2 softmax

$$\frac{\partial L(y, \hat{y})}{\partial \hat{y}_j} = \frac{\mathbf{1}(y_j = 1)}{\hat{y}_j}$$

For instance, f_1 ReLU and f_2 hinge-loss

Backpropagation

- ▶ Chain rule

Contents

(2) Dense Features

Instead of defining $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(f_i)$

Where $v : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ for instance $v(f) = \delta(f)\mathbf{W}^0$

and define $\mathbf{x} = [v(f_1) \dots v(f_k)]$

(For now we assume all examples have fixed length)

Dense Features for Tagging

Instead of defining $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(f_i)$

Where $v : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ for instance $v(f) = \delta(f)\mathbf{W}^0$

and define $\mathbf{x} = [v(f_1) \dots v(f_k)]$

(For now we assume all examples have fixed length)

Dense Features for Tagging

Instead of defining $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(f_i)$

Where $v : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ for instance $v(f) = \delta(f)\mathbf{W}^0$

and define $\mathbf{x} = [v^1(f_1) \dots v^1(f_k) \dots v^2(f_k + 1) \dots v^2(f_k)]$

(For now we assume all examples have fixed length)

Parameters

- ▶ With word features $|\mathcal{V}|$
- ▶ With all pair word features $|\mathcal{V}|^2$
- ▶ With word embedding features $d|\mathcal{V}|$

Representation that allows parameter sharing.

Lookup layer is Learned too

results

Results Pretty good

objective

Diagram