Embedded computing for scientific and industrial imaging applications

Lecture 16 - Fine grain vs coarse grain. Demo of OpenMP

Outline

- Fine grain vs. coarse grain parallelism
- Manually splitting loops between threads
- Examples with bugs

References:

https://computing.llnl.gov/tutorials/openMP/

Fine vs. coarse grain parallelism

Fine grain: Parallelize at the level of individual loops, splitting work for each loop between threads.

Coarse grain: Split problem up into large pieces and have each thread deal with one piece.

May need to synchronize or share information at some points.

Fine vs. coarse grain parallelism

Fine grain: Parallelize at the level of individual loops, splitting work for each loop between threads.

Coarse grain: Split problem up into large pieces and have each thread deal with one piece.

May need to synchronize or share information at some points.

More similar to what must be done in MPI.

Domain Decomposition: Splitting up a problem on a large domain (e.g. three dimensional grid) into pieces that are handled separated (with suitable coupling).

Solution of independent ODEs by Euler's method

Solve $u_i'(t)=c_iu_i(t)$ for $t\geq 0$ with initial condition $u_i(0)=\eta_i$. Decoupled system of ODEs for i=1, 2, ..., n

Solution of independent ODEs by Euler's method

Solve
$$u_i'(t)=c_iu_i(t)$$
 for $t\geq 0$ with initial condition $u_i(0)=\eta_i$. Decoupled system of ODEs for i =1, 2, ..., n

Exact solution: $u_i(t) = e^{c_i t} \eta_i$

Euler method: $u_i(t+\Delta t) \approx u_i(t) + \Delta t c_i u_i(t) = (1+c_i \Delta t) u_i(t)$

Implement this for large number of time steps for large n.

For each *i* time stepping can't be easily made parallel.

But for large n, this problem is embarrassingly parallel:

Problem for each i is completely decoupled from problem for any other i. Could solve them all simultaneously with no communication needed.

Fine grain solution with parallel for loops

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (i=0; i < n; i++)
    u[i] = eta[i];
for (m=0; m < nsteps; m++)
{
    #pragma omp parallel for
    for (i=0; i < n; i++)
        u[i] = (1.0 + dt * c[i]) * u[i];
}</pre>
```

Note that threads are forked nsteps+1 times.

Requires shared memory:

don't know which thread will handle each i.

Fine grain solution with parallel for loops

Might try to fork threads only once via: Wrong!

```
#pragma omp parallel private(m)
     #pragma omp for
     for (i=0; i < n; i++)
          u[i] = eta[i];
     for (m=0; m < nsteps; m++)
          #pragme omp for
          for (i=0; i < n; i++)
               u[i] = (1.d0 + dt * c[i]) * u[i]
```

Error: the loop on m will be done independently by each thread. (Actually works in this case but not good coding.)

Fine grain solution with parallel for loops

Can rearrange loops:

```
#pragma omp parallel private(m)
     #pragma omp for
     for (i=0; i < n; i++)
          u[i] = eta[i];
     #pragma omp for
     for (m=0; m < nsteps; m++)
          for (i=0; i < n; i++)
               u[i] = (1.d0 + dt * c[i]) * u[i]
```

Only works because ODEs are decoupled — can take all time steps on $u_I(t)$ without interacting with $u_2(t)$, for example.

Coarse grain solution of ODEs

```
Split up i = 1, 2, ..., n into nthreads disjoint sets.
     A set goes from i=istart to i=iend-1
     These private values are different for each thread.
Each thread handles 1 set for the entire problem.
     #pragma omp parallel private(istart,iend,i,m)
           istart = ??
           iend = ??
           for(i=istart; i < iend; i++)</pre>
                u[i] = eta[i];
           for (m=0; m < nsteps; m++)
                for(i=istart; i < iend; i++)</pre>
                      u[i] = (1.d0 + dt * c[i]) * u[i];
```

Threads are forked only once, Each thread only needs subset of data.

Setting istart and iend

```
Example: If n=100 and nthreads = 2, we would want:
     Thread 0: istart=0 and iend=49,
     Thread 1: istart=50 and iend=99.
If nthreads divides n evenly...
     points per thread = n / nthreads;
     #pragma omp parallel private(thread num, istart, iend, i)
          thread num = 0; //needed in serial mode
          thread num = omp get thread num();
          istart = thread num * points per thread;
          iend = (thread num+1) * points per thread;
          for(i=istart; i < iend; i++)</pre>
               // work on thread's part of array
```

Setting istart and iend more generally

```
Example: If n=101 and nthreads = 2, we would want:
     Thread 0: istart=0 and iend=50.
     Thread 1: istart=51 and iend=100.
If nthreads might not divide n evenly...
     points per thread = (n + nthreads - 1) / nthreads;
     #pragma omp parallel private(thread num, istart, iend, i)
          thread num = 0; //needed in serial mode
          thread num = omp get thread num();
          istart = thread num * points per thread;
          iend = min((thread num+1)*points per thread, n);
          for(i=istart; i < iend; i++)</pre>
               // work on thread's part of array
```

```
Given a vector (1-dimensional array) x, Compute the normalized vector \left\|x\right\|_1, with \left\|x\right\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|
```

Fine-grain: Using parallel for loops.

```
norm = 0.0;
#pragma omp parallel for reduction(+ : norm)
for(i=0; i < n; i++)
{
    norm = norm + fabs(x[i]);
}
#pragma omp parallel for
for(i=0; i < n; i++)
    x[i] = x[i] / norm;</pre>
```

Note: Must finish computing norm before using for any x[i], so we are using the implicit barrier after the first loop.

```
Another fine-grain approach, forking threads only once:
from $CSE6000/codes/OpenMP/Examples/normalize1/normalize1.c
    norm = 0.0;
    #pragma omp parallel private(i)
         #pragma omp for reduction(+ : norm)
         for (i=0; i < n; i++)
             norm = norm + fabs(x[i]);
         #pragma omp barrier //not needed (implicit)
         #pramga omp for
         for (i=0; i < n; i++)
             x[i] = x[i] / norm;
```

Compute the normalized vector $|x| \|x\|_1$, with $||x||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$

Coarse grain version:

Assign blocks of i values to each thread. Threads must:

- Compute thread's contribution to $\|x\|_1$, $ext{norm_thread} = \sum_{ ext{istart}}^{ ext{iend}} |x_i| \, ,$

• Collaborate to compute total value $||x||_1$:

$$||x||_1 = \sum_{\text{threads}} \text{norm_thread}$$

• Loop over i = istart, iend to divide x_i by $\|x\|_1$.

```
from $CSE6000/codes/OpenMP/Examples/normalize2/normalize2.c
     norm = 0.0;
     #pragma omp parallel private(i, norm thread, istart, iend, thread num)
          thread num = omp get thread num();
          istart = thread num * points per thread;
          iend = min((thread num+1) * points per thread, n);
          norm thread = 0.0;
          for(i=istart; i < iend; i++)</pre>
                norm thread = norm thread + fabs(x[i]);
          //update global norm with value from each thread:
          #pragma omp critical
               norm = norm + norm thread;
          #pragma omp barrier // needed here
          for(i=istart; i < iend; i++)</pre>
               y[i] = x[i] / norm;
```

Example: Normalizing a vector — parallel block

```
norm thread = 0.0;
for(i=istart; i < iend; i++)</pre>
    norm thread = norm thread + fabs(x[i]);
//update global norm with value from each thread:
#pragma omp critical
    norm = norm + norm thread;
#pragma omp barrier // needed here
for(i=istart; i < iend; i++)</pre>
    v[i] = x[i] / norm;
```

Normalizing a vector — possible bugs

- 1. Not declaring proper variables private
- 2. Setting norm = 0.0; inside parallel block.
 Ok if it's in a omp single block. Otherwise second thread might set to zero after first thread has updated by norm thread.
- Not using omp critical block to update global norm.Data race.
- 4. Not having a barrier between updating norm and using it. First thread may use norm before other threads have added their contributions.

None of these bugs would give compile or runtime errors!

Just wrong results (sometimes).

Solve $u_i'(t) = c_i u_i(t)$ for $t \geq 0$ with initial condition $u_i(0) = \eta_i$

Exact solution: $u_i(t) = e^{c_i t} \eta_i$

Euler method: $u_i(t + \Delta t) \approx u_i(t) + \Delta t c_i u_i(t) = (1 + c_i \Delta t) u_i(t)$

New wrinkle: Stop time stepping when any of the $u_i(t)$ values exceeds 100. (Will certainly happen as long as $c_j > 0$ for some j.)

Stop time stepping when any of the $u_i(t)$ values exceeds 100.

Idea:

Each time step, compute umax = maximum value of u_i over all i and exit the time-stepping if umax > 100.

 u_i

Stop time stepping when any of the $u_i(t)$ values exceeds 100.

Idea:

Each time step, compute umax = maximum value of u_i over all i and exit the time-stepping if umax > 100.

Each thread has a private variable umax_thread for the maximum value of $\,u_i$ for its values of i. Updated for each i.

Stop time stepping when any of the $u_i(t)$ values exceeds 100.

Idea:

Each time step, compute umax = maximum value of u_i over all i and exit the time-stepping if umax > 100.

Each thread has a private variable ${\tt umax_thread}$ for the maximum value of u_i for its values of i. Updated for each i.

Each thread updates shared umax based on its umax_thread.

This needs to be done in critical section.

Stop time stepping when any of the $u_i(t)$ values exceeds 100.

Idea:

Each time step, compute umax = maximum value of u_i over all i and exit the time-stepping if umax > 100.

Each thread has a private variable ${\tt umax_thread}$ for the maximum value of u_i for its values of i. Updated for each i.

Each thread updates shared umax based on its umax thread.

This needs to be done in critical section.

Also need two barriers to make sure all threads are in synch at certain points.

Study code in \$CSE6000/codes/OpenMP/Examples/umax1.c.

```
#pragma omp parallel private(i, m, umax thread, istart, iend, thread num)
      thread num = omp get thread num();
      istart = thread num * points per thread;
      iend = min((thread num+1) * points per thread, n);
      for(m=0; m < nsteps; m++)</pre>
             umax thread = 0.0;
             #pragma omp single
                    umax = 0.0;
             for(i=istart; i < iend; i++)</pre>
                    u[i] = (1.0 + c[i] * dt) * u[i];
                    umax thread = max(umax thread, u[i]);
             #pragma omp critical
                    umax = max(umax, umax thread);
             #pragma omp barrier
             if (umax > 100)
                    break;
             #pragma omp barrier
```

do loop in parallel block:

```
for (m=0; m < nsteps; m++)
     umax thread = 0.0;
     #pragma omp single
          umax = 0.0;
     for(i=istart; i < iend; i++)</pre>
          u[i] = (1.0 + c[i] * dt) * u[i];
          umax thread = max(umax thread, u[i]);
     #pragma omp critical
          umax = max(umax, umax thread);
     #pragma omp barrier
     if (umax > 100)
          break;
     #pragma omp barrier
```

• If there were no barriers, the following could happen:

Thread 0 executes critical section first, setting umax to 0.5.

Thread 0 checks if umax > 100. False, starts next iteration.

Thread 1 executes critical section, updating umax to 110.

Thread 1 checks if umax > 100. True, so it exits.

Thread 0 next sets umax to 0.4.

Thread 0 might never reach umax > 100. Runs forever.

• If there were no barriers, the following could happen:

Thread 0 executes critical section first, setting umax to 0.5.

Thread 0 checks if umax > 100. False, starts next iteration.

Thread 1 executes critical section, updating umax to 110.

Thread 1 checks if umax > 100. True, so it exits.

Thread 0 next sets umax to 0.4.

Thread 0 might never reach umax > 100. Runs forever.

With only first barrier, the following could happen:

umax < 100 in iteration m.

Thread 1 checks if umax > 100. Go to iteration m + 1.

Thread 1 does iteration on i and sets umax > 100,

Stops at first barrier.

Thread 0 (iteration m) checks if umax > 100. True, Exits.

Thread 0 never reaches first barrier again, code hangs.