André Daniel Alves	Gomes	6	umami
André Filipe Meireles do	Nascimento	6	
Mariana Almeida	Truta	6	

Criteria	Weight	90%—100%	70%—90%	50%—70%	<50%	
1. evaluation of the problem and demonstrated knowledge of the domain	20%	Very relevant problem or opportunity, very well analysed and demonstrated. Evidence of a having acquired a comprehensive and deep knowledge of the domain	**Relevant problem or opportunity, well analysed and demonstrated. Evidence of having acquired a good understanding of the domain.**	Problem or opportunity with some interest, satisfactorily analysed and demonstrated. Some evidence of domain knowledge.	Problem or opportunity with no interest or badly identified. Confusing analysis. No evidence of domain knowledge.	90%
2. originality of the overall approach and design of the solution	15%	Very original approach. Surprising solution.	**Mostly original approach. Solution with evident originality.**	Standard approach. Mostly expected solution.	Difficult to understand approach. Incomplete or no solution.	85%
3. problem structuring, delimitation of the problem borders	15%	Very good structuring of the problem. Very clear and supported argumentation to the delimitation and borders.	**Good structuring of the problem. Good argumentation to the delimitation and borders.**	Problem minimally structured. Understandable rationale for the delimitation and borders.	Problem not delimited or structured.	85%
4. quality of the document "vision of the system"	20%	Every point in the document is clearly described. The document is fully self-contained.	**Most points are clearly described in the document. Most of the document is self-contained, but some points need previous knowledge.**	The overall document is clear with some confusing points. There is a need of previous knowledge to understand most of the points.	Document unclear. Almost nothing is understood without external consultation.	80%
5. adequacy of the selected RE methods, models and techniques	30%	Methods, models and techniques very well supported by arguments.	**Methods, models and techniques supported by arguments.**	Methods, models and techniques with some support by arguments. Some unsupported selection and some incorrections.	Incorrect or no support by arguments in the selection of methods, models and techniques.	90%
Project grade						87%

Additional notes:
Good vision of the system. The weakest points are the context that should describe the requirements sources instead of features of the system and the goals tree that should describe the goals of the application, so "application" shouldn't be a goal.
The selected of methods are well justified and seem adequate to the type of system and context.