

## EXPERIMENT 5

**Experiment No:** 5

**Date:** 10/04/2021

**Aim:** Implementation of Knapsack Problem  
(Greedy Approach) and obtain its step count

**Theory:**

### **GREEDY METHOD**

- A greedy algorithm, as the name suggests, always makes the choice that seems to be the best at that moment.
- This means that it makes a locally-optimal choice in the hope that this choice will lead to a globally-optimal solution.

### **ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF GREEDY METHOD**

- It is quite easy to come up with a greedy algorithm (or even multiple greedy algorithms) for a problem.
- Analysing the run time for greedy algorithms will generally be much easier than for other techniques (like Divide and conquer).
- For the Divide and conquer technique, it is not clear whether the technique is fast or slow.
- This is because at each level of recursion the size of gets smaller and the number of sub-problems increases.
- The difficult part is that for greedy algorithms you have to work much harder to understand correctness issues.

## EXPERIMENT 5

- Even with the correct algorithm, it is hard to prove why it is correct.
- Proving that a greedy algorithm is correct is more of an art than a science.

### **GREEDY METHOD SUGGESTS**

- Algorithm can be devised in stages, considering one input at each stage
- At each stage a decision is made to include an input or not ( If the input leads to OS then input is considered or else its ignored)
- This is done by considering the input in a particular order defined by selection procedure (as per objective function of the P)

### **KNAPSACK ALGORITHM**

- We are given  $n$  objects and a Knapsack (or bag) of capacity  $m$ .
- Associated with each object  $i$  there is a weight  $w_i$  and profit  $p_i$ .
- The objective function is to fill up the bag so as to maximize the profit earned subject to the constraint is that the sum of the weights of the chosen objects put into the bag should not exceed the capacity of the bag.
- If a fraction  $x_i$  ( $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ ) of an object  $i$  is chosen to include into the bag then a profit of  $p_i x_i$  is earned.

## EXPERIMENT 5

- For this problem, we need to:
  - Maximize:  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i x_i$
  - Subject to the condition:  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} w_i x_i \leq m$
  - And  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$
- The profits and weights are positive numbers. A feasible solution (or filling) is any set  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  satisfying 2 and 3 above.
- An optimal solution is a feasible solution for which 1 is maximized.
- In case the sum of all weights is  $\leq m$ , then  $x_i = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$  is an optimal solution.
- All optimal solutions will fill the knapsack exactly.
- In Knapsack, optimal solution is obtained when objects are selected in the decreasing order of  $p_i/w_i$ .

## EXPERIMENT 5

### ALGORITHM

```
Algorithm GreedyKnapsack (m, n)

//p[1:n] and w[1:n] contain the profits and weights respectively

// of the n objects ordered such that  $p[i]/w[i] \geq p[i+1]/w[i+1]$ 

//m is the knapsack size and x[1 : n] is the solution vector. for i := 1
to n do x[i] := 0.0;

{

    for i:=1 to n do x[i] := 0.0; //Initialize

    U := m;

    for i:=1 to n do

    {

        if( $w[i] > U$ ) then break;

         $x[i] := 1.0$ ;  $U = U - w[i]$ ;

    }

    if( $i \leq n$ ) then  $x[i] := U/w[i]$ ;

}
```

### ALGORITHM WRITING

- We accept 2 arrays: profit array p[1:n] and weight array w[1:n].
- We find the ratio of  $p[i]/w[i]$  for every element (where  $1 < i < n$ ) and re-arrange in descending order according to value of  $p[i]/w[i]$  and compute solution vector and profit

## EXPERIMENT 5

### TRACING OF PROBLEM

DATE | | PAGE

Tracing The problem

let  $n = 5, m = 12$

$(P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5) = (10, 15, 8, 6, 7)$   
 $(W_1, W_2, W_3, W_4, W_5) = (4, 6, 3, 4, 2)$

1. Random choosing  
 Elements are chosen at random so that we fill the sack

$x_1 = 1 \quad m = 12 > 4 (W_1) \quad m = 12 - 4 = 8$   
 $x_2 = 1 \quad m = 8 > 6 (W_2) \quad m = 8 - 6 = 2$   
 $x_3 = 1 \quad m = 2 = 2 (W_3) \quad m = 2 - 2 = 0 \dots \text{Sack full}$   
 $x_4 = 0$   
 $x_5 = 0$

Therefore:  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (1, 1, 0, 0, 1)$

Therefore:  $\sum w_i x_i = 12$   
 $\sum P_i x_i = 32$

2. Max profit strategy  
 Elements are chosen according to which one offers maximum profit

Profit:  $P_2 > P_1 > P_3 > P_5 > P_4$

$x_2 = 1 \quad m = 12 > 6 (W_2) \quad m = 12 - 6 = 6$   
 $x_1 = 1 \quad m = 6 > 4 (W_1) \quad m = 6 - 4 = 2$   
 $x_5 = 2/3 \quad m = 2 < 3 (W_3) \quad \dots \text{Sack full}$

## EXPERIMENT 5

DATE | | PAGE

$x_5 = 0$   
 $x_4 = 0$

Therefore:  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (1, 1, 2/3, 0, 0)$

Therefore:  $\sum w_i x_i = 12$   
 $\sum p_i x_i = 30.33$

3. least weight strategy  
 Elements are chosen according to their weight (the weight which is lightest is given the first preference)

Weight:  $w_5 < w_3 < w_1 < w_4 < w_2$

$x_5 = 1$      $m = 12 > 2$  ( $w_5$ )     $m = 12 - 2 = 10$   
 $x_3 = 1$      $m = 10 > 3$  ( $w_3$ )     $m = 10 - 3 = 7$   
 $x_1 = 1$      $m = 7 > 4$  ( $w_1$ )     $m = 7 - 4 = 3$   
 $x_4 = 3/4$      $m = 3 < 4$  ( $w_4$ )    ... sack full  
 $x_5 = 0$

Therefore:  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (1, 0, 1, 3/4, 1)$

Therefore:  $\sum w_i x_i = 12$   
 $\sum p_i x_i = 29.5$



## EXPERIMENT 5

4. Decreasing order of  $P_i/w_i$  strategy  
Elements are arranged in descending order of  $P_i/w_i$

$$(P_1/w_1) = 10/4 = 2.5$$

$$(P_2/w_2) = 8/3 = 2.67$$

$$(P_3/w_3) = 7/2 = 3.5$$

$$(P_4/w_4) = 15/6 = 2.5$$

$$(P_5/w_5) = 6/4 = 1.5$$

$$\text{Hence } (P_3/w_3) > (P_2/w_2) > (P_1/w_1) > (P_4/w_4) > (P_5/w_5)$$

$$x_5 = 1 \quad m = 12 > 2 (w_5) \quad m = 12 - 2 = 10$$

$$x_3 = 1 \quad m = 10 > 3 (w_3) \quad m = 10 - 3 = 7$$

$$x_2 = 1 \quad m = 7 > 6 (w_2) \quad m = 7 - 6 = 1$$

$$x_1 = 1/4 \quad m = 1 < 4 (w_1) \quad \dots \text{Sack full}$$

$$x_4 = 0$$

$$\text{Therefore: } (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (1/4, 1, 1, 0, 1)$$

$$\text{Therefore: } \sum w_i x_i = 12$$

$$\sum P_i x_i = 32.5$$

$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$	$\sum w_i x_i$	$\sum P_i x_i$	Strategy Used
$(1, 1, 0, 0, 1)$	12	32	Randomly chosen
$(1, 1, 1/3, 0, 0)$	12	30.33	Max profit
$(1, 0, 1, 3/4, 1)$	12	29.5	Least weight
$(1/4, 1, 1, 0, 1)$	12	32.5	Descending order of $P_i/w_i$

- The optimal solution (s) is the strategy that gives maximum of value of  $P_i/w_i$ . Therefore, the optimal solution is selecting elements in descending order of  $P_i/w_i$  that gives a profit of 32.5

## EXPERIMENT 5

### CODE

```
#include<iostream>

using namespace std;

int ctr; float static pr[20], wt[20], x[20];

void GreedyKnapsack(int m, int n)

{

    ctr++;

    int u=m;    ctr++;

    float sum=0.0;    ctr++;

    for(int i=0; i<n; i++)

    {

        x[i]=0.0; ctr++;

    }

    ctr++;

    int i;

    for( i=0; i<n; i++)

    {

        ctr++;

        ctr++;

        if(wt[i] > u)
```



## EXPERIMENT 5

```
break;

x[i]=1.0;    ctr++;

u = u-wt[i];  ctr++;

sum= sum+(pr[i]*x[i]);    ctr++;

}

ctr++;

ctr++;

if(i<=n)

{

    x[i] = float(u/wt[i]);    ctr++;

    sum= sum+(pr[i]*x[i]);    ctr++;

}

cout<<"\n\n*****"<<endl;

cout<<"SOLUTION VECTOR: ";  ctr++;

for(int i=0; i<n; i++)

{

    ctr++;

    cout<<x[i]<<" ";ctr++;

}
```

## EXPERIMENT 5

```
cout<<"\n*****"<<endl;

ctr++;

cout<<"\n\n*****"<<endl;

cout<<"MAXIMUM PROFIT: "<<sum<<endl; ctr++;

cout<<"*****"<<endl;

ctr++;

}

int main()

{

    int n, m;

    cout<<"\nENTER NUMBER OF ELEMENTS(n): \n";  ctr++;

    cin>>n;    ctr++;

    cout<<"\nENTER CAPACITY(m): \n";  ctr++;

    cin>>m;    ctr++;

    cout<<"\nENTER PROFITS: "<<"\n";  ctr++;

    for(int i=0; i<n; i++)

    {

        cin>>pr[i];  ctr++;

    }

    ctr++;
```

## EXPERIMENT 5

```
cout<<"\nENTER WEIGHTS: "<<"\n";  ctr++;

for(int i=0; i<n; i++)

{

    cin>>wt[i];  ctr++;

}

ctr++;

float ratio[n], temp;    ctr++;

for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)

{

    ctr++;

    ratio[i] = pr[i] / wt[i];    ctr++;

}

ctr++;

for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)

{

    ctr++;

    for (int j = 0; j < n; j++)

        {

            ctr++;

            ctr++;

        }

    }
```

## EXPERIMENT 5

```
if (ratio[j] < ratio[j+1])  
  
    {  
  
        temp = ratio[j];    ctr++;  
  
        ratio[j] = ratio[j+1];    ctr++;  
  
        ratio[j+1] = temp;    ctr++;  
  
  
        temp = wt[j];    ctr++;  
  
        wt[j] = wt[j+1];    ctr++;  
  
        wt[j+1] = temp;    ctr++;  
  
  
        temp = pr[j];ctr++;  
  
        pr[j] = pr[j+1];    ctr++;  
  
        pr[j+1] = temp;    ctr++;  
  
    }  
  
    }  
  
    ctr++;  
  
}  
  
ctr++;  
  
cout<<"\nPROFITS: "<<endl;    ctr++;  
  
for(int i=0; i<n; i++)
```

## EXPERIMENT 5

```
{  
  
    ctr++;  
  
    cout<<pr[i]<<" ";ctr++;  
  
}  
  
cout<<"\nWEIGHTS: "<<endl;  ctr++;  
  
for(int i=0; i<n; i++)  
{  
  
    ctr++;  
  
    cout<<wt[i]<<" ";ctr++;  
  
}  
  
GreedyKnapsack(m, n);  
  
cout<<"\n\n*****"<<endl;  
  
cout<<"STEP COUNT: "<<ctr<<endl;  
  
cout<<"*****"<<endl;  
  
return 0;  
  
}
```

## EXPERIMENT 5

### OUTPUT

```
/home/vedant/Desktop/exp5

ENTER NUMBER OF ELEMENTS(n):
5

ENTER CAPACITY(m):
120

ENTER PROFITS:
100
98
56
87
52

ENTER WEIGHTS:
60
50
58
84
52

PROFITS:
98 100 87 52 56
WEIGHTS:
50 60 84 52 58

*****
SOLUTION VECTOR: 1 1 0.119048 0 0
*****

*****
MAXIMUM PROFIT: 208.357
*****

*****
STEP COUNT: 179
*****

Process returned 0 (0x0)   execution time : 26.940 s
Press ENTER to continue.
█
```

### CONCLUSION

- Detailed concept of Knapsack Problem (Greedy Method) was studied successfully.
- Knapsack program was executed successfully.
- The step count for the Quick Sort algorithm was obtained