**Project Plan**

**Chris Cummins**

**16 December 2013**

**Abstract**

This document contains a proposal and plan to develop a Protein Isoelectric Point Database as a final year project, under the supervision of Professor Ian Nabney and based on research undertaken by Dr. Darren Flower and members of Aston University’s Life and Health Sciences department.

**Contents**

[Project Description 3](#_Toc374619116)

[Background 3](#_Toc374619117)

[Objectives 3](#_Toc374619118)

[Deliverables 4](#_Toc374619119)

[Required Resources 4](#_Toc374619120)

[Required Technologies 4](#_Toc374619121)

[Required Skills 5](#_Toc374619122)

[Initial Research 6](#_Toc374619123)

[Dataset Analysis 6](#_Toc374619124)

[Related Bioinformatics Databases 8](#_Toc374619125)

[Previous Final Year Project 8](#_Toc374619126)

[Risk Assessment 9](#_Toc374619127)

[Development Process 10](#_Toc374619128)

[Work Breakdown Structure 10](#_Toc374619129)

[Version Control 11](#_Toc374619130)

[Project Schedule 12](#_Toc374619131)

[Milestones 13](#_Toc374619132)

[References 15](#_Toc374619133)

[Appendix A – Project Gantt chart 16](#_Toc374619134)

[Appendix B – Design of previous FYP database 17](#_Toc374619135)

**List of Figures**

[Figure 1 A diagrammatic view of the process of adopting technologies 5](#_Toc374619136)

[Figure 2 A formal relation schema with named attributes 6](#_Toc374619137)

[Figure 3 A breakdown of the tuple origins within the dataset 6](#_Toc374619138)

[Figure 4 The number of populated keys for each tuple within the dataset 7](#_Toc374619139)

[**Figure 5** The number of unique keys for each tuple within the dataset 7](#_Toc374619140)

[Figure 6 A sequence diagram showing a single full iteration of the OpenUP process 10](#_Toc374619141)

[Figure 7 A single iteration of the project’s test-driven development workflow 12](#_Toc374619142)

**List of Tables**

[Table 1 A list of potential project risks and their severity 9](#_Toc374619143)

# Project Description

The purpose of the project is to make an intuitive and powerful bioinformatics search engine which provides online access to a large dataset of protein isoelectric points which has been compiled by Aston University researchers and students over the course of several years.

## Background

Bioinformatics is a multidisciplinary field which uses computational methods to aid in biological research by creating systems for storing, organising and analysing complex biological data. Within this field there are many online databases categorising biological information at the molecular level, and one such purpose of these is for storing the functional and physical properties of proteins. Currently, no such database exists for one of the most widely-used, important, and useful properties of proteins: the isoelectric point (pI). An isoelectric point is the acidity (pH) at which a molecule carries no net charge; below the isoelectric point, proteins have a net positive charge, above it a net negative charge. Additionally, proteins are at their lowest solubility at their isoelectric point, and this makes the isoelectric point a vitally important property when both characterising and purifying proteins.

The dataset which has been compiled is a collection of entries stored as a non-relational table, and for each entry it records the name of the protein, its identity, origin, experimental conditions, its isoelectric point, and other pertinent data. There are also links to a heterogeneous collection of databases containing associated data, such as amino acid sequence, function, etc. A website that warehouses this data and offers a robust and adaptable GUI for searching, viewing and downloading results would greatly increase the accessibility of the dataset.

## Objectives

1. To build a free (as in freedom) web application for searching and viewing protein isoelectric points.
2. To produce a bioinformatics tool with real world value for future research.
3. The application should provide intuitive but powerful searching facilities.
4. The application should provide a convenient means for a certified user to edit and upload additional data.
5. The application should present information in a usable and efficient form.
6. Users should be allowed to download generated results for offline use.
7. Adequate security precautions should be taken to minimise the risk of data being sabotaged or stolen.
8. The implementation should use a clean model view controller architecture.
9. Comprehensive test coverage of the API and common use cases should be automated.
10. The application should be scalable for much larger datasets.

## Deliverables

Two primary deliverables can be derived from the project objectives:

1. An updatable relational database warehousing the provided dataset.
2. A web-accessible GUI with searching and downloading functionality.

Additionally, two further deliverables can be derived from the same requirements document as secondary features:

1. A web-accessible GUI to support editing and uploading new data.
2. Support for NCBI BLAST protein sequence matching (1).

## Required Resources

The deliverable product for this product is a website that offers a publicly available service. To this end, there are three required resources:

1. A server which can be host the website and process and respond to requests from clients.
2. A public IP address which this server can be assigned to for external access.
3. A domain name which will resolve to this IP address.

Additional stipulations for the requirements are that the server should use a GNU/Linux operating system so as to support most common webserver stacks, and that it should be a dedicated physical machine with root access so as to allow for more involved configuration and testing. Assuming that the University can supply the webserver and access to one of its IP addresses, the budget for the project need only cover the cost of domain registration, which is very cheap (less than £20 per year).

## Required Technologies

There are an almost immeasurable number of web technologies that offer the functionality required to implement this project. From a time-management perspective, one of the main priorities for the work undertaken in the first term is to research these existing technologies, and to gain a better understanding of the strengths of each in order to select an appropriate choice for the implementation of the final product. Figure 1 shows the process by which these choices are made, starting off with the highest-level decision (the choice of paradigms: whether it be a client-server model, a distributed network application, the format for data transmission etc.) and increasing in granularity down to the lowest-level choice of individual frameworks and libraries. Each decision is not immutable, and previous decisions may be re-evaluated over time in an iterative fashion. This is to encourage implementation work to begin at an early stage in order to produce a functioning prototype, without the need to have performed a depth first analysis of every possible technology which could be used. Work on the implementation can begin once the programming language(s) have been decided upon, so it is important to reach a decision upon this as early into TP1 as is possible, although this decision is free to change over the course of the project, providing there is a realistic justification for this change and adequate time to re-implement any existing functionality.



Figure A diagrammatic view of the process of adopting technologies

## Required Skills

This technically ambitious project will require the adoption of a number of new skillsets, a brief overview of which is included below:

**Project management**

* Single-developer project management – including good communication with clients and stakeholders, selecting an appropriate software development process, setting realistic deadlines and goals, and tracking the progress of a long term development project.
* Working with a mature codebase – this includes proper version control and use of a sane branching model, and documenting decisions and all source code throughout the duration of development.
* Maintenance and tooling – developing a software product with long-term usability as a primary goal, as well as appropriate documentation to allow other developers to administrate the website.
* Software quality - appropriate use of issue trackers and bug ticketing to track the lifecycle of implementation bugs and regressions, and adopting a meaningful release cycle and version numbering scheme.

### Back-end development

* Relational data modelling and database design – designing and formalising database schemas, and providing advanced querying functionality.
* Computing with large datasets – appropriately using existing tools and software patterns for working with large persistent data models.
* Performance optimising – server-side optimisations to enable high performance serving of web pages such as caching, and successful cache invalidation techniques for the webserver and database.
* Designing secure web applications – using formal and established methods to ensure data integrity of critical biological research data.

### Front-end development

* User interface design – working with clients to design accessible and easy-to-user GUIs, including appropriate use of Human Computer Interaction techniques and user testing.
* Responsive website design – correctly using HTML5 and CSS3 features to allow for site accessibility from a wide range of different devices.
* Client-side scripting – using mobile code such as JavaScript to enrich user interfaces using technologies such as AJAX and dynamic content generation and control.

# Initial Research

The purpose of the initial project research phase is to enable early identification of risks, design decisions, and other factors which will contribute to the creation of the project plan.

## Analysis of the Dataset

In order to determine the technical scope of the project it is necessary to analyse the dataset which the web service will house. The dataset was supplied by Dr. Flower in the form of a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet consisting of a single table with 5,773 unique rows over 22 columns. In order to develop a relational model for this data, each of the 22 unique columns can be considered as a set of attributes A*1,A2,…,A22* and combined to form a relational schema *R* (Figure 2 shows this schema with attribute names taken from the spreadsheet column headings), with the spreadsheet values forming an instance of this relation *r(R)* in which each row can be considered a set of tuples where *t’ = {t’(A1),t’(A2),…,t’(A22)} ϵ r(R)*.

*R = {Origin, EC, Protein, Alternative name(s), Source, Organ and/or Subcellular locaction, M.W, No., M.W2, No. of Iso-enzymes, pI maximum value, pI Min Value, pI Max Value, pI value of major component, pI, Temperature (ºC), Method, Valid sequence(s) available, UniportKB/ Swiss-Prot/ Protein sequence, Species Taxonomy, Full text, Abstract only, Pubmed, Notes}*

Figure A formal relation schema with named attributes

Each tuple represents a single recording of experimentally-derived data, which lists the origin of the record (such as a research paper, or academic journal), and 21 attributes which describe the properties of the protein, the experimental result and the method used to derive it, and links to relevant online resources. Figure 3 shows a breakdown of the different origins for all of the records. In order to aid in the design of the database which will be used to store this dataset, a dataset analysis tool was developed which parses the dataset file and extracts and derives key information about its properties, and this information can be used to help determine the best method to use when storing this data.

Figure A breakdown of the tuple origins within the dataset

Figure The number of populated keys for each tuple within the dataset

This early dataset analysis highlighted a number of properties which will greatly influence the design of the database backend. Chiefly, that the dataset contains a large number of duplicate keys, and for each tuple, many of the keys may not be given. This information will have a great influence on the design of the database; for example, the low percentage of unique values for many of the records in the dataset indicate that a 3NF normalisation pattern could be used to gain maximum size efficiency of the stored database (2), and so time should be allocated in project plan to allow for database design decisions to be investigated and tested.

**Figure 5** The number of unique keys for each tuple within the dataset

## Related Bioinformatics Databases

In addition to gaining a greater understanding of the provided dataset, a selection of relevant existing websites and databases were examined, in order to help analyse the strengths and weaknesses of each. As previously stated, biological databases of protein properties abound, and Dr. Flower’s bioinformatics research has led to the creation of three such databases: AntiJen, DSD, and PPD:

**AntiJen** **– a kinetic, thermodynamic and cellular database** (3)**.** AntiJen is a database containing quantitative binding data for peptides. The database houses over 24,000 entries from published experimentally determined data, and offers keyword searching of this dataset, with results being returned in a tabular format.

**DSD – A database of dehydrogenase stereospecificities** (4)**.** DSD offers a similar set of features as AntiJen but for a different dataset. In addition to keyword searching, the website supports viewing data by selecting from categories, and additionally offers BLAST searching, which is a feature that will incorporated into this project.

**PPD – Protein pKa Database** (5)**.** PPD offers data lookup by either BLAST search or a detailed search page which allows the user to select from a given set of criteria, such as protein name, experimental method, and amino acid name.

In each of the websites, a large dataset of very specific biological data is hosted on a website which offers a service for members of the public to query certain aspects of it and return results. In each case, it is only possible to return a reduced subset of the data, with no ability for users to download the entire set in one go; the idea being that users should be allowed to answer specific queries they may have, but not to idly download the entire dataset which may be the result of many years of researcher’s work. From a technical standpoint, the websites appear lacking in some areas such as user interface design, where their rather dated aesthetic and design leads to a rather poor user experience. Of course this has no bearing on the usefulness of the service and data offered by the websites, but a greater level of ease of use and control over the format in which search results are displayed could lead to a more engaging experience for the user, as well as allowing them to attain the data they need in a more efficient manner.

## Previous Final Year Project Analysis

In addition to the existing public bioinformatics databases which Dr. Flower assisted in creating, students from previous years have attempted to develop a similar project to this one. Chief among these was an earlier implementation of a protein isoelectric point database, created by former student Mohammad Abdullah. The project used an older and reduced-size version of the current dataset, and used a MySQL database to store the data, with a PHP back-end to query the tables and generate static HTML webpages which can be served over an Apache webserver. A technical review of the implementation revealed a number of things that could be improved upon – largely that the codebase is a somewhat impenetrable mixture of PHP with inline HTML, with no distinction between the application logic and presentation tier, and the querying mechanism is quite primitive, with little ability to perform advanced searching within the dataset. Appendix B (page 17) contains a UML diagram of the database schema used, which highlights the small number of tables used, with few relational links between records leading to a simplistic searching mechanism.

# Risk Assessment

Table 1 lists some of the potential project risks that were identified during the initial research phase which could influence the success of the project and its ability to meet the objectives and deliverables. For each risk, the probability of it occurring and impact it would have on the project have been assigned a value between 1 and 5 to indicate their magnitude.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Risk | Description | Category | Probability | Impact |
| R1 | Design is not intuitive | Design | 2 | 3 |
| R2 | Project involves use of new technical skills | Development | 5 | 5 |
| R3 | High Level of technical complexity | Development | 5 | 3 |
| R4 | Complex deployment of production website | Development | 5 | 4 |
| R5 | Project milestones not clearly defined | Planning | 1 | 1 |
| R6 | System requirements not adequately identified | Requirements | 2 | 5 |
| R7 | Change in project requirements during development | Requirements | 1 | 5 |
| R8 | Changes in dataset format during development | Resources | 2 | 5 |
| R9 | Unable to obtain required resources | Resources | 1 | 1 |
| R10 | Users not committed to the project | Users | 2 | 4 |
| R11 | Lack of cooperation from users | Users | 1 | 4 |
| R12 | Users with negative attitudes toward the project | Users | 1 | 2 |

Table A list of potential project risks and their severity

### Mitigation Strategies

For each of the risks discovered in the assessment, mitigation strategies have been defined which provide techniques to avoid or minimise the threat of each risk.

**Design is not intuitive** – the key to mitigation of this risk is in frequent and effective user testing and an understanding of typical and common use-cases for the product.

**Project involves use of new technical skills** – in order to prevent this risk from having a serious impact on the project, it will be necessary to begin studying and reading about the technologies that will be used at a very early stage in the project, long before the start of the implementation.

**High Level of technical complexity** – avoiding this risk will involve ensuring that the scope of the project remains technically feasible, and that the software architecture is abstracted into small enough units that it is easier to focus on each one separately, as well as keeping small iterative development cycles and adequate test coverage to prevent regressions when implementing new functionality.

**Complex deployment of production website** – a website with independent data and application logic components can result in an intricate deployment process. This is a common problem in the development of complex web application, where development and production environments must be synchronised and differences between debugging and releases builds must be accounted for. In order to mitigate this risk, a suite of tools to configure, build and deploy the website should be developed at an early stage, allowing for fast deployment of public releases.

‘’

**Project milestones not clearly defined** – a thoroughly described and well thought out project plan will help to prevent scheduling issues and delays in development that would arise from this risk.

**System requirements not adequately identified** – a comprehensive specification of the finished product before implementation begins will help to mitigate this risk.

**Change in project requirements during development** – an agile approach towards accommodating for changes in the requirements should be used so as to keep the time between user feedback sessions and input from stakeholders low.

**Changes in dataset format during development** – it is not possible to entirely avoid this risk due its nature and the dependence on third parties, but steps can be taken to prevent any delays that this would cause, chiefly, a well abstracted data parsing component which can be switched and modified if necessary to accommodate for a new dataset format.

**Unable to obtain required resources** – since the project does not require many resources, it is important to acquire these as early on in the development process as possible, and alternative resources should be planned for, such as local test servers.

**Users not committed to the project, lack of cooperation from users, and users with negative attitudes toward the project** – the useful of the finished project will depend largely on ensuring that the needs of the users are considered the primary goals of the design. Violating this principle may cause disillusionment from the people who are volunteering their time to assist in the project.

# Development Process

The software development process used for this project is based the Open Unified Process (OpenUP), a part of the Eclipse Process Framework (6). The reasoning behind this choice is that, as a Rational Unified Process derivative, OpenUP offers an open source process framework which is targeted at agile development in small teams and provides a number of development phases and activities which can be used when designing the project plan (Figure 6).



Figure A sequence diagram showing a single full iteration of the OpenUP process

## Work Breakdown Structure

The crux of OpenUP is in breaking down a large development project into four key phases to iterate on: the Inception Phase, Elaboration Phase, Construction Phase and Transition Phase (7).

### Inception Phase

The inception phase represents the initial work which defines the scope and objectives of the project. Key tasks include generating a list of the core project’s requirements, key features and main constraints, and developing an understanding of the general project use-cases and business case. The work in this phase culminates with a stakeholder concurrence on the project scope, cost and schedule, and a deep requirements understanding which covers the depth and breadth of the technical work to be undertaken. For this project, the inception phase should include meeting all project stakeholders and research into existing protein databases, their use-cases, and a deeper understanding of the scientific value of the dataset.

### Elaboration Phase

The elaboration phase builds upon the work done in the construction phase by requiring deeper technical research into required technologies, and initial prototyping of early ideas. By the end of the elaboration phase, the product vision should be agreed upon and stable, and a full plan of the technical architecture should have been reached. Further iterations of the elaboration phase may be used after construction has begun to refine the architecture plan, or as a response to a change in the technologies used. The purpose of the phase is to turn the initial product vision into a realisable goal with quantifiable and achievable goals and objectives. For this project, the elaboration phase will involve investigation into some of the available technologies (PHP, MySQL, Node.js, MongoDB, etc.), and technical prototypes of the database backend.

### Construction Phase

The construction phase covers the development of the main software architecture and associated documentation, and should result in “Initial Operational Capability” (7). Success criteria for this development phase includes whether the product is mature enough to be deployed to users, and so for this project will require meeting with stakeholders to ensure that the implementation of the plan is acceptable.

### Transition Phase

The transition phase includes beta testing of the new system against user expectations, and includes a review of the completed product against the requirements and objectives established in the initial project plan to measure success. The phase culminates in a product roll-out and the associated distribution, marketing and training of users that is required. For this project, it will involve deploying the finished project to a public server and conducting extensive user testing.

## Version Control

A revision control and source code management (SCM) system will be used during all development to keep an auditable and transparent log of progress, and Git will be used for this. There are numerous advantages that Git has over other SCMs, chiefly that it is entirely open source and GPL licensed (8), it has a very lightweight branching model and good support for rebasing and merging, and there are numerous sources which offer free hosting of open source licensed projects that are tracked by Git. A public repository of the source code and all relevant documentation for this project is available on GitHub (9).

### Issue tracker

One of the additional benefits of the GitHub online repository hosting service is that it supplies a number of useful tools, namely an issue tracker and milestones list. This allows issues to be created online and categorised appropriately (e.g. bugs, tasks, regressions, documentation, etc.), and then referenced from the repository commits. Milestones can be created and individual issues assigned to them, allowing for quick and visible progress checking of development towards a specific goal.

### Test-driven development



Figure A single iteration of the project’s test-driven development workflow

By combining the available issue tracker with good version control practises, it is possible to implement a simple and functional test-driven approach to development (Figure 7). This breaks down the development process into single-issue chunks, with each iteration beginning with creating a local development branch for an issue and then writing failing test cases which can then be patched. Using this model of development ensures that all work undertaken is relevant to the project and directly affects progress, minimising the amount of time wastage and increasing the stability of the codebase by ensuring adequate test coverage (10).

# Project Schedule

The project development is spread over a 26 week period, with 11 weeks in the first teaching period and the remaining 15 in the second. In order to maximise the effectiveness of this time, a list of tasks for each of the four OpenUP development phases was constructed, and a time allowance associated with each. The final project plan consists of 8 phases: the inception phase and transition phases, and four iterations of elaboration and construction. The smaller elaboration and construction cycles were used so as to maximise the allowance for changes in the project specification caused by user feedback and review without causing delays in the development. This is to minimise the impact of the “Change in project requirements during development” risk. Once the list of tasks was assembled, a Gantt chart (page 16) was constructed which ordered each of these tasks and distributed them across the timespan. Careful ordering of the tasks ensured that there is the least chance for blocking between activities, where one task runs over the specified time allowance and causes later tasks to be postponed until it’s finished. The final project plan allows for the maximum amount of parallel activities and development by ensuring that there are adequate gaps between activities that depend on each other.

## Milestones

In order to provide a running measure of success for the project, a set of milestones were defined which track the development process from inception through to transition and provides completion deadlines for a set of activities. Two types of milestones are used: design and implementation.

Design milestones cover the design of the user interface, such as the “look and feel” of the project, and the interaction design. Each design milestone is preceded by a round of user testing, in which feedback and opinions can be gathered by the project stakeholders in order to influence the next iteration of design.

The implementation milestones cover the technical development, with each milestone marking a set improvement in the implementation of the backend, frontend, and controller, from the initial prototyping phase to the “feature complete” endpoint. Unlike the design milestones, the implementation milestones do not rely so heavily on input from third parties and so are more a personal measure of my own development.

For each milestone, a set of requirements has been created which can be used as success criteria for deciding when a milestone has been achieved. The requirements of the milestones are cumulative, meaning that requirements for the final milestone of each type includes all of the requirements of the previous milestones of that type. In the case of the implementation milestones, the requirements have been split into functional and non-functional requirements, where functional requirements describe the behaviour and functionality of the product, and non-functional requirements describe the criteria which can be used to judge the functional behaviour.

### Design Milestones

**D1 First iteration design (week 3) –** at this early stage of development, the design should consist of a set of non-interactive “paper prototypes” or static renders of the application interface, which can be used as a rough guide for beginning to prototype the interaction design.

**Non-functional requirements**

1. A set of mock-ups for the design of common site pages: search page, results page, details page (if applicable), advanced search, login page, and upload new data page.
2. A set of interaction mock-ups for common site tasks: searching for a record by protein name, searching records from a specific source, searching for records in a pI range, performing an advanced search, adding a new record, uploading a new dataset.

**D2 Second iteration design (week 13)** – the user interaction design should be the primary focus of this second iteration, with many of the common tasks (searching for a result, looking up a record, etc.) being more tightly defined.

**Functional requirements**

1. An interactive prototype which implements common site tasks: logging in and out using credentials, searching for a record by protein name, searching records from a specific source, searching for records in a pI range, performing an advanced search, adding a new record, uploading a new dataset.

**Non-Functional**

1. A set of interaction mock-ups for ‘edge case’ or uncommon events: an error on the server-side, performing a search which returns no results, attempting to log in with incorrect credentials.

**D3 Third iteration design (week 18)** – by the third iteration, the interaction design should be complete, allowing the focus of development to be placed on polishing the look and feel of the application and establishing a common aesthetic style.

**Functional requirements**

1. An interactive website which implements the full interaction design.

**Non-Functional requirements**

1. A set of revised mock-ups for the aesthetic design of all site pages.

**D4 Finalised design (week 24)** – this last design milestone marks the endpoint of all design changes, and can be used to review the quality and effectiveness of the fully evolved product.

**Functional requirements**

1. An interactive website which implements the full aesthetics and interaction design, providing 100% coverage of all interactions and scenarios described by the mock-ups.

### Implementation Milestones

**M1 Initial prototype (week 11)** – by the end of the first term, breath-first and depth-first prototypes of the system which some of the more common user tasks should have been implemented, although the underlying software architecture and technologies are free to change for the production system.

**Functional requirements**

1. A breadth-first prototype which implements coverage for the common site pages and tasks.
2. A depth-first prototype of the user accounts system and data back-end.
3. The prototype should allow for potential users to interact with a website which implements a limited subset of the final functionality, allowing for early feedback on the design.

**Non-functional requirements**

1. An architectural design for the final system database.
2. A tool to generate fake datasets and upload them to the prototype for testing purposes.

**M2 Working system (week 18)** – by week 18 the software architecture and choice of technologies should have been fully realised, and the functional backend of the majority of use-cases should have been implemented, along with good test coverage of each.

**Non-functional requirements**

1. A software design which stipulates the final decision on which technologies will be used.
2. An architectural design which covers the full model view controller stack and components of each.
3. A test harness and accompanying automated unit tests with full coverage of the API under common states.

**M3 Feature complete (week 24)** – the feature complete milestone marks the end of the development of new features. By this point, the system should be fully functional and optimised, allowing for final stress and load testing to take place, and for the system to be deployed.

**Functional requirements**

1. A secured server which is publicly accessible from a domain name.
2. An optimised software stack which can serve pages within a determined time limit.
3. A software architecture which can support datasets of up to a million records.

**Non-functional requirements**

1. Full test coverage of the API and automated black-box testing of the common website tasks.
2. The source code should be available online and licensed with an appropriate open source license.
3. Full documentation coverage of the internal API.

# References

1. **National Center for Biotechnology Information.** About NCBI. *NCBI.* [Online] ND. [Cited: 31st October 2013.] http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi.

2. **Maier, D.** *The Theory of Relational Databases.* s.l. : Computer Science press, 1983.

3. **Drug Design Group.** AntiJen Database: Homepage. *AntiJen.* [Online] 1999. [Cited: 10th December 2013.] http://www.ddg-pharmfac.net/antijen/AntiJen/antijenhomepage.htm.

4. —. DSD: dehydrogenase stereospecificities database. *DSD.* [Online] ND. [Cited: 10th December 2013.] http://www.ddg-pharmfac.net/dsd/DSD/dehydrog.htm.

5. —. PPD database. *PPD a database of protein ioinization constants.* [Online] ND. [Cited: 10th December 2013.] http://www.ddg-pharmfac.net/ppd/PPD/pKahomepage.htm.

6. **The Eclipse Foundation.** OpenUP. *Introduction to OpenUP.* [Online] ND. [Cited: 31st October 2013.] http://epf.eclipse.org/wikis/openup/.


7. **Rational.** Rational Unified Process - Best Practices for Software Development Teams. *IBM.* [Online] November 2011. [Cited: 21st October 2013.] http://www.ibm.com/developerworks/rational/library/content/03July/1000/1251/1251\_bestpractices\_TP026B.pdf.

8. **Free Software Foundation, Inc.** GNU General Public License, version 2. *GNU Operating System.* [Online] June 1991. [Cited: 31st October 2013.] http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-2.0.html.

9. **Cummins, C.** Online profile. *GitHub.* [Online] 12th April 2012. [Cited: 31st October 2013.] https://github.com/ChrisCummins.

10. **Martin, R. C.** *Agile Software Development, Principles, Patterns, and Practices.* s.l. : Pearson, 2011.

# Appendix A – Project Gantt chart

****

# Appendix B – Design of previous FYP database

