Code Assessment

of the Gearbox Auction Smart Contracts

December 09, 2022

Produced for



by



Contents

1	Executive Summary	3
2	2 Assessment Overview	5
3	Limitations and use of report	7
4	l Terminology	8
5	5 Findings	9
6	6 Notes	11



1 Executive Summary

Dear Gearbox team,

Thank you for trusting us to help GEARBOX with this security audit. Our executive summary provides an overview of subjects covered in our audit of the latest reviewed contracts of Gearbox Auction according to Scope to support you in forming an opinion on their security risks.

GEARBOX implements a liquidity bootstrapping contract for a GEAR / ETH Curve crypto pool. The funding is raised in consecutive stages, after which the contract acts as a doorway to the Curve pool for a limited time in which GEAR sellers are paying a premium.

The most critical subjects covered in our audit are functional correctness and safety of the interactions with the underlying pool. Additionally, we focused on front-running possibilities and gas efficiency. We did not find any critical problems in the aforementioned categories.

In summary, we find that the codebase provides a high level of security.

It is important to note that security audits are time-boxed and cannot uncover all vulnerabilities. They complement but don't replace other vital measures to secure a project.

The following sections will give an overview of the system, our methodology, the issues uncovered and how they have been addressed. We are happy to receive questions and feedback to improve our service.

Sincerely yours,

ChainSecurity



1.1 Overview of the Findings

Below we provide a brief numerical overview of the findings and how they have been addressed.

Critical -Severity Findings	0
High-Severity Findings	0
Medium-Severity Findings	0
Low-Severity Findings	3
No Response	3



2 Assessment Overview

In this section, we briefly describe the overall structure and scope of the engagement, including the code commit which is referenced throughout this report.

2.1 Scope

The assessment was performed on the source code files inside the Gearbox Auction repository src folder based on the documentation files. The table below indicates the code versions relevant to this report and when they were received.

V	Date	Commit Hash	Note
1	05 Dec 2022	9951434beee4d3de3e3d0155c3b663fd387c6366	Initial Version

For the solidity smart contracts, the compiler versions ^0.8.13 and ^0.8.10 were chosen.

2.1.1 Excluded from scope

All files (including tests) outside of the src folder of the repository.

2.2 System Overview

This system overview describes the initially received version (Version 1) of the contracts as defined in the Assessment Overview.

Furthermore, in the findings section, we have added a version icon to each of the findings to increase the readability of the report.

The reviewed smart contracts allow GEAR token holders to bootstrap a Curve exchange with early liquidity and, later, to trade GEAR tokens vs. ETH. If certain conditions are met, transfer restrictions currently imposed on all GEAR holders (except the treasury and a miner address) are lifted after a pre-determined timeframe.

The repository has two main files. constants.sol holds the constant parameters like the GEAR token address, the Curve deployer address, token limits for the deposit phases and Curve's trading curve parameters. The main contract <code>GearLiquidityBootstrapper.sol</code> is composed of the following stages:

- INITIALIZED: The stage at the beginning of the contract's lifetime.
- GEAR_DEPOSIT: The stage in which users are allowed to deposit GEAR tokens into the contract. If gearMinAmount has been reached after a certain time, the contract progresses to the next stage. Otherwise, it progresses to FAILED.
- ETH_DEPOSIT: The stage in which users are allowed to deposit ETH into the contract. If ethMinAmount has been reached after a certain time, the contract deploys a new GEAR / ETH Curve pool, bootstraps it with the deposited liquidity and progresses to the next stage. Otherwise, it progresses to FAILED.
- FAIR_TRADING: The stage in which users are allowed to trade on the newly created Curve pool. Since the GEAR token still does not permit transfers, directly trading on the pool is not possible.



After a certain time, the contract progresses to the FINISHED stage and enables transfers in the GEAR token.

- FINISHED: The stage in which users who deposited in the beginning can claim the LP tokens of the Curve pool.
- FAILED: The stage in which the contract has failed to reach its purpose. The community will have to decide on a different strategy at this point.

GearLiquidityBootstrapper provides the following logic:

- commitGEAR is only callable in the GEAR_DEPOSIT stage. It allows GEAR token owners to deposit GEAR tokens (up to gearMaxAmount) in the contract.
- commitETH is only callable in the ETH_DEPOSIT stage. It allows users to deposit ETH (up to ethMaxAmount) in the contract.
- sellGEAR can be called in the FAIR_TRADING stage and allows users to sell their GEAR tokens on the newly created Curve pool. Users selling very early are paying a penalty which decreases over time.
- buyGEAR allows users to buy GEAR tokens for ETH in the FAIR_TRADING stage. Buying GEAR tokens is done without any discounts at the full amount.
- claimLP can be used by users in the FINISHED stage to claim their LP token share for their deposits.
- retrieveShearedGEAR is a function also only callable in the FINISHED stage. It allows the contract owner to claim the remaining GEAR token balance of the contract.
- advanceStage is a time and limit dependent function. It advances through the contract's stages
 and is called with every function of the contract. In case the min or max amounts are not collected or
 in case of incorrect time transitions, this function will let the bootstrap process fail.
- If the bootstrap process failed, retrieveGEAR and retrieveETH allow users to withdraw their deposited ETH or GEAR tokens.
- takeGEARManagerBack gives the DAO the ability to claim back the ownership of the GEAR token contract in case the bootstrap process failed.

The Curve pool deployed at the beginning of the FAIR_TRADING stage will be bootstrapped with a certain range of liquidity. The minimum price for GEAR tokens will be ~0.0000085 ETH while the maximum price will be ~0.0000185 ETH depending on the amounts of GEAR and ETH pledged in the respective stages.

2.2.1 Trust model

• The owner role (which is set to the GEARBOX_TREASURY multi-sig address on deployment) of the Bootstrap contract has to be fully trusted. It can call a function execute which performs an arbitrary function call from the contract.



3 Limitations and use of report

Security assessments cannot uncover all existing vulnerabilities; even an assessment in which no vulnerabilities are found is not a guarantee of a secure system. However, code assessments enable the discovery of vulnerabilities that were overlooked during development and areas where additional security measures are necessary. In most cases, applications are either fully protected against a certain type of attack, or they are completely unprotected against it. Some of the issues may affect the entire application, while some lack protection only in certain areas. This is why we carry out a source code assessment aimed at determining all locations that need to be fixed. Within the customer-determined time frame, ChainSecurity has performed an assessment in order to discover as many vulnerabilities as possible.

The focus of our assessment was limited to the code parts defined in the engagement letter. We assessed whether the project follows the provided specifications. These assessments are based on the provided threat model and trust assumptions. We draw attention to the fact that due to inherent limitations in any software development process and software product, an inherent risk exists that even major failures or malfunctions can remain undetected. Further uncertainties exist in any software product or application used during the development, which itself cannot be free from any error or failures. These preconditions can have an impact on the system's code and/or functions and/or operation. We did not assess the underlying third-party infrastructure which adds further inherent risks as we rely on the correct execution of the included third-party technology stack itself. Report readers should also take into account that over the life cycle of any software, changes to the product itself or to the environment in which it is operated can have an impact leading to operational behaviors other than those initially determined in the business specification.



4 Terminology

For the purpose of this assessment, we adopt the following terminology. To classify the severity of our findings, we determine the likelihood and impact (according to the CVSS risk rating methodology).

- Likelihood represents the likelihood of a finding to be triggered or exploited in practice
- Impact specifies the technical and business-related consequences of a finding
- Severity is derived based on the likelihood and the impact

We categorize the findings into four distinct categories, depending on their severity. These severities are derived from the likelihood and the impact using the following table, following a standard risk assessment procedure.

Likelihood	Impact		
	High	Medium	Low
High	Critical	High	Medium
Medium	High	Medium	Low
Low	Medium	Low	Low

As seen in the table above, findings that have both a high likelihood and a high impact are classified as critical. Intuitively, such findings are likely to be triggered and cause significant disruption. Overall, the severity correlates with the associated risk. However, every finding's risk should always be closely checked, regardless of severity.



5 Findings

In this section, we describe our findings. The findings are split into these different categories:

- Design: Architectural shortcomings and design inefficiencies
- Correctness: Mismatches between specification and implementation

Below we provide a numerical overview of the identified findings, split up by their severity.

Critical - Severity Findings	0
High-Severity Findings	0
Medium-Severity Findings	0
Low-Severity Findings	3

- ETH Transfer
- Gas Optimizations
- Shearing Percent Getter Wrong Before Fair Trading Stage

5.1 ETH Transfer



The contract uses the native transfer function to transfer ETH to users. As this function can only use up to 2,300 gas, users using contracts (e.g., Gnosis Safe) to transfer ETH to the contract might be in for a surprise when the FAILED stage is reached and they want to call retrieveETH: The transfer won't succeed. In this case, the ETH of these users has to be transferred manually by the owner using execute.

5.2 Gas Optimizations



The following parts of the contracts could be optimized for gas efficiency:

- _advanceStage redundantly checks for the condition if the block.timestamp has exceeded the fairTradingStart.
- _advanceStage performs multiple, redundant storage loads of stage.
- _advanceStage sets the stage to ETH_DEPOSIT and then immediately sets it to FAILED.
- GEAR token transfers are conducted using safeTransferFrom. This overhead is not needed as the GEAR token's transfer functions are reverting by default.
- _getCurrentMinMaxAmounts redundantly loads totalGearCommitted and totalEthCommitted from storage multiple times.
- getPendingLPAmount redundantly loads totalLPTokens from storage multiple times.
- _commitETH redundantly loads totalEthCommitted from storage multiple times.



- commitGEAR redundantly loads totalGearCommitted from storage multiple times.
- _depositToPool redundantly loads curvePool, totalGearCommitted and totalEthCommitted from storage multiple times.
- foundry.toml does not contain settings for the optimizer.

5.3 Shearing Percent Getter Wrong Before Fair Trading Stage



If getCurrentShearingPct is called before the fairTradingStart timestamp is reached, it will display more than the maximum shearing percentage.



6 Notes

We leverage this section to highlight further findings that are not necessarily issues. The mentioned topics serve to clarify or support the report, but do not require an immediate modification inside the project. Instead, they should raise awareness in order to improve the overall understanding.

6.1 Advance to Wrong Stage



When all deposits are done and meet the minimum requirements but no trades happen, consequently, the stage is not advanced and the stage FAIR_TRADING would never be active. If claimLP is called after fairTradingEnd it will not be possible to claim because the stage is still in ETH_DEPOSIT instead of FAIR_TRADING. advanceStage needs to be called first to allow claiming the LP tokens in this case to put the stage into FAIR_TRADING and allow the stage progression to be finished in the next iteration.

6.2 Almighty Owner Function

Note Version 1

The owner of the Bootstrap contract is "almighty". The function <code>execute</code> allows non-restricted calls to any contract. This setup seems fine as the owner is planned to be the <code>GEARBOX_TREASURY</code> address. The thread model assumes this address fully trusted. Still, it should be checked after deployment that all addresses were set correctly.

6.3 Fail at FAIR_TRADING Stage

Note Version 1

The function fail can be called by the owner of the contract at any stage. If it is called in FAIR_TRADING stage, LP tokens have already been transferred to the contract and cannot be distributed through the claimLP function. Instead, they have to be sent to another contract (with execute) on which a distribution can take place.

6.4 Inconsistent and Floating Pragma

Note Version 1

Gearbox Auction uses the floating pragma ^0.8.13 and ^0.8.10. Additionally, the compiler version is not set in the Foundry settings. Contracts should be deployed with consistent compiler versions and flags that were used during testing and auditing. Locking the pragma helps to ensure that contracts are not accidentally deployed using a different compiler version and helps to ensure a reproducible deployment.

6.5 Rounding Errors

Note Version 1

Due to rounding errors, the following can happen:



- A call to sellGear with 3 wei of GEAR tokens (or slightly more depending on the current shearing percentage) can be sold without the shearing fee.
- Some LP tokens might not get distributed. If, for example, 25 wei LP tokens are distributed to a user that committed all of the GEAR liquidity and all of the ETH liquidity, the user only receives 24 wei LP tokens.

