


EE2331 Data Structures and Algorithms

Sorting


Given a List in Random Order

- How to find the largest number?
- How to find the smallest number?
- How to determine if an arbitrary number exists in the list?



Given a List in Ascending Order

- How to determine the largest / smallest / any arbitrary number now?
 - Remember binary search?



- The numbers can be also in descending order
- Or at least in **some proper order** (such as BST and heap)

Sorting

- To rearrange the order (ascending or descending) of data for **ease of searching**
- In this notes, discuss the various ways to sort a large amount of data and compare them by time/space efficiency.
 - $O(n)$, $O(n \log n)$, $O(n^2)$...
- Efficiency of a sorting method is usually measured by the number of **comparisons** and **data movements** required.

Outline

- Terminologies
- 6 sorting algorithms
 - Bubble Sort, Insertion Sort, Merge Sort
 - Heapsort, Quicksort, Radix Sort
- Sorting using Queues
- Sorting using Stacks
- Indirect Sorting

Terminologies

Stable vs. Unstable

Internal vs. External

Stable & Unstable Sort

- Sequence before sorting: 5, 3, 8[#], 6, 8^{*}
- Sequence after sorting: 3, 5, 6, 8[#], 8^{*}
 - **Stable** sort
- Sequence after sorting: 3, 5, 6, 8^{*}, 8[#]
 - **Unstable** sort
- Stable: if it always leaves elements with equal keys in their original order

Internal & External Sort

■ Internal sort

- Small data volume
- Process in **main memory**

■ External sort

- Large amount of data
- Need external or **secondary storage** in processing (e.g. disk storage)

Internal Sorting Algorithms

- In this course, we shall only discuss internal sorting algorithms. To simplify discussion, sorting of an integer array is used in our examples.
 1. Bubble Sort
 2. Insertion Sort
 3. Heap Sort
 4. Radix Sort
 5. Quick Sort
 6. Merge Sort (also good for external sort)

- How to choose the sorting algorithm?


Bubble Sort

Time Complexity: $O(n^2)$

Space Complexity: $O(1)$

Daily Life Example

■ Consider the goldfish bowl




Bubble Sort

- The easiest sorting algorithm
- The most time consuming algorithms
- Another name: **interchange sort**
- The idea:
 - Scanning the list from one end to the other
 - When a pair of adjacent keys is found to be out of order, swap those entries
 - In each pass, **the largest key in the list will be bubbled to the end**, but the earlier keys may still be out of order

Bubble Sort Example


- Sort the sequence {8, 5, 9, 6, 3} in ascending order
- The final result should be {3, 5, 6, 8, 9}



unsorted list

Bubble Sort: 1st Pass

■ 1st pass, 1st comparison




Compare 1st element with 2nd element

i.e. 8 vs. 5

if left hand side > right hand side, swap them!

Bubble Sort: 1st Pass

■ 1st pass, 2nd comparison




Compare 2nd with 3rd element

i.e. 8 vs. 9

Since left hand side < right hand side, do nothing!

Bubble Sort: 1st Pass

■ 1st pass, 3rd comparison




Compare 2nd with 3rd element

i.e. 9 vs. 6

Since left hand side < right hand side, swap them!

Bubble Sort: 1st Pass

■ 1st pass, 4th comparison




Compare 2nd with 3rd element

i.e. 9 vs. 3

Since left hand side < right hand side, swap them!

Bubble Sort: 1st Pass

■ After 1st pass




The largest element
bubbled to bottom after
running the 1st pass

Bubble Sort: 2nd Pass


- Start from 2nd pass, no need to consider the largest element (the last element)

Only require to compare the rest
 $n - 1$ elements in 2nd pass




Bubble Sort: 2nd Pass


2nd pass, 1st comparison




2nd pass, 2nd comparison



2nd pass, 3rd comparison




After 2nd pass



The 2nd largest element fall to 2nd bottom after running the 2nd pass


Bubble Sort: 3rd Pass

3rd pass, 1st comparison




partially sorted list

3rd pass, 2nd comparison



After 3rd pass




unsorted list

partially
sorted list

The 3rd largest element
fall to 3rd bottom after
running the 3rd pass


Bubble Sort: 4th Pass

4th pass, 1st comparison




The 4th largest element fall to 4th bottom after running the 4th pass

After 4th pass



The final sequence



Not necessary to run the 5th pass (why?)

Time Complexity

- The amount of time to compare two numbers is constant $O(1)$
- The amount of time to swap two numbers is also constant $O(1)$
- The amount of time require to sort the sequence is **proportional to the number of comparisons (or swaps)**

How Many Comparisons?

- If there are n elements in total
 - No. of passes?
 - $n - 1$
 - How many comparisons in each pass?
 - i^{th} pass: $n - i$ comparisons
 - How many comparisons in total?
 - $$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n - i) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$
 - Therefore, the time complexity is
 - $O(n^2)$

How Many Swaps in Total?

- # of swaps is at most # of comparisons

- $\leq \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$

- The worst case: the algorithms has to run all the $n - 1$ passes

- The best case: (already sorted list) the algorithms stops after running the 1st pass (i.e. $O(n)$)

Drawback of Bubble Sort

- Slow

- Worst case: $O(n^2)$

- Average case: $O(n^2)$

- Half the number of comparisons: $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{n-i}{2}\right) = \frac{n(n-1)}{4}$

- Best case: $O(n)$

Simple Version

```
void bubble(int data[], int n) {  
    int i, j;
```

```
    //sort in ascending order
```

```
    for (i = 0; i < n - 1; i++)
```

```
        for (j = 0; j < n - 1 - i; j++)
```

```
            if (data[j] > data[j+1])
```

```
                swap(&data[j], &data[j+1]);
```

```
}
```

Mind the for-loop indexes here

i control the no. of passes

j control the no. of comparisons in each pass

} 1 pass

Each pass consists of comparing each element with its successor

Swap these two elements if they are not in proper order

After each pass *i*, the elements from ***data[n - i - 1]*** to ***data[n - 1]*** are sorted

Improved Version

```
void bubble(int data[], int n) {
```

```
    int i, j, no_swap;
```

```
    //sort in ascending order
```

```
    for (i = 0; i < n - 1; i++) {
```

```
        no_swap = true;
```

```
        for (j = 0; j < n - 1 - i; j++)
```

```
            if (data[j] > data[j+1]) {
```

```
                swap(&data[j], &data[j+1]);
```

```
                no_swap = false;
```

```
            }
```

```
        if (no_swap) break;
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

1 pass

The algorithm breaks the for-loop and stops at once if there is no swap in one of the pass

Bubble Up and Down

- The previous algorithm bubble down the largest element in each pass
- The alternative way to implement bubble sort is:
 - Bubble up the smallest element to the front of the sublist in each pass
 - Their time and space complexities are the same

Bubble Up

```
void bubble(int data[], int n) {  
    int i, j, no_swap = 0;
```

```
    //move smallest element to front in each pass
```

```
    for (i = 0; i < n - 1 && !no_swap; i++) {
```

```
        no_swap = true;
```

```
        for (j = n - 1; j > i; j--)
```

```
            if (data[j] < data[j-1]) {
```

```
                swap(&data[j], &data[j-1]);
```

```
                no_swap = false;
```

```
            }
```

```
        }
```

```
    }
```

Mind the changes in red

j starts from end of the list up to *i*

If the right element is smaller, bubble up to the left of the list

} 1 pass


Insertion Sort

Time Complexity: $O(n^2)$

Space Complexity: $O(1)$

Daily Life Example

- The idea of insertion is like playing cards




Insertion Sort

- Similar to bubble sort, consists of $n - 1$ passes
- Instead of bubbling the largest (or smallest) element, insertion sort successively inserts a new element into a (sorted) sublist in each pass
- Initially 1st element may be thought of as a sorted sublist of only one element
- After each sorted-insertion, the sorted sublist's length grows by 1.
- Insertion sort makes use of the fact that elements in the sublist are already known to be in sorted order.

Insertion Sort Example

The unsorted list:



Consider the 1st element
as a *sorted* sublist

Insert this element into the left
sublist such that they maintain
a proper order

The 1st pass




Ignore them in current pass

Pick up "5". Move "8" to
right



Insert "5" to the
appropriate position



Insertion Sort Example

After 1st pass




1 Move!

sorted list unsorted list

Compare with this
sublist only


Insert this element into the left
sublist such that they maintain
a certain order

The 2nd pass



Ignore them in current pass

After 2nd pass



no move in this pass


sorted list unsorted list

Insertion Sort Example

Compare with this
sublist only


Insert this element into the left
sublist such that they maintain
a certain order

The 3rd pass




6 Ignore in current pass


Pick up "6". Move "9"
and "8" to right



Insert "6" to the
appropriate position



After 3rd pass




2 moves in this pass!


sorted list unsorted list

Insertion Sort Example


The 4th pass




Pick up "3". Move "9", "8", "6" and "5" to right



Insert "3" to the appropriate position



After 4th pass



4 moves in this pass!

Insertion Sort

```
void insertion(int data[], int n) {  
    for (int i = 1; i < n; i++) {           // n -1 passes  
        int temp = data[i];                // element to be inserted  
        // shift the elements in the sublist if they are not in order.  
        // the sublist is from data[0] to data[i]  
        int j;  
        for (j = i-1; j >= 0 && data[j] > temp; j--)  
            data[j+1] = data[j];  
        data[j+1] = temp;                  // j+1 is the location for insertion  
    }  
}
```

} 1 pass

Generic Version

■ Generic sorting function for any data type

```
template<class Type>
void insertionSort(Type *x, unsigned N,
                  int (*compare)(const Type&, const Type&)) {
    for (int i = 1; i < N; i++) {
        Type t = x[i];

        int j;
        for (j = i-1; j >= 0 && compare(x[j], t) > 0; j--)
            x[j+1] = x[j];

        x[j+1] = t;
    }
}
```

function pointer


Complexity Analysis

- Like bubble sort, need an extra temporary memory
 - Space complexity: $O(1)$
 - Bubble sort: the temp. variable is used for **swapping**
 - Insertion sort: the temp. variable is used to **hold** the element that going to be inserted into the sublist

Complexity Analysis

- The best case: $O(n)$
 - The list is already sorted; scan it once!
- The worst case: $O(n^2)$
 - $n-1$ items to be inserted
 - At most i comparisons at i -th insertion
 - The total no. of comparisons = $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
- The average case: $O(n^2)$
 - Half the number of comparisons
- Because of the simplicity of insertion sort, it is the fastest sorting method when the number of elements N is small, e.g. $N < 10$.

Summary (Average Performance)




Merge Sort

Time Complexity: $O(n \log n)$

Space Complexity: $O(n)$


Daily Life Example

■ The idea of merging



The Algorithm




- Initially the input list is divided into N sublists of size 1
- Adjacent pairs of lists are merged to form larger **sorted** sublists
- The merging process is repeated until there is only one list




Merging

- To merge 2 **sorted** lists
- It takes 2 input arrays $A[]$ & $B[]$, 1 output array $C[]$ and 3 counters (i, j, k) for the arrays respectively
- The smaller of $A[i]$ and $B[j]$ is copied to $C[k]$, then the counters are advanced
- If either $A[]$ or $B[]$ finishes first, the reminder of the other array is copied to $C[]$

Merge Sort Example



Merge Sort Example



Merge Adjacent Lists

```
void merge(int data[], int first, int mid, int last) {
```

```
    int temp[SIZE], i = first, j = mid + 1, k = 0;
```

```
    while (i <= mid && j <= last) {
```

```
        if (data[i] <= data[j])
```

```
            temp[k++] = data[i++];
```

```
        else
```

```
            temp[k++] = data[j++];
```

```
    }
```

```
    while (i <= mid) temp[k++] = data[i++];
```

```
    while (j <= last) temp[k++] = data[j++];
```

```
    i = 0;
```

```
    while (i < k) data[first+i] = temp[i++];
```

```
}
```

Compare A[i] and B[j], copy
the smaller one to temp[k]

A is data[first...mid]

B is data[mid+1...last]

C is temp[...]

The remaining A or B will
be copied into temp

The sorted temp. array is
copied back to data









Divide-and-Conquer

- This algorithm is a classic **divide-and-conquer** strategy
- Very powerful use of recursion
- The problem is divided into smaller problems and solved independently and recursively
- The conquering phase consists of merging together the **sorted** lists

Dividing Phase


Divide the list into halves

unsorted list



Divide again and again until only one single element left in the list

Conquering Phase



Merge Sort (Using Recursion)


```
void mergesort(int data[], int first, int last) {  
    int mid = (first + last ) / 2;  
    if (first >= last) return;           //base case: size = 1  
    mergesort(data, first, mid);         //recursion: divide the list into halves  
    mergesort(data, mid+1, last);        //recursion: divide the list into halves  
    merge(data, first, mid, last);       //start merging the list: conquer  
}  
  
int main(...) {  
    int data[] = {8, 5, 9, 6, 3};  
    mergesort(data, 0, 4);  
    return 0;  
}
```

Complexity Analysis

- Merge sort goes through the same steps - independent of the data
 - Best case = Worst case = Average case
- For each runs, it requires $O(n)$ time to finish
- There are $\log_2 n$ runs in total
- The time complexity is $O(n \log n)$
- Faster than **bubble sort** and **insertion sort**!

- The trade-off is it needs extra memory to hold the temporary sorted result
- Space complexity = $O(n)$
- Improvement to the merge algorithm:
 - Instead of merging each set of lists from `data[]` to `temp[]` and then copy `temp[]` back to `data[]`, alternate merge passes can be performed from `data[]` to `temp[]` and from `temp[]` to `data[]`.

Summary




Heapsort

Time Complexity: $O(n \log n)$


Space Complexity: $O(1)$

Heap Revision

- Max. heap tree is a binary tree with 2 properties
 - **Property 1:** The tree is complete
 - **Property 2:** The tree is descending



OR



Complete binary tree

The Heapsort Algorithm

■ Phase 1) Build Heap

- Organize the input array as a max heap

■ Phase 2) Swap Node

■ 1st pass



- Swap the root (max node) with the last unsorted element
- Now the original root (max node) has been sorted
- Percolate down the new root if it is not the next-largest element
- This puts the next-largest element into the root position

■ 2nd and the forth coming passes



- Swap the next-largest element with the last unsorted element
- Repeat until all nodes are sorted

Heapsort Example: Phase 1


■ Phase 1) Build the max. heap tree




Heapsort Example: Phase 1



Insert 7





Insert 92





Percolate up twice

Heapsort Example: Phase 1





Insert 86




Percolate up

Heapsort Example: Phase 1




Insert 33




Percolate up twice

Heapsort Example: Phase 1



Phase 2) 1st Pass



Swap root with the last unsorted node


Heap array

12	33	86	25	7	48	57	92
----	----	----	----	---	----	----	----

unsorted list

sorted list

Phase 2) 1st Pass



The original root (max node)
can now be ignored


Heap array

12	33	86	25	7	48	57	92
----	----	----	----	---	----	----	----


unsorted list

sorted list


Phase 2) 1st Pass




Phase 2) 2nd Pass




Phase 2) 2nd Pass



Phase 2) 2nd Pass




Phase 2) 3rd Pass



And so on...


Heap array



unsorted list

sorted list

Phase 2) After 3rd Pass




Heap array

48	33	12	25	7	57	86	92
----	----	----	----	---	----	----	----

unsorted list

sorted list

Phase 2) After 4th Pass




Heap array

33	25	12	7	48	57	86	92
----	----	----	---	----	----	----	----

unsorted list

sorted list

Phase 2) After 5th Pass




Heap array

25	7	12	33	48	57	86	92
----	---	----	----	----	----	----	----

unsorted list

sorted list

Phase 2) After 6th Pass




Heap array

12	7	25	33	48	57	86	92
----	---	----	----	----	----	----	----

unsorted list

sorted list

Phase 2) After 7th Pass



Until only one node left

Heap array

7	12	25	33	48	57	86	92
---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

sorted list

Complexity Analysis

- Time to build the heap tree
 - Suppose there are n nodes
 - The depth of the tree is $\log_2 n$
 - So at most $\log_2 n$ comparison for each percolate up
 - Total $n \cdot \log_2 n$
- Time to sort the data
 - About $\log_2 n$ time for each percolate down process
 - Total $(n-1)\log_2 n$
- **Time complexity: $O(n \cdot \log n)$**
 - Go through the same steps in the second phase (percolate down)
 - Best case = Worst case = Average case
- Extra space is required for swapping the nodes
 - Space Complexity: $O(1)$

Heapsort (Recursive Version)

```
void percolateUp(int data[], int index) {  
    int parent = (index - 1) / 2;  
  
    if (parent < 0) return;           //base case  
    //note: if parent >= 0, index also >= 0  
  
    if (data[index] > data[parent]) { //general case  
        swap(&data[index], &data[parent]);  
        percolateUp(data, parent);  
    }  
}
```

Heapsort (Recursive Version)

```
void percolateDown(int data[], int n, int index) {  
    int left, right, maxIndex;  
  
    if (index < 0 || index >= n) return;           //base case 1  
  
    left = 2 * index + 1;  
    right = left + 1;  
    if (left >= n) return;                          //base case 2  
  
    maxIndex = right < n && data[left] < data[right] ? right : left;  
    if (data[index] < data[maxIndex]) {            //general case  
        swap(&data[index], &data[maxIndex]);  
        percolateDown(data, n, maxIndex);  
    }  
}
```

Heapsort

```
void heapsort(int data[], int n) {  
    int i, last;  
    for (i = 1; i < n; i++) {  
        percolateUp(data, i);  
    }  
    for (last = n - 1; last > 0; last--) {  
        swap(&data[0], &data[last]);  
        percolateDown(data, last, 0);  
    }  
}
```

//start from index 1
//build the max. heap tree
//sort the sequence

Heapsort (Iterative Version)

```
void percolateUp(int data[], int index) {
```


```
    int parent = (index - 1) / 2;  
    while (parent >= 0 && data[index] > data[parent]) {  
        swap(&data[index], &data[parent]);  
        index = parent;  
        parent = (index - 1) / 2;  
    }
```

```
}
```


Heapsort (Iterative Version)

```
void percolateDown(int data[], int n, int index) {  
    int left, right, maxIndex, finish = 0;  
  
    while (index >= 0 && index < n && !finish) {  
        left = 2 * index + 1;  
        right = left + 1;  
        if (left < n) {  
            maxIndex = right < n && data[left] < data[right] ? right : left;  
            if (data[index] < data[maxIndex]) {  
                swap(&data[index], &data[maxIndex]);  
                index = maxIndex;  
            } else  
                finish = 1;  
        } else  
            finish = 1;  
    }  
}
```

Summary




Quicksort

Time Complexity: $O(n \log n)$

Space Complexity: $O(\log n)$


Quicksort



Exchange and Partition

- A.K.A. *partition-exchange sort*
 - Step 1) Exchange, then Step 2) Partition
- If the list has one or no elements (**base case**)
 - Do nothing (as already sorted)
- If the list has two or more elements
 - Pick an element as the **pivot**
 - Place the elements **smaller** than the pivot **before** it and the elements **larger** than or equal to the pivot **after** it (in any order) (**by iteration**)
 - Sort the sublist before the pivot (**by recursion**)
 - Sort the sublist after the pivot (**by recursion**)

The General Concept




Quicksort Example

Select the first
element as pivot


Search for elements
greater than pivot

Search for elements
smaller than pivot


The original array:







exchange them




after exchange



Quicksort Example



Quicksort Example






$\{12, 7\} < 25 \leq \{48, 57, 92, 86, 33\}$

Diagram illustrating the recursive step. The sublists are shown as sets. Red arrows point from the text "sort recursively" to each of the two sublists, indicating that they are sorted recursively.

Sort the Left Sublist


Sort the left sublist




After searching, high will point to 7 (smaller than 12) and low will point out of the array

Sort the Left Sublist

Exchange pivot
with high




Combining the array





$\{7, 12, 25\} \leq \{48, 57, 92, 86, 33\}$

sort recursively


Sort the Right Sublist



Search and exchange




Sort the Right Sublist




Sort Another Right Sublist

Select the pivot, low and high before searching



Exchange pivot with high




Sort this recursively


No need to sort
(base case)

Sort the Last Sublist


Select the pivot, low and high before searching




Exchange pivot with high (exchange with itself)



Finally, the list is sorted correctly



Quicksort



What does it look like?

Quicksort

- Divide-and-conquer sorting algorithm
- e.g. the unsorted array is $\text{data}[p \dots r]$
- Divide Stage
 - **Exchange** and **partition** the array $\text{data}[]$ into **three sub-arrays**: $\text{data}[p \dots q-1]$, $\text{data}[q]$ and $\text{data}[q+1 \dots r]$ such that
 - All element in $\text{data}[p \dots q-1]$ is less than $\text{data}[q]$, and
 - All element in $\text{data}[q+1 \dots r]$ is greater than or equal to $\text{data}[q]$

Quicksort

■ Conquer Stage

- The two sub-arrays $\text{data}[p \dots q-1]$ and $\text{data}[q+1 \dots r]$ are sorted recursively

■ Combine Stage

- The sub-arrays are sorted **in place**
- No extra memory needed (except swapping)
- No work is need to combine them

The Procedure

```
void quicksort(int data[], int p, int r) { // p: start, r: end index
    int pivot, low, high, q;
```

```
    if (p >= r) return; //base case
```

```
    pivot = p;           //set first element as pivot
    low = p + 1;
    high = r;
```

```
    while (low < high) {
        while(data[low] <= data[pivot] && low < r) low++;
        while(data[high] > data[pivot] && high > p) high--;
        if (low < high) swap(&data[low], &data[high]);
    }
```

divide
(exchange
& partition)
(iteration)


```
    if (data[pivot] > data[high]) //swap pivot with high
        swap(&data[pivot], &data[high]);
```

```
    q = high;
    quicksort(data, p, q-1);
    quicksort(data, q+1, r);
```


conquer
(recursion)

```
}
```

A Good Pivot



A Bad Pivot




Complexity Analysis

- Partition
 - Low pointer moves to right, while high pointer moves to left
 - Total $n - 1$ comparisons
 - $O(n)$: linear time
- Exchange
 - Swapping nodes: $O(1)$
- How many passes in total?
 - **The best case**
 - Ideally, the two sub-lists will be of equal size if the median is chosen as pivot in each pass
 - There will be about $\log_2 n$ passes
 - So total **time complexity is $O(n \cdot \log n)$**
 - **The worst case**
 - If one of the sub-arrays is always empty, or has only one element
 - Total no. of passes is about n
 - Then quicksort takes **$O(n^2)$** time

Choosing a Good Pivot

- By choosing the pivot carefully, we can obtain $O(n \cdot \log n)$ time in the average case
- The simplest (poor) version
 - Choose the first element as pivot
 - If the list is already sorted, the time complexity would be $O(n^2)$
- Two better versions
 - Choose the pivot randomly in each pass, or
 - Select the median between first, last and middle element as pivot
 - These two solutions cannot completely avoid the worst case
 - It can also be shown that the average case complexity of quicksort is approximately equal to $1.38 n \log_2 n$
- If the size of the array is large, quicksort is the fastest sorting method known today.

Summary



Radix Sort

Time Complexity: $O(k \cdot n)$

Space Complexity: $O(n)$

Sorting Model

- The sorting algorithms introduced so far are based on a **comparison model** where elements are compared to determine their relative order.
- It has been proven that this kind of algorithms require at least **$O(n \log n)$**
- Can we sort better without doing comparison?

Radix Sort



- What if every element can be represented by k digits with positional notation?
 - Consider one digit at a time, LSD first (the right most digit)
 - Divide the list into r sublists based on the digit, where r is the radix of a digit
 - 10 for decimal number; 2 for binary number
 - Consider another digit in the next pass until finally the list is completely sorted with totally k passes
- Another name: **bucket sort**
- A very great algorithm! Can sort data in almost linear time

Sorting using Queues

Radix sort

Implement Radix Sort Using Queues


Enqueue the element into the queues (buckets) one by one (by the LSD)




After 1st Pass

32	53	41	42	2	92	81	33
----	----	----	----	---	----	----	----

Bucket 0
(Queue)




Bucket 1
(Queue)




Bucket 2
(Queue)




Bucket 3
(Queue)




Bucket 4
(Queue)




Bucket 5
(Queue)




Bucket 6
(Queue)




Bucket 7
(Queue)




Bucket 8
(Queue)



Bucket 9
(Queue)





Dequeue All, then Enqueue One by One Again



After 2nd Pass

Using queues to maintain the stability
(equal keys remain the same order)



Concatenated queue data

2	32	33	41	42	53	81	92
---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

How to Obtain the Digits?

- To obtain the least significant digit
 - $\text{bucket \#} = e \% 10$
- To obtain the 2nd least significant digit
 - $\text{bucket \#} = e / 10 \% 10$
- To obtain the 3rd least significant digit
 - $\text{bucket \#} = e / 100 \% 10$
- To obtain the k^{th} least significant digit
 - $\text{bucket \#} = e / \text{pow}(10, k - 1) \% 10$

Complexity Analysis

- Time to enqueue and dequeue the elements in each pass is $O(n)$
- There are k passes
 - k is the no. of digits of the elements
- The time complexity is $O(k \cdot n)$
- Radix sort's complexity depends directly on the length of elements
 - Other sorting methods depends on n only

Complexity Analysis

- If *k is large and n relatively small*, radix sort is not a good choice, e.g. to sort 5 and 100,000,000,000,000,000
 - $k = 18$ and $n = 2$
 - Use comparison sorts
- But if *k is small and n is large*, then radix sort will be **faster** (linear time) than any other method we have studied, e.g. to sort #0 ~ #99 (uniformly distributed)
 - $k = 2$ and $n = 100$
 - Time complexity is $O(n)$
- Other drawbacks
 - Memory overhead: additional memory for queues
 - Space complexity: $O(n)$

Advanced Example

- Radix sort can have **many variations**
- Sorting strings
- Use 26 buckets (a to z)?
 - **Two** buckets are enough!
 - “Convert” characters into binary bits first
 - Compare the bits one by one

0100 0001	A
0100 0010	B
0100 0011	C
...	
0101 1010	Z

Example: sorting strings

Original data

now
for
tip
ilk
dim
tag
jot
sob
nob
sky
hut
ace
bet

After 1st pass

sob
nob
ace
tag
ilk
dim
tip
for
jot
hut
bet
now
sky

After 2nd pass

tag
ace
bet
dim
tip
sky
ilk
sob
nob
for
jot
now
hut

After 3rd pass

ace
bet
dim
for
hut
ilk
jot
nob
now
sky
sob
tag
tip

Sorting Characters

The unsorted string is "SORTING", sort the characters by ASCII code in ascending order

The original data

0101 0011	S
0100 1111	O
0101 0010	R
0101 0100	T
0100 1001	I
0100 1110	N
0100 0111	G

After 1st pass

0101 0010	R
0101 0100	T
0100 1110	N
0101 0011	S
0100 1111	O
0100 1001	I
0100 0111	G

After 2nd pass

0101 0100	T
0100 1001	I
0101 0010	R
0100 1110	N
0101 0011	S
0100 1111	O
0100 0111	G

Sorting Characters

After 3rd pass

0100 1001	I
0101 0010	R
0101 0011	S
0101 0100	T
0100 1110	N
0100 1111	O
0100 0111	G

After 4th pass

0101 0010	R
0101 0011	S
0101 0100	T
0100 0111	G
0100 1001	I
0100 1110	N
0100 1111	O

After 5th pass

0100 0111	G
0100 1001	I
0100 1110	N
0100 1111	O
0101 0010	R
0101 0011	S
0101 0100	T

Sorting Characters

The sorted string is "GINORST"

After 6th pass

0100 0111	G
0100 1001	I
0100 1110	N
0100 1111	O
0101 0010	R
0101 0011	S
0101 0100	T

After 7th pass

0100 0111	G
0100 1001	I
0100 1110	N
0100 1111	O
0101 0010	R
0101 0011	S
0101 0100	T

After 8th pass

0100 0111	G
0100 1001	I
0100 1110	N
0100 1111	O
0101 0010	R
0101 0011	S
0101 0100	T

How to Obtain the Bits?

- To obtain the last bit, use the bit-wise operator
 - `int bit; char c = 'S';` //0101 0011 (binary)
 - `bit = c & 0x01;` //0x01 (hex) = 0000 0001 (binary)
 - //0101 0011 AND 0000 0001 = 0000 0001 = 1
- To obtain 2nd last bit
 - `bit = (c >> 1) & 0x01;`
 - // >> 1: shift the bits one step to right. The original right most bit is discarded
 - //c >> 1: 0010 1001
 - //0010 1001 AND 0000 0001 = 1

How to Obtain the Bits?

- To obtain 3rd last bit

- $(c \gg 2) \& 0x01;$


- $//c \gg 2: 0001\ 0100$

- $//0001\ 0100\ \text{AND}\ 0000\ 0001 = 0$

- To obtain the k^{th} bit

- $(c \gg (k - 1)) \& 0x01;$

Summary



Built-in Sort Function

Built-in Sort Function in C

- C/C++ standard library function that implements a polymorphic sorting algorithm for arrays of **arbitrary objects** according to a **user-provided comparison function**.
- Include `<stdlib.h>`

```
void qsort(void *base, unsigned num, unsigned objSize,  
           int (*compare)(const void *, const void *));
```

- | | |
|------------|---|
| 1. base | void pointer, the base address of input array |
| 2. num | number of elements in array |
| 3. objSize | size in bytes of each element in the array. |
| 4. compare | function pointer, for ordering two elements |

Example 1 of qsort()

```
// Use qsort() to sort an array of fraction

int compareFraction(const void *a, const void *b) {
    fraction *f1 = (fraction *)a; //type cast the pointer
    fraction *f2 = (fraction *)b; //before using it to refer to an object

    if (*f1 == *f2)           return 0;
    else if (*f1 < *f2)       return -1;
    else                      return 1;
}

int main() {
    int len = 100;
    fraction *list = new fraction[len];

    // codes to assign values to list[] .....
    qsort(list, len, sizeof(fraction), compareFraction);
}
```

Example 2 of qsort()

```
// Use the qsort function to sort a list of names (cstring, char [])

// the void pointer arguments point to cstring (char*)
// i.e. (char**), which is pointer-to-(char*)
int compareString(const void *a, const void *b) {
    char **c1 = (char **)a;
    char **c2 = (char **)b;

    // dereferencing once becomes cstring (char *)
    return strcmp(*c1, *c2); //compare cstring
}

int main() {
    char *name[] = {"Wong Chi Ming",
                    "Chan Tai Man",
                    "Ho Pui Shan",
                    "Au Pui Ki",
                    "Cheung Ka Man"};

    qsort(name, 5, sizeof(char *), compareString);
}
```