

# **Tutorial 6: Functional Dependency & Normalization**

**CS3402 Database Systems**

# Question 1

- Examine the **Branch** table shown below.
- a) Why this table is not in 1NF?
  - b) Describe and illustrate the process of normalizing the data shown in this table to third normal form (3NF).

| BranchNo | BranchAddress                        | TelNo                                    |
|----------|--------------------------------------|--|
| B001     | 8 Jefferson Way, Portland, OR 97201  | 503-555-3618, 503-555-2727, 503-555-6534 |
| B002     | City Center Plaza, Seattle, WA 98122 | 206-555-6756, 206-555-8836               |
| B003     | 14 – 8th Avenue, New York, NY 10012  | 212-371-3000                             |
| B004     | 16 – 14th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98128  | 206-555-3131, 206-555-4112               |

# Question 1 (Answer)

- a) TelNo is not an attribute with atomic values, but with multi-values. Thus, the table is NOT in 1NF.
- b) Create another relation specifically for TelNo with BranchNo as a foreign key

**Branch**

| <u>BranchNo</u> | BranchAddress                        |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| B001            | 8 Jefferson Way, Portland, OR 97201  |
| B002            | City Center Plaza, Seattle, WA 98122 |
| B003            | 14 – 8th Avenue, New York, NY 10012  |
| B004            | 16 – 14th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98128  |

**BranchTel**

| <u>BranchNo</u> | <u>TelNo</u> |
|-----------------|--------------|
| B001            | 503-555-3618 |
| B001            | 503-555-2727 |
| B001            | 503-555-6534 |
| B002            | 206-555-6756 |
| B002            | 206-555-8836 |
| B003            | 212-371-3000 |
| B004            | 206-555-3131 |
| B004            | 206-555-4112 |

# Question 2

➤ Examine the **StaffBranchAllocation** table shown below.

- {StaffNo, BranchNo} is the primary key.
- FDs: StaffNo → {Name, Position} and BranchNo → BranchAddress

a) Why this table is not in 2NF?

b) Describe and illustrate the process of normalizing the data shown in this table to third normal form (3NF).

| StaffNo | BranchNo | BranchAddress                        | Name          | Position  | HoursPerWeek |
|---------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| S4555   | B002     | City Center Plaza, Seattle, WA 98122 | Ellen Layman  | Assistant | 16           |
| S4555   | B004     | 16 – 14th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98128  | Ellen Layman  | Assistant | 9            |
| S4612   | B002     | City Center Plaza, Seattle, WA 98122 | Dave Sinclair | Assistant | 14           |
| S4612   | B004     | 16 – 14th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98128  | Dave Sinclair | Assistant | 10           |

## Question 2 (Answer)

- a) The primary key of StaffBranchAllocation table is {Staff No, BranchNo}. There exist the partial functional dependencies: StaffNo  $\rightarrow$  Name, Position and BranchNo  $\rightarrow$  BranchAddress. The non-key attributes are not fully dependent on the key. Thus, the table is NOT in 2NF.
- b) Remove BranchAddress, Name, Position from StaffBranchAllocation relation to capture the partial functional dependencies separately.

| Branch          |   | Staff          |                  |           | StaffBranchAllocation |                 |              |
|-----------------|---|----------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| <u>BranchNo</u> | BranchAddress                           | <u>StaffNo</u> | Name             | Position  | <u>StaffNo</u>        | <u>BranchNo</u> | HoursPerWeek |
| B002            | City Center Plaza,<br>Seattle, WA 98122 | S4555          | Ellen<br>Layman  | Assistant | S4555                 | B002            | 16           |
|                 |   |                |                  |           | S4555                 | B004            | 9            |
| B004            | 16 – 14th Avenue,<br>Seattle, WA 98128  | S4612          | Dave<br>Sinclair | Assistant | S4612                 | B002            | 14           |
|                 |   |                |                  |           | S4612                 | B004            | 10           |

# Question 3

➤ Examine the **BranchManager** table shown below.

- BranchNo is the primary key
- FD: MgrStaffNo → MgrName

a) Why this table is not in 3NF?

b) Describe and illustrate the process of normalizing the data shown in this table to third normal form (3NF).

| BranchNo | BranchAddress                        | TelNo        | MgrStaffNo | MgrName       |
|----------|--------------------------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|
| B001     | 8 Jefferson Way, Portland, OR 97201  | 503-555-3618 | S1500      | Tom Daniels   |
| B002     | City Center Plaza, Seattle, WA 98122 | 206-555-6756 | S0010      | Mary Martinez |
| B003     | 14 – 8th Avenue, New York, NY 10012  | 212-371-3000 | S0145      | Art Peters    |
| B004     | 16 – 14th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98128  | 206-555-3131 | S2250      | Sally Stern   |

## Question 3 (Answer)

- a) There exists a non-key attribute transitively dependent on the key, i.e., MgrName depends on MgrStaffNo and MgrStaffNo depends on BranchNo.
- b) Create another relation which specifically captures the dependency MgrStaffNo  $\rightarrow$  MgrName

**Branch**

| <u>BranchNo</u> | BranchAddress                        | TelNo        | MgrStaffNo |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| B001            | 8 Jefferson Way, Portland, OR 97201  | 503-555-3618 | S1500      |
| B002            | City Center Plaza, Seattle, WA 98122 | 206-555-6756 | S0010      |
| B003            | 14 – 8th Avenue, New York, NY 10012  | 212-371-3000 | S0145      |
| B004            | 16 – 14th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98128  | 206-555-3131 | S2250      |

**ManagerStaff**

| <u>MgrStaffNo</u> | MgrName       |
|-------------------|---------------|
| S1500             | Tom Daniels   |
| S0010             | Mary Martinez |
| S0145             | Art Peters    |
| S2250             | Sally Stern   |

# Question 4

➤ Examine the table shown below and the set of functional dependency on its attributes:

- CourseRmAlloc (CourseId, CourseName, Year, Lecturer, Enrollment, RoomId, RoomCapacity, Day, Time)

• FDs:

1.  $\text{CourseId} \rightarrow \text{CourseName}$
2.  $\text{CourseName} \rightarrow \text{CourseId}$
3.  $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}\} \rightarrow \text{Lecturer}$
4.  $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}\} \rightarrow \text{Enrollment}$
5.  $\text{RoomId} \rightarrow \text{RoomCapacity}$
6.  $\{\text{RoomId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\} \rightarrow \text{CourseId}$
7.  $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\} \rightarrow \text{RoomId}$

- a) Find all candidate keys of this table.
- b) Decompose this table into a design into BCNF.



# Question 4 (Answer) (1/6)

- a) There are three candidate keys in this table (based on their closure of attribute sets):
- {Year, Day, Time, CourseId}
  - {Year, Day, Time, CourseName}
  - {Year, Day, Time, RoomId}

## Question 4 (Answer) (2/6)

- $R = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{CourseName}, \text{Year}, \text{Lecturer}, \text{Enrollment}, \text{RoomId}, \text{RoomCapacity}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\}$
- $X = \{\text{CourseId}\}$
- $X_0 = \{\text{CourseId}\}$ 
  - $\text{CourseId} \rightarrow \text{CourseName}$
- $X_1 = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{CourseName}\}$ 
  - $\text{CourseName} \rightarrow \text{CourseId}$
- $X_2 = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{CourseName}\}$
- $X^+ = X_2$  (Since  $X^+$  does not include all the attributes in  $R$ , it is not a candidate key.)

# Question 4 (Answer) (3/6)

- $R = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{CourseName}, \text{Year}, \text{Lecturer}, \text{Enrollment}, \text{RoomId}, \text{RoomCapacity}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\}$
- $X = \{\text{RoomId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\}$
- $X_0 = \{\text{RoomId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\}$ 
  - $\text{RoomId} \rightarrow \text{RoomCapacity}$
  - $\{\text{RoomId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\} \rightarrow \text{CourseId}$
- $X_1 = \{\text{RoomId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}, \text{RoomCapacity}, \text{CourseId}\}$ 
  - $\text{CourseId} \rightarrow \text{CourseName}$
  - $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}\} \rightarrow \text{Lecturer}$
  - $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}\} \rightarrow \text{Enrollment}$
- $X_2 = \{\text{RoomId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}, \text{RoomCapacity}, \text{CourseId}, \text{CourseName}, \text{Lecturer}, \text{Enrollment}\}$ 
  - $\text{CourseName} \rightarrow \text{CourseId}$
- $X_3 = \{\text{RoomId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}, \text{RoomCapacity}, \text{CourseId}, \text{CourseName}, \text{Lecturer}, \text{Enrollment}\}$
- $X^+ = X_3$  (Since  $X^+$  includes all the attributes in  $R$ , it is a candidate key.)

## Question 4 (Answer) (4/6)

b) This table can be decomposed into the following in BCNF (so also in 3NF):

- **CourseTeaching**(CourseId, Year, Lecturer, Enrollment)
- **Room**(RoomId, RoomCapacity)
- **CourseRoomAlloc**(CourseId, Year, Day, Time, RoomId)
- **Course**(CourseId, CourseName)

# Question 4 (Answer) (5/6)

➤  $R = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{CourseName}, \text{Year}, \text{Lecturer}, \text{Enrollment}, \text{RoomId}, \text{RoomCapacity}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\}$

➤ Candidate keys

- $\{\text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}, \text{CourseId}\}$
- $\{\text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}, \text{CourseName}\}$
- $\{\text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}, \text{RoomId}\}$

➤  $\text{CourseId} \rightarrow \text{CourseName}$  violates BCNF

- $R = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{Lecturer}, \text{Enrollment}, \text{RoomId}, \text{RoomCapacity}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\}$
- $R_1 = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{CourseName}\}$

➤  $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}\} \rightarrow \text{Lecturer}$  violates BCNF

- $R = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{Enrollment}, \text{RoomId}, \text{RoomCapacity}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\}$
- $R_1 = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{CourseName}\}$
- $R_2 = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{Lecturer}\}$

FDs:

1.  $\text{CourseId} \rightarrow \text{CourseName}$
2.  $\text{CourseName} \rightarrow \text{CourseId}$
3.  $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}\} \rightarrow \text{Lecturer}$
4.  $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}\} \rightarrow \text{Enrollment}$
5.  $\text{RoomId} \rightarrow \text{RoomCapacity}$
6.  $\{\text{RoomId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\} \rightarrow \text{CourseId}$
7.  $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\} \rightarrow \text{RoomId}$

# Question 4 (Answer) (6/6)

- $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}\} \rightarrow \text{Lecturer}$  violates BCNF
  - $R = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{Enrollment}, \text{RoomId}, \text{RoomCapacity}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\}$
  - $R_1 = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{CourseName}\}$
  - $R_2 = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{Lecturer}\}$
- $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}\} \rightarrow \text{Enrollment}$  violates BCNF
  - $R = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{RoomId}, \text{RoomCapacity}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\}$
  - $R_1 = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{CourseName}\}$
  - $R_2 = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{Lecturer}, \text{Enrollment}\}$
- $\text{RoomId} \rightarrow \text{RoomCapacity}$  violates BCNF
  - $R = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{RoomId}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\}$
  - $R_1 = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{CourseName}\}$
  - $R_2 = \{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{Lecturer}, \text{Enrollment}\}$
  - $R_3 = \{\text{RoomId}, \text{RoomCapacity}\}$
- $\{\text{RoomId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\} \rightarrow \text{CourseId}$  does not violate BCNF
- $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\} \rightarrow \text{RoomId}$  does not violate BCNF

FDs:

4.  $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}\} \rightarrow \text{Enrollment}$
5.  $\text{RoomId} \rightarrow \text{RoomCapacity}$
6.  $\{\text{RoomId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\} \rightarrow \text{CourseId}$
7.  $\{\text{CourseId}, \text{Year}, \text{Day}, \text{Time}\} \rightarrow \text{RoomId}$