

Thinking Machines



Art by Google's DeepDream

By CMCL

INDEX

INDEX	1
INTRODUCTION	2
THINKING	3
THE PROBABILITIES OF THINKING	4
TRAINING MACHINES	5
INTELLIGENCE	9
REFERENCES	12

INTRODUCTION

Thinking Machines is a philosophical, psychological and ethical look at the development of digital logical thinking machines(which we'll refer to as "thinking machines" in the text) throughout history, and to where they're heading. With the purpose of convincing the reader that machines are thinking, and are capable of reaching consciousness, thus granting free will. It's a reality that has yet to unfold, and it's only when we think of it from this point of view that we concern ourselves with the implication that AI will bring to the way of life.

Today, our way of life is affected by machinery, and AI at a smaller scale, in most cases it eases and simplifies the process by which we accomplish things while still requiring human intervention in some way or another; and in other cases it does exactly what we wish to accomplish without the need for human intervention besides maintenance and turning it on and off. Just a quick glance and one can see that machinery affects many aspects of our life like our culture, economy, transport and other aspects of our life that are both insignificant and crucial.

Machinery has such a big affect on our way of life that one would asks, how did machinery become so embedded into our life? I'd say that the embedding of machinery into our life started with the industrial revolution, in which the way of life was transformed so drastically in such a short time that many could not adapt and suffered immense consequences. It was only after we surpassed multiple social, cultural, economic and other problems the hard way that we analyzed ourselves as a whole and noticed something was wrong.

The wrong, which originated way back in the industrial age, is still present today permitting multiple problems, that were faced back then as well, to occur to this day the

~ THINKING MACHINES ~

most threatening problem, in my opinion, is the way machinery is replacing our role at work.

So what importance does machinery and the problems caused by its embedding into our life all the way back from the industrial age have to do with the philosophy, psychology and ethics of thinking machines? As George Santayana said, "Those who do not learn history are doomed to repeat it.", and as I've mentioned before AI, at this time, affects multiple aspects of our life on a smaller scale; but every year its applications are growing at such a rate that AI will be on par with machinery in a matter of years.

As it affects mankind at every imaginable our economy, culture, interactions and so many more aspect are in one way or another affected by machinery. It's only a matter of time before AI is introduced to all of these aspect, and more, on a commercially large scale. Once again the way of living will be changed dramatically, as it did the the industrial age, but unlike before I'd like to say we'll concern ourselves with the implications that "thinking machines" will have on our existence.

Before the industial age no one knew that their would be consecuenses such as really bad labor task and depression. It's only after the industrial age that we took into considuration of everything that had occured, and even after looking at negative effects that occured we kepted on pushing foward as XXX said, "progress can't be stoped". It was a bad for a even better good which in the long term most likely won't payoff. Never the less it's necessary we learn from this mistake if non other. Since it's only a matter of time, like that of the industiral age where machinery was introduced at a large scale, that we take a look at AI and what it will change in the field.

It's really really highly oudoughfull that machines will rule over us, since after all these machines are made to serve us. However it's very likely that machines will

~ THINKING MACHINES ~

replace us in just about every aspect from philosophers to engineers. And you might be thinking how can Siri ever get to such a point when she can't even setup my dentist appointment? If you're one to think like so then you, my dear reader, are a ignorant bigot. Why would I call you, my dear reader, such a thing? Well it's because you've decided to take what the media and others have told you as full hearted facts. Media has portrayed AI as a thing of science fiction films like Terminator have portrayed a humanoid robot that will one day overthrow us. But such a thing is pointless for multiple reasons. Nevertheless my point is that media has distorted your view on machines and their capability of thinking.

I'd probably go too far if I'd say that all machines think, but I'd probably be right on point when I say that machines are thinking and are capable of reaching a consciousness like that of a human.

Alan Mathew Turing, the father of modern computing, once stated, "Just because someone or something thinks differently than you, doesn't mean it's not thinking." I hold this phrase true to heart. Tell me, my dear reader, what does it mean to think? Can you define it? I'd probably be right on mark when I say that you've only ever thought of thinking once in a while but have never pondered at the probabilities of you being able to think much less others being able to think.

The probability of you thinking is 50 to 50 reason. What could I possibly mean by that? Well tell me this, my dear reader, what is the probability that I'm thinking? You'd likely say you're thinking but how can you be sure of such a thing? Perhaps you test it? But how would you test such a thing? But even then quantum theory states that the state of something changes upon further investigation and there is no way of investigation that which is not being already investigated. So how can such a thing be tested? Simple it can't you just trust that as soon as you stop proving the that of what you've sensed is still there. For instance XXX said, "I think therefore I am", from which we can infer that

~ THINKING MACHINES ~

since I speak to you therefore I am. But what of those pauses between my words sensed or rants? Do I simply stop existing? You'd very most right to say no, but that's only because you've fooled yourself into feeling that I'm one like you a conscious living being able of making conscious decisions of my own will. But again, how can we test such a thing?

"By failing to prepare, you are preparing to fail." — Benjamin Franklin

~ THINKING MACHINES ~

I THINKING

*“Just because someone or something thinks differently than you do,
it doesn't mean that it's not thinking.” — A.M. Turing*

I I THE PROBABILITIES OF THINKING

“We are unlikely to someday have robots that decide to turn on us, defeat us, make us their slaves, or exterminate us; and just as unlikely to have them befriend us or show us love without being specifically prompted by instructions to do so. This is because such intentional behavior from an A.I. would undoubtedly require a mind, as intentionality can only arise when something possesses its own beliefs, desires, and motivations.”^[2], one with little experience or faith in machines would argue.

Is the mind limited to us? Can we not adapt machines to think as we do therefore giving them our mind? Sure, at the moment we lack the understanding of the very thing we are. Yet, we hold on tight to our theories as if they were the truth. With those very theories we give machines systems modeled after our own minds, and still we say they can't think. What is it that limits thinking to us, is it perhaps that we're living?

What is a “*mind*”? Merriam-Webster dictionary defines it as, “the element or complex of elements in an individual that feels, perceives, thinks, wills, and especially reasons”, a definition composed of words which have a almost endless amount of interpretation, words like “feelings”, “thoughts” and “will”.

We live by our will, and our will, in most cases, drives our intent. So how can a machine have a will much more a intent? After all, aren't those all human aspects that require a consciousness to achieve such a feat? Well, like many of us, our intent is discovery and understanding of all that surrounds us, and that's just about what machines are being trained to do.

I I I
TRAINING MACHINES

As I've mentioned before we've reached a point in which we don't program every action a machine takes, but instead we "train" them. The field of machine learning has verily started, and yet our algorithms, which we'd have to manually tinker with before, have evolved into something like that of our own neural networks which form our mind. Machines capable of automating decision making, and much more impressive being able to "think" of why and why not a decision is most appropriate.

Machine learning is a vast and ever growing field which started around the 1950. Today, companies worth billions like Google, Microsoft and Facebook have dedicated teams to the research and development of the field. In the past few years astonishing feats have been accomplished from Siri, a personal assistant reachable from your phone, to AlphaGo, beating top human players in the game of Go which has 2.08×10^{170} moves a feat ahead of its time by decades.

Siri and AlphaGo are two AIs that are worlds apart, both serving a different function. Yet they are one in the same as they're both "thinking machines", and their AI would be classified as weak or narrow. For even when they accomplish feats centuries ahead of predictions they are still simple compared to a strong or general AI. There are major differences between a weak/narrow and strong/general AI, but nevertheless they are both thinking machines.

Weak/Narrow AI, according to the Techopedia dictionary, it's a form of AI specifically designed to be focused on a narrow task and seem very intelligent at it. Has never been viewed as general intelligence, but rather a construct designed to be intelligent in the narrow task that it is assigned to. Techopedia gave a very good example of a weak AI that being Apple's Siri, which has the Internet behind it serving as a powerful database. Siri seems very intelligent, as it is able to hold a conversation with

~ THINKING MACHINES ~

actual people, even giving snide remarks and a few jokes, but actually operates in a very narrow, predefined manner. However, the "narrowness" of its function can be evidenced by its inaccurate results when it is engaged in conversations that it is not programmed to respond to.

Strong/General AI, is a form of AI designed with general intelligence allowing the machine to successfully perform any intellectual task that a human being can do, such as: reason, strategy, puzzle solving, judgment, plan, learn, communicate and integrate everything into a common goal. However we have many hurdles before we can achieve such a feat:

1. To autonomously and interactively acquire new knowledge and skills, in real time. This includes one-shot learning — i.e. learning something new from a single example.[\[7\]](#)
2. To truly understand language, have meaningful conversation, and be able to reason contextually, logically and abstractly. Moreover, it must be able to explain its conclusions![\[7\]](#)
3. To remember recent events and interactions (short-term memory), and to understand the context and purpose of actions, including those of other actors (theory of mind).[\[7\]](#)
4. To proactively use existing knowledge and skills to accelerate learning (transfer learning).[\[7\]](#)
5. To generalize existing knowledge by forming abstractions and ontologies (knowledge hierarchies).[\[7\]](#)

~ THINKING MACHINES ~

6. To dynamically manage multiple, potentially conflicting goals and priorities, and to select the appropriate input stimuli and to focus on relevant tasks (focus and selection).^[7]
7. To recognize and appropriately respond to human emotions (have EQ, emotional intelligence), as well as to take its own cognitive states — such as surprise, uncertainty or confusion — into account (introspection).^[7]
8. Crucially, to be able to do all of the above with limited knowledge, computational power, and time. For example, when confronted with a new situation in the real world, one cannot afford to wait to re-train a massive neural network over several days on a specialized supercomputer.^[7]

While the list of hurdles seems long and tedious do not mistake it for a list of impossible task. For most of the task, if not all of them, are intertwined with humanity's prosperity, meaning, if all of humanity is to prevail it must overcome these hurdles.

Just as you and I were educated by a school, AIs are also educated. While we have different types of schools such as public, private, homeschool and etc. AIs have different learning methods with different traits, benefits and disadvantages. Schools to us, are like learning methods to AIs.

Supervised Learning, the algorithm is taught or trained from data which is already labeled with the correct answer. The larger the data set the more the algorithm will be able to generalize in a more precise way. Once the training is completed, new data is provided, without the correct response labels, and the learning algorithm uses the past experience acquired during the training stage to predict a result.^[4]

Unsupervised Learning, the algorithm is trained using a data set that has no label; in this case, the algorithm is never told what the data represents. The idea is that the algorithm can find by itself only patterns that help to understand the data set.^[4]

Reinforced Learning, the algorithm learns by observing the world around it. Your input information is the feedback or feedback you get from the outside world in response to your actions. Therefore, the system learns based on trial and error. A good example of this type of learning can be found in games, where we try new strategies and we select and perfect those that help us win the game. As we gain more practice, the cumulative effect of reinforcement on our victorious actions will end up creating a winning strategy.^[4]

Deep Learning, is a particular set of machine learning algorithms that use deep structures of neural networks to find patterns in the data. These types of algorithms currently have a great interest, since they have proven to be extremely successful in solving certain types of problems; as for example, the recognition of images. Many consider that these types of models are those that in the future will lead us to solve definitively the problem of Artificial Intelligence.^[4]

Those are but four of the many methods used to teach machines today, and the field of machine learning is ever growing. It's without a doubt in my mind that reaching the pinnacle of weak and strong AI is only a matter of time, and when such a event occurs we'll need to have machine ethics on par.

IV INTELLIGENCE

“Intelligence, by its very nature is something that cannot be understood but not because understanding it is impossible but because understanding it destroys our perception of it as intelligence.”^[3], does this mean that when we understand how the human mind work we stop becoming intelligent? If not, then what of machines when we give them our thinking process, are they still not intelligent? As A.M. Turing said, “It doesn't matter how it works - if it behaves like a human intelligence then it is a human intelligence.”^[3]

Two theories of the brain exist namely the **Grandmother Cell Theory** and the **Distributed Representation Theory**. The first theory asserts that individual neurons have high information capacity and are capable of representing complex concepts such as your grandmother or even Jennifer Aniston. The second theory neurons asserts that neurons are much more simple and representations of complex objects are distributed across many neurons. Artificial neural networks are loosely inspired by the second theory.^[5]

So even when we model machines thinking process after the very thing that makes up our mind we still deny them the right to think. Why is that? One would argue the following:

“All digital computers are binary systems. This means that they store and process information exclusively in terms of two states, which are represented by different symbols—in this case 1s and 0s. It is an interesting fact of nature that binary digits can be used to represent most things; like numbers, letters, colors, shapes, images, and even audio with near perfect accuracy.

~ THINKING MACHINES ~

This two-symbol system is the foundational principle that all of digital computing is based upon. Everything a computer does involves manipulating two symbols in some way. As such, they can be thought of as a practical type of Turing machine—an abstract, hypothetical machine that computes by manipulating symbols.^[2]

At the moment our machines are being built with fine metals such as copper, gold, and silicon among other materials, and it's these very materials that limit our machines; but not for long. It's only recently that we've looked into other fields to improve our thinking machines. The field that is of most use to our thinking machines is Biology, the two intertwined is commonly referred to as Biotech. A field that has already accomplished various feats from DNA digital data storage to artificial neurons.

“While still in their infancy, **DNA Computers** will be capable of storing billions of times more data than your personal computer. Scientists are using genetic material to create nano-computers that might take the place of silicon-based computers in the next decade.”^[6]

DNA is in four binary code, meaning it processes in 0s, 1s, 2s and 3s that's double the binary then our current machines can process! Double the binary is a feat that solves just about every issue current machines exhibit such as corruption, physical limitations, speed and so much more will be revolutionise by DNA Computers. “One pound of DNA has the capacity to store more information than all the electronic computers ever built; and the computing power of a teardrop-sized DNA computer, using the DNA logic gates, will be more powerful than the world's most powerful supercomputer.”^[6]

“A Turing machine’s operations are said to be “syntactical”, meaning they only recognize symbols and not the meaning of those symbols—i.e., their semantics. Even the word “recognize” is misleading because it implies a subjective experience, so

~ THINKING MACHINES ~

perhaps it is better to simply say that computers are sensitive to symbols, whereas the brain is capable of semantic understanding."[\[2\]](#)

"I know that I know nothing", said Socrates; and he's right we know not what we know, and we only fool ourselves, and others, as we state our knowledge as the truth. In a way we play a never ending illusion, but it's this very illusion that allows us to appear as conscious beings. Yet when a computer plays the illusion game on par with humans it's denied the ability to think, why is that?

Intelligence is, in most cases, interlinked with the ability to think, right? So if I were to test your ability to think I too would be testing whether you're intelligent, but how can I test your ability to think? Let's say that we test your ability to think with problems. If you're able to attempt to solve, or better yet actually solve the problems then you've proved you're thinking and as it is that you're intelligent.

REFERENCES

1. *"Computing Machinery and Intelligence"*
 - Author: Alan Mathison Turing
 - Date Published: 1950
 - Publisher: Oxford University
 - Press: Mind Association
2. *"A neuroscientist explains why artificially intelligent robots will never have consciousness like human"*
 - Author: Bobby Azarian,
 - Date Published: Mar-31-2016,
 - Publisher: RawStory
3. *"Artificial Intelligence - Strong and Weak"*
 - Author: Alex Armstrong,
 - Date Published: May-04-2015,
 - Publisher: I Programmer
4. *"Libro de IAAR"*
 - Author: IAAR,
 - Date Published: Jun-19-2017,
 - Publisher: IAAR (*Comunidad Argentina de Inteligencia Artificial*)
5. *"10 Misconceptions About Neural Networks"*
 - Author: Stuart Reid,
 - Date Published: May-8-2014,
 - Publisher: TuringFinance
6. *"How DNA Computers Will Work"*
 - Author: Kevin Bonsor,
 - Date Published: Nov-17-2000,
 - Publisher: HowStuffWorks
7. *"From Narrow to General AI and from External to Internal Intelligence"*
 - Author: Peter Voss,
 - Date Published: Oct-3-2017,
 - Publisher: Medium