

# **IMRMC**

**User Manual 2016** 

### **Table of Contents**

1. Introduction	3
Credits	
2. Getting Started	5
3. Run iMRMC	
3.1 GUI Interface	7
3.1.1 Reader Study Data	9
3.1.1.1 Data Checks	10
3.1.1.2 ROC Curve	12
3.1.1.3 Reader AUCs	14
3.1.2 Summary Information From Reader Study Data	15
3.1.3 Statistical Analysis Results	16
3.1.4 Sizing Future Study	18
3.1.4.1 Explore Experiment Size	20
3.1.5 Export results	21
3.2 Command Line	22
4. Input and output	23
4.1 Input file	24
4.1.1 Study Raw Data	25
4.1.2 Summary Data	28
4.1.3 Possible Formatting Errors	
4.2 Output File	
4.2.1 Statistical Analysis result (omrmc)	32
4.2.2 Sizing Analysis Results	34
5. Methods	
5.1 Introduction to ROC Reader Studies	
5.2 Variance Estimation	
5.3 Components of Variance	
5.4 Hypothesis Testing and Confidence Intervals:	
5.5 Sizing a Future Study	
6. Database	
7. References and Other Information	
7.1 References	
7.2 Abbrevations	
7.3 Appendix A	45

### 1. Introduction

The primary objective of this Java application (iMRMC) is to assist investigators with analyzing and sizing multi-reader multi-case (MRMC) reader studies that compare the difference in the area under Receiver Operating Characteristic curves (AUCs) from two modalities. The core elements of this java application include the ability to do MRMC variance analysis and the ability to size an MRMC trial. A database containing components of variance from past MRMC studies is planned.

When publishing results using our software, please reference with one of the following:

- Gallas, B. D.; Bandos, A.; Samuelson, F. & Wagner, R. F. (2009), 'A Framework for Random-Effects ROC Analysis: Biases with the Bootstrap and Other Variance Estimators', Commun Stat A-Theory 38 (15), 2586-2603.
- Gallas, B. D. (2006), 'One-Shot Estimate of MRMC Variance: AUC.' Acad Radiol, 13 (3), 353-362.

The software treats arbitrary study designs that are not "fully-crossed". Please refer to the following for more information.

- Gallas, B. D. & Brown, D. G. (2008), 'Reader Studies for Validation of CAD Systems.' Neural Networks Special Conference Issue, 21, (2-3), 387-397.
- Obuchowski, N.; Gallas, B. D. & Hillis, S. L. (2012), 'Multi-Reader ROC Studies with Split-Plot Designs: A Comparison of Statistical Methods.' Acad Radiol, 19, 1508-1517.

Created with Dr. Explain

### **Credits**

- Brandon Gallas 2010 Present
  - Project Leader
- Xin He 2010 2011
  - Original Creator
- Rowhan Pathare 2013
  - Begin version control (SVN)
  - SNV code shared on google code
  - Arbitrary study designs, ROC Plots
- Qi Gong 2014 Present
  - Create new features, debug and improve performance for iMRMC
  - Public code and release version to Github
- Yousun Ko 2015 2016
  - Transitioned everything to GitHub
  - Consolidated on the documentation (User Manual)
- Jessica Handoko 2016
  - Update the user manual
  - Upload all documents about iMRMC to GitHub

Created with Dr.Explain

### 2. Getting Started

The primary objective of this Java application (iMRMC) is to assist investigators with analyzing and sizing multi-reader multi-case (MRMC) reader studies that compare the difference in the area under Receiver Operating Characteristic curves (AUCs) from two modalities. The core elements of this java application include the ability to do MRMC variance analysis and the ability to size an MRMC trial. A database containing components of variance from past MRMC studies is planned.

### **Download Java**

The software is written in Java. It requires Java Runtime Environment(JRE) 1.8 and higher version.

User can check the JAVA version from <a href="http://java.com/en/download/testjava.jsp">http://java.com/en/download/testjava.jsp</a>

User can download JRE from ORACLE website.

### **Download iMRMC**

Go to <a href="https://github.com/DIDSR/iMRMC/releases">https://github.com/DIDSR/iMRMC/releases</a> to download and save the latest version of iMRMC file.

Created with Dr.Explain

### 3. Run iMRMC

iMRMC software can be run under 2 models, GUI interface and command line. Both of them are available on Windows, Mac and Linux operation systems.

In **GUI interface**, users use buttons to load input file and manage software.


In **command line**, users can load input file by command line, and iMRMC will automatically do all modalities combinations MRMC analysis.

### iMRMC accepts 2 kinds of input files.

- 1. Study Raw Data: It includes study size, case truth and MRMC studies ROC scores.
- 2. **Summary Data**: It include study information and part of MRMC AUC analysis results (<u>BDG moments</u> and AUCs).


### iMRMC can do MRMC statistical analysis and Sizing Future Study.

- 1. MRMC Statistical analysis: iMRMC estimates the AUC components of variance for input file.
- 2. <u>Sizing Future Study</u>: iMRMC predicts a new study (study size and study design) base on statistical analysis reusults.




### 3.1 GUI Interface

This is the iMRMC main GUI. It include 4 parts: 1. Manage panel, 2. Statistical Analysis Result, 3. Sizing Future Study, 4. Export Results.



Manage Panel



Select an input method:

# Please choose one kind of input file

Please choose input file mode

Default Panel is a welcome page, User could use "Select an input method" pull down manual to choose input Reader Study Data: Analysis Study Raw Data.


Summary Info From Reader Study Data: Analysis Summary Data.

User could use "Reset" button to clean all analysis settings and results.

Manage panel will change depending on different types of input methods.

2

**Statistical Analysis Result** 



Displays statistical analysis reuslt



Sets sizing study parameter and displays results.



Export analyses results.

Created with Dr. Explain

### 3.1.1 Reader Study Data

In the Reader Study Data input method, welcome panel changes to following panel. User should load <u>Study Raw Data</u> as input file.





User can use the "Browse..." button to load one <u>Study Raw Data</u> input file. The input file should be either in ".imrmr" or ".csv" format.

2 Data Checks
Show Statistics Charts Show Study Design

User can use these two buttons to check the input file data.

Show ROC Curve

Display ROC curve for all readers and modalities.

MLE option

MLE (avoid negatives)

Check box to define whether use Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE) analysis.

Modalities chosen

Choose Modality A → Choose Modality B →

Two pull down manual to choose analysis modalities.

Choose one modality: Estimates MRMC AUC characteristic for one modality.

Choose two modalities: Estimates MRMC AUC characteristic for two modalities, and difference between modalities.

Note: Two chosen modalities cannot be the same.

Do MRMC Variance Analysis

MRMC Variance Analysis

Do MRMC Variance Analysis for chosen modalities

Note: User should choose Modalities first.

Show Readers Individual AUC
Show Reader AUCs

Show All readers AUC for each modality.

Note: User should do analysis first.


Created with Dr.Explain

### 3.1.1.1 Data Checks

User can use **Show Statistics Chart** and **Show Study Design** buttons to check input file. They help user to easily determine if the study has been input correctly.


### **Statistics Charts**

The left chart displays the number of cases that each reader scored, and the right one displays the number of readers that scored each case. The bars allow for easy visual recognition of where the study is incomplete. Hovering the mouse over a particular bar displays the relevant information for the data.




### Study Design


For a more in-depth view of the completeness of the study, the **Show Study Design** button allows the user to view the presence or absence of score data per modality. At first user should select a modality to view.



Study Design chart displays each case and each reader appears for chosen modality. A black square represents an existing score for said modality, case, and reader. A white square represents missing data at this point. Hovering the mouse over a particular square displays this information as "Case Index / Reader Index". Note: In the chart, the Y axis label is reader ID, while X axis label is case index.



**Show relationship:** Index& ID button can display the relationship between Index and ID for reader and case in two windows. The "Export" button at the botton of windows could export the relationship to input file directory.



Created with Dr.Explain

### 3.1.1.2 ROC Curve

The **Show ROC Curve** button can display readers individual and average ROC curves for multiple modalities, and then displays the ROC curves for the given modality. At first, user should select a modality to view (multiple choices is available).



Selecting the various checkboxes on the bottom border of the chart window enables/disables ROC curves for each individual reader, as well as average ROC curves, of which there are four types.

"Reader ID" controls the individual curve for this reader.


Horizontal Average averages in specificity at every possible specificity.

Vertical Average averages in sensitivity at every possible specificity.

**Diagonal Average** averages in the direction of sensitivity+specificity at every sensitivity-specificity (along x=y diagonal line).

**Pooled Average** gathers all reader scores into one large set and calculates the ROC curve across that set. **Show Readers** controls all readers individual curves.

Show Averages controls all average curves.



The chart is resizable, and its contents will scale to the new size automatically. Additionally, clicking and dragging from an upper left to lower right direction zooms the view in on that area. Clicking and dragging from a lower right direction to an upper left direction returns the view to its default zoom.

**Export** button can gather all curves data to one file and export to input file directory.

Created with Dr.Explain

### 3.1.1.3 Reader AUCs

After statistical analysis, user can use the **Show Reader AUCs** button to display readers individual AUCs for analyzed modalites.



**Export** button can export AUCs to one file. User has option to choose the saving directory.


Created with Dr.Explain


# 3.1.2 Summary Information From Reader Study Data

In this input method, welcome panel changes to following panel. User should load <u>Summary Data</u> as input file. It is one of the output files of **Save Stat Analysis**button.

As the input file does not contain raw reading scores, there are no data checks, show ROC curves and show Reader AUCs options. The **MRMC Variance Analysis** button is not available . iMRMC will do analysis when user chooses one modality options from **Modalities Chosen** pull down manual.

The main porpose of this analysis version is for sizing furture study. The statistical panel only does fullycrossed analysis.





User can use the "Browse..." button to load one <u>Summary Data</u> input file. The input file should be either in ".imrmr", ".omrmc" or ".csv" format.

MLE Option

MLE (avoid negatives)

Check box to define whether use Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE) analysis.

Choose analysis modality. After user chooses one option, iMRMC will do analysis automatically.

A:1: Analyze modality "1" as the first modality

B:2: Analyze modality "2" as the second modality


A vs B: 1 vs 2: Analyze difference between two modalities, modality "1" as the first and modality "2" as the second modalities.

Created with Dr. Explain

### 3.1.3 Statistical Analysis Results

This panel displays Statiscal Analysis Results, It includes two parts

1. MRMC AUC characteristics estimation results:



Study Size

5 Readers, 50 Normal cases, 50 Disease cases.

Reader and case sizes of analyzed data.

AUC

AUC\_A = 0.757, AUC\_B = 0.716, AUC\_A - AUC\_B = 0.041,

AUC for each modality and difference between them. If user only analyzes one modality, there is one AUC term.

Standard Error S.E(total) = 4.561E-2

BDG analysis Standard Error.

Normal Analysis

Large Sample Approx(Normal) p-Value = 0.3729 Conf. Int. = (-0.0487, 0.1300) Reject Null? = No(0.00)


Normal analysis P-Value, Confidence Interval and Hypothesis test result (Yes = 1, No = 0).

BDG analysis P-Value, Confidence Interval and Hypothesis test result (Yes = 1, No = 0).

Hills Analysis
Hillis Approx

Hills analysis P-Value, Confidence Interval and Hypothesis test result (Yes = 1, No = 0). Click button to see the result.

### 2. MRMC Variance components tables:



The populated table shows the <u>components of variance</u>, which can be given in five different representations. For the <u>BDG</u> and <u>BCK</u> representation we have 7 total rows. The "**comp MA**" and "**comp MB**" rows show the components for each modality, and the "**coeff MA**, **MB**" rows give the corresponding coefficients/weights for each component of

variance. The "**comp product**" shows the covariance components for both modalities, and the "**- coeff product**" gives its coefficient/weight. The "**total**" row displays the contribution towards the total variance by each component. The contributions are summed to produce the total variance, and the square root of that is the standard error, which is displayed to the right of the table. The <u>DBM</u>, <u>OR</u>, and <u>MS</u> tabs are only available for fully crossed analysis data. They are similar as the frist 2 tabs, but only contain 3 rows, showing the components, coefficients, and total without separating by modality.

If any of the resulting components are negative, the user will be asked if they would like to use MLE estimates instead of the usual u-statistics estimates. The MLE estimates of the components are never negative, and this can help with calculating the variance/error sometimes. If MLE estimates are being used, a double asterisk (\*\*) will appear next to the decomposition names in the table.

Created with Dr. Explain

### 3.1.4 Sizing Future Study

The third panel is for sizing a trial using the components of variance from statistical analysis.

- 1. Set the number of split-plot groups, pairing of readers, pairing of cases and study size.
- 2. Set the significance level and the effect size
- 3. Click "Size a Trial" or "Explore Experiment Size" buttons.

Note: Sizing MLE analysis does not be validated



Paired Readers? Yes No Pair Normal Cases? Yes No Pair Disease Cases? Yes No

Study Design

Study Design: # of Split-Plot Groups 1

Sets the number of split-plot groups, pairing of readers, pairing of cases.

Significance Setting
Significance level 0.05 Effect Size 0.05

Sets the significance level and the effect size.

Study Size

#Reader 0 // #Normal 0 #Diseased 0 //

Sets number of readers, nondiseasedcases, and diseased cases.

Size MLE Option

Size MLE

Check box to define whether use Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE) in sizing analysis.

Size a Trial
Size a Trial

Uses components of variance from statistical analysis and sizing parameter to estimate a new MRMC study analysis.

Size Multiple Trials

Explore Experiment Size

Uses components of variance from statistical analysis to estimate different sizes MRMC studies analyses, and displays results in **Explore Experiment Size** window.

Sizing Results

Sizing Analysis: S.E= X D ain

Large Sample Approx(Normal), Power=

BDG: df= , Lambda= , Power= in

Hillis Approx

Normal, BDG and Hills analyses results.


3.1.4 Sizing Future Study

Created with Dr.Explain Unregistered version

### 3.1.4.1 Explore Experiment Size

This window shows analyses results for different sizes MRMC studies base on components of variance from statistical analysis. This feature could analyze different size of studies (reader size = [5, 10, 15, 20]; case size = [32, 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024, 2048, 4096, 8192]). It can help user to have a base idea about what study size they need to reach their ideal variance.

Note: All analyses in this feature assume the studys are fully-crossed and paired between two modalities.





Chooses Results Table row and column combination.

Row	Column
Nreader	Nnormal
Nreader	Ndisease
Nnormal	Ndisease

The Third Variable Setting

NDisease: 32

Sets the size for the third variable, which is not in the Results Table.

Switch Displayed Result

S.E.

Chooses one AUC characteristic to be estimated and displayed (Standard error or BDG power).

Results Table

Made v	32	64 X	128	256	512	1024	2048	4096	8192
5	5.565E-2	4.918E-2	4.560E-2	4.370E-2	4.272E-2	4.222E-2	4.196E-2	4.184E-2	4.178E-2
10	5.221E-2	4.593E-2	4.244E-2	4.058E-2	3.962E-2	3.913E-2	3.889E-2	3.876E-2	3.870E-2
VId150 V	5.102E-2	4.480E-2	4.133E-2	3.949E-2	3.853E-2	3.805E-2	3.780E-2	3.768E-2	3.762E-2
20	5.041E-2	4.422E-2	4.077E-2	3.893E-2	3.798E-2	3.749E-2	3.725E-2	3.713E-2	3.706E-2

Analysis results for different study sizes.


5 Export Results
Export

Export current Results Table to file.

Created with Dr.Explain

### 3.1.5 Export results

User can use buttons in this panel to export analyses results.



### Save Stat Analysis:

User should do statistical analysis first. This button can export current statistical analysis results (Results in **Statistical Analysis Results**).

User will be asked to create a folder. There will be two files saved in that folder.

omrmc format: Readable by humans and can be loaded as a <u>Summary Data</u> on the iMRMC software.

**csv format:** Easier to be loaded by other software.

### Save Size Analysis:

User should do sizing analysis first. This button export sizing analysis results (Reuslts in <u>Sizing Future Study</u>).

Output file is in omrmc format, which is readable by humans. But it can't be loaded to iMRMC as summary data.

### **Analysis All Modalities:**

After loading input file, user can use this button to do statistical analysis for all modalities combinations in input file and export results. User doesn't have to do statistical analysis or sizing analysis first. Software will create a folder in input file directory, and save all outputs in it. All the outputs are in csv format. Two analysis models have different outputs.

### Reader Study Data:

- 1. ROC Curves Data: All ROC curves data for all modalities.
- **2. MRMC Variance Components Tables:** BDG, BCK, DBM, MS, OR variance components for all modalities combinations. Each method is in individual file, which includes both U-statistics and MLE analyses tables.
- 3. Readers individual AUC: Readers individual AUC for all modalities combinations.
- **4. U-statistics analyses results:** MRMC <u>U-statistics</u> Analysis results (AUCs, Standard Error, Confidence Interval, and Hypothesis test results) for all modalities combinations.
- **5. MLE analyses results:** MRMC MLE Analysis results (AUCs, Standard Error, Confidence Interval, and Hypothesis test results) for all modalities combinations.

### **Summary Informations From Reader Study Data:**

- **1. MRMC Variance components tables:** BDG, BCK, DBM, MS, OR variance components for all modalities combinations. Each method is in individual file, which includes both U-statistics and MLE analyses tables.
- **2. U-statistics analyses results:** MRMC <u>U-statistics</u> Analysis results (AUCs, Standard Error, Confidence Interval, and Hypothesis test results) for all modalities combinations.
- **3. MLE analyses results:** MRMC MLE Analysis results (AUCs, Standard Error, Confidence Interval, and Hypothesis test results) for all modalities combinations.

Created with Dr.Explain


### 3.2 Command Line

iMRMC can be launched and run by command line. In this model user can input both **Reader Study Data** and **Summary Data**.

The software does the same processes as **Analysis All Modalities** button. With this feature, users can also call iMRMC from other softwares.

User can launch and run iMRMC in command line by the following steps:

- 1. Open up the command window (cmd, terminal) or other compatible software to visit the iMRMC software saving directory.
- 2. Type the command "java -jar iMRMC-v3p0.jar", along with the full input file name and path.
- 3. User can add second arguement after input file to define output file saving directory. Note: In the command line version, the input file name and path shouldn't have any space (bad input filename: iMRMC Test.imrmc)



Created with Dr. Explain

# 4. Input and output

Users can create an input file with all the settings with any text editing software, like notepad and excel.

The software can remember the user's last input and output file directories, and use them as default directories for next load and export processing.

Created with Dr.Explain

# 4.1 Input file

There are two kinds of input file **Study Raw Data** and **Summary Data**.

The .omrmc version output file of <u>Save Stat Analysis</u> button can also be loaded to iMRMC as summary data.

Created with Dr.Explain

### 4.1.1 Study Raw Data

Study Raw Data is the raw MRMC reading scores. It include 2 parts: 1. Study Description, 2. List of ROC ratings.

**Study Description** can include any information as free text, or no information at all. iMRMC looks for four lines corresponding to the size of the experiment.

N0 = number of cases without disease

N1 = number of cases with disease

NR = number of readers

NM = number of modalities

The **list of ROC ratings** begins with "BEGIN DATA:'. It has a row for each score—each reader, case, modality combination observed—in any order. It also has rows specifying the truth state of each case (given by the reference gold-standard reader = truth). iMRMC can handle data that is not fully-crossed for any number of modalities, though only two of those modalities may be used at a time for variance analysis.

For **rows recording reader scores**, there are four fields in the following order:

### reader I.D. (string)

The reader I.D. can be any string (or number) to identify a study reader. Make sure that this reader I.D. appears the same way each time or the program will think that there are multiple readers. For example, iMRMC believes that "novice0247", "novice 0247", "NOVICE0247" are three different readers. Do not change the I.D. of a reader when recording his or her scores from a different modality.

Note: Do not use "-1" as that indicates a row recording the truth state.

### case I.D. (string)

The case I.D. can be any string (or number) to identify the case imaged. Make sure that this case appears the same way each time or the program will think that there are multiple cases. For example, iMRMC believes that "TeacherMale15", "Male Teacher15", "TeacherM15" are three different cases. Do not change the I.D. of a case when recording its scores from a different modality.

### modality I.D. (string)

The modality I.D. can be any string (or number) to identify the imaging modality. Some examples could be: "film", "digital", "digital+CAD", "MRI". Again be careful how you type the modality I.D.

### **score** (integer or float)

The score is the level of confidence, likelihood, rank, or severity of disease that the reader gives to the case for the modality indicated. The score can have any scale and can be negative: percent, fraction, integer (1 to 7, 0 to 100, -20 to +20), or floating point. Low scores correspond to truth state 0 (normal or no disease) and high scores correspond to truth state 1 (abnormal or disease). If low scores correspond to truth state 1 and high scores correspond to truth state 0, AUC calculations and ROC curve displays will be incorrect.

For **rows recording truth states**, there are also four fields in the following order:

-1

Rows recording case truth states are denoted with a -1 in the first field.

### Case ID (Integer)

Every case I.D. scored by a reader (appears in a row recording a reader score described above) must have one and only one row recording the truth state. Each case must be defined as 0 (normal or no disease) or 1 (abnormal or disease).

### **Arbitrary String** (String)

This string can be anything and it needs to be something. It is currently unused by iMRMC. You can use "0" as a reminder of what the row is recording.

### Truth state (0 or 1)

The truth state 0 indicates normal or no disease. The truth state 1 indicates abnormal or disease.

Higher reader scores should indicate higher likelihood or confidence of disease and low ratings should indicate lesser likelihood or confidence of disease.

### FIELDS MUST BE SEPARATED BY COMMAS!\*

For example, one input file could look like the following:

```
1 Simulated iMRMC input from 15-01-22-17-49-14
                                2
                                3 NR: 2
Study Description
                                4 NO: 1
                                5 N1: 1
                                6 NM: 2
                                7
                                8 BEGIN DATA:
                                9 -1, case1,0,10 e with Dr. Explain TRIAL
                               10 -1, case2, 0, 0
                               11 reader1, case1, film, 1.414840794
                               12 reader1, case1, digital, 1.986055857
List of ROC Ratings
                               13 reader2, case1, film, -0.414840794
                               14 reader2, case1, digital, 0.689055857
                               15 reader1, case2, film, -1.114840794
                               16 reader1, case2, digital, -0.874555857
                               17 reader2, case2, film, 0.239740794
                               18 reader2, case2, digital, -0.186555857
                               19
```

# **Study Description**

```
1 Simulated iMRMC input from 15-01-22-17-49-14
2
4 No: 21 With Dr. Explain TRIAL
5 N1: 1
```

2 readers, 1 nondiseased case, 1 diseased case and 2 modalities

# **List of ROC Ratings**

```
8 BEGIN DATA:
9 -1, case1, 0, 1
10 -1, case2, 0, 0
11 reader1, case1, film, 1.414840794
12 reader1, case1, digital, 1.986055857
13 reader2, case1, film, -0.414840794
14 reader2, case1, digital, 0.689055857
15 reader1, case2, film, -1.114840794
16 reader1, case2, digital, -0.874555857
17 reader2, case2, film, 0.239740794
18 reader2, case2, digital, -0.186555857
```

Line 9 specifies truth state for "case1" is abnormal Field 1: -1 indicates row records truth state Field 2: case I.D. = "case1" Field 3: arbitrary string = "0" Field 4: truth state = 1 Line 10 specifies truth state for case2 is normal

Field 1: -1 indicates row records truth state Field 2: case I.D. = "case2"

Field 3: arbitrary string = "0"

Field 4: truth state = 0

Lines 11,12 show "reader1" scores for "case1" on modalities "film" and "digital".

Lines 13,14 show "reader2" scores for "case1" on modalities "film" and "digital".

Lines 15,16 show "reader1" scores for "case2" on modalities "film" and "digital".

Lines 17,18 show "reader2" scores for "case2" on modalities "film" and "digital".

<u>Created with Dr.Explain</u> **Unregistered version** 

### 4.1.2 Summary Data

Summary Data is previous MRMC analysis results. Summary file should begin with "BEGIN SUMMARY" and end with "END SUMMARY".

It includes 3 parts: 1. Study Information, 2. AUCs, 3. BDG Variance Components. Summary Data file could be generated by **Save Stat Analysis** button.

If users want to create Summary Data manually, they should follow the format exactly.

```
Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL BEGIN SUMMARY th Dr. Explain TRIAL
                                     Nnormal=
                                     NDisease= 50
        Study Information
                                     Modality A = 1 Dr. Explain TRIAL Modality B = 2
                                     Reader-Averaged AUCs Explain TRIAL
                                     AUC_A = 0.757
AUC_B = 0.716
                                     AUC_A - AUC_B = 0.041 xplain TRIAL
                                     Reader Specific AUCs
                                                     AUC_A, Planaucs_B, Al AUC_A - AUCS_B h Dr. Explain TRIAL
                                     Reader ID,
                                                                               -1.28000E-2
                                            2,
                                                7.78800E-1, 7.91600E-1,
                                            3, 7.89200E-1,
4, 7.28000E-1,
                                                             8.08800E-1,
                                                                              -1.96000E-2
                                                             6.43200E-1.
                                                                                8.48000E-2
                                             5, 7.48000E-1, 6.90400E-1,
                                                                                5.76000E-2
                                                                                9.32000E-2th Dr.Explain TRIAL
                                      reader1, 7.40000E-1, 6.46800E-1,
                                                                              9.32000E-2
                                             Moments,
                                                              М1,
                                                                         М2,
                                                                                      М3,
                                     Modality1(AUC_A), 7.56800E-1, 6.27442E-1, 6.27187E-1, 5.71009E-1, 6.34960E-1, 6.01083E-1, 5.99914E-1, 5.71446E-1,
BDG Variance Components
                                     Modality2(AUC_B), 7.16160E-1, 5.84519E-1, 5.71693E-1, 5.15359E-1, 5.76680E-1, 5.43780E-1, 5.38985E-1, 5.10390E-1,
                                         comp product, 5.94000E-1, 5.69580E-1, 5.63642E-1, 5.42661E-1, 5.63920E-1, 5.52715E-1, 5.52043E-1, 5.41132E-1,
```

# Study Information

```
NReader= 50
Nnormal= 50
NDisease= 50
Modality A = 1
Modality B = 2
```

- 1. Reader and Case Size.
- 2. Modality ID.

### AUCs

```
Reader-Averaged AUCs xplain TRIAL Made with
AUC A = 0.757
AUC B = 0.716
AUC_A - AUC_B = 0.041 plain TRIAL Made with
Reader Specific AUCs
Reader ID, AUC A, AUC B,
                                    AUC A - AUCs B
           7.78800E-1.
                       7.91600E-1.
                                       -1.28000E-2
       2,
           7.89200E-1,
                       8.08800E-1,
                                       -1.96000E-2
       4,
          7.28000E-1,
                                      8.48000E-2
                      6.43200E-1,
           7.48000E-1,
                       6.90400E-1,
                                        5.76000E-2
 reader1, 7.40000E-1, 6.46800E-1,
                                        9.32000E-2
```

- 1. Reader-Averaged AUCs for individual modalities and their difference.
- Reader Specific AUCs in for each modality.

### **BDG Variance Components**

**BDG** Variance Components for individual modality and the production between them.

4.1.2 Summary Data

<u>Created with Dr.Explain</u> **Unregistered version** 

# **4.1.3 Possible Formatting Errors**

Currently, iMRMC does not provide detailed feedback on formatting errors. The feedback is to provide a report of the experiment size after successfully reading an input file.

Typical errors in formatting are as follows:

- # The truth state of every case must be defined once and only once.
  - o ERROR: Truth states not defined for all the cases.
  - o ERROR: Truth states multiply defined.
- # The row containing "BEGIN DATA:" must be typed exactly as given.
  - o ERROR: "BEGIN DATA:" not all upper-case.
  - o ERROR: "BEGIN DATA:" not terminated with a colon (:).
- # Commas with no spaces must be used to separate the four fields of data describing each ROC rating.
  - o ERROR: Spaces, tabs, or semicolons(;) separate the data in the list of ROC ratings. Only commas should be used.

Created with Dr.Explain

**Unregistered version** 

# **4.2 Output File**

# iMRMC could export several outputs by buttons

Outputs	Windows or Buttons
Index and ID relationship for Study Design label	Study Design window
ROC Curve Data	ROC Curve window and Analysis All Modalities button
Reader Specific AUCs	Reader AUCs window and Analysis All Modalities button
Statistical Analysis result (omrmc and csv)	Save Stat Analysis button
Sizing Analysis result	Save size Analysis button
Sizing Analysis results for multiple studies sizes	Explore Experiment Size window
All modalities combination statistical analysis tables	Analysis All Modalities button
All modalities combination statistical analysis results ( U-statistics and MLE)	Analysis All Modalities button

<u>Created with Dr.Explain</u> **Unregistered version** 

# 4.2.1 Statistical Analysis result (omrmc)

It is one output file of Save Stat Analysis button. It can be used as Summary Data input file.

		MRMC summary statistics from iMRMC Version 2p9 Beta XDIAIN TRIAL Wade with Dr.Explain TRIAL Made with
Made with Dr.Explain TRI File Information 1	- IVIGO	Summary statistics written to file named:
Made with Dr.Explain TRI		etest summary omerical with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with BEGIN SUMMARY
		Neader   1.5   xplain TRIAL   Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL   Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL   Made with No. Explain TRIAL   Made
		with Dr.Explain TRIAL Made with Dr.Explain TRIAL Made with Dr.Explain TRIAL Made with Reader-Averaged AUCS
Made with Dr.Explain TRI		AUC A = 0.757   AUC A = 0.757   AUC A = 0.757   AUC A = 0.716   AUC A = 0.041   AUC A = 0.04
Vlade with DLLX	AL Mad	Realth Specific later TRIAL Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with
		Reader ID, AUC A, AUC B, AUC A - AUC B with L <sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup> , 7.78800E-1, 7.91600E-1, de with 2800E-2 plain TRIAL Made with Dr.Explain TRIAL Made with
		4, 7.28000E-1, 6.48200E-1, 8.48000E-2 with [5, F7.48000E-1, F6.90400E-1, d wish7600E-2; plain TRIAL Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with Preader1, 7.40000E-1, 6.46800E-1, 9.32000E-2
		with Dwoments, and TRIM1. Madway with Drws, color with Made with M
		Modality1(AUC_A), 7.56800E-1, 6.27442E-1, 6.27187E-1, 5.71009E-1, 6.34960E-1, 6.01083E-1, 5.9914E-1, 5.71446E-1, Modality2(AUC_B), 7.16160E-1, 5.84519E-1, 5.71693E-1, 5.15359E-1, 5.76680E-1, 5.43780E-1, 5.38985E-1, 5.10390E-1, Comp product, 5.94000E-1, 5.69580E-1, 5.63642E-1, 5.42661E-1, 5.63920E-1, 5.52715E-1, 5.52043E-1, 5.41132E-1, add with END SUMMARY
		d with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with
		Modality A = 1 Modality B = 2plain TRIAL Made with Dr.Explain TRIAL Made with Dr.Explain TRIAL Made with
Statistical Analysis Results 3	AL Mad	AUC A = 0.757, AUC B = 0.716, AUC A - AUC B = 0.041    Statistical Tests:   TRIAL   Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL   Made with Ho: AUC A - AUC B = 0.00, two-sided alternative, 95% significance, 5 Readers, 50 Normal cases, 50 Disease cases.
		AUC_A = 0.757, AUC_B = 0.716, AUC_A = AUC_B = 0.041, S.E(total) = 4.561E-2 Williamse Sample Approx(Normal)   Vp-value = 0.3729   Conf. Int. = (-0.0487, 0.1300)   Dr.Explain TRIAL   Made with
		df(BDG) = 37.44 p-Value = 0.3786 Conf. Int. = (-0.0518, 0.1330) (MILE) (
		Moments,M1,M2,M3,M4,M5,M6,M7,M8 comp MA,7.58680E-1,6.27187E-1,6.27187E-1,5.71009E-1,6.34960E-1,6.01083E-1,5.99914E-1,5.71446E-1,ain TRIAL Made with coeff MA,8.00000E-5,3.9200E-3,3.9200E-3,1.92080E-1,3.2000E-4,1.56800E-2,1.56800E-2,-2.31680E-1,
		comp MB,7.16160E-1,5.84519E-1,5.71693E-1,5.15359E-1,5.76680E-1,5.43780E-1,5.38985E-1,5.10390E-1,
		-coeff product, 1.60000E-4, 7.84000E-3, 7.84000E-3, 3.84160E-1, 6.40000E-4, 3.13600E-2, 3.13600E-2, -4.63360E-1,
/ariance Components Tables 4	AL Mad	with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with
lade with Dr.Explain TRI		Moments, N, D, N=D, R, N=R, D=R, R=N=D comp MA, 2.84685E-2, 2.96375E-2, 5.40826E-3, 7.4.36468E-4, 2.77091E-2, 2.67948E-2, 6.77725E-2, Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with coeff MA, 2.00000E-2, 2.00000E-2, 2.00000E-4, 2.00000E-1, 4.00000E-3, 8.00000E-3, 8.00000E-5,
		comp MB,2.85951E-2,3.3902E-2,4.30446E-3,4.96916E-3,2.77886E-2,3.57696E-2,7.10026E-2, coeff MB,2.00000E-2,2.00000E-2,4.00000E-4,2.00000E+1,4.00000E-3,4.00000E-3,8.00000E-3, comp product,1.09111E-2,1.15829E-2,2.93803E-4,1.52922E-3,1.00700E-2,1.53361E-2,3.14473E-3,
		-coeff product, 4.00000E-2, 4.00000E-2, 8.00000E-4, 4.00000E-1, 8.00000E-3, 8.00000E-3, 1.60000E-4,
		e with Dr.Explain TRIAL Made with
		Components, R, C, R-C, T-R, T-C, T-R-C components, L, C, R-C, T-R, T-C, T-R-C components, L, 52922E-3, 4, 49998E-2, 5, 09379E-2, 7, 37126E-4, 7, 52857E-2, 6, 98498E-2, e with Dr.Explain TRIAL Made with coeff, 0, 00000E0, 0, 00000E0, 4, 00000E-1, 2, 00000E-2, 4, 00000E-3,
		total, 0.00000E0, 0.00000E0, 0.00000E0, 2.94850E-4, 1.50571E-3, 2.79399E-4,  WITH DREXPLAIN TRIAL Made WITH DREXPLAIN TRIA
		components, R, TR, COV2, COV2, COV3, ERROR Le with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with
		components, 1.52922E-3, 7.37126E-4, 9.59377E-4, 1.20286E-3, 4.49998E-4, 2.41073E-3, coeff, 0.00000E0, 4.00000E-1, -4.00000E-1, 1.60000E0, -1.60000E0, 4.00000E-1, -0.0000E-1, -2.0000E-1, -1.9097E-4, 9.64293E-4, Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with total, 0.0000E0, 2.94850E-4, -3.8375IE-4, 1.92457E-3, -7.19957E-4, 9.64293E-4, Made with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with
		WINTUITEXPIAINT MS. Output Results (Unstatistics, Not. MLE) WINTUITEX WINTUITEX Plain TRIAL Made with
		Components, R, C, RC, MR, MC, MRC components, S, 5, 1282E-1, 9, 98152E-1, 1, 171726E-1, 1, 43562E-1, 4, 46278E-1, 6, 98498E-2, c with Dr. Explain TRIAL Made with
		coeff,0.00000E0,0.00000E0,4.00000E-3,4.00000E-3,0.00000E0,-4.00000E-3,

File Information

MRMC summary statistics from iMRMC Version 2p9 Beta Summary statistics written to file named: test\_summary.omrmc

Software version and output file name.

Summary Data

```
BEGIN SUMMARY
NReader=
        5
Nnormal= 50
NDisease= 50 Dr. Explain TRIAL
Modality A = 1
Modality B = 2 Dr. Explain TRIAL
Reader-Averaged AUCs
AUC A = 0.757
AUC B = 0.716
AUC_A - AUC_B = 0.041 plain TRIAL
Reader Specific AUCs
              AUC_A, AUCS_B, 8800E-1, 7.91600E-1,
                                  AUC_A - AUCs_B Dr. Explain TRIAL
Reader ID.
          7.78800E-1,
       2,
                                    -1.28000E-2
          7.89200E-1, 8.08800E-1,
                                     -1.96000E-2
       3,
                                  Mas. 48000E-2 Dr. Explain TRIAL
Vade 4, 17.28000E-1, 26.43200E-1,
       5, 7.48000E-1, 6.90400E-1,
                                      5.76000E-2
 reader1, 7.40000E-1, 6.46800E-1,
                                      9.32000E-2
М2,
        Moments.
                       M1.
                                           М3,
                                                       M4,
                                                                  М5,
                                                                             М6,
                                                                                        М7,
Modality1(AUC_A), 7.56800E-1, 6.27442E-1, 6.27187E-1, 5.71009E-1, 6.34960E-1, 6.01083E-1, 5.99914E-1, 5.71446E-1,
Modality2(AUC B), 7.16160E-1, 5.84519E-1, 5.71693E-1, 5.15359E-1, 5.76680E-1, 5.43780E-1, 5.38985E-1, 5.10390E-1,
   comp product, 5.94000E-1, 5.69580E-1, 5.63642E-1, 5.42661E-1, 5.63920E-1, 5.52715E-1, 5.52043E-1, 5.41132E-1,
END SUMMARY
```

Summary Data for current analysis.



### **Statistical Analysis Results**

Study information and MRMC Statistical Analysis results (AUCs, Standard Error, Confidence Interval, and Hypothesis test results).




### **Variance Components Tables**

MRMC <u>5 methods</u> statistical analysis variance components tables.

Created with Dr. Explain

### 4.2.2 Sizing Analysis Results

### It is the output file of Save Size Analysis button.



File Information

MRMC size statistics from iMRMC Version 3p0 Size statistics written to file named: test\_new1MRMCsize201608191651.omrmc

Software version and output file name.

Sizing Parameters

Parameter for sizing analysis

Sizing Results

Sizing Results:
S.E=4.561E-2
Large Sample Approx(Normal), Power= 0.19 with Dr.Explain
BDG: df= 37.44, Power= 0.19
Hills:df= 52.48, Power= 0.19

Sizing Analysis results (Standard Error, power).

Variance Components Tables

BDG and BCK sizing analysis variance components tables.

Created with Dr.Explain

### 5. Methods

In this software, we utilize two methods to estimate the MRMC variance components of four widely used variance decompositions of the reader-averaged AUC. Then we use these variance decompositions to size a trial. The software can analyze data from a reader-study of two modalities that is fully crossed with readers and cases paired across modalities (every reader reads every case in two modalities).

Created with Dr. Explain

### 5.1 Introduction to ROC Reader Studies

ROC reader studies are designed to evaluate and compare imaging devices and acquisition protocols, or generally evaluate image quality according to an objective task. The ROC task is a classification task, e.g., classifying a patient as non-diseased or diseased. Image quality is then defined as the ability of a reader (e.g., a radiologist) to perform such a task. ROC studies have been used to evaluate imaging and computer-aided detection and diagnosis devices at the FDA[13].

In a typical ROC reader study the reader is presented with one of two mutually exclusive alternatives (e.g. a tumor-present image or a tumor-absent image). The observer is then asked to rate his or her confidence level of which alternative is presented (e.g., the confidence level of tumor presence on an image). Any number of responses may be used to rate the confidence level. For example, in a traditional clinical reader study, a set of five confidence level responses is used with 1 representing "absolutely sure there is no tumor" and 5 representing "absolutely sure there is tumor present". Alternatively, reader studies may ask the observer to use a "continuous" rating scale. Such scales are not really continuous but allow the reader to rate each case with a whole number ranging from 1 to 100. The rating values are collected for both non-diseased and diseased cases. [Example electronic case report form], [Example Instructions for ROC scores]

Given ratings for non-diseased and diseased cases, an ROC curve can be traced out by calculating the sensitivity/ specificity (TPF/TNF) pair for each confidence level, or threshold, possible [1]. An ROC curve illustrates the tradeoff between sensitivity and specificity of the reader across all thresholds. This tradeoff is realized by a change in the reader's threshold. In the case of breast cancer screening via mammography, when the threshold is made more aggressive the reader recalls more patients for additional imaging, increasing his or her sensitivity at the price of lower specificity. If the reader's threshold is moved in the opposite direction, the reader will recall fewer patients; the reader is less aggressive, decreasing his or her sensitivity with the concomitant result of increased specificity. The area under this ROC curve (AUC) is a summary figure-of-merit for describing how well a reader is able to separate the population of diseased patients from non-diseased patients.

One interpretation of AUC is that it is the reader's average sensitivity over all possible specificities. As such, it is a global summary of task performance that avoids thresholds entirely. AUC is also mathematically equivalent to the probability that a random reader will correctly choose the signal-present image over the signal-absent image when a pair is presented side-by-side or sequentially, as is done in a 2-alternative forced choice (2AFC) task [12].

To account for the variability in readers, an ROC study is often conducted in a multi-reader paradigm. The endpoint of such an ROC reader study is the reader-averaged AUC value. The uncertainty in the reader-averaged AUC suffers from two sources of variability: the readers and the cases. To account for both sources of variability, reader studies often involve several trained readers in addition to a dataset of diseased and non-diseased cases. One popular study design for estimating AUC is the fully crossed study design in which every reader reads every case.

Statistical methods have been proposed in the literature to analyze MRMC data [12][13]. The origin of each method differs, and consequently, the estimation process of each method differs. Additionally, each method has at its foundation a different decomposition, or representation, of the total variance. These are discussed in the next section "Variance Estimation".

Another study design, the split-plot, was introduced the field of medical imaging, borrowed from studies in agriculture [12]. In a split-plot study the readers and cases are split into groups. Then all the readers in a group read all the case in their group. This kind of study can be much less burdensome than a fully-crossed without sacrificing much statistical precision.

Created with Dr. Explain

**Unregistered version** 

### **5.2 Variance Estimation**

The first variance estimation method uses U-statistics to provide unbiased estimates of the variance components [4]. This method lacks a positivity constraint and can lead to negative estimates of variance components and total variance. The second variance estimation method uses the non-parametric maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of the distribution of readers and cases, which is the empirical distribution of readers and cases [2]. Efron and Tibshirani also refer to this estimation method as the "ideal" bootstrap. The MLE estimate of variance components and total variance cannot go negative. The tradeoff for positive variance estimates is a positive bias.

Created with Dr. Explain

**Unregistered version** 

### **5.3 Components of Variance**

Regardless of their original developments, the four variance decompositions that are included in this software can be derived from first principles for the reader average of *empirical* AUCs. As such they are related to one another with simple mappings [3]. The decompositions are:

- **BDG components [3-5]**: The author Brandon D. Gallas (BDG) decomposed the total variance into eight moments from first principles in a fashion equivalent to U-statistics, which decomposes the total variance into seven conditional covariances. The "extra moment" is the mean squared, which is a part of each conditional covariance. There is a term for non-diseased cases, diseased cases, readers, and all combinations. The decomposition treats non-diseased cases separately from diseased cases such that the total variance can be easily generalized to new readers, new non-diseased cases, and new diseased cases.
- # BCK components [6]: The authors Barrett, Clarkson, and Kupinski (BCK) decomposed the total variance into seven marginal variances from first principles. They are marginal in the sense that they average over the non-random effects rather than conditioning on them as above. The BCK components are thought of as pure variance terms. There is a term for non-diseased cases, diseased cases, readers, and all combinations. The BCK decomposition treats non-diseased cases separately from diseased cases such that the total variance can be easily generalized to new readers, new non-diseased cases, and new diseased cases
- # **DBM components [7]:** The authors Dorfman, Berbaum, and Metz (DBM) decomponsed the total variance into six components based on a mixed-model ANOVA. The components are: reader effect, case effect, reader-case effect, modality-reader effect, modality-case effect, and modality-reader-case effect. The DBM decomposition does not treat non-diseased cases separately from diseased cases. So, while the total variance can be easily generalized to new readers and to total cases (with a fixed disease prevalence), additional modeling is needed to separately generalize to new non-diseased cases and new diseased cases (i.e., population with arbitrary disease prevalence).
- # MS components [7]: The MS decomposition is based on the same mixed-model ANOVA as the DBM components. MS stands for mean squares, which are estimated from the data first and then mapped to the DBM components. There are six MS components: reader effect, case effect, reader-case effect, modality-reader effect, modality-case effect, and modality-reader-case effect. The MS decomposition does not treat non-diseased cases separately from diseased cases. So, while the total variance can be easily generalized to new readers and to total cases (with a fixed disease prevalence), additional modeling is needed to separately generalize to new non-diseased cases and new diseased cases (i.e., population with arbitrary disease prevalence).
- # OR components [8]: The authors Obuchowski and Rockette (OR) decomposed the total variance into six components based on a two-factor ANOVA by modeling the accuracy of the jth reader using the ith diagnostic test. The components are: reader effect, modality-reader effect, same-reader-different-modality covariance, different-reader-different-modality covariance, and residual error. The OR decomposition does not treat non-diseased cases separately from diseased cases. So, while the total variance can be easily generalized to new readers and to total cases (with a fixed disease prevalence), additional modeling is needed to separately generalize to new non-diseased cases and new diseased cases (i.e., population with arbitrary disease prevalence).

We emphasize that the software presents the four different variance decompositions of the reader average of *empirical* AUCs estimated by U-statistics and MLE [3]. The software does not estimate the DBM and OR components as originally proposed [7, 8]. They are obtained through linear combinations of the BDG components [3]. It is worth pointing out that the U-statistics and MLE estimation methods in this software are specific to the reader average of *empirical* AUCs, whereas the original DBM and OR *estimation* methods can be used for other performance measures. Estimation of components when using fully-crossed data is applicable to the BDG, BCK, DBM, OR and MS decompositions. If using data with a non-fully-crossed study design, only the BDG and BCK components are currently able to be estimated. Calculations for the other decompositions are in development.

Created with Dr. Explain

**Unregistered version** 

# **5.4 Hypothesis Testing and Confidence Intervals:**

We use the methods of Hillis et al. 2008 [10] to determine the t-statistic, the corresponding degrees of freedom, and the p-value of the null hypothesis that the two modalities have equal AUCs (or that the single modality AUC = 0.5), and the confidence interval on the difference (or the confidence around the single modality AUC).

Created with Dr. Explain

**Unregistered version** 

### 5.5 Sizing a Future Study

The software calculates the expected statistical power of the new study. The software implements two kinds of hypothesis testing. One is for a single modality; the null hypothesis is that the AUC is equal to a specified value. The second compares modalities, the null hypothesis is that the two modalities have the same AUC. The statistical power is computed in two ways. One uses the **Z-test**, and the other uses the **F-test** from Hillis [9].

### **Z-test:**

Assumes the variance is known even though it is actually estimated. The Z-test uses the ratio of the affect size over the square root of its estimated variance as the test statistic, and assumes the test statistic follows a standard normal distribution.

### F-test:

Does not assume the variance is known. It estimates the non-centrality parameter and the denominator degrees of freedom values using the components of variance (input or estimated) for the specified case and reader sample size and effect size. The degrees of freedom is also determined with an alternate calculation from Obuchowski et al. 2012 [11]. The statistical power is then computed using F distributions, unless the degrees of freedom is greater than 50, at which point the power is computed using a standard normal distribution.

### **Status**

The sizing analysis has been debugged and enabled. We believe all elements are now functioning properly. Confirmatory validation of statistical and sizing analysis by Roe and Metz simulation is in processing. Please contact us with any issues via the <u>issues page</u>.

Created with Dr. Explain

### 6. Database

At the moment we do not have an available database, we are in the process of creating an updated and fully functional database.

Stay up to date by checking the GitHub release page every few weeks.

The software includes a database that consists of results from simulated data and results from previous MRMC reader studies. We have accrued many reader study datasets and are working on the letters of permission to include them. The datasets are from a variety of sources including FDA sponsor data for imaging device approvals, as well as academic research studies. Each dataset contains

- **Basic information of the study:** this includes the source of the study, a summary of the study, related publications, and other information.
- **Key information of the study:** number of readers, number non-diseased cases, number of diseased cases, modalities used, and task.
- **Variance representations** as outlined above.

See Appendix A for details regarding the summary information.

At the bottom of the applet means we are sharing the whole database (basic information of each study, size of the study, and variance decompositions). The "database" is populated by simulated datasets right now. The buttons at the bottom are related to downloading a spread sheet of that data (work in progress).

Created with Dr. Explain

### 7. References and Other Information

References, Abbrevations, and Appendixes used in the iMRMC Manual.

Created with Dr.Explain

**Unregistered version** 

### 7.1 References

- [1] Metz, C. E., "Basic principles of ROC analysis.", Semin Nucl Med. 1978 Oct;8(4):283-98.
- [2] Efron, B. & Tibshirani, R. J, "An Introduction to the Bootstrap: Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability", Chapman & Hall, New York, N.Y, 1993.
- [3] Gallas, B. D.; Bandos, A.; Samuelson, F. & Wagner, R. F. (2009), 'A Framework for Random-Effects ROC Analysis: Biases with the Bootstrap and Other Variance Estimators', Commun Stat A-Theory 38(15), 2586-2603 [4] Gallas, B. D. (2006), 'One-Shot Estimate of MRMC Variance: AUC', *Acad Radiol* **13**(3), 353-362.
- [5] Gallas, B. D. & Brown, D. G. (2008), 'Reader Studies for Validation of CAD Systems', *Neural Networks* **21**(2-3), 387-397.
- [6] Clarkson, E.; Kupinski, M. A. & Barrett, H. H. (2006), 'A Probabilistic Model for the MRMC Method. Part 1.Theoretical Development', *Acad Radiol* **13**(11), 1410-1421.
- [7] Dorfman, D. D.; Berbaum, K. S. & Metz, C. E. (1992), 'Receiver Operating Characteristic Rating Analysis: Generalization to the Population of Readers and Patients with the Jackknife Method', *Invest Radiol* **27**(9), 723-731.
- [8] Obuchowski, N. A. (1995), 'Multireader, Multimodality Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve Studies: Hypothesis Testing and Sample Size Estimation Using an Analysis of Variance Approach with Dependent Observations', *Acad Radiol* **2**(Suppl 1), S22-S29.
- [9] Hillis S.L., Berbaum K. S. "Power estimation for the Dorfman-Berbaum-Metz method." Acad Radiol. 2004 Nov;11(11):1260-73, 2004.
- [10] Hillis, S. L.; Berbaum, K. S. & Metz, C. E. (2008), 'Recent developments in the Dorfman-Berbaum-Metz procedure for multireader ROC study analysis.', Acad Radiol 15(5), 647--661.
- [11] Obuchowski, N.A.; Gallas, B. D. & Hillis, S. L. (2012), 'Multi-reader ROC Studies with Split-plot Designs: A Comparison of Statistical Methods', *Acad Radiol* **19**(12), 1508-1517.
- [12] Wagner, R. F.; Metz, C. E. & Campbell, G. (2007), 'Assessment of Medical Imaging Systems and Computer Aids: A Tutorial Review.' *Acad Radiol*, **14**, (6), 723-748.
- [13] Gallas, B. D.; Chan, H.-P.; D'Orsi, C. J.; Dodd, L. E.; Giger, M. L.; Gur, D.; Krupinski, E. A.; Metz, C. E.; Myers, K. J.; Obuchowski, N. A.; Sahiner, B.; Toledano, A. Y. & Zuley, M. L. (2012), 'Evaluating Imaging and Computer-aided Detection and Diagnosis Devices at the FDA.' *Acad Radiol*, **19**, (4), 463-477.

Created with Dr.Explain

### 7.2 Abbrevations

Abbrevation	Meaning
AFC	Alternative Forced Choice
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic
MRMC	Multi Reader – Multi Case Studies
MLE	Maximum Likelihood Estimate
AUC	Area under the curve
JRE	Java Runtime Enivronment
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
BDG, BCK, DBM, OR, MS	Name Abbrevations

<u>Created with Dr.Explain</u> **Unregistered version** 

### 7.3 Appendix A

### **Basic Information**

The following fields summarize the data source, setting, experimental conditions, and reference material related to the reader ROC ratings.

- 1. Source: (Owner of data, free text) Data owner or representative, affiliation, mailing address, phone, and email.
- **2. Summary: (free text)** A succinct description of the reader study (readers, cases, reference method, rating method) with more details on the task and modalities (treatments) under investigation.
- **3. Publication:** (free text) Full references to articles that describe or utilize the reader study or data. This field should also include other sources of information related to the study or data (links to webpages, protocol identifiers).
- **4. Notes:** (free text) This field can alert the user to any peculiar issues related to the data. For example, is there any missing data or corrections to the data?
- 5. Acknowledgements: (free text)
- 6. NR: (Number of readers, integer)
- 7. No: (Number of normal cases, integer)
- 8. N1: (Number of abnormal cases, integer)
- 9. NM: (Number of modalities, integer)
- 10. AUC1: (AUC Modality 1, floating point)
- 11. AUC2: (AUC Modality 2, floating point)
- 12. ...
- 13. AUCn: (AUC Modality n, floating point)
- 14. DAUC: (Difference in AUCs, floating point)

# **Key Information**

The following items are critical for determining the application area of the components of (co)variance. They may be renamed and regrouped over time as deemed useful.

- 15. Mod1: (Modality 1, limited choices)
- 16. Mod2: (Modality 2, limited choices)
  - a. simulation
  - b. film radiography
  - c. digital radiography
  - d. film with CAD
  - e. film mammography
  - f. digital mammography
  - g. SE MRI
  - h. CINE MRI
  - i. Multi-detector row CT
  - j. Digital breast tomosythesis
  - k. Mammography + ultrasound
  - Mammography + ultrasound + CAD
  - m. Ultrasound
  - n. Ultraound + CAD
- 17. Task: (limited choices)
  - a. simulation
  - b. chest and abdominal abnormality detection
  - c. breast abnormality detection
  - d. breast cancer detection
  - e. detection of thoracic aortic dissection
- **18. TaskAdd: (limited choices)** This field indicates a modification or qualifier to the task. Multiple qualifiers may be indicated. More liberty will be given to data suppliers in defining these choices. This list is expected to grow.
  - a. pediatric
  - b. malignant mass
  - c. malignant calcification

- d. nodule
- e. pneumothorax
- f. interstitial disease

<u>Created with Dr.Explain</u> **Unregistered version**