Two-Stage Least squares (2SLS)

Tyler Ransom

Univ of Oklahoma

Mar 26, 2019

Today's plan

- 1. Review reading topics on when $E(u|\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$
 - 1.1 Two Stage Least Squares (2SLS)
- 2. In-class activity: 2SLS estimation in R

Quick Review

Instrumental Variables (IV)

- An IV (call it z) is a variable correlated with x, but not with u
 - IV's typically come out of so-called natural experiments
 - e.g. exogenous change in laws; school choice lotteries; military conscription
- Allows us to estimate a causal effect, even when A4 is violated

IV conditions

- The instrument z must satisfy
 - 1. z is **exogenous** to the equation:

$$Cov(z, u) = 0$$

2. z is **relevant** for explaining x:

$$Cov(z,x) \neq 0$$

Two Stage Least Squares (2SLS)

Two Stage Least Squares (2SLS)

- When we have more z's than needed, IV = two stage least squares (2SLS)
- ivreg() in R works the same
- Any variables not included before the "|" are instruments
- Any variables excluded after the "|" are endogenous x's
- e.g. est.iv <- ivreg(HOURSMOM ~ KIDCOUNT | SAMESEX)</pre>
- SAMESEX is the instrument; KIDCOUNT is the endogenous x

Why it's called 2SLS

- There are two "stages" of estimation:
 - 1. Regress endogenous x('s) on instrument(s)
 - 2. Regress y on x's and predicted values of the endogenous x(s)
- 1st stage is a "relevance" regression
- 2nd stage relies on the exogeneity assumption
- Don't compute 2SLS by hand (this will give you wrong SEs)

Weak Instruments

- So-called **weak instruments** cause a litany of problems
- biased estimates, large SEs are foremost
- How can you tell if your instrument(s) is (are) weak?
- If a single instrument, first-stage |t|> 3.2 $pprox \sqrt{10}$
- If multiple instruments, first-stage F > 10
- The above are rules of thumb from Stock and Yogo (2005)

Multiple instruments, multiple endogenous x's

- Things get slightly more complicated with multiple z's and endogenous x's
- To even proceed, we must satisfy the **order condition**:
- Must exclude at least as many z's from our equation as endogenous x's
- Example: Female labor supply (from last time)
- KIDCOUNT is endogenous x, SAMESEX is excluded z
- Could also come up with additional instruments for KIDCOUNT

- Influential paper: Card (1995)
- Use distance to college (as teenager) as instrument for education
- "I happened to grow up close to a college"
- "This makes me more likely to attend when college aged"
- Wooldridge data set card

```
df <- as tibble(card)</pre>
df %>% select(nearc2.nearc4,fatheduc,motheduc)
  %>% as.data.frame
  %>% stargazer(type='text')
Statistic N Mean St. Dev. Min Max
nearc2 3.010 0.441 0.497
nearc4 3.010 0.682 0.466 0
fatheduc 2,320 10.003 3.721 0 18
motheduc
         2.657 10.348 3.180
                                 18
```

OLS estimation:

```
est.ols <- lm(lwage ~ educ + exper + expersq + black + smsa + south + smsa66 + reg662 + reg663 + reg664 + reg665 + reg666 + reg667 + reg668 + reg669, data=df)
```

better code (create factor variable):

```
df %<>% mutate(reg66cat = case when(reg661==1 ~ "1".
                                        reg662 == 1 \sim "2".
                                        reg663==1 \sim "3".
                                        reg664==1 \sim "4".
                                        reg665==1 \sim "5".
                                        reg666==1 \sim "6".
                                        reg667==1 \sim "7".
                                        reg668==1 \sim "8".
                                        reg669==1 \sim "9").
               reg66cat = factor(reg66cat))
est.ols <- lm(lwage ~ educ + exper + expersg +
               black + smsa + south + smsa66 +
               reg66cat, data=df)
```

Example: Distance to college and returns to education OLS estimates:

```
stargazer(est.ols, keep=c("educ"), type="text")
                        Dependent variable:
                               lwage
educ
                             0.075***
                              (0.003)
Observations
                               3.010
R2
                               0.300
Adjusted R2
                               0.296
Residual Std. Error 0.372 (df = 2994)
F Statistic
                     85.476*** (df = 15: 2994)
Note:
                    *p<0.1: **p<0.05: ***p<0.01
```

Now estimate the first stage regression with two instruments and do F-test:

```
est.iv1 <- lm(educ ~ nearc2 + nearc4 + exper + expersq + black + smsa + south + smsa66 + reg66cat, data=0
```

```
linearHypothesis(est.iv1, c("nearc2", "nearc4"), vcov=hccm)
```

Note the use of robust standard errors (vcov=hccm)

First-stage results reveal F = 8.26 < 10, but maybe it's "close enough" to 10

```
Linear hypothesis test
Hypothesis:
nearc2 = 0
nearc4 = 0
Model 1: restricted model
Model 2: educ ~ nearc2 + nearc4 + exper + expersg + black + smsa +
    south + smsa66 + reg66cat
Note: Coefficient covariance matrix supplied.
  Res.Df Df F Pr(>F)
   2995
    2993 2 8.2562 0.0002656 ***
```

Now do the IV estimation:

```
est.iv <- ivreg(lwage ~ educ + exper + expersq + black + smsa + south + smsa66 + reg66cat | nearc2 + nearc4 + exper + expersq + black + smsa + south + smsa66 + reg66cat, data=df)
```

Example: Distance to college and returns to education OLS and IV estimates:

```
stargazer(est.ols, est.iv, keep=c("educ"), type="text")
                                          Dependent variable:
                                                 lwage
                                            0LS
                                                            instrumental
                                                              variable
                                            (1)
                                                                (2)
educ
                                         0.075***
                                                              0.157***
                                          (0.003)
                                                             (0.053)
Observations
                                           3.010
                                                               3.010
                                           0.300
                                                               0.170
Adjusted R2
                                           0.296
                                                               0.166
Residual Std. Error (df = 2994)
                                           0.372
                                                               0.405
F Statistic
                                 85.476*** (df = 15: 2994)
Note:
                                            *p<0.1: **p<0.05: ***p<0.01
```

Do the results make sense?

- The IV estimate of the returns to education that is double the OLS estimate
- Think about why this might be
- Are nearc2 and nearc4 exogenous?
- Whenever you use IV estimation, you must think deeply about the assumptions
- Finding truly valid IV's is really difficult

References

Card, David. 1995. "Using Geographic Variation in College Proximity to Estimate the Return to Schooling." In Aspects of Labour Market Behaviour: Essays in Honour of John Vanderkamp, edited by Louis N. Christofides, E. Kenneth Grant, and Robert Swidinsky. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 201–222.

Stock, James H. and Motohiro Yogo. 2005. "Testing for Weak Instruments in Linear IV Regression." In *Identification and Inference for Econometric Models: Essays in Honor of Thomas Rothenberg*, edited by D.W.K. Andrews and J.H. Stock. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 80–108.