

Assignment: Design and Application of a Machine Learning System for a Practical Problem

Set by: Dr. Vito De Feo (vito.defeo@essex.ac.uk)

Type of assignment: Individual

Distributed to students: Week 10

Submission deadline: Week 16 (see FASer for exact official date and time)

Submission mode: Electronic only via FASer

Assignment objectives

This document specifies the coursework assignment to be submitted by students taking CE802. Aims of this assignment are: a) to learn to identify machine learning techniques appropriate for a particular practical problem; and b) to undertake a comparative evaluation of several machine learning procedures when applied to the specific problem.

Assignment description

1. Pilot-Study Proposal

Imagine that you work as Machine Learning independent consultant, providing scientific advisory and consulting services to companies seeking to apply data analytics to their business activities.

The energy company AENERGY would like to predict if a customer is going to encounter difficulties in paying the (increasing!) electricity cost on the basis of a few features (demographic, heating system power, habits, family composition, etc.). A manager from AENERGY contacts you to investigate the feasibility of using machine learning to predict whether a customer is going to suffer from the increasing energy prices. The manager has access to historical data of recent customers and she offers to provide you with information about several features of the customers (e.g. age, family composition, heating system model, habits, etc.), and whether they are currently struggling with paying their energy bills or not.

In the first part of your assignment, you are asked to write a detailed proposal for a pilot study to investigate whether the machine learning procedures could be used to successfully solve this problem. Your report should discuss several aspects of the problem, including the following main points:

- the type of predictive task that must be performed (e.g., classification, regression, clustering, rules mining, ...);
- examples of possibly informative features that you would like to be provided with (what type of information AENERGY could obtain from/about the customers is likely to be a good predictor?);

- the learning procedure or procedures (e.g., DTs, k-NN, k-means, linear regression, Apriori, SVMs, ...) you would choose and the reason for your choice;
- how you would evaluate the performance of your system before deploying it.

You can assume that the manager has some knowledge of machine learning and you do not need to explain how the recommended learning method works. Simply discuss your recommendation and back it with sound arguments and/or references.

This document should consist of approximately 500–750 words of narrative (i.e. excluding references, pictures, and diagrams). Please report your word count on the title page. The document must be submitted in PDF format with file name `CE802_Pilot.pdf`.

2. *Comparative Study*

Thanks to the convincing arguments in your pilot-study proposal, AENERGY decides to collect the data that you suggested and to hire you to perform the proposed study. They provide you with a training set of historical data containing features of each customer and a label representing whether the customer belongs to the class of people who had problems with paying their energy bills during the last few months (the value TRUE in the data means "Yes, they suffered from the increasing energy prices"). These data are available in the `CE802_P2_Data.zip` archive available from the CE802 moodle page. In this part of the assignment, you are asked to perform the following two tasks.

a) Investigate the performance of a number of machine learning procedures on this dataset. Using the data in the file `CE802_P2_Data.csv` contained in the `CE802_P2_Data.zip` archive, you are required to perform a comparative study of the following machine learning procedures:

- a Decision Tree classifier;
- at least two more ML techniques to predict if a new customer is prone to suffer from energy rising prices.

You will notice that one of the features, is missing for some of the instances. You are therefore required to deal with the problem of missing features before you can proceed with the prediction step. As a baseline approach, you may try to discard the feature altogether and train on the remaining features. You are then encouraged to experiment with different imputation methods.

AENERGY uses Python internally and therefore Python with scikit-learn is the required language and machine learning library for the problem. For this task, you are expected to submit a Jupyter Notebook called `CE802_P2_Notebook.ipynb` containing the Python code used to perform the comparative analysis and produce the results, as well as the code used to perform the predictions described in task "b" below.

b) Prediction on a hold-out test set. An additional dataset, `CE802_P2_Test.csv`, is provided inside the `CE802_P2_Data.zip` archive. Binary outcomes are withheld for this test set (i.e. the "Class" column is empty). In this second task, you are required to produce class predictions of the records in the test set using one approach of your choice among those tested in task "a" (for example the one achieving the best performance). These data must not be used other than to test the algorithm trained on the training data.

As part of your submission, you should submit a new version of the file `CE802_P2_Test.csv` in CSV format with the missing class replaced with the output predictions obtained using the approach chosen. This second task will be marked based on the prediction accuracy on the test set.

3. Additional Comparative Study

Thanks to the good results obtained in the comparative study, the company AENERGY has deployed your system and is obtaining good results. Now they want to hire you for another job: to design another system to predict the variation in the annual expenditure that a customer is going to have due to the increase of the energy cost (in £/year, positive if next year the customer is going to spend more, negative if they are going to spend less).

They did a pilot study to assess the annual variation in the total expenditure for energy. They provide you with a training set of historical data containing features of each customer and a numerical value representing the variation in the annual expenditure for each customer included in the pilot study. This value may be negative because there were also customers who spent less money despite the rising costs of energy thanks to the correct use of a new and more efficient heating system. These data are available in the `CE802_P3_Data.zip` archive available from the CE802 moodle page. In this part of the assignment, you are asked to perform the following two tasks.

a) Investigate the performance of a number of machine learning procedures on this dataset. Using the data in the file `CE802_P3_Data.csv` contained in the `CE802_P3_Data.zip` archive, you are required to perform a comparative study of the following machine learning procedures:

- Linear Regression;
- at least two more ML techniques to predict the value of the variation in annual expenditure for each customer (in £/year).

The company AENERGY uses Python internally and therefore Python with scikit-learn is the required language and machine learning library for the problem. For this task, you are expected to submit a Jupyter Notebook called `CE802_P3_Notebook.ipynb` containing the Python code used to perform the comparative analysis and produce the results as well as the code used to perform the predictions described in task “b” below.

b) Prediction on a hold-out test set. An additional dataset, `CE802_P3_Test.csv`, is provided inside the `CE802_P3_Data.zip` archive. Target values are withheld for this test set (i.e. the “Value” column is empty). In this second task, you are required to produce predictions of the records in the test set using one approach of your choice among those tested in task “a” (for example the one achieving the best performance). These data must not be used other than to test the algorithm trained on the training data.

As part of your submission you should submit a new version of the file `CE802_P3_Test.csv` in CSV format with the missing “Value” column replaced with the output predictions obtained using the approach chosen. This second task will be marked based on the mean squared error on the test set.

4. Report on the Investigation

After conducting the studies in parts 2 and 3, you are asked to write a report containing an account of your investigation. There should be a brief summary of the experiments performed followed by one or more tables and/or graphs summarizing the performance of the different solutions. Any numerical data that you include should be in a suitable graphical or tabular form. The rest of the report should concentrate on your interpretation of the results and what they tell you about the relative strengths and weaknesses of the alternative methods when applied to the given data.

This document should consist of approximately 750–1500 words of narrative (i.e. excluding references, pictures, and diagrams). Please report your word count on the title page. The document must be submitted in PDF format with file name `CE802_Report.pdf`.

Suggested material

Scikit-learn online documentation and tutorials: <https://scikit-learn.org>.

Lecture notes on machine learning and Lab notes on `scikit-learn`, `pandas` (to read/write CSV files): see CE802 moodle page.

Submission

Your work must be submitted to the university's online coursework submission system at the address <https://faser.essex.ac.uk/> by the deadline given on the system. No other mode of submission is acceptable. You are strongly advised to submit a draft submission well before the deadline, and then update it up to the deadline.

You are required to submit the following files on FASER:

1. The file `CE802_Pilot.pdf` with the pilot study document exported to **PDF format** (no doc or docx, etc.);
2. The Jupyter Notebook file `CE802_P2_Notebook.ipynb` used to perform the comparative study in part 2a and also to make the predictions in part 2b;
3. The file with your predictions as described in part 2b;
4. The Jupyter Notebook file `CE802_P3_Notebook.ipynb` used to perform the comparative study in part 3a and also to make the predictions in part 3b;
5. The file with your predictions as described in part 3b;
6. The file `CE802_Report.pdf` with the comparative study report exported to **PDF format** (no doc or docx, etc.).

DO NOT submit a zip file but each file individually on FASER. If you submit any draft versions please mark them as draft before the submission and make sure that your final submission contains only the six files above, as shown in Figure 1.

DO NOT WAIT UNTIL CLOSE TO THE DEADLINE TO MAKE YOUR FIRST SUBMISSION. Difficulties with the submission system will not be accepted as an excuse for a missing submission.







Marking criteria

This assignment is worth 50% of the module mark and will be assessed based on:


- Pilot-Study Proposal
 - Correctness of identified type of predictive task 2%
 - Validity of examples of possibly informative features 3%
 - Appropriateness of learning procedure(s) suggested 3%
 - Correctness of evaluation methods suggested 4%
 - Overall clarity of presentation 4%
- Comparative Study – Task a
 - Correctness and completeness of investigation 11%
 - Quality of the code and comments 5%
- Comparative Study – Task b
 - Accuracy of predictions 15%

Final submission files

These will be submitted to your department. Click on a file for more options.

 CE802_Report.pdf Uploaded: 11/12/2020 12:03:29	
 CE802_P3_Test.csv Uploaded: 11/12/2020 12:03:14	
 CE802_P3_Notebook.ipynb Uploaded: 11/12/2020 12:02:55	<div>Download Details Make draft Rename Delete</div>
 CE802_P2_Test.csv Uploaded: 11/12/2020 12:02:07	
 CE802_P2_Notebook.ipynb Uploaded: 11/12/2020 11:59:24	
 CE802_Pilot.pdf Uploaded: 11/12/2020 11:59:02	

Draft files

 CE802_Report.pdf Uploaded: 04/02/2014 16:00:39

Deleted files

Only you can see these

 CE802_Pilot.pdf Uploaded: 25/01/2014 18:40:47
 ExampleWrongSubmission.zip Uploaded: 18/02/2014 12:46:45
 CE802_WrongName.pdf Uploaded: 14/01/2015 15:45:01

Figure 1: Example of how the submission should look like on FASER.

- Additional Comparative Study – Task a
 - Correctness and completeness of investigation 11%
 - Quality of the code and comments 5%
- Additional Comparative Study – Task b
 - Accuracy of predictions 15%
- Report on the Investigation
 - Quality of presentation of the methods followed in part 2 4%
 - Quality of presentation and discussion of results of part 2 4%
 - Quality of presentation of the methods followed in part 3 4%
 - Quality of presentation and discussion of results of part 3 4%
 - Justification of conclusions drawn 4%
- Others
 - Compliance with submission instructions (e.g., FASER submission and file formats) 2%

For each requirement, the following scale will be used to guide the marking. *Poor*: Nothing relevant submitted. *Unsatisfactory*: Work is seriously flawed, displaying inadequate knowledge, major lack of understanding, irrelevance or incoherence. *Borderline*: Significant gaps in knowledge but some understanding of fundamental concepts. *Fair*: Minor gaps in knowledge but reasonable understanding of fundamental concepts. *Good*: Substantially complete and correct knowledge but not going significantly beyond what was taught. *Very good*: Comprehensive knowledge demonstrating very good depth with clear insight into links between theory and practice. *Excellent*: Shows very good understanding supported by evidence that the student has gone beyond what was taught by extra study or creative thought. Work at the top-end of this range is of *Outstanding* quality.

Problems

If any general problems arise in the assignment, please start a thread on the moodle forum. For specific inquiries, please email vito.defeo@essex.ac.uk.

Late Submission and Plagiarism

Please refer to the Postgraduate Students' Handbook for details of the Departmental policy regarding late submission and University regulations regarding plagiarism. In particular, it is not acceptable to submit text, code or results that were developed by other people (including your fellow students). Please keep in mind that this is an **individual assessment** and therefore working jointly on any aspect of the submission is not allowed.

Revision 1.0
08/12/2022
Vito De Feo