



Requirement Engineering

Lecture 11: Traceability

Prof. Dr. Benjamin Leiding M.Sc. Anant Sujatanagarjuna





News

Course Evaluation

Link: Click Me







General Requirements Engineering Process

Overview

	Requiremen	Requirement	s Management		
Elicitation	Negotiation	Documentation	Validation	Change Management	Tracing





Lecture 11: Traceability

Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Classification
- 3. Documentation





INTRODUCTION





Traceability in a Nutshell

What happened when to a/the requirement(s)?





Definition - Requirements Traceability

"Requirements traceability refers to the ability to describe and follow the life of a requirement, in both a forwards and backwards direction (i.e., from its origins, through its development and specification, to its subsequent deployment and use, and through all periods of on-going refinement and iteration in any of these phases)."



Advantages of Traceable Requirements

- Change management → Which other artefacts are affected by a change?
- Process improvements → Trace problems in the development process back to their cause
- Reuse
 - Identify development artefacts associated with a requirement → If requirement is reused, the development artefact might also be reused
- Accountability
 - Calculate/estimate the development effort to implement a requirement
- Maintenance
 - Simplified cause-effect analysis, impact analysis, etc.





Advantages of Traceable Requirements

- Verifiability
 - Easy to verify whether a requirement has been implemented or not
- Identification of gold-plated solutions in the system
 - Gold-plated = unnecessary attention to details
 - Reverse function to "verifiability" → Checks for each function whether it implements a requirement
- Identification of gold-plated solutions in the requirements
 - Tracing requirements to their origin
 - Analysis whether a requirement contributes to a goal






Purpose-driven Tracing

- Extensive tracing is expensive
- Purpose-driven!
- Do not trace everything
- Trace according to needs → Too much/little information (sufficient level of detail)



State Changes of a Requirement









CLASSIFICATION



Pre- and Post-Traceability






Overview

Pre-requirements-specification (pre-RS) traceability

Post-requirements-specification (post-RS) traceability


- Traceability among requirements
 - E.g., requirement A refines/generalized/replaces requirement B






Classes of Traceability Relationships

- 1 Condition
- 2 Content
- 3 Abstraction
- 4 Evolution
- 5 Miscellaneous



Traceability Relationships - Condition

- constraint:
 - E.g., artefact **A** defines a constraint on artefact **B**
- precondition:
 - E.g., artefact A defines a condition that must be fulfilled before artefact B can be realized



Traceability Relationships - Content

- similar:
 - Two associated artefacts are similar in content
- compares:
 - Artefact A_1 represents the result of a comparison of the artefacts $A_2 \dots A_n$
- contradicts:
 - Two artefacts cannot be realized together
- conflicts:
 - Artefact A may hinder (but not necessarily exclude) the realization of artefact B



Traceability Relationships - Abstraction

classifies:

- Artefact **A** classifies a set of artefacts $\mathbf{B_1}$... $\mathbf{B_n} \rightarrow \text{e.g.}$, a goal classifies a set of solution-oriented requirements

aggregates:

- Artefact **A** is an aggregation of a set of other artefacts $\mathbf{B_1} \dots \mathbf{B_n}$

generalizes:

 Artefact A is a generalization of (one or) several other artefacts → e.g., an abstract scenario (e.g., a type scenario) is a generalization of a set of more concrete scenarios (e.g., instance scenarios)





Traceability Relationships - Evolution

- replaces:
 - Artefact B replaces artefact A
- based on:
 - Artefact A has influenced the definition of artefact B
- formalizes:
 - Artefact A is a formal documentation of artefact B → e.g., relate a solutionoriented requirements model to a set of textual requirements

- refines:
 - Artefact A refines artefact B
- derived:
 - Artefact A was derived based on (a set of) other artefact(s)



Traceability Relationships - Miscellaneous

example of:

 Artefact A contains exemplary aspects of a set of artefacts → e.g., relates an interaction scenario to a set of solution-oriented requirements to document an exemplary sequence of interactions that a system implementing the solution-oriented requirements will support

verifies:

Test artefact A verifies requirement artefact B

rationale:

 Artefact A justifies artefact B → e.g., text fragment contains justification for the existence of a scenario



Traceability Relationships - Miscellaneous

- responsible for:
 - Stakeholder (or role) A is responsible for the associated artefact B
- background:
 - Assign background information to a requirement artefact → e.g., standardization document relating to a solution-oriented requirement
- comment:
 - Relates any kind of information to a requirements artefact use sparingly!





DOCUMENTATION






Overview

- 1 Textual references
- 2 Hyperlinks
- 3 Traceability models
- 4 Matrix
- 5 Graph



Textual References

R2-17: For selecting the trip destination, the navigation system shall display the last ten trip destinations. [based_on→R1-17] [...]



Hyperlinks

R2-17: For selecting the trip destination, the navigation system shall display the last ten trip destinations.

hyperlink (type: conflicts)


R3-11: The system shall not store any information about the destinations of previous trips







Textual References & Hyperlinks

- Simple and easy
- Links are textually part of the requirements themselves
- Disadvantages:
 - Maintenance is time-consuming and tedious
 - Bidirectionality is difficult to achieve/maintain



Traceability Models





Traceability Matrix

Target artefacts

Source artefacts

satisfies	Goal 1	Goal 2	Goal 3	Goal 4	Goal 5
Scenario 1					
Scenario 2					
Scenario 3			Traceability		
Scenario 4			relationships		
Scenario 5					



Traceability Matrix

Target artefacts

Source artefacts

	Goal 1	Goal 2	Goal 3	Goal 4	Goal 5
Scenario 1	satisfies				
Scenario 2	based_on	conflicts		satisfies	
Scenario 3		satisfies			
Scenario 4	conflicts		satisfies		satisfies
Scenario 5		satisfies		based_on	





Traceability Matrix

- Documents traceability in a matrix
- Rows represent the initial artefact
- Columns represent the target artefact
 - Sources of requirements
 - Development artefacts
 - Requirements







Traceability Matrix

- Advantages:
 - Good overview
 - Separation → One matrix per traceability aspect
- Disadvantages:
 - Difficult to maintain (might be very large)
 - Multiple matrices required





Documentation Traceability Graph








Traceability Graph

- Graphical notation for traceability
- Nodes represent development artefacts
- Edges represent traceability relations
- Infeasible to create and maintain manually → Requires tool support





SUMMARY






Summary

- Analysis and understanding of the relations among
 - Requirements
 - Requirements sources
 - Development artefacts
- Supports other activities
 - Especially useful for maintenance
 - E.g., analyze impact of (requirement) changes
- Good traceability is difficult to maintain
 - Tool support might help





Questions?