



The Limits to Growth: Sustainability and the Circular Economy

Lecture 5: Limits to Growth and Planetary Boundaries

Prof. Dr. Benjamin Leiding M.A. Theresa Sommer M.Sc. Anant Sujatanagarjuna






License

- This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, please refer to https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/.
- Updated versions of these slides will be available in our <u>Github repository</u>.





UPDATES



COP28 - COP President → Climate Denial

Cop28 president says there is 'no science' behind demands for phase-out of fossil fuels

Exclusive: UAE's Sultan Al Jaber says phase-out of coal, oil and gas would take world 'back into caves'

Cop28 live - latest updates



■ Sultan Al Jaber: 'There is no science out there that says that the phase-out of fossil fuel is what's going to achieve 1.5C.' Photograph: Anadolu/Getty Images





INTRODUCTION





Introduction Finite Systems - Sandbox / Playground









Lotka-Volterra Equations (Predator-Prey Equations)






Lotka-Volterra Equations (Predator-Prey Equations)







The Limits to Growth - 1972








Introduction The Limits to Growth

"If the present growth trends in world population, industrialization, pollution, food production, and resource depletion continue unchanged, the limits to growth on this planet will be reached sometime within the next one hundred years.

The most probable result will be a rather **sudden and uncontrollable decline in both population and industrial capacity.**"




The Limits to Growth - World3 Standard Run









The Limits to Growth - 1972 / 2004









The Limits to Growth - World3 Model

Click Me

Click Me





PLANETARY BOUNDARIES





Planetary Boundaries Concept

- First proposed by researchers led by Johan Rockström from the Stockholm Resilience Centre in 2009
- Quantitative planetary boundaries within which future generations can continue to exist
 - Based on nine indicators that are of high importance for the stability and resilience of the Earth system





Planetary Boundaries Concept


- First proposed by researchers led by Johan Rockström from the Stockholm Resilience Centre in 2009
- Quantitative planetary boundaries within which future generations can continue to exist
 - Based on nine indicators that are of high importance for the stability and resilience of the Earth system
- Crossing these boundaries increases uncertainties about humanity's future and the risk of severe or irreversible environmental changes





Planetary Boundaries

Concept




Source: Stockholm Resilience Centre, based on analysis in Persson et al. 2022 and Steffen et al. 2015.





Planetary Boundaries

2022







WORLD3 MODEL





- Developed in the 1960s at MIT by Jay Forrester
- Methodology and mathematical modeling technique
- Used to understand the nonlinear behaviour of complex systems over time
 - → e.g., Forrester created a model called World2





- System Dynamics modeling starts with defining levels (stocks) and their rates (flows)
- "Laundry lists" specify the set of influencing factors for each of the rate variables






- System Dynamics modeling starts with defining levels (stocks) and their rates (flows)
- "Laundry lists" specify the set of influencing factors for each of the rate variables
- Levels:
 - Population (Inflows: Birth rate | Outflows: Death rate)
 - Money (Inflows: Income | Outflows: Expenses)



- System Dynamics modeling starts with defining levels (stocks) and their rates (flows)
- "Laundry lists" specify the set of influencing factors for each of the rate variables
- Levels:
 - Population (Inflows: Birth rate | Outflows: Death rate)
 - Money (Inflows: Income | Outflows: Expenses)
- Laundry list for "Birth rate":
 - Population
 - Standard of living
 - Food Quality
 - Food Quantity
 - Education
 - Contraceptives






History - World2 to World3

- The Club of Rome (non-governmental organization NGO) invites Forrester to apply his ideas to the global economy and ecosystem → declines and proceeds with the project without the Club of Rome.
- Dennis Meadows (colleague and former student of Forrester) organizes the project for The Club of Rome.
- 17 researchers spend a year refining and enlarging the Forrester World2 model → World3.
- World3 is considerably more complex and more powerful





World3 Model Components





Institute for Software and Systems Engineering

World3

Overview

- ca. 150 equations that govern the model
- 5 main sectors
 - Population
 - Agriculture
 - Industry
 - Resources
 - Pollution
- Covers the period from 1900 to 2100
- Written in a language called DYNAMO





World3 Population

- People
- Control mechanisms:
 - Birth rates
 - Death rates
 - Maturation → carrying people from one age category to the next





World3 Agriculture

- Arable land
- Control mechanisms:
 - Cultivation of new land
 - Farmland lost due to, e.g., erosion and urban development






World3 Industry

- Capital (in USD) representing factories or other productive facilities
- Control mechanisms:
 - Investment input / inflow
 - Investment outflow / deprecation





Forrester's Dilemma



"One can forecast future conditions in the region where action is not effective, and one can have influence in the region where forecasting is not reliable." - Forrester, 2007





World3 Simulation Results

- Varies scenarios based on different assumptions
- 4 popular scenarios:







World3 Simulation Results

- Varies scenarios based on different assumptions
- 4 popular scenarios:
 - Business-as-usual (BAU)






World3 Standard Run - Business-as-Usual (BAU)








World3 Standard Run - Business-as-Usual (BAU)



→ Collapse due to natural resource depletion






World3 Simulation Results


- Varies scenarios based on different assumptions
- 4 popular scenarios:
 - Business-as-usual (BAU)
 - Business-as-usual2 (BAU2) → double the natural resources of BAU






World3

Standard Run - Business-as-Usual2 (BAU2)








World3

Standard Run - Business-as-Usual2 (BAU2)




→ Collapse due to pollution (climate change equivalent)







World3 Simulation Results

- Varies scenarios based on different assumptions
- 4 popular scenarios:
 - Business-as-usual (BAU)
 - Business-as-usual2 (BAU2) → double the natural resources of BAU
 - Comprehensive Technology (CT) → BAU2 + exceptionally high technological development and adoption rates




World3 Comprehensive Technology (CT)






World3

Comprehensive Technology (CT)



→ Rising costs for technology eventually causes declines, but no collapse







World3 Simulation Results

- Varies scenarios based on different assumptions
- 4 popular scenarios:
 - Business-as-usual (BAU)
 - Business-as-usual2 (BAU2) → double the natural resources of BAU
 - Comprehensive Technology (CT) → BAU2 + exceptionally high technological development and adoption rates
 - Stabilized World (SW) → CT + changes in societal values and priorities







World3 Stabilized World (SW)







World3 Stabilized World (SW)



→ Population stabilizes in the twenty-first century, as does human welfare on a high level





World3 Simulation Results

- Varies scenarios based on different assumptions
- 4 popular scenarios:
 - Business-as-usual (BAU)
 - Business-as-usual2 (BAU2) → double the natural resources of BAU
 - Comprehensive Technology (CT) → BAU2 + exceptionally high technological development and adoption rates
 - Stabilized Wolrd (SW) → CT + changes in societal values and priorities





World3

Were are we now?

- So which of the 4 scenarios is closest to our current situation?
 - a) BAU
 - b) BAU2
 - c) CT
 - d) SW






World3 Sustainability

- World3 indicates that we are already consuming resources at a faster pace than the planet is able to re-grow/generate them
- Standard of living is not sustainable
- Relieving limiting factors is not a solutions → Instead, it is an accelerator towards disaster
- Preventing the worst-case scenario by reducing consumption





CRITICISM






Criticism

- Model criticized by its creators and others
- There is even a complete book dedicated to criticize the model → Models of Doom: A
 Critique of the Limits to Growth.
 - Fun fact: *Models of Doom* is longer than the book it criticizes (*Limits to Growth*)
- 1972 book did not contain the equations governing the World3 model
- Subsequently released in a further book in 1974 → Dynamics of Growth in a Finite World






Criticism


- Heavily criticized by economists → The model questions the fairytale of eternal economic growth
- Aggregated variables → one resource, one food, one pollutant, one population
- No geographic structure, no social distinctions. "Average food per capita."
- Lack of statistical analysis no error bars
- Accused of being too complex and oversimplification





CONCLUSION






Conclusion

- Planetary Boundaries
- World3 (1972)→ Modeling the world using System Dynamics
- 4 commonly used scenarios → BAU, BAU2, CT and SW
- SW → Goal
- Widespread criticism but the overall message of the World3 model still holds → unsustainable behavior of humans will lead to a collapse of society





EXERCISE E05





Exercise E05 World3

- Have a look at the 4 World3 scenarios that we discussed in the lecture (BAU, BAU2, CT, SW) → Note: Have a look at the links to World3 web version and play around with the model and learn about it in more detail.
- What actions (which policies) could we (humans/politicians) act upon to move the simulation results of the World3 model towards the SW scenario.
- Identify 3 proposals and describe each of them in 3 or more sentences.
- Submit the exercise according to the instructions in the exercise sheet.





Additional Resources

- Meadows (1972) The Limits to Growth.
- Meadows, Randers and Meadows (2004) Limits to Growth The 30-Year Update.
- D. L. Meadows, W. W. Behrens (1974) Dynamics of Growth in a Finite World.
- H. S. D. Cole, Christopher Freeman (1973). *Models of Doom: A Critique of the Limits to Growth*.
- Brian Hayes (2012) Computation and the Human Condition (Harvard SEAS) Link





Questions?