



# The Limits to Growth: Sustainability and the Circular Economy

**Lecture 5: Limits to Growth and Planetary Boundaries** 

Prof. Dr. Benjamin Leiding M.Sc. Anant Sujatanagarjuna M.Sc. Nelly Nicaise Nyeck Mbialeu





### License

- This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, please refer to <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/</a>.
- Updated versions of these slides will be available in our <u>Github repository</u>.





## **INTRODUCTION**






## **Introduction Finite Systems - Sandbox / Playground**







### **Earth Overshoot Day**




(Planet's Biocapacity / Humanity's Ecological Footprint) x 365 = Earth Overshoot Day






Lotka-Volterra Equations (Predator-Prey Equations)






## **Lotka-Volterra Equations (Predator-Prey Equations)**







#### The Limits to Growth - 1972








## **Introduction The Limits to Growth**

"If the present growth trends in world population, industrialization, pollution, food production, and resource depletion continue unchanged, the limits to growth on this planet will be reached sometime within the next one hundred years.

The most probable result will be a rather **sudden and uncontrollable decline in both population and industrial capacity.**"




## The Limits to Growth - World3 Standard Run








## **The Limits to Growth - 1972 / 2004**









### The Limits to Growth - World3 Model

Click Me

Click Me





## **PLANETARY BOUNDARIES**






## **Planetary Boundaries Concept**

- First proposed by researchers led by Johan Rockström from the Stockholm Resilience Centre in 2009
- Quantitative planetary boundaries within which future generations can continue to exist
  - Based on nine indicators that are of high importance for the stability and resilience of the Earth system






## **Planetary Boundaries Concept**

- First proposed by researchers led by Johan Rockström from the Stockholm Resilience Centre in 2009
- Quantitative planetary boundaries within which future generations can continue to exist
  - Based on nine indicators that are of high importance for the stability and resilience of the Earth system
- Crossing these boundaries increases uncertainties about humanity's future and the risk of severe or irreversible environmental changes






**Concept** 




Source: Stockholm Resilience Centre, based on analysis in Persson et al. 2022 and Steffen et al. 2015.







7 boundaries assessed, 3 crossed




2023 CLIMATE CHANGE **NOVEL ENTITIES** concentration BIOSPHERE STRATOS PHERIC OZONE INTEGRITY ATMOSPHERIC AEROSOL LAND-SYSTEM LOADING Freshwater use (Blue water) OCEAN ACIDIFICATION FRESHWATER CHANGE BIOGEOCHEMICAL 9 boundaries assessed,

6 crossed

The evolution of the planetary boundaries framework. Licenced under CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 (Credit: Azote for Stockholm Resilience Centre, Stockholm University. Based on Richardson et al. 2023, Steffen et al. 2015, and Rockström et al. 2009)




- All boundaries are finally assessed
- Six boundaries are now transgressed and pressure is increasing on all boundary processes → only exeption is the ozone depletion



Azote for Stockholm Resilience Centre, based on analysis in Richardson et al 2023 – CC BY-NC-ND 3.0.



#### **PLANETARY HEALTH AT A GLANCE**



### **PLANETARY BOUNDARY PROCESSES**



Stratospheric Ozone Depletion

Ocean Acidification

**(O)** 

Freshwater Change **Green Water** 

Freshwater Change Blue Water

Land System Change

Climate Change CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration

**Climate Change** Radiative Forcing

Modification of Biogeochemical Flows

Phosphorus Cycle

Modification of Biogeochemical Flows Nitrogen Cycle

Introduction of Novel Entities

Change in Biosphere Integrity

**Functional Integrity** 

Change in Biosphere Integrity **Genetic Diversity** 

**<<< ( O**>>> **O**>>> **O**>>> The Limits to Growth - TU Clausthal

L. Caesar\*, B. Sakschewski\*, L. S. Andersen, T. Beringer, J. Braun, D. Dennis, D. Gerten, A. Heilemann, J. Kaiser, N.H. Kitzmann, S. Loriani, W. Lucht, J. Ludescher, M. Martin, S. Mathesius, A. Paolucci, S. te Wierik, J. Rockström, 2024, Planetary Health Check Report 2024. Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany - CC BY 4.0.





## **WORLD3 MODEL**





- Developed in the 1960s at MIT by Jay Forrester
- Methodology and mathematical modeling technique
- Used to understand the nonlinear behaviour of complex systems over time
  - → e.g., Forrester created a model called World2





- System Dynamics modeling starts with defining levels (stocks) and their rates (flows)
- "Laundry lists" specify the set of influencing factors for each of the rate variables





- System Dynamics modeling starts with defining levels (stocks) and their rates (flows)
- "Laundry lists" specify the set of influencing factors for each of the rate variables
- Levels:
  - Population (Inflows: Birth rate | Outflows: Death rate)
  - Money (Inflows: Income | Outflows: Expenses)




- System Dynamics modeling starts with defining levels (stocks) and their rates (flows)
- "Laundry lists" specify the set of influencing factors for each of the rate variables
- Levels:
  - Population (Inflows: Birth rate | Outflows: Death rate)
  - Money (Inflows: Income | Outflows: Expenses)
- Laundry list for "Birth rate":
  - Population
  - Standard of living
  - Food Quality
  - Food Quantity
  - Education
  - Contraceptives




## **History - World2 to World3**

- The Club of Rome (non-governmental organization NGO) invites Forrester to apply his ideas to the global economy and ecosystem → declines and proceeds with the project without the Club of Rome.
- Dennis Meadows (colleague and former student of Forrester) organizes the project for The Club of Rome.
- 17 researchers spend a year refining and enlarging the Forrester World2 model → World3.
- World3 is considerably more complex and more powerful





## **World3 Model Components**





## Institute for Software and Systems Engineering

## World3

#### **Overview**

- ca. 150 equations that govern the model
- 5 main sectors
  - Population
  - Agriculture
  - Industry
  - Resources
  - Pollution
- Covers the period from 1900 to 2100
- Written in a language called DYNAMO





## **World3 Population**

- People
- Control mechanisms:
  - Birth rates
  - Death rates
  - Maturation → carrying people from one age category to the next





## World3 Agriculture

- Arable land
- Control mechanisms:
  - Cultivation of new land
  - Farmland lost due to, e.g., erosion and urban development






## World3 Industry

- Capital (in USD) representing factories or other productive facilities
- Control mechanisms:
  - Investment input / inflow
  - Investment outflow / deprecation



#### Forrester's Dilemma



"One can forecast future conditions in the region where action is not effective, and one can have influence in the region where forecasting is not reliable." - Forrester, 2007





## **World3 Simulation Results**

- Various scenarios based on different assumptions
- 4 popular scenarios:







## **World3 Simulation Results**

- Various scenarios based on different assumptions
- 4 popular scenarios:
  - Business-as-usual (BAU)






**World3 Standard Run - Business-as-Usual (BAU)** 







**World3 Standard Run - Business-as-Usual (BAU)** 



→ Collapse due to natural resource depletion





## **World3 Simulation Results**


- Various scenarios based on different assumptions
- 4 popular scenarios:
  - Business-as-usual (BAU)
  - Business-as-usual2 (BAU2) → double the natural resources of BAU






World3

#### Standard Run - Business-as-Usual2 (BAU2)








#### World3

#### Standard Run - Business-as-Usual2 (BAU2)



→ Collapse due to pollution (climate change equivalent)







## **World3 Simulation Results**


- Various scenarios based on different assumptions
- 4 popular scenarios:
  - Business-as-usual (BAU)
  - Business-as-usual2 (BAU2) → double the natural resources of BAU
  - Comprehensive Technology (CT) → BAU2 + exceptionally high technological development and adoption rates






# World3 Comprehensive Technology (CT)





#### World3

#### **Comprehensive Technology (CT)**



→ Rising costs for technology eventually causes declines, but no collapse







### **World3 Simulation Results**

- Various scenarios based on different assumptions
- 4 popular scenarios:
  - Business-as-usual (BAU)
  - Business-as-usual2 (BAU2) → double the natural resources of BAU
  - Comprehensive Technology (CT) → BAU2 + exceptionally high technological development and adoption rates
  - Stabilized World (SW) → CT + changes in societal values and priorities







# World3 Stabilized World (SW)







# World3 Stabilized World (SW)



→ Population stabilizes in the twenty-first century, as does human welfare on a high level





## **World3 Simulation Results**

- Various scenarios based on different assumptions
- 4 popular scenarios:
  - Business-as-usual (BAU)
  - Business-as-usual2 (BAU2) → double the natural resources of BAU
  - Comprehensive Technology (CT) → BAU2 + exceptionally high technological development and adoption rates
  - Stabilized Wolrd (SW) → CT + changes in societal values and priorities





# **World3 Sustainability**

- World3 indicates that we are already consuming resources at a faster pace than the planet is able to re-grow/generate them
- Standard of living is not sustainable
- Relieving limiting factors is not a solutions → Instead, it is an accelerator towards disaster
- Preventing the worst-case scenario by reducing consumption





#### World3

#### Where are we now?

- So which of the 4 scenarios is closest to our current situation?
  - a) BAU
  - b) BAU2
  - c) CT
  - d) SW





#### **CRITICISM**





#### **Criticism**

- Model criticized by its creators and others
- There is even a complete book dedicated to criticize the model → Models of Doom: A
   Critique of the Limits to Growth.
  - Fun fact: *Models of Doom* is longer than the book it criticizes (*Limits to Growth*)
- 1972 book did not contain the equations governing the World3 model
- Subsequently released in a further book in 1974 → Dynamics of Growth in a Finite World



#### **Criticism**

- Heavily criticized by economists → The model questions the fairytale of eternal economic growth
- Aggregated variables → one resource, one food, one pollutant, one population
- No geographic structure, no social distinctions. "Average food per capita."
- Lack of statistical analysis no error bars
- Accused of being too complex and oversimplification





#### **CONCLUSION**






#### **Conclusion**

- Planetary Boundaries
- World3 (1972)→ Modeling the world using System Dynamics
- 4 commonly used scenarios → BAU, BAU2, CT and SW
- SW → Goal
- Widespread criticism but the overall message of the World3 model still holds → unsustainable behavior of humans will lead to a collapse of society





**EXERCISE E04** 





### Exercise E04 World3

- Have a look at the 4 World3 scenarios that we discussed in the lecture (BAU, BAU2, CT, SW) → Note: Have a look at the links to World3 web version and play around with the model and learn about it in more detail.
- What actions (which policies) could we (humans/politicians) act upon to move the simulation results of the World3 model towards the SW scenario.
- Identify 3 proposals and describe each of them in 3 or more sentences.
- Submit the exercise according to the instructions in the <u>exercise sheet</u>.



#### **Additional Resources**

- Meadows (1972) The Limits to Growth.
- Meadows, Randers and Meadows (2004) Limits to Growth The 30-Year Update.
- D. L. Meadows, W. W. Behrens (1974) Dynamics of Growth in a Finite World.
- H. S. D. Cole, Christopher Freeman (1973). *Models of Doom: A Critique of the Limits to Growth*.
- Planetary Boundaries Stockholm Resilience Center <u>Link</u>
- Planetary Health Check Report 2024. Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research Link
- Brian Hayes (2012) Computation and the Human Condition (Harvard SEAS) Link





### **Questions?**