# Discrete Mathematics

# Max Kasperowski

# December 14, 2017

# Contents

1	Logic												2								
	1.1	Proposi	tional Logic .																		2
		1.1.1	Definitions .																		2
		1.1.2	Properties																		3
		1.1.3	Equivalence P	roof																	3
	1.2	Predica	te Logic																		4
		1.2.1	Definitions .																		4
		1.2.2	Properties																		4
	1.3	Logical	Proofs																		5
		1.3.1	Rules of Infere	ence																	5
		1.3.2	nference Pro	of .																	5
2	Set	Theory																			6
	2.1	Notatio	n																		6
		2.1.1	Describing Sel	s.																	6
		2.1.2	Special Sets .																		6

# 1 Logic

## 1.1 Propositional Logic—Zeroth Order Logic

In propositional logic, propositions are denoted by letters (p,q) and are formed by connecting other propositions using logical connectives. Propositions can either be true (T) or false (F).

**Logical Connectives** The logical connectives listed below are the basic connectives available in propositional logic in order of their precedence. Below are the truthtables corresponding to each of the connectives.

- 1. ¬, not
- 2.  $\wedge$ , and,  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n p_i$
- 3.  $\vee$ , or,  $\bigvee_{i=1}^n p_i$
- 4.  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\Rightarrow$ , implies (only if) defined as:  $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$
- 5.  $\leftrightarrow$ ,  $\Leftrightarrow$ , is equivalent to (if and only if, iff) defined as:  $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \to q) \land (q \to p)$

# Negation p ¬ p T F T F T F

Logical And									
рq	p∧q								
ТТ	TTT								
ΤF	TFF								
FT	FFT								
FF	FFF								

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \textbf{Implication} \\ \hline p \ q & p \rightarrow q \\ \hline T \ T & T \ T \\ T \ F & T \ F \\ F \ T & F \ T \\ F \ F & F \ T \\ \end{array}$$

#### 1.1.1 Definitions

**Converse, Contrapositive, Inverse** When given the proposition  $p \to q$ ,  $q \to p$  is its converse,  $\neg q \to \neg p$  is its contrapositive and  $\neg p \to \neg q$  is its inverse. The contrapositive is equivalent to the original proposition and the converse and inverse are also equivalent.

**Tautology** A proposition that is always true  $(p \lor \neg p)$ .

**Contradiction** A proposition that is always false  $(p \land \neg p)$ .

**Contingency** A proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.

 $\textbf{Logical Equivalence} \quad p \text{ and } q \text{ are logically equivalent if } p \leftrightarrow q \text{ is a tautology}. \text{ The notation for equivalence is typically } \equiv.$ 

2

#### 1.1.2 Properties

#### De Morgan's Laws

$$\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

#### **Commutative Laws**

$$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$$

$$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$$

## **Identity Laws**

$$p \wedge T \equiv p$$
$$p \vee F \equiv p$$

#### **Associative Laws**

$$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$$

#### **Domination Laws**

$$p \wedge F \equiv F$$
$$p \vee T \equiv T$$

$$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$$

## Distributive Laws

#### **Idempotent Laws**

$$p \wedge p \equiv p$$
$$p \vee p \equiv p$$

$$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$$

$$p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$$

# Negation Laws

$$p \land \neg p \equiv F$$
$$p \lor \neg p \equiv T$$

# Absorption Laws

$$p\vee (p\wedge q)\equiv p$$

$$p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$$

# 1.1.3 Equivalence Proof

This is an example of how to perform an equivalence proof. The aim is to show that  $\neg(p \lor (\neg p \land q))$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg p \land \neg q$ . We can prove this by forming a series of logical equivalences.

$$\begin{array}{l} \neg(p\vee(\neg p\wedge q))\equiv \neg p\wedge\neg(\neg p\wedge q) & 2^{\rm nd}\ {\rm De\ Morgan's\ law} \\ \neg p\wedge\neg(\neg p\wedge q)\equiv \neg p\wedge(p\vee\neg q) & 1^{\rm st}\ {\rm De\ Morgan's\ law} \\ \neg p\wedge(p\vee\neg q)\equiv (\neg p\wedge p)\vee(\neg p\wedge\neg q) & {\rm Associative\ law} \\ (\neg p\wedge p)\vee(\neg p\wedge\neg q)\equiv F\vee(\neg p\wedge\neg q) & {\rm Negation\ law} \\ F\vee(\neg p\wedge\neg q)\equiv \neg p\wedge\neg q & {\rm Identity\ law} \end{array}$$

#### 1.2 Predicate Logic —First Order Logic

Predicate logic uses quantified variables over non-logical objects and allows the use of sentences that contain variables. This allows a generalisation of propositions for a set of variables from a domain.

#### 1.2.1 Definitions

**Predicates** A predicate is a generalisation of propositions when the variable x is replaced by a specific element from its domain. P(x) becomes a proposition. When no other domain is specified the domain is U.

**Quantifiers** Quatifiers are used to express that a proposition is true for all elements of the domain and that there exists some element in the domain for which it is true. They also have the highest precedence among the logical operators.

Universal quantifier  $\forall x P(x)$  P(x) is true for every x in U Existential quantifier  $\exists x P(x)$  P(x) is true for some x in U

#### 1.2.2 Properties

**Uniqueness Quantifier** The uniqueness quantifier is a commonly used quantifier to express that there is only one x for which P(x) is true. It is usually written as  $\exists !$  or  $\exists_1$ .

$$\exists_1 x P(x) \equiv \exists x (P(x) \land \forall y (P(y) \rightarrow y = x))$$

**De Morgan's Laws** De Morgan's laws for quantifiers state that P(x) is not true for all x if and only if there exists an x for which P(x) is false and furthermore that if P(x) is false for all x if and only if there does not exist an x for which P(x) is true.

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$
$$\forall x \neg P(x) \equiv \neg \exists x P(x)$$

# 1.3 Logical Proofs

Using logical inference it is possible to build an argument given a set of premises to reach a logical conclusion. An argument is valid if truth of all premises  $p_i$  implies that the conclusion q is also true.

$$\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} p_i\right) \to q \equiv T$$

#### 1.3.1 Rules of Inference

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Modus Ponens} \\ p \rightarrow q \\ \hline \frac{p}{\ddots q} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Addition} \\ \vdots \\ p \lor q \end{array}$	Universal Instantiation $ \frac{\forall x P(x)}{P(c)} $ $P(c)$
Modus Tollens $p  o q$ $q$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Simplification} \\ \frac{p \wedge q}{p} & \frac{p \wedge q}{p} \\ \vdots \end{array}$	Universal Generalisation $P(c)$ for an arbitrary $c$ $\therefore \forall x P(x)$
$\therefore \neg \overline{p}$ Hypothetical Syllogism $p \rightarrow q$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Conjunction} \\ p \end{array}$	Existential Instantiation
$\frac{q \to r}{p \to r}$ $\therefore p \to r$	$\therefore \frac{q}{p \wedge q}$	Existential Generalisation $P(c)$ for some element $c$ $\therefore \overline{\exists x P(x)}$
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Disjunctive Syllogism} \\ p \lor q \\ \hline \begin{matrix} \neg p \\ \hline \end{matrix} \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} \end{matrix} \\ \end{matrix} \\ \vdots \\ \begin{matrix} \end{matrix} \\ \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} \end{matrix} \\ \end{matrix} \\$	$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{Resolution} \\ \neg p \lor r \\ \\ \therefore \frac{p \lor q}{q \lor r} \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Universal Modus Ponens} \\ \forall x (P(x) \to Q(x)) \\ P(a) \text{ for a particular element } a \\ \therefore \overline{Q}(a) \end{array}$

## 1.3.2 Inference Proof

All men are mortal. Socrates is a man. Prove that Socrates is mortal.

 $P(x)\equiv T$  means that x is a person.  $M(x)\equiv T$  means that x is mortal. s is the particular element Socrates.

1. 
$$\forall x P(x) \to M(x)$$
 premise 
2.  $P(s)$  premise 
3.  $P(s) \to M(s)$  UI (1) 
4.  $M(s)$  Modus Ponens, (2) & (3)

## 2 Set Theory

A set is a collection of distinct elements without duplicates and the order of elements is unimportant.

#### 2.1 Notation

**Containment**  $a \in A$  denotes that a is an element of the set A, whereas  $a \notin A$  denotes that a is not contained in A. A set may contain other sets.

#### 2.1.1 Describing Sets

**Roster Method** List all elements contained in the set.  $S=\{a,b,c,d\}$  is equivalent to both  $S=\{d,c,a,b\}$  and also  $S=\{a,a,b,c,d,d\}$ . When the pattern is clear, (...) may be used as in  $S=\{a,b,c,\ldots,z\}$ .

**Set-Builder Method** Specify the proposition that all members must satisfy.  $S=\{x|P(x)\}$  denotes that all elements x in S must satisfy the proposition P(x).

**Interval Notation** The elements in a set can be constrained by an open or closed interval.  $[a,b]=\{x|a\leq x\leq b\}$  represents the closed interval between a and b.  $(a,b)=\{x|a< x< b\}$  represents the open interval between a and b. Additionally combinations like [a,b) and (a,b] are possible.

#### 2.1.2 Special Sets

**Universal Set** The universal set, denoted by U, contains everything currently under consideration.

**Empty Set** The empty set is the set that contains no elements. It is denoted by  $\varnothing$ . It is important to note that  $\varnothing=\{\}$ , but  $\varnothing\neq\{\varnothing\}$ . A set containing the empty set is not the equal to the empty set.

**Singleton** A singleton is a set containing exactly one element.