Multivariable Fractional Polynomials with Extensions

Edwin Kipruto Michael Kammer Patrick Royston Willi Sauerbrei

June 22, 2023

Contents

L	Intr	roduction to mfp2 package		
	1.1	Estima	ation algorithm	2
	1.2	Install	ation	2
	1.3	Quick	Start	2
	1.4	4 Linear Regression		3
		1.4.1	Fitting MFP Models Using Default and Formula Interface	3
		1.4.2	Shifting and Scaling of Predictors	4
		1.4.3	Setting degrees of freedom for each variable	
		1.4.4	Tuning parameters for MFP	6
		1.4.5	Model comparison tests	8
		1.4.6	Fraction Polynomial Powers	Ć
		1.4.7	Explanation of output from model-selection algorithm	Ć
		1.4.8	Graphical presentation of FP functions	13
	1.5	Logist	ic Regression	13
	1.6	Poisson regression		13
	1.7	Survival data		

1 Introduction to mfp2 package

mfp2 is a package that selects the MFP model. In addition, it has the ability to model a sigmoid relationship between x and an outcome variable y using the ACD transformation proposed by Royston (2016). The package offers three options for variable and function selection: p-value, Akaike information criterion (AIC), and Bayesian information criterion (BIC). Furthermore, it provides functions for prediction and plotting. Currently, the package implements linear, logistic, Poisson, and Cox regression models. However, the package is designed in such a way that it can easily incorporate other generalized linear models or parametric survival models.

The main function, mfp2(), implements both MFP and MFP with ACD transformation. It offers two interfaces for input data. The first interface allows direct input of the predictor matrix x and the outcome vector y. The second interface uses a formula object in conjunction with a data frame, similar to the glm() function with slight modifications. Both interfaces are equivalent in terms of functionality.

The authors of mfp2 are Edwin Kipruto, Michael Kammer, Patrick Royston, and Willi Sauerbrei, with contribution from Gregory Steiner and Georg Heinze. The R package is maintained by Edwin Kipruto, while the STATA version of mfp is maintained by Patrick Royston.

This vignette describes basic usage of mfp2 in R. There are additional vignettes available that will further enhance your understanding on the mfp2 package.

• "Introduction to Multivariable Fractional Polynomial" provides an overview of multivariable fractional polynomials.

1.1 Estimation algorithm

The estimation algorithm employed in mfp2 sequentially processes the predictors using a back-fitting approach. It calculates the p-values of each predictor using the likelihood ratio test, assuming linearity. Subsequently, the predictors are arranged based on these p-values. By default, the predictors are arranged in order of decreasing statistical significance. This ordering aims to prioritize modeling relatively important variables before less important ones. This approach may help mitigate potential challenges in model fitting arising from collinearity or more generally, the presence of "concurvity" among the predictors (stata??). Although alternative options for predictor ordering are available, we prefer the default option.

If a predictor contains nonpositive values, the program by default shifts the location of the predictor x to ensure positivity. In addition, it scales the shifted predictor before the first cycle of the algorithm. For more information on shifting and scaling, please refer to section xx.

At the initial cycle, the best-fitting FP function for the first variable (after ordering) is determined, with all the other variables assumed to be linear. The functional form (but not the estimated regression coefficients) is kept, and the process is repeated for the other variables. The first iteration concludes when all the variables have been processed in this way. The next cycle is similar, except that the functional forms from the initial cycle are retained for all variables except the one currently being processed

A variable whose functional form is prespecified to be linear is tested for exclusion within the above procedure when its nominal p-value is less than 1 or argument keep = FALSE; otherwise, it is included. Updating of FP functions and candidate variables continues until the functions and variables included in the overall model do not change (convergence). Convergence is usually achieved within 1–5 cycles.

1.2 Installation

To install the mfp2 package, enter the following command in the R console:

install.packages("mfp2")

1.3 Quick Start

The purpose of this section is to provide users with a comprehensive understanding of the mfp2 package. We will provide a concise overview of its key functions and resulting outputs. We will delve into each function in detail, highlighting their specific use. This will provide users with a deeper understanding of the package's functionality. The package includes a built-in dataset that is specifically designed for analysis within the mfp2 framework.

To begin, let's load the mfp2 package:

library(mfp2)

1.4 Linear Regression

The default family in mfp2 package is Gaussian, which fits a Gaussian linear model. In this section, we will demonstrate how to fit this model. We will use the prostate cancer data (Stamey et al., 1989) included in our package. The dataset contains seven predictors (six continuous variables and one binary variable) and a continuous outcome variable (log prostate-specific antigen (lpsa)) of 97 patients with prostate cancer. Our aim is to determine whether non-linear functional relationships exist between the predictors and the outcome variable.

Load the prostate dataset from the mfp2 package and display the first few rows of the dataset

```
# Load prostate data
data("prostate")
head(prostate)
#> # A tibble: 6 x 9
#>
    obsno
           age
                 svi pgg45 cavol weight
                                         bph
#>
    #> 1
                         0 0.560
                                  16.0 0.25
        1
             50
                   0
                                              0.25
#> 2
        2
             58
                   0
                         0 0.370
                                        0.25
#> 3
        3
             74
                   0
                        20 0.600
                                  14.7
                                        0.25
                                              0.25
#> 4
        4
             58
                   0
                         0 0.300
                                  26.6
                                        0.25
                                              0.25
#> 5
             62
                   0
                         0 2.12
        5
                                  31.0 0.25
                                              0.25
#> 6
             50
                   0
                         0 0.350
        6
                                  25.2
                                        0.25
                                              0.25
#> # ... with 1 more variable: lpsa <dbl>
# create predictor matrix x and numeric vector y
x <- as.matrix(prostate[,2:8])</pre>
y <- as.numeric(prostate$lpsa)
```

The command loads a dataframe from the \mathbf{R} data archive since the $\mathtt{mfp2}$ package is already loaded. We create a matrix \mathbf{x} and a numeric vector \mathbf{y} from the dataframe.

1.4.1 Fitting MFP Models Using Default and Formula Interface

The default interface of mfp2() requires a matrix of predictors x and a numeric vector of response y for continuous outcomes. If you have one predictor, make sure you convert it into a matrix with a single column.

We demonstrate how to fit the Gaussian linear model using the default interface of mfp2() with default parameters.

```
fit <- mfp2(x, y)
```

The fit is an object of class mfp2 that inherits from glm and lm. This means that mfp2 inherits properties and methods from glm and lm, which allows the mfp2 to utilize methods and functions specific to glm and lm. Various methods are defined for extracting components from the mfp2 object, such as coef, print, summary, fracplot, and predict. These methods allow users to access different components of the mfp2 object, including coefficient estimates, plotting functionalities, and predictions.

To fit the same model using the formula interface, we use the fp() function.

The main distinction between the mfp2() and glm() functions in **R** is the inclusion of the fp() function within the formula. The presence of the fp() function in the formula indicates that the variables included within it should undergo fractional polynomial (FP) transformation, provided that the degree of freedom (df) is not equal to 1. A df of 1 indicates a linear relationship, which does not require transformation. Note that df is an argument in the fp() function. For more details on the fp() function, please refer to section xx

The variable svi is a binary variable and is therefore not passed to the fp() function. This is because binary or factor variables do not undergo FP transformation. If a binary variable is passed to the fp() function, the program will automatically set the df to 1, treating the variable as linear. However, passing a factor variable to the fp() function will result in an error. For more details on how mfp2 handles factor variables, refer to section XX.

1.4.2 Shifting and Scaling of Predictors

Fractional polynomials are defined only for positive variables due to the use of logarithms and other powers such as square root. Thus, mfp2()function estimates shifting factors for each variables to ensure positivity. The function find_shift_factor(), used internally by mfp2, automatically estimates shifting factors for each continuous variables. The formula used to estimate the shifting factor for a variable, say x1, is given by:

$$shift_{x1} = \gamma - min(x1)$$

where min(x1) is the smallest observed value of x1, while γ is the minimum increment between successive ordered sample values of x1, excluding 0 (Royston and Sauerbrei,2008). The new variable $x1' = x1 + shift_{x1}$ will then be used by mfp2() in estimating the FP powers.

For example, to estimate shifting factors for predictor matrix x from prostate data in R, you can run the following code:

```
# minimum values for each predictor
apply(x, 2, min)
      age
             svi
                  pgg45
                          cavol weight
                                           bph
                                                   cp
    41.00
            0.00
                    0.00
                           0.26 10.75
                                          0.25
                                                 0.25
# shifting values for each predictor
apply(x, 2, find_shift_factor)
      age
                  pqq45 cavol weight
                                                   ср
                                                    0
                              0
```

We see that among the continuous variables, only the variable pgg45 is shifted by a factor of 1, which is attributed to its minimum value being 0. Even though the variable svi also has a minimum value of 0, it is not shifted because its a binary variable. The user can manually set the shifting factors for each variable in mfp2() function.

If the values of the variables are too large or too small, it is important to scale the variables to reduce the chances of numerical underflow or overflow which can lead to inaccuracies and difficulties in estimating the model. Scaling can be done automatically or by directly specifying the scaling values for each variables so that the magnitude of the \mathbf{x} values are not too extreme. By default scaling factors are estimated by the program as follows.

After adjusting the location of x (if necessary) so that its minimum value is positive, creating x' automatic scaling will divide each value of x' by 10^p where the exponent p is given by

$$p = sign(k) \times floor(|k|)$$
 where $k = log_{10}(max(x') - min(x'))$

The mfp2() function uses this formula to scale x matrix, and the scaling process is implemented through the find_scale_factor() function. The following R code demonstrates the estimation of scaling factors for x. From the output below, we see that the variables age, cavol, and cp have scaling factors of 10 each, while the variables pgg45 and weight have scaling factors of 100 each. Each variable will be divided by its corresponding scaling factor. A scaling factor of 1 implies no scaling.

```
# shift x if nonpositive values exist
shift <- apply(x, 2, find_shift_factor)
xnew <- sweep(x, 2, shift, "+")

# scaling factors
apply(xnew, 2, find_scale_factor)
#> age svi pgg45 cavol weight bph cp
#> 10 1 100 10 100 1 100
```

To manually enter shifting and scaling factors, the mfp2() function provides the shift and scale arguments. In the default usage of mfp2(), a vector of shifting or scaling factors, with a length equal to the number of predictors, can be provided. In the formula interface, shifting or scaling factors can be directly specified within thefp() function. Below is an example to illustrate this:

```
# Default interface
mfp2(x,y, shift = c(0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), scale = c(10, 1, 100, 10, 100, 1, 10))
# Formula interface
mfp2(lpsa ~ fp(age, shift = 0, scale = 10) + svi + fp(pgg45, shift = 1, scale = 100) + fp(cavol, shift data = prostate)
```

In the default interface, each variable in the x matrix is assigned a shifting and scaling factor based on their respective positions. For instance, the first variable in the column of x, which is age, is assigned a shifting factor of 0 and a scaling factor of 10. The second variable, svi, is assigned a shifting factor of 0 and a scaling factor of 1, and so on.

1.4.3 Setting degrees of freedom for each variable

The degrees of freedom (df) for each predictor (excluding the intercept) are twice the degrees of freedom of the FP. For instance, if the maximum allowed complexity of variable x_1 is a second-degree FP (FP2), then the degrees of freedom assigned to this variable should be 4. The default df is 4 for each predictor.

After assigning the default degrees of freedom to each variable, the program proceeds and overrides the default value based on the number of unique values for a given variable. The rules for overriding the default degrees of freedom are as follows:

- If a variable has 2-3 distinct values, it is assigned df = 1 (linear).
- If a variable has 4-5 distinct values, it is assigned df = min(2, default).
- If a variable has 6 or more distinct values, it is assigned df = default.

These rules ensure that the appropriate df are assigned to variables. For instance, it is not sensible to fit an FP2 function to a variable with only 3 distinct values.

The following code illustrates how to set different df for each variable. In the default interface, the df of the binary variable svi is explicitly set to 1, while In the formula interface, there is no need to specify the df, as the program automatically assigns df = 1 for binary variables.

If the user attempts to enter df = 4 for svi in the default interface, the program will reset the df to 1 and issue a warning.

If the user does not explicitly assign df to variables, the program will automatically assign a df = 4 to each variable. It is important to note that even if a continuous variable is not passed to the fp()function in the formula interface, thedf of that variable will still be set to the default value and will later be adjusted based on the unique values. The following three examples are equivalent:

1.4.4 Tuning parameters for MFP

The two key components of MFP are variable selection with backward elimination (BE) and function selection for continuous variables through the function selection procedure (FSP). These components require two nominal significance levels: α_1 for variable selection with BE and α_2 for comparing the fit of the functions within the FSP. The choice of these significance levels strongly influences the complexity and stability of the final model. While it is possible to use the same nominal significance level for both components, they can also differ based on the aims of the analysis.

The mfp2() function has an argument called criterion, which allows the user to specify the criteria for variable and function selection. The default criterion is pvalue, which enables the user to set the two nominal significance levels. Please refer to section section 1.4.4.1 for instructions on setting the nominal significance levels.

Information criteria, such as the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC), have been proposed for selecting models fitted on the same data. The mfp2 package offers an alternative approach to variable and function selection by utilizing information criteria. In the MFP framework, each predictor is evaluated univariately while accounting for the other predictors within an overarching backfitting algorithm. This algorithm iteratively assesses each predictor and selects the model (null, linear, FP1, FP2, etc.) with the minimum AIC or BIC for each variable.

The "null" model refers to a model without the predictor of interest. A "linear" model assumes a linear relationship with the outcome variable, while an FP1 model assumes a non-linear relationship using the FP1 function. The criterion argument allows users to specify whether they want to use AIC or BIC criteria for both variable and function selection. If AIC or BIC is selected as the criterion, the nominal significance levels set through the select and alpha arguments will be ignored. For details on using AIC and BIC in variable and function selection, please refer to section 1.4.4.2.

1.4.4.1 Nominal significance levels The mfp2() function has the arguments select and alpha for setting the nominal significance level for variable selection by BE and for testing between FP models of

different degrees, respectively. It is important to note that when using these arguments, you should ensure that the criterion is set to "pvalue" to correctly use the specified significance levels.

For variable selection, a significance level can be set using the select argument. A value of 1 (select = 1) for all variables forces them all into the model. Setting the nominal significance level to be 1 for a given variable forces it into the model, leaving others to be selected or not. A variable is dropped if its removal leads to a nonsignificant increase in deviance

On the other hand, the alpha argument is used to determine the complexity of the selected FP function. A value of 1 (alpha = 1) will choose the most complex FP function permitted for a given variable. For example, if FP2 is the most complex function allowed for variable x1, setting alpha = 1 will select the FP2 function.

The rules for setting select and alpha are the same as those for setting df (see section 1.4.3). The following R codes shows how to set equal nominal significance levels for variable and function selection $(\alpha_1 = alpha_2 = 0.05)$ for each variable and produces identical results. Setting different nominal significance levels is straightforward. Simply replace the value 0.05 with the desired significance level of your choice.

In the formula interface, binary variables such as svi that are not passed in the fp() function utilize the global select argument. In our example, the global parameter is set to select = 0.05. If several binary variables exist in the model, the global parameters will be used for all of them. However, if specific parameters need to be set for individual binary variables, the user can use the fp()function. In summary, if a variable is not passed through the fp function, it will utilize the global parameters.

Suppose we want to force the variables "age" and "svi" in the model. To achieve this, we have two options:

- Set select = 1 specifically for these variables: By setting select = 1 for age and svi in the mfp2() function, we force these variables to be included in the model.
- Use the keep argument: Alternatively, we can use the keep argument, which resets the nominal significance levels for age and svi to 1 if they are different from 1. This ensures that these variables are retained in the model.

```
# use keep argument
mfp2(lpsa ~ fp(age, select = 0.05) + svi + fp(pgg45, select = 0.05) + fp(cavol, select = 0.05) +
    fp(weight, select = 0.05) + fp(bph, select = 0.05) + fp(cp, select = 0.05),
    select = 0.05, alpha = 0.05, keep = c("age", "svi"), data = prostate)
```

1.4.4.2 Information criterion Instead of using nominal significance levels (α_1, α_2) for variable and function selection, an alternative approach is to directly utilize the AIC or BIC. This can be achieved by setting the criterion argument to either "aic" or "bic". Additionally, if there is a need to force certain variables, such as "age" and "svi", into the model, the keep argument can be used.

The following R code demonstrates how to implement this approach using both the default and formula interfaces, as well as how to force specific variables into the model:

1.4.5 Model comparison tests

The FSP in mfp2() function compares various models for the variable of interest. For instance, if the most complex allowed FP function is FP2, the FSP will compare the best FP2 model with the NULL model, the best FP2 model with the Linear model, and the best FP2 model with the best FP1 model, when the criterion = "pvalue". The deviance for each model (NULL, Linear, FP1, and FP2) and their corresponding differences and p-values will be calculated.

When comparing Gaussian models, the mfp2() function provides two options for calculating p-values: the F-test and the Chi-square test. The Chi-square test is the default option. For other model families like Cox or logistic regression models, the Chi-square test is used. For more detailed information, please refer to page 23 of the paper available at this link: https://www.stata.com/manuals/rfp.pdf

To use the F-test in **R**, we can set the ftest argument to TRUE (ftest = TRUE), as demonstrated in the example below. Conversely, to use the Chi-square test, set ftest = FALSE.

Please note that the p-values reported by the mfp program in Stata for Gaussian models are solely based on the F-test. If you intend to compare the results between the two software packages, it is crucial to ensure that ftest = TRUE is set in R.

However, it's important to be aware that the older version of the mfp package in R utilizes the chi-square test for all model types. Therefore, when comparing the results between the two R packages, the user must setftest = FALSE.

1.4.6 Fraction Polynomial Powers

Low order polynomials offer a limited family of shapes, and high order polynomials may fit poorly at the extreme values of the covariates. Due to these limitations, Royston an Altman (1994) proposed an extended family of curves known as fractional polynomials, whose power terms are restricted to a small predefined set, S = 2, 1, 0.5, 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3 of integer and non-integer values. The powers are selected so that conventional polynomials are a subset of the family. The power of 0 denotes the natural logarithm of x, log(x), while the power of 1 denotes no transformation. Furthermore, the set includes other powers such as the reciprocal (-1), square root (0.5), and square (2). No subsequent changes to S have been proposed.

By default, the mfp2() function utilizes the predefined set S for each continuous covariate. However, there may be situations where users want to provide their own power terms based on their subject matter knowledge. In such cases, the powers argument which takes a list of distinct powers can be employed to specify the desired power terms. If the user provides identical powers for a variable, such as (0, 0), the program will remove the duplicates and consider only the power 0.

The following example illustrates how to assign different power terms to covariates. Two power terms (0 and 0.5) are evaluated for the "age" covariate. This set includes three linear models of degree 2 (with power combinations of (0, 0), (0, 0.5), and (0.5, 0.5)), and two models of degree 1 (with power of 0 and 0.5).

The "cavol" variable is assigned a single power term (0), indicating that FP1 is the most complex function for this variable. The remaining continuous variables, namely "pgg45," "weight," "bph," and "cp," are not assigned any power terms. Instead, the default powers defined in set S are utilized and a search through all possible fractional polynomials up to the degree set by df is performed.

It is important to note that when using the fp() function in the formula interface, the power terms provided must be a vector not a list since they are specific to a particular variable.

```
# create a list of power terms for covariates age and cavol
powx <- list(age = c(0, 0.5), cavol = 0)

# Default Interface
mfp2(x, y, criterion = "pvalue", powers = powx)

# Formula Interface
mfp2(lpsa ~ fp(age, powers = c(0, 0.5) ) + svi + fp(pgg45) + fp(cavol, powers = 0) + fp(weight) + fp(bp)</pre>
```

1.4.7 Explanation of output from model-selection algorithm

Using the prostate example, we briefly explain how the algorithm works. Similar to backward elimination, it starts with the full model (model with all variables) and investigates whether variables can be eliminated. However, for each of the continuous variables, the FSP is used to check whether a non-linear function fits the data significantly better than a linear function. After the first cycle, some variables may be eliminated from the model, and for some continuous variables, a more suitable non-linear function may be identified as a better fit for the data.

The algorithm then proceeds to the second cycle, but the new starting model now has fewer variables due to the elimination process in the previous cycle. Additionally, non-linear functions may have been identified for some of the continuous variables. In the second cycle, all variables will be reconsidered, even if they were not significant at the end of the first cycle, and FSP is used again to determine the 'best' fitting FP function (the functions may be different due to potential variations in the adjustment variables). The results obtained from the second cycle then serve as the starting model for the third cycle. In most cases, the variables and functions selected remain unchanged in cycles 3 or 4 and the algorithm stops with the final MFP model.

The order of 'searching' for model improvement by better fitting non-linear functions is important. Mismodelling the functional form of a variable with a strong effect is more critical than mismodelling the functional

form of a variable with a weak effect. Therefore, the order is determined by the p-values in the full model. Variables with small p-values are considered first.

To explain the output of the MFP algorithm, we will use the default interface of the mfp2() function to build an MFP model with the default parameters. Specifically, all continuous variables are assigned a degree of freedom (df) of 4, implying that FP2 is the most complex permitted function. Moreover, we employ the 'pvalue' as the criterion for variable and function selection, and we set the nominal significance levels for both components to 0.05 for all variables. Finally, we use the F-test instead of Chi-square to calculate the p-values. The R output displays the df used for each variable, as denoted by the "initial degrees of freedom". The program correctly identifies "svi" as a binary variable and assigns it a df of 1. Additionally, the variables are ordered based on their p-values in descending order of significance, as indicated by the "visiting order". The variable "cavol" has the smallest p-value and will be evaluated first, while "age" has the largest p-value and will be evaluated last.

```
fit <- mfp2(x, y, criterion = "pvalue", select = 0.05, alpha = 0.05, ftest = TRUE)
#> i Initial degrees of freedom:
      age svi pgg45 cavol weight bph cp
#> df
       4
            1
                  4
                         4
                                4
                                   4 4
#>
#> i Visiting order: cavol, svi, pgg45, weight, bph, cp, age
#>
#>
#> i Running MFP Cycle 1
#>
#>
#> Variable: cavol (keep = FALSE)
#>
                     Powers
                               DF
                                      Deviance
                                                                     Deviance diff. P-value
                                                   Versus
                     -0.5, 1
                                      196.3
#> FP2
                               12
#> null
                     NA
                               8
                                      240.1
                                                   FP2
                                                                     43.8
                                                                                     0.0000
                               9
                                      214.3
                                                   FP2
                                                                     18.0
#> linear
                     1
                                                                                     0.0011
#> FP1
                     0
                               10
                                      199.7
                                                   FP2
                                                                     3.4
                                                                                     0.2226
#> Selected: FP1
#>
#> Variable: svi (keep = FALSE)
                                                                     Deviance diff. P-value
#>
                     Powers
                               DF
                                      Deviance
                                                   Versus
                               8
                     NA
                                      208.6
#> null
#> linear
                     1
                               9
                                      199.7
                                                   n.u.1.1.
                                                                     -9.0
                                                                                     0.0042
#> Selected: linear
#>
#> Variable: pqq45 (keep = FALSE)
#>
                                                                     Deviance diff. P-value
                     Powers
                               DF
                                      Deviance
                                                   Versus
#> FP2
                     -2, -2
                                12
                                      196.7
#> null
                     NA
                               8
                                      202.0
                                                   FP2
                                                                     5.3
                                                                                     0.3091
#> Selected: null
#>
  Variable: weight (keep = FALSE)
#>
                               DF
                                                                     Deviance diff. P-value
#>
                     Powers
                                      Deviance
                                                   Versus
#> FP2
                     -2, -2
                               11
                                      199.3
                                7
                                                   FP2
#> null
                     NA
                                      209.7
                                                                     10.4
                                                                                     0.0521
#> Selected: null
#>
#> Variable: bph (keep = FALSE)
                                                                     Deviance diff. P-value
                     Powers
                                      Deviance
                                                   Versus
```

```
#> FP2 -1, 3 10
                            207.7
#> null
               NA 6
                            217.7
                                      FP2
                                                    10.0
                                                              0.0567
#> Selected: null
#> Variable: cp (keep = FALSE)
               Powers DF
                            Deviance
                                      Versus
                                                   Deviance diff. P-value
#> FP2
               2, 3
                      9
                            213.2
                                      .
                                                    4.6
               NA
                       5
#> null
                            217.9
                                                              0.3655
                                      FP2
#> Selected: null
#> Variable: age (keep = FALSE)
#>
              Powers DF
                            Deviance
                                      Versus
                                                   Deviance diff. P-value
               -1, -1 8 216.6
                                                    1.2
#> null
               NA
                       4
                            217.9
                                      FP2
                                                               0.8839
#> Selected: null
#> i Running MFP Cycle 2
#> Variable: cavol (keep = FALSE)
               Powers DF
                            Deviance
                                      Versus
                                                   Deviance diff. P-value
#> FP2
               -0.5, 1 7
                            215.0
                                                   .
              NA
                                                               0.0000
#> null
                      3
                            264.6
                                      FP2
                                                    49.6
#> linear
               1
                       4
                            238.1
                                      FP2
                                                    23.0
                                                               0.0001
                      5
#> FP1
               0
                            217.9
                                      FP2
                                                    2.8
                                                                0.2646
#> Selected: FP1
#> Variable: svi (keep = FALSE)
#>
              Powers DF
                                      Versus
                                                   Deviance diff. P-value
                            Deviance
              NA
                        3
#> null
                            226.9
#> linear
              1
                            217.9
                                                    -9.0
                                                               0.0032
                        4
                                      null
#> Selected: linear
#> Variable: pgg45 (keep = FALSE)
#>
                                                   Deviance diff. P-value
               Powers DF
                            Deviance
                                      Versus
               0.5, 3
#> FP2
                       8
                            214.3
                                      .
                                                    .
               NA
                                                    3.6
                                                               0.4973
#> null
                       4
                            217.9
                                      FP2
#> Selected: null
#> Variable: weight (keep = FALSE)
              Powers DF
                                                   Deviance diff. P-value
                            Deviance
                                      Versus
                                      .
               -2, -2
                        8
                            202.1
#> null
               NA
                       4
                            217.9
                                      FP2
                                                    15.7
                                                                0.0052
                       5
                                      FP2
#> linear
               1
                            205.0
                                                    2.9
                                                                0.4438
#> Selected: linear
#> Variable: bph (keep = FALSE)
#>
               Powers DF
                                      Versus
                                                   Deviance diff. P-value
                            Deviance
               0.5, 0.5 9
#> FP2
                           199.1
                                      .
#> null
               NA 5
                            205.0
                                      FP2
                                                    5.9
                                                                0.2460
#> Selected: null
```

```
#> Variable: cp (keep = FALSE)
#>
                                DF
                                                                      Deviance diff. P-value
                     Powers
                                       Deviance
                                                    Versus
                                9
#> FP2
                     2. 3
                                       200.3
#> null
                     NA
                                5
                                       205.0
                                                    FP2
                                                                      4.7
                                                                                       0.3617
#> Selected: null
#>
#> Variable: age (keep = FALSE)
#>
                     Powers
                                DF
                                       Deviance
                                                                      Deviance diff. P-value
                                                    Versus
#> FP2
                     -0.5, 0
                                9
                                       203.2
#> null
                     NA
                                5
                                       205.0
                                                    FP2
                                                                      1.8
                                                                                       0.7926
#> Selected: null
```

After the variables are ordered, the MFP algorithm starts by searching for a suitable function for "cavol". It compares the best-fitting FP2 (-0.5, 1) function for "cavol" against a null model that excludes "cavol." This comparison involves adjusting for all other six variables ("svi" to "age") using linear functions. The test is highly significant (p = 0.0000), indicating that the best FP2 function fits significantly better than a null model. Next, the model with the best FP2 function is compared to a model assuming linearity, and the test remains significant (p = 0.0011). This suggests that "cavol" can be better described by a non-linear function at this stage. Finally, the best FP2 function is compared to the best FP1 (0) function, and the test is not significant (p = 0.2226). Thus, at this stage in the model-selection procedure, the final function for "cavol" is FP1 with power 0, denoting a log function.

The next variable to be evaluated is "svi," which is a binary variable. In this case, only the test of null versus linear is appropriate. Both the null and linear models of "svi" include "log(cavol)" (the log function just selected) and the other five variables ("pgg45", "cp", "weight", "bph", and "age") that are still present in the model. The test of null versus linear is significant (p = 0.0042), indicating that "svi" remains in the model.

Next, we evaluate the continuous variable "pgg45" in the same model as for "svi". The p-value for the first test (FP2 versus null) is not significant (p = 0.3091), and the variable is eliminated from the model and will not be considered in the rest of the first cycle.

Following that, the algorithm evaluates the variable "weight" in a model adjusting for " $\log(\text{cavol})$ ", "svi", and the three variables ("cp", "bph", and "age") that have not yet been evaluated. The first test is non-significant (p = 0.0521), and the variable is eliminated for the rest of the first cycle. In the subsequent steps, "bph", "cp", and "age" are also eliminated.

At the end of the first cycle, only two variables were selected: "log(cavol)" and "svi".

The variables selected in the first cycle becomes the new starting model for the second cycle. In the second cycle, the effect of "cavol" is investigated again, but this time in a simpler model adjusting for "svi" only. Deviances are larger compared to cycle 1 because the five variables ("pgg45", "age", "weight", "bph" and "cp") no longer belong to the 'adjustment' model. However, the FSP still selects "log(cavol)".

The svi is evaluated in a model with only log(cavol) and the test confirms that the variable should be included in the model. Pgg45 is evaluated in a model with svi and log(cavol) but the test (FP2 vs null) is not significant and the variable is again eliminated.

The "svi" is evaluated in a model that includes only "log(cavol)", and the test of linear vs null confirms that the variable should be included in the model. Pgg45 is evaluated in a model with "svi" and "log(cavol)" as adjustment variables, but the test of inclusion (FP2 vs null) is not significant, hence the variable is eliminated again.

The variable "weight" is considered next in a model with "svi" and " $\log(\text{cavol})$ ". In contrast to the first cycle, the test for inclusion (FP2 vs null) is significant (p = 0.0052), indicating that "weight" needs to be re-included into the model. The second test of the FSP (FP2 vs linearity) is non-significant and a linear function is chosen for weight.

In the subsequent steps, "bph", "cp", and "age" are investigated in models adjusting for "log(cavol)", "svi", and "weight" (linear). Compared to the first cycle, the p-values change, but all of them are much larger than 0.05. Therefore, none of these variables are included in the model.

The second cycle ends with the model consisting of "log(cavol)", "svi" (binary), and "weight" (linear).

This model serves as the starting point for the third cycle, where all seven variables are investigated again. However, there are no further changes in the third cycle, and it concludes with the same model as the second cycle. At this point, the algorithm stops, and the final model consists of "log(cavol)," "svi" (binary), and "weight" (linear).

1.4.8 Graphical presentation of FP functions

1.5 Logistic Regression

1.6 Poisson regression

1.7 Survival data

We illustrate two of the analyses performed by Sauerbrei and Royston (1999). We use breancer.dta, which contains prognostic factors data from the German Breast Cancer Study Group of patients with node-positive breast cancer. The response variable is recurrence-free survival time (rectime), and the censoring variable is censrec. There are 686 patients with 299 events. We use Cox regression to predict the log hazard of recurrence from prognostic factors of which five are continuous (x1, x3, x5, x6, x7) and three are binary (x2, x4a, x4b). Hormonal therapy (hormon) is known to reduce recurrence rates and is forced into the model. We use mfp to build a model from the initial set of eight predictors by using the backfitting model-selection algorithm. We set the nominal p-value for variable and FP selection to 0.05 for all variables except hormon, which it is set to 1: