

# Pre-audit Overview for Takaturn V2

## Table of Contents



























- [Pre-audit Overview for Takaturn V2](#)
  - [Table of Contents](#)
  - [Introduction](#)
  - [Scope of the Pre-Audit](#)
  - [Preliminary Findings](#)
    - [High 1: Any user can brick starting of a term if he deposits on last position with min amount](#)
    - [Medium 1: Malicious party can call `paySecurityOnBehalfOf` with `optYield = false` for a victim](#)
    - [L 1: Protocol assumes `stableToken` will always have 6 decimals](#)

## Introduction

Egis Security's foremost principle is delivering high-quality work and exceptional service to our clients. That's why we provide a pre-audit overview with basic issues uncovered during the initial code review.

## Scope of the Pre-Audit

The pre-audit was conducted to identify immediate issues that could pose risks to your system. This includes a high-level assessment of:

Type	File	Logic Contracts	Interfaces	Lines	nLines	nSLOC	Comment Lines	Complex. Score	Capabilities
	contracts/libraries/LibYieldGenerationStorage.sol	1	————	67	67	55	10	23	
	contracts/libraries/LibYieldGeneration.sol	1	————	195	181	114	35	37	————
	contracts/libraries/LibTermStorage.sol	1	————	56	56	47	10	16	
	contracts/libraries/LibTermOwnership.sol	1	————	12	12	7	2	3	————
	contracts/libraries/LibGettersHelpers.sol	1	————	64	64	53	21	1	————
	contracts/libraries/LibFundStorage.sol	1	————	65	65	54	28	12	
	contracts/libraries/LibFund.sol	1	————	149	149	94	38	42	
	contracts/libraries/LibCollateralStorage.sol	1	————	51	47	39	11	9	
	contracts/libraries/LibCollateral.sol	1	————	84	80	53	17	20	————
	contracts/facets/YGFacetZaynFi.sol	1	————	545	529	339	97	190	
	contracts/facets/TermFacet.sol	1	————	463	429	269	95	145	
	contracts/facets/GettersFacet.sol	1	————	1035	976	604	269	361	
	contracts/facets/FundFacet.sol	1	————	554	530	335	124	183	
	contracts/facets/CollateralFacet.sol	1	————	609	571	400	130	181	
	Totals	14	————	3949	3756	2463	887	1223	

## Preliminary Findings

### High 1: Any user can brick starting of a term if he deposits on last position with min amount

#### Description

When a new fund is created, system require all participants to be overcollateralized. (have deposited ether, which is valued to at least `allCycles * contributionAmount` ). The problem is that when a user joins a term, he is not enforced to provide this value. Instead, he can provide `150%` of `contributionAmount` if he calls `joinTermOnPosition` with last index:

```

function minCollateralToDeposit(
    uint termId,
    uint depositorIndex
) public view returns (uint amount) {
    LibTermStorage.Term storage term = LibTermStorage._termStorage().terms[termId];

    require(depositorIndex < term.totalParticipants, "TT-GF-01");

    uint contributionAmountInWei = getToCollateralConversionRate(
        term.contributionAmount * 10 ** 18
    );

    amount = (contributionAmountInWei * (term.totalParticipants - depositorIndex) * 150) / 100;
}

```

The following will result in reverts when `startTerm` for the corresponding term is called. Furthermore, all honest participants who have opted in with enough collateral will have their funds freed. If `term.totalParticipants` is reached, users can't withdraw collateral, because the state is still `AcceptingCollateral`.

#### Recommendation

Consider:

- enforcing user to provide all collateral when he joins a term or
- Create a function for user to leave a term, if it hasn't started

### Medium 1: Malicious party can call `paySecurityOnBehalfOf` with `optYield = false` for a victim

**Description** In `TermFacet` there is a function `paySecurityOnBehalfOf`, which enroll provided address for a given term and passing corresponding params. The problem is that a victim may want to join a term with `optYield = true`, but a malicious party can front-run him and call it with `optYield = false`. If the registration period has passed and enough participants has joined, the attacker can combine the tx with a call to `startTerm`. The following will make it impossible for the victim to call `YGFacetZaynFi#toggleOptInYG`, because collateral state will be set to `CycleOngoing`.

**Recommendation** Modify `paySecurityOnBehalfOf` function to only increase collateral for other users, if they have joined corresponding term.

### Low 1: Protocol assumes `stableToken` will always have 6 decimals

**Description** Protocol assumes that token used in terms will always have 6 decimals, because it is a stable token.

```

function _payContribution(
    uint _termId,
    address _payer,
    address _participant,
    bool _payNextCycle
) internal {
    LibFundStorage.Fund storage fund = LibFundStorage._fundStorage().funds[_termId];
    LibTermStorage.Term storage term = LibTermStorage._termStorage().terms[_termId];

    // Get the amount and do the actual transfer
    // This will only succeed if the sender approved this contract address beforehand
    uint amount = term.contributionAmount * 10 ** 6; // Deducted from user's wallet, six decimals

    bool success = fund.stableToken.transferFrom(_payer, address(this), amount);
}

```

But DAI is an example of a stable token with 18 decimals. While the following may not be an issue directly, term creator should consider that the amount provided for `contributionAmount` should be scaled correspondingly, which may lead to unexpected problems later. **Recommendation** Consider scaling `contributionAmount` by `IERC20(stableTokenAddress).decimals()`, instead of `10 ** 6`.