

Dijkstra's Algorithm Verification

Yazhe Feng

February 13, 2019

1 Dijkstra's Algorithm

1.1 Pseudocode(Idris)

```
-- data structures
Node : (n : Nat) → Fin n
NodeSet : List Node      -- 'NodeSet' can represents adjacent edges for each node
Graph : (List Node, List NodeSet)

sortNodes : (weight : Type) →
  (gtW : weight → weight → Bool) →
  (add : weight → weight → weight) →
  (size : Nat) →
  (nodes : Vect size (Node m)) →
  (dist : Vect m weight) →
  (Vect size (Node m))
sortNodes w gtW add Z Nil dist = Nil
sortNodes w gtW add (S s') (x :: xs) dist
  = insertSort x (sortNodes w gtW add s xs dist)

updateDist : (weight : Type) →
  (gtW : weight → weight → Bool) →
  (add : weight → weight → weight) →
  (size : Nat) →
  (cur : Fin size) →
  (adj : NodeSet size weight) →
  (dist : Vect size weight) →
  (Vect size weight)
updateDist w gtW add size cur adj dist
= for Node n ∈ adj:
  if dist[cur] + weight[cur -> n] < dist[n]
```

```

    then  $dist[n] = dist[cur] + weight[cur -> n]$ 
    else continue to the next node

-- if unexplored is Nil, then we have calculated the min distance for all nodes
runDijkstras : (weight : Type) →
  (gtW : weight → weight → Bool) →
  (add : weight → weight → weight) →
  (size : Nat) →
  (size' : Nat) → -- number of unexplored nodes
  (graph : Graph size weight) →
  (dist : Vect size weight) →
  (lte size' size = True) →
  (unexplored : Vect size' (Node size)) →
  (Vect size weight)
runDijkstras _ _ _ _ _ g dist _ Nil = dist
runDijkstras w gtW add _ (S s') g dist refl ((MKNode x) :: xs)
  = updateDist w gtW add _ x adj_x dist
  call (runDijkstras _ _ _ _ _ s' g dist' refl (sortNodes w gtW add s' xs dist))

dijkstras : (weight : Type) →
  (gtW : weight → weight → Bool) →
  (add : weight → weight → weight) →
  (size : Nat) →
  (source : Node size) →
  (graph : Graph size weight) →
  (Vect size weight)
dijkstras weight gtW add size source g@(nodes, edges)
  = runDijkstras weight gtW add size size g dist reflProof (sortNodes weight gtW
add size nodes)
  where
    dist = list of nodes and their distance to source.  $dist[source] = 0$ 
    reflProof = proof of (lte size size = True)

```

2 Proof of Correctness

2.1 Assumptions

1. Valid source node: source node provided is in the corresponding graph.
2. Valid nodes: all nodes in the `nodes` list are valid for indexing distance list and

adjacency list.

3. Path : given source s and node n , if distance from s to n is infinity ($dist[n] = infinity$), then there is no path from s to n

2.2 Proof of Termination

As the size of list `unexplored` decreases by one during each call to `runDijkstras`, the function `runDijkstras` is guaranteed to terminate, thus function `dijkstras` terminates.

2.3 Proof of Correctness

Let g be the input graph, s be the source node, $dist$ be the list of pairs of each node and its distance to s , and $explored$ be the list of explored nodes. We want to show that Dijkstra's algorithm indeed calculates the shortest distance from s for each node $n \in g$.

Lemma. *For any node n added to the explored list, $dist[n]$ is indeed the shortest distance value from source s to n .*

Proof. We will prove this lemma by inducting on the size of $explored$ list.

Let $P(n)$ be: for all nodes m in $explored$ list of size n , $dist[m]$ is the shortest distance value from source s .

Base Case: $P(0)$.

When size is 0, $explored$ is empty, then according to the algorithm, the first node added to $explored$ is the source node s . Since $dist[s] = 0$ is indeed the shortest distance value from s to s , then the lemma holds.

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose $P(k)$ holds, that is, for all nodes m in $explored$ list of size k , $dist[m]$ is the shortest distance value from source s

Inductive Step: we shall show $P(k+1)$ holds.

Suppose m' is the $(k+1)$ th element added into $explored$. To show $dist[m']$ is indeed the shortest distance value, we shall show for all nodes p that has an edge to m' in graph g , $dist[m'] \leq dist[p] + weight(m', p)$.

Case 1: p is not in $explored$.

Since Dijkstra's chooses the node with minimum distance value from the unexplored list, we have $dist[m'] \leq dist[p]$, hence $dist[m'] \leq dist[p] + weight(m', p)$ holds.

Case 2: p is in $explored$

□