Nested Traits composition for modular software development

Dependency Injection is a common but verbose technique used to divide OO code into independently testable units. Here we propose extension to the Trait model supporting independently testable units without the verbosity of Dependency injection. We present our solution in the language L42.

Traits are units of code reuse, traditionally containing only abstract and implemented methods. When two traits t1 and t2 are composed, the resulting trait contains all the methods of t1 and t2. It is an error if a method m is implemented in both t1 and t2. On the other side, an abstract method can be composed with another abstract or implemented method. In former work we extended traits to include nested classes, with the intuitive idea that when two traits are composed, nested classes with the same name are recursively composed.

A common motivating example for Dependency Injection is the following: Alice and Bob are designing a videogame, where there is a Map containing Items that are located in certain Points. Various kinds of Items exists: Walls, Rocks, Trees and many more. Alice will implement the load functionality: load will parse a formatted text file and use the information to fill a Map. Bob will implement the rest of the game, including all the kinds of Items and the Map. An efficient implementation of the Map is tricky, since it needs to be a sparse matrix. Thus, bugs are likely to be present in the Map implementation. The various Items will contains a lot of code related to the game logic.

In Java, we can use dependency injection to we write the code so that Alice can completely write and test load before Bob completes the rest of the game. This requires to introduce a lot of boilerplate code, and to program in a very unnatural way: Alice can not write new Map(..) since the Map class may not be available yet. We need to introduce an IMap interface and an IMapFactory interface, and Alice need to provide a mock implementation designed for testing. This makes the code of Alice very involved and indirect: Alice load method needs to access a IMapFactory mapFactory and use it to create new maps, as in mapFactory.makeMap(). Same for Items: Alice can not write new Rock(...), but she need to use an IItemFactory. When load is called in the context of a running game, the factories will create actual Maps, Rocks and so on, but in the testing environment, mock factories will create mock versions. While in Java this is very verbose and involved, it still has great advantages over using the possibly buggy Map of Bob: Alice can write a simple but inefficient version of map relying on a HashMap<Point,Item>. Professional programmers rely heavily on dependency injection: it seams like the benefits overcome the costs.

We would like to present the Java code for this example, but Dependency Injection make it so verbose that it would never fit into 1 page. On the other side, our solution is quite compact and is presented below:

```
Alice: Trait({//this is the code wrote by Alice.
                             method Void set(Item that)}//Map has two abstract methods
  Map: {class method Map()
  Item: {interface} //Alice just declares all the abstract signature she need.
  Rock: {implements Item
                             class method Rock(Num weight, Point point) }
  class method Map load(S fileName)={
   Map m=Map() //L42 do not use 'new' but instantiate objects calling
    ..//class methods with empty names, as it happens in Python.
   if line.startsWith(S"Rock") (map.set(Rock(weight:.., point: Point(x:..,y:..))))
    \dots //Map, Item and Rock are local declaration in Alice code.
   \/\/Trait composition & merges the members with the same name.
  })//This will include nested classes Map, Item and Rock present in Bob trait.
Game: Alice & Bob & {}
And this is how Alice may test her code:
AliceMock: Alice & {
  Item: {interface
                       Point point}
  Rock: Data <>< {implements Item</pre>
                                       Num weight}
  Map: Trait(Collections.hashmap(key: Point, val: Item)) & {
   method Void set(Item that)=this.put(key: that.point(),val: that)
  class method Void test(S fileName, S expected) = {
   map=this.load(fileName: fileName)
   Debug.test(map, expected: expected)
Test1: AliceMock.test(S"justARock.txt",S"HashMap[Point(..)->Rock(..)]"
Test2: ..
```

Concluding, our solution is much more natural to use than conventional Dependency injections, and allows to write code more naturally, without using factories.