#### CallsCallsCalls

# Callability Control

By Isaac Oscar Gariano<sup>1</sup> and Marco Servetto<sup>2</sup>

(Victoria University of Wellington)

#### Conventions

We will consider C♯ (or another .Net or JVM language), since it

- is statically-typed,
- supports named/identifiable functions (such as static/instance methods or constructors),
- supports dynamic dispatch (with interfaces, virtual methods, etc.),
- · supports dynamic code loading, and
- supports dynamic function lookup and invocation (with reflection).

For brevity I will omit accessibility modifiers and allow free standing static functions.

## The Problem

1. What could this code do?
 static void M1() { Sign(0); }

#### The Problem

1. What could this code do?
 static void M1() { Sign(0); }

2. What about this, what could it do?
 interface I { void Run(); }
 static void M2(I x) { x.Run(); }

#### The Problem

```
    What could this code do?
    static void M1() { Sign(0); }
    What about this, what could it do?
    interface I { void Run(); }
    static void M2(I x) { x.Run(); }
    How about this?
    static void M3(String url) {
        // Load code (possibly from the internet)
        Assembly code = Assembly.LoadFrom(url);
        code.GetMethod("M").Invoke(null, null); } // call M()
```

## Callability

- Callability is the ability to call a function.
- A function's callability is the set of things it can call.

## Callability

- Callability is the ability to call a function.
- A function's callability is the set of things it can call.

#### Restatement of the Problem:

- 1. What is the callability of Sign? (Where Sign is a static method)
- 2. What is the callability of x.Run? (Where x is of an interface type x.
- 3. What is the callability of M? (Where M was a dynamically loaded static-method)

 $f \rightsquigarrow g$ , i.e. a function f can-call a function g, iff:

```
f \rightsquigarrow g, i.e. a function f can-call a function g, iff:
```

```
1. g \in Calls(f) \Rightarrow f \rightsquigarrow g, i.e. f is annotated with the calls [\dots, g, \dots].

Example: static void Write(String s) calls [WriteChar] {
foreach (Char c in s) WriteChar(c); }
```

```
f \rightsquigarrow g, i.e. a function f can-call a function g, iff:
```

- 1.  $g \in Calls(f) \Rightarrow f \rightsquigarrow g$ , i.e. f is annotated with the calls [...,g,...].

  Example: static void Write(String s) calls [WriteChar] {
  foreach (Char c in s) WriteChar(c); }
- 2.  $\forall h \in Calls(g) \bullet f \rightsquigarrow h) \Rightarrow f \rightsquigarrow g$ , i.e. if f can call every function in the calls  $[\dots]$  annotation of g.

```
Example: static void WriteLine(String s) calls[WriteChar] {
          Write(s + "\n"); }
```

```
f \rightsquigarrow g, i.e. a function f can-call a function g, iff:
```

1.  $g \in Calls(f) \Rightarrow f \rightsquigarrow g$ , i.e. f is annotated with the calls [...,g,...].

```
Example: static void Write(String s) calls[WriteChar] {
         foreach (Char c in s) WriteChar(c); }
```

2.  $\forall h \in Calls(g) \bullet f \rightsquigarrow h) \Rightarrow f \rightsquigarrow g$ , i.e. if f can call every function in the calls  $[\dots]$  annotation of g.

```
Example: static void WriteLine(String s) calls[WriteChar] {
          Write(s + "\n"); }
```

The previous rules apply transitively, and always allow for recursive calls.

```
Example: static void HelloWorld() calls[WriteLine] {
        WriteLine("Hello World!"); }
    static void Main(String[] args) calls[WriteChar] {
        HelloWorld(); }
```

# **Primitive Operations**

To simplify things we will assume that the language provides only two intrinsic functions, Unrestricted and Restricted.

## **Primitive Operations**

To simplify things we will assume that the language provides only two intrinsic functions, Unrestricted and Restricted.

 Unrestricted can be called by any function: static Object Unrestricted(String op, params Object[] args) calls

Example: Unrestricted("Add", 1, 2); // Returns 3

## **Primitive Operations**

To simplify things we will assume that the language provides only two intrinsic functions, Unrestricted and Restricted.

```
    Unrestricted can be called by any function:
static Object Unrestricted(String op, params Object[] args) calls
    Example: Unrestricted("Add", 1, 2); // Returns 3
```

 Restricted can only be directly called by functions annotated with calls[Restricted,...]: static Object Restricted(String op, params Object[] args) calls[Restricted];

```
Example: static void WriteChar(Char c) calls[Restricted] {
          Restricted("CCall", "putchar", c); }
```

What can Sign(∅) do?

What can Sign(∅) do?

 (indirectly) perform only Unrestricted operations: static Int32 Sign(Int32 x) calls[] {...}

What can Sign(∅) do?

 (indirectly) perform only Unrestricted operations: static Int32 Sign(Int32 x) calls[] {...}

2. also (indirectly) perform some Restricted operations:
 static Int32 Sign(Int32 x) calls[WriteLine] {...}

What can Sign(♥) do?

- (indirectly) perform only Unrestricted operations: static Int32 Sign(Int32 x) calls[] {...}
- 2. also (indirectly) perform some Restricted operations:
   static Int32 Sign(Int32 x) calls[WriteLine] {...}
- 3. also (indirectly) perform any Restricted operation:
   static Int32 Sign(Int32 x) calls[Restricted] {...}

What can x.Run() do?

```
What can x.Run() do?
interface I { void Run() calls[]; }
```

```
What can x.Run() do?
interface I { void Run() calls[]; }
```

#### **Callability Generics**

```
Consider this:
```

```
interface I<'a> { void Run() calls['a]; }
```

```
What can x.Run() do?
interface I { void Run() calls[]; }
```

#### Callability Generics

```
Consider this:
  interface I<'a> { void Run() calls['a]; }
```

```
Example: class HelloWorld: I<[WriteLine]> {
    void I.Run() calls[WriteLine] { WriteLine("Hello World!")}
```

Now to answer the question: what can x.Run() do?

```
    Only perform Unrestricted operations:
static void M2(I<[]> x) calls[] { x.Run(); }
```

- 2. Also print lines to standard-output:
   static void M2(I<[WriteLine] > x) calls[WriteLine] { x.Run(); }
- 3. Perform any Restricted operation:
   static void M3(I<[Restricted]> x) calls[Restricted] { x.Run(); }
- 4. Defer the decision to the caller of M3:
   static void M3<'a>(I<['a]> x) calls['a] { x.Run(); }

## How to Solve Problem 3 (Dynamic Code Loading & Invocation)

In C# to dynamically invoke a static or instance method, you simply write: methodInfo.Invoke(receiver, args)

## How to Solve Problem 3 (Dynamic Code Loading & Invocation)

```
In C# to dynamically invoke a static or instance method, you simply write:
    methodInfo.Invoke(receiver, args)
In our system we will have to declare Invoke like this:
    /// Represents a method m
    class MethodInfo {
        ...
        /// Throws an exception if Invoke<'a> → m,
        /// otherwise calls receiver.m(args)
        Object Invoke<'a>(Object receiver, Object[] args) calls['a] { ...
        ...
        ...
}
```

## How to Solve Problem 3 (Dynamic Code Loading & Invocation)

```
In C♯ to dynamically invoke a static or instance method, you simply write:
  methodInfo.Invoke(receiver, args)
In our system we will have to declare Invoke like this:
  /// Represents a method m
  class MethodInfo {
    /// Throws an exception if Invoke<'a> \rightsquigarrow m,
    /// otherwise calls receiver.m(args)
    Object Invoke<'a>(Object receiver, Object[] args) calls['a] { ...
static void M3(String url) {
  // Load code (possibly from the internet)
  Assembly code = Assembly.LoadFrom(url);
  // call M(), but only if it can only perform Unrestricted operation
  code.GetMethod("M").Invoke<[]>(null, null); }
```

#### Conclusion

- 1. No need to look at the body of methods to determine what they can do.
- 2. No need to look at every piece of code we are compiling with.
- 3. Our reasoning is static and consistently sound.

#### Conclusion

- 1. No need to look at the body of methods to determine what they can do.
- 2. No need to look at every piece of code we are compiling with.
- 3. Our reasoning is static and consistently sound.

#### **Future Work**

- Make our annotations less verbose:
- inference of calls annotations
  - wild-cards?
  - allow named groups of functions?
- Soundly support performing unsafe operations (like executing arbitrary machine code)
- Improve the support for dynamic loading:
  - Allow calling new functions, even if they have themselves in their calls annotation
- Formalise the reasoning properties we want from the system
  - Prove them!