

# Separating Use and Reuse to Improve Both

Hrshikesh Arora <sup>1</sup>  
Marco Servetto <sup>1</sup>  
Bruno C. d. S. Oliveira <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Victoria University of Wellington

<sup>2</sup>The University of Hong Kong

# Subtyping and Subclassing

- ▶ Subtyping without subclassing; easy: Java interfaces
- ▶ Subclassing without subtyping; hard:

```
class A{ int ma(){ return Utils.m(this); } }  
class Utils{ static int m(A a){..} }  
class B extends A{ int mb(){return this.ma();} }  
..  
new B().mb();
```

This-leaking problem: `this` written in class A is of type A. when B inherits the code of A, code `Utils.m(this)` would pass a `this` as an A. To be sound,  $B \leq A$  must hold.

# Reusing code without inducing subtyping

Three different approaches separating subtyping and subclassing:

- ▶ DeepFJig
- ▶ TraitRecordJ
- ▶ PackageTemplate

Widely different approaches, similar core ideas that we synthesize and improve: *separating code from use and code for reuse*

- ▶ Code Reuse: traits can be reused to produce more code
- ▶ Code Use: classes can be instantiated and used as a type

No subclassing: only trait reuse.

Interfaces are the only way to induce subtyping.

# This leaking in our approach

```
IA={interface
  method int ma()
}
Utils={
  static method int m(IA a){return ...}
}
ta={implements IA
  method int ma(){return Utils.m(this);}
}
A=Use ta
B=Use ta
```

this written in trait `ta` is of type `This` and `IA`. when `B` inherits the code of `ta`, code `Utils.m(this)` would pass a `this` as an `IA`. In this way, even if `B` and `A` share the same code, there are not in a subtype relation. (Note that `ta` is not a valid typename)

# Trait composition

The composed code contains all members from the used traits. Methods with same name and type signature are summed into a single one. At most one of those summed methods can have a body, which will be propagated into the result.

```
t1={
  method String hello();
  method String helloWorld(){return hello()+"_World";}
}

t2={ method String hello(){return "Hi";} }

t3= Use t1,t2
//-- flatten to -----
t3= {
  method String hello(){return "Hi";}
  method String helloWorld(){return hello()+"_World";}
}
```

# Handling State

How to make this process work with constructors and fields while achieving the following goals:

- ▶ managing fields in a way that borrows the elegance of summing methods;
- ▶ actually initializing objects, leaving no `null` fields;
- ▶ making it easy to add new fields;
- ▶ allowing self instantiation: a trait method can instantiate the class using it.

# Abstract State Operations

Idea: use abstract methods as getters, setters and factory methods.  
Conventionally, an abstract class is a class with some abstract method.  
Here, a class whose abstract methods can be seen as state operations is  
a concrete coherent class.

# Coherent class

- ▶ A class with no abstract methods is coherent (just like Java `Math`, for example). Such classes have no instances and are only useful for calling static methods.
- ▶ A class with a single abstract static method returning `This` and with parameters  $T_1\ x_1, \dots, T_n\ x_n$  is coherent if all the other abstract methods can be seen as *abstract state operations* over one of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . That is:
  - ▶ A method  $T_i\ x_i()$  is interpreted as an abstract state method: a *getter* for  $x_i$ .
  - ▶ A method `void  $x_i(T_i\ that)$`  is a *setter* for  $x_i$ .



## Example: `Point`s with algebraic operations

static factory method:

```
Point p=Point.of(3,4)
```

getters:

```
p.x()==3,    p.y()==4
```

summing points:

```
p.sum(Point.of(10,20)) equivalent to Point.of(13,24)
```

NOTE: sum operation creates a new point

If no code reuse is desired, it is easy to use Java to encode such `Point` class. However, we would like to define operations `sum`, `mul`, `div` and `sub` independently and compose them to create classes with the operations we want, so that it is easy to have points with `sum` and `mul`, points with just `sum` or points with just `mul`.

For example, we expect `PointSumMul.sum(PointSumMul) : PointSumMul`.

This breaks subtyping: `PointSumMul`  $\not\leq$  `PointSum`.

# Abstract state

```
p= { //point trait with abstract state
  method int x() //getter for field x
  method int y() //getter for field y
  static method This of(int x,int y) //factory method
}
```

```
Point= Use p //this is a concrete coherent class
...
.. Point.of(3,7).x() ..//valid code
```

# Abstract state

```
p={
  method int x()
  method int y()
  static method This of(int x,int y)
}

pointSum= Use p, {
  method This sum(This that){
    return This.of(this.x()+that.x(),this.y()+that.y());
  }}

pointMul= Use p, {
  method This mul(This that){
    return This.of(this.x()*that.x(),this.y()*that.y());
  }}

pointDiv= ...

MyPoint= Use pointSum,pointMul,pointDiv,...
```

## Case study 1: `Points` with algebraic operations

Encoding the point example above, with the 4 arithmetic operations, and instantiating all the 16 possible permutations as separate classes:

Language	Lines of code	members	classes/traits
Java7	115	50	16
Classless Java	82	34	16
Scala	81	40	21
$42_{\mu}$	32	7	21

# State extensibility

```
p={
  method int x()
  method int y()
  static method This of(int x,int y)
}

pointSum= Use p, {
  method This sum(This that){
    return This.of(this.x()+that.x(),this.y()+that.y());
  }}

colored= { method Color color() }

CPoint= Use pointSum,colored,{
  static method This of(int x,int y){
    return This.of(x,y,Color.of(/*red*/));
  }
  static method This of(int x,int y,Color color)
}
```

Done in this way, CPoint.sum would return red points.

# State extensibility, with withers

A wither is like a field setter, but creates a new copy of the object

```
p= {
  method int x()
  method int y()
  method This withX(int that)
  method This withY(int that)
  method This merge(This that)
}

pointSum= Use p, {
  method This sum(This that){
    return this.merge(that)
      .withX(this.x()+that.x()).withY(this.y()+that.y());
  }}

colored= {
  method Color color()
  method This withColor(Color that)
  method This merge(This that){
    return this.withColor(this.color().mix(that.color()));
  }}

CPoint= Use pointSum,colored,{
  static method This of(int x, int y, Color color)}
```

# Independent extensibility

```
flavored= {  
  method Flavor flavor()  
  method This withFlavor(Flavor that)  
  method This merge(This that){  
    return this.withFlavor(that.flavor());  
  }}  
  
FCPoint= Use //aliasing conflicting implementations  
  colored[super merge as m1],  
  flavored[super merge as m2],  
  pointSum,{  
    method This merge(This that){  
      return this.m1(that).m2(that);  
    }  
    static method This of(  
      int x, int y, Color color, Flavor flavor)  
    }
```

# More in the paper

- ▶ Transparent handling of nested classes
- ▶ This type generalized for family polymorphism
- ▶ A very natural encoding of the Expression Problem
- ▶ Simple formalization of our language



# Thanks

Questions?