Technische Universität München

BGCE Project: CAD – Integrated Topology Optimization

BGCE Second Milestone Meeting

S. Joshi, J.C. Medina, F. Menhorn,
S. Reiz, B. Rüth, E. Wannerberg, A. Yurova

November 3, 2015



Contents

Motivation

Current Design Process:



- Iterative and redundant
- Time consuming

Motivation

Current Design Process:



- Iterative and redundant
- Time consuming

Topology optimization



- Promoted by additive manufacturing

Motivation

Current Design Process:

- Iterative and redundant
- Time consuming

Topology optimization



- Promoted by additive manufacturing

Focus:

Convert optimized geometry to **lightweight** and **scalable** CAD formats

Workflow Overview

CAD design



Workflow Overview

STL interface



Workflow Overview

Voxelized topology



Workflow Overview

Specification of loads and fixtures



Workflow Overview

Optimized topology



Workflow Overview

Surface extraction



Workflow Overview

Parametrized CAD-geometries



Workflow Overview



Schedule & Milestones

Schedule:



Schedule & Milestones

Schedule: (current)



Divide and Conquer



Project management



Contents

Status DRAFT

Last milestone

- ✓ Manual voxelization using CVMLCPP
- ✓ ”Hard coded” script for ToPy input
- ✓ Topology optimized geometry using ToPy
- ✗ Recognition of boundary conditions

Today

- ✓ Voxelization with OpenCascade
- ✓ Extraction of loads, fixtures and active elements through colouring
- ✓ Automatic ”one click” pipeline to surface reconstruction


User view
Internal view


User view
Internal view
FreeCAD
Build Model





- Model geometry in favorite CAD tool
- Colour faces for boundary conditions

  Red Fixture

  Green Active

  RGB RGB value in $[0 \leq R < 255, 0 \leq G < 255, 0 \leq B < 255]$ for load vector
- Save model as `STEP with Colours` and `IGES with Colours`







Figure: Color faces in FreeCAD


User view
Internal view
FreeCAD
Build Model
Run Script



→ Run

```
CADTopOpt filename ref_level force_scaling
```


User view
Internal view
FreeCAD
Build Model
Run Script


User view
Internal view
FreeCAD
Build Model
Run Script
Read STEP/IGES
Voxelize geometry
OpenCascade





Read STEP and IGES file, extract colours and faces

- STEP holds the colours
- IGES holds the structure





Voxelize faces using OpenCascade

- Included open cascade voxelizer



Figure: Scaling of voxelizer


User view
Internal view
FreeCAD
Build Model
Run Script
Read STEP/IGES
OpenCascade
Voxelize geometry
Calculate voxel index
CADTopOpt
Write ToPy input





Different indexing for elements and nodes in ToPy

```
# ========================================
# === Discretisation of the design domain ===
# ========================================
# 2D: Y              3D: Y
#     |                  |
#     +---X              +---X
#                       /
#                      Z
#
# 1---5---9
# | 1 | 5 |
# 2---6---10
# | 2 | 6 |
# 3---7---11
# | 3 | 7 |
# 4---8---12
#
```

Figure: Indexing in ToPy





Each voxelindex is specifically written

```
[ToPy Problem Definition File v2007]

PROB_TYPE:   comp
PROB_NAME:   topy_CantiLeverWithLoadAtEndSmallerMovedLoad
ETA:         0.4
DOF_PN:      3
VOL_FRAC:    0.15
FILT_RAD:    1.5
ELEM_K:      H8
NUM_ITER:    100
NUM_ELEM_X:  50
NUM_ELEM_Y:  20
NUM_ELEM_Z:  20

# Grey-scale filter (GSF)
P_FAC      : 1
P_HOLD     : 15
P_INCR     : 0.2
P_CON      : 1
P_MAX      : 3

Q_FAC      : 1
Q_HOLD     : 15
Q_INCR     : 0.05
Q_CON      : 1
Q_MAX      : 5
ACTV_ELEM: 18810; 18809; 18808; 18807; 18806; 18805; 18804; 18803; 18830; 18829; 18828; 18827; 18826; 18825; 18824; 18823; 18
18866; 18865; 18864; 18863; 18890; 18889; 18888; 18887; 18886; 18885; 18884; 18883; 18910; 18909; 18908; 18907; 18906; 18905;
18948; 18947; 18946; 18945; 18944; 18943; 18970; 18969; 18968; 18967; 18966; 18965; 18964; 18963; 18990; 18989; 18988; 18987;
19830; 19829; 19828; 19827; 19826; 19825; 19824; 19823; 19850; 19849; 19848; 19847; 19846; 19845; 19844; 19843; 19870; 19869;
19884; 19883; 19910; 19909; 19908; 19907; 19906; 19905; 19904; 19903; 19930; 19929; 19928; 19927; 19926; 19925; 19924; 19923;
19966; 19965; 19964; 19963; 19990; 19989; 19988; 19987; 19986; 19985; 19984; 19983
PASV_ELEM:
FXTR_NODE_X: 21; 20; 19; 18; 17; 16; 15; 14; 13; 12; 11; 10; 9; 8; 7; 6; 5; 4; 3; 2; 42; 41; 40; 39; 38; 37; 36; 35; 34; 33;
```

Figure: Script for ToPy


User view
Internal view
FreeCAD
Build Model
Run Script
Read STEP/IGES
OpenCascade
Voxelize geometry
Calculate voxel index
CADTopOpt
Write ToPy input
Execute ToPy
ToPy





Execute ToPy on the input file



Figure: ToPy Output


User view
Internal view
FreeCAD
Build Model
Run Script
Read STEP/IGES
OpenCascade
Voxelize geometry
Calculate voxel index
CADTopOpt
Write ToPy input
Execute ToPy
ToPy
Surface Reconstruction
CADTopOpt





Running dual contouring algorithm



Figure: Surface extraction for Cantilever


User view
Internal view
FreeCAD
Build Model
Run Script
Read STEP/IGES
OpenCascade
Voxelize geometry
Calculate voxel index
CADTopOpt
Write ToPy input
Execute ToPy
ToPy
Surface Reconstruction
CADTopOpt
Enjoy Surface Extraction



But what does the user see?



But what does the user see?
This!

The next steps MOVE TO LATER

- Speed up ToPY
- Usage of different optimizers
- GUI for input

Contents

Status

Last milestone

- 🕐 Surface reconstruction with the VTK Toolbox

Today

- ✓ Extraction of voxel data from Topy
- ✓ 3D Dual Contouring implementation
- ✓ Coarsening and non-manifold edge treatment
- ✓ Projection of datapoints onto quads and respective parametrization
- 🕐 Interface to NURBS

From Voxel to Mesh Geometry

- Extract isosurface from voxel information
- Algorithms: Marching Cubes, Dual Contouring, Extended Models
- Problems with VTK's Marching Cube implementation





MC



Dual method

Dual Contouring

- Python implementation — Use of powerful libraries, including VTK
- Output: Closed surface made out of *quads*
- Coarsening is needed for surface fitting's algorithms





Dual Contouring

- Python implementation — Use of powerful libraries, including VTK
- Output: Closed surface made out of *quads*
- Coarsening is needed for surface fitting's algorithms



Dual Contouring — Problems

- **Non–manifold edges** appear
- One edge can only belong to two quads for the surface to be closed
- Special treatments in the implementation to avoid them



Dual Contouring — Problems

- **Non–manifold edges** appear
- One edge can only belong to two quads for the surface to be closed
- Special treatment in the implementation to avoid them





Dual Contouring — Input

- Interface between Topology Optimization and Surface Extraction
- Special implementation to use voxel data from Topy as input



Demo

Projection and Parametrization

- Points from finer grid are projected to quads of the coarser grid
- Parameters *u* and *v* are found for each quad
- This information is needed for the algorithms in the last part of the pipeline



Contents

B–Spline

$$\vec{S}(u,v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \vec{C}_{i,j} N_i^p(u) N_j^p(v),$$

where p – degree of the B–Spline surface and n, m – number of control points in each direction.

B–Splines

- offer great flexibility for handling arbitrary shapes
- are CAD–standard

Engineers are working with CAD



B–Spline Fitting Pipeline [Becker, Schäfer, Jameson]



Status

Last milestone

- ✗ Automatic patch selection
- ✗ Parametrization of obtained patches
- ✓ B–spline fitting using least squares
- 🕐 Smooth connection of patches
- ✗ Conversion back to CAD

Today

- ✓ Automatic patch selection – moved to the surface extraction part
- ✓ Parametrization of obtained patches
- ✓ B–spline fitting using least squares – modified
- ✓ Smooth connection of patches
- ✗ Conversion back to CAD

Long way to smoothness. Peter's scheme

Control mesh



Long way to smoothness. Peter's scheme

Refined control mesh



Long way to smoothness. Peter's scheme

Bezier control points



Long way to smoothness. Peter's scheme

B-Spline patch



Long way to smoothness. Peter's scheme

Peters' surface



Long way to smoothness

Main ideas

- Use the mesh obtained from Dual Contouring as a *control mesh*
- Modify the fitting step to take advantage of the **Peters' scheme**

$$\downarrow$$

$$E_{dist}(V_x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| P_i - y_i V_x \|_2^2 \rightarrow min, \quad (1)$$

y_i - coefficients obtained from the Peters' scheme theory.

What is achieved?

- Smoothness of the fitted surface is now guaranteed by construction
- Fitting is possible for more complex shapes achieved by using an information from the Dual Contouring algorithm

Improved pipeline







Before and after Peters

What is next?

Further steps:

- Full integration with Surface Extraction part
- Exporting the results back to CAD

Possible optimizations

- Introducing of the *fairness functional* in order to deal with more complex shapes
- Implementation of the *adaptive refinement* in order to control a maximum error tolerance
- Implementation of the *parameter correction* for the improved pipeline

Contents

What is done? What is next?

- Topology Optimization
  - ✓ Pipeline from CAD model to optimized voxel model
  - ✓ Support user input of boundary conditions
  - ✗ GUI for user interaction

What is done? What is next?

- Topology Optimization
  - ✓ Pipeline from CAD model to optimized voxel model
  - ✓ Support user input of boundary conditions
  - ✗ GUI for user interaction
- Surface Extraction
  - ✓ Dual Contouring for simple geometries
  - ✓ Provide necessary data for Surface Fitting
  - 🕒 Automatic patch distribution
  - ✗ Adaptive and topology safe Dual Contouring

What is done? What is next?

- Topology Optimization
  - ✓ Pipeline from CAD model to optimized voxel model
  - ✓ Support user input of boundary conditions
  - ✗ GUI for user interaction
- Surface Extraction
  - ✓ Dual Contouring for simple geometries
  - ✓ Provide necessary data for Surface Fitting
  - 🕐 Automatic patch distribution
  - ✗ Adaptive and topology safe Dual Contouring
- Surface Fitting
  - ✓ B–spline fitting using least squares
  - ✓ Smooth connection of patches using Peters' scheme
  - ✗ Conversion back to CAD

Thank you for your attention!





Literature

- **William Hunter.** ”Predominantly solid-void three-dimensional topology optimisation using open source software”
- **Gerrit Becker, Michael Schäfer, Antony Jameson.** ”An advanced NURBS fitting procedure for post-processing of grid-based shape optimizations”
- **Matthias Eck, Hugues Hoppe.** ”Automatic Reconstruction of B-Spline Surfaces of Arbitrary Topological Type”
- **Tao Ju, Frank Losasso, Scott Schaefer, Joe Warren.** ”Dual contouring of hermite data”

Projection and Parametrization on arbitrary quads

1. find least squares plane approximating quad



Figure: DC sphere



Figure: with plane quads

Projection and Parametrization on arbitrary quads

1. find least squares plane approximating quad
2. projection of datapoint onto plane



Coordinate transformation

system with basis

$$B_{BAD} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{n} & \vec{AB} & \vec{AD} \end{pmatrix}$$

yields

$$(B_{BAD})^{-1} P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} d & u & v \end{pmatrix}^T$$

Projection and Parametrization on arbitrary quads

1. find least squares plane approximating quad
2. projection of datapoint onto plane
3. find corresponding parameters $[u, v] \in [0, 1]^2$



Problem:

- ✓ for P_1: $(u, v) = (0.5, 0.4)$
- ✗ for P_2: $(u, v) = (1, 1)$

Solution:

1. if we get $u + v > 1$
2. use B_{BCD} instead of B_{BAD}
3. set $u = 1 - u$, $v = 1 - v$