

WARINSPECTOR: VISUAL ANALYTICS WEB APPLICATION ON ARMS TRANSFER

VISUAL ANALYTICS COURSE - SAPIENZA UNIVERSITY OF ROME

Giuseppe Capaldi 1699498
Gianmarco Cariggi 1698481

Sunday 18th October, 2020

Abstract

In this report we present you "WarInspector", a web application embedding D3.js framework to visualize and let analyze data regarding world weapons trading. The web pages contain user interactive visualizations all coordinated on change. Among the visualizations we have PCA (Principal Component Analysis) data, computed on the back-end server and visualized not to preprocess the original dataset but to add new information to the user. The app is composed of two interconnected pages using the same datasets but focusing on the two main study cases we identified: analysis on geopolitical and military influences between countries on one side and analysis of weapon's model in the market. A demo of the application can be found at: <https://war-inspector.herokuapp.com/>. The code is available at: <https://github.com/GiuppoUni/warInspector/>

Introduction

The war has characterized the human being since the prehistory. Human beings have always tried to impose their strength and knowledge on other animals but also on their fellow humans. However, only a few centuries ago war has also become a business, where national war industries or more generally countries can do business and get rich without the effective use of military force. Our project aims to explore this theme, offering the user a visual analysis tool to better understand the dynamics behind this increasingly profitable market.

We developed a web application that is designed for all possible war or investigative operators or journalists who want to understand how this market moves, in particular by analysing the trading of arms between countries and how conflicts can be geographically or diplomatically related/unrelated. The tool makes this possible to understand from a quantitative point of view, evaluating the numbers of arms traded (imported or ex-

ported), and also how these can be somehow influenced by other parameters of the country, which at first glance may seem detached from this area. About a qualitative point of view, on the other hand, the application also analyses the types and individual models of weapons that are exchanged. This allows a hypothetical arms producer to understand which ones are the most traded in a certain historical period or in a particular region. For both cases the single transactions can be finely filtered to explore interesting or suspicious ones.

The paper is organized as follows: in the first section we are going to explain the content of our datasets, the sources and how we have combined them together; in the second section we will discuss the study cases from which we designed the app; in the third section instead we will focus on the taken design choices; then in the fourth and fifth sections we will focus on the details of views; finally we will conclude with a discussion on the results and some food for thought.

1 Use cases

The first study case we thought of was the one of an investigation regarding geopolitical relations among countries. Weapons transactions from one country to another in a particular year or range of years could explain some known or hidden alliances, or the attempts to circumvent restrictions imposed on the arms trading toward particular countries (weapons can be sold to countries bordering to banned countries to then carry secretly by land). Moreover the presence of consistent weapon transactions in an area related to past conflicts may reveal the risk of a future new conflict. For this purpose a banner in the upper right corner can be displayed on button press to see countries currently considered at risk of conflict in base of reliable sources.

The second study case is more focused on the economic nature of the transactions, a market survey can be conducted integrating the first page of WarInspector with the second one, in which weapons are grouped by category and then on select by model to see which were the most traded weapons in certain situations.

2 Dataset and sources

In order to offer a data visualization work that would have been interesting in his results, we chose to think of a dataset that could involve the entire planet and containing useful information. Searching on the web the SIPRI website stood out cause of the possibility it offers to analyse and visualise datasets regarding military trade (from official reports of NATO and every single country which participates to this initiative) among countries. The dataset contains these information: suppliers and recipients, the type and number of weapon systems ordered and delivered, the years of deliveries and the financial value of the deal (but only for a few entries).

To have a dataset ready to be visualized easily we needed for a well formatted file, a CSV (Comma Separated Values) would have

been ideal, but the only format offered for our specific dataset of interest (the army transfer database) was *RTF* (Rich Text Format), that is better to visualize a text due to the presence of text formatting elements, less for storing and visualize a dataset. So we downloaded from: http://armstrade.sipri.org/armstrade/page/trade_register.php selecting all suppliers, all recipients from 1990 to 2018 (2019 was not present at the time), all weapon systems and clicking onto "from supplier register". So after a quick analysis of its content, some Python scripts helped us to reach our CSV file containing a dataset with this format [Table 1]:

- **Ordered:** the number of items ordered under the deal;
- **Weapon model:** the designation of the weapon system concerned;
- **Weapon category:** description of the weapon system concerned;
- **Ordered year:** the year the order was placed or, in the case of licensed production, the licence was issued;
- **Delivered year:** the year or years during which deliveries took place. If none have yet been made, this field is left blank;
- **Delivered num:** the number of items delivered or produced under the deal;
- **Comments:** any additional information that is known about the deal. This can include the financial value of the deal, what the weapons will ostensibly be used for, whether they are being donated as military aid, and any information on offsets linked to the deal.

In a second phase in order to better interact with the map we joined the said dataset with a second one containing only latitude-longitude coordinates and country code for both *Supplier* and *Recipient*. This new attributes have been used exclusively for visualization purpose.

To increase the dimensionality of our dataset, to be later computed a *PCA*, we introduced other information for each country and for each year adding parameters as *GDP*, *population*, *army dimensions*, *number of escaped refugees* taken from open data website: <https://data.worldbank.org/>. Together with these features we have import or export numbers that are taken from two different *SIPRI* datasets [Table 2]. This is because they contain a trend-indicator value *TIV* to have for each year an exact number representing efficiently how many weapons a country exported/imported. This value takes into account several factors for a consistent measure to compare trades, different for number of units, type of weapon ecc. Finally we added a removable bubble plot overlay on maps to display conflicts happened in a certain region, this data has been obtained from the website: <http://www.systemicpeace.org/warlist/warlist.htm> along with an alert banner containing countries with high risk of a new conflict, taken from: <https://www.crisisgroup.org/crisiswatch>.

2.1 About the sources

More Information on the sources and methods used in *SIPRI* collection of the data, and explanations of the conventions, abbreviations and acronyms, can be found at: <https://www.sipri.org/databases/milex/sources-and-methods>.

3 Design choices

In this section we will discuss our design choices for the two main connected pages that compose the web app. The first is the homepage, focusing on geopolitical relations between nations while the second one is more focused on weapons market trends.

3.1 First page

Due to the nature of the data, that involve countries from all around the world and time series data, we chose to start from a map visualization.

The choropleth maps show how much the user selected countries have exported/imported to/from other ones in a user defined range of years between 1990-2018. The choropleth map (from Greek *χωρος* "area/region" and *πληθος* "multitude") is a kind of thematic map in which areas are shaded or patterned in proportion to a variable that represents an aggregate summary of a geographic features within each area, such as population density or per-capita income. In this case selecting a country, the other countries with which the selected country traded with, always in the same user defined range of years, are coloured in proportion to the amount of units delivered to them for the export map view, while received from them for the import view. It was a critical part of our work in which we tried to find economic data to merge with our model, in order to relate economic value to traded weapons, and do more reasonable comparisons. The website of *SIPRI* talks about an economic value associated to the transaction but we discovered it is not present in each entry. Despite that the already mentioned *TIV* value could have been useful for this purpose in this particular dataset *SIPRI* did not included it at all. So with an expert in the field and some additional data, or with direct access to *TIV* values, a linear combination could solve the problem in the future. However these considerations do not invalidate the main purpose of the analysis. These maps along with a table showing the selected countries and some general information about them as *GDP*, army dimension and population (as average for the selected range of years) compose the upper part of the page while at the bottom we can see a diverging bar chart showing at the same time the total number of exported weapons and imported ones for a determined year inside the user selected range. On its right we have

Supplier	Recipient	Ordered	Weapon model	Weapon category	Ordered year	Delivered year	Delivered num.	Comments
...
Albania	Burkina Faso	(12)	M-43 120mm	Mortar	(2011)	2011	12	Probably second-hand
...

Table 1: Attributes of dataset in Trade-Register-1990-2018.csv file.

	1989	...	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
...
Australia	13	...	47	44	2	49	14	18	26	80	115	143	45	54	97	87	134	98	38	148
...

Table 2: Attributes of dataset in importNumbers1989-2018.csv file.

the PCA view, where computation of PCA is made using the already mentioned datasets. PCA is able to transform a selected number of features (min. three) dimensions space into a two dimensions space, letting explore cases in which we can identify the presence of clusters. Then continuing in this direction a barchart view shows the top fifteen most delivered weapon categories for the user selected range of years. Clicking one category text is possible to see a brief description about its characteristics but more details are contained in the second page that we will discuss later. Finally is always possible to look at filtered data inside the view containing the details about transactions.

3.2 Second page

In the second page we wanted the user to be able to explore the dataset analysing which are the most traded models and categories of weapons, with also a view on the possible trends of deliveries for a weapon model in specific ranges of years. To do so we inserted three visualizations on the upper part of the page that show data analytics in a top-bottom fashion from left to right, where we start from macro categories of weapons and we move to single models. The first visualization is a barchart showing the most delivered weapon categories while on the right we emphasized the density of traded weapons for each year using a heatmap. Interacting with the heatmap lets the user access the third visualization which is a connected scatterplot showing possible trends in the deliveries of a specific weapon through the years. This page

is connected to the homepage by the presence of radio buttons that let the user switch from a global view of the trading of weapons to a dataset that is filtered according to the choices made on the homepage (years and countries of interest).

4 Visualization

All the visualizations are contained inside card-like views, some of them like the maps and PCA enable zooming and panning and the possibility to reset to the start zoom and pan state. Below we will discuss the main aspects of these visualizations.

4.1 Cloropleth map

The two world maps use Mercator projection object to draw states and keep proportions when a zoom or a drag on the map is applied. We chose to use an high contrast palette to make easier to see yellow arrows connecting the different states. Countries have been drawn using *topojson* mesh of a local file. Then at start and every time a slider/button changes the range of years and/or the supplier country of interest, a transition starts to fill the country with the correct color shade. In a second moment a bubble scatter was added to show conflicts happened through these years, where the radius of each circle represents the magnitude of the conflict.

Import map countries are filled with different gradients of red color proportional to the sum of the number of delivered units (computed using a group by and storing the result




Figure 1: System interface of the homepage of WarInspector.

in a data structure) from the specified supplier to each state, always respecting the filtering. The range of colors, shown also in the legend visible to the user, is written in (4). Several colors have been considered to be used, but green and red were chosen at the end, these two colors could express the economic nature of the transaction considering that exportations mean an economic entrance for the country (represented with green) while for importations is the opposite. The drawbacks could be to give the user an implicit idea of “positive message” for green (whereas we are talking about war trading and in this case, possible military alliances, even with not democratic countries), while red could send the opposite message (whereas not every possible alliance should be considered dangerous, e.g. with border countries or in the same continent) and the problem of color blind subjects. To solve the last problem we introduced two diverging and color blindness safe colors, activated on button press, where the green turns to a lighter green color and the red to pink color.

$$range = \{1, 10, 100, 1000, 10000, 100000\} \quad (1)$$

4.2 Diverging bar chart

The bar chart view was introduced to make possible a more clear comparison and it uses the same dataset of the other two views. Initially we introduced two distinct barcharts but later we gained some space on the page collapsing the two into one single diverging bar chart where the upper bars show the user the total exports for a group of countries while the lower ones show the total imports. In this way the user can immediately see if a certain year has more imports than export or viceversa, then looking at the maps and at the right bar chart investigate the locations and weapons involved. The color tones are the same used in the maps (green for exports,...) without any color scale but monotone colors.



Figure 2: System interface of the weapons page of WarInspector.

4.3 PCA

Using Principal component analysis we transform the coordinate space $X_s \subset R^n$ into a new one $Y_s \subset R^m$ where we know that this linear transformation preserves distances but can introduce false positives. The dimensions of sets is: $|R^n|$ = number of selected features, while $|R^m| = 2$. A deeper study about the best hyper-parameters could be done in this direction. Every point of the scatter plot is filled with a color scale that express the total number of exported/imported delivered units for a country.

5 Interaction

User can interact with all the views that are coordinated, acting both on the plots elements (bar for barplots and country surface for the maps,...) for the countries he wants to select and on the slider to choose the years between which the transactions happened. Also, a `<select>` HTML element is present in both

the pages on the top right corner of the page to select or deselect a country from a list showing the respective flag and name. Other possible interactions for the user are to act on both maps using the mouse, dragging (moving while click down) to move and zooming (using the mouse wheel) in or out.

The top right corner is dedicated to buttons, here we have the button guaranteeing the aforementioned possibility to switch the colors of the views to color blindness safe ones. Then we have a button to display the country considered at risks in the reference month and the button that redirects to the second page (focused on weapons) passing as parameters the features that can be used as filters. For this purpose in the top middle portion of the screen the second page the possibility to filter or filter out the dataset using the passed parameters through the use of radio buttons.

6 Discussion

This section discusses the implications and limitations of our work and some design lessons we learned.

6.1 Implications

To the best of our knowledge, WarInspector is the first visual analytics interactive system that efficiently incorporates global data of world arms trading. The availability of WarInspector together with some improvements and further SIPRI data could significantly promotes the exploration of global military trading in order to increase transparency in this field and show possible historical conclusion that could move public opinion, revealing unsuspected influences of external countries in a conflict and maybe even predict some future events.

6.2 Limitations

Some limitations are observed in our work. First, data found on SIPRI is considered highly accurate but it is naturally missing data from some countries (e.g. North Korea) that are un-

available for different reasons or distorted deliberately. Then PCA analysis uses rough distance measures that could show some patterns when big countries (e.g. United States) stand out as expected but could not give enough room to deeper analysis. As already mentioned in our work we sum up number of delivered units without considering the value of the units (e.g. hundreds of portable radio equipment is worth much less than one single fighter jet). This could lead easily, especially comparing low number exporter/importer, to false considerations. However it should be considered how just a simple economic analysis and report about the economic values of a weapon model or category could significantly improve the results.

7 Conclusion

Through the development of this project we learned more about the considerations and design choices that take place when working on a visual analytics project but also we faced the importance of a well formatted data to make comparisons and analysis easier and more reasonable.

References

- [1] d3.js official page:
<https://d3js.org/>
- [2] SIPRI datasets page:
<https://www.sipri.org/databases/armstransfers>
- [3] SIPRI page about sources and methods used:
<https://www.sipri.org/databases/milex/sources-and-methods>
- [4] Source suggesting azle.js for layout:
<https://towardsdatascience.com/creating-web-applications-with-d3-observable-d5c534>