

We simulate data from

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad (31)$$

where the random effect \mathbf{b} and the error variance $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ are assigned the distributions

$$\mathbf{b} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \eta\Phi) \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (1 - \eta)\mathbf{I}) \quad (32)$$

and $\eta = 0.1$. $n = 1k$, $p = 5k$, $X_{kinship} = 10k$. 1% of the 5k SNPs are causal.

Scenario 1

All the causal SNPs are included in the calculation of the kinship matrix.

$$\mathbf{X}^{(kinship)} = [\mathbf{X}^{(other)}; \mathbf{X}^{(causal)}]$$

Say why this scenario is interesting

Scenario 2

None of the causal SNPs are included in the calculation of the kinship matrix.

$$\mathbf{X}^{(kinship)} = [\mathbf{X}^{(other)}]$$

Say why interesting

Explain that these are 2 extremes