



Ecosystem Status Report for the U.S. Caribbean



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Marine Fisheries Service
Southeast Fisheries Science Center
75 Virginia Beach Drive
Miami, Florida 33149
February 2024

Table of contents

1. Executive Summary	1
2. Introduction	2
2.1. About this report	2
2.2. Report structure	2
2.2 Notes on interpreting time series figures	3
3. Fishery management plan objectives and conceptual models	5
4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives	11
Degree heating weeks	11
Ocean acidification via aragonite saturation state	11
Hurricane activity	12
Turbidity	13
Sea surface temperature	14
Marine debris	15
Identified point source pollution sites	16
Primary productivity via ocean color	17
Coastal development	18
Number of major earthquakes	20
Market disturbances	20
Sargassum inundation	21
Tourism	22
Human population	22
5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives	24
5.1 Food production	24
Fishery independent surveys of economically important species	24
Pelagic:demersal ratio	26
Maximum length and size structure	27

Table of contents

Commercial landings	29
5.2 Socioeconomic health	29
Total, lobster and conch revenues	29
Total, lobster and conch trips	31
Ocean economy employment and wages	34
GDP	35
Unemployment	36
5.3 Equity	37
Gini coefficient for distribution of landings and revenue	37
Environmental justice, economic, and gentrification pressure	38
5.4 Engagement and participation	42
Recreational landings	42
Commercial fishing engagement and reliance	43
5.5 Bycatch reduction	47
Changes in gear type	47
5.5 Governance	50
Number of amendments implemented	50
Percent of species with informative catch limits	51
Number of education and outreach events	51
Number of enforcement actions	52
5.6 Protection of ecosystems	52
Percent coral cover and coral species diversity	52
6. Integrated ecosystem perspectives	54
7. Research Recommendations	55
Data gaps	55
8. Acknowledgements	56
9. Contributors	57
9. References	58
10. Data source table	59

List of Figures

1	Process for selecting indicators for the U.S. Caribbean Ecosystem Status Report	3
2	Example time series plot, showing an indicator plotted with its mean and standard deviation, and trend analysis for the most recent five years of data. See text for more detailed description of specific calculations.	4

List of Tables

1. Executive Summary

Here is where we will paste the executive summary

2. Introduction

2.1. About this report

This report is for the Caribbean Fishery Management Council (CFMC). The purpose of this report is to synthesize ecosystem and socioeconomic information to help the CFMC better meet fishery management objectives. This report relied on both previously identified proposed indicators as well as expert vetting to select a suite of indicators that best address the fishery management plan (FMP) objectives for the U.S. Caribbean. Information in this report is organized into two sections: tracking performance toward fishery management objectives and potential risks to meeting fishery management objectives. The style of this report is based on the 2023 State of the Ecosystem Reports for the Northeast U.S. Shelf.

2.2. Report structure

The CFMC's Science and Statistical Committee, as well as the region's Ecosystem-Based Fishery Management Technical Advisory Panel (EBFM TAP), recently completed a series of conceptual models linking key components of the ecosystem and human activities related to fishing. This report used these conceptual models as a starting list of proposed indicators and matched the indicators to answer FMP objectives when possible. For those objectives that did not have an immediate conceptual model-identified indicator, this report used a decision matrix process for expert vetting (Figure 1).

This decision matrix was composed of a list of proposed indicators compiled from the conceptual models as well as proposed indicators provided via expert input. These potential indicators were vetted and edited by expert small working groups, who then scored a decision matrix (Figure 2) of potential indicators against the following decision criteria: long term data availability, measurability, sensitivity to environmental changes, specificity, spatial and temporal scalability, relevance to specific FMP objectives, and responsiveness to management actions.

Process for Caribbean ESR




Figure 1: Process for selecting indicators for the U.S. Caribbean Ecosystem Status Report

2.2 Notes on interpreting time series figures

Time series data are plotted in a standardized format for ease of interpretation (e.g., Figure 2). The x-axis represents the temporal dimension, which may be monthly, yearly, or irregular time steps, and the y-axis represents the indicator value in units specified in the axis label. The dashed horizontal line represents the mean indicator value across the entire time series, and the solid horizontal lines denote the mean plus or minus one standard deviation. Red shaded areas and green shaded areas show years for which the indicator value is below or above one standard deviation from the mean, respectively. The blue vertical shaded box highlights the last five years of indicator values, over which additional metrics are calculated. Black circles to the right of each figure indicate whether the indicator values over the last five years are greater (plus sign), less than (minus sign), or within (solid circle) one standard deviation from the mean of the overall time series. Arrows to the right of each figure indicate whether the least squares linear fit through the last five years of data produces a positive or negative slope that is greater than one standard deviation (upward or downward arrows respectively), or less than one standard deviation (left-right arrow).

2. Introduction




Figure 2: Example time series plot, showing an indicator plotted with its mean and standard deviation, and trend analysis for the most recent five years of data. See text for more detailed description of specific calculations.

3. Fishery management plan objectives and conceptual models

This report's indicator selection process sought to select indicators that corresponded to the island based fishery management plan (FMP) objectives in order to track performance, and also selected indicators related to risks to meeting these management objectives. The following figure shows indicators selected per FMP objective. Indicators were also sourced and considered from the conceptual model exercise completed by the Council's Science and Statistical Committee and District Advisory panels, which began in 2019. Top scored connections in ecosystem components were considered in the ESR indicator suite as well (Rivera et al, in publication).

3. Fishery management plan objectives and conceptual models

FMP Objective	Col2	Col3	Col4	Col5	Col6
Objective 1: Provide for long-term sustainable use of fisheries resources within the limits of local ecosystem production using a precautionary, ecosystem-based approach to management that accounts for uncertainty and relevant biological, ecological, economic, and social factors in the fishery, including the benefits of food production, recreational opportunities, and protection of marine ecosystems . Prevent overfishing, rebuild overfished stocks, and achieve OY on a continuing basis.	Total commercial landings, lobster landings, conch landings	Sustainability of economically important reef fish-FID from RVC on mutton, yellowtail, red hind, and queen triggerfish			
Objective 2: Reduce bycatch and waste in the fishery.					
Objective 3: Ensure the metrics upon which OY is based are derived from the best available scientific information and are updated continuously every five years to respond to changing ecological, biological, economic, and social conditions.					
Objective 4: Promote international and domestic cooperation in the management of pan-Caribbean stocks.					

3. Fishery management plan objectives and conceptual models

FMP Objective	Col2	Col3	Col4	Col5	Col6
Objective 5: Minimize conflicts between stakeholders by promoting effective marine spatial planning					
Objective 6: Promote fair and equitable use of fishery resources , recognizing the importance of those resources to fishing communities within the context of differences in local environment, culture, markets, user groups, gears, and seafood preferences.					
Objective 7: Establish resource access permits as necessary and appropriate to facilitate data collection, sustainability, and long-term yield.					
Objective 8: Provide flexibility in the management process which minimizes regulatory delay and allows for rapid adaptation to changing resource abundance, availability, health, or preference , using the best available scientific and socio-economic information.					

3. Fishery management plan objectives and conceptual models

FMP Objective	Col2	Col3	Col4	Col5	Col6
Objective 9: Devise a regulatory framework that maximizes the efficiency and efficacy of enforcement efforts within and across jurisdictional boundaries while promoting the safe conduct of fishing operations.					
Objective 10: Promote awareness of laws and regulations governing marine resource management and the science and social obligations that support that management, and to ensure informed public input into the management process.					
Objective 11: Ensure the socioeconomic health of the fishing communities dependent on federal fishery resources.					
Objective 12: Protect spawning aggregations and, when needed, the habitats supporting those aggregations to ensure the future health of the resource.					

3. Fishery management plan objectives and conceptual models

FMP Objective	Col2	Col3	Col4	Col5	Col6
Objective 13: Describe and identify EFH, adverse impacts on EFH, and other actions to conserve and enhance EFH. Adopt management measures that minimize adverse impacts from fishing on EFH and promote habitat conservation, including designation of specific habitat areas of particular concern within EFH for more focused management action.					
Objective 14: Map, define, and manage habitat upon which the resource depends, with particular emphasis on coral reef resources throughout the region.					
Objective 15: Ensure continued provision of ecosystem services derived from living marine resources , including adequate abundance of forage resources to ensure a healthy and diverse trophic web.					
Objective 16: Account for ecological relationships and functional roles of species in the fishery that contribute to a healthy ecosystem, such as grazers, forage fish, habitat-builders, and top predators.					

3. Fishery management plan objectives and conceptual models


FMP Objective	Col2	Col3	Col4	Col5	Col6
Objective 17: Require essential scientific data is gathered and analyzed in advance to guide the development of new fisheries to ensure they are sustainable from the start.					
Objective 18: Promote measures to develop and sustainably manage underutilized marine fishery resources.					

4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives

In this section, we examine indicators...

Degree heating weeks


Indicator 1



Ocean acidification via aragonite saturation state

Indicator 2


4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives



Hurricane activity

Indicator 3


4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives



Turbidity

Indicator 4


4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives



Sea surface temperature

Indicator 5


4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives



Marine debris

Indicator 6


4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives



Identified point source pollution sites

Indicator 7


4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives



Primary productivity via ocean color

Indicator 8


4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives



Coastal development

Indicator 9


4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives



4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives

Number of major earthquakes


Indicator 10



Market disturbances

Indicator 11


4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives



Sargassum innundation

Indicator 12

4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives




Tourism

Indicator 13

Human population

Indicator 14

4. Risks to meeting fishery management objectives




5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives

In this section, we examine indicators related to broad, ecosystem-level fishery management objectives.


5.1 Food production

Fishery independent surveys of economically important species

Indicator 15 - abundance of economically important fish




5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives


Indicator 16 - abundance of commercial fish and slope of the size spectrum based on TCRMP and PRCRMP



Pelagic:demersal ratio

Indicator 18


5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



Maximum length and size structure

Indicator 19 - Lmax indicator


5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives

Commercial landings

Indicator 20 - total landings





5.2 Socioeconomic health


Total, lobster and conch revenues

Indicator 21 - percent revenues by species group

5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives




5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives




Total, lobster and conch trips

Indicator 22 - number of trips


5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives




5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



Ocean economy employment and wages

Indicator 23


5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



GDP

Indicator 24


5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



Unemployment

Indicator 25

5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives




5.3 Equity

Gini coefficient for distribution of landings and revenue

Indicator 26

5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



Environmental justice, economic, and gentrification pressure

Indicator 27


5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives




5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives




5.4 Engagement and participation

Recreational landings

Indicator 28


5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives




Commercial fishing engagement and reliance

Indicator 29


5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives




5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives




5.5 Bycatch reduction


Changes in gear type

Indicator 30


5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



5.5 Governance


Number of amendments implemented

Indicator 31

5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives

Percent of species with informative catch limits


Indicator 32



Number of education and outreach events

Indicator 33

5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



Number of enforcement actions


Indicator 34

5.6 Protection of ecosystems

Percent coral cover and coral species diversity

Indicator 35

5. Tracking performance toward fishery management objectives



6. Integrated ecosystem perspectives

Stoplight plot (maybe this should be in the beginning material)

7. Research Recommendations

Data gaps

8. Acknowledgements

9. Contributors

Editors Mandy Karnauskas, Carissa Gervasi

Contributors Kelly Montenero, Seann Regan, Amy Freitag, Andrea Chan, Chuanmin Hu, Erica K. Towle, Laura Jay Grove, Jeremiah Blondeau, Sarah Groves, Shay Viehman, Nicole Besemer, Juan Agar, Kevin McCarthy, Manoj Shivlani, Mike Jepson, Adyan Rios, Matt McPherson, Miguel Figuerola, Nicole Angeli, Sennai Habtes, Dione Swanson, Liajay Rivera

9. References

10. Data source table