GMM

Yuanye Liu 2023.10

Introduction

It'a a very simple and trivial derivation for GMM.

Content

- 1. Likelihood [Link]
- 2. EM derivation Link
- 3. EM solution for GMM [Link]
- 4. Image segmentation through GMM [Link]
- 5. Multi-component GMM [Link]

Likelihood

The likelihood function in GMM for each observation x_i is,

$$P(x_i \mid heta) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k f_k(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \Phi_k(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2)$$

Under the independence of pixel assumption, the likelihhod is,

$$L(heta) = P(x \mid heta) = \prod_i P(x_i \mid heta) = \prod_i \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \Phi_k(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2)$$

Then log-likelihood

$$l(heta) = \log L(heta) = \sum_i \log(\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \Phi_k(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2))$$

we can note here we have a log-summation which is difficult to solve in MLE.

Introduce a latent variable Z

To address the problem above, we introuduce a latent variable Z, where $Z_i = k$ implies i_{th} observation belonging to k_{th} class.

$$P(x_i \mid \theta) = \sum_k P(x_i, Z_i = k \mid \theta) = \sum_k P(Z_i = k \mid \theta) P(x_i \mid Z_i = k, \theta) = \sum_k \pi_k \Phi_k(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2)$$

Prior distribution

We can find that the prior distribution of Z is,

$$P(Z_i = k \mid \theta) = \pi_k$$

Posterior distribution

And the posterior of Z is,

$$P(Z_i = k \mid x_i, heta) = rac{P(Z_i = k \mid heta)P(x_i \mid z_i = k, heta)}{\int_I P(Z_i = l \mid heta)P(x_i \mid z_i = l, heta)}$$

which is the result we want for segmentation.

Joint distribuiton

We compute the joint distribution

$$P(x_i, Z_i \mid heta) = \prod_{k=1}^K (P(x_i, Z_i = k \mid heta))^{1(Z_i = k)} = \prod_{k=1}^K (\pi_k \Phi_k(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2))^{1(Z_i = k)}$$

Complete data likelihood

The complete likelihood (including latent variables) is,

$$egin{aligned} L_{com}(heta \mid x, Z) &= P(x, Z \mid heta) \ &= \prod_{i=1}^N P(x_i, Z_i \mid heta) \ &= \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^K (P(x_i, Z_i = k \mid heta))^{1(Z_i = k)} \ &= \prod_{k=1}^K (\pi_k \Phi_k(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2))^{1(Z_i = k)} \end{aligned}$$

log-likelihood:

$$egin{aligned} l_{com}(heta \mid x, Z) &= \log L(heta \mid x, Z) \ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{1}(Z_i = k) \{\log \pi_k + \log \Phi_k(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2)\} \end{aligned}$$

EM derivation

Now we derive the EM solution,

The objective is to maximize log-likelihood, we do a decomposition first,

$$\log P(x \mid \theta) = \log P(x, Z \mid \theta) - \log P(Z \mid x, \theta)$$

Take conditional expectation on both sides w.r.t. $Z \mid x, \theta^{[m]}$

$$\mathbb{E}_{Z\mid x, heta^{[m]}} \log P(x\mid heta) = \mathbb{E}_{Z\mid x, heta^{[m]}} \log P(x, Z\mid heta) - \mathbb{E}_{Z\mid x, heta^{[m]}} \log P(Z\mid x, heta)$$

We denote as

$$\log P(x\mid heta) := l(heta) = Q(heta\mid heta^{[m]}) - C(heta\mid heta^{[m]})$$

The update in $l(\theta)$:

$$l(\theta^{[m+1]}) - l(\theta^{[m]}) = Q(\theta^{[m+1]} \mid \theta^{[m]}) - Q(\theta^{[m]} \mid \theta^{[m]}) - \{C(\theta^{[m+1]} \mid \theta^{[m]}) - C(\theta^{[m]} \mid \theta^{[m]})\}$$

For *C*:

$$\begin{split} C(\theta^{[m+1]} \mid \theta^{[m]}) - C(\theta^{[m]} \mid \theta^{[m]}) &= \mathbb{E}\{\log \frac{P(Z \mid x, \theta^{[m+1]})}{P(Z \mid x, \theta^{[m]})} \mid x, \theta^{[m]}\} \\ &\leq \log \mathbb{E}\{\frac{P(Z \mid x, \theta^{[m+1]})}{P(Z \mid x, \theta^{[m]})} \mid x, \theta^{[m]}\} \\ &= \log \int_{Z} \frac{P(Z \mid x, \theta^{[m+1]})}{P(Z \mid x, \theta^{[m]})} P(Z \mid x, \theta^{[m]}) \mathrm{d}Z \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

So we have,

$$l(\theta^{[m+1]}) - l(\theta^{[m]}) \ge Q(\theta^{[m+1]} \mid \theta^{[m]}) - Q(\theta^{[m]} \mid \theta^{[m]})$$

So Q function is a lower bound of l maximizeing $l(\theta)$ is reduced to,

$$\max_{\theta} Q(\theta \mid \theta^{[m]}) = \max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}\{\log P(x, Z \mid \theta) \mid x, \theta^{[m]}\}$$

EM solution for GMM

E-step: compute Q function

$$egin{aligned} Q(heta \mid heta^{[m]}) &= \mathbb{E}\{\log P(x, Z \mid heta) \mid x, heta^{[m]}\} \ &= \mathbb{E}\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} 1(Z_i = k) (\log \pi_k + \log \Phi_k(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2)) \mid x, heta^{[m]}\} \ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}\{1(Z_i = k) \mid x, heta^{[m]}\} (\log \pi_k + \log \Phi_k(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2)) \ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} P\{Z_i = k \mid x, heta^{[m]}\} (\log \pi_k + \log \Phi_k(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2)) \ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{ik}^{[m+1]} (\log \pi_k + \log \Phi_k(x_i; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2)) \end{aligned}$$

We denote the posterior as,

$$\gamma_{ik}^{[m+1]} = P(Z_i = k \mid x_i, heta^{[m]}) = rac{P(Z_i = k \mid heta^{[m]}) P(x_i \mid z_i = k, heta^{[m]})}{\int_l P(Z_i = l \mid heta^{[m]}) P(x_i \mid z_i = l, heta^{[m]})} = rac{\pi_k^{[m]} \Phi(x_i; \mu_k^{[m]}, \sigma_k^{2[m]})}{\int_l \pi_l^{[m]} \Phi(x_i; \mu_l^{[m]}, \sigma_l^{2[m]})}$$

M-Step:Maximize Q function

Simplify letting the derivative equalling to zero, and we have

 π_k :

$$\pi_k^{[m]} = rac{1}{N} \sum_i \gamma_{ik}^{[m]}$$

 μ_k :

$$\mu_k^{[m]} = rac{\sum_i \gamma_{ik}^{[m]} x_i}{\sum_i \gamma_{ik}^{[m]}}$$

 σ_k^2 :

$$\sigma_k^{2[m]} = rac{\sum_i \gamma_{ik}^{[m]} (x_i - \mu_k^{[m]})^2}{\sum_i \gamma_{ik}^{[m]}}$$

A variational perspective of EM

Here I want to introduce a variational perspective of EM.

Similarly, we do a decomposition,

$$\begin{split} l(\theta) &= \log P(x \mid \theta) = \log P(x, Z \mid \theta) - \log P(Z \mid x, \theta) \\ &= \log \frac{P(x, Z \mid \theta)}{q(z)} - \log \frac{P(Z \mid x, \theta)}{q(z)} \\ &= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \frac{P(x, Z \mid \theta)}{q(z)} - \sum_{z} q(z) \log \frac{P(Z \mid x, \theta)}{q(z)} \\ &= L(q, \theta) + KL(q(z) || P(Z \mid x, \theta)) \end{split}$$

We know KL divergence is non-negative, so the $L(q, \theta)$ is a lower bound for $l(\theta)$.

E-step

Given $\theta^{[m]}$ fixed, maximize $L(q,\theta^{[m]})$ w.r.t q(z).

 $l(\theta)$ is not related to q, so if KL term equals zero, we can have max $L(q,\theta)$. That is to say, $q(z)=P(Z\mid x,\theta^{[m]})$, and meanwhile,

$$l(heta^{[m]}) = L(q, heta^{[m]})$$

M-step

Given $q(z) = P(Z \mid x, \theta^{[m]})$ fixed, maximize $L(q(z), \theta)$ w.r.t θ .

$$\begin{split} L(P(Z\mid x, \theta^{[m]}), \theta) &= \sum_{z} P(Z\mid x, \theta^{[m]}) \log P(x, Z\mid \theta) - \sum_{z} P(Z\mid x, \theta^{[m]}) \log P(Z\mid x, \theta^{[m]}) \\ &= Q(\theta\mid \theta^{[m]}) + const \end{split}$$

Which is the update we have proved above,

$$\theta = \argmax_{\theta} Q(\theta \mid \theta^{[m]}) = \argmax_{\theta} \mathbb{E}\{\log P(x, Z \mid \theta) \mid x, \theta^{[m]}\}$$

Get segmentation from GMM

The label is the latent variable value whose posterior is biggest.

$$label = rg \max_{k} P(Z_i = k \mid x, heta) rg \max_{k} = rac{P(Z_i = k \mid heta) P(x \mid z_i = k, heta)}{\int_{I} P(Z_i = l \mid heta) P(x \mid z_i = l, heta)} = rg \max_{k} P(x, Z_i = k \mid heta)$$

Multi-component GMM

Multi-component GMM is several level of GMM, i.e., the component of GMM is a GMM.

$$egin{aligned} P(I_i(x) \mid heta) &= \sum_{k \in K} \pi_k P(I_i(x) \mid s(x) = k, heta) \ &= \sum_{k \in K} \pi_k \sum_{c \in C_k} au_{ick} P(I_i(x) \mid z_i(x) = c, s(x) = k) \ &= \sum_{k \in K} \pi_k \sum_{c \in C_k} au_{ick} \Phi(I_i(x); \mu_{ikc}, \sigma^2_{ikc}) \end{aligned}$$