1 Generative modelling

Learn $p_{\text{model}} \approx p_{\text{data}}$, sample from p_{model} .

- Explicit density: Approximate:
- * Variational: VAE, Diffusion
- * Markov Chain: Boltzmann machine
- Tractable:
- * Autoregressive: FVSBN/NADE/MADE Pixel(c/R)NN, WaveNet/TCN, Autor. Transf.,
- Normalizing Flows
- Implicit density:
- Direct: Generative Adversarial Networks
- MC: Generative Stochastic Networks

Autoencoder: $X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X$, $g \circ f \approx id$, f and gare NNs. Optimal linear autoencoder is PCA. Undercomplete: |Z| < |X|, else overcomplete. Overcomp. is for denoising, inpainting. polable. Autoencoder spaces are neither, so they are only good for reconstruction.

2 Variational AutoEncoder (VAE)

Sample z from prior $p_{\theta}(z)$, to decode use cor ditional $p_{\theta}(x \mid z)$ defined by a NN.

 $D_{\text{KL}}(P||Q) := \int_{x} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$: KL divergence, measure similarity of prob. distr. $D_{\text{KL}}(P||Q) \neq D_{\text{KL}}(Q||P), D_{\text{KL}}(P||Q) \geq 0$ $=\int_{z}p_{\theta}(x)$ Likelihood $p_{\theta}(x)$ $z)p_{\theta}(z)dz$ is hard to max., let enc. NN be $q_{\phi}(z \mid x)$, $\log p_{\theta}(x^i)$ $\mathbb{E}_{z} \left| \log p_{\theta}(x^{i} \mid z) \right| - D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{i}) || p_{\theta}(z)) +$ use ≥ 0 to ignore it; Orange is reconstruction | correctly. loss, clusters similar samples; Purple makes | NLL is a natural metric for autoreg. models, posterior close to prior, adds cont. and interp. hard to evaluate others. Orange – Purple is ELBO, maximize it.

 $x \xrightarrow{\text{enc}} \mu_{z|x}, \Sigma_{z|x} \xrightarrow{\text{sample}} z \xrightarrow{\text{dec}} \mu_{x|z}, \Sigma_{x|z} \xrightarrow{\text{sample}} \hat{x}$ Backprop through sample by reparametr.: z = $\mu + \sigma \epsilon$. For inference, use μ directly. Disentanglement: features should correspond to distinct factors of variation. Can be done

conditionally independent of given features y.| We can run an AR model in the latent space. 2.1 β -VAE $\max_{\theta,\phi} \mathbb{E}_x \mid \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}} \log p_{\theta}(x \mid z) \mid \text{to}$ with KKT: $\max Orange - \beta Purple$.

3 Autoregressive generative models

Autoregression: use data from the same input variable at previous time steps

Discriminative: $P(Y \mid X)$, generative: P(X, Y), mask M to avoid looking into the future: maybe with *Y* missing. Sequence models are generative: from $x_i \dots x_{i+k}$ predict x_{i+k+1} .

Tabular approach: $p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_i p(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{< i}),$ needs 2^{i-1} params. Independence assumption is too strong. Let $p_{\theta_i}(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{< i}) =$ Bern($f_i(\mathbf{x}_{< i})$), where f_i is a NN. Fully Visible Sigmoid Belief Networks: $f_i = \sigma(\alpha_0^{(i)} +$ $\alpha^{(i)} \mathbf{x}_{>i}^{\mathsf{T}}$, complexity n^2 , but model is linear.

Neural Autoregressive Density Estimator: add hidden layer. $\mathbf{h}_i = \sigma(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{W}_{\cdot, \cdot i} \mathbf{x}_{\cdot i}), \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i = | \text{VAs} \text{ dont have a tractable likelihood}$ $\sigma(c_i + V_i, h_i)$. Order of x can be arbitrary but AR models have no latent space. Want fixed. Train by max log-likelihood in O(TD), both. can use 2nd order optimizers, can use **teacher forcing**: feed GT as previous output.

Extensions: Convolutional; Real-valued: con-Latent space should be continuous and inter- ditionals by mixture of gaussians; Order-less and deep: one DNN predicts $p(x_k \mid x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_s})$

> Masked Autoencoder Distribution Estimator: mask out weights s.t. no information flows from x_d ... to \hat{x}_d . Large hidden layers is O(n). To do this, add a coupling layer: needed. Trains as fast as autoencoders, but sampling needs *D* forward passes.

PixelRNN: generate pixels from corner, dependency on previous pixels is by RNN (LSTM). **PixelCNN**: also from corner, but condition by CNN over context region (perceptive field) \Rightarrow parallelize. For conditionals use masked convolutions. Channels: model R from context, G from R + cont., B from G + Stack these for expressivity, $f = f_k \circ \dots f_1$ R + cont. Training is parallel, but inference is $D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{i}) || p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{i}))$. Red is intractable, sequential \Rightarrow slow. Use conv. stacks to mask

WaveNet: audio is high-dimensional. Use dilated convolutions to increase perceptive field with multiple layers.

AR does not work for high res images/video, convert the images into a series of tokens with an AE: Vector-quantized VAE. The codebook

with semi-supervised learning by making z is a set of vectors. $x \xrightarrow{\text{enc}} z \xrightarrow{\text{codebook}} z_q \xrightarrow{\text{dec}} \hat{x}$.

3.1 Attention \mathbf{x}_t is a convex combination of disentangle s.t. $D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x) || p_{\theta}(z)) < \delta$, the past steps, with access to all past steps. For $X \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D}$: $K = XW_K, V = XW_V, Q = XW_O$. Check pairwise similarity between query and keys via dot product: let attention weights be is a vector. Then $\log \det = \sum_i \log |\mathbf{s}_i|$: O(C) | Wasserstein GAN: different loss, gradients

$$X = \operatorname{Softmax} \left(\frac{(XW_Q)(XW_K)^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D}} + M \right) (XW_V)$$

Multi-head attn. splits W into h heads, then **StyleFlow**: Take StyleGAN and replace the ken. Attn. is $O(T^2D)$.

4 Normalizing Flows

Change of variable for x f(z): $p_x(x) = p_z(f^{-1}(x)) \left| \det \frac{\partial f^{-1}(x)}{\partial x} \right|$ $p_z(f^{-1}(x)) \left| \det \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} \right|^{-1}$. Map $Z \to X$ with a deterministic invertible f_{θ} . This can be a NN, Log-likelihood is not a good metric. We can but computing the determinant is $O(n^3)$. If have high likelihood with poor quality by mixthe Jacobian is triangular, the determinant

$$\begin{pmatrix} y^A \\ y^B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h(x^A, \beta(x^B)) \\ x^B \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{, where } \beta \text{ is any } \\ \text{model, and } h \text{ is elementwise.} \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^A \\ x^B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h^{-1}(y^A, \beta(y^B)) \\ y^B \end{pmatrix}, J = \begin{pmatrix} h' & h'\beta' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $p_x(x) = p_z(f^{-1}(x)) \prod_k \left| \det \frac{\partial f_k^{-1}(x)}{\partial x} \right|.$ Sample $z \sim p_z$ and get x = f(z).

• Squeeze: reshape, increase chan.

 ActNorm: batchnorm with init. s.t. output $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ for first minibatch. $y_{i,j} = s \odot x_{i,j} + b, x_{i,j} = (y_{i,j} - b)/s,$

ActNorm $\log \det = H \cdot W \cdot \sum_{i} \log |\mathbf{s}_{i}|$: linear. • 1 × 1 conv: permutation along channel dim. Init W as rand. ortogonal $\in \mathbb{R}^{C \times C}$ with det $\mathbf{W} = 1$. log det = PL(U + diag(s)), where P is a random fixed |- use k previous D for each G update. permut. matrix, L is lower triang. with $\overline{1s}$ on DCGAN: pool \rightarrow strided convolution, batch-

SRFlow: use flows to generate many high-res images from a low-res one. Adds affine injector between conv. and coupling layers. $h^{n+1} =$ $\exp(\beta_{\theta_s}^n(\mathbf{u})) \cdot \mathbf{h}^n + \beta_{\theta,b}(\mathbf{u}), \, \mathbf{h}^n = \exp(-\beta_{\theta_s}^n(\mathbf{u})) \cdot$ $(\mathbf{h}^{n+1} - \beta_{\theta,h}^n(\mathbf{u}))$, $\log \det = \sum_{i,j,k} \beta_{\theta,s}^n(\mathbf{u}_{i,j,k})$.

concatenates them. Positional encoding in-network $z \rightarrow w$ (aux. latent space) with a jects information about the position of the to- normalizing flow conditioned on attributes. **C-Flow**: condition on other normalizing

flows: multimodal flows. Encode original im-| age \mathbf{x}_B^1 : $\mathbf{z}_B^1 = f_\phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_B^1 \mid \mathbf{x}_A^1)$; encode extra info (image, segm. map, etc.) \mathbf{x}_{A}^{2} : $\mathbf{z}_{A}^{2} = g_{\theta}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{A}^{2})$; generate new image \mathbf{x}_{B}^{2} : $\mathbf{x}_{B}^{2} = f_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}_{B}^{1} \mid \mathbf{z}_{A}^{2})$. Flows are expensive for training and low res. The latent distr. of a flow needn't be \mathcal{N} .

5 Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

ing in noise and not losing much likelihood; or low likelihood with good quality by remembering input data and having sharp peaks there.

Generator $G: \mathbb{R}^Q \to \mathbb{R}^D$ maps noise z to data, **discriminator** $D: \mathbb{R}^D \to [0,1]$ tries to decide if data is real or fake, receiving both gen. outputs and training data. Train D for k steps for each step of *G*.

Training GANs is a min-max process, which are hard to optimize. V(G,D) = $\left| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{d}} \log(D(\mathbf{x})) + \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sim p_{m}} \log(1 - D(\hat{\mathbf{x}})) \right|$ For G the opt. $D^* = p_d(\mathbf{x})/(p_d(\mathbf{x}) + p_m(\mathbf{x}))$. Jensen-Shannon divergence (symmetric): $D_{\rm IS}(p\|q) = \frac{1}{2}D_{\rm KL}(p\|\frac{p+q}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}D_{\rm KL}(p\|\frac{p+q}{2}).$ Global minimum of $D_{IS}(p_d||p_m)$ is the glob. min. of V(G, D) and $V(G, D^*) = -\log(4)$. If G and D have enough capacity, at each update step D reaches D^* and $p_{\rm m}$ improves $V(p_{\rm m}, D^*) \propto \sup_{D} \int_{\mathbf{x}} p_{\rm m}(\mathbf{x}) \log(-D(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x},$ then $p_{\rm m} \to p_{\rm d}$ by convexity of $V(p_{\rm m}, D^*)$ wrt.

If D is too strong, G has near zero gradients and doesn't learn ($\log'(1-D(G(z))) \approx 0$). Use gradient ascent on $\log(D(G(z)))$ instead. Model collapse: G only produces one sample $H \cdot W \cdot \log |\det \mathbf{W}| : O(C^3)$. Faster: $\mathbf{W} := |$ or one class of samples. Solution: **unrolling**

 $p_{\rm m}$. These assumptions are too strong.

diag., U is upper triang. with 0s on diag., s | norm, no FC, ReLU for G, LeakyReLU for D. $\alpha = \text{Softmax}(OK^{\mathsf{T}}/\sqrt{D}), \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times T}$. Adding Conditional coupling: add parameter w to β . don't vanish. Adding gradient penalty for D stabilizes training. Hierarchical GAN: gener-VAEs, and blue are the extra loss functions. In policy iteration. But needs knowledge of p, transformed without accuracy loss. Usually ate low-res image, then high-res during train-| a sense VAEs are 1-step diffusion models. ing. StyleGAN: learn intermediate latent space W with FCs, batchnorm with scale and mean from W, add noise at each layer.

nipulate images in latent space, inpainting. If G predicts image and segmentation mask, we $\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t},t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}}\mathbf{x}_{t} - \frac{1-\alpha_{t}}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha_{t}}}\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}}\hat{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t},t)$, so the can use inversion to predict mask for any image, even outside the training distribution.

5.1 3D GANs 3D GAN: voxels instead of pixels. PlatonicGAN: 2D input, 3D output differ- $\|GD \text{ on } \nabla_{\theta}\| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta} (\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{0} + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_{t}} \epsilon, t)\|^{2}$. entiably rendered back to 2D for D.

HoloGAN: 3D GAN + 2D superresolution $|\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ if t > 1 else $\mathbf{z} = 0$; GAN

GRAF: radiance fields more effic. than voxels GIRAFFE: GRAF + 2D conv. upscale

EG3D: use 3 2D images from StyleGAN for features, project each 3D point to tri-planes. 5.2 Image Translation E.g. sketch $X \rightarrow \text{image}$ Y. Pix2Pix: $G: X \to Y$, $D: X, Y \to [0,1]$ GAN loss $+L_1$ loss between sketch and image.

Needs pairs for training.

CycleGAN: unpaired. Two GANs $F: X \rightarrow$ $Y, G: Y \to X$, cycle-consistency loss $F \circ G \approx$ id; $G \circ F \approx \text{id plus GAN losses for } F \text{ and } G$. BicycleGAN: add noise input. Vid2vid: video translation.

6 Diffusion models

High quality generations, better diversity, more stable/scalable.

Diffusion (forward) step q: adds noise to \mathbf{x}_t (not learned). Denoising (reverse) step p_{θ} : removes noise from \mathbf{x}_t (learned).

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{1-\beta}\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t \mathbf{I})$$
 $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t), \sigma_t^2 \mathbf{I})$
 β_t is the variance schedule (monotone \uparrow). Let $\alpha_t \coloneqq 1 - \beta_t, \overline{\alpha}_t \coloneqq \prod \alpha_i$, then $q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t}\mathbf{x}_0, (1-\overline{\alpha}_t)\mathbf{I}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t}\mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha}_t}\epsilon$. Denoising is not tractable naively: $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t) = q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1})q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})/q(\mathbf{x}_t), \ q(\mathbf{x}_t) = \int q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_0)q(\mathbf{x}_0)d\mathbf{x}_0$.

Conditioning on \mathbf{x}_0 we get a Gaussian. Learn dicting the mean.

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}_0) \ge \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)}\right) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1) - D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)||p(\mathbf{x}_T)) - \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1) - D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_t)) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1) - \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log$$

t-th denoising is just $\arg\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{2\sigma_q^2(t)} \|\mu_{\theta} - \mu_q\|_2^2 \Big|_{t=0}^{t=1} \frac{1}{2\sigma_q^2(t)} \|\mu_{\theta} - \mu_q\|_2^2 \Big|_{t=0}^{t=0} \frac{1}{2\sigma_q^2(t)} \|\mu_{\theta} - \mu_q\|_2^2 \Big|_{t=0}^{t=1} \frac{1$

NN learns to predict the added noise.

Training: $\operatorname{img} \mathbf{x}_0, t \sim \operatorname{Unif}(1...T), \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}),$

Sampling: $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$, for t = T downto 1: $S_t = s$, $S_t = a$

 $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} (\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1 - \alpha_t}{\sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t}} \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)) + \sigma_t \mathbf{z}.$

 $\sigma_t^2 = \beta_t$ in practice. t can be continuous.

6.1 Conditional generation Add input y to the $|\tilde{R}_{t+1} + \gamma \max_a Q(S', a) - Q(S, A)|$

ControlNet: don't retrain model, add layers that add something to block outputs.

Guidance: mix predictions of a conditional values. Loss is $(R + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_{\theta}(S', a'))$ and unconditional model, because conditional models are not diverse.

6.2 Latent diffusion models High-res images are expensive to model. Predict in latent space, decode with a decoder.

7 Reinforcement learning

Environment is a Markov Decision Process: dle continuous action spaces. Learn a states S, actions A, reward $r: S \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, transition $p: S \times A \to S$, initial $s_0 \in S$, dis- $|N(\mu_t, \sigma_t^2 \mid s_t)$. Sample trajectories: $p(\tau) = s_t$ count factor γ . r and p are deterministic, can $|p(s_1, a_1, \ldots, s_T, a_T)| = p(s_1) \prod \pi(a_t | s_t) p(s_{t+1} | s_t)$ be a distribution. Learn policy $\pi: S \to A$. a_t, s_t . This is on-policy. Value $V_{\pi}: S \to \mathbb{R}$, the reward from s under Eval: $J(\theta) := \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)}[\sum_{t} \gamma^{t} r(s_{t}, a_{t})]$. To opti- π . Bellman eq.: $G_t := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}, v_{\pi}(s) :=$ $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t \mid S_t = s] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} \mid S_t = s] = |\mathbf{REINFORCE}|$: MC sampling of τ . To reduce $\sum_{a}^{n} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s'} \sum_{r} p(s', r \mid s, a) [r + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_{t+1}]]$ $S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a}^{n} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r)$ $(s, a)[r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')]$. Can be solved via dynamic programming (needs knowledge of p), Monte-Carlo or Temporal Difference learning.

7.1 Dynamic programming Value iteration: compute optimal v_* , then π_* .

Policy iteration: compute v_{π} and π together. model $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t) \approx q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$ by pre- For any V_{π} the greedy policy (optimal) is $\pi'(s) = \arg\max_{a \in A} (r(s, a) + \gamma V_{\gamma}(p(s, a))).$

Bellman optimality: $v_*(s) = \max_a q_*(s, a) =$ $\max_{a} \sum_{s',r} p(s',r \mid s,a) [r + \gamma v_*(s')] \Rightarrow \text{update}$

iterates over all states and O(|S|) memory.

reach term. state.

7.3 Temporal Difference learning For each $s \rightarrow$ s' by action a update: $\Delta V(s) = r(s, a) +$ choose random action, else greedy.

7.4 Q-learning Q-value f.: $q_{\pi}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t]$

SARSA (on-policy): For each $S \rightarrow S'$ by action A update: $\Delta Q(S,A) = r(S,A) + \gamma Q(S',A') Q(S,A), Q(S,A) += \alpha \Delta Q(S,A), \alpha$ is LR.

Q-learning (off-policy/offline): $\Delta Q(S, A) =$

All these approaches do not approximate values of states that have not been visited.

7.5 Deep Q-learning Use NN to predict Q $(Q_{\theta}(S,A))^2$, backprop only through $Q_{\theta}(S,A)$ Store history in replay buffer, sample from it for training \Rightarrow no correlation in samples.

7.6 Deep Q-networks Encode state to low di-\\ 8.2 Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF) mensionality with NN.

7.7 Policy gradients Q-learning does not han policy directly instead, $\pi(a_t \mid s_t)$

mize, need to compute \mathbb{E} (see proofs).

variance, subtract baseline $b(s_t)$ from reward

7.8 Actor-Critic $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$

 $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sum_{t} \nabla \log \pi_{\theta}(a_{t}^{i} \mid s_{t}^{i}) (r(s_{t}^{i}, a_{t}^{i}) + \gamma V(s_{t+1}^{i}) V(s_t^i)$). π = actor, V = critic. Est. value with $|_{8.2.2}$ NeRF from sparse views Regularize ge-NN, not traj. rollouts.

7.9 Motion synthesis Data-driven: bad perf. 8.2.3 Fast NeRF render. and train. Replace out of distribution, needs expensive mocap. **DeepMimic:** RL to imitate reference motions while satisfying task objectives.

SFV: use pose estimation: videos \rightarrow train data. 8.3 3D Gaussian Splatting Alternative 8 Neural Implicit Representations

have self-intersections. **Implicit representa-** better.

represented as signed distance function values |2| 7.2 Monte Carlo sampling Sample trajectories, on a grid, but this is again n^3 . By UAT, approx. f with NN. Occupancy networks: preso we want $\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \approx \mu_q(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$. $\mu_q(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$ need full p, is unbiased, but high variance, dict probability that point is inside the shape. GAN **inversion**: find z s.t. $G(z) \approx x \Rightarrow \text{male}$ can be written as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\alpha_t}} \epsilon_0$, and exploration/exploitation dilemma, may not DeepSDF: predict SDF. Both conditioned on right product specifically images in latent space in painting. If input (2D image, class, etc.). Continuious, any topology/resolution, memory-efficient. NFs can model other properties (color, force, etc.). $\gamma V(s') - V(s)$. ε -greedy policy: with prob. ε 8.1 Learning 3D Implicit Shapes Inference: to get a mesh, sample points, predict occupancy/SDF, use marching cubes.

8.1.1 From watertight meshes Sample points in space, compute GT occupancy/SDF, CE loss. 8.1.2 From point clouds Only have samples on the surface. Weak supervision: loss = $|f_{\theta}(x_i)|^2 + \lambda \mathbb{E}_x(||\nabla_x f_{\theta}(x)|| - 1)^2$, edge points should have $\|\nabla f\| \approx 1$ by def. of SDF, $\hat{f} \approx 0$. 8.1.3 From images Need differentiable rendering 3D \rightarrow 2D. Differentiable Volumetric **Rendering**: for a point conditioned on encoded image, predict occupancy f(x) and RGB | color c(x). Forward: for a pixel, raymarch and root find $\hat{p}: f(\hat{p}) = 0$ with secant. Set pixel color to $c(\hat{p})$. **Backward**: see proofs.

 $(x, y, z, \theta, \phi) \xrightarrow{NN} (r, g, b, \sigma)$. Density is predicted before adding view direction θ , ϕ , then one layer for color. **Forward**: shoot ray, sample points along it and blend: $\alpha = 1 |\exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i), \delta_i = t_{i+1} - t_i, T_i = \prod_{i=1}^{i-1} (1 - \alpha_i),$ color is $c = \sum_{i} T_{i} \alpha_{i} c_{i}$. Optimized on many views of the scene. Can handle transparency/thin structure, but worse geometry. Needs many (50+) views for training, slow rendering for high res, only models static scenes.

8.2.1 Positional Encoding for High Frequency Details Replace x, y, z with pos. enc. or rand. Fourier feats. Adds high frequency feats.

ometry and color.

deep MLPs with learn. feature hash table + small MLP. For x interp. features between corners.

parametr.: Find a cover of object with Voxels/volum. primitives are inefficient $(n^3|\text{primitives}, \text{predict inside.})$ Or sphere clouds. compl.). Meshes have limited granularity and Both ineff. for thin structures. Ellipsoids are

prox. reconstruction. Each point has a 3D sistent, efficient. **Binary cross-entropy** as a convolution. Convolution: I'(i, j) = 12 RNN

 $(\mu')^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma'^{-1}(x-\mu')$, rest same as NeRF.

- 9 Parametric body models
- 9.1 Pictorial structure Unary terms and pairwise terms between them with springs.
- 9.2 Deep features Direct regression: predict joint coordinates with refinement.

Heatmaps: predict probability for each pixel, maybe Gaussian. Can do stages sequentially. 9.3 3D Naive 2D \rightarrow 3D lift works. But can't define constraints \Rightarrow 2m arms sometimes.

Skinned Multi-Person Linear model (SMPL) is the standard non-commerical model. 3D mesh, base mesh is ~7k vertices, designed PCA represent any person as weighted sum convergence. of 10-300 basis people, $T = S\beta + \mu$.

For pose, use **Linear Blend Skinning**. $t'_i = |$ structured loss is unbiased. High variance, ef- $\sum_{k} w_{ki} G_k(\theta, \mathbf{J}) \mathbf{t}_i$, where **t** is the T-pose po-|ficient, jumps a lot \Rightarrow may get out of local sitions of vertices, t' is transformed, w are min., may overshoot. Mini-batch: use m < nweights, G_k is rigid bone transf., θ is pose, J are samples. More stable, parallelized. **Polyak's** joint positions. Linear assumption produces artifacts. **SMPL**: $\mathbf{t}'_i = \sum_k w_{ki} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\mathbf{J}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}))(\mathbf{t}_i + | \boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{v})$. Move faster when high curv., con- $\mathbf{s}_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \mathbf{p}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})$). Adds shape correctives $\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) =$ $S\beta$, pose cor. $p(\theta) = P\theta$, J dep. on shape β . Predicting human pose is just predicting β , θ at future point. AdaGrad: $r = r + \nabla \odot \nabla$, and camera parameters.

- 9.3.1 Optimization-based fitting Predict 2D joint locations, fit SMPL to them by argmin find, learn $F: \Delta \theta = F(\frac{\partial L_{reproj}}{\partial \theta}, \theta^t, x)$. Issues: self-occlusion, no depth info, non-rigid deformation (clothes).
- 9.3.2 Template-based capture Scan for first frame, then track with SMPL.
- 9.3.3 Animatable Neural Implicit Surfaces Model base shape and w with 2 NISs. 10 ML

X, y). MLE $\theta \in \arg\max p(y \mid X, \theta)$ con-linear shift-equivariant T can be written summed on overlaps.

backward weights:
$$\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{(l)}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{ij}^{(l)}}\right]_k = f'(\mathbf{a})_k \cdot \mathbf{z}_j^{(l)}$$

 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{i}^{(l)}}$ = same, but no **z**.

10.1 Activation functions

9.3 3D Naive
$$2D \to 3D$$
 lift works. But can't define constraints $\Rightarrow 2m$ arms sometimes. **Skinned Multi-Person Linear model** (SMPL) is the standard non-commerical model. 3D mesh, base mesh is $\sim 7k$ vertices, designed by an artist. To produce the model, point clouds (scans) need to be aligned with the mesh **Shape deformation subspace**: for a with large mod have small gradient). Tanh

clouds (scans) need to be aligned with the prob. space. Sigmoid, tanh saturate (value mesh. **Shape deformation subspace**: for a with large mod have small gradient), Tanh set of human meshes T-posing, vectorize their is linear around 0 (easy learn), ReLU can vertices T and subtract the mean mesh. With blow up activation; piecewise linear \Rightarrow faster

10.2 GD algos **SGD**: use 1 sample. For sum **momentum**: velocity $\mathbf{v} = \alpha \mathbf{v} - \epsilon \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta), \theta =$ sistent or noisy grad. Nesterov's momen**tum**: $\mathbf{v} := \alpha \mathbf{v} - \epsilon \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta + \alpha \mathbf{v})$. Gets grad. $\Delta\theta = -\epsilon/(\delta + \sqrt{\mathbf{r}}) \odot \nabla$. Grads decrease fast for variables with high historical gradients, slow for low. But can decrease LR too earwith prior regularization. Argmin is hard to | ly/fast. **RMSProp**: $\mathbf{r} \coloneqq \rho \mathbf{r} + (1 - \rho)\nabla \odot \nabla$, use weighted moving average \Rightarrow drop history from distant past, works better for nonconvex. **Adam**: collect 1st and 2nd moments: $\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{m} \coloneqq \beta_1 \mathbf{m} + (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} \coloneqq \beta_2 \mathbf{v} + (1 - \beta_2) \nabla \odot \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} \coloneqq \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} \coloneqq \beta_2 \mathbf{v} + (1 - \beta_2) \nabla \odot \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} / (1 - \beta_2) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} / (1 - \beta_1)$ $\Delta\theta = -\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} + \epsilon} \hat{\mathbf{m}}.$

Gaussian. Use camera params. to project ("splat") Gaussians to 2D and differentiably render them. Adaptive density control moves/clones/merges points.

Rasterization: for each pixel sort Gaussians by depth, opacity $\alpha = o \cdot \exp(-0.5(x - b))$ and $\alpha = o \cdot \exp(-0.5(x - b))$ backward inputs: $\delta^{(l)} = \delta^{(l+1)} \cdot \frac{\partial z^{(l+1)}}{\partial z^{(l)}}$, $\frac{\partial z^{(l+1)}}{\partial z^{(l)}} = \delta^{(l+1)} \cdot \frac{\partial z^{(l+1)}}{\partial z^{(l+1)}} = \delta^{(l+1)} \cdot \frac{\partial z^{(l+1)}}{\partial$ $[k=i], \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{(l)} \partial \mathbf{z}^{(l)}} = \delta^{(l)} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{(l)}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i,j}^{(l)}}, \text{ backward bias:} \begin{vmatrix} \text{ROT}_{180}(z^{(l-1)}). \text{ Size after conv or pool: (in } + 2 \cdot \text{pad} - \text{dil} \cdot (\text{kern} - 1) - 1) / \text{stride} + 1, \text{ rounded} \end{vmatrix}$

1D conv as matmul: $\begin{vmatrix} k_2 & k_1 & \vdots \\ k_3 & k_2 & k_1 & 0 \\ 0 & k_3 & k_2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{vmatrix}$

Backprop example (rotate K):

$$[4] \qquad [1] \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\rightarrow Y' = Pool(Y) \quad \partial E/\partial Y' \rightarrow \partial E/\partial Y \rightarrow$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{K}} \rightarrow \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{V}}$$

Unpooling: nearest-neighbor (duplicate), bed of nails (only top left, rest 0), max-unpooling T is linear if $T(\alpha \mathbf{u} + \beta \mathbf{v}) = \alpha T(\mathbf{u}) + \beta T(\mathbf{v})$, (remember where max came from when pool-Perceptron converges in finite time iff data is invariant to f if $T(f(\mathbf{u})) = T(\mathbf{u})$, equiving. Learnable upsampling: transposed conv. linearly separable. MAP $\theta^* \in \arg\max p(\theta \mid | \arctan to f \text{ if } T(f(\mathbf{u})) = f(T(\mathbf{u}))$. Any output is copies of filter weighted by input,

 $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 1 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 1 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 1 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 1 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 1 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 1 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 1 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 1 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 1 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 1 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 2 hidden layer}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 2 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 2 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 2 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 2 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 2 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 2 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 2 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 2 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 2 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 2 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 2 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 3 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon. \text{ 3 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.}$ $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$ $\mathbf{W}^t \mathbf{h}_1$. If **W** is diagonaliz., $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{Q} \operatorname{diag} \lambda \mathbf{Q}^\mathsf{T} =$ $Q\Lambda Q^{\mathsf{T}}, QQ^{\mathsf{T}} = I \Rightarrow \mathbf{h}_t = (Q\Lambda Q^{\mathsf{T}})^t \mathbf{h}_1 =$ $(\mathbf{Q}(\operatorname{diag} \lambda)^t \mathbf{Q}^\mathsf{T})\mathbf{h}_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{h}_t$ becomes the dominant eigenvector of **W**. $\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_t}{\partial \mathbf{h}_k}$ has this issue. Long-term contributions vanish, too sensitive to recent distrations. Truncated BPTT: take

> **clipping** $\frac{\text{threshold}}{\|\nabla\|}\nabla$ fights exploding gradients. 12.1 LSTM We want constant error flow, not multiplied by W^t .

the sum only over the last κ steps. Gradient

- Input gate: which values to write,
- forget gate: which values to reset,
- output gate: which values to read,
- gate: candidate values to write to state.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{o} \\ \mathbf{g} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \tanh \end{pmatrix} W \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_t \\ \mathbf{h}_{t-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{c}_t = \mathbf{f} \odot \mathbf{c}_{t-1} + \mathbf{i} \odot \mathbf{g}$$

$$\mathbf{h}_t = \mathbf{o} \odot \tanh(\mathbf{c}_t)$$

13 Proofs

TODO: MLP backprop

tive, ignoring the effect from recurrence.

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \mathbf{h}_{t}(\rho(W), \mathbf{h}_{t-1}(W)) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial W} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}}{\partial W} =
\frac{\partial^{+} \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial W} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial^{+} \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial W} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}} \left[\frac{\partial^{+} \mathbf{h}_{t-1}}{\partial W} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-2}} \frac{\partial^{+} \mathbf{h}_{t-2}}{\partial W} + \dots \right] =
\sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{k}} \frac{\partial^{+} \mathbf{h}_{k}}{\partial W} \mid \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_{t}} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_{t}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}} \sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{k}} \frac{\partial^{+} \mathbf{h}_{k}}{\partial W}$$

 $\frac{1}{\gamma}\gamma < 1 \Rightarrow \exists \eta : \forall i \quad \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_i}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{i-1}} \right\| \leq \eta < 1, \text{ by induction over } i : \text{Ray } \hat{\mathbf{p}} = r_0 + \hat{d}\mathbf{w}, r_0 \text{ is camera pos., } \mathbf{w} \text{ is ray dir., } \hat{d} \text{ is ray dist.}$ $\left\| \prod^t \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_i}{\partial t} \right\| < n^{t-k} \text{ so the gradients vanish as } t \to \infty.$ Implicit def.: $f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \tau$. Diff.: $\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} = 0 \Rightarrow$ $\left\|\prod_{i=k+1}^t \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial h_{i-1}}\right\| \leq \eta^{t-k}$, so the gradients vanish as $t \to \infty$. Similarly, if $\lambda_1 > \gamma^{-1}$, then gradients explode.

KL for ELBO Let $p(z) = \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}), q(z \mid x) = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}), J :=$ dim z. By $\int p(z) \log q(z) dz = -\frac{J}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{J} \log \sigma_{q_i}^2$ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{\sigma_{p,j}^2 + (\mu_{p,j} - \mu_{q,j})^2}{\sigma^2}$ we have $\int q(z \mid x) \log p(z) dz = \begin{vmatrix} x_1 - x_0 & (x \mid x_1) \cdot f(x_1) \\ \text{Approximates Newton's method without derivatives.} \end{vmatrix}$ $-\frac{J}{2}\log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{J}(\sigma_i^2 + \mu_i^2)$ and $\int q(z \mid x)\log q(z \mid x)dz =$ $\frac{J}{2}\log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{J}(\log \sigma_i^2 + 1)$, so $-D_{KL}(q(z \mid x)||p(z)) =$ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{J} (1 + \log \sigma_i^2 - \mu_i^2 - \sigma_i^2)$

Optimal discriminator D^* maximizes V(G, D) $\int_{\mathcal{L}} p_d \log D(x) dx + \int_{\mathcal{L}} p(z) \log(1 - D(G(Z))) dz$ $\int_{\mathcal{X}} p_d \log D(x) dx + p_m(x) \log(1 - D(x)) dz, \text{ and for } f(y) = \left| \text{Linear algebra} \right| \det \left(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \right) = (1 + \mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u}) \det \mathbf{A}$ $a \log(y) + b \log(1-y) : f'(y) = \frac{a}{y} - \frac{b}{1-y} \Rightarrow f'(y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow y = 0$ $\frac{a}{a+b}, f''(\frac{a}{a+b}) = -\frac{a}{\left(\frac{a}{a+b}\right)^2} - \frac{b}{\left(1-\frac{a}{a+b}\right)^2} < 0 \text{ for } a, b > 0 \implies \text{max.}$ at $\frac{a}{a+b} \Rightarrow D^* = \frac{p_d(x)}{p_d(x) + p_m(x)}$

Expectation of reparam. $\nabla_{\varphi} \mathbb{E}_{p_{\varpi}(z)}(f(z)) = \nabla_{\varphi} \int p_{\varphi}(z) f(z) dz =$ $\nabla_{\varphi} \int p_{\varphi}(z) f(z) dz$ $= \nabla_{\varphi} \int p_{\varphi}(z) f(q(\epsilon, \varphi)) d\epsilon$ $\mathbb{E}_{p(\epsilon)}\nabla_{\varphi}f(q(\epsilon,\varphi))$

Bellman operator converges Want to prove that value iteration converges to the optimal policy: $\lim_{k\to\infty} (T^*)^k(V) = V_*$, where $T^*(V) = \max_{a \in A} \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a) (r(s, a) + \gamma V(s')).$ T* is a contraction mapping, i.e. $\max_{s \in S} |T^*(V_1(s)) - T^*(V_2(s))| \le$ $\gamma \max_{s \in S} |V_1(s) - V_2(s)|$: LHS $\leq \max_{s,a} |\sum_{s',r} p(s',r)|$ $|s,a|(r(s,a)+\gamma V_1(s'))-\sum_{s',r}p(s',r\mid s,a)(r(s,a)+\gamma V_2(s'))|=$ $\gamma \max_{s,a} |\sum_{s',r} p(s',r \mid s,a) (V_1(s') - V_2(s'))| = \text{RHS. By the}$ contraction th., T^* has a unique fixed point, and we know V^* is a FP of T^* . As $\gamma < 1$, LHS $(V, V^*) \rightarrow 0$ and $T^*(V) \rightarrow V_*$.

 $J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)}[r(\tau)] = \int p(\tau)r(\tau)d\tau.$ Policy gradients $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \int \nabla_{\theta} p(\tau) r(\tau) d\tau = \int p(\tau) \nabla_{\theta} \log p(\tau) r(\tau) d\tau =$ BPTT ρ is the identity function, ∂^+ is the immediate deriva- $\mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log p(\tau) r(\tau)] = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log p(\tau) r(\tau)]$. $\log p(\tau) = \log[p(s_1) \prod \pi_{\theta}(a_t \mid s_t) p(s_{t+1} \mid a_t, s_t)] = 0 +$ $\sum_{t} \log \pi_{\theta}(a_{t} \mid s_{t}) + 0$ $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)} [(\sum_{t} \nabla \log p_{\theta}(a_{t}^{i} \mid s_{t}^{i}))(\sum_{t} \gamma^{t} r(s_{t}^{i}, a_{t}^{i}))] : \max$ likelihood, trajectory reward scales the gradient. Implicit differentiation $\frac{dy}{dx}$ of $x^2 + y^2 = 1$: $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}(x^2 + y^2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}(1) \Rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}x^2 + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}y^2 = 0 \Rightarrow 2x + (\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u}y^2)\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x} = 0$ BPTT divergence Let λ_1 be the largest singular value of \mathbf{W}_{hh} , $\|\mathrm{diag}\,f'(\mathbf{h}_{i-1})\| < \gamma, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\|\cdot\|$ is the spectral norm. If $\lambda_1 < \gamma^{-1}$, then $\forall i$ $\|\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_i}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{i-1}}\| \le \|\mathbf{W}_{hh}^{\mathsf{T}}\|\|\mathrm{diag}\,f'(\mathbf{h}_{i-1})\| < \|\mathbf{W}_{hh}^{\mathsf{T}}\|\|\mathrm{diag}\,f'(\mathbf{h}_{i-1})\|$ $\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \mathbf{w} \frac{\partial \hat{d}}{\partial \theta} = 0 \implies \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} = \mathbf{w} \frac{\partial \hat{d}}{\partial \theta} = -\mathbf{w} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \mathbf{w} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta}$ Secant Method Line $(x_0, f(x_0)) \rightarrow (x_1, f(x_1))$, approx.: y = $\frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0}(x - x_1) + f(x_1), y = 0 \text{ at } x_2 = x_1 - f(x_1) \frac{x_1 - x_0}{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}$ Implicit plane from 3 points $(x_1, 0, 0), (0, y_1, 0), (0, 0, z_1) \Rightarrow$ $x/x_1 + y/y_1 + z/z_1 - 1 = 0$. More generally: let a, b any vectors on plane, $n = a \times b = (a_2b_3 - a_3b_2, a_3b_1 - a_1b_3, a_1b_2 - a_2b_1) \Rightarrow$ $n_1x + n_2y + n_3z + k = 0$, subst. any point to find k. Torus equation $(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - R)^2 + z^2 = r^2$, cent. 0, around z axis. = Derivatives $(f \cdot q)' = f'q + fq', (f/q)' = (f'q - fq')/q^2$ $= |(f \circ g)' = f'(g)g', (f^{-1})' = 1/f'(f^{-1}), (\log x)' = 1/x.$ Jensen's inequality $f(\mathbb{E}[X]) \leq \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ and $f(tx_1 + (1 - t))$ $t(x_2) \le tf(x_1) + (1-t)f(x_2)$ if f is convex, i.e. $\forall t \in$ $[0,1], x_1, x_2 \in X : f(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \le t f(x_1) + (1-t) f(x_2).$ Misc A translation vector is added. Bayes rule: $P(A \mid A)$ $(B) = P(B \mid A)P(A)/P(B).$