1 Generative modelling

Learn $p_{\text{model}} \approx p_{\text{data}}$, sample from p_{model} .

- Explicit density:
- Approximate:
- * Variational: VAE, Diffusion
- Markov Chain: Boltzmann machine
- Tractable:
- FVSBN/NADE/MADE * Autoregressive: Pixel(c/R)NN, WaveNet/TCN, Autor. Transf.
- Normalizing Flows
- Implicit density:
- Direct: Generative Adversarial Networks
- MC: Generative Stochastic Networks

Autoencoder: $X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X$, $q \circ f \approx id$, f and q | **forcing**: feed GT as previous output. are NNs. Optimal linear autoencoder is PCA. Overcomp. is for denoising, inpainting. Latent space should be continuious and inter- Masked Autoencoder Distribution Estima-

polable. Autoencoder spaces are neither, so they are only good for reconstruction.

2 Variational AutoEncoder (VAE)

Sample z from prior $p_{\theta}(z)$, to decode use conditional $p_{\theta}(x \mid z)$ defined by a NN.

gence, measure similarity of prob. distr. $D_{KL}(P||Q) \neq D_{KL}(Q||P), D_{KL}(P||Q) \geq 0$

Likelihood $p_{\theta}(x) = \int_{z} p_{\theta}(x \mid z) p_{\theta}(z) dz$ is hard to max., let enc. NN be $q_{\theta}(z \mid x)$, $\log p_{\theta}(x^i)$ =

 $\mathbb{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{i} \mid z) \right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{i}) || p_{\theta}(z)) + |$ Use conv. stacks to mask correctly.

 $D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{i}) || p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{i}))$. Red is intractable, NLL is a natural metric for autoreg. models, use ≥ 0 to ignore it; Orange is reconstruction hard to evaluate others. loss, clusters similar samples; Purple makes | WaveNet: audio is high-dimensional. Use di-| • Squeeze: reshape, increase chan. Orange – Purple is **ELBO**, maximize it.

 $x \xrightarrow{\mathrm{enc}} \mu_{z|x}, \Sigma_{z|x} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sample}} z \xrightarrow{\mathrm{dec}} \mu_{x|z}, \Sigma_{x|z} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sample}} \hat{x}$ Backprop through sample by reparametr.: z =

to distinct factors of variation. Can be done with semi-supervised learning by making z

disentangle s.t. $D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x) || p_{\theta}(z)) < \delta$ with KKT: max Orange – β Purple.

3 Autoregressive generative models

Autoregression: use data from the same input variable at previous time steps Discriminative: $P(Y \mid X)$, generative: P(X, Y), maybe with *Y* missing. Sequence models are

generative: from $x_i \dots x_{i+k}$ predict x_{i+k+1} .

tion is too strong. Let $p_{\theta_i}(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{< i})$ Bern $(f_i(\mathbf{x}_{< i}))$, where f_i is a NN. Fully Visi- 4 Normalizing Flows

ble Sigmoid Belief Networks: $f_i = \sigma(\alpha_0^{(i)} + | \text{VAs}| \text{ dont}| \text{ have a tractable likelihood}, |\mathbf{z}_B^1 = f_\phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_B^1 | \mathbf{x}_A^1); \text{ encode extra info (image, } \mathbf{x}_A^1)$ $\alpha^{(i)} \mathbf{x}_{< i}^{\mathsf{T}}$, complexity n^2 , but model is linear.

Neural Autoregressive Density Estimator: add hidden layer. $\mathbf{h}_i = \sigma(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{W}_{\cdot, < i} \mathbf{x}_{< i}), \hat{x}_i = |f(z)| p_x(x) = p_z(f^{-1}(x)) |\det \frac{\partial f^{-1}(x)}{\partial x}|$ $\sigma(c_i + \mathbf{V}_{i,i}\mathbf{h}_i)$. Order of **x** can be arbitrary but fixed. Train by max log-likelihood in O(TD), $p_z(f^{-1}(x)) \left| \det \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} \right|^{-1}$. Map $Z \to X$ with a can use 2nd order optimizers, can use **teacher**

Extensions: Convolutional; Real-valued: con-Undercomplete: |Z| < |X|, else overcomplete. ditionals by mixture of gaussians; Order-less and deep: one DNN predicts $p(x_k \mid x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_i})$

tor: mask out weights s.t. no information flows from x_d ... to \hat{x}_d . Large hidden layers needed. Trains as fast as autoencoders, but sampling needs D forward passes.

PixelRNN: generate pixels from corner, dependency on previous pixels is by RNN (LSTM). $D_{\text{KL}}(P||Q) := \int_{x} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$: KL diver- PixelCNN: also from corner, but condition by CNN over context region (perceptive field) \Rightarrow parallelize. For conditionals use masked convolutions. Channels: model R from context, $G | p_x(x) = p_z(f^{-1}(x)) \prod_k \left| \det \frac{\partial f_k^{-1}(x)}{\partial x} \right|$. from R + cont., B from G + R + cont. Training Sample $z \sim p_z$ and get x = f(z). is parallel, but inference is sequential \Rightarrow slow.

posterior close to prior, adds cont. and interp. lated convolutions to increase perceptive field | • ActNorm: batchnorm with init. s.t. with multiple layers.

AR does not work for high res images/video, $y_{i,j} = s \odot x_{i,j} + b$, $x_{i,j} = (y_{i,j} - b)/s$, convert the images into a series of tokens with $\log \det = H \cdot W \cdot \sum_i \log |\mathbf{s}_i|$: linear. an AE: Vector-quantized VAE. The codebook • 1 × 1 conv: permutation along

Disentanglement: features should correspond is a set of vectors. $x \xrightarrow{\text{enc}} z \xrightarrow{\text{codebook}} z_q \xrightarrow{\text{dec}} \hat{x}$. We can run an AR model in the latent space.

3.1 Attention \mathbf{x}_t is a convex combination of conditionally independent of given features y. the past steps, with access to all past steps. For 2.1 β -VAE $\max_{\theta,\phi} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_\phi} \log p_\theta(x \mid z) \right] \text{ to } \left| X \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D} : K = XW_K, V = XW_V, Q = XW_Q. \right|$

mask *M* to avoid looking into the future:

$$X = \operatorname{Softmax} \left(\frac{(XW_Q)(XW_K)^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D}} + M \right) (XW_V)$$

Multi-head attn. splits W into h heads, then StyleFlow: Take StyleGAN and replace the Tabular approach: $p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_i p(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{\leq i})$, concatenates them. Positional encoding injects network $\mathbf{z} \to \mathbf{w}$ (aux. latent space) with a needs 2^{i-1} params. Independence assump-information about the position of the token. normalizing flow conditioned on attributes. = Attn. is $O(T^2D)$.

AR models have no latent space. Change of variable for x deterministic invertible f_{θ} . This can be a NN but computing the determinant is $O(n^3)$. If the Jacobian is triangular, the determinant is O(n). To do this, add a coupling layer:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y^A \\ y^B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h(x^A, \beta(x^B)) \\ x^B \end{pmatrix} \quad \mbox{where } \beta \ \mbox{is any} \\ \mbox{model, and } h \ \mbox{is elementwise.}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^A \\ x^B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h^{-1}(y^A, \beta(y^B)) \\ y^B \end{pmatrix}, J = \begin{pmatrix} h' & h'\beta' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Stack these for expressivity, $f = f_k \circ \dots f_1$

ActNorm

output $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ for first minibatch.

channel dim. Init W as rand. ortogonal $\in \mathbb{R}^{C \times C}$ with det **W** = 1. log det = $H \cdot W \cdot \log |\det \mathbf{W}| : O(C^3)$. Faster: $\mathbf{W} :=$

PL(U + diag(s)), where P is a random fixed permut. matrix, L is lower triang. with 1s on diag., U is upper triang. with 0s on diag., s Check pairwise similarity between query and is a vector. Then $\log \det = \sum_i \log |\mathbf{s}_i|$: O(C)keys via dot product: let attention weights be | Conditional coupling: add parameter \mathbf{w} to β . $\alpha = \text{Softmax}(QK^{\mathsf{T}}/\sqrt{D}), \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times \mathsf{T}}$. Adding **SRFlow**: use flows to generate many high-res

images from a low-res one. Adds affine injector between conv. and coupling layers. \mathbf{h}^{n+1} $\exp(\beta_{\theta,s}^n(\mathbf{u})) \cdot \mathbf{h}^n + \beta_{\theta,b}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{h}^n = \exp(-\beta_{\theta,s}^n(\mathbf{u}))$ $(\mathbf{h}^{n+1} - \beta_{\theta,h}^n(\mathbf{u}))$, $\log \det = \sum_{i,j,k} \beta_{\theta,s}^n(\mathbf{u}_{i,j,k})$.

C-Flow: condition on other normalizing flows: multimodal flows. Encode original image \mathbf{x}_{p}^{1} : $\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{vmatrix}$ segm. map, etc.) \mathbf{x}_A^2 : $\mathbf{z}_A^2 = g_\theta^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_A^2)$; generate new image \mathbf{x}_{B}^{2} : $\mathbf{x}_{B}^{2} = f_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}_{B}^{1} \mid \mathbf{z}_{\Delta}^{2})$.

Flows are expensive for training and low res. The latent distr. of a flow needn't be \mathcal{N} .

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

Log-likelihood is not a good metric. We can have high likelihood with poor quality by mixing in noise and not losing much likelihood; or low likelihood with good quality by remembering input data and having sharp peaks there. **Generator** $G: \mathbb{R}^Q \to \mathbb{R}^D$ maps noise z to

data, **discriminator** $D: \mathbb{R}^D \to [0,1]$ tries to decide if data is real or fake, receiving both gen. outputs and training data. Train *D* for *k* steps for each step of *G*. Training GANs is a min-max process,

which are hard to optimize. V(G, D) = $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{d}} \log(D(\mathbf{x})) + \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sim p_{m}} \log(1 - D(\hat{\mathbf{x}}))$ For *G* the opt. $D^* = p_d(\mathbf{x})/(p_d(\mathbf{x}) + p_m(\mathbf{x}))$. Jensen-Shannon divergence (symmetric): $D_{\rm IS}(p||q) = \frac{1}{2}D_{\rm KL}(p||\frac{p+q}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}D_{\rm KL}(p||\frac{p+q}{2})$ Global minimum of $D_{IS}(p_d||p_m)$ is the glob.

min. of V(G, D) and $V(G, D^*) = -\log(4)$.

If G and D have enough capacity, at each update step D reaches D^* and $p_{\rm m}$ improves $V(p_{\rm m}, D^*) \propto \sup_{D} \int_{\mathbf{x}} p_{\rm m}(\mathbf{x}) \log(-D(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x},$ then $p_{\rm m} \to p_{\rm d}$ by convexity of $V(p_{\rm m}, D^*)$ wrt. $p_{\rm m}$. These assumptions are too strong.

If D is too strong, G has near zero gradients and doesn't learn $(\log'(1-D(G(z))) \approx 0)$. Use gradient ascent on $\log(D(G(z)))$ instead.

Model collapse: G only produces one sample or one class of samples. Solution: unrolling use k previous D for each G update. DCGAN: pool → strided convolution, batch-

norm, no FC, ReLU for G, LeakyReLU for D. Wasserstein GAN: different loss, gradients don't vanish. Adding gradient penalty for D stabilizes training. Hierarchical GAN: generate low-res image, then high-res during training. StyleGAN: learn intermediate latent space W with FCs, batchnorm with scale and mean from *W*, add noise at each layer.

ulate images in latent space, inpainting. If G predicts image and segmentation mask, we can use inversion to predict mask for any image, even outside the training distribution.

5.1 3D GANs 3D GAN: voxels instead of pix-| Sampling: $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$, for t = T downto 1: els. PlatonicGAN: 2D input, 3D output differ- $|\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ if t > 1 else $\mathbf{z} = 0$;

GRAF: radiance fields more effic. than voxels $|\sigma_t^2| = \beta_t$ in practice. t can be continuous.

GIRAFFE: GRAF + 2D conv. upscale EG3D: use 3 2D images from StyleGAN for model.

features, project each 3D point to tri-planes. 5.2 Image Translation E.g. sketch $X \rightarrow \text{image} \mid \text{that add something to block outputs.}$

Needs pairs for training.

id; $G \circ F \approx$ id plus GAN losses for F and G. BicycleGAN: add noise input.

Vid2vid: video translation.

6 Diffusion models

more stable/scalable.

moves noise from \mathbf{x}_t (learned).

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{1-\beta}\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t \mathbf{I})$$

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t), \sigma_t^2 \mathbf{I})$$

$$\beta_t \text{ is the variance schedule (monotone } \uparrow). \text{ Let } \alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t, \overline{\alpha}_t := \prod \alpha_i, \text{ then } q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t}\mathbf{x}_0, (1-\overline{\alpha}_t)\mathbf{I}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t}\mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha}_t}\epsilon.$$
Denoising is not tractable naively: $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t) = q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1})q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})/q(\mathbf{x}_t), \ q(\mathbf{x}_t) = \int q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_0)q(\mathbf{x}_0) d\mathbf{x}_0.$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t \mid S_t = \mathbf{s}] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1} + \gamma_t \mid \mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{s}]$$

$$S_{t+1} = s'] = \sum_a \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s'] = \sum_a \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s'] = \sum_a \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s' = \mathbf{s}$$

$$S_{t+1} = s'$$

model $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t) \approx q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$ by pre- $|\pi'(s)| = \arg\max_{a \in A} (r(s, a) + \gamma V_{\gamma}(p(s, a)))$. dicting the mean.

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}_0) \geq \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \right) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1) - D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)||p(\mathbf{x}_T)) - \sum_{t=2}^T \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)} D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t,\mathbf{x}_0)||p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t)),$$
 where orange and purple are the same as in VAEs, and blue are the extra loss functions. In a sense VAEs are 1-step diffusion models.

so we want $\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \approx \mu_q(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$. $\mu_q(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$ can be written as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}}\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha_t}}\sqrt{\alpha_t}}\epsilon_0$, and term. state.

GAN inversion: find z s.t. $G(z) \approx x \Rightarrow \text{manip-} \mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}} \mathbf{x}_{t} - \frac{1-\alpha_{t}}{\sqrt{1-\alpha_{t}}} \sqrt{\alpha_{t}} \hat{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t)$, so the 7.3 Temporal Difference learning For each $s \to \infty$ 8.1 Learning 3D Implicit Shapes Inference: to NN learns to predict the added noise.

Training: img \mathbf{x}_0 , $t \sim \text{Unif}(1...T)$, $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$

GD on $\nabla_{\theta} \| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta} (\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, t) \|^2$.

HoloGAN: 3D GAN + 2D superresolution GAN $\left| \mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} (\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha}_t}} \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)) + \sigma_t \mathbf{z}.$

6.1 Conditional generation Add input *y* to the

ControlNet: don't retrain model, add layers ues of states that have not been visited.

Y. Pix2Pix: $G: X \to Y$, $D: X, Y \to [0,1]$. Guidance: mix predictions of a conditional values. Loss is $(R + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_{\theta}(S', a'))$ GAN loss $+L_1$ loss between sketch and image. and unconditional model, because conditional $|Q_{\theta}(S,A)|^2$, backprop only through $Q_{\theta}(S,A)$ color to $C(\hat{p})$. Backward: see proofs. models are not diverse.

CycleGAN: unpaired. Two GANs $F: X \to [6.2 \text{ Latent diffusion models}]$ High-res images for training \Rightarrow no correlation in samples. $Y,G:Y\to X$, cycle-consistency loss $F\circ G\approx$ are expensive to model. Predict in latent space, 7.6 Deep Q-networks Encode state to low didecode with a decoder.

7 Reinforcement learning

(not learned). Denoising (reverse) step p_{θ} : re-|Value $V_{\pi}: S \to \mathbb{R}$, the reward from s under π . Bellman eq.: $G_t := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}, v_{\pi}(s) :=$ $\sum_a \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s'} \sum_r p(s', r \mid s, a) [r + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_{t+1} \mid s, a)]$ $[S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s',r} p(s',r \mid s,a)[r+1]$ $yv_{\pi}(s')$]]. Can be solved via dynamic programming (needs knowledge of p), Monte-Carlo or

7.1 Dynamic programming Value iteration: compute optimal v_* , then π_* .

Policy iteration: compute v_{π} and π together. Conditioning on \mathbf{x}_0 we get a Gaussian. Learn For any V_{π} the greedy policy (optimal) is

> **Bellman optimality**: $v_*(s) = \max_a q_*(s, a) =$ $= |\max_a \sum_{s',r} p(s',r \mid s,a)[r + \gamma v_*(s')] \Rightarrow \text{update}$ step: $V_{\text{new}}^*(s) = \max_{a \in A} (r(s, a) + \gamma V_{\text{old}}^*(s'))$ when $V_{\text{old}}^* = V_{\text{new}}^*$, we have optimal policy.

Converges in finite steps, more efficient than iterates over all states and O(|S|) memory.

s' by action a update: $\Delta V(s) = r(s, a) + |\text{get a mesh, sample points, predict occupan-}$ $\gamma V(s') - V(s)$. ε -greedy policy: with prob. cy/SDF, use marching cubes. ε choose random action, else greedy.

 $S_t = s, A_t = a$

A update: $\Delta Q(S,A) = r(S,A) + \gamma Q(S',A')$ - $Q(S,A), Q(S,A) += \alpha \Delta Q(S,A), \alpha$ is LR.

O-learning (off-policy/offline): $\Delta Q(S, A) = |_{8.1.3}$ From images Need differentiable render- $R_{t+1} + \gamma \max_a Q(S', a) - Q(S, A)$

Store history in replay buffer, sample from it 8.2 Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF)

mensionality with NN.

7.7 Policy gradients Q-learning does not han Environment is a Markov Decision Process: dle continuous action spaces. Learn a policy distates S, actions A, reward $r: S \times A \to \mathbb{R}$, rectly instead, $\pi(a_t \mid s_t) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_t^2 \mid s_t)$. Sam-High quality generations, better diversity, transition $p: S \times A \to S$, initial $s_0 \in S$, dis-ple trajectories: $p(\tau) = p(s_1, a_1, \dots, s_T, a_T) = 1$ count factor γ . r and p are deterministic, can $p(s_1) \prod \pi(a_t|s_t) p(s_{t+1}|a_t,s_t)$. This is on-policy. Diffusion (forward) step q: adds noise to \mathbf{x}_t be a distribution. Learn policy $\pi:S\to A$. Eval: $J(\theta)\coloneqq\mathbb{E}_{\tau\sim p_\theta(\tau)}[\sum_t \gamma^t r(s_t,a_t)]$. To optimize, need to compute \mathbb{E} (see proofs).

REINFORCE: MC sampling of τ . To reduce $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t \mid S_t = s] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} \mid S_t = s] = |\text{variance, subtract baseline } b(s_t) \text{ from reward.}$ 7.8 Actor-Critic $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sum_{t} \nabla \log \pi_{\theta} (a_{t}^{i} \text{Fourier feats. Adds high frequency feats.}$ $|s_t^i|(r(s_t^i, a_t^i) + \gamma V(s_{t+1})^i - V(s_t^i))). \ \pi = \text{actor}, V|$ = critic.

> 7.9 Motion synthesis Data-driven: bad perf. 8.2.3 Fast NeRF render, and train. Replace deep out of distribution, needs expensive mocap.

while satisfying task objectives.

8 Neural Implicit Representations

compl.). Meshes have limited granularity and have self-intersections. **Implicit representation**: $S = \{x \mid f(x) = 0\}$. Can be invertibly represented as signed distance function values render them. Adaptive density control moves/policy iteration. But needs knowledge of p, on a grid, but this is again n^3 . By UAT, ap-clones/merges points. prox. f with NN. Occupancy networks: pre-7.2 Monte Carlo sampling Sample trajectories, dict probability that point is inside the shape. t-th denoising is just arg min $_{\theta} \frac{1}{2\sigma_{a}^{2}(t)} \|\mu_{\theta} - \mu_{q}\|_{2}^{2}$, estimate v_{π} by averaging returns. Doesn't need DeepSDF: predict SDF. Both conditioned on μ') $\Sigma'^{-1}(x - \mu')$, rest same as NeRF. full p, is unbiased, but high variance, explo-input (2D image, class, etc.). Continuious, any ration/exploitation dilemma, may not reach topology/resolution, memory-efficient. NFs 9.1 Pictorial structure Unary terms and paircan model other properties (color, force, etc.). wise terms between them with springs.

8.1.1 From watertight meshes Sample points 7.4 Q-learning Q-value f.: $q_{\pi}(s, a) := \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t \mid]$ in space, compute GT occupancy/SDF, CE loss. 8.1.2 From point clouds Only have samples **SARSA** (on-policy): For each $S \to S'$ by action on the surface. Weak supervision: loss = $|f_{\theta}(x_i)|^2 + \lambda \mathbb{E}_x(||\nabla_x f_{\theta}(x)|| - 1)^2$, edge points should have $\|\nabla f\| \approx 1$ by def. of SDF, $f \approx 0$.

ing 3D \rightarrow 2D. Differentiable Volumetric All these approaches do not approximate val-Rendering: for a point conditioned on encoded image, predict occupancy f(x) and RGB 7.5 Deep Q-learning Use NN to predict Q-color c(x). Forward: for a pixel, raymarch and root find $\hat{p}: f(\hat{p}) = 0$ with secant. Set pixel

 $(x, y, z, \theta, \phi) \xrightarrow{\text{NN}} (r, g, b, \sigma)$. Density is predicted before adding view direction θ , ϕ , then one layer for color. **Forward**: shoot ray, sample points along it and blend: $\alpha = 1 \exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i), \delta_i := t_{i+1} - t_i, T_i := \prod_{i=1}^{i-1} (1 - \alpha_i),$ color is $c = \sum_{i} T_{i}\alpha_{i}c_{i}$. Optimized on many views of the scene. Can handle transparency/thin structure, but worse geometry. Needs many (50+) views for training, slow rendering for high res, only models static scenes.

8.2.1 Positional Encoding for High Frequency Details Replace x, y, z with pos. enc. or rand.

8.2.2 NeRF from sparse views Regularize geometry and color.

MLPs with learn. feature hash table + small **DeepMimic:** RL to imitate reference motions | MLP. For x interp. features between corners.

8.3 3D Gaussian Splatting Alternative **SFV**: use pose estimation: videos \rightarrow train data. **parametr.**: Find a cover of object with primitives, predict inside. Or sphere clouds. Both Voxels/volum. primitives are inefficient (n^3 | ineff. for thin structures. Ellipsoids are better. Initialize point cloud randomly or with an approx. reconstruction. Each point has a 3D Gaussian. Use camera params. to project transformed without accuracy loss. Usually ("splat") Gaussians to 2D and differentiably

> Rasterization: for each pixel sort Gaussians by depth, opacity $\alpha = o \cdot \exp(-0.5(x - 1))$

9 Parametric body models

9.2 Deep features Direct regression: predict joint coordinates with refinement.

Heatmaps: predict probability for each pixel, maybe Gaussian. Can do stages sequentially. 9.3 3D Naive 2D \rightarrow 3D lift works. But can't

define constraints \Rightarrow 2m arms sometimes.

Skinned Multi-Person Linear model (SMPL) is the standard non-commerical model. 3D mesh, base mesh is ∼7k vertices, designed by an artist. To produce the model, point clouds (scans) need to be aligned with the mesh. Shape deformation subspace: for a set of human meshes T-posing, vectorize their vertices *T* and subtract the mean mesh. With PCA represent any person as weighted sum of 10-300 basis people, $T = S\beta + \mu$.

For pose, use Linear Blend Skinning. $t'_i =$ $\sum_{k} w_{ki} G_k(\theta, \mathbf{J}) \mathbf{t}_i$, where **t** is the T-pose positions of vertices, t' is transformed, w are weights, G_k is rigid bone transf., θ is pose, J are joint positions. Linear assumption produces artifacts. SMPL: $\mathbf{t}'_i = \sum_k w_{ki} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{J}(\boldsymbol{\beta})) (\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{J}(\boldsymbol{\beta}))$ $\mathbf{s}_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \mathbf{p}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})$). Adds shape correctives $\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) =$ $S\beta$, pose cor. $p(\theta) = P\theta$, J dep. on shape β . Predicting human pose is just predicting β , θ

and camera parameters.

9.3.1 Optimization-based is added. Fitting Predict 2D joint locations, fit SMPL to them by argmin with prior regularization. Argmin is hard to find, learn $F: \Delta \theta = F(\frac{\partial L_{reproj}}{\partial \theta}, \theta^t, x)$. Issues: self-occlusion, no depth info, non-rigid deformation (clothes).

9.3.2 Template-based capture Scan for first frame, then track with SMPL.

9.3.3 Animatable Neural Implicit Surfaces Model base shape and w with 2 NISs.

10 Proofs

Policy gradients $J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)}[r(\tau)] = \int p(\tau)r(\tau) d\tau.$ $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \int \nabla_{\theta} p(\tau)r(\tau) d\tau = \int p(\tau)\nabla_{\theta} \log p(\tau)r(\tau) d\tau = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)}[\nabla_{\theta} \log p(\tau)r(\tau)] = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)}[\nabla_{\theta} \log p(\tau)r(\tau)].$ $\log p(\tau) = \log[p(s_1) \prod \pi_{\theta}(a_t \mid s_t)p(s_{t+1} \mid a_t, s_t)] = 0 + \sum_t \log \pi_{\theta}(a_t \mid s_t) + 0$

 $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)} [(\sum_{t} \nabla \log p_{\theta}(a_{t}^{i} \mid s_{t}^{i}))(\sum_{t} \gamma^{t} r(s_{t}^{i}, a_{t}^{i}))] : \max$ likelihood, trajectory reward scales the gradient

likelihood, trajectory reward scales the gradient.

Implicit differentiation $\frac{dy}{dx}$ of $x^2 + y^2 = 1$:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}(x^2 + y^2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}(1) \Rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}x^2 + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}y^2 = 0 \Rightarrow 2x + (\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y}y^2)\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x} = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow 2x + 2y\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x} = -\frac{x}{y}$$

DVR Backward pass
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{u} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{u}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{u}}{\partial \theta} \mid \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{u}}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial c_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial t_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta}$$

Ray $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = r_0 + \hat{d}\mathbf{w}$, r_0 is camera pos., \mathbf{w} is ray dir., \hat{d} is ray dist. Implicit def.: $f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \tau$. Diff.: $\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \mathbf{w} \frac{\partial \hat{d}}{\partial \theta} = 0 \implies \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} = \mathbf{w} \frac{\partial \hat{d}}{\partial \theta} = -\mathbf{w} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \mathbf{w}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta}$$

11 Appendix

Secant Method Line
$$(x_0, f(x_0)) \rightarrow (x_1, f(x_1))$$
, approx.: $y = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} (x - x_1) + f(x_1)$, $y = 0$ at $x_2 = x_1 - f(x_1) \frac{x_1 - x_0}{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}$.

Approximates Newton's method without derivatives.

Implicit plane from 3 points $(x_1, 0, 0), (0, y_1, 0), (0, 0, z_1) \Rightarrow x/x_1 + y/y_1 + z/z_1 - 1 = 0$. More generally: let a, b any vectors on plane, $n = a \times b = (a_2b_3 - a_3b_2, a_3b_1 - a_1b_3, a_1b_2 - a_2b_1) \Rightarrow n_1x + n_2y + n_3z + k = 0$, subst. any point to find k.

Torus equation $(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - R)^2 + z^2 = r^2$, cent. 0, around z axis. Derivatives $(f \cdot g)' = f'g + fg'$, $(f/g)' = (f'g - fg')/g^2$, $(f \circ g)' = f'(g)g'$, $(f^{-1})' = 1/f'(f^{-1})$.

Misc A translation vector is added.