1 Generative modelling

Learn $p_{\text{model}} \approx p_{\text{data}}$, sample from p_{model} .

- Explicit density:
- Approximate:
- * Variational: VAE, Diffusion
- Markov Chain: Boltzmann machine
- Tractable:
- FVSBN/NADE/MADE * Autoregressive: Pixel(c/R)NN, WaveNet/TCN, Autor. Transf.
- Normalizing Flows
- Implicit density:
- Direct: Generative Adversarial Networks
- MC: Generative Stochastic Networks

Autoencoder: $X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X$, $q \circ f \approx id$, f and q | **forcing**: feed GT as previous output. are NNs. Optimal linear autoencoder is PCA. Overcomp. is for denoising, inpainting. Latent space should be continuious and inter- Masked Autoencoder Distribution Estima-

polable. Autoencoder spaces are neither, so they are only good for reconstruction.

2 Variational AutoEncoder (VAE)

Sample z from prior $p_{\theta}(z)$, to decode use conditional $p_{\theta}(x \mid z)$ defined by a NN.

gence, measure similarity of prob. distr. $D_{KL}(P||Q) \neq D_{KL}(Q||P), D_{KL}(P||Q) \geq 0$

Likelihood $p_{\theta}(x) = \int_{z} p_{\theta}(x \mid z) p_{\theta}(z) dz$ is hard to max., let enc. NN be $q_{\theta}(z \mid x)$, $\log p_{\theta}(x^i)$ =

 $\mathbb{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{i} \mid z) \right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{i}) || p_{\theta}(z)) + |$ Use conv. stacks to mask correctly.

 $D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{i}) || p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{i}))$. Red is intractable, NLL is a natural metric for autoreg. models, use ≥ 0 to ignore it; Orange is reconstruction hard to evaluate others. loss, clusters similar samples; Purple makes | WaveNet: audio is high-dimensional. Use di-| • Squeeze: reshape, increase chan. Orange – Purple is **ELBO**, maximize it.

 $x \xrightarrow{\mathrm{enc}} \mu_{z|x}, \Sigma_{z|x} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sample}} z \xrightarrow{\mathrm{dec}} \mu_{x|z}, \Sigma_{x|z} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sample}} \hat{x}$ Backprop through sample by reparametr.: z =

to distinct factors of variation. Can be done with semi-supervised learning by making z

disentangle s.t. $D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x) || p_{\theta}(z)) < \delta$ with KKT: max Orange – β Purple.

3 Autoregressive generative models

Autoregression: use data from the same input variable at previous time steps Discriminative: $P(Y \mid X)$, generative: P(X, Y), maybe with *Y* missing. Sequence models are

generative: from $x_i \dots x_{i+k}$ predict x_{i+k+1} .

tion is too strong. Let $p_{\theta_i}(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{< i})$ Bern $(f_i(\mathbf{x}_{< i}))$, where f_i is a NN. Fully Visi- 4 Normalizing Flows

ble Sigmoid Belief Networks: $f_i = \sigma(\alpha_0^{(i)} + | \text{VAs}| \text{ dont}| \text{ have a tractable likelihood}, |\mathbf{z}_B^1 = f_\phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_B^1 | \mathbf{x}_A^1); \text{ encode extra info (image, } \mathbf{x}_A^1)$ $\alpha^{(i)} \mathbf{x}_{< i}^{\mathsf{T}}$, complexity n^2 , but model is linear.

Neural Autoregressive Density Estimator: add hidden layer. $\mathbf{h}_i = \sigma(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{W}_{\cdot, < i} \mathbf{x}_{< i}), \hat{x}_i = |f(z)| p_x(x) = p_z(f^{-1}(x)) |\det \frac{\partial f^{-1}(x)}{\partial x}|$ $\sigma(c_i + \mathbf{V}_{i,i}\mathbf{h}_i)$. Order of **x** can be arbitrary but fixed. Train by max log-likelihood in O(TD), $p_z(f^{-1}(x)) \left| \det \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} \right|^{-1}$. Map $Z \to X$ with a can use 2nd order optimizers, can use **teacher**

Extensions: Convolutional; Real-valued: con-Undercomplete: |Z| < |X|, else overcomplete. ditionals by mixture of gaussians; Order-less and deep: one DNN predicts $p(x_k \mid x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_i})$

tor: mask out weights s.t. no information flows from x_d ... to \hat{x}_d . Large hidden layers needed. Trains as fast as autoencoders, but sampling needs D forward passes.

PixelRNN: generate pixels from corner, dependency on previous pixels is by RNN (LSTM). $D_{\text{KL}}(P||Q) := \int_{x} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$: KL diver- PixelCNN: also from corner, but condition by CNN over context region (perceptive field) \Rightarrow parallelize. For conditionals use masked convolutions. Channels: model R from context, $G | p_x(x) = p_z(f^{-1}(x)) \prod_k \left| \det \frac{\partial f_k^{-1}(x)}{\partial x} \right|$. from R + cont., B from G + R + cont. Training Sample $z \sim p_z$ and get x = f(z). is parallel, but inference is sequential \Rightarrow slow.

posterior close to prior, adds cont. and interp. lated convolutions to increase perceptive field | • ActNorm: batchnorm with init. s.t. with multiple layers.

AR does not work for high res images/video, $y_{i,j} = s \odot x_{i,j} + b$, $x_{i,j} = (y_{i,j} - b)/s$, convert the images into a series of tokens with $\log \det = H \cdot W \cdot \sum_i \log |\mathbf{s}_i|$: linear. an AE: Vector-quantized VAE. The codebook • 1 × 1 conv: permutation along

Disentanglement: features should correspond is a set of vectors. $x \xrightarrow{\text{enc}} z \xrightarrow{\text{codebook}} z_q \xrightarrow{\text{dec}} \hat{x}$. We can run an AR model in the latent space.

3.1 Attention \mathbf{x}_t is a convex combination of conditionally independent of given features y. the past steps, with access to all past steps. For 2.1 β -VAE $\max_{\theta,\phi} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_\phi} \log p_\theta(x \mid z) \right] \text{ to } \left| X \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D} : K = XW_K, V = XW_V, Q = XW_Q. \right|$

mask *M* to avoid looking into the future:

$$X = \operatorname{Softmax} \left(\frac{(XW_Q)(XW_K)^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D}} + M \right) (XW_V)$$

Multi-head attn. splits W into h heads, then StyleFlow: Take StyleGAN and replace the Tabular approach: $p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_i p(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{\leq i})$, concatenates them. Positional encoding injects network $\mathbf{z} \to \mathbf{w}$ (aux. latent space) with a needs 2^{i-1} params. Independence assump-information about the position of the token. normalizing flow conditioned on attributes. = Attn. is $O(T^2D)$.

AR models have no latent space. Change of variable for x deterministic invertible f_{θ} . This can be a NN but computing the determinant is $O(n^3)$. If the Jacobian is triangular, the determinant is O(n). To do this, add a coupling layer:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y^A \\ y^B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h(x^A, \beta(x^B)) \\ x^B \end{pmatrix} \quad \mbox{where } \beta \ \mbox{is any} \\ \mbox{model, and } h \ \mbox{is elementwise.}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^A \\ x^B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h^{-1}(y^A, \beta(y^B)) \\ y^B \end{pmatrix}, J = \begin{pmatrix} h' & h'\beta' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Stack these for expressivity, $f = f_k \circ \dots f_1$

$$\times (L-1)$$
 (z_i) $\times \text{squeeze}$ (z_i) $\times \text{squeeze}$ (z_i) $\times K$

ActNorm

output $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ for first minibatch.

channel dim. Init W as rand. ortogonal $\in \mathbb{R}^{C \times C}$ with det **W** = 1. log det = $H \cdot W \cdot \log |\det \mathbf{W}| : O(C^3)$. Faster: $\mathbf{W} :=$

PL(U + diag(s)), where P is a random fixed permut. matrix, L is lower triang. with 1s on diag., U is upper triang. with 0s on diag., s Check pairwise similarity between query and is a vector. Then $\log \det = \sum_i \log |\mathbf{s}_i|$: O(C)keys via dot product: let attention weights be | Conditional coupling: add parameter \mathbf{w} to β . $\alpha = \text{Softmax}(QK^{\mathsf{T}}/\sqrt{D}), \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times \mathsf{T}}$. Adding **SRFlow**: use flows to generate many high-res

images from a low-res one. Adds affine injector between conv. and coupling layers. \mathbf{h}^{n+1} $\exp(\beta_{\theta,s}^n(\mathbf{u})) \cdot \mathbf{h}^n + \beta_{\theta,b}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{h}^n = \exp(-\beta_{\theta,s}^n(\mathbf{u}))$ $(\mathbf{h}^{n+1} - \beta_{\theta,h}^n(\mathbf{u}))$, $\log \det = \sum_{i,j,k} \beta_{\theta,s}^n(\mathbf{u}_{i,j,k})$.

C-Flow: condition on other normalizing flows: multimodal flows. Encode original image \mathbf{x}_{p}^{1} : $\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{vmatrix}$ segm. map, etc.) \mathbf{x}_A^2 : $\mathbf{z}_A^2 = g_\theta^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_A^2)$; generate new image \mathbf{x}_{B}^{2} : $\mathbf{x}_{B}^{2} = f_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}_{B}^{1} \mid \mathbf{z}_{\Delta}^{2})$.

Flows are expensive for training and low res. The latent distr. of a flow needn't be \mathcal{N} .

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

Log-likelihood is not a good metric. We can have high likelihood with poor quality by mixing in noise and not losing much likelihood; or low likelihood with good quality by remembering input data and having sharp peaks there. **Generator** $G: \mathbb{R}^Q \to \mathbb{R}^D$ maps noise z to

data, **discriminator** $D: \mathbb{R}^D \to [0,1]$ tries to decide if data is real or fake, receiving both gen. outputs and training data. Train *D* for *k* steps for each step of *G*. Training GANs is a min-max process,

which are hard to optimize. V(G, D) = $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{d}} \log(D(\mathbf{x})) + \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sim p_{m}} \log(1 - D(\hat{\mathbf{x}}))$ For *G* the opt. $D^* = p_d(\mathbf{x})/(p_d(\mathbf{x}) + p_m(\mathbf{x}))$. Jensen-Shannon divergence (symmetric): $D_{\rm IS}(p||q) = \frac{1}{2}D_{\rm KL}(p||\frac{p+q}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}D_{\rm KL}(p||\frac{p+q}{2})$ Global minimum of $D_{IS}(p_d||p_m)$ is the glob.

min. of V(G, D) and $V(G, D^*) = -\log(4)$.

If G and D have enough capacity, at each update step D reaches D^* and $p_{\rm m}$ improves $V(p_{\rm m}, D^*) \propto \sup_{D} \int_{\mathbf{x}} p_{\rm m}(\mathbf{x}) \log(-D(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x},$ then $p_m \to p_d$ by convexity of $V(p_m, D^*)$ wrt. $p_{\rm m}$. These assumptions are too strong.

If D is too strong, G has near zero gradients and doesn't learn $(\log'(1-D(G(z))) \approx 0)$. Use gradient ascent on $\log(D(G(z)))$ instead.

Model collapse: G only produces one sample or one class of samples. Solution: unrolling use k previous D for each G update. DCGAN: pool \rightarrow strided convolution, batch-

norm, no FC, ReLU for G, LeakyReLU for D. Wasserstein GAN: different loss, gradients don't vanish. Adding gradient penalty for D stabilizes training. Hierarchical GAN: generate low-res image, then high-res during training. StyleGAN: learn intermediate latent space W with FCs, batchnorm with scale and mean from *W*, add noise at each layer.

ulate images in latent space, inpainting. If G predicts image and segmentation mask, we can use inversion to predict mask for any image, even outside the training distribution.

5.1 3D GANs 3D GAN: voxels instead of pix-| Sampling: $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$, for t = T downto 1: els. PlatonicGAN: 2D input, 3D output differ- $|\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ if t > 1 else $\mathbf{z} = 0$;

GRAF: radiance fields more effic. than voxels $|\sigma_t^2| = \beta_t$ in practice. t can be continuous.

GIRAFFE: GRAF + 2D conv. upscale EG3D: use 3 2D images from StyleGAN for model.

features, project each 3D point to tri-planes. 5.2 Image Translation E.g. sketch $X \rightarrow \text{image} \mid \text{that add something to block outputs.}$

Needs pairs for training.

id; $G \circ F \approx$ id plus GAN losses for F and G. BicycleGAN: add noise input.

Vid2vid: video translation.

6 Diffusion models

more stable/scalable.

moves noise from \mathbf{x}_t (learned).

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{1-\beta}\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_{t}\mathbf{I})$$

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t), \sigma_{t}^{2}\mathbf{I})$$

$$\beta_{t} \text{ is the variance schedule (monotone }\uparrow). \text{ Let }$$

$$\alpha_{t} \coloneqq 1 - \beta_{t}, \overline{\alpha}_{t} \coloneqq \prod \alpha_{i}, \text{ then } q(\mathbf{x}_{t} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}) =$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{t}}\mathbf{x}_{0}, (1-\overline{\alpha}_{t})\mathbf{I}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{t} = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{t}}\mathbf{x}_{0} + \sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha}_{t}}\epsilon.$$
Denoising is not tractable naively: $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t}) = q(\mathbf{x}_{t} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1})q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})/q(\mathbf{x}_{t}), \ q(\mathbf{x}_{t}) =$

$$\int q(\mathbf{x}_{t} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})q(\mathbf{x}_{0})d\mathbf{x}_{0}.$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_{t} \mid S_{t} = \mathbf{s}] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1} + \gamma_{t}]$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']$$

$$S_{t+1} = s']$$

$$S_{t+1} = s'$$

$$S_{t+1}$$

model $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t) \approx q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$ by pre- $|\pi'(s)| = \arg\max_{a \in A} (r(s, a) + \gamma V_{\gamma}(p(s, a)))$. dicting the mean.

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}_0) \geq \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \right) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1) - D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)||p(\mathbf{x}_T)) - \sum_{t=2}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)} D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t,\mathbf{x}_0)||p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t))$$
 where orange and purple are the same as in

where orange and purple are the same as in VAEs, and blue are the extra loss functions. In a sense VAEs are 1-step diffusion models.

so we want $\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \approx \mu_q(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$. $\mu_q(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$ can be written as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}}\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha_t}}\sqrt{\alpha_t}}\epsilon_0$, and term. state.

GAN inversion: find z s.t. $G(z) \approx x \Rightarrow \text{manip-} \mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}} \mathbf{x}_{t} - \frac{1-\alpha_{t}}{\sqrt{1-\alpha_{t}}} \sqrt{\alpha_{t}} \hat{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t)$, so the 7.3 Temporal Difference learning For each $s \to \infty$ 8.1 Learning 3D Implicit Shapes Inference: to NN learns to predict the added noise.

Training: img \mathbf{x}_0 , $t \sim \text{Unif}(1...T)$, $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$

GD on $\nabla_{\theta} \| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta} (\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, t) \|^2$.

entiably rendered back to 2D for *D*.
HoloGAN: 3D GAN + 2D superresolution GAN
$$\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} (\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha_t}}} \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)) + \sigma_t \mathbf{z}.$$

6.1 Conditional generation Add input *y* to the

ControlNet: don't retrain model, add layers ues of states that have not been visited.

Y. Pix2Pix: $G: X \to Y$, $D: X, Y \to [0,1]$. Guidance: mix predictions of a conditional values. Loss is $(R + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_{\theta}(S', a'))$ GAN loss $+L_1$ loss between sketch and image. and unconditional model, because conditional $Q_{\theta}(S,A)$, backprop only through $Q_{\theta}(S,A)$. color to $c(\hat{p})$. Backward: see proofs. models are not diverse.

CycleGAN: unpaired. Two GANs $F: X \to [6.2]$ Latent diffusion models High-res images for training \Rightarrow no correlation in samples. $Y,G:Y\to X$, cycle-consistency loss $F\circ G\approx$ are expensive to model. Predict in latent space, 7.6 Deep Q-networks Encode state to low didecode with a decoder.

7 Reinforcement learning

(not learned). Denoising (reverse) step p_{θ} : re-|Value $V_{\pi}: S \to \mathbb{R}$, the reward from s under π . Bellman eq.: $G_t := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}, v_{\pi}(s) :=$ $[S_{t+1} = s']] = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s',r} p(s',r \mid s,a)[r+1]$ $yv_{\pi}(s')$]]. Can be solved via dynamic programming (needs knowledge of p), Monte-Carlo or

7.1 Dynamic programming Value iteration: compute optimal v_* , then π_* .

Policy iteration: compute v_{π} and π together. Conditioning on \mathbf{x}_0 we get a Gaussian. Learn For any V_{π} the greedy policy (optimal) is

> **Bellman optimality**: $v_*(s) = \max_a q_*(s, a) =$ $= |\max_a \sum_{s',r} p(s',r \mid s,a)[r + \gamma v_*(s')] \Rightarrow \text{update}$ step: $V_{\text{new}}^*(s) = \max_{a \in A} (r(s, a) + \gamma V_{\text{old}}^*(s'))$ when $V_{\text{old}}^* = V_{\text{new}}^*$, we have optimal policy.

Converges in finite steps, more efficient than iterates over all states and O(|S|) memory.

s' by action a update: $\Delta V(s) = r(s, a) + |\text{get a mesh, sample points, predict occupan-}$ $|\gamma V(s') - V(s)|$. ε -greedy policy: with prob. cy/SDF, use marching cubes. ε choose random action, else greedy.

 $S_t = s, A_t = a$ **SARSA** (on-policy): For each $S \to S'$ by action on the surface. Weak supervision: loss = A update: $\Delta Q(S,A) = r(S,A) + \gamma Q(S',A')$ - $Q(S,A), Q(S,A) += \alpha \Delta Q(S,A), \alpha$ is LR.

Q-learning (off-policy/offline): $\Delta Q(S, A) =$ $R_{t+1} + \gamma \max_a Q(S', a) - Q(S, A)$

All these approaches do not approximate val-Rendering: for a point conditioned on en-

Store history in replay buffer, sample from it 8.2 Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF)

mensionality with NN.

7.7 Policy gradients Q-learning does not han-Environment is a Markov Decision Process: dle continuous action spaces. Learn a policy distates S, actions A, reward $r: S \times A \to \mathbb{R}$, rectly instead, $\pi(a_t \mid s_t) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_t^2 \mid s_t)$. Sam-High quality generations, better diversity, transition $p: S \times A \rightarrow S$, initial $s_0 \in S$, dis-ple trajectories: $p(\tau) = p(s_1, a_1, \dots, s_T, a_T) = S$ count factor γ . r and p are deterministic, can $|\hat{p}(s_1) \prod \pi(a_t|s_t)\hat{p}(s_{t+1}|a_t,s_t)$. This is on-policy. views of the scene. Can handle transparen-Diffusion (forward) step q: adds noise to \mathbf{x}_t be a distribution. Learn policy $\pi: S \to A$. Eval: $J(\theta) := \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)}[\sum_t \gamma^t r(s_t, a_t)]$. To optimize, need to compute \mathbb{E} (see proofs).

REINFORCE: MC sampling of τ . To reduce $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t \mid S_t = s] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} \mid S_t = s] = |\text{variance, subtract baseline } b(s_t) \text{ from reward.}$ $\sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s'} \sum_{r} p(s', r \mid s, a) [r + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_{t+1} \mid]$ Actor-Critic $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sum_{t} \nabla \log \pi_{\theta}(a_{t}^{i} \text{Fourier feats. Adds high frequency feats.}$ $(s_t^i)(r(s_t^i, a_t^i) + \gamma V(s_{t+1}^i) - V(s_t^i))$. $\pi = \text{actor}, V(s_t^i)$

> out of distribution, needs expensive mocap. **DeepMimic:** RL to imitate reference motions | MLP. For x interp. features between corners.

while satisfying task objectives.

8 Neural Implicit Representations

compl.). Meshes have limited granularity and have self-intersections. **Implicit representation**: $S = \{x \mid f(x) = 0\}$. Can be invertibly represented as signed distance function values render them. Adaptive density control moves/policy iteration. But needs knowledge of p, on a grid, but this is again n^3 . By UAT, ap-clones/merges points. prox. f with NN. Occupancy networks: pre-7.2 Monte Carlo sampling Sample trajectories, dict probability that point is inside the shape. t-th denoising is just arg min $_{\theta} \frac{1}{2\sigma_{a}^{2}(t)} \|\mu_{\theta} - \mu_{q}\|_{2}^{2}$, estimate v_{π} by averaging returns. Doesn't need DeepSDF: predict SDF. Both conditioned on μ') $\Sigma'^{-1}(x - \mu')$, rest same as NeRF. full p, is unbiased, but high variance, explo-linput (2D image, class, etc.). Continuious, any ration/exploitation dilemma, may not reach topology/resolution, memory-efficient. NFs 9.1 Pictorial structure Unary terms and paircan model other properties (color, force, etc.). wise terms between them with springs.

8.1.1 From watertight meshes Sample points 7.4 Q-learning Q-value f.: $q_{\pi}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t \mid]$ in space, compute GT occupancy/SDF, CE loss. 8.1.2 From point clouds Only have samples $|f_{\theta}(x_i)|^2 + \lambda \mathbb{E}_x(||\nabla_x f_{\theta}(x)|| - 1)^2$, edge points

should have $\|\nabla f\| \approx 1$ by def. of SDF, $f \approx 0$. 8.1.3 From images Need differentiable rendering 3D \rightarrow 2D. **Differentiable Volumetric**

coded image, predict occupancy f(x) and RGB 7.5 Deep Q-learning Use NN to predict Q- $|\operatorname{color} c(x)|$. Forward: for a pixel, raymarch and root find $\hat{p}: f(\hat{p}) = 0$ with secant. Set pixel

 $(x, y, z, \theta, \phi) \xrightarrow{\text{NN}} (r, g, b, \sigma)$. Density is predicted before adding view direction θ , ϕ , then one layer for color. **Forward**: shoot ray, sample points along it and blend: $\alpha = 1 \left| \exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i), \delta_i \right| = t_{i+1} - t_i, T_i = \prod_{i=1}^{i-1} (1 - \alpha_i),$ color is $c = \sum_{i} T_{i} \alpha_{i} c_{i}$. Optimized on many cy/thin structure, but worse geometry. Needs many (50+) views for training, slow rendering for high res, only models static scenes.

8.2.1 Positional Encoding for High Frequency Details Replace x, y, z with pos. enc. or rand.

8.2.2 NeRF from sparse views Regularize ge-= critic. Est. value with NN, not traj. rollouts. ometry and color.

7.9 Motion synthesis Data-driven: bad perf. 8.2.3 Fast NeRF render, and train. Replace deep MLPs with learn. feature hash table + small

8.3 3D Gaussian Splatting Alternative **SFV**: use pose estimation: videos \rightarrow train data. **parametr.**: Find a cover of object with primitives, predict inside. Or sphere clouds. Both Voxels/volum. primitives are inefficient (n^3 | ineff. for thin structures. Ellipsoids are better. Initialize point cloud randomly or with an approx. reconstruction. Each point has a 3D Gaussian. Use camera params. to project transformed without accuracy loss. Usually ("splat") Gaussians to 2D and differentiably

> Rasterization: for each pixel sort Gaussians by depth, opacity $\alpha = o \cdot \exp(-0.5(x - 1))$

9 Parametric body models

9.2 Deep features Direct regression: predict joint coordinates with refinement.

Heatmaps: predict probability for each pixel, maybe Gaussian. Can do stages sequentially.

9.3 3D Naive $2D \rightarrow 3D$ lift works. But can't define constraints \Rightarrow 2m arms sometimes.

Skinned Multi-Person Linear model (SMPL) is the standard non-commerical model. 3D mesh, base mesh is ~7k vertices, designed by an artist. To produce the model, point clouds (scans) need to be aligned with the TODO: GD algos mesh. **Shape deformation subspace**: for a 11 CNN set of human meshes T-posing, vectorize their T is linear if $T(\alpha \mathbf{u} + \beta \mathbf{v}) = \alpha T(\mathbf{u}) + \beta T(\mathbf{v})$, PCA represent any person as weighted sum of ariant to f if $T(f(\mathbf{u})) = f(T(\mathbf{u}))$. Any 10-300 basis people, $T = S\beta + \mu$.

joint positions. Linear assumption produces

and camera parameters.

- 9.3.1 Optimization-based fitting Predict 2D|1) 1/stride + 1, rounded down. joint locations, fit SMPL to them by argmin TODO: backprop example with prior regularization. Argmin is hard to $|_{\text{Max-pooling:}} z^{(l)} = \max_i z_i^{(l-1)}$. $i^* :=$ find, learn $F: \Delta \theta = F(\frac{\partial L_{reproj}}{\partial \theta}, \theta^t, x)$. Issues: $\arg \max_i z_i^{(l-1)}, \frac{\partial z^{(l)}}{\partial z^{(l-1)}} = [i = i^*], \delta^{(l-1)} = \delta_{i^*}^{(l)}$ self-occlusion, no depth info, non-rigid deformation (clothes).
- 9.3.2 Template-based capture Scan for first frame, then track with SMPL.
- 9.3.3 Animatable Neural Implicit Surfaces Model base shape and w with 2 NISs.

10 ML

Perceptron converges in finite time iff data is linearly separable. MAP $\theta^* \in \arg\max p(\theta)$ (X, y). MLE $\theta \in \arg\max p(y \mid X, \theta)$ consistent, efficient. Binary cross-entropy $L(\theta) =$ $-y_i \log(\hat{y}_i) - (1-y_i) \log(1-\hat{y}_i)$. Cross-entropy $H(p_d, p_m) = H(p_d) + D_{KL}(p_d || p_m)$. For any continuous $f \exists NN \ q(x), |q(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$. 1 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear.

TODO: MLP backprop 10.1 Activation functions

name	f(x)	f'(x)	f(X)
sigmoid	$\frac{\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}}{\frac{e^{x}-e^{-x}}{e^{x}+e^{-x}}}$ $\max(0,x)$	$\sigma(x)(1-\sigma(x))$	(0,1)
tanh	$\frac{e^{x^{-}}-e^{-x}}{e^{x}+e^{-x}}$	$1 - \tanh(x)^2$	(-1, 1)
ReLU 1	$\max(0, x)$	$[x \ge 0]$	$[0,\infty)$
rinite range: stable training, mapping to			
prob. space. Sigmoid, tanh saturate (value			
with large mod have small gradient), Tanh			
is linear around 0 (easy learn), ReLU can			
blow up activation; piecewise linear \Rightarrow faster			
convergence.			
TODO: CD almas			

vertices T and subtract the mean mesh. With invariant to f if $T(f(\mathbf{u})) = T(\mathbf{u})$, equivlinear shift-equivariant T can be written For pose, use **Linear Blend Skinning**. $\mathbf{t}_i' = \sum_k w_{ki} \mathbf{G}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{J}) \mathbf{t}_i$, where t is the T-pose positions of vertices, t' is transformed, w are weights, \mathbf{G}_k is rigid bone transf., $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is pose, \mathbf{J} are joint positions. Linear assumption produces joint positions. Linear assumption produces artifacts. **SMPL**: $\mathbf{t}_i' = \sum_k w_{ki} G_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{J}(\boldsymbol{\beta})) (\mathbf{t}_i + \boldsymbol{\delta}_i') = \sum_m \sum_n w_{m,n}^{(l)} + z_{i-m,j-n}^{(l-1)} + b^{(l)}$. Backward $\mathbf{s}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \mathbf{p}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$). Adds shape correctives $\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ inputs: $\delta^{(l-1)} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial z_{i,j}^{(l-1)}} = \delta^{(l)} * \mathrm{ROT}_{180}(w^{(l)})$, $\delta^{(l-1)} = \delta^{(l)} * \mathrm{ROT}_{180}(z^{(l-1)})$. Predicting human pose is just predicting $\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}$ backward kernel: $\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{m,n}^{(l)}} = \delta^{(l)} * \mathrm{ROT}_{180}(z^{(l-1)})$. Size after conv or pool: (in+2·pad-dil·(kern-

Unpooling: nearest-neighbor (duplicate), bed of nails (only top left, rest 0), max-unpooling (remember where max came from when pooling). Learnable upsampling: transposed conv, output is copies of filter weighted by input, summed on overlaps.

12 RNN

TODO: RNN

13 Proofs

KL for ELBO Let $p(z) = \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}), q(z \mid x) = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}),$ $J \coloneqq \dim z. \text{ By } \int p(z) \log q(z) dz = -\frac{J}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \log \sigma_{q,j}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{\sigma_{p,j}^2 + (\mu_{p,j} - \mu_{q,j})^2}{\sigma_{q,j}^2} \text{ we have } \int q(z \mid x) \log p(z) dz = -\frac{J}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (\sigma_j^2 + \mu_j^2) \text{ and } \int q(z \mid x) \log q(z \mid x) dz = \frac{J}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (\log \sigma_j^2 + 1), \text{ so } -D_{\text{KL}}(q(z \mid x) || p(z)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (1 + \log \sigma_j^2 - \mu_j^2 - \sigma_j^2)$ Optimal discriminator D^* maximizes V(G, D)

Optimal discriminator D^* maximizes $V(G,D) = \int_X p_d \log D(x) dx + \int_z p(z) \log(1 - D(G(Z))) dz = \int_X p_d \log D(x) dx + p_m(x) \log(1 - D(x)) dz$, and for $f(y) = a \log(y) + b \log(1 - y) : f'(y) = \frac{a}{y} - \frac{b}{1-y} \Rightarrow f'(y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow y = \frac{a}{a+b}, f''(\frac{a}{a+b}) = -\frac{a}{\left(\frac{a}{a+b}\right)^2} - \frac{b}{\left(1-\frac{a}{a+b}\right)^2} < 0 \text{ for } a, b > 0 \Rightarrow \text{max. at}$ $\frac{a}{a+b} \Rightarrow D^* = \frac{p_d(x)}{p_d(x) + p_m(x)}$

Expectation of reparam. $\nabla_{\varphi}\mathbb{E}_{p_{\varphi}(z)}(f(z)) = \nabla_{\varphi}\int p_{\varphi}(z)f(z)\mathrm{d}z = \nabla_{\varphi}\int p_{\varphi}(z)f(z)\mathrm{d}z = \nabla_{\varphi}\int p_{\varphi}(z)f(g(\epsilon,\varphi))\mathrm{d}\epsilon = \mathbb{E}_{p(\epsilon)}\nabla_{\varphi}f(g(\epsilon,\varphi))$ Bellman operator converges Want to prove that value iteration converges to the optimal policy: $\lim_{k\to\infty}(T^*)^k(V) = V_*$, where $T^*(V) = \max_{a\in A}\sum_{s',r}p(s',r\mid s,a)(r(s,a)+\gamma V(s'))$. T^* is a contraction mapping, i.e. $\max_{s\in S}|T^*(V_1(s))-T^*(V_2(s))|\leq \gamma\max_{s\in S}|V_1(s)-V_2(s)|$: LHS $\leq \max_{s,a}|\sum_{s',r}p(s',r\mid s,a)(r(s,a)+\gamma V_2(s'))|=\gamma\max_{s,a}|\sum_{s',r}p(s',r\mid s,a)(V_1(s')-V_2(s'))|=RHS$. By the contraction th., T^* has a unique fixed point, and we know V^* is a FP of T^* . As $\gamma<1$, LHS $(V,V^*)\to0$ and $T^*(V)\to V_*$.

Policy gradients $J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)}[r(\tau)] = \int p(\tau)r(\tau)d\tau.$ $\nabla_{\theta}J(\theta) = \int \nabla_{\theta}p(\tau)r(\tau)d\tau = \int p(\tau)\nabla_{\theta}\log p(\tau)r(\tau)d\tau =$ $\mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)}[\nabla_{\theta}\log p(\tau)r(\tau)] = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)}[\nabla_{\theta}\log p(\tau)r(\tau)].$ $\log p(\tau) = \log[p(s_{1})\prod \pi_{\theta}(a_{t} \mid s_{t})p(s_{t+1} \mid a_{t}, s_{t})] = 0 +$ $\sum_{t}\log \pi_{\theta}(a_{t} \mid s_{t}) + 0$ $\nabla_{\theta}J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)}[(\sum_{t}\nabla\log p_{\theta}(a_{t}^{i} \mid s_{t}^{i}))(\sum_{t}v_{t}^{t}r(s_{t}^{i}, a_{t}^{i}))]: \max$

 $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)} [(\sum_t \nabla \log p_{\theta}(a_t^i \mid s_t^i))(\sum_t \gamma^t r(s_t^i, a_t^i))]$: max likelihood, trajectory reward scales the gradient.

Implicit differentiation $\frac{dy}{dx}$ of $x^2 + y^2 = 1$:

$$\frac{d}{dx}(x^2 + y^2) = \frac{d}{dx}(1) \Rightarrow \frac{dx}{dx}x^2 + \frac{d}{dx}y^2 = 0 \Rightarrow 2x + (\frac{d}{dy}y^2)\frac{dy}{dx} = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow 2x + 2y\frac{dy}{dx} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{x}{y}$$

DVR Backward pass $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{u} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{u}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{u}}{\partial \theta} \mid \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{u}}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial c_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial t_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta}$

Ray $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = r_0 + \hat{d}\mathbf{w}$, r_0 is camera pos., \mathbf{w} is ray dir., \hat{d} is ray dist. Implicit def.: $f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \tau$. Diff.: $\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \mathbf{w} \frac{\partial \hat{d}}{\partial \theta} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} = \mathbf{w} \frac{\partial \hat{d}}{\partial \theta} = -\mathbf{w} (\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \mathbf{w})^{-1} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta}$ 14 Appendix

Secant Method Line $(x_0, f(x_0)) \rightarrow (x_1, f(x_1))$, approx.: $y = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} (x - x_1) + f(x_1)$, y = 0 at $x_2 = x_1 - f(x_1) \frac{x_1 - x_0}{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}$.

Approximates Newton's method without derivatives.

Implicit plane from 3 points $(x_1, 0, 0), (0, y_1, 0), (0, 0, z_1) \Rightarrow x/x_1 + y/y_1 + z/z_1 - 1 = 0$. More generally: let a, b any vectors on plane, $n := a \times b = (a_2b_3 - a_3b_2, a_3b_1 - a_1b_3, a_1b_2 - a_2b_1) \Rightarrow n_1x + n_2y + n_3z + k = 0$, subst. any point to find k.

Torus equation $(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - R)^2 + z^2 = r^2$, cent. 0, around z axis. Derivatives $(f \cdot g)' = f'g + fg', (f/g)' = (f'g - fg')/g^2$, $(f \circ g)' = f'(g)g', (f^{-1})' = 1/f'(f^{-1})$.

Linear algebra $\det(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T) = (1 + \mathbf{v}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{u}) \det \mathbf{A}$ Jensen's inequality $f(\mathbb{E}[X]) \leq \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ and $f(tx_1 + (1 - t)x_2) \leq tf(x_1) + (1 - t)f(x_2)$ if f is convex, i.e. $\forall t \in [0, 1], x_1, x_2 \in X : f(tx_1 + (1 - t)x_2) \leq tf(x_1) + (1 - t)f(x_2)$. Misc A translation vector is added. Bayes rule: $P(A \mid B) = P(B \mid A)P(A)/P(B)$.