

---

---

# The Automated Bellhop

---

---

DEVELOP INTELLIGENT DYNAMIC MECHATRONIC SYSTEMS  
SEMESTER PROJECT REPORT

BY

CATHERINE BERYL BASSON  
VLADISLAV BORODIC  
PIOTR CHROMIŃSKI  
MATHIAS SKOUMAN VÖLCKER  
WOJCIECH PIOTR ZAPOTOCZNY

*Supervised by  
Kasper Paasch*

# 1 Abstract

## Contents

<b>1 Abstract</b>	i
<b>2 Introduction</b>	1
<b>3 Project Formulation</b>	2
<b>4 Risk Management</b>	6
<b>5 User Requirements</b>	7
<b>6 Mechanical Design</b>	8
<b>7 Electronics</b>	14
<b>8 USART Communication</b>	19
<b>9 Network Communication</b>	21
<b>10 Arduino Nano Microcontrollers</b>	25
<b>11 Raspberry Pi</b>	29
<b>12 Technical Review</b>	33
<b>13 Conclusion</b>	34
<b>14 Division of Work/Responsibilities</b>	35
<b>15 Bill of Materials</b>	36
<b>16 References</b>	37

## 2 Introduction

The main scope of this project is to properly implement user requirements, as well as to improve the groups understanding of risk management and the overall development of Mechatronic devices. The idea behind this project is to develop autonomous vehicles in order to reduce human error, and improve efficiency. This will include the use of advanced sensors and control strategies in order to provide obstacle/collision avoidance.

Research and brainstorming has been done on activities which require very little or no creative thinking or decision making from the employee and it has been concluded that many jobs could easily be done automatically, with no change or improvement in service, price and speed. Mainly, there was focus on the service industry where many people are employed with transport and delivery, especially small and light objects, as heavier transport is already automated. The problem that this project is trying to solve is that manual labour often is the only option, even where there is no particular advantage in having a human do the job. Examples of such could be jobs that require following only direct instructions, and where decision rarely or never need to be made. Often these jobs also have very uneven workloads that often include a lot of waiting around by the employee, and suddenly switching to busy periods. This kind of work is expensive in that a person has to get paid full time, despite only working for some of the time. The work is not necessarily pleasant for the employee either, as one may spend much time with nothing to do, even though the employer would expect work to get done. Additionally, a problem may arise in having unevenly-spread periods of heavy workload, as an employee is always expected to be ready to do a task immediately, regardless of if more tasks comes up at the same time. This workflow can easily lead to stress, and it is often not the fastest option due to the substantial amount of work which varies during a day.

This can be seen, for example, in a hotel. Some hotels offer 24hr room service, where it is expected that there would be an employee available to deliver room service at any time during the day. It can be imagined that this has varying workflow and intensity, as more calls to room service may be made during the day particularly around meal times than in the nights / early morning hours. Especially in the early and late hours, these bellhop jobs can be replaced with automated robots, which would deliver the room service request to the hotel customer on demand.

The approach that Group 6 is taking to this project will be to develop an autonomous vehicle that will be able to deliver small items/packages. The main focus of this project is to have a vehicle autonomously move across a floor a building, while avoiding obstacles and following user defined path. The advantage of the robot is that it can provide cheaper, safer and faster service in indoor delivery industry.

### **3 Project Formulation**

The project will be designed as a multi-purpose robot that easily can be reconfigured to solve other similar tasks, however the focus setting for this design will be hotel room service. Here the problem we solve is that, originally, someone would need to be ready to deliver room service 24 hours a day, even though there may be no one using room service in the early or late hours. This work results in employees sitting around, which is unsatisfying work for the employee, and too expensive to the employer. If the room service delivery were automated, either a receptionist, or someone from the kitchen (who would need to be working at any hour any way in order to make the food requested in room service), can handle the packaging without leaving their own workstation, and therefore eliminating the use of an extra employee.

For hotels currently not offering 24hr room service, perhaps because it is too expensive to hire someone if the room service demand is too low, this product will be an opportunity to improve the hotels service at a relatively low cost.

The main problems that will be faced through replacing manual labour in this situation is indoor navigation, safety in regards to moving around people, as well as keeping the goods safe. There needs to be a way to move around inside through potentially narrow hallways, where a GPS does not work. Ideally, there would be successful navigation without altering the environment more than needed, which means that the existing environment would be used to navigate, rather than, for example, painting lines on the floor for the robot to follow.

The safety issues that come with driving an automated robot around people will also need to be addressed. This includes keeping the people safe, either by not driving into anyone, or by not driving too fast. It is also important to keep the robot safe from people pushing it around, or trying to take the goods that it is transporting.

#### **Team Integration and Overall Requirements**

- Select leaders for various responsibilities.
- Agree on basic rules of conduct.
- Form milestones and goals.

#### **Research and Concept Design**

- Consider different sensor modules possible for the vehicle.
- Plan the movement of the vehicle and consider the terrain will it focus on movement over a smooth terrain (such as tiled or laminated floors) or over a rough terrain (such as carpets)? What kind of mechanical components will be required to make it move over this terrain?
- Consider environmental implications that could limit certain aspects or uses of the device (e.g. Can it be used near pools and on wet floors?)

- Look into ways of storing data on movement, mapping, and requests.
- Look into the availability of different microcontrollers or single-board computers that may suit the needs of this project better, keeping in mind and researching some methods that may be needed in order to communicate between them.

## Mechanical Development

- Decide on the size of the vehicle.
- Consider the type of drive that needs to be used.
- Determine a method for keeping track of the speed of the vehicle (i.e. encoder).
- Decide on the materials to be used, considering both cost and efficiency/lifetime.
- Create 3D models of the vehicle using a CAD application (i.e. *Autodesk Inventor* or *NX*), as well as sketches, technical drawings with tolerances, and exploded and assembly drawings.
- Consider how to balance the vehicle, and keeping the contents in place, both when stationary and when moving.

## Embedded/Electronic Development

- Consider methods for interpreting data from a defined floor plan (mapping).
- Test the sensors and consider applying a filter in order to regulate the data input.
- Make a final decision on the appropriate sensors to be used for this vehicle. For this prototype:
  - 9× ultrasonic sensors to be placed around the perimeter of the vehicle.
  - 2× optical switch to be used alongside an encoder to measure the RPM of the wheels, and thereby the speed of the vehicle.
- Choose microcontrollers/single-board computers and determine the tasks that each one will be assigned. For this prototype:
  - *Arduino Nano* microcontroller for user input, and displaying information (buttons, LCD, etc.).
  - *Arduino Nano* microcontroller for collecting data from the ultrasonic sensors.
  - *Arduino Nano* microcontroller for controlling the motors, and for collecting data from the optical switch.
  - *Raspberry Pi ver. 3 Model B* acting as the brain of the device, which handles requests from the users, handles the data given by the Arduinos, and communicates between the microcontrollers.

- Consider how the vehicle will obtain requests from a user, and how it will use this information. For this prototype:
  - TCP communication will be used to send information of both order and room number from a hotel customer to the kitchen, who will then relay the room number information to the vehicle.

## **Testing and Assembly**

- Perform regular tests throughout the different phases, in order to identify and tackle any problems that may arise.
- Test the movement of the motors.
- Test whether or not the sensors and components interfere with each other.
- Test if any of the electronic components used, such as a multiplexer, add some delay to the collection or relay of information, in order to know whether or not this needs to be compensated for in the software design of this device.
- Fix any problems where the tests provided negative results. This can be done by adding additional components/isolation (for example with sensors that suffer from interference), or by coming up with a different solution entirely.

## **Documentation**

- Document the process and progress include photos of both the steps towards and the completion of milestones and goals.
- Reference any problems that have been solved or unsolved throughout the process, regardless of their inclusion status in the prototype.
- Include the testing methodology, processes, and results.
- Integrate reflections into the documentation Why was one component chosen over another? What worked well and why? What are some considerations for future renditions of this project?
- Include a bill of materials in order to keep track of the budget.

## **Delimitations and Requirements**

- Additional materials may not exceed 1000 DKK.
- The vehicle will not be required or suited to drive outdoors, or on any bumpy terrain.
- The speed of the vehicle must remain low.

- The prototype of the vehicle will not travel to more than one room/location per journey.
- Due to the narrowness of the hallways of hotels, the vehicle will not avoid obstacles by driving around them. In order to prevent collision, the prototype will stop when something stands in its way.

### **Need-To-Haves**

- Collision avoidance.
- SOS system for if the vehicle gets stuck or has stopped due to a possible collision for a long period of time.
- Rechargeable batteries.
- Movement precision.
- Basic user interface (i.e. LCD screen, and button for confirmation).
- PCB of minimum 3cm×3cm.

### **Nice-To-Haves and Future Additions**

- Live feedback.
- Floor map that the device can use to determine the path to the location in an automated fashion.
- Camera for some sort of image detection (for avoiding obstacles and/or for interpreting location by use of strategically placed QR codes).
- Hands-free shelf opening/closing (for goods that are transported).

## **4 Risk Management**

TODO

## 5 User Requirements

In order to use this product, there should be one employee available at all operating hours in order to start the robot on its journey. This is simply to ensure that the shipment has been placed, and that the robot would be ready to deliver that which it has been asked to do.

Additionally, the user is free to modify the software available on the robot in order to better integrate itself into the setting without changing too much about the existing system. For example, a floor plan of the hotel (or any other location) must be uploaded in order to make use of the map (when finished and integrated). Usually, an accessible Wi-Fi network is required for successful communication between the customer or user and the robot, and this Wi-Fi network should allow for static IP requests or resolvable hostnames, unless otherwise modified by the user.

This product is not to be used outdoors (unless on pavement) or on rough terrain, as the robot is not made to travel safely in such a setting. Additionally, the robot is not to travel near water (such as in a swimming pool), or in places with elevated humidity or moisture (shower rooms, in the rain, etc.). The robot is not designed to be waterproof, and failure to follow this may result in damage to either the robot, or to an operator.

There is naturally some weight limit to the amount that the device can transport, however as the most recent prototype has not been fully functional, this was not determined.  
TODO

## 6 Mechanical Design

### Frame

In the design, the choice of the frame unquestionably was an important choice upon which the features and the rest of the design would be based on. In order to prepare for this choice, research of automobile projects was done. The results indicated that the most common feature was that the RC cars, which were modified and adjust to the desired options, or vehicle structure-based on aluminium segments were designed to be adjusted to one's needs. Firstly, building upon a RC platform was considered, so that an existing working drive mechanism and include food serving product, nevertheless, no vehicle of desired size could be found. Therefore, platform out of aluminium segments was chosen. Aluminium profiles, which are easily accessible allow to build a stronger frame, keeping the weight of the robot down. To choose the right series of a profile equation supplied by the manufacturer was used to check the strength and deflection of the profile under load. The calculation showed that profile 20 x 20 with 380mm span deflects 1.1521mm at 2000N load<sup>1</sup>. Which is a very good results and acceptable for further development.

The choice of frame was aluminium profile series 5 20 x 20 which is ideal for the application. It delivers rigidity and allows for very easy re-development and re-construction of the model. In the concept design phase, it was established that robot should be easy to mount. Therefore, it was decided to build the frame with a floor that can be easily disassembled to make necessary changes if needed. A very simple structure was developed: a rectangular box with a square base 420mm x 420mm x 300mm and floor in the middle. The construction is assembled from 12 x 380mm and 4 x 300mm item aluminium profile series 5 20 x 20. The span between the floor and base line is 60mm. It was thought to be a "brain level", which would contain all the electronics, including PCB, H-Bridges, Arduino Nano microcontrollers, and Raspberry Pi. The span between the drawer level and the top is 180mm, which is enough space to fit in the food container and its drive. In order to screw the frame, the profiles had to be modified. The 380mm profile were tapped from both sides, making a 30mm thread M5. In the 300mm profile we set the position of the "brain", "drawer", and "top" levels. We had to drill the 6mm bore through which we could adjust the fastening set.

Fastening set is screwed and metal plate which secures the profile in the set position. We have used 24 of them to build the frame. This set could be ordered from workshop and it transpired to be a surprisingly expensive part. The university workshop price was 300 DKK for 30 pieces. To see the frame assembly in detail, refer to the presentation TODO NAME video located on the USB stick \*location\*

### Floor Levels

The slots in the aluminium profile allow to fit in the floor- a wooden or aluminium panel on which is mounted drives and electronics, from the inside without any mounting. In our design we had to use the 6mm laser cut MDF which is widely available in the student

---

<sup>1</sup>(TODOCalculation in appendix-USB stick)

workshop. The slots in aluminium profile of our choice are 5 mm width and 6mm deep, which caused us a problem of fitting them together. (In the original design, we asked for the Bosch aluminium profile 20 x 20 which has a 6mm slot, perfect for our need and the MDF available in the workshop, nevertheless we got the Item profiles which have 5mm slot.) This misunderstanding imposed changes in the CAD and adjusting the MDF by grinding the edges by 1mm or just press-fit the wood which is very elastic. The grinding had to be done with extreme caution since the tools and machines in the workshop are rather worn out.

Firstly, the brain-level-floor was designed entirely from MDF on which the drive and electronics would be placed. After the first try of testing and aligning the drive, we have noticed that the floor can easily be pressed and bent because of the low modulus of elasticity and big span between supports. This phenomenon causes the wheel to tilt under load, over 10 degrees when measured in the workshop environment. The redesign must have been done in order to prevent the unstable drive. Another floor layout was carefully redesigned and tested, upgrading each time. The best solution was to create a 100mm plate with pre-cut holes for easier component aligning. The narrowed mounting plate was rigid and prevented any unwanted wobble, bending or vibration of the drive-train.

The MDF used were sufficient for the needs of the project, nevertheless, it was decided to water jet cut a 5mm aluminium plate to protect from even more against misalignments in the drive. This treatment increased the weight of the plate, however, stiffness, protection, and more appealing look outweigh our decision.

The second part of the brain level floor was expected to have a free-wheel and hold the electronic components. At the beginning, it was only designed to have a bore for the wires and it was expected to be redesigned at the stage where all the electronics and its placement is known. The additional unforeseen change was integrating a fan into the design. Next step to improve our design is to place two additional free-wheels on the back so more stability is achieved when the drawer is in its furthest deviation.

On the drawer floor level, we used the simple two plates placed parallel to the direction of the drawer slides. As a consequence, to use MDF to create a drawer, we expected that the dimensions will not be precise, therefore, in order to make holes in the right location for the drawer drive, firstly we had to align the drawer with drawer drive and later on laser-cut the final version. Nevertheless, the laser cutter was not available for the last days of the projects weeks and the desired, upgraded version of the model could not be delivered in time. On this floor, there was no need to redesign the original idea. The motor was very close to the edge and we experienced no wobble or unexpected movement. (TODOmovie)

Please see the animation of the drawer drive. (TODO) USB stick

## Front Plate

The front plate is solely mounted to place the ultrasonic sensors with certain angles, so a bigger area can be covered in front of the automobile. The plate is laser-cut from MDF and have pre-cut holes to plate the L-shaped ultrasonic holders and bore for the wires. The panel is screwed to the profile by means of t-nuts.

## **Drawer**

One of the features of the robot was to deliver ordered food to the guest. The best idea we thought off, was to construct some kind of container that is big enough to fit a plate of 230mm and is easy to make it mobile. The simplest idea was to design a drawer; a box on which mechanical system can be mounted with no trouble to make it transportable. The biggest problem was to find the rail system which would be smooth and accessible, in terms of ordering or manufacturing in the workshop. The first idea was to create a roller bearings- a bearing fitted on the grinded/ smooth on side threaded rod that can be simply secured to the box. After creating a sample and testing how it behaves, the smoothness was impressive, nevertheless, it is not the solution that we can easily change and redesign in the further part of the development and prototyping process. Moreover, we could not find parts online to order the C-rail in the right size to create a path for it. The additional disadvantage was that it did not offer the required stability, hence we discarded this idea.

The model 2, was designed to take advantage of already finished product as sliders that allow for the smooth movement of a drawer and stability not to damage the goods. The idea was to create a box with pre-cut holes for the slider and the drive, mechanical system. The final choice was to use rack and pinion system, however, we thought of the systems that asserts more stable and leveled travel. The rack and pinion made our decision, since we can simple laser-cut everything in the workshop. One of the criteria of the model 2, was to make the drawer as big as possible and utilize all the space in the frame. Therefore, it was decided to place the drivetrain on the bottom of the drawer and place the motor in the vertical position as shown in the assembly Motor Mount 944D ver 1 and 2. During the redesign stage, the decision was made on isolating the brain level with electronics and make the drawer very modular, so we can easily stack them together and make the design more future proof.

Fulfilling the criteria, we had to implement changes and the model 3 was born. In the final design, the drawer-box is made from puzzle-like-segments that are glued together (instead of connecting with aluminum L-profile). The side of the container has pre-cut holes that allow to easily mount the slider and slots to adjust the position of the rack, which is placed on the side. The drive is located underneath on the drawer floor. The drawer mounted on the slides can be extended to 265mm which is shorter then datasheet provided by the supplier.

The assembly in detail is shown in the Drawer Assembly Presentation video (TODO?).

## **Main Drive**

In our design we used the differential drive, a three-wheel-drive mechanism, two independently driven wheel via motors, which are collinear on the axis and third freewheel to maintain the balance. In our design we went with two 1:100 919DLN motor supplied from the university. These are the strongest motor that is available to us. The drivetrain is constructed from the motor placed on the bottom of the brain floor aluminum plate. Since, our wheel has the 8mm bore and motor 6 mm shaft we had used the coupling with different size. The decision was made to apply elastic couplings, we had in our own stock,

6mm/8mm, which were a perfect fit for our model. The reason behind the elastic coupling was to compensate for small misalignment or vibration going from wheel to motor or vice versa, at least minimize this effect. To secure the 8mm axle we used the pillow block bearing which would take the unnecessary load of the motor gearbox and frame. At first, we wanted to use only one mounted on the profile with the t-nuts, however, with tilting experienced with the first design of the floor, just to prevent any tilt, second one was used right after the coupling. Between two pillow block bearing is placed an encoder which is positioned in the middle of the pre-water-jet-cut-slot. The encoder is screwed to the flange, manufactured in the workshop on the lathe machine, by use of which is locked to the axle. After the second pillow block, there is a wheel screw to the flange, manufactured in the workshop on the lathe machine (the flange was made incorrectly, outer diameter was 10mm to small, so we had to create a template, laser-cut MDF, to place the holes for mounting, since this imprecise technique was used we experienced small misalignment and the screw does not fit properly) TODO PIC . The motor and pillow block are placed on the spacer to make them leveled with respect of the wheel.

## Holders

In our design we use two types of holders. One, which hold the slide and one, which hold the ultrasonic. The slide holders are crucial part of our design, because they are load carrying elements, the drawer and whatever guest ordered. We have designed two types of the slide holders, in respect of the asymmetry of the outer slide, different hole sizes and placement (heavily based on the datasheet based on the accuride datasheet and cad model). The first 3d printed, were weak, they have been printed in the wrong position and had 30% infill which was definitely too small to create any kind acceptable holder. After collaborating with the 3d-print-team, we have implemented changes in 3d model; make is shorter, thicker ribs, more fillets, hence the architecture of the 3d print and set settings to the 100% infill, and 3d-printed upgraded version. The result was a robust holder.

The ultrasonic sensor holders where design in two different shapes, flat for walls, and L-shaped for the front plate. Both are designed according to the sensor datasheet and measurements taken by caliper. The wall ultrasonic holder is designed to fit the holes and prepared slots in the brain level side and rear walls. The L-shaped ultrasonic holder was constructed to fit on the front plate and hold the sensor with 90-degree angle. In our robot we have used 9 ultrasonic sensors; 6 of the are placed in pairs of two on the side and rear walls to detect wall and unforeseen obstacles and 3 on the front plate to cover the bigger area of the main driving direction.

## Covers

Appealing look was not our main focus in this project, bellhop robot size and shape was mainly defined by the choice of the material use to assemble the frame. Functionality of the design was the key; hence it was decided to divide robot into two parts; brain level with electrical components and food container drawer, to distinguish those two parts we designed different covers/wall to protect and secure before unwanted hands and objects.

In the brain level we combined look with functionality and went with plexiglass (5 mm plexiglass sheet available in the workshop fits perfectly in the aluminum profile slots) walls with slots for ultrasonic sensors, the transparent acrylic displays the electronic components inside what is an interesting view to look at. In the drawer floor level, we decided to cover with 3 mm MDF. Two side are plain for simple look (just with holes for mounting) and two, on the drawer travel side, are with pre-cut shapes allowing to drawer to slide out and protect people to pull the drawer out. At first we thought to use locking mechanism to secure the drawer against unwanted, nosey hands, however the idea with shape just big enough for the drawer was good-enough. It fulfilled all the criteria we established. Testing showed that is impossible (very hard) to just open a drawer. Top covers are laser cut from 3 mm MDF. Due to size limitation of the MDF sheet we had to use two panel to cover top area. One side is plain with holes for mounting, the other is design for human interface. It contains holes for mounting and slots for LCD screens and buttons.

## Wheel and Sliders

In the bellhop automobile, two types of wheel were used; for the drive, we have used polypropylene grey wheel, primarily the use of trolleys, nevertheless castor was the perfect fit for us. It can handle 80 kg load and weights only 130g, it does not have strong grip, but on the surfaces we have tested (at university) it is enough. The freewheel is made of an old baggage suitcase. Sadly, we do not have a datasheet for it, however it works perfectly and with the use of caliper we could design and manufacture mounting plates for it.

## Sliders

In search of the sliders for the drawer we have selected two-way travel Accuride 2026. The reason for it was that we could find datasheet and CAD model and the specification met our requirements; 283.4 mm extension length and 45 kg load and weight of 740g they promised to provide a smooth travel of the drawer.

## T-nuts

T-nuts are made to fit the aluminum profile slot. The threaded bore included in the design provides the connection between built-on part and the aluminum profile via screws.

T-nut primarily are supplied by the manufacturer of the aluminum profiles, however the price of the connecting element turned out to be very expensive. With respect to the very high price we have tried to create a CAD model and 3d print it, nevertheless the quality of the first created parts were not sufficient enough. Necessary adjustments were made with the 3d print set up what resulted, in part that could be used to attach something on the aluminum profile. Although, we obtained the desired effect, the concern appeared, that the material used to 3d print is not strong enough to hold our key elements, especially pillow block bearings.

## **Movement Principle**

The mechanical drive system we have applied is very simple concept. Controlling the velocity of the two motors, their difference, we can drive automobile in any desired path and direction. The built requires two motors, collinear mounted on an axis and additional freewheel. The third wheel keeps the balance. Principles of movement: If the angular velocities are equal, the same rpm and direction on the wheel, robot drives straight or backwards, depending of clockwise or counterclockwise direction. If the velocities are equal, but in the opposite direction, robot spins around its vertical axis, so we turn the spotif one wheel is active and second is passive and block the robot will turn around the contact point of the passive wheels, in our case the polypropylene rubber does not have sufficient friction force, grip and tends to create offset to the turn (if the speeds are chosen correctly we can perform a 90 degree turn). If angular velocities are different and motors are spinning in the same direction, then we can turn the automobile left or right, goes curve motion. Combining those motions we can create a full drive and make the robot to follow the path we want. Advantages are that control system is simple and mechanics is robust and sound. The main disadvantage is that nothing is perfect and neither motors or wheels so we can never achieve equal angular velocities. If the robot does not drive as desired, we can make an adjustment and correction factor. We chose to apply the encoder which would control revolutions of the wheel.

## **Risk Management**

## **Conclusion**

## 7 Electronics




Figure 1: Block diagram of electronics

The electronic system in the Automated Bellhop is split into six main groups (shown in Fig. 1):

- |                |   |
|----------------|---|
| Control        | This group contains the processing power of the Bellhop. A Raspberry Pi and three Arduino Nano microcontrollers connected via USB cables. |
| User Interface | This is the interface between the user and the Bellhop. Containing A LCD Display and a driver for it, as well as a button for User input. |
| Ultrasonic     | Containing up to 16 ultrasonic sensors, and two high speed multiplexers/demultiplexes to drive these sensors.                             |
| Drawer         | The two motors used to move the drawer in and out, as well as the 3 hall-effect sensors used to determine the drawer position.            |

Drive	The two motors connected to the wheels and the rotary encoders on these wheels.
Power Supply	The power management system containing battery, AUX power connector battery charger connecter and switch to switch between the battery and AUX.

## Control

The control system consists of one Raspberry Pi and three Arduinos. In the current state of the Bellhop this is not needed, since only the Sensors and Drive Arduinos are used. The reason for the current configuration is that not whole functionality of the robot has been implemented due to time restrictions. The processing power of the Raspberry Pi is needed for the mapping of the hallways the Bellhop drives around.

Each of the three Arduino Nano microcontrollers has a specific task. The decision to use three separate units was made because they are cheap and allow for fast prototyping. The Sensor Arduino samples data from the ultrasonic sensors upon request from the Raspberry Pi. This Arduino is reserved for this purpose because ultrasonic ranging requires a pause (waiting for the echo) in execution of the code for accurate measurement, which would disrupt other logic that should otherwise be executed. The Drive Arduino controls the two driving wheels and the corresponding RPM measurements from the encoders. This must always be ready to alter direction or stop if something gets in its way. As a safety measure, all non-drive essential operation has been removed from this Arduino—to be completely sure it is always ready to react. This leaves the user interaction. Communication with the user, as well as controlling the drawer that should only open when delivering to the right person is handled by one last—User Interface Arduino.

## User Interface

The User interaction on the Bellhop is the simplest of the three. It only contains 2 sets of a LCD display and a single button. The 2 sets are located on each side of the Bellhop and allow operation from both sides. The LCDs display the same information, and are connected to the same driver. The LCD backlights are controlled separately to indicate what side of the Bellhop is active. The button is also read separately so the button on the inactive side can be ignored. The LCDs are driven with a *74HC164E* serial-in parallel-out shift register to get the 8 pin parallel data bus needed to drive the LCDs.

The User Interface can be so simplistic because its only purpose is simple communication with the recipient about what is delivered, and if it has been removed. All other communicating, such as destinations etc. is done over a Wi-Fi connection.

## Ultrasonic Sensors

To drive the nine ultrasonic sensors (shown in Fig. 2) used in the Bellhop two high speed multiplexers/demultiplexes (*74HC4067*) are used. One for multiplexing the trigger signal to the correct sensor, and the other for demultiplexing the echo to response pin. The sensor

is selected using a 4-pin input on  $74HC4067$  ( $S_0$ - $S_3$  pins [NXP(2016)]) to set a binary address. The same address pins from the Arduino are connected to both multiplexers, as the relevant response will always be coming from the same sensor that was triggered.

Using the multiplexers all nine sensors (up to 16 possible with the multiplexer) are driven using only six pins instead of the 18 that would have been needed to drive each sensor directly. A method that was considered to reduce the pin count was to use a common echo or trigger pin, either trigger only one sensor and listen for response on all of them, or trigger all of them and only listen for response from one at a time. This would be viable in some applications, but could result in crosstalk, where a signal bounces and gets read by a sensor that has not sent out the signal. It would also only bring the pin count down to 10.



Figure 2: *HC-SR04* ultrasonic sensor used.

## Drawer

The drawer uses two DC motors to allow it to open fully on both sides. The DC motors are controlled via a  $L294N$  bases dual motor control module. This allows the motors to have direction and speed controlled using PWM signals. To determine when the drawer is in the right position, three hall-effect sensors are positioned in the frame, and 3 magnets on the drawer. Two mark the outer positions of both sides, and one marks the centre in the closed position.

## Drive

The Drive consists of two geared DC motors, connected to a wheel each. On the axle between each motor and wheel there is an encoder disc that together with an optical switch, used to determine RPM of each wheel independently.

## Encoders

For measuring the RPM of our wheels, a slotted optical switch and a disc with slits along the circumference is used as a rotary encoder for each drive wheel. The optical switch needs an op-amp to convert the analog output into a square wave signal that the Arduino Nano microcontroller can read.

Simply measuring time between rising edges on the square wave the Arduino can calculate the RPM only by knowing the number of slits on the disc and the speed by knowing the circumference of the wheel.

To hold the optical switch in place it is mounted to a small PCB together with the op-amp and resistors needed to make the square wave signal. Having these components as close to




Figure 3: Optical switch PCB mounted on the encoder.

the optical switch as possible is important to reduce the noise from the DC motors and the power cables to the DC motors, as shown on the Fig. 3.

## Power Supply

The power distribution system is dependent on a 12V DC input voltage capable of supplying 4A when in full use (Driving on a high friction surface while carrying a load), and 1–2A for testing, depending on the nature of the test. For the main power supply a 10 cell Ni-MH battery pack rated at 12V is used. For testing and continuous operation of the microcontrollers doing charging, a 12V AUX power supply can be connected.

Switching between these two power inputs can be done without power shortage, allowing the Raspberry Pi and Arduinos to keep operating without reset when switching. This is achieved by adding an electrolytic capacitor between the 12V rail and GND to remove the few microseconds of power loss coming from switching between the power sources, and smooth out voltage change that can occur as the battery voltage fluctuates during a discharge cycle and the AUX power supply will give the same voltage regardless of battery voltage. When the power input is set for AUX power, the battery is disconnected from the circuitry, and connected to a charging port, allowing it to be charged without removal, or the machine being shut down.

The 12V supply voltage is converted down to the 5V needed for driving the Raspberry Pi, Arduinos, and other logic using a DC-DC switch mode power supply (Buck converter). The Buck converter is unbranded and a datasheet for the module cannot be found. Therefore, the specifications are from the datasheet of the main IC. The converter can take an input voltage of 4–40V and output 1.3–37V [Tex(1999)]. Meaning that the 12V to 5V conversion is well within specification.

## Improvements

Turn off 5V for Arduinos doing programming and debugging, to avoid feeding voltage into computer's USB port. Add fan control with temperature measurement to lower noise level when cooling is not necessary. Route communication between Arduino and Raspberry Pi on PCB to reduce cable complexity.

To achieve most of the improvements mentioned above a professionally produced 2 or 4 layer PCB should be used. The prototyping PCBs manufactured at SDU provide a great opportunity for testing out hardware, shrinking otherwise very large and complex circuits down by a lot, and mounting SMD chips and other components that have other than 0.1 inch pitch. However, they also have some constraints. The minimum track spacing and track thickness as well as pad and drill sizes are a lot higher on the in-house prototypes compared to etched PCBs. The holes and vias are not plated, meaning that the thru-hole components must be soldered on the correct or sometimes both sides, and that vias must be hand soldered. Lastly, there is no solder mask, silkscreen, or surface finishing to assist with proper component placement and soldering, or to help the final product last longer. Overall, the prototypes are great for simple use cases, but for more complex PCBs with many and/or very small components, they are impractical to use.

	Prototype PCB at SDU	Professionally etched PCB
Layers	1–2	1–4 or more
Thru-hole	No	Yes
Min track width	12 mil	6 mil (4 mil <sup>2</sup> )
Min track spacing	12 mil	6 mil (4 mil)
Min drill size	0.6mm	0.3mm (0.2 mm)
Surface finishing	None (exposed copper)	HASL <sup>3</sup> lead or lead-free (gold)
Specific to this PCB		
Size	140×100mm	140×100mm <sup>4</sup>
Layers	1	2
Price excl. shipping	140DKK (1 unit)	60USD ≈425DKK (5 units)
Price incl. shipping	140DKK (1 unit)	35USD ≈250DKK (5 units)

Table 1: PCB comparison.

Values and prices from above table comes from <http://www.pcbway.com/> for the Professionally edged PCB and Mathias Skouman Völcker, who works with the PCB CNC machine at SDU, for the limits on that machine. Price for PCB made at SDU:

$$\text{Price} = \text{PCB area} \cdot \frac{\text{DKK}}{\text{cm}^2} \cdot \text{Layers}$$

which for the main PCB is

$$\text{Price} = 140\text{cm}^2 \cdot \frac{\text{DKK}}{\text{cm}^2} \cdot 1 = 140\text{DKK}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>The best possible but also more expensive options, these options are not used in the price comparison.

<sup>3</sup>HASL surface finishing refers to Hot Air Surface Levelling which uses a solder bath, and hot air jets to plate all copper connections with solder, this makes soldering easier, and protects the copper from corroding.

<sup>4</sup>As a double-sided PCB optimized for the edging minimum specifications have not been routed, it is impossible to tell the exact size of it. However, as all tracks and vias will be smaller and the routing can be done tighter due to the option of jumping layer a lot easier, it will definitely not be higher. In the price comparison PCBs of the same size are used.

## 8 USART Communication

Effective communication between the Raspberry Pi and Arduino slaves means that the reception of commands should not interfere with other logic on the slave. A simple implementation of USART communication on a Arduino uses `scanf()` for input of data, as shown in Fig. 4. This method could not be used because the `scanf()` function waits until




Figure 4: Flowchart of trivial USART data reception.

data comes through the `stdin` stream, which stops the execution of the program. Therefore, the communication needed to be driven by interrupts. The *ATmega328p*'s `RXCIE0` flag is set when there are unread data in the USART receive buffer, which is cleared when the `UDR0` register is accessed [Atm(2015)], this means that incoming data needs to be processed one byte at a time. The commands received needed to be longer than one byte because it allows for easier command decomposition. Example program snippet showing use of asynchronous data reception inside the main loop is shown below:

```
1 | if (uart.flag) {  
|     /* usart_buff is volatile so input needs to be buffered again */  
|     cli();  
|     strcpy((char *) input_buff, (char *) usartbuff);  
|     sei();  
6 |  
|     switch (input_buff[0]) {  
|         /* id */  
|         case '1':  
|             printf("0\n");  
|             break;  
|             /* more commands */  
11 |     }  
| }
```

The interrupts are disabled with `cli()`, and `strcpy()` is used because the data in `input_buffer` can change virtually at any time, which would result in corruption of a command. To ensure seamless operation a simple communication protocol was used, each command consists of one line terminated with `\n`. Examples:

- 1 Identify, answer depends on the receiving Arduino
- p1 Ping: Send data from 1st ultrasonic sensor
- m1Hello UI: Write Hello on the 1st line of the LCD
- m2World UI: Write World on the 2nd line of the LCD

L0	Drive: Stop the left motor
Rp5	Drive: Set the right motor RPM to 5

## Error Handling

As there were multiple microcontrollers with which the Raspberry Pi was communicating at once, for testing purposes it was important to include some form of error handling in the event that there was something unexpected in the data that was given to one of the microcontrollers. This was mainly done by incorporating error feedback into the existing switch-cases that existed in the programs on the Arduino Nano microcontrollers. If the microcontroller received an unexpected message from the Raspberry Pi, an appropriate error code was sent back through USART that would indicate the type of error, in order to have an idea on whether or not there is a mistake in the communication setup, and also where this mistake might be.

The main standard for these error codes is as follows:

eAx

where A is the Arduino Nano microcontroller ID number, as described in TODO:USART DESCRIBE IT and x is the specific error code that pertains to the type of error detected. For example, the list of possible error codes that the LCD Arduino may return are as follows:

e11	An undefined command or no command was sent
e12	m was sent with no specified line number
e13	m was sent with an incorrect line number (i.e. higher than 2)
e14	m was sent with a line number, but with no message

Every time the switch-case falls into an error, it sets `error.flag` to 1, and assigns `error.code` to the appropriate number. After exiting the switch-case, if the error flag is set, it calls the following function:

```
1 | void error(char x)
2 | {
3 |     uart_putchar('e', &uart_output);
4 |     uart_putchar('1', &uart_output);
5 |     uart_putchar(x, &uart_output);
6 | }
```

and no other response is given back to the Raspberry Pi.

## 9 Network Communication

There are two protocols being used to communicate wirelessly with the Raspberry Pi; one of which is SSH and mainly used for development, and the other is TCP, used between the customer and the Raspberry Pi. Both of these protocols communicate over the network, and are used to enable a nearly hands-free control of the robot from both a development and user perspective. Due to the nature of the robot, it was desired that it be able to receive commands wirelessly, and also, in the hotel setting, it is imagined that allowing the customer to send requests to the Bellhop from the comfort of their room would be more practical. SSH was therefore used to login to the Raspberry Pi, whereas TCP was used as the protocol within the user interface that the hotel customer would interact with.

**TCP [tcp(2017a)] [tcp(2017b)]**




Figure 5: Flowchart of the user's application.

TCP communication was a commonly suggested protocol that allowed for the desired outcome/user interface between a hotel customer and the kitchen/Automated Bellhop. Ideally, the hotel customer would (from a computer, phone, or TV) be able to send a

request for room service with their order and their room number, which would then be processed and handled with collaboration between the kitchen and the Automated Bellhop. As it is imagined that high-end hotels would likely be the first to make use of this device, based on personal experience it has been noticed that these hotels typically would have Smart TVs or similar that would be able to run an application in order to fulfil this<sup>5</sup>.

The TCP communication is set up in the following way<sup>6</sup>:



Where the kitchen would act as the main server that relays data and confirms that communication is successful. In the setup created for the current prototype, the hotel customer would enter their order and room number separately, as shown in Fig. 5. Both the order and the room number are sent to the kitchen, where those working there would be able to see this information (Fig. 6), and the kitchen sends the room number to the Raspberry Pi setup (Fig. 6).

In the current state of the prototype, this application was not able to be fully implemented. With a fully functional drive and map, the Raspberry Pi would ideally be able to store the received room number, know its location on the map, and would be able to drive to this location on command once the kitchen employee has confirmed that the order is stored on the Bellhop.

## Problems

The following describes some problems that were encountered in relation to network communication during the development of this device, as well as some possible solutions that were used, and otherwise could be used in different situations.

Both TCP and SSH communication require knowing the IP address of the device that is to be communicated with. In a setting where devices are assigned static IP addresses, this is not a big issue, as the IP address would be set once in the code or known by memory for a user, however when the device is assigned a different IP upon each connection, this may be problematic. One simple workaround is to set up a hostname for the Bellhop, which would allow for connection to be established with an unchanging name (i.e. bellhop.local). Provided that the network over which the communication is being established allows for resolvable hostnames, this would be an ideal recommendation for a user of this product. Alternatively, the device could be set up to request a static IP at every connection, however this would also need to be allowed in the network settings.

---

<sup>5</sup>This can be altered based on hotel requirements, and may be modified to allow the hotel customer to call in their order, where an employee would then relay the required information to the kitchen and to the Bellhop through any communication protocol. This, however, would then potentially require an additional employee.

<sup>6</sup>As this example was demonstrated locally, the IP address stays the same between them




Figure 6: Informations seen by the kitchen and the Raspberry Pi.

Neither requesting a static IP address nor configuring a resolvable hostname, however, were not successful given the network settings at SDU. Two options were presented: to set up a personal wireless network, or to use a VPN service, such as *Hamachi* [ham(2017)]. Setting up a personal wireless network would have been the ideal solution given the situation, as it would then be possible to set a static IP or a resolvable hostname, however using the *Hamachi* VPN service was chosen.

In a situation where *Hamachi* is used, it is imagined that both the computer from which the Raspberry Pi is being accessed and the Raspberry Pi itself would be on the same network. Then, a static IP is given within the *Hamachi* network, as well as successful functionality of hostnames, that can be used for SSH and TCP communication. However, there is a limit to the number of devices that can be registered on a network before a subscription service needs to be paid for. This was not a problem during the development of the prototype, however could potentially end up unnecessarily costly if it were to be used in a hotel. Additionally, using a VPN service such as *Hamachi* poses some security risks, as it is then easier for the device to be accessed from outside of the Wi-Fi network. In a hotel setting, this could be a problem, as it would provide the possibility for someone with no relation to the hotel to gain access to the robot device, and thereby potentially some sensitive information that may be stored there. While this is already a potential risk by having the robot run on a network within a hotel, where the guests will in theory be able to access the robot, there is no need to add to this risk by allowing access from essentially all around the world to the robot. This should be considered if a customer should choose to set up a VPN service in order to work with the robot.

During the development of the robot, the main problem was the loading time for the Raspberry Pi to be logged in to the *Hamachi* network. While it was set up to log in to *Hamachi* upon boot, it still took up to five minutes before the connection was successful, despite nearly immediately connecting to the Wi-Fi network. In order to keep track of this, a simple LED was set up on the Raspberry Pi GPIO pins that allowed for a visual indication that could display the status of the network connection, using a python script which would also begin at startup, and also continue to run throughout the use of the Raspberry Pi. The LED remained off until the Raspberry Pi had connected to the Wi-Fi, where it would instead start blinking. When the Raspberry Pi has successfully logged in to *Hamachi*, the LED remains on. In the final version of this robot, this would also be implemented in order to indicate when the Automated Bellhop would be ready to serve. However, nearing the final weeks of development, the time delay was detrimental to the development process, as whenever it was required to restart the Raspberry Pi, there would be an unwanted amount of time before development could continue running. Therefore, it was instead set up that upon connection to a Wi-Fi network, the Raspberry Pi (through the use of a connected Arduino Nano microcontroller) would print its IP address on an LCD screen. This was a successful solution during the development of the robot, however should not be used in the final product.

Many of the problems that were faced in relation to the communication with the device (both through SSH and TCP) were due to some limitations set by the environment in which the robot was being developed. Though there were workarounds that were used

for the purpose of prototyping, the problems shed light on some possible requirements or recommendations that would allow for the device to be setup and run smoothly in a hotel setting – mainly for the hotel to ensure the network can allow for a device to request a static IP, or for the network to allow for resolvable hostnames.

## 10 Arduino Nano Microcontrollers

The final of this product would ideally make use of three *Arduino Nano* microcontrollers: one to control the user interface on the device (i.e. LCD and buttons), one to control and collect data from the distance sensors, and one to control the drive of the robot. The three microcontrollers are connected via USB to the Raspberry Pi, in order to send and receive any necessary data in order to function in the desired. Additionally, by having the microcontrollers connected via USB, it is then easy for if the program on any one of them needed to be modified, as this could be done through SSH communication to the Raspberry Pi<sup>7</sup>.

### User Interface Arduino

The User Interface includes all interactions with the Bellhop, such as: displaying messages on the two LCD screens, input from the buttons, and controlling the drawer. Currently, it is only used to display Raspberry Pi's ip address on the LCDs.

### Sensors Arduino

The robot has been set up with eight ultrasonic sensors (*HC-SR04*) around its perimeter; three in the front, two on either side, and two in the back. Those on the sides would be used in order to determine how close the robot is driving to a wall, for example, which the Raspberry Pi would then interpret and adjust the turning of the robot accordingly. The ultrasonic sensors set up at the front of the device are in order to detect an oncoming collision, which would then cause the robot to stop its movement until the obstruction is out of the way. The ultrasonic sensors at the back are setup for the use with the map, in order to help with determining the space around the device, and distance to any walls or obstructions on turns. Sensors initially were setup in a way where all eight shared a trigger pin on the microcontroller, and then each had a dedicated echo pin. However, it was decided that this may cause some interference or inconsistencies in measurements, as it may happen that an ultrasonic sensor picks up the echo from a different sensor than itself. Therefore, the setup was changed to make use of two multiplexers; one to handle the trigger pins, and another to handle the echo pins. This setup is done by writing the ID of the ultrasonic sensor in use into the PORTB register, which is done by the `multiplex()` function:

```
void multiplex(uint8_t id)
{
    PORTB &= ~(0xFF);
4     PORTB |= id;
}
```

The distance measured by an ultrasonic sensor is determined in the following way: When the microcontroller receives a request to read from a specific sensor, it will send a

---

<sup>7</sup>In the current state of the prototype, four Arduino Nano microcontrollers are being used, as one is being used simply to print the IP address of the Raspberry Pi to an LCD.

pulse through the trigger pin on the desired ultrasonic sensor. When the echo pin goes high (which is done automatically by the ultrasonic sensor after some small delay from the time the pulse is sent through the trigger pin), a timer begins, and the overflows on this timer are counted by adding 255 to the count variable. The echo pin goes low when it has received a signal back, and this stops the timer, and the remaining counts are added. Then, this count value is converted to distance (in cm) using the following equation:

$$\text{distance} = (\text{double}) \text{ ping.echo} \cdot \frac{\text{US\_PER\_CLK}}{\text{US\_PER\_CM\_2}}$$

where `US_PER_CLK` is the amount of microseconds that pass in one clock cycle on the microcontroller (set to 16MHz), `US_PER_CM_2` is how many microseconds pass per centimetre travelled by sound multiplied by 2, and `ping.echo` is the number of counts. These macros are defined at the beginning of the program, in case they need to be changed for precision.

Within the program, it is also determined whether or not the maximum amount of counts have passed before the echo has come back, known as `PING_MAX`, which is set to be equivalent to a reading for 400cm, as the datasheet describes this being the maximum accurate reading [ELE(2016)]. Once the count exceeds `PING_MAX`, the time stops, and the program sends back `-1`<sup>8</sup>. However, later in the development, it was noticed that there is some delay between when the timer exceeds `PING_MAX` and when the ultrasonic sensor can be used again—about 200ms. This is due to the nature of the ultrasonic sensor itself, as its internal timeout for having the echo pin go low is 200ms, which is much longer than what it would take for the echo to bounce back from 400cm (about 23ms). This causes a substantial delay if it is desired to read from one ultrasonic sensor multiple times in a short time-frame (where one or some readings, perhaps, does not come back) as the trigger can only send a pulse once the echo pin has toggled down. While this should not be a problem in the final make of the device, it did raise some problems in testing.

There are some ways that this problem could be fixed or worked around, firstly to consider the use of different kinds of sensors that can either have the echo pin be manually set down, or that uses a different method to collect data where this problem does not arise<sup>9</sup>. Alternatively, a work around could be to manually cut power to the ultrasonic sensor after a predetermined amount of time has passed (i.e. that of `PING_MAX`), through the use of MOS-FET transistors to clear the sensor's echo pin, by turning it off and on.

## Drive Arduino

For driving the Bellhop we use two *919D501LN* motors which are controlled by a *LN298N* dual channel H-Bridge. Controlling both engines rotation and direction is done with 4 pins and 2 PWM signals. A frequency of 40kHz was chosen for the PWM, as it proved to be the most effective in testing, also the limit for the H-bridge is 40kHz [ST(2000)]. Having 2 independent drive wheels made it difficult to drive straight, as each engine outputs slightly

---

<sup>8</sup>This is not handled as an error in the same way that is mentioned in 8

<sup>9</sup>However, it is possible that another problem that is unforeseeable may arise, as no other distance measurement sensors have been tested in this purpose.

different power, for instance when both engines are set to 100% power, the Bellhop would steer left, we try to correct that problem with use of feedback from encoders to control the RPM.

## Encoders

Encoders are needed because without them there is no way to gauge how much the Bellhop has travelled on each of the wheels. First of all, a sensor is needed that would do the measure rotation of the encoders, available in E-Lab is the *OPB866T55* optical switch. One of the problems of using this switch directly with an Arduino is that the voltage does not rise immediately when the emitter and collector detect each other, which would lead to uncertainty, to correct this problem an op-amp is used to filter out signals below 2V, and get a more stable reading on the Arduino, for schematic of the switch refer to the Appendix<sup>10</sup>. On Arduino timer interrupts are used every 0.05ms(20kHz), as getting the number higher resulted in problems with USART communication, as it was interrupting too much, and slowed down the communication of data.

Six encoders were designed with varying slit size, As a test encoder 1 and 6 were water-cut out of stainless steel with, as they were on the extremes of each other. The picture of initial test can be seen on the Fig. 7. The 1st encoder is good at being super fast, having a 3° slit and 3° of spacing, with  $\approx 60$  reading per revolution from it, but as tests have shown it is not stable enough as at idle engine RPM(no load) it varied quite a bit  $\approx 4$  counts as shown in formula for calculating RPM. This may be attributed to the inaccuracies which are stated below. The 6th encoder has 6° slit and 18° of spacing which proved to be much more consistent, but having only 15 readings per revolution meant that RPM control could not be done very quickly as it had long times in between readings especially at low RPM  $\approx 30$ . The test for the encoder was that for 5 minutes I would observe its time data and see the deviation, of which it had none, it was surprising as the 1st encoder was much more inaccurate. Further testing is required to find the best possible one, which has the best accuracy (readings/rev).

The formula for calculating the RPM for the first encoder was:

$$\text{RPM} = \frac{1}{x \cdot 0.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot 60} \cdot 60 = \frac{20000}{x}$$

where  $x$  is the count of interrupts from rising edge to rising edge of the op-amp output, and  $0.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$  is the period between interrupts, and 60 is the amount of high to high transitions in one revolution. The 2nd encoder had a formula of  $\text{RPM} = \frac{80000}{x}$ , after simplification.




Figure 7: First test of the encoders.

---

<sup>10</sup>TODO path to optical-switch-schematic

One thing that can not be completely certain is that what is measured truly the right RPM, as we there is no tachometer in the SDU workshop that works precisely enough, the one tachometer the workshop has is the one which has flickering light at different frequencies, with which confirmed that the RPM measured by the Arduino is roughly the same ( $\approx$ 140 RPM on the tachometer, and 140 RPM on the Arduino). Another precision point would be the water-jet machine, as the holes for the axle to go through are supposed to be 9mm, but in reality after measuring with calliper they were 8.7mm, which leads to question if the encoders are truly what we designed them to be from edge to edge— $24^\circ$ .

## RPM Control

For the RPM control a simple formula which adjusts the duty cycle output was implemented:

$$pwmL = pwmL + \left( \frac{rpmLset}{2} - \frac{rpmLread}{2} \right)$$

It responds linearly by giving, this formula worked very well with encoder 1 as it's fast readings allowed for quick adjustments to PWM to get the desired RPM, if it was possible power wise. However, it tended to constantly overshoot/undershoot, and setting the RPM at 60 would mean that the wheel RPM would fluctuate between 58–62RPM which was not accurate enough. With the Encoder 6, it did not work very well, as when the speed suddenly decreased it would overshoot duty cycle by a large margin, and would take a while to stabilize to get the same results as Encoder 1. Another formula which is much simpler incremented PWM by 1, This one was super smooth in its operation, but was not fast enough to react to sudden changes, as it would take a while to get from 30rpm( $\approx$ 40% duty cycle) to 60rpm(100% with load).

Unfortunately, the RPM control was not implemented in the current program as it was causing problems, and was replaced with direct PWM control. One problem of the engines is that even though they can pull 1.4Nm they draw a lot of current and the L298N H-Bridge cannot supply it, even at normal operation with no load, the voltage drop was 0.2V. All in all, maybe it would be even better to have 2 encoders on each wheel, one would reliably count the Revolutions, and the other would be used to control RPM.

## 11 Raspberry Pi

The control of the robot as a whole is being done through the use of a Raspberry Pi 3 Model B, which has been set up to communicate through USART with the three Arduino Nano microcontrollers. This allows for the Raspberry Pi to act as a master in this setup by interpreting the data received from one end, and then issue the necessary commands based on this information to the respective microcontroller.

An example of this communication can be seen when data from the ultrasonic sensors is being collected. The Raspberry Pi will send a request to the Arduino Nano microcontroller that controls the ultrasonic sensors to send back data from specific sensors, for example, those on the right side of the robot. The Raspberry Pi would then process this data, and, based on the outcome, send the appropriate command to the Arduino Nano microcontroller that controls the drive, such as turning more left if the robot is detected to be too close to a wall.

Additionally, the Raspberry Pi has been configured to compile the C codes and then program the microcontrollers directly, so it is unnecessary to disconnect them and compile from a PC. SSH communication was used from a remote computer to connect with the Raspberry Pi over a network in order to update any scripts and programs wirelessly from a computer, without needing to disassemble the robot. This is especially useful for troubleshooting, and when testing the assembled robot, as it was possible to, from a laptop, send commands to the specific microcontrollers in order to see if they respond correctly.

Programming the Arduino microcontrollers is done through the use of a USB cable, similarly to how they would be programmed from a laptop. On the Raspberry Pi, which runs on a Linux based operating system (*Raspbian Jessie Lite*), serial USB devices (i.e. the microcontrollers) are considered as character devices. The kernel assigns a file (in this case: `/dev/ttyUSB0`, `/dev/ttyUSB1`, etc.) to the specific microcontrollers based on the order in which they are plugged in<sup>11</sup>. Therefore, as it is possible for the individual *Arduino Nano* microcontrollers to be assigned different files on startup, or if they are plugged out then in again, it is necessary for the makefile to determine on to which microcontroller it must upload the code. In order to accomplish this, a Python script, which can be seen in the digital appendix<sup>12</sup>, is called within the makefile, where the input is customised based on to which microcontroller the makefile should build. For example, in the makefile for the microcontroller that controls the drive:

```
PORT = $(shell ./usb.py drive 2>/dev/null)  
with usb.py returning /dev/ttyUSBn where n is the device number of the Drive Arduino.
```

---

<sup>11</sup>In Windows Systems, this would be similar to what would be considered COM Ports, which are instead hardware-based, and rely on the specific USB port to which the serial USB device is connected.

<sup>12</sup>`/src/Raspberry/usb.py`

## Map

Ideally, the Bellhop would travel with assistance of a built-in map, determine the shortest distance to the destination, and recognise if there is an obstruction in front of itself by comparing expected ultrasonic sensor output with actual readings; combined with set RPMs and feedback from the encoders a Kalman filter could be used for accurate position estimation. Considering these criteria it was chosen to use *Python 3.5* and a Raspberry Pi due to the ease of development, interest, and learning outcomes. The map is represented as a list of line coordinates ( $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$ ), and stored in a .json format. During the development of the map logic a simple GUI program was used to simulate simple Bellhop movements and test algorithms. For the GUI different libraries were considered: *cocos2d* [coc(2017)], *pySDL2* [PyS(2017)], *PyCairo* [cai(2017)], and *Tkinter*; out of these *Tkinter* was chosen because it is a standard python module and it is the simplest use out of the four. The source code for this program can be found in the digital appendix<sup>13</sup>.

The approach for modeling ultrasonic sensors is a simplified version of James L. Crowley's model [Crowley(1989)]. Each sensor is defined by three variables:

- r** The distance from the centre of the Bellhop to the sensor.
- beta** The orientation of the sensor with respect to the robot's axis.
- gamma** The Angle from the robot axis to the sensor.

The **Bellhop** class has its **alpha** angle—orientation with respect to the map, **x** and **y** which are its estimated position on the map. Currently, there are working algorithms for estimating readings from ultrasonic sensors based on sensor input. These are implemented as methods the **Map** class. This logic can be found in the digital appendix<sup>14</sup>.

In the development process unit tests were used, using the Python's unittest [uni(2017)] framework. For each method in **Bellhop** and **Map** classes there is an isolated test which ensures that its output is correct and all exceptions are caught. This has proven very useful and has found bugs that would not have been caught otherwise<sup>15</sup>.

---

<sup>13</sup> /src/Raspberry/Map/map.py

<sup>14</sup> /src/Raspberry/Map/bellhop.py

<sup>15</sup> /src/Raspberry/Map/tests.py




Figure 8: Tkinter map with a Bellhop object, walls shown in yellow, ultrasonic sensor distances in blue, distance from centre of the Bellhop to a sensor in red.

## Brain

The main program controling the Bellhop is written in *Python 3.5*, and can be found in the digital appendix<sup>16</sup>. The logic is divided into several classes responsible for interaction with users, motor control, sensor data, etc. It has been done in a way where each class is specialised in one area. For example, the **Ping** class can only control the **Ping** Arduino, or the **Motor** controls one motor. Overview of the classes is shown below:

<b>Lcd</b>	Controls the UI Arduino. Methods for displaying messages on the LCDs, button input etc.
<b>Ping</b>	Controls the <b>Ping</b> Arduino. Reads data from the ultrasonic sensors, could possibly be used also for filtering of data.
<b>Motor</b>	Controls a motor, sets the RPM or gear.
<b>Drive</b>	Controls left and right motor, consists of <b>Motor</b> instances.
<b>Brain</b>	Controls the Bellhop, has instances of <b>Lcd</b> , <b>Ping</b> , <b>Drive</b> , and <b>Map</b> <sup>17</sup> . Makes use of them to perform more intricate tasks. For instance, keeping constant distance to a wall while checking for collisions.

This structuring allowed for each of the individual functions of the robot to be developed and tested separately. However, it was not until the Bellhop was assembled when some issues were found, due to the time constrains they could not have been solved. Namely, the *200ms* delay when an ultrasonic sensor's reading is out of range and unreliable control of the motor RPM, which made the control of the Bellhop unreliable.

## Safety Distance

Safety should be the number one priority for a robot moving where people also walk around. Different types of obstacle avoidance and rerouteing of the drive path is preferred over a full stop, but as a last measure if everything else fails, the robot should stop completely before hitting anything or anyone. Therefore, three ultrasonic distance sensors in the front, and 2 in the back are used to detect obstacles in the drive path. If this detect something inside the safety distance of the robot, it stop immediately, and not move until the situation is analysed, and the obstacle has moved or is determined to be stationary, in which case backing away is an option. The safety distance will after all testing is done be dependent on current velocity, but for now it is set to a static value high enough for the robot to stop safely from maximum velocity. Optimally, the safety distance could be defined in two parts: one where the robot must stop instantaneously to avoid collision, and second where it is able to break gently to protect it's shipment from damages.

---

<sup>16</sup>/src/Raspberry/brain.py

<sup>17</sup>Not implemented in **brain.py**.

Currently, a simple collision avoidance is implemented in a form of a method in the Brain class:

```
def check_front(self):
    """Check if an object is blocking in front"""
    dist = self.ping.distance(2)
    while dist < self.safety_distance:
        print('something in front {}'.format(dist))
        self.drive.stop()
        self.drive.forward()
```

When the reading on the centre front ping sensor is smaller than the acceptable safety distance the Bellhop will stop and wait until the reading is acceptable before continuing. It could easily be extended to include safety distance for each side of the robot. This however does not account for faults to Raspberry, which could result in the motors being stuck in forward gear, and causes collision risk. Therefore, additional system could be implemented where the Drive Arduino would have internal timeout for the motors, which would be reset every time a command is received from the Raspberry.

## 12 Technical Review

At the TekExpo hosted by SDU, the group had the opportunity to discuss with a member from the engineering field, who provided feedback as to how the project could be improved, as well as some tips for when the project is in the primary stages. The technical review was given by a Senior Software Architect, working in a local company.

It was said that the product itself was well built, with a decent amount of aesthetic appeal. Additionally, the fact that the robot could be programmed and communicated with without needing to take apart the device was definitely a plus when looking from both a testing perspective and a user perspective.

Among the improvements that were mentioned, the main one was that the group should have rather started small, and worked upwards from there. For example, while the multiple ultrasonic sensors may be useful in future versions of the device, where the map would be implemented, when it was discovered that the map would not be a part of the current prototype, it could have been helpful to step down on the amount of ultrasonic sensors in order to limit the input and focus just on what is in front and to the sides of the device. Further, in terms of RPM control, it was suggested that if this project were to be done again, to use stepper motors, as these are typically easier to control.

Emphasis on referencing the Need-to-Haves and Nice-to-Haves of the project was also commented on: it is important to truly focus on the what the robot needs to have, and only once the basics of these have been achieved, should the group had started to implement some of the bigger ideas/nice-to-haves into the project.

## **13 Conclusion**

TODO Cat REFERENCE TECHNICAL REVIEW

## **14 Division of Work/Responsibilities**

### **Catherine**

- TCP Commuication
- User experience and usability
- Raspberry Pi usability and control (startup, etc.)
- Ping Arduino
- Error handling in USART

### **Vladislav**

- Drive Arduino
- Mechanical design
- Encoders
- Mechanical Workshop Contact person

### **Piotr**

- Map
- Brain
- Ping Arduino
- User Interface Arduino
- USART communication
- E-Lab Contact person

### **Mathias**

- PCB design
- Electronics handling/design

### **Wojciech**

- Mechanical Design

## **15 Bill of Materials**

TODO

## 16 References

- [NXP(2016)] *74HC4067; 74HCT4067 16-channel analog multiplexer/demultiplexer*. NXP Semiconductors, 2016.
- [Tex(1999)] *LM2596 SIMPLE SWITCHER Power Converter 150-kHz 3-A Step-Down Voltage Regulator*. Texas Instruments, 11 1999.
- [Atm(2015)] *ATmega48A/PA/88A/PA/168A/PA/328/P*. Atmel, 5 2015.
- [tcp(2017a)] Tcpclient class, 2017a. URL [https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/system.net.sockets.tcpclient\(v=vs.110\).aspx](https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/system.net.sockets.tcpclient(v=vs.110).aspx).
- [tcp(2017b)] Tcpcommunication - python, 2017b. URL <https://wiki.python.org/moin/TcpCommunication>.
- [ham(2017)] Hamachi, 2017. URL <https://www.logmeininc.com/>.
- [ELE(2016)] *Ultrasonic Ranging Module HC - SR04*. ELEC Freaks, 2016.
- [ST(2000)] *L298*. ST, 2000.
- [coc(2017)] cocos2d, 1 2017. URL <http://python.cocos2d.org/>.
- [PyS(2017)] pysdl2, 1 2017. URL <https://pysdl2.readthedocs.io/>.
- [cai(2017)] Pycairo, 1 2017. URL <https://cairographics.org/pycairo/>.
- [Crowley(1989)] James L Crowley. World modeling and position estimation for a mobile robot using ultrasonic ranging. In *Robotics and Automation, 1989. Proceedings., 1989 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 674–680. IEEE, 1989.
- [uni(2017)] Unit testing framework, 1 2017. URL <https://docs.python.org/3.5/library/unittest.html>.