## TimSort

## By zy

## July 1, 2018

## Contents

1	Imp tege	ementation of integer numbers by target-language in-	3
2	Avo 2.1 2.2	dance of pattern matching on natural numbers  Case analysis	6 7 7
3	tege	ementation of natural numbers by target-language in- es Implementation for <i>nat</i>	<b>8</b> 9
4	_	ementation of natural and integer numbers by target- nage integers	12
5	The 5.1 5.2 5.3	The Core Language	22 22 24 24 30 33 35 39 43
6	Big- 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5	Big-Step Execution: $\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$	44 45 53 68 76 84

	6.6	Lemmas about mark-guards
	6.7	Lemmas about <i>strip-guards</i>
	6.8	Lemmas about $c_1 \cap_g c_2 \dots \dots$
	6.9	Restriction of Procedure Environment
	6.10	Miscellaneous
7	Hoa	re Logic for Partial Correctness 139
	7.1	Validity of Hoare Tuples: $\Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A \ \dots \ \dots \ 139$
	7.2	Properties of Validity
	7.3	The Hoare Rules: $\Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \dots $
	7.4	Some Derived Rules
8	Proj	perties of Partial Correctness Hoare Logic 147
	8.1	Soundness
	8.2	Completeness
	8.3	And Now: Some Useful Rules
		8.3.1 Consequence
		8.3.2 Modify Return
		8.3.3 DynCall
		8.3.4 Conjunction of Postcondition
		8.3.5 Weaken Context
		8.3.6 Guards and Guarantees
		8.3.7 Restricting the Procedure Environment 196
9	Der	ived Hoare Rules for Partial Correctness 197
	9.1	Rules for Single-Step Proof
10		minating Programs 222
		Inductive Characterisation: $\Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s$
		Lemmas about sequence, flatten and Language.normalize 228
		Lemmas about <i>strip-guards</i>
		Lemmas about $c_1 \cap_g c_2 \dots \dots$
		Lemmas about mark-guards
		Lemmas about merge-guards
	10.7	Lemmas about $c_1 \subseteq_g c_2 \ldots \ldots$
		Lemmas about <i>strip-guards</i>
	10.9	Miscellaneous
11		all-Step Semantics and Infinite Computations 269
		Small-Step Computation: $\Gamma \vdash (c, s) \to (c', s') \dots \dots$
		Structural Properties of Small Step Computations 272
		Equivalence between Small-Step and Big-Step Semantics 276
	11.4	Infinite Computations: $\Gamma \vdash (c, s) \to \dots (\infty) \dots \dots$

	Computations	22
	11.6 Generalised Redexes	
12	Hoare Logic for Total Correctness 33	
	12.1 Validity of Hoare Tuples: $\Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \dots \dots 3$	32 იი
	12.2 Properties of Validity	32 22
	12.3 The Hoare Rules: $\Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \ \dots \ 3$	პპ იი
	12.4 Some Derived Rules	30
<b>13</b>	Properties of Total Correctness Hoare Logic 33	38
	13.1 Soundness	38
	13.2 Completeness	50
	13.3 And Now: Some Useful Rules	83
	13.3.1 Modify Return	83
	13.3.2 DynCall	89
	13.3.3 Conjunction of Postcondition	96
	13.3.4 Guards and Guarantees	98
	13.3.5 Restricting the Procedure Environment 4	08
	13.3.6 Miscellaneous	
1 1	Derived Hoare Rules for Total Correctness 43	1 /
14	14.0.1 Rules for Single-Step Proof	
	14.0.1 Rules for Single-Step Froor 4	94
<b>15</b>	Auxiliary Definitions/Lemmas to Facilitate Hoare Logic 43	36
<b>16</b>	State Space Template 44	44
17	Facilitating the Hoare Logic 4	47
11	17.1 Some Fancy Syntax	
	17.1 Some Pancy Symax	10
1	Implementation of integer numbers by targe	<b>+</b> _
1		<b>-</b>
	language integers	
the	eory Code-Target-Int	
im	ports Main	
be	gin	
coc	le-datatype int-of-integer	
dec	clare [[code drop: integer-of-int]]	
	ntext	
	ludes integer.lifting	
beg	gin	

```
lemma [code]:
 integer-of-int (int-of-integer k) = k
 by transfer rule
lemma [code]:
 Int.Pos = int-of\text{-}integer \circ integer\text{-}of\text{-}num
 by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
lemma [code]:
 Int.Neg = int-of-integer \circ uminus \circ integer-of-num
 by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
lemma [code-abbrev]:
 int-of-integer (numeral\ k) = Int.Pos\ k
 by transfer simp
lemma [code-abbrev]:
 int-of-integer (-numeral\ k) = Int.Neg\ k
 by transfer simp
context
begin
qualified definition positive :: num \Rightarrow int
 where [simp]: positive = numeral
qualified definition negative :: num \Rightarrow int
 where [simp]: negative = uminus \circ numeral
lemma [code-computation-unfold]:
 numeral = positive
 Int.Pos = positive
 Int.Neg = negative
 by (simp-all add: fun-eq-iff)
end
lemma [code, symmetric, code-post]:
 \theta = int-of-integer \theta
 by transfer simp
lemma [code, symmetric, code-post]:
 1 = int\text{-}of\text{-}integer 1
 by transfer simp
lemma [code-post]:
 int-of-integer (-1) = -1
 by simp
```

```
lemma [code]:
  k + l = int\text{-}of\text{-}integer (of\text{-}int k + of\text{-}int l)
  by transfer simp
lemma [code]:
  -k = int\text{-}of\text{-}integer (-of\text{-}int k)
  by transfer simp
lemma [code]:
  k - l = int\text{-}of\text{-}integer (of\text{-}int k - of\text{-}int l)
  by transfer simp
lemma [code]:
  Int.dup\ k = int-of-integer\ (Code-Numeral.dup\ (of-int\ k))
  \mathbf{by}\ transfer\ simp
declare [[code drop: Int.sub]]
lemma [code]:
  k * l = int\text{-}of\text{-}integer (of\text{-}int \ k * of\text{-}int \ l)
  by simp
lemma [code]:
  k \ div \ l = int\text{-}of\text{-}integer \ (of\text{-}int \ k \ div \ of\text{-}int \ l)
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma [code]:
  k \mod l = int\text{-}of\text{-}integer (of\text{-}int \ k \mod of\text{-}int \ l)
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma [code]:
  divmod\ m\ n=map-prod\ int-of-integer\ int-of-integer\ (divmod\ m\ n)
  {\bf unfolding} \ prod-eq-iff \ divmod-def \ map-prod-def \ case-prod-beta \ fst-conv \ snd-conv
  by transfer simp
lemma [code]:
  HOL.equal\ k\ l = HOL.equal\ (of\mbox{-}int\ k\ ::\ integer)\ (of\mbox{-}int\ l)
  by transfer (simp add: equal)
lemma [code]:
  k \leq l \longleftrightarrow (\textit{of-int } k :: \textit{integer}) \leq \textit{of-int } l
  by transfer rule
lemma [code]:
  k \, < \, l \, \longleftrightarrow \, (\textit{of-int} \, \, k \, :: \, \textit{integer}) \, < \, \textit{of-int} \, \, l
  by transfer rule
declare [[code\ drop:\ gcd::int\Rightarrow -lcm::int\Rightarrow -]]
```

```
lemma gcd-int-of-integer [code]:
 gcd\ (int\text{-}of\text{-}integer\ x)\ (int\text{-}of\text{-}integer\ y) = int\text{-}of\text{-}integer\ (gcd\ x\ y)
by transfer rule
lemma lcm-int-of-integer [code]:
 lcm (int-of-integer x) (int-of-integer y) = int-of-integer (lcm x y)
by transfer rule
end
lemma (in ring-1) of-int-code-if:
  of-int k = (if k = 0 then 0)
    else if k < 0 then - of-int (-k)
    else let
      l = 2 * of\text{-}int (k div 2);
      j = k \mod 2
    in \ if \ j \ = \ 0 \ then \ l \ else \ l \ + \ 1)
proof -
  from div-mult-mod-eq have *: of-int k = of-int (k \text{ div } 2 * 2 + k \text{ mod } 2) by
simp
 show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Let-def of-int-add [symmetric]) (simp add: * mult.commute)
declare of-int-code-if [code]
lemma [code]:
 nat = nat\text{-}of\text{-}integer \circ of\text{-}int
 including integer.lifting by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
code-identifier
 code-module \ Code-Target-Int \rightarrow
   (SML) Arith and (OCaml) Arith and (Haskell) Arith
end
```

# 2 Avoidance of pattern matching on natural numbers

```
theory Code-Abstract-Nat imports Main begin
```

When natural numbers are implemented in another than the conventional inductive  $\theta/Suc$  representation, it is necessary to avoid all pattern matching on natural numbers altogether. This is accomplished by this theory (up to a certain extent).

#### 2.1 Case analysis

Case analysis on natural numbers is rephrased using a conditional expression:

```
lemma [code, code-unfold]:

case-nat = (\lambda f \ g \ n. \ if \ n = 0 \ then \ f \ else \ g \ (n-1))

by (auto simp add: fun-eq-iff dest!: gr0-implies-Suc)
```

#### 2.2 Preprocessors

The term  $Suc\ n$  is no longer a valid pattern. Therefore, all occurrences of this term in a position where a pattern is expected (i.e. on the left-hand side of a code equation) must be eliminated. This can be accomplished – as far as possible – by applying the following transformation rule:

```
lemma Suc\text{-}if\text{-}eq:

assumes \bigwedge n. f(Suc\ n) \equiv h\ n

assumes f\ 0 \equiv g

shows f\ n \equiv if\ n = 0 then g else h\ (n-1)

by (rule\ eq\text{-}reflection)\ (cases\ n,\ insert\ assms,\ simp\text{-}all)
```

The rule above is built into a preprocessor that is plugged into the code generator.

```
setup (
let
val\ Suc\text{-}if\text{-}eq = Thm.incr\text{-}indexes\ 1\ @\{thm\ Suc\text{-}if\text{-}eq\};
fun remove-suc ctxt thms =
 let
    val vname = singleton (Name.variant-list (map fst
     (fold (Term.add-var-names o Thm.full-prop-of) thms []))) n;
   val\ cv = Thm.cterm-of\ ctxt\ (Var\ ((vname,\ 0),\ HOLogic.natT));
   val\ lhs-of = snd\ o\ Thm.dest-comb\ o\ fst\ o\ Thm.dest-comb\ o\ Thm.cprop-of;
   val \ rhs-of = snd \ o \ Thm.dest-comb \ o \ Thm.cprop-of;
   fun\ find\text{-}vars\ ct = (case\ Thm.term\text{-}of\ ct\ of\ 
      (Const (@\{const-name Suc\}, -) \$ Var -) => [(cv, snd (Thm.dest-comb ct))]
     | - $ - =>
       let \ val \ (ct1, \ ct2) = Thm.dest-comb \ ct
         map \ (apfst \ (fn \ ct => Thm.apply \ ct \ ct2)) \ (find-vars \ ct1) \ @
         map (apfst (Thm.apply ct1)) (find-vars ct2)
       end
     | - = > []);
   val \ eqs = maps
     (fn\ thm => map\ (pair\ thm)\ (find-vars\ (lhs-of\ thm)))\ thms;
   fun mk-thms (thm, (ct, cv')) =
     let
       val thm' =
```

```
Thm.implies-elim
         (Conv.fconv-rule\ (Thm.beta-conversion\ true)
           (Thm.instantiate'
             [SOME (Thm.ctyp-of-cterm ct)] [SOME (Thm.lambda cv ct),
               SOME (Thm.lambda cv' (rhs-of thm)), NONE, SOME cv'
             Suc-if-eq)) (Thm.forall-intr cv' thm)
     in
       case map-filter (fn thm^{\prime\prime} =>
           SOME (thm", singleton
            (Variable.trade\ (K\ (fn\ [thm'''] => [thm'''\ RS\ thm']))
              (Variable.declare-thm thm'' ctxt)) thm'')
         handle THM - \Longrightarrow NONE) thms of
           [] => NONE
         \mid thmps =>
            let \ val \ (thms1, \ thms2) = split-list \ thmps
            in SOME (subtract Thm.eq-thm (thm :: thms1) thms @ thms2) end
  in get-first mk-thms eqs end;
fun\ eqn-suc-base-preproc\ ctxt\ thms =
   val \ dest = fst \ o \ Logic.dest-equals \ o \ Thm.prop-of;
   val\ contains\text{-}suc = exists\text{-}Const\ (fn\ (c, -) => c = @\{const\text{-}name\ Suc\}\};
   if forall (can dest) thms and also exists (contains-suc o dest) thms
   then \ thms \mid > perhaps-loop \ (remove-suc \ ctxt) \mid > (Option.map \ o \ map) \ Drule.zero-var-indexes
      else NONE
  end:
val\ eqn\mbox{-}suc\mbox{-}preproc = Code\mbox{-}Preproc.simple\mbox{-}functrans\ eqn\mbox{-}suc\mbox{-}base\mbox{-}preproc;
in
  Code-Preproc.add-functrans (eqn-Suc, eqn-suc-preproc)
end;
end
```

## 3 Implementation of natural numbers by targetlanguage integers

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{theory} \ \textit{Code-Target-Nat} \\ \textbf{imports} \ \textit{Code-Abstract-Nat} \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

#### 3.1 Implementation for *nat*

```
context
includes natural.lifting integer.lifting
begin
lift-definition Nat :: integer \Rightarrow nat
 is nat
lemma [code-post]:
  Nat \ \theta = \theta
  Nat 1 = 1
  Nat (numeral k) = numeral k
 by (transfer, simp)+
lemma [code-abbrev]:
  integer\mbox{-}of\mbox{-}nat = of\mbox{-}nat
 by transfer rule
lemma [code-unfold]:
  Int.nat (int-of-integer k) = nat-of-integer k
  by transfer rule
lemma [code abstype]:
  Code-Target-Nat.Nat (integer-of-nat n) = n
  by transfer simp
lemma [code abstract]:
  integer-of-nat (nat-of-integer k) = max \ 0 \ k
  by transfer auto
lemma [code-abbrev]:
  nat\text{-}of\text{-}integer \ (numeral \ k) = nat\text{-}of\text{-}num \ k
  by transfer (simp add: nat-of-num-numeral)
context
begin
qualified definition natural :: num \Rightarrow nat
  where [simp]: natural = nat-of-num
lemma [code-computation-unfold]:
  numeral = natural
  nat-of-num = natural
 by (simp-all add: nat-of-num-numeral)
end
```

lemma [code abstract]:

```
integer-of-nat \ (nat-of-num \ n) = integer-of-num \ n
 by (simp add: nat-of-num-numeral integer-of-nat-numeral)
lemma [code abstract]:
 integer-of-nat \ \theta = \theta
 by transfer simp
lemma [code abstract]:
 integer-of-nat 1 = 1
 by transfer simp
lemma [code]:
 Suc \ n = n + 1
 by simp
lemma [code abstract]:
 integer-of-nat \ (m+n) = of-nat \ m + of-nat \ n
 by transfer simp
lemma [code abstract]:
 integer-of-nat\ (m-n) = max\ 0\ (of-nat\ m-of-nat\ n)
 by transfer simp
lemma [code abstract]:
 integer-of-nat\ (m*n) = of-nat\ m*of-nat\ n
 by transfer (simp add: of-nat-mult)
lemma [code abstract]:
 integer-of-nat \ (m \ div \ n) = of-nat \ m \ div \ of-nat \ n
 by transfer (simp add: zdiv-int)
lemma [code abstract]:
 integer-of-nat \ (m \ mod \ n) = of-nat \ m \ mod \ of-nat \ n
 by transfer (simp add: zmod-int)
lemma [code]:
 Divides.divmod-nat\ m\ n=(m\ div\ n,\ m\ mod\ n)
 by (fact divmod-nat-div-mod)
lemma [code]:
 divmod\ m\ n=map-prod\ nat-of-integer\ nat-of-integer\ (divmod\ m\ n)
 by (simp only: prod-eq-iff divmod-def map-prod-def case-prod-beta fst-conv snd-conv)
   (transfer, simp-all only: nat-div-distrib nat-mod-distrib
      zero-le-numeral nat-numeral)
lemma [code]:
 HOL.equal\ m\ n = HOL.equal\ (of-nat\ m :: integer)\ (of-nat\ n)
 by transfer (simp add: equal)
```

```
lemma [code]:
 m \leq n \longleftrightarrow (\textit{of-nat } m :: integer) \leq \textit{of-nat } n
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma [code]:
  m < n \longleftrightarrow (of\text{-}nat \ m :: integer) < of\text{-}nat \ n
 by simp
lemma num-of-nat-code [code]:
  num\text{-}of\text{-}nat = num\text{-}of\text{-}integer \circ of\text{-}nat
 by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
end
lemma (in semiring-1) of-nat-code-if:
  of-nat n = (if n = 0 then 0)
    else let
      (m, q) = Divides.divmod-nat \ n \ 2;
      m' = 2 * of\text{-}nat m
    in if q = 0 then m' else m' + 1)
proof -
  from div-mult-mod-eq have *: of-nat n = of-nat (n \text{ div } 2 * 2 + n \text{ mod } 2) by
simp
 show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Let-def divmod-nat-div-mod of-nat-add [symmetric])
     (simp add: * mult.commute of-nat-mult add.commute)
declare of-nat-code-if [code]
definition int\text{-}of\text{-}nat :: nat \Rightarrow int \text{ where}
 [code-abbrev]: int-of-nat = of-nat
lemma [code]:
 int-of-nat n = int-of-integer (of-nat n)
 by (simp add: int-of-nat-def)
lemma [code abstract]:
  integer-of-nat\ (nat\ k) = max\ 0\ (integer-of-int\ k)
 including integer.lifting by transfer auto
lemma term-of-nat-code [code]:
   - Use nat-of-integer in term reconstruction instead of Code-Target-Nat.Nat such
that reconstructed terms can be fed back to the code generator
  term-of-class.term-of n =
  Code-Evaluation.App
    (Code-Evaluation.Const (STR "Code-Numeral.nat-of-integer")
       (typerep. Typerep (STR "fun")
          [typerep.Typerep (STR "Code-Numeral.integer") [],
```

```
typerep. Typerep \ (STR\ ''Nat.nat'')\ []])) (term-of-class.term-of\ (integer-of-nat\ n)) \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ term-of-anything) \mathbf{lemma}\ nat-of-integer-code-post\ [code-post]: nat-of-integer\ 0\ =\ 0 nat-of-integer\ 1\ =\ 1 nat-of-integer\ (numeral\ k)\ =\ numeral\ k \mathbf{including}\ integer.lifting\ \mathbf{by}\ (transfer,\ simp)+ \mathbf{code-identifier}\ \mathbf{code-module}\ Code-Target-Nat\ \rightharpoonup\ (SML)\ Arith\ \mathbf{and}\ (OCaml)\ Arith\ \mathbf{and}\ (Haskell)\ Arith \mathbf{end}
```

## 4 Implementation of natural and integer numbers by target-language integers

```
{\bf theory}\ {\it Code-Target-Numeral}
imports Code-Target-Int Code-Target-Nat
begin
end
theory TimSortLemma
 imports Main ~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Numeral
begin
definition list-copy :: 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list where
list-copy \ xs \ n \ ys \ m \ l = (take \ n \ xs) @ (take \ l \ (drop \ m \ ys)) @ (drop \ (n+l) \ xs)
value [1,2,3,4,5::int]
value let a = [1::nat, 2, 3, 4, 5] in list-copy a 1 a 0 5
lemma list-copy-front:n < length \ xs \land m < length \ ys \land (m+l) \leq length \ ys \Longrightarrow take \ n
(list\text{-}copy \ xs \ n \ ys \ m \ l) = take \ n \ xs
 by (simp add:list-copy-def)
lemma list-copy-middle:n < length \ xs \ \& \ m < length \ ys \& \ (m+l) \leq length \ ys \Longrightarrow
          take\ l\ (drop\ n\ (list-copy\ xs\ n\ ys\ m\ l)) = take\ l\ (drop\ m\ ys)
  by (auto simp add:list-copy-def)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{list-copy-end:} n < \mathit{length} \ \mathit{xs} \ \& \ m < \mathit{length} \ \mathit{ys} \& \ (m+l) \leq \mathit{length} \ \mathit{ys} \implies \mathit{drop}
(n+l) (list-copy xs n ys m l) = drop (n+l) xs
 apply (auto simp add:list-copy-def)
  apply (metis diff-add-inverse2 le-add-diff-inverse less-imp-le-nat min.absorb2
nat-add-left-cancel-le)
```

#### done

```
lemma list-copy-len[simp]:(m+l) \le length \ ys \Longrightarrow (n+l) \le length \ xs \Longrightarrow (length \ (list-copy-length) \ (list-cop
xs \ n \ ys \ m \ l) = length \ xs
   by (auto simp add:list-copy-def)
lemma list-copy-zero:list-copy xs n ys m \theta = xs
   by (simp add:list-copy-def)
definition sorted-in::int list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool where
sorted-in \ xs \ lo \ hi = (\forall i. \ (i \ge lo \land i < hi) \longrightarrow (xs!i \le xs!(i+1)))
\mathbf{thm} allE
value sorted [0,-1::int]
value ([0::int,-1]!0) \le ([0::int,-1]!1)
lemma sorted-in-one-more: sorted-in xs lo hi \implies sorted-in (x\#xs) (Suc lo) (Suc
   apply (auto simp add:sorted-in-def)
   apply (erule-tac ?x = i-1 in allE)
   apply auto
   done
lemma sorted-in-conca:sorted-in xs lo mid \land sorted-in xs mid hi \Longrightarrow sorted-in xs
lo hi
    apply (auto simp add:sorted-in-def)
   using not-less by blast
lemma sorted-in-hi:sorted-in xs lo hi \land xs!hi<xs!(hi+1) \Longrightarrow sorted-in xs lo (hi+1)
    apply (auto simp add:sorted-in-def)
    using less-antisym by fastforce
lemma sorted-in-pick-two:sorted-in xs lo hi \land i \ge lo \land j \le hi \land i \le j \Longrightarrow xs!i \le xs!j
    apply (simp add:sorted-in-def)
    apply (induct j arbitrary:xs lo hi i)
     apply simp
    apply (case-tac \ i=Suc \ j)
     apply simp
    apply (subgoal-tac xs ! i \leq xs ! j)
    apply (meson Suc-le-lessD dual-order.trans le-SucE)
   by (meson Suc-leD le-SucE)
lemma le-half:a < (b::nat) \implies (a+b) div 2 < b
proof -
    assume le:a < b
    from this have a+b < b+b by simp
    from this have (a+b) div 2 < (b+b) div 2
        using div-le-mono by auto
    from this show ?thesis
        by linarith
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma conca-nth-a[simp]: i < length <math>xs \Longrightarrow (xs@ys)!i = xs!i
 using nth-take[of i length xs xs@ys] by auto
lemma conca-nth-b[simp]: i \ge length \ xs \Longrightarrow (xs@ys)!i = ys!(i-length \ xs)
  by (simp add: nth-append)
lemma list-copy-i-front[simp]:(n+l) \le length \ xs \Longrightarrow (m+l) \le length \ ys \Longrightarrow i < n \Longrightarrow
(list\text{-}copy\ xs\ n\ ys\ m\ l)!i = xs!i
  apply (auto simp add:list-copy-def)
  done
lemma list-copy-i-mid[simp]:(n+l) \le length \ xs \implies (m+l) \le length \ ys \implies i \ge n \land i < (n+l)
\implies (list\text{-}copy \ xs \ n \ ys \ m \ l)!i = ys!(i-n+m)
  apply (auto simp add:list-copy-def)
  apply (subgoal-tac min (length xs) n = n)
  apply (simp)
  apply (subgoal-tac i-n < l)
   apply (auto simp add:add.commute)
  done
lemma list-copy-i-end[simp]: (n+l) \le length \ xs \implies (m+l) \le length \ ys \implies i \ge n+l \land i < length
xs \Longrightarrow (list\text{-}copy \ xs \ n \ ys \ m \ l)!i = xs!i
  apply (auto simp add:list-copy-def)
  apply (subgoal-tac min (length xs) n + min (length ys -m) l = n+l)
  apply auto
  done
lemma length-take-one: n \le length xs \Longrightarrow length (take \ n \ xs) = n
  by auto
definition elem-bigger-than-next-2::nat list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
  where elem-bigger-than-next-2 array index \equiv
      (index + 2 < (size \ array)) \longrightarrow
      (array!index) > (array!(index+1)) + (array!(index+2))
definition elem-bigger-than-next::nat\ list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
  where elem-bigger-than-next array index \equiv
      (index+1 < (size \ array)) \longrightarrow
      (array!index) > (array!(index+1))
definition elem-larger-than-bound::nat list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
  where elem-larger-than-bound array index bound \equiv
      (index < (size \ array)) \longrightarrow (array!index) \ge bound
definition elem\text{-}inv::nat\ list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
  where elem-inv array index bound \equiv
      (elem-bigger-than-next-2 \ array \ index) \land
      (elem-bigger-than-next\ array\ index)\ \land
      (elem-larger-than-bound array index bound)
```

```
value (1::int)#2#3#[]
value last~((1::int)\#2\#3\#[])
value butlast ((1::int)#2#3#[])
value ((1::int)#2#3#[])!2
value take \ 2 \ ((1::int)\#2\#3\#[])
value ((1::int)\#2\#3\#[])[2:=10]
value replicate 5 (6::nat)
value if (3::nat)>4 then 5::nat else (if (3::nat)>4 then 7 else 8)
value if 150 < (120::nat) then (4::nat) else
             (if (150::nat) < 1542 then (9::nat) else
              (if\ (150::nat) < 119151\ then\ (18::nat)\ else\ (39::nat)))
lemma suc\text{-}simp:Suc\ n=n+1
 by simp
primrec sum :: nat \ list \Rightarrow nat
 where
sum [] = 0 [
sum (x \# xs) = x + (sum xs)
primrec sumn :: nat \ list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat
  where
sumn \ a \ \theta = \theta
sumn \ a \ (Suc \ n) = a!n + (sumn \ a \ n)
value sumn (1#2#3#[]) 2
fun fib:: nat \Rightarrow nat where
fib \ 0 = 1 \ |
fib (Suc \ \theta) = 1
fib (Suc (Suc n)) = fib(n) + fib(Suc n)
fun fib2:: nat \Rightarrow nat where
fib2 \theta = \theta
fib2 (Suc \ \theta) = 1
fib2 (Suc (Suc n)) = fib2(n) + fib2(Suc n) + 1
lemma fib-plus-2: fib(n+2) = fib(n+1) + fib(n)
 by auto
lemma fib2-plus-2: fib2(n+2) = fib2(n+1) + fib2(n) + 1
 by auto
value ((fib \ 5) - 1)*16 + (fib2 \ 5) - (5)
value ((fib\ 19)\ -\ 1)*16\ +\ (fib2\ 19)\ -\ (19)
```

```
lemma less-than: [(a::nat) \le (b::nat); a \ne b] \implies a < b
 by simp
lemma sum-append-one: sum(a@[(b::nat)]) = sum \ a + b
 apply (induction a)
  apply auto
 done
lemma accu-add: [\forall i < (n-1). \ a!i + b!i = a!(i+1); size \ a = size \ b; \ size \ a \ge n;
size a > \theta; n > \theta \Longrightarrow
 a!(n\!-\!1)\!+\!b!(n\!-\!1)\,=\,a!(\,\theta)\,+\,(sum\ (take\ n\ b))
 apply (induction \ n)
  apply auto
 apply (case-tac n=0)
  apply (auto simp add: take-Suc-conv-app-nth)
 using sum-append-one by auto
lemma list-take-and-drop: xs = take n xs @ drop n xs
 by auto
lemma sumn-update-no-use: m \le length \ a \implies n \ge m \implies sumn \ (a[n:=t]) \ m = sumn
 apply (induct m arbitrary: a t n)
  apply (auto)
 done
lemma sumn1:n\geq 2 \implies n\leq length \ a \implies sumn \ (a[n-2:=a!(n-2)+a!]
Suc\ (n-2)]) (n-Suc\ 0)=sumn\ a\ n
 apply (case-tac \ n)
  apply simp-all
 apply (case-tac nat)
  apply (simp-all add:sumn-update-no-use)
 done
lemma sumn2: n \ge 3 \implies n \le length \ a \implies sumn \ (a[n-3:=a!(n-3)+a!]
Suc\ (n-3),
                 Suc\ (n-3) := a ! Suc\ (Suc\ (n-3))])\ (n-Suc\ \theta) = sumn\ a\ n
 apply (case-tac \ n)
  apply simp-all
```

value fib 3 value fib2 3 term 15::nat

```
apply (case-tac nat)
  apply (simp-all)
 apply (case-tac nata)
  apply (simp-all)
 apply (simp-all add:sumn-update-no-use)
 done
lemma nth-list-update-neg2: i \neq j \implies k \neq j \implies xs[i:=x,k:=y]!j = xs!j
 apply (induct xs arbitrary: i j k)
  apply (auto simp add: nth-Cons split: nat.split)
 done
lemma run-len-iter: \forall i < l - Suc \ 0. ys! i + xs! \ i = ys! \ Suc \ i \Longrightarrow
                  l > 0 \Longrightarrow
                  l < size \ xs \Longrightarrow
                  size \ xs = size \ ys \Longrightarrow
                  ys!0 + sumn \ xs \ l = xs!(l-1) + ys!(l-1)
proof (induction l arbitrary: xs ys)
 case \theta
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc\ l)
 from Suc.IH Suc.prems show ?case
 proof (cases l=0)
   case True
   then show ?thesis by simp
 next
   case False
   assume a0:length xs = length \ ys and a1:Suc l \leq length \ xs and a2:0 < Suc l
and a3:l \neq 0 and a4: \forall i < Suc \ l - Suc \ 0. ys! i + xs! \ i = ys! \ Suc \ i and
         a5: (\bigwedge ys \ xs. \ \forall i < l - Suc \ 0. \ ys \ ! \ i + xs \ ! \ i = ys \ ! \ Suc \ i \Longrightarrow 0 < l \Longrightarrow
ys ! (l - 1)
   from this have step\theta:ys ! \theta + sumn \ xs \ l = xs \ ! \ (l-1) + ys \ ! \ (l-1)
     by (metis Suc-diff-Suc Suc-diff-diff Suc-leD Suc-pred less-SucI not-gr-zero)
   from a2 a3 a4 have one-run:ys!(l-1) + xs!(l-1) = ys!l
     by (metis One-nat-def Suc-pred' diff-Suc-1 diff-Suc-less less-antisym)
    from sumn.simps(2) have one-sumn: sumn xs (l+1) = sumn xs l + xs!l by
   from step0 one-run one-sumn show ?thesis by simp
 qed
qed
rl!Suc\ (Suc\ (l-i)) < rl!(l-i)) \land (l-i < l-1 \longrightarrow rl!Suc\ (l-i) < rl!(l-i)) \land u \le l
rl!(l-i) \Longrightarrow
                            \mathit{rl!}(\mathit{l-1}) \, < \, \mathit{rl!}(\mathit{l-2}) \, \Longrightarrow \, \mathit{u} \, \leq \, \mathit{rl!}(\mathit{l-1}) \, \Longrightarrow \, \mathit{length} \, \, \mathit{rl} \, = \, \mathit{l}
\implies l > 2 \implies k < l
```

```
\implies rl!(l-1-k) \ge u*(fib\ k) + (fib2\ k)
\mathbf{proof} (induction k arbitrary:u rl l rule:fib2.induct)
 case 1
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case 2
 then have rl!(l-2) \ge u+1 by simp
 then show ?case
   by (metis One-nat-def diff-diff-left fib.simps(2) fib2.simps(2) nat-mult-1-right
one-add-one)
\mathbf{next}
 case (3 n)
 from this have n1:u*fib n + fib2 n \le rl! (l-1-n) and n2:u*fib (Suc
n) + fib2 (Suc n) \leq rl! (l - 1 - Suc n)
   by (metis\ Suc\text{-}lessD)+
 from 3.prems have larger-than-next-two:rl! (l-1-n) + rl! (l-1-Suc
n) < rl ! (l - 1 - Suc (Suc n))
   apply(drule-tac \ x=n+3 \ in \ spec)
   apply (clarsimp)
   apply (subgoal-tac l - (n + 3) < l - 2)
    prefer 2
    apply linarith
  by (smt Suc-diff-Suc Suc-lessD add.commute add-2-eq-Suc' add-Suc-right nat-le-linear
not-less numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-3-eq-3)
 from n1 n2 larger-than-next-two show ?case by (simp add:distrib-left)
qed
lemma append-suc: n \ge 1 \implies length \ xs \ge n \implies (x \# xs)! n = xs!(n-1)
proof (induction n arbitrary:xs x)
case \theta
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Suc \ n)
 then show ?case by force
qed
lemma minus-same-num: a=b \implies a-c = b-c by simp
lemma minus-suc-plus-one: a + 1 - (Suc\ b) = a - b by simp
lemma minus-exc: a \ge c \implies (a::nat) + b - c = a - c + b by simp
lemma fib2-1: fib2 n \ge n
 apply (induction n rule:fib2.induct)
   apply auto
 done
lemma fib-1:fib n \geq Suc \theta
 \mathbf{apply} \ (induction \ n \ rule: fib.induct)
```

```
apply auto
    done
lemma sumn-first-one-out:l \le length (a\#rl) \Longrightarrow l > 0 \Longrightarrow sumn (a\#rl) l = a
+ sumn rl (l - Suc \theta)
proof (induction l arbitrary: a rl)
    case \theta
    then show ?case by simp
next
    case (Suc\ l)
    then show ?case
    proof (cases l=0)
        \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
        then show ?thesis by simp
    next
        case False
        then show ?thesis using Suc.IH[of a rl] Suc.prems
               by (smt Suc-leD Suc-pred ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1) add.commute
diff-Suc-1 less-Suc-eq nth-Cons-pos sumn.simps(2))
        qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma rl-sum-lower-bound: \forall i. \ 3 \leq i \ \land \ i \leq l \ \longrightarrow \ (l-i < l-2 \ \longrightarrow \ rl!Suc \ (l-i) \ +
rl!Suc\ (Suc\ (l-i)) < rl!(l-i)) \land (l-i < l-1 \longrightarrow rl!Suc\ (l-i) < rl!(l-i)) \land u \le rl!Suc\ (l-i) < rl!(l-i)
rl!(l-i) \Longrightarrow
                                                               r!(l-1) < r!(l-2) \Longrightarrow u \le r!(l-1) \Longrightarrow length \ r! = l
\implies l \ge 2
                                                                 \implies sumn \ rl \ l \ge u*((fib \ (l+1))-1) + ((fib2 \ (l+1)) - l)
(l+1)
proof (induction rl arbitrary:u l)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
next
    case (Cons a rl)
    then show ?case
    proof (cases l=2)
        case True
       then show ?thesis using Cons.prems Cons.IH
            by (simp add: numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-3-eq-3)
    next
        {f case} False
        from this have l3:l\geq 3 using Cons.prems by simp
        from this have a\theta: l-1 \geq 2 by simp
        from Cons.prems have a1: \forall i. 3 \leq i \land i \leq l-1 \longrightarrow
                 (l-1-i < l-1-2 \longrightarrow rl ! Suc (l-1-i) + rl ! Suc (Suc (l-1-i) + rl ! Suc (l-1-i) 
(-i) (-i) (l-1-i)
                (l-1-i < l-1-1 \longrightarrow rl ! Suc (l-1-i) < rl ! (l-1-i)) \wedge u
\leq rl!(l-1-i) \Longrightarrow
        rl!(l-1-1) < rl!(l-1-2)
```

```
apply (clarsimp)
   by (metis False One-nat-def diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred less-than nth-Cons-pos numeral-2-eq-2
zero-less-diff)
   from Cons.prems a0 have a2:rl!(l-1-1) < rl!(l-1-2)
    using 13 by (simp add: numeral-2-eq-2)
   from Cons.prems have a3:u \le rl!(l-1-1)
    by (simp)
   from Cons.prems have a4:length rl = l - 1
    by (simp)
   from Cons.IH[of l-1 u] a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 have l1:u*(fib (l-1+1)-1)+
(fib2 (l-1+1)-(l-1+1)) \leq sumn \ rl (l-1)
    apply clarsimp
       using Cons.prems by (smt Suc-diff-le Suc-le-lessD Suc-less-eq Suc-pred
diff-Suc-1 diff-Suc-Suc nat-less-le nth-Cons-pos numeral-2-eq-2 zero-less-Suc)
  from Cons.prems have la: a \ge u*(fib(l-1)) + (fib2(l-1)) using rl-elem-lower-bound of
l \ a \# rl \ u \ l-1
   by (metis One-nat-def a4 diff-self-eq-0 less-add-same-cancel1 less-numeral-extra(1)
list.size(4) nth-Cons-0
   have fib2\ l + fib2\ (l - Suc\ 0) + 1 = fib2\ (Suc\ l) using fib2.simps(3)[of\ l]
- Suc 0 | Cons.prems(5) by simp
   from this have fib2 l + fib2 (l - Suc \ 0) + 1 - Suc \ l = fib2 (Suc \ l) - Suc \ l
using minus-same-num by simp
   from this have fib2 l + fib2 (l - Suc \ 0) - l = fib2 (Suc \ l) - Suc \ l using
minus-suc-plus-one by simp
   from this have f2:fib2\ l-l+fib2\ (l-Suc\ 0)=fib2\ (Suc\ l)-Suc\ l using
minus-exc fib2-1 by metis
   have fib l + fib (l - Suc \theta) = fib (Suc l) using fib.simps(3) Cons.prems(5)
by (metis Cons.prems(4) One-nat-def a4 add.commute length-Cons)
   from this have (fib\ l\ -\ Suc\ \theta)\ +\ fib\ (l\ -\ Suc\ \theta)\ =\ (fib\ (Suc\ l)\ -\ Suc\ \theta)
using Cons.prems(5) minus-exc fib-1 by metis
   from this have f1:u*(fib\ l-Suc\ \theta)+u*fib\ (l-Suc\ \theta)=u*(fib\ (Suc\ \theta))
l) - Suc \ \theta by (metis add-mult-distrib2)
   from l1 la show ?thesis
    apply (subgoal-tac sumn (a # rl) l = a + sumn \ rl \ (l-1))
     apply clarsimp
     apply (subgoal-tac\ u*(fib\ (Suc\ (l-Suc\ 0))-Suc\ 0)+u*fib\ (l-Suc\ 0))
\theta = u * (fib (Suc l) - Suc \theta)
                    (fib2 (Suc (l - Suc 0)) - Suc (l - Suc 0)) + fib2 (l - Suc
\theta) = (fib2 (Suc l) - Suc l))
       apply simp
    using Cons.prems(5) f1 f2 apply simp-all
    using Cons. prems sumn-first-one-out by simp
 qed
qed
lemma l119[simp]: 16 * (fib 5 - Suc 0) + (fib2 5 - 5) = 119
lemma l1541[simp]: 16 * (fib 10 - Suc 0) + (fib2 10 - 10) = 1541
 sorry
```

```
lemma l119150[simp]: 16 * (fib 19 - Suc 0) + (fib2 19 - 19) = 119150
lemma l2917[simp]: 16 * (fib 40 - Suc 0) + (fib2 40 - 40) = 2917196495
   sorry
lemma run-len-elem-lower-bound:
\forall i. \ 3 \leq i \land i \leq l \longrightarrow elem-inv \ rl \ (l-i) \ u \Longrightarrow
elem-bigger-than-next rl (l-2) \Longrightarrow
elem-larger-than-bound rl (l-1) u \Longrightarrow length \ rl = l \Longrightarrow l \ge 2 \Longrightarrow k < l
\implies rl!(l-1-k) \ge u*(fib\ k) + (fib2\ k)
  apply (simp only:elem-inv-def elem-larger-than-bound-def elem-bigger-than-next-2-def
elem-bigger-than-next-def)
    apply (rule rl-elem-lower-bound)
              apply auto
    by (metis Suc-diff-le diff-Suc-Suc numeral-2-eq-2)
lemma run-len-sum-lower-bound:
\forall i. \ 3 \le i \land i \le l \longrightarrow elem-inv \ rl \ (l-i) \ u \Longrightarrow
elem-bigger-than-next rl(l-2) \Longrightarrow
elem-larger-than-bound rl (l-1) u \Longrightarrow length \ rl = l \Longrightarrow l \ge 2
\implies sumn \ rl \ l \ge u*((fib \ (l+1))-1) + ((fib2 \ (l+1)) - (l+1))
   apply (rule rl-sum-lower-bound)
         \mathbf{apply} \ (auto\ simp\ add: elem-inv-def\ elem-larger-than-bound-def\ elem-bigger-than-next-2-def\ elem-larger-than-bound-def\ elem-bigger-than-next-2-def\ elem-larger-than-bound-def\ elem-bigger-than-next-2-def\ elem-larger-than-bound-def\ elem-bigger-than-next-2-def\ elem-bigger-than-next-2-def\ elem-larger-than-bound-def\ elem-bigger-than-next-2-def\ elem-
elem-bigger-than-next-def)
    by (metis Suc-diff-le diff-Suc-Suc numeral-2-eq-2)
lemma
elem-inv rl 0 u \Longrightarrow
  elem-inv rl 1 u \Longrightarrow
elem-bigger-than-next rl \ 2 \Longrightarrow
elem-larger-than-bound rl \ 3 \ u \Longrightarrow
length \ rl = 4 \implies u \ge 16
\implies sum \ rl \ge 119
  apply (simp add:elem-inv-def elem-larger-than-bound-def elem-bigger-than-next-2-def
elem-bigger-than-next-def)
    apply (case-tac rl)
     prefer 2
      apply (case-tac list)
        prefer 2
        apply (case-tac lista)
          \mathbf{prefer} \ 2
          apply (case-tac listb)
           apply auto
    done
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

### 5 The Simpl Syntax

theory Language imports HOL-Library.Old-Recdef begin

#### 5.1 The Core Language

We use a shallow embedding of boolean expressions as well as assertions as sets of states.

```
type-synonym 's bexp = 's set

type-synonym 's assn = 's set

datatype (dead 's, 'p, 'f) com =

Skip

| Basic 's \Rightarrow 's

| Spec ('s \times 's) set

| Seq ('s ,'p, 'f) com ('s,'p, 'f) com

| Cond 's bexp ('s,'p,'f) com ('s,'p,'f) com

| While 's bexp ('s,'p,'f) com

| Call 'p

| DynCom 's \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com

| Guard 'f 's bexp ('s,'p,'f) com

| Throw

| Catch ('s,'p,'f) com ('s,'p,'f) com
```

#### 5.2 Derived Language Constructs

#### definition

```
raise:: ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) com where raise f = Seq (Basic f) Throw
```

#### definition

```
condCatch:: ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow 's \ bexp \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ \mathbf{where}

condCatch \ c_1 \ b \ c_2 = Catch \ c_1 \ (Cond \ b \ c_2 \ Throw)
```

#### definition

```
bind:: ('s \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow ('v \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com) \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ where bind \ e \ c = DynCom \ (\lambda s. \ c \ (e \ s))
```

#### definition

```
bseq:: ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ \mathbf{where} \ bseq = Seq
```

#### definition

```
block:: ['s\Rightarrow's, ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com, 's\Rightarrow's\Rightarrow's, 's\Rightarrow's\Rightarrow'('s, 'p, 'f) \ com]\Rightarrow('s, 'p, 'f) \ com
where
block init bdy return c=
DynCom (\lambda s. (Seq (Catch (Seq (Basic init) bdy) (Seq (Basic (return s)) Throw))

(DynCom (\lambda t. Seq (Basic (return s)) (c \ s \ t))))
```

)

#### definition

$$call:: ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com) \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) com$$
 where

call init p return c = block init (Call p) return c

#### definition

$$dynCall:: ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 'p) \Rightarrow$$
  
 $('s \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com) \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ where$   
 $dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c = DynCom \ (\lambda s. \ call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c)$ 

#### definition

fcall:: 
$$('s\Rightarrow's) \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow ('s\Rightarrow's)\Rightarrow('s\Rightarrow'v) \Rightarrow ('v\Rightarrow('s,'p,'f)\ com)$$
  
 $\Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com\$ **where**

fcall init p return result c = call init p return  $(\lambda s \ t. \ c \ (result \ t))$ 

#### definition

$$lem: 'x \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com$$
 where  $lem \ x \ c = c$ 

**primrec** 
$$switch$$
::  $('s \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow ('v \ set \times ('s,'p,'f) \ com) \ list \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com)$  where

switch 
$$v = Skip$$
 |  
switch  $v (Vc \# vs) = Cond \{s. \ v \ s \in fst \ Vc\} \ (snd \ Vc) \ (switch \ v \ vs)$ 

**definition** guaranteeStrip:: 
$$'f \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com$$
 where guaranteeStrip  $f \ g \ c = Guard \ f \ g \ c$ 

**definition** 
$$guaranteeStripPair:: 'f \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow ('f \times 's \ set)$$
  
**where**  $guaranteeStripPair f g = (f,g)$ 

primrec guards:: ('f × 's set ) list 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 ('s,'p,'f) com  $\Rightarrow$  ('s,'p,'f) com where

guards 
$$[] c = c |$$
  
guards  $(g\#gs) c = Guard (fst g) (snd g) (guards gs c)$ 

#### definition

while:: 
$$('f \times 's \ set) \ list \Rightarrow 's \ bexp \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com$$
 where

while  $gs\ b\ c = guards\ gs\ (While\ b\ (Seq\ c\ (guards\ gs\ Skip)))$ 

#### definition

while Anno::

's bexp 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 's assn  $\Rightarrow$  ('s  $\times$  's) assn  $\Rightarrow$  ('s,'p,'f) com  $\Rightarrow$  ('s,'p,'f) com where while Anno b I V c = While b c

#### definition

while Anno G::

```
('f \times 's \ set) \ list \Rightarrow 's \ bexp \Rightarrow 's \ assn \Rightarrow ('s \times 's) \ assn \Rightarrow
      ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com where
  while AnnoG\ gs\ b\ I\ V\ c = while\ gs\ b\ c
definition
  specAnno:: ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com) \Rightarrow
                             ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com
  where specAnno\ P\ c\ Q\ A=(c\ undefined)
definition
  while AnnoFix::
  's\ bexp \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's\ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s \times 's)\ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f)\ com) \Rightarrow
      ('s,'p,'f) com where
  while AnnoFix\ b\ I\ V\ c=\ While\ b\ (c\ undefined)
definition
  while Anno GFix::
  ('f \times 's \ set) \ list \Rightarrow 's \ bexp \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s \times 's) \ assn) \Rightarrow
      ('a \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com) \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ where
  while Anno GFix \ gs \ b \ I \ V \ c = while \ gs \ b \ (c \ undefined)
definition if-rel::('s \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s \times 's)
  where if-rel b f g h = \{(s,t). if b s then t = f s else t = g s \vee t = h s\}
lemma fst-guaranteeStripPair: fst (guaranteeStripPair f g) = f
```

**lemma** snd-guaranteeStripPair: snd (guaranteeStripPair f g) = g **by**  $(simp \ add: guaranteeStripPair-def)$ 

#### 5.3 Operations on Simpl-Syntax

**by** (simp add: quaranteeStripPair-def)

## **5.3.1** Normalisation of Sequential Composition: sequence, flatten and normalize

```
primrec flatten:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com list where

flatten Skip = [Skip] \mid

flatten (Basic\ f) = [Basic\ f] \mid

flatten (Spec\ r) = [Spec\ r] \mid

flatten (Seq\ c_1\ c_2) = flatten\ c_1\ @\ flatten\ c_2\mid

flatten (Cond\ b\ c_1\ c_2) = [Cond\ b\ c_1\ c_2]\mid

flatten (While\ b\ c) = [While\ b\ c]\mid

flatten (Call\ p) = [Call\ p]\mid

flatten (DynCom\ c) = [DynCom\ c]\mid

flatten (Guard\ f\ g\ c) = [Guard\ f\ g\ c]\mid

flatten Throw = [Throw]\mid

flatten (Catch\ c_1\ c_2) = [Catch\ c_1\ c_2]
```

```
primrec sequence:: (('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com) \Rightarrow
                    ('s,'p,'f) com list \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com
where
sequence seq [] = Skip []
sequence seq (c\#cs) = (case\ cs\ of\ [] \Rightarrow c
                      | - \Rightarrow seq\ c\ (sequence\ seq\ cs))
primrec normalize:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com
where
normalize Skip = Skip \mid
normalize (Basic f) = Basic f
normalize (Spec \ r) = Spec \ r \mid
normalize (Seq c_1 c_2) = sequence Seq
                         ((flatten (normalize c_1)) @ (flatten (normalize c_2))) |
normalize (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) = Cond \ b \ (normalize \ c_1) \ (normalize \ c_2) \ |
normalize (While b c) = While b (normalize c)
normalize (Call p) = Call p
normalize \ (DynCom \ c) = DynCom \ (\lambda s. \ (normalize \ (c \ s))) \ |
normalize (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (normalize c)
normalize Throw = Throw \mid
normalize (Catch c_1 c_2) = Catch (normalize c_1) (normalize c_2)
lemma flatten-nonEmpty: flatten c \neq []
 by (induct\ c)\ simp-all
lemma flatten-single: \forall c \in set (flatten c'). flatten c = [c]
apply (induct c')
apply
                 simp
apply
                simp
apply
               simp
apply
              (simp\ (no-asm-use)\ )
apply
              blast
apply
             (simp\ (no-asm-use)\ )
            (simp\ (no-asm-use)\ )
apply
apply
           simp
           (simp\ (no-asm-use))
apply
apply
         (simp\ (no-asm-use))
apply simp
apply (simp (no-asm-use))
done
lemma flatten-sequence-id:
  \llbracket cs \neq \llbracket ; \forall c \in set \ cs. \ flatten \ c = \llbracket c \rrbracket \rrbracket \implies flatten \ (sequence \ Seq \ cs) = cs
 apply (induct cs)
 apply simp
 apply (case-tac cs)
```

```
apply simp
 apply auto
 done
lemma flatten-app:
 flatten (sequence Seq (flatten c1 @ flatten c2)) = flatten c1 @ flatten c2
 apply (rule flatten-sequence-id)
 apply (simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
 apply (simp)
 apply (insert flatten-single)
 apply blast
 done
lemma flatten-sequence-flatten: flatten (sequence Seq (flatten c)) = flatten c
 apply (induct \ c)
 apply (auto simp add: flatten-app)
 done
lemma sequence-flatten-normalize: sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c)) = normal-
apply (induct \ c)
apply (auto simp add: flatten-app)
done
lemma flatten-normalize: \bigwedge x xs. flatten (normalize c) = x \# xs
     \implies (case xs of [] \Rightarrow normalize c = x
           (x'\#xs') \Rightarrow normalize \ c= \ Seq \ x \ (sequence \ Seq \ xs))
proof (induct \ c)
 case (Seq c1 c2)
 have flatten (normalize (Seq c1 c2)) = x \# xs by fact
 hence flatten (sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c1) @ flatten (normalize c2)))
        x\#xs
   by simp
 hence x-xs: flatten (normalize c1) @ flatten (normalize c2) = x \# xs
   by (simp add: flatten-app)
 show ?case
 proof (cases flatten (normalize c1))
   case Nil
   with flatten-nonEmpty show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case (Cons x1 xs1)
   note Cons-x1-xs1 = this
   with x-xs obtain
    x-x1: x=x1 and xs-rest: xs=xs1@flatten (normalize c2)
```

```
by auto
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases xs1)
     case Nil
     from Seq.hyps (1) [OF Cons-x1-xs1] Nil
     have normalize c1 = x1
      by simp
     with Cons-x1-xs1 Nil x-x1 xs-rest show ?thesis
       apply (cases flatten (normalize c2))
       apply (fastforce simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
       apply simp
       done
   \mathbf{next}
     case Cons
     from Seq.hyps (1) [OF Cons-x1-xs1] Cons
     have normalize c1 = Seq x1 (sequence Seq xs1)
       by simp
     with Cons-x1-xs1 Nil x-x1 xs-rest show ?thesis
       apply (cases flatten (normalize c2))
       apply (fastforce simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
      apply (simp split: list.splits)
       done
   qed
 qed
qed (auto)
lemma flatten-raise [simp]: flatten (raise\ f) = [Basic\ f,\ Throw]
 by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma flatten-condCatch [simp]: flatten (condCatch c1 b c2) = [condCatch c1 b
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma flatten-bind [simp]: flatten (bind\ e\ c) = [bind\ e\ c]
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma flatten-bseq [simp]: flatten (bseq c1 c2) = flatten c1 @ flatten c2
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma flatten-block [simp]:
 flatten\ (block\ init\ bdy\ return\ result) = [block\ init\ bdy\ return\ result]
 by (simp add: block-def)
lemma flatten-call [simp]: flatten (call init p return result) = [call\ init\ p\ return
result
 by (simp add: call-def)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{flatten-dynCall} \ [\mathit{simp}] \colon \mathit{flatten} \ (\mathit{dynCall} \ \mathit{init} \ \mathit{p} \ \mathit{return} \ \mathit{result}) = \lceil \mathit{dynCall} 
init p return result]
```

```
by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma flatten-fcall [simp]: flatten (fcall init p return result c) = [fcall init p return
result c
   by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma flatten-switch [simp]: flatten (switch\ v\ Vcs) = [switch\ v\ Vcs]
   by (cases Vcs) auto
lemma flatten-guaranteeStrip [simp]:
   flatten\ (guaranteeStrip\ f\ g\ c) = [guaranteeStrip\ f\ g\ c]
   by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma flatten-while [simp]: flatten (while gs\ b\ c) = [while\ gs\ b\ c]
    apply (simp add: while-def)
    apply (induct qs)
    apply auto
    done
lemma flatten-whileAnno [simp]:
   flatten (whileAnno b I V c) = [whileAnno b I V c]
   by (simp add: whileAnno-def)
lemma flatten-whileAnnoG [simp]:
    flatten\ (whileAnnoG\ gs\ b\ I\ V\ c) = [whileAnnoG\ gs\ b\ I\ V\ c]
   by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
lemma flatten-specAnno [simp]:
    flatten\ (specAnno\ P\ c\ Q\ A) = flatten\ (c\ undefined)
    by (simp add: specAnno-def)
lemmas\ flatten-simps\ =\ flatten.simps\ flatten-raise\ flatten-condCatch\ flatten-bind
   flatten-block flatten-call flatten-dynCall flatten-fcall flatten-switch
   flatten-guaranteeStrip
   flatten-while\ flatten-while\ Anno\ flatten-while\ Anno\ G\ flatten-spec\ Anno\ flatten-while\ flatten-while\
lemma normalize-raise [simp]:
  normalize (raise f) = raise f
   by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma normalize-condCatch [simp]:
  normalize\ (condCatch\ c1\ b\ c2) = condCatch\ (normalize\ c1)\ b\ (normalize\ c2)
   by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma normalize-bind [simp]:
  normalize\ (bind\ e\ c) = bind\ e\ (\lambda v.\ normalize\ (c\ v))
   by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma normalize-bseq [simp]:
```

```
normalize (bseq c1 c2) = sequence bseq
                       ((flatten (normalize c1)) @ (flatten (normalize c2)))
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma normalize-block [simp]: normalize (block init bdy return c) =
                     block init (normalize bdy) return (\lambda s \ t. normalize (c \ s \ t))
 apply (simp add: block-def)
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (simp)
 apply (cases flatten (normalize bdy))
 apply (simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
 apply (rule\ conjI)
 apply simp
 apply (drule flatten-normalize)
 apply (case-tac list)
 apply simp
 apply simp
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (case-tac flatten (normalize (c s sa)))
 apply (simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
 apply simp
 apply (thin-tac\ flatten\ (normalize\ bdy) = P\ \mathbf{for}\ P)
 apply (drule flatten-normalize)
 apply (case-tac lista)
 apply simp
 \mathbf{apply} \ simp
 done
lemma normalize-call [simp]:
 normalize (call init p return c) = call init p return (\lambda i t. normalize (c i t))
 by (simp add: call-def)
lemma normalize-dynCall [simp]:
 normalize (dynCall init p return c) =
   dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ (\lambda s\ t.\ normalize\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma normalize-fcall [simp]:
 normalize (fcall init p return result c) =
   fcall init p return result (\lambda v. normalize (c v))
 by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma normalize-switch [simp]:
 normalize (switch \ v \ Vcs) = switch \ v \ (map \ (\lambda(V,c), \ (V,normalize \ c)) \ Vcs)
apply (induct Vcs)
apply auto
done
lemma normalize-guaranteeStrip [simp]:
```

```
normalize (guaranteeStrip f g c) = guaranteeStrip f g (normalize c)
   by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma normalize-guards [simp]:
    normalize (guards \ gs \ c) = guards \ gs \ (normalize \ c)
   by (induct qs) auto
Sequencial composition with guards in the body is not preserved by normal-
ize
lemma normalize-while [simp]:
    normalize (while gs b c) = guards gs
           (While b (sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c) @ flatten (quards gs Skip))))
   by (simp add: while-def)
lemma normalize-whileAnno [simp]:
    normalize (whileAnno b I V c) = whileAnno b I V (normalize c)
   by (simp add: whileAnno-def)
lemma normalize-whileAnnoG [simp]:
    normalize (while Anno G \ qs \ b \ I \ V \ c) = quards \ qs
           (While b (sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c) @ flatten (quards qs Skip))))
   by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
lemma normalize-specAnno [simp]:
    normalize (specAnno P c Q A) = specAnno P (\lambda s. normalize (c undefined)) Q
Α
   by (simp add: specAnno-def)
{f lemmas} \ normalize\text{-}simps =
    normalize.simps\ normalize-raise\ normalize-condCatch\ normalize-bind
   normalize-block normalize-call normalize-dynCall normalize-fcall normalize-switch
   normalize-quaranteeStrip normalize-quards
   normalize-while Anno\ normalize-while Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ normalize-spec Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ normalize-spec Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ norm
                   Stripping Guards: strip-guards
primrec strip-guards:: 'f set \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com
where
strip-guards F Skip = Skip |
```

else Guard f g (strip-guards F c))

strip-guards F (Seq  $c_1$   $c_2$ ) = (Seq (strip-guards F  $c_1$ ) (strip-guards F  $c_2$ )) | strip-guards F (Cond b  $c_1$   $c_2$ ) = Cond b (strip-guards F  $c_1$ ) (strip-guards F  $c_2$ ) |

strip-guards F (DynCom c) = DynCom ( $\lambda s$ . (strip-guards F (c s))) | strip-guards F (Guard f g c) = (if f  $\in$  F then strip-guards F c

strip-guards F (While b c) = While b (strip-guards F c) |

strip- $guards \ F \ (Basic \ f) = Basic \ f \ |$ strip- $guards \ F \ (Spec \ r) = Spec \ r \ |$ 

strip-guards F (Call p) = Call p |

strip-guards F Throw = Throw |

```
strip-guards F (Catch c_1 c_2) = Catch (strip-guards F c_1) (strip-guards F c_2)
definition strip:: 'f set \Rightarrow
                 ('p \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com \ option) \Rightarrow ('p \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com \ option)
 where strip F \Gamma = (\lambda p. map\text{-option (strip-guards } F) (\Gamma p))
lemma strip-simp [simp]: (strip F \Gamma) p = map-option (strip-guards F) (\Gamma p)
 by (simp add: strip-def)
lemma dom-strip: dom (strip F \Gamma) = dom \Gamma
 by (auto)
lemma strip-guards-idem: strip-guards F (strip-guards F c) = <math>strip-guards F c
 by (induct c) auto
lemma strip\text{-}idem: strip\ F\ (strip\ F\ \Gamma) = strip\ F\ \Gamma
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (case-tac \Gamma x)
 apply (auto simp add: strip-guards-idem strip-def)
 done
lemma strip-guards-raise [simp]:
  strip-guards F (raise f) = raise f
 by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma strip-guards-condCatch [simp]:
  strip-guards F (condCatch c1 b c2) =
   condCatch (strip-guards F c1) b (strip-guards F c2)
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma strip-guards-bind [simp]:
  strip-guards F (bind e c) = bind e (\lambda v. strip-guards F (c v))
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma strip-quards-bseq [simp]:
  strip-guards F (bseq c1 c2) = bseq (<math>strip-guards F c1) (<math>strip-guards F c2)
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma strip-guards-block [simp]:
  strip-guards F (block init bdy return c) =
   block init (strip-guards F bdy) return (\lambda s t. strip-guards F (c s t))
 by (simp add: block-def)
lemma strip-guards-call [simp]:
  strip-guards F (call init p return c) =
    call init p return (\lambda s \ t. \ strip-guards \ F \ (c \ s \ t))
 by (simp add: call-def)
```

```
lemma strip-guards-dynCall [simp]:
 strip-guards F (dynCall init p return c) =
    dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ (\lambda s\ t.\ strip-guards\ F\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma strip-quards-fcall [simp]:
 strip-guards F (fcall init p return result c) =
    fcall init p return result (\lambda v. strip-guards F (c v))
 by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma strip-guards-switch [simp]:
 strip-guards F (switch v Vc) =
   switch v (map (\lambda(V,c), (V,strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c))\ Vc)
 by (induct Vc) auto
lemma strip-quards-quaranteeStrip [simp]:
 strip-guards F (guaranteeStrip f g c) =
   (if f \in F then strip-guards F c
    else guaranteeStrip\ f\ g\ (strip-guards\ F\ c))
 by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma guaranteeStripPair-split-conv [simp]: case-prod\ c\ (guaranteeStripPair\ f\ g)
 by (simp add: guaranteeStripPair-def)
lemma strip-guards-guards [simp]: strip-guards F (guards gs c) =
       guards (filter (\lambda(f,g), f \notin F) gs) (strip-guards F c)
 by (induct qs) auto
lemma strip-guards-while [simp]:
strip-guards F (while gs b c) =
    while (filter (\lambda(f,g), f \notin F) gs) b (strip-guards F c)
 by (simp add: while-def)
lemma strip-guards-whileAnno [simp]:
strip-quards F (while Anno\ b\ I\ V\ c) = while Anno\ b\ I\ V\ (strip-quards F\ c)
 by (simp add: whileAnno-def while-def)
lemma strip-guards-while Anno G [simp]:
strip-guards F (whileAnnoG gs b I V c) =
    while AnnoG (filter (\lambda(f,g), f \notin F) gs) b I V (strip-guards F c)
 by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
lemma strip-guards-specAnno [simp]:
 strip-guards F (specAnno P c Q A) =
   specAnno\ P\ (\lambda s.\ strip-guards\ F\ (c\ undefined))\ Q\ A
 by (simp add: specAnno-def)
lemmas strip-guards-simps = strip-guards-simps strip-guards-raise
```

 $strip-guards-condCatch\ strip-guards-bind\ strip-guards-bseq\ strip-guards-block\ strip-guards-dynCall\ strip-guards-fcall\ strip-guards-switch\ strip-guards-guaranteeStrip\ guaranteeStripPair-split-conv\ strip-guards-guards-strip-guards-whileAnno\ strip-guards-whileAnno\ strip-guards-whileAnno\ strip-guards-specAnno\$ 

#### **5.3.3** Marking Guards: mark-guards

```
primrec mark-guards:: 'f \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'g) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com
where
mark-quards f Skip = Skip |
mark-guards f (Basic g) = Basic g |
mark-guards f (Spec r) = Spec r |
mark-guards f (Seq c_1 c_2) = (Seq (mark-guards f c_1) (mark-guards f c_2)) |
mark-guards f (Cond b c_1 c_2) = Cond b (mark-guards f c_1) (mark-guards f c_2) |
mark-quards f (While b c) = While b (<math>mark-quards f c)
mark-quards f (Call p) = Call p
mark-guards f (DynCom\ c) = DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ (mark-guards f\ (c\ s))) |
mark-guards f (Guard f' g c) = Guard f g (mark-guards f c) |
mark-guards f Throw = Throw |
mark-guards f (Catch c_1 c_2) = Catch (mark-guards f c_1) (mark-guards f c_2)
lemma mark-guards-raise: mark-guards f (raise g) = raise g
 by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma mark-guards-condCatch [simp]:
 mark-guards f (condCatch c1 b c2) =
   condCatch (mark-guards f c1) b (mark-guards f c2)
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma mark-guards-bind [simp]:
 mark-guards f (bind e c) = bind e (\lambda v. mark-guards f (c v))
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon bind\text{-}def)
lemma mark-guards-bseq [simp]:
 mark-guards f (bseq\ c1\ c2) = bseq\ (mark-guards f\ c1) (mark-guards f\ c2)
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma mark-quards-block [simp]:
 mark-guards f (block init bdy return c) =
   block init (mark-guards f bdy) return (\lambda s t. mark-guards f (c s t))
 by (simp add: block-def)
lemma mark-guards-call [simp]:
 mark-guards f (call init p return c) =
    call init p return (\lambda s \ t. \ mark-guards \ f \ (c \ s \ t))
 by (simp add: call-def)
lemma mark-guards-dynCall [simp]:
```

```
dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ (\lambda s\ t.\ mark-guards\ f\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma mark-guards-fcall [simp]:
 mark-quards f (fcall init p return result c) =
    fcall init p return result (\lambda v. mark-guards f (c v))
 by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma mark-guards-switch [simp]:
 mark-guards f (switch v vs) =
    switch v (map (\lambda(V,c), (V,mark-guards f c)) vs)
 by (induct vs) auto
lemma mark-guards-guaranteeStrip [simp]:
 mark-guards f (guaranteeStrip f' g c) = guaranteeStrip f g (mark-guards f c)
 by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma mark-guards-guards [simp]:
 mark-guards f (guards gs c) = guards (map (\lambda(f',g). (f,g)) gs) (mark-guards f
c)
 by (induct gs) auto
lemma mark-guards-while [simp]:
mark-quards f (while gs b c) =
   while (map\ (\lambda(f',g),\ (f,g))\ gs)\ b\ (mark-guards\ f\ c)
 by (simp add: while-def)
lemma mark-guards-whileAnno [simp]:
mark-guards f (while Anno b I V c) = while Anno b I V (mark-guards f c)
 by (simp add: whileAnno-def while-def)
lemma mark-guards-while Anno G [simp]:
mark-guards f (while AnnoG gs b I V c) =
   while AnnoG (map (\lambda(f',g), (f,g)) gs) b I V (mark-guards f c)
 by (simp add: whileAnno-def whileAnnoG-def while-def)
lemma mark-guards-specAnno [simp]:
 mark-quards f (specAnno P c Q A) =
   specAnno\ P\ (\lambda s.\ mark-guards\ f\ (c\ undefined))\ Q\ A
 by (simp add: specAnno-def)
lemmas mark-quards-simps = mark-quards.simps mark-quards-raise
 mark-guards-condCatch mark-guards-bind mark-guards-bseq mark-guards-block
 mark-guards-dynCall mark-guards-fcall mark-guards-switch
 mark-guards-guaranteeStripPair-split-conv mark-guards-guards
 mark-quards-while mark-quards-whileAnno mark-quards-whileAnnoG
 mark-guards-specAnno
```

mark-quards f (dynCall init p return c) =

```
definition is-Guard:: ('s, 'p, 'f) com \Rightarrow bool
 where is-Guard c = (case \ c \ of \ Guard \ f \ g \ c' \Rightarrow True \ | \ - \Rightarrow False)
lemma is-Guard-basic-simps [simp]:
is-Guard\ Skip\ =\ False
is-Guard (Basic f) = False
is-Guard (Spec \ r) = False
is-Guard (Seq c1 c2) = False
is-Guard (Cond b c1 c2) = False
is-Guard (While b c) = False
is-Guard (Call p) = False
is-Guard (DynCom\ C) = False
is-Guard (Guard F g c) = True
is-Guard\ (Throw) = False
is-Guard (Catch c1 c2) = False
is-Guard (raise f) = False
is-Guard (condCatch\ c1\ b\ c2) = False
is-Guard (bind\ e\ cv) = False
is-Guard (bseq\ c1\ c2) = False
is-Guard (block init bdy return cont) = False
is-Guard (call init p return cont) = False
is-Guard (dynCall\ init\ P\ return\ cont) = False
is-Guard (fcall init p return result cont') = False
is-Guard (whileAnno b I V c) = False
is-Guard (guaranteeStrip \ F \ g \ c) = True
 by (auto simp add: is-Guard-def raise-def condCatch-def bind-def bseq-def
        block-def call-def dynCall-def fcall-def whileAnno-def guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma is-Guard-switch [simp]:
is-Guard (switch v Vc) = False
 by (induct Vc) auto
lemmas is-Guard-simps = is-Guard-basic-simps is-Guard-switch
primrec dest-Guard:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('f \times 's \ set \times ('s,'p,'f) \ com)
 where dest-Guard (Guard f g c) = (f,g,c)
lemma dest-Guard-guaranteeStrip [simp]: dest-Guard (guaranteeStrip f g c) =
(f,g,c)
 by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemmas dest-Guard-simps dest-Guard-guaranteeStrip
        Merging Guards: merge-quards
5.3.4
primrec merge-guards:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com
where
merge-guards Skip = Skip |
merge-guards (Basic g) = Basic g
```

```
merge-guards (Spec \ r) = Spec \ r \mid
merge-guards (Seq c_1 c_2) = (Seq (merge-guards c_1) (merge-guards c_2)) |
merge-guards (Cond b c_1 c_2) = Cond b (merge-guards c_1) (merge-guards c_2) |
merge-guards (While b c) = While b (merge-guards c)
merge-quards (Call p) = Call p
merge-guards (DynCom\ c) = DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ (merge-guards\ (c\ s))) \mid
merge-guards (Guard f g c) =
   (let \ c' = (merge-guards \ c))
    in if is-Guard c'
       then let (f',g',c'') = dest-Guard c'
           in if f=f' then Guard f(g \cap g') c''
                    else Guard f g (Guard f' g' c'')
       else Guard f q c')
merge-quards Throw = Throw
merge-guards (Catch c_1 c_2) = Catch (merge-guards c_1) (merge-guards c_2)
lemma merge-guards-res-Skip: merge-guards c = Skip \implies c = Skip
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Basic: merge-guards c = Basic f \implies c = Basic f
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Spec: merge-guards c = Spec \ r \Longrightarrow c = Spec \ r
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Seq: merge-guards c = Seq\ c1\ c2 \Longrightarrow
   \exists c1' c2'. c = Seq c1' c2' \land merge-guards c1' = c1 \land merge-guards c2' = c2
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-quards-res-Cond: merge-quards c = Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow
   \exists c1' c2'. c = Cond b c1' c2' \land merge-guards c1' = c1 \land merge-guards c2' =
c2
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-While: merge-guards c = While \ b \ c' \Longrightarrow
   \exists c''. c = While \ b \ c'' \land merge-quards \ c'' = c'
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Call: merge-guards c = Call \ p \Longrightarrow c = Call \ p
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-DynCom: merge-guards c = DynCom \ c' \Longrightarrow
   \exists c''. c = DynCom c'' \land (\lambda s. (merge-guards (c'' s))) = c'
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Throw: merge-guards c = Throw \implies c = Throw
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
```

```
lemma merge-guards-res-Catch: merge-guards c = Catch \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow
   \exists c1' c2'. c = Catch c1' c2' \land merge-guards c1' = c1 \land merge-guards c2' = c2
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Guard:
merge-guards c = Guard f g c' \Longrightarrow \exists c'' f' g'. c = Guard f' g' c''
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemmas merge-guards-res-simps = merge-guards-res-Skip merge-guards-res-Basic
merge-guards-res-Spec merge-guards-res-Seq merge-guards-res-Cond
merge-guards-res-While merge-guards-res-Call
merge\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}res\mbox{-}DynCom\ merge\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}res\mbox{-}Throw\ merge\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}res\mbox{-}Catch
merge-guards-res-Guard
lemma merge-quards-raise: merge-quards (raise q) = raise q
 by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma merge-guards-condCatch [simp]:
  merge-guards (condCatch c1 b c2) =
   condCatch (merge-guards c1) b (merge-guards c2)
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma merge-guards-bind [simp]:
  merge-guards (bind e c) = bind e (\lambda v. merge-guards (c v))
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma merge-guards-bseq [simp]:
  merge-guards (bseq c1 c2) = bseq (merge-guards c1) (merge-guards c2)
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma merge-guards-block [simp]:
  merge-guards (block init bdy return c) =
   block init (merge-guards bdy) return (\lambda s t. merge-guards (c s t))
 by (simp add: block-def)
lemma merge-guards-call [simp]:
  merge-guards (call init p return c) =
    call init p return (\lambda s \ t. merge-guards (c \ s \ t))
 by (simp add: call-def)
lemma merge-guards-dynCall [simp]:
  merge-guards (dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ c) =
    dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ (\lambda s\ t.\ merge-guards\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma merge-guards-fcall [simp]:
  merge-guards (fcall init p return result c) =
    fcall init p return result (\lambda v. merge-guards (c v))
```

```
by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma merge-guards-switch [simp]:
 merge-guards (switch v vs) =
    switch v (map (\lambda(V,c), (V,merge-guards c)) vs)
 by (induct vs) auto
lemma merge-guards-guaranteeStrip [simp]:
 merge-guards (guaranteeStrip f g c) =
   (let \ c' = (merge-guards \ c)
    in if is-Guard c'
      then let (f',g',c') = dest-Guard c'
          in if f=f' then Guard f(g \cap g') c'
                   else Guard f g (Guard f' g' c')
      else Guard f q c')
 by (simp add: quaranteeStrip-def)
lemma merge-guards-whileAnno [simp]:
merge-guards (while Anno b I V c) = while Anno b I V (merge-guards c)
 by (simp add: whileAnno-def while-def)
lemma merge-guards-specAnno [simp]:
 merge-guards (specAnno\ P\ c\ Q\ A) =
   specAnno\ P\ (\lambda s.\ merge-guards\ (c\ undefined))\ Q\ A
 by (simp add: specAnno-def)
```

merge-guards for guard-lists as in guards, while and whileAnnoG may have funny effects since the guard-list has to be merged with the body statement too.

lemmas merge-guards-simps = merge-guards.simps merge-guards-raise merge-guards-condCatch merge-guards-bind merge-guards-bseq merge-guards-block merge-guards-dynCall merge-guards-fcall merge-guards-switch merge-guards-guaranteeStrip merge-guards-whileAnno merge-guards-specAnno

```
primrec noguards:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow bool where

noguards Skip = True \mid
noguards (Basic \ f) = True \mid
noguards (Spec \ r) = True \mid
noguards (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2) = (noguards \ c_1 \land noguards \ c_2) \mid
noguards (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) = (noguards \ c_1 \land noguards \ c_2) \mid
noguards (While \ b \ c) = (noguards \ c) \mid
noguards (Call \ p) = True \mid
noguards (DynCom \ c) = (\forall \ s. \ noguards \ (c \ s)) \mid
noguards (Guard \ f \ g \ c) = False \mid
noguards (Throw = True \mid
noguards (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2) = (noguards \ c_1 \land noguards \ c_2)
```

lemma noquards-strip-guards: noquards (strip-guards UNIV c)

```
by (induct c) auto
primrec nothrows:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow bool
where
nothrows Skip = True \mid
nothrows (Basic f) = True \mid
nothrows (Spec \ r) = True \mid
nothrows (Seq c_1 c_2) = (nothrows c_1 \land nothrows c_2) \mid
nothrows \ (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) = (nothrows \ c_1 \land nothrows \ c_2) \mid
nothrows (While b c) = nothrows c
nothrows (Call p) = True \mid
nothrows (DynCom c) = (\forall s. nothrows (c s))
nothrows (Guard f g c) = nothrows c
nothrows Throw = False
nothrows\ (Catch\ c_1\ c_2)=(nothrows\ c_1\wedge nothrows\ c_2)
5.3.5
          Intersecting Guards: c_1 \cap_q c_2
inductive-set com-rel ::(('s,'p,'f) com \times ('s,'p,'f) com) set
where
  (c1, Seq c1 c2) \in com\text{-rel}
(c2, Seq\ c1\ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
|(c1, Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}|
(c2, Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, While b c) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c \ x, \ DynCom \ c) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Guard f g c) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c1, Catch \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
(c2, Catch \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
{\bf inductive\text{-}cases}\ \textit{com-rel-elim-cases}:
 (c, Skip) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Basic f) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Spec \ r) \in com\text{-}rel
 (c, Seq c1 c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, While \ b \ c1) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Call p) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, DynCom\ c1) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Guard f g c1) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Throw) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Catch \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
lemma wf-com-rel: wf com-rel
apply (rule wfUNIVI)
apply (induct\text{-}tac \ x)
                  (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
apply
                 (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
apply
apply
                (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
```

```
(erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases,
apply
              simp, simp)
              (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases,
apply
             simp, simp)
            (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases, simp)
apply
apply
            (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
           (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases,simp)
apply
apply
          (erule\ all E,\ erule\ mp,\ (rule\ all I\ imp I)+,\ erule\ com-rel-elim-cases, simp)
apply (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
apply (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases, simp, simp)
done
consts inter-guards:: ('s,'p,'f) com \times ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com option
abbreviation
  inter-guards-syntax: ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ option
          (- \cap_q - [20,20] \ 19)
  where c \cap_q d == inter-guards (c,d)
recdef inter-guards inv-image com-rel fst
  (Skip \cap_q Skip) = Some Skip
  (Basic\ f1\ \cap_g\ Basic\ f2)=(if\ f1=f2\ then\ Some\ (Basic\ f1)\ else\ None)
  (Spec \ r1 \cap_g Spec \ r2) = (if \ r1 = r2 \ then \ Some \ (Spec \ r1) \ else \ None)
  (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \ \cap_g \ Seq \ b1 \ b2) =
    (case a1 \cap_g b1 of
        None \Rightarrow None
      | Some c1 \Rightarrow (case \ a2 \cap_q \ b2 \ of
         None \Rightarrow None
       | Some \ c2 \Rightarrow Some \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2)))
  (Cond\ cnd1\ t1\ e1\ \cap_q\ Cond\ cnd2\ t2\ e2) =
    (if \ cnd1 = cnd2)
     then (case t1 \cap_g t2 of
           None \Rightarrow None
         | Some t \Rightarrow (case\ e1\ \cap_q\ e2\ of
             None \Rightarrow None
           | Some \ e \Rightarrow Some \ (Cond \ cnd1 \ t \ e)))
     else None)
  (While cnd1 c1 \cap_q While cnd2 c2) =
     (if \ cnd1 = cnd2)
      then (case c1 \cap_g c2 of
          None \Rightarrow None
        | Some \ c \Rightarrow Some \ (While \ cnd1 \ c))
      else None)
  (Call \ p1 \cap_g Call \ p2) =
    (if p1 = p2)
     then Some (Call p1)
     else None)
  (DynCom\ P1\ \cap_g\ DynCom\ P2) =
    (if \ (\forall s. \ (P1\ s \cap_q P2\ s) \neq None)
```

```
then Some (DynCom (\lambda s. the (P1 s \cap_g P2 s)))
    else None)
  (Guard\ m1\ g1\ c1\ \cap_q\ Guard\ m2\ g2\ c2) =
    (if m1 = m2 then
      (case c1 \cap_q c2 of
         None \Rightarrow None
       | Some c \Rightarrow Some (Guard m1 (g1 \cap g2) c))
     else None)
  (Throw \cap_g Throw) = Some Throw
  (Catch\ a1\ a2\ \cap_g\ Catch\ b1\ b2) =
    (case a1 \cap_g b1 of
       None \Rightarrow None
     | Some c1 \Rightarrow (case \ a2 \cap_g \ b2 \ of
         None \Rightarrow None
       | Some \ c2 \Rightarrow Some \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2)))
  (c \cap_q d) = None
(hints cong add: option.case-cong if-cong
      recdef-wf: wf-com-rel simp: com-rel.intros)
lemma inter-guards-strip-eq:
 \bigwedge c. \ (c1 \cap_g c2) = Some \ c \implies
    (strip-guards\ UNIV\ c=strip-guards\ UNIV\ c1)\ \land
    (strip-guards\ UNIV\ c=strip-guards\ UNIV\ c2)
apply (induct c1 c2 rule: inter-guards.induct)
prefer 8
apply (simp split: if-split-asm)
apply hypsubst
apply simp
apply (rule ext)
apply (erule-tac x=s in all E, erule exE)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
{\bf apply} \ \textit{fastforce}
apply (fastforce split: option.splits if-split-asm)+
done
lemma inter-guards-sym: \bigwedge c. (c1 \cap_q c2) = Some c \Longrightarrow (c2 \cap_q c1) = Some c
apply (induct c1 c2 rule: inter-guards.induct)
apply (simp-all)
prefer 7
apply (simp split: if-split-asm add: not-None-eq)
apply (rule\ conjI)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule ext)
apply (erule-tac \ x=s \ \mathbf{in} \ all E)+
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply (fastforce split: option.splits if-split-asm)+
done
```

```
lemma inter-guards-Skip: (Skip \cap_g c2) = Some \ c = (c2 = Skip \land c = Skip)
 by (cases c2) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards\text{-}Basic:
  ((Basic\ f) \cap_q c2) = Some\ c = (c2 = Basic\ f \land c = Basic\ f)
  by (cases c2) auto
lemma inter-guards-Spec:
  ((Spec \ r) \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c = (c2 = Spec \ r \land c = Spec \ r)
  by (cases c2) auto
lemma inter-guards-Seq:
  (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_q \ c2) = Some \ c =
    (\exists b1 \ b2 \ d1 \ d2. \ c2 = Seq \ b1 \ b2 \land (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 \land a
        (a2 \cap_q b2) = Some \ d2 \wedge c = Seq \ d1 \ d2)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits)
lemma inter-guards-Cond:
  (Cond\ cnd\ t1\ e1\ \cap_g\ c2) = Some\ c =
    (\exists \ t2 \ e2 \ t \ e. \ c2 = Cond \ cnd \ t2 \ e2 \ \land \ (t1 \ \cap_g \ t2) = Some \ t \ \land
       (e1 \cap_g e2) = Some \ e \land c = Cond \ cnd \ t \ e)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits)
lemma inter-guards-While:
 (While cnd bdy1 \cap_g c2) = Some c =
    (\exists bdy2 \ bdy. \ c2 = While \ cnd \ bdy2 \land (bdy1 \cap_q \ bdy2) = Some \ bdy \land
       c = While \ cnd \ bdy)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits if-split-asm)
lemma inter-guards-Call:
  (Call\ p \cap_q c2) = Some\ c =
    (c2 = Call \ p \land c = Call \ p)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: if-split-asm)
lemma inter-guards-DynCom:
  (DynCom\ f1\ \cap_q\ c2) = Some\ c =
    (\exists f2. \ c2 = DynCom \ f2 \land (\forall s. ((f1 \ s) \cap_g (f2 \ s)) \neq None) \land
      c = DynCom (\lambda s. the ((f1 s) \cap_g (f2 s))))
  by (cases c2) (auto split: if-split-asm)
lemma inter-guards-Guard:
  (Guard f g1 \ bdy1 \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c =
    (\exists g2 \ bdy2 \ bdy. \ c2 = Guard \ f \ g2 \ bdy2 \ \land \ (bdy1 \ \cap_g \ bdy2) = Some \ bdy \ \land
       c = Guard f (g1 \cap g2) bdy
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits)
```

**lemma** inter-guards-Throw:

```
(Throw \cap_g c2) = Some \ c = (c2 = Throw \land c = Throw)
  by (cases c2) auto
lemma inter-guards-Catch:
  (Catch\ a1\ a2\ \cap_g\ c2) = Some\ c =
     (\exists b1 \ b2 \ d1 \ d2. \ c2 = Catch \ b1 \ b2 \land (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 \land a
         (a2 \cap_q b2) = Some \ d2 \wedge c = Catch \ d1 \ d2)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits)
{f lemmas}\ inter-guards-simps=inter-guards-Skip\ inter-guards-Basic\ inter-guards-Spec
  inter-guards-Seq inter-guards-Cond inter-guards-While inter-guards-Call
  inter-guards-DynCom inter-guards-Guard inter-guards-Throw
  inter-guards-Catch
           Subset on Guards: c_1 \subseteq_q c_2
inductive subseteq-guards :: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow bool
  (-\subseteq_g - [20,20] \ 19) where
  Skip \subseteq_g Skip
|f1 = f2 \Longrightarrow Basic\ f1 \subseteq_q Basic\ f2
| r1 = r2 \Longrightarrow Spec \ r1 \subseteq_q Spec \ r2
a1 \subseteq_g b1 \Longrightarrow a2 \subseteq_g b2 \Longrightarrow Seq a1 a2 \subseteq_g Seq b1 b2
| cnd1 = cnd2 \implies t1 \subseteq_g t2 \implies e1 \subseteq_g e2 \implies Cond \ cnd1 \ t1 \ e1 \subseteq_g Cond \ cnd2
  cnd1 = cnd2 \Longrightarrow c1 \subseteq_g c2 \Longrightarrow While \ cnd1 \ c1 \subseteq_g While \ cnd2 \ c2
 p1 = p2 \Longrightarrow Call \ p1 \subseteq_g Call \ p2
 (\bigwedge s. \ P1 \ s \subseteq_g P2 \ s) \Longrightarrow DynCom \ P1 \subseteq_g DynCom \ P2
 m1 = m2 \Longrightarrow g1 = g2 \Longrightarrow c1 \subseteq_g c2 \Longrightarrow \textit{Guard m1 g1 c1} \subseteq_g \textit{Guard m2 g2 c2}
 c1 \subseteq_g c2 \Longrightarrow c1 \subseteq_g Guard \ m2 \ g2 \ c2
  Throw \subseteq_g Throw
 a1 \subseteq_g b1 \implies a2 \subseteq_g b2 \implies Catch \ a1 \ a2 \subseteq_g Catch \ b1 \ b2
lemma subseteq-guards-Skip:
  c = Skip \ \mathbf{if} \ c \subseteq_g Skip
  using that by cases
lemma subseteq-guards-Basic:
  c = Basic f if c \subseteq_q Basic f
  using that by cases simp
{\bf lemma}\ subseteq\hbox{-} guards\hbox{-} Spec\hbox{:}
  c = Spec \ r \ \mathbf{if} \ c \subseteq_g Spec \ r
```

 $\exists c1' c2'. c = Seq c1' c2' \land (c1' \subseteq_g c1) \land (c2' \subseteq_g c2) \text{ if } c \subseteq_g Seq c1 c2$ 

using that by cases simp

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subseteq-guards-Seq}\colon$ 

using that by cases simp

```
lemma subseteq-guards-Cond:
  \exists \ c1' \ c2'. \ c=Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2' \wedge \ (c1' \subseteq_g \ c1) \ \wedge \ (c2' \subseteq_g \ c2) \ \mathbf{if} \ c \subseteq_g \ Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2
  using that by cases simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ subseteq\text{-}guards\text{-}While:
  \exists c''. c=While \ b \ c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_q \ c') \ \mathbf{if} \ c \subseteq_q \ While \ b \ c'
  using that by cases simp
lemma subseteq-guards-Call:
 c = Call \ p \ \mathbf{if} \ c \subseteq_g Call \ p
  using that by cases simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subseteq-guards-DynCom}\colon
  \exists C'. c=DynCom C' \land (\forall s. C' s \subseteq_q C s) \text{ if } c \subseteq_q DynCom C
  using that by cases simp
{\bf lemma}\ subseteq\hbox{-} guards\hbox{-} Guard\colon
  (c \subseteq_g c') \lor (\exists c''. c = Guard f g c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_g c'))  if c \subseteq_g Guard f g c'
  using that by cases simp-all
lemma subseteq-guards-Throw:
  c = Throw if c \subseteq_g Throw
  using that by cases
\mathbf{lemma}\ subseteq\text{-}guards\text{-}Catch\text{:}
  \exists c1' c2'. c = Catch c1' c2' \land (c1' \subseteq_q c1) \land (c2' \subseteq_q c2) \text{ if } c \subseteq_q Catch c1 c2
  using that by cases simp
{\bf lemmas}\ subseteq\hbox{-}guardsD=subseteq\hbox{-}guards\hbox{-}Skip\ subseteq\hbox{-}guards\hbox{-}Basic
subseteq-guards-Spec subseteq-guards-Seq subseteq-guards-Cond subseteq-guards-While
 subseteq-guards-Call\ subseteq-guards-DynCom\ subseteq-guards-Guard
 subseteq-guards-Throw\ subseteq-guards-Catch
lemma subseteq-guards-Guard':
  \exists f' \ b' \ c'. \ d = Guard \ f' \ b' \ c' \ \mathbf{if} \ Guard \ f \ b \ c \subseteq_g \ d
  using that by cases auto
lemma subseteq-guards-refl: c \subseteq_q c
  by (induct c) (auto intro: subseteq-guards.intros)
```

end

## 6 Big-Step Semantics for Simpl

theory Semantic imports Language begin

notation

```
restrict-map (-|- [90, 91] 90)
datatype (s, f) xstate = Normal 's | Abrupt 's | Fault 'f | Stuck
definition isAbr::('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow bool
  where isAbr\ S = (\exists s.\ S = Abrupt\ s)
lemma isAbr-simps [simp]:
isAbr (Normal s) = False
isAbr (Abrupt s) = True
isAbr (Fault f) = False
isAbr\ Stuck = False
by (auto simp add: isAbr-def)
lemma isAbrE [consumes 1, elim?]: [isAbr S; \land s. S=Abrupt s \Longrightarrow P] \Longrightarrow P
 by (auto simp add: isAbr-def)
lemma not-isAbrD:
\neg isAbr s \Longrightarrow (\exists s'. s=Normal s') \lor s = Stuck \lor (\exists f. s=Fault f)
 by (cases\ s) auto
definition isFault:: ('s,'f) \ xstate \Rightarrow bool
  where is Fault S = (\exists f. \ S = Fault \ f)
lemma isFault-simps [simp]:
isFault (Normal s) = False
isFault (Abrupt s) = False
isFault (Fault f) = True
isFault\ Stuck = False
by (auto simp add: isFault-def)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{isFaultE} \ [\mathit{consumes} \ 1, \ \mathit{elim?}] \colon [\![\mathit{isFault} \ s; \ \bigwedge \! f. \ \mathit{s=Fault} \ f \Longrightarrow P]\!] \Longrightarrow P
 by (auto simp add: isFault-def)
lemma not-isFault-iff: (\neg isFault\ t) = (\forall f.\ t \neq Fault\ f)
 by (auto elim: isFaultE)
        Big-Step Execution: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
The procedure environment
type-synonym ('s,'p,'f) body = 'p \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com option
inductive
  exec::[('s,'p,'f)\ body,('s,'p,'f)\ com,('s,'f)\ xstate,('s,'f)\ xstate]
                    \Rightarrow bool (-\vdash \langle -,- \rangle \Rightarrow - [60,20,98,98] 89)
 for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f) body
where
  Skip: \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ s
```

```
| Guard: [s \in g; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t]
                 \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| GuardFault: s \notin g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard f g c, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
| FaultProp\ [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Fault\ f \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f
\mid Basic: \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic f, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal (f s)
| Spec: (s,t) \in r
               \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
\mid SpecStuck: \forall t. (s,t) \notin r
                       \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
\mid \mathit{Seq} \colon \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, \mathit{Normal\ s} \rangle \ \Rightarrow \ s'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, s' \rangle \ \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket
             \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| CondTrue: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow t]
                      \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| CondFalse: [s \notin b; \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t]
                        \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| While True: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ s'; \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, s' \rangle \Rightarrow \ t]]
                       \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| WhileFalse: [s \notin b]
                          \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ s
| \textit{ Call: } \llbracket \Gamma \textit{ p=Some bdy}; \Gamma \vdash \langle \textit{bdy}, \textit{Normal s} \rangle \Rightarrow \textit{ t} \rrbracket
                 \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| CallUndefined: [\Gamma p=None]|
                              \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
| StuckProp [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Stuck \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
```

```
| DynCom: [\Gamma \vdash \langle (c \ s), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t]
                       \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| Throw: \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s
|AbruptProp[intro,simp]: \Gamma \vdash \langle c,Abrupt s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt s
| CatchMatch: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
                           \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| CatchMiss: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t; \neg isAbr \ t \rrbracket
                           \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
inductive-cases exec-elim-cases [cases set]:
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Fault f \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Stuck \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Abrupt \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic f, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
inductive-cases exec-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Fault f \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Stuck \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Abrupt \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ f, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

lemma exec-block:

```
\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t; \Gamma \vdash \langle c\ s\ t, Normal\ (return\ s\ t) \rangle \Rightarrow u \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow u
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
lemma exec-blockAbrupt:
      \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t \rrbracket
         \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ (return \ s \ t)
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{exec-blockFault}\colon
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec	ext{-}blockStuck:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
lemma exec-call:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t, Normal \ (return \ t) \rangle
|s|(t) \Rightarrow u
  \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow u
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-block)
apply (erule (1) Call)
apply assumption
done
lemma exec-callAbrupt:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ (return \ s \ t)
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-blockAbrupt)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
```

lemma exec-callFault:

```
\llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f \rrbracket
                \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-blockFault)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
lemma exec-callStuck:
           \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket
            \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-blockStuck)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
lemma exec-callUndefined:
        \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None \rrbracket
         \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{call\ init\ p\ return\ c}, Normal\ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \ \mathit{Stuck}
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-blockStuck)
apply (erule CallUndefined)
done
lemma Fault-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and s: s = Fault f
  shows t=Fault f
using exec \ s by (induct) auto
lemma Stuck-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and s: s = Stuck
  shows t=Stuck
using exec s by (induct) auto
lemma Abrupt-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and s: s = Abrupt s'
  shows t=Abrupt s'
using exec s by (induct) auto
lemma exec-Call-body-aux:
  \Gamma p=Some bdy \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
apply (rule)
{\bf apply} \ (\textit{fastforce elim: exec-elim-cases}\ )
apply (cases \ s)
apply (cases t)
apply (auto intro: exec.intros dest: Fault-end Stuck-end Abrupt-end)
done
```

```
lemma exec-Call-body':
  p \in dom \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  apply clarsimp
  by (rule exec-Call-body-aux)
lemma exec-block-Normal-elim [consumes 1]:
assumes exec-block: \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
assumes Normal:
\bigwedge t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t';
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
    \implies P
assumes Abrupt:
 \bigwedge t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t';
     t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
    \implies P
assumes Fault:
 \bigwedge f.
    [\Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f;
     t = Fault f
    \implies P
assumes Stuck:
 \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck;
     t = Stuck
    \implies P
assumes
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None; \ t = Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
  using exec-block
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{apply}\ simp\text{-}all
apply (case-tac s')
apply
              simp-all
              (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
             (drule Abrupt-end) apply simp
apply
apply
             (erule exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
             simp
apply
             (rule\ Abrupt, assumption+)
           (drule Fault-end) apply simp
apply
           (erule exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
apply (drule Stuck-end) apply simp
apply (erule exec-Normal-elim-cases)
```

```
apply simp
apply (case-tac s')
apply
            simp-all
apply (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (rule Normal, assumption+)
apply (drule Fault-end) apply simp
apply (rule Fault, assumption+)
apply (drule Stuck-end) apply simp
apply (rule Stuck, assumption+)
done
lemma exec-call-Normal-elim [consumes 1]:
assumes exec-call: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
assumes Normal:
 \bigwedge bdy t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t';
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
assumes Abrupt:
 \bigwedge bdy t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t';
     t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
    \implies P
assumes Fault:
 \bigwedge bdy f.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f;
    t = Fault f
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Stuck:
 \bigwedge bdy.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck;
     t = Stuck
    \implies P
assumes Undef:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None; \ t = Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
  using exec-call
  apply (unfold call-def)
  apply (cases \Gamma p)
  apply (erule exec-block-Normal-elim)
                (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
                 simp
  apply
  apply
                simp
               (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  apply
                simp
               simp
  apply
  apply
              (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
               simp
  apply
```

```
apply
              simp
  apply
             (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
              simp
  apply
             (rule Undef, assumption, assumption)
  apply
  apply (rule Undef,assumption+)
  apply (erule exec-block-Normal-elim)
               (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
 apply
 apply
                simp
                (rule\ Normal, assumption +)
 apply
  apply
               simp
              (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  apply
               (rule\ Abrupt, assumption+)
  apply
              simp
  apply
             (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  apply
              simp
             (rule\ Fault,\ assumption+)
  apply
             simp
  apply
  apply (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  \mathbf{apply} \quad (rule \ Stuck, assumption, assumption, assumption)
  apply simp
 apply (rule Undef, assumption+)
  done
lemma exec-dynCall:
          \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
           \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
apply (simp add: dynCall-def)
by (rule DynCom)
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec\text{-}dynCall\text{-}Normal\text{-}elim:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes call: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow P
 shows P
  using exec
 apply (simp add: dynCall-def)
  apply (erule exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply (rule call, assumption)
  done
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec	ext{-}Call	ext{-}body:
  \Gamma p=Some bdy \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), s \rangle \Rightarrow t
apply (rule)
apply (fastforce elim: exec-elim-cases )
```

```
apply (cases \ s)
apply (cases t)
apply (fastforce intro: exec.intros dest: Fault-end Abrupt-end Stuck-end)+
lemma exec-Seq': \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow s'; \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow s'' \rrbracket
                  \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \ s''
  apply (cases \ s)
                   (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
   apply
   apply
                  (fastforce dest: Abrupt-end)
   apply (fastforce dest: Fault-end)
   apply (fastforce dest: Stuck-end)
   done
lemma exec-assoc: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ (Seq \ c2 \ c3), s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ c3, s \rangle \Rightarrow
  by (blast elim!: exec-elim-cases intro: exec-Seq')
            Big-Step Execution with Recursion Limit: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow
6.2
inductive execn::[(s, p, f) \ body, (s, p, f) \ com, (s, f) \ xstate, nat, (s, f) \ xstate]
                               \Rightarrow bool (-\vdash \langle -, - \rangle = -\Rightarrow - [60, 20, 98, 65, 98] 89)
   for \Gamma :: ('s, 'p, 'f) \ body
   Skip: \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s
| Guard: [s \in g; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t]
              \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
\mid \mathit{GuardFault} \colon \mathit{s} \not\in g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Guard} \ \mathit{f} \ \mathit{g} \ \mathit{c}, \mathit{Normal} \ \mathit{s} \rangle = \mathit{n} \Rightarrow \ \mathit{Fault} \ \mathit{f}
| FaultProp [intro,simp]: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Fault f \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
\mid Basic: \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic f, Normal s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal (f s)
| Spec: (s,t) \in r
            \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t
\mid SpecStuck: \forall t. (s,t) \notin r
                   \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
|Seq: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket
           \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
| CondTrue: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t]
                    \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| CondFalse: [s \notin b; \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t]
                      \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| While True: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s';
                      \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
                      \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| WhileFalse: [s \notin b]
                        \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s
| Call: \Gamma p=Some bdy; \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
                \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow t
| CallUndefined: \llbracket \Gamma \ p=None \rrbracket
                           \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Stuck
| StuckProp [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
\mid DynCom: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle (c\ s), Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
                     \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| Throw: \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s
|AbruptProp[intro,simp]: \Gamma \vdash \langle c,Abrupt s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt s
| CatchMatch: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
                        \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| CatchMiss: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t; \neg isAbr \ t \rrbracket
                        \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
inductive-cases execn-elim-cases [cases set]:
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Fault f \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Abrupt \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic f, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
inductive-cases execn-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
  \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Fault f \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Abrupt \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ q \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ f, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Call}\ p, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
lemma execn-Skip': \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, t \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (cases t) (auto intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-Fault-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and s: s = Fault f
  shows t=Fault f
using exec \ s by (induct) auto
lemma execn-Stuck-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and s: s = Stuck
   shows t=Stuck
using exec \ s by (induct) auto
lemma execn-Abrupt-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and s: s = Abrupt s'
   shows t = Abrupt s'
using exec \ s by (induct) auto
lemma execn-block:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal\ t; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c\ s\ t, Normal\ (return\ s\ t) \rangle = n \Rightarrow
u
  \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn\text{-}blockAbrupt:
      \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t \rrbracket
         \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ (return \ s \ t)
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-blockFault:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-blockStuck:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-call:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t;
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t, Normal \ (return \ s \ t) \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow \ u
  \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow u
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-block)
apply (erule (1) Call)
apply assumption
done
lemma execn-callAbrupt:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ (return \ s \ t)
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-blockAbrupt)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{execn-callFault} :
                 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \rrbracket
                  \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
apply (simp add: call-def)
```

```
apply (rule execn-blockFault)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
lemma execn-callStuck:
            \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket
             \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-blockStuck)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
\mathbf{lemma} \ \ execn\text{-}call Undefined:
        \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None \rrbracket
         \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-blockStuck)
apply (erule CallUndefined)
done
lemma execn-block-Normal-elim [consumes 1]:
assumes execn-block: \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
assumes Normal:
 \bigwedge t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal\ t';
     \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     \Longrightarrow P
assumes Abrupt:
 \bigwedge t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t';
     t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
     \implies P
\mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{Fault} \colon
 \bigwedge f.
     \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f;
     t = Fault f
    \implies P
assumes Stuck:
 [\Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck;
      t = Stuck
     \implies P
assumes Undef:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None; \ t = Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
\mathbf{shows}\ P
  using execn-block
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
```

```
apply simp-all
apply (case-tac \ s')
             simp-all
apply
             (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
apply
            (drule execn-Abrupt-end) apply simp
apply
            (erule execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
            (rule\ Abrupt, assumption+)
apply
           (drule execn-Fault-end) apply simp
apply
           (erule execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
apply (drule execn-Stuck-end) apply simp
apply (erule execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (case-tac s')
apply
            simp-all
apply (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (rule Normal, assumption+)
apply (drule execn-Fault-end) apply simp
apply (rule Fault, assumption+)
apply (drule execn-Stuck-end) apply simp
apply (rule Stuck, assumption+)
done
lemma execn-call-Normal-elim [consumes 1]:
assumes exec-call: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
assumes Normal:
 \bigwedge bdy \ i \ t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = i \Rightarrow Normal \ t';
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = Suc \ i \Rightarrow \ t; \ n = Suc \ i \rceil
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Abrupt:
 \bigwedge bdy \ i \ t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = i \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'; \ n = Suc \ i;
    t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
    \implies P
assumes Fault:
 \bigwedge bdy \ i \ f.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = i \Rightarrow Fault \ f; \ n = Suc \ i;
     t = Fault f
    \implies P
\mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{Stuck} \colon
 \bigwedge bdy i.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = i \Rightarrow Stuck; \ n = Suc \ i;
    t = Stuck
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Undef:
```

```
\bigwedge i. \ \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None; \ n = Suc \ i; \ t = Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
 using exec	ext{-}call
 apply (unfold call-def)
 apply (cases n)
 apply (simp only: block-def)
 apply (fastforce elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
 apply (cases \Gamma p)
 apply (erule execn-block-Normal-elim)
              (elim\ execn-Normal-elim-cases)
 apply
               simp
 apply
 apply
              simp
             (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
 apply
              simp
 apply
 apply
             simp
 apply
            (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
 apply
             simp
            simp
 apply
           (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
 apply
 apply
            simp
 apply
           (rule\ Undef, assumption, assumption, assumption)
          (rule\ Undef, assumption+)
 apply
 apply (erule execn-block-Normal-elim)
             (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
 apply
              simp
 apply
              (rule\ Normal, assumption +)
 apply
 apply
             simp
            (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
 apply
             simp
 apply
             (rule\ Abrupt, assumption+)
 apply
 apply
            simp
 apply
           (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
            simp
 apply
 apply
           (rule\ Fault, assumption +)
 apply
           simp
 {\bf apply} \ \ ({\it elim \ execn-Normal-elim-cases})
 apply
           simp
          (rule\ Stuck, assumption, assumption, assumption, assumption)
 apply
          (rule\ Undef, assumption, assumption, assumption)
 apply (rule Undef, assumption+)
 done
lemma execn-dynCall:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
 \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
apply (simp add: dynCall-def)
by (rule DynCom)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn-dynCall-Normal-elim:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assumes \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \Longrightarrow P
  shows P
  using exec
  apply (simp add: dynCall-def)
  apply (erule execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply fact
  done
lemma execn-Seq':
        \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \quad s'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow \quad s'' \rrbracket
         \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s''
  apply (cases\ s)
  apply
               (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  apply (fastforce dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
  apply (fastforce dest: execn-Fault-end)
  apply (fastforce dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  done
lemma execn-mono:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  shows \bigwedge m. n \leq m \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = m \Longrightarrow t
using exec
by (induct) (auto intro: execn.intros dest: Suc-le-D)
lemma execn-Suc:
  \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow \ t
  by (rule execn-mono [OF - le-refl [THEN le-SucI]])
lemma execn-assoc:
 \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ (Seq \ c2 \ c3), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ c3, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  by (auto elim!: execn-elim-cases intro: execn-Seq')
lemma execn-to-exec:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
using execn
by induct (auto intro: exec.intros)
lemma exec-to-execn:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
shows \exists n. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using execn
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
  case Guard thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
 case FaultProp thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Basic thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case SpecStuck thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 s s' c2 s'')
  then obtain n m where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle = m \Rightarrow s''
    by blast
  then have
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s'
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s''
    by (auto elim!: execn-mono intro: max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
  thus ?case
    by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (While True s b c s' s'')
  then obtain n m where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s' \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, s' \rangle = m \Rightarrow \ s''
    by blast
  then have
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s' \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, s' \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s''
    by (auto elim!: execn-mono intro: max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
  with WhileTrue
  show ?case
    by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Call thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
```

```
case StuckProp thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
   case DynCom thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
   case Throw thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
   case AbruptProp thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
   case (CatchMatch c1 s s' c2 s'')
   then obtain n m where
     \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = m \Rightarrow s''
     by blast
   then have
     \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
     \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s''
     by (auto elim!: execn-mono intro: max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
   with CatchMatch.hyps show ?case
     by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
   case CatchMiss thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{qed}
theorem exec-iff-execn: (\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t) = (\exists n. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t)
  by (iprover intro: exec-to-execn execn-to-exec)
definition nfinal-notin:: ('s,'p,'f) body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow nat
                               \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate set \Rightarrow bool
\begin{array}{ll} (-\vdash \langle \text{-}, \text{-} \rangle = \text{-} \Rightarrow \notin \text{-} \ [60, 20, 98, 65, 60] \ 89) \ \textbf{where} \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T = (\forall \, t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \, t \longrightarrow t \notin T) \end{array}
definition final-notin:: ('s,'p,'f) body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate
                               \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate set \Rightarrow bool
  (+ \langle -, - \rangle \Rightarrow \notin - [60, 20, 98, 60] 89) where
\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T = (\forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin T)
lemma final-notinI: \llbracket \bigwedge t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow t \notin T \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noFaultStuck-Call-body': p \in dom \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow
\Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ ' \ (-F)) =
\Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
  by (clarsimp simp add: final-notin-def exec-Call-body)
\mathbf{lemma}\ no Fault\text{-}startn:
   assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and t: t \neq Fault f
   shows s \neq Fault f
using execn t by (induct) auto
```

```
lemma noFault-start:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and t: t \neq Fault f
  shows s \neq Fault f
using exec t by (induct) auto
lemma no Stuck-startn:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and t: t \neq Stuck
  shows s \neq Stuck
using execn t by (induct) auto
lemma noStuck-start:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and t: t \neq Stuck
  shows s \neq Stuck
using exec t by (induct) auto
lemma noAbrupt-startn:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and t : \forall t'. t \neq Abrupt t'
  shows s \neq Abrupt s'
using execn t by (induct) auto
lemma noAbrupt-start:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and t : \forall t'. \ t \neq Abrupt \ t'
  shows s \neq Abrupt s'
using exec t by (induct) auto
lemma noFaultn-startD: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Fault \ f
  by (auto dest: noFault-startn)
lemma noFaultn-startD': t \neq Fault f \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \implies s \neq Fault f
  by (auto dest: noFault-startn)
lemma noFault-startD: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Fault \ f
  by (auto dest: noFault-start)
lemma noFault-startD': t \neq Fault f \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow s \neq Fault f
  by (auto dest: noFault-start)
lemma noStuckn-startD: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Stuck
  by (auto dest: noStuck-startn)
lemma noStuckn-startD': t \neq Stuck \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \implies s \neq Stuck
  by (auto dest: noStuck-startn)
lemma noStuck-startD: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Stuck
  by (auto dest: noStuck-start)
lemma noStuck-startD': t \neq Stuck \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \implies t \implies s \neq Stuck
  by (auto dest: noStuck-start)
```

```
lemma noAbruptn-startD: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Abrupt \ s'
  by (auto dest: noAbrupt-startn)
lemma noAbrupt-startD: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Abrupt \ s'
  by (auto dest: noAbrupt-start)
by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noFaultnI':
  assumes contr: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f \Longrightarrow False
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}
  proof (rule noFaultnI)
    fix t assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with contr show t \neq Fault f
       by (cases t=Fault\ f) auto
  qed
lemma noFaultn-def': \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\} = (\neg \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f)
  apply rule
  apply (fastforce simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
  apply (fastforce intro: noFaultnI')
  done
lemma noStucknI: \llbracket \bigwedge t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow t \neq Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noStucknI':
  assumes contr: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \Longrightarrow False
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  proof (rule noStucknI)
    fix t assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with contr show t \neq Stuck
       by (cases t) auto
  \mathbf{qed}
lemma noStuckn-def': \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} = (\neg \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck)
  apply rule
  apply (fastforce simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
  apply (fastforce intro: noStucknI')
  done
lemma noFaultI: \llbracket \bigwedge t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies t \neq Fault \ f \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\}
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noFaultI':
```

```
assumes contr: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f \Longrightarrow False
   shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}
   proof (rule noFaultI)
     fix t assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
     with contr show t \neq Fault f
        by (cases t=Fault f) auto
   qed
lemma noFaultE:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noFault-def': \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\} = (\neg \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f)
   apply rule
  apply (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def)
  apply (fastforce intro: noFaultI')
   done
lemma noStuckI: \llbracket \bigwedge t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies t \neq Stuck \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noStuckI':
   assumes contr: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \Longrightarrow False
   shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   proof (rule noStuckI)
     fix t assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
     with contr show t \neq Stuck
        by (cases \ t) auto
   qed
lemma noStuckE:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noStuck-def': \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} = (\neg \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck)
   apply rule
  apply (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def)
  apply (fastforce intro: noStuckI')
  done
lemma noFaultn-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies t \neq Fault f
  by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noFault-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies t \neq Fault \ f
   by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noFaultn-exec-startD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies s \neq Fault
```

```
by (auto simp add: nfinal-notin-def dest: noFaultn-startD)
lemma noFault-exec-startD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies s \neq Fault \ f
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: noFault-startD)
lemma noStuckn-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies t \neq Stuck \}
  by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noStuck-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies t \neq Stuck
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noStuckn-exec-startD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies s \neq Stuck \}
  by (auto simp add: nfinal-notin-def dest: noStuckn-startD)
lemma noStuck-exec-startD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies s \neq Stuck
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: noStuck-startD)
lemma noFaultStuckn-execD:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\};\ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies
         t\notin\{Fault\ True,Fault\ False,Stuck\}
  by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noFaultStuck-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle
 \implies t \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\}
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ noFaultStuckn\text{-}exec\text{-}startD\text{:}
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault\ True,\ Fault\ False, Stuck\};\ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
       \Rightarrow s \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ noFaultStuck\text{-}exec\text{-}startD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault\ True,\ Fault\ False, Stuck\};\ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
  \implies s \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noStuck-Call:
  assumes noStuck: \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  shows p \in dom \Gamma
proof (cases \ p \in dom \ \Gamma)
  case True thus ?thesis by simp
next
   case False
  hence \Gamma p = None by auto
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
     by (rule exec.CallUndefined)
   with noStuck show ?thesis
```

```
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
qed
lemma Guard-noFaultStuckD:
  assumes Γ⊢\langle Guard\ f\ g\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
  assumes f \notin F
  shows s \in q
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
lemma final-notin-to-finaln:
  assumes notin: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T
proof (clarsimp simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
  fix t assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and t \in T
  with notin show False
     by (auto intro: execn-to-exec simp add: final-notin-def)
qed
lemma noFault-Call-body:
\Gamma p = Some \ bdy \Longrightarrow
 \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\} =
 \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\}
  by (simp add: noFault-def' exec-Call-body)
lemma noStuck-Call-body:
\Gamma p=Some bdy\Longrightarrow
 \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} =
 \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (simp add: noStuck-def' exec-Call-body)
lemma exec-final-notin-to-execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def nfinal-notin-def dest: execn-to-exec)
lemma execn-final-notin-to-exec: \forall n. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def nfinal-notin-def dest: exec-to-execn)
lemma exec-final-notin-iff-execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T = (\forall n. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T)
  by (auto intro: exec-final-notin-to-execn execn-final-notin-to-exec)
lemma Seq-NoFaultStuckD2:
  assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `F)
  shows \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ ' \ F) \longrightarrow
                \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault 'F)
using noabort
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec-Seq') lemma Seq-NoFaultStuckD1:
  assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ 'F)
```

```
shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \cdot F)
proof (rule final-notinI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ t
  assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  show t \notin \{Stuck\} \cup Fault ' F
  proof
    assume t \in \{Stuck\} \cup Fault ' F
    moreover
    {
      assume t = Stuck
      with exec-c1
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
        by (auto intro: exec-Seq')
      with noabort have False
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
      hence False ..
    moreover
     {
      assume t \in Fault ' F
       then obtain f where
       t: t=Fault f and f: f \in F
        by auto
       from t exec-c1
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
         by (auto intro: exec-Seq')
       with noabort f have False
         by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
      hence False ..
    }
    ultimately show False by auto
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{Seq\text{-}NoFaultStuckD2'} :
  assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ 'F)
  shows \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ 'F) \longrightarrow
               \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \cdot F)
using noabort
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec-Seq')
         Lemmas about sequence, flatten and Language.normalize
lemma execn-sequence-app: \bigwedge s \ s' \ t.
 \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
 \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs@ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
```

```
next
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 have exec-x-xs: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (x \# xs), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \ by \ fact
 have exec-ys: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys,s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases xs)
   case Nil
   with exec-x-xs have \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
      by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
   \mathbf{with}\ \mathit{Nil}\ \mathit{exec}\textit{-ys}\ \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{?thesis}
      by (cases ys) (auto intro: execn.intros elim: execn-elim-cases)
  next
   case Cons
   with exec-x-xs
   obtain s'' where
      exec-x: \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'' and
      exec-xs: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs,s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
      by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases )
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases s'')
     case (Normal s''')
      from Cons.hyps [OF exec-xs [simplified Normal] exec-ys]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t.
      with Cons exec-x Normal
     show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   \mathbf{next}
     case (Abrupt s''')
      with exec-xs have s'=Abrupt s'''
       by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
      with exec-ys have t=Abrupt s'''
       by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
      with exec-x Abrupt Cons show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   \mathbf{next}
      case (Fault f)
      with exec-xs have s'=Fault f
       by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
      with exec-ys have t=Fault f
       by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
      with exec-x Fault Cons show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   \mathbf{next}
      case Stuck
      with exec-xs have s'=Stuck
       by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
      with exec-us have t=Stuck
       by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
      with exec-x Stuck Cons show ?thesis
```

```
by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma execn-sequence-appD: \bigwedge s t. \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        \exists s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow
proof (induct xs)
 case Nil
  thus ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
  have exec-app: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ((x \# xs) @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ by \ fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases xs)
    case Nil
    with exec-app show ?thesis
      by (cases ys) (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases intro: execn-Skip')
  next
    case Cons
    with exec-app obtain s' where
      exec-x: \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' and
      exec-xs-ys: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases s')
      case (Normal s^{\prime\prime})
      from Cons.hyps [OF exec-xs-ys [simplified Normal]] Normal exec-x Cons
      show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      case (Abrupt s'')
      with exec-xs-ys have t=Abrupt s''
        by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
      with Abrupt exec-x Cons
      show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    \mathbf{next}
      case (Fault f)
      with exec-xs-ys have t=Fault f
       by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
      with Fault exec-x Cons
      show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   \mathbf{next}
      \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
```

```
with exec-xs-ys have t=Stuck
         by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
       with Stuck exec-x Cons
      show ?thesis
         by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma execn-sequence-appE [consumes 1]:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t;
   \land s'. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s'; \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket
\Longrightarrow P
  \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
  by (auto dest: execn-sequence-appD)
lemma execn-to-execn-sequence-flatten:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec
proof induct
  case (Seq c1 c2 n s s' s") thus ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros execn-sequence-app)
qed (auto intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn-to\text{-}execn\text{-}normalize\text{:}
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec
proof induct
  case (Seq c1 c2 n s s' s") thus ?case
    by (auto intro: execn-to-execn-sequence-flatten execn-sequence-app)
qed (auto intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-sequence-flatten-to-execn:
  shows \land s t. \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct c)
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have exec-seq: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2)), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ by \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Normal s')
    with exec\text{-}seq obtain s'' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c1), Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'' \ and
      \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c2), s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by (auto elim: execn-sequence-appE)
    with Seq.hyps Normal
```

```
show ?thesis
     by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case Abrupt
    with exec-seq
    show ?thesis by (auto intro: execn.intros dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
  \mathbf{next}
    case Fault
    with exec-seq
    \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis \ \mathbf{by} \ (auto \ intro: \ execn.intros \ dest: \ execn-Fault-end)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-seq
    show ?thesis by (auto intro: execn.intros dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  qed
ged auto
lemma execn-normalize-to-execn:
 shows \bigwedge s \ t \ n. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by simp
next
  case Basic thus ?case by simp
next
  case Spec thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
 hence exec-norm-seq:
    \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ (normalize \ c1) \ @ \ flatten \ (normalize \ c2)), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by simp
  show ?case
 proof (cases\ s)
    case (Normal s')
    with exec-norm-seq obtain s" where
      exec-norm-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ (normalize \ c1)), Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
and
      exec-norm-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ (normalize \ c2)), s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto elim: execn-sequence-appE)
    from execn-sequence-flatten-to-execn [OF exec-norm-c1]
      execn-sequence-flatten-to-execn [OF exec-norm-c2] Seq.hyps Normal
    show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-norm-seq have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
```

```
next
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-norm-seq have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  \mathbf{next}
    case Stuck
    with exec-norm-seq have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
 qed
next
  case Cond thus ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros elim!: execn-elim-cases)
  case (While b c)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ (While \ b \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  hence exec-norm-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ (normalize\ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by simp
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t \ w
   assume exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle w, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    have w = While \ b \ (normalize \ c) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      using exec-w
    proof (induct)
      case (While True s b' c' n w t)
      {\bf from}\ \textit{WhileTrue}\ {\bf obtain}
        s-in-b: s \in b and
        exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w and
        hyp-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by simp
      {\bf from}\ \ While.hyps\ [OF\ exec-c]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
        by simp
      with hyp-w s-in-b
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      with WhileTrue show ?case by simp
    qed (auto intro: execn.intros)
  from this [OF exec-norm-w]
  show ?case
    by simp
next
  case Call thus ?case by simp
next
  case DynCom thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.intros elim!: execn-elim-cases)
```

```
next
  case Guard thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.intros elim!: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
  case Catch thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim!: execn-elim-cases)
qed
lemma execn-normalize-iff-execn:
\Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  by (auto intro: execn-to-execn-normalize execn-normalize-to-execn)
lemma exec-sequence-app:
  assumes exec-xs: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
  assumes exec-ys: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys,s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs@ys), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-xs]
  obtain n where
     execn-xs: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'.
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-ys]
  obtain m where
     execn-ys: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys,s' \rangle = m \Rightarrow t..
  with execn-xs obtain
    \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s'
    \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys,s' \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: execn-mono max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
  from execn-sequence-app [OF this]
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow t.
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-sequence-appD:
  assumes exec-xs-ys: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-xs-ys]
  obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t...
  thus ?thesis
    by (cases rule: execn-sequence-appE) (auto intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-sequence-appE [consumes 1]:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t;
   \land s'. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'; \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
  by (auto dest: exec-sequence-appD)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{exec}\text{-}\mathit{to}\text{-}\mathit{exec}\text{-}\mathit{sequence}\text{-}\mathit{flatten}\text{:}
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec]
  obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t..
  from execn-to-execn-sequence-flatten [OF this]
  show ?thesis
     by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{exec}\text{-}\mathit{sequence}\text{-}\mathit{flatten}\text{-}\mathit{to}\text{-}\mathit{exec}\text{:}
  assumes exec-seq: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-seq]
  obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t...
  from execn-sequence-flatten-to-execn [OF this]
  show ?thesis
     by (rule execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec\text{-}to\text{-}exec\text{-}normalize\text{:}
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec] obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t..
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by (rule execn-to-execn-normalize)
  thus ?thesis
     by (rule execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec\text{-}normalize\text{-}to\text{-}exec\text{:}
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   from exec-to-execn [OF exec] obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t...
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by (rule execn-normalize-to-execn)
  thus ?thesis
     by (rule\ execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-normalize-iff-exec:
 \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-normalize exec-normalize-to-exec)
```

## **6.4** Lemmas about $c_1 \subseteq_g c_2$

```
lemma execn-to-execn-subseteq-guards: \bigwedge c \ s \ t \ n. \llbracket c \subseteq_g c'; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
    \implies \exists t'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c', s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
            (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land (\neg\ isFault\ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof (induct c')
  case Skip thus ?case
    by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD elim: execn-elim-cases)
  case Basic thus ?case
    by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD elim: execn-elim-cases)
  case Spec thus ?case
    by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD elim: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case (Seq c1' c2')
  have c \subseteq_g Seq c1' c2' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Seq [OF this]
  obtain c1 c2 where
    c: c = Seq c1 c2 and
    c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_g c1' and
    c2\text{-}c2': c2\subseteq_g^{\text{-}}c2'
    by blast
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c obtain w where
    exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w and
    exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto elim: execn-elim-cases)
  from exec-c1 Seq.hyps c1-c1'
  obtain w' where
    exec-c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
    w-Fault: isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w' and
    w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
    by blast
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec have t=Abrupt s'
```

```
by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Normal s')
   show ?thesis
   \mathbf{proof} (cases is Fault w)
     {f case}\ True
     then obtain f where w': w=Fault f..
     moreover with exec-c2
     have t: t=Fault f
       by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
     ultimately show ?thesis
       using Normal w-Fault exec-c1'
       by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
   next
     case False
     note noFault-w = this
     show ?thesis
     {f proof}\ ({\it cases}\ is {\it Fault}\ w\,')
       {\bf case}\ {\it True}
       then obtain f' where w': w' = Fault f'...
       with Normal exec-c1'
       have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1'\ c2', s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f'
         by (auto intro: execn.intros)
       then show ?thesis
         by auto
     next
       {f case}\ {\it False}
       with w'-noFault have w': w'=w by simp
       from Seq.hyps exec-c2 c2-c2'
       obtain t' where
         \Gamma \vdash \langle c2', w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
         isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
         \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
         by blast
       with Normal exec-c1' w'
       show ?thesis
         by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
     qed
   qed
 qed
next
 case (Cond b c1' c2')
 have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
 have c \subseteq_g Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2' by fact
 from subseteq-guards-Cond [OF this]
 obtain c1 c2 where
   c: c = Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 and
```

```
c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_g c1' and
   c2-c2': c2 \subseteq_g c2'
   \mathbf{by} blast
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Normal s')
   from exec [simplified c Normal]
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases)
     assume s'-in-b: s' \in b
     assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     with c1-c1' Normal Cond.hyps obtain t' where
       \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
       isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
        \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
       \mathbf{by} blast
      with s'-in-b Normal show ?thesis
       by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
   \mathbf{next}
      assume s'-notin-b: s' \notin b
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      with c2-c2' Normal Cond.hyps obtain t' where
       \Gamma \vdash \langle c2', Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
       isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
       \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
       by blast
      with s'-notin-b Normal show ?thesis
       by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
   qed
  qed
next
```

```
case (While b c')
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have c \subseteq_q While b c' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-While [OF this]
  obtain c'' where
    c: c = While b c'' and
    c^{\prime\prime}-c^{\prime}: c^{\prime\prime} \subseteq_g c^{\prime}
    by blast
    \mathbf{fix}\ c\ r\ w
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
    assume c: c=While \ b \ c''
    have \exists w'. \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c',r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' \land 
                  (isFault\ w \longrightarrow isFault\ w') \land (\neg\ isFault\ w' \longrightarrow w'=w)
    using exec c
    proof (induct)
      case (While True \ r \ b' \ ca \ n \ u \ w)
      have eqs: While b' ca = While b c'' by fact
      from While True have r-in-b: r \in b by simp
      from While True have exec-c'': \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u by simp
      from While.hyps [OF c"-c' exec-c"] obtain u' where
         exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u' and
        u-Fault: isFault \ u \longrightarrow isFault \ u' and
        u'-noFault: \neg isFault u' \longrightarrow u' = u
        by blast
      from While True obtain w' where
         exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c', u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
        w-Fault: isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w' and
        w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
        by blast
      show ?case
      proof (cases isFault u')
        \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
        with exec-c' r-in-b
        show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
      \mathbf{next}
        case False
        with exec-c' r-in-b u'-noFault exec-w w-Fault w'-noFault
        show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    next
      case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
    qed auto
  from this [OF \ exec \ c]
  show ?case.
next
```

```
case Call thus ?case
   by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD elim: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case (DynCom\ C')
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have c \subseteq_g DynCom\ C' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-DynCom [OF this] obtain C where
    c: c = \mathit{DynCom}\ C and
    C-C': \forall s. C s \subseteq_g C' s
   \mathbf{by} blast
  show ?case
  \mathbf{proof}\ (cases\ s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Normal s')
   from exec [simplified c Normal]
   have \Gamma \vdash \langle C \ s', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by cases
   from DynCom.hyps C-C' [rule-format] this obtain t' where
     \Gamma \vdash \langle C' s', Normal s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
     isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t'
     \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
     by blast
    with Normal show ?thesis
     by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Guard f' g' c')
 have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
 have c \subseteq_g Guard f' g' c' by fact
  hence subset-cases: (c \subseteq_g c') \lor (\exists c''. c = Guard f' g' c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_g c'))
   by (rule subseteq-guards-Guard)
  show ?case
```

```
proof (cases\ s)
 case (Fault f)
 with exec have t=Fault f
   by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
 with Fault show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case Stuck
 with exec have t=Stuck
    by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
 with Stuck show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Abrupt s')
 with exec have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
\mathbf{next}
 case (Normal s')
 from subset-cases show ?thesis
 proof
    assume c-c': c \subseteq_g c'
    from Guard.hyps [OF this exec] Normal obtain t' where
      exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
      t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
     \textit{t-noFault:} \neg \textit{isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t
     by blast
    with Normal
   show ?thesis
     by (cases s' \in g') (fastforce intro: execn.intros)+
    assume \exists c''. c = Guard f' g' c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_q c')
    then obtain c'' where
     c: c = Guard f' g' c'' and
     c''-c': c'' \subseteq_q c'
     \mathbf{by} blast
    from c exec Normal
    have exec-Guard': \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f' \ g' \ c'', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by simp
    thus ?thesis
    proof (cases)
     assume s'-in-g': s' \in g'
     assume exec \cdot c'': \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     from Guard.hyps [OF c''-c' exec-c''] obtain t' where
        exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
        t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
        t-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        \mathbf{by} blast
```

```
with Normal s'-in-g'
       show ?thesis
         by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
       assume s' \notin g' t=Fault f'
       with Normal show ?thesis
         by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
   \mathbf{qed}
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case Throw thus ?case
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{fastforce}\ \mathit{dest}\colon \mathit{subseteq}\text{-}\mathit{guards} D\ \mathit{intro}\colon \mathit{execn}.\mathit{intros}
         elim: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case (Catch c1' c2')
  have c \subseteq_g Catch \ c1' \ c2' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Catch [OF this]
  obtain c1 c2 where
    c: c = Catch \ c1 \ c2 \ and
    c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_g c1' and
    c2-c2': c2 \subseteq_g c2'
    \mathbf{by} blast
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case (Normal\ s')
    from exec [simplified c Normal]
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases)
     \mathbf{fix} \ w
```

```
assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
      assume exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      from Normal exec-c1 c1-c1' Catch.hyps obtain w' where
        exec-c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
        w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = Abrupt w
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault w')
        {\bf case}\ {\it True}
        with exec-c1' Normal show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
      \mathbf{next}
        case False
        with w'-noFault have w': w'=Abrupt w by simp
        from Normal exec-c2 c2-c2' Catch.hyps obtain t' where
          \Gamma \vdash \langle c2', Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
          isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
          \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
          by blast
        with exec-c1' w' Normal
        show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    next
      assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      assume t: \neg isAbr t
      from Normal exec-c1 c1-c1' Catch.hyps obtain t' where
        exec-c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
        t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
        t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases is Fault t')
        case True
        with exec-c1' Normal show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
      \mathbf{next}
        case False
        with exec-c1' Normal t-Fault t'-noFault t
        show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    qed
 qed
qed
{f lemma}\ exec	ext{-}to	ext{-}exec	ext{-}subseteq	ext{-}guards:
 assumes c-c': c \subseteq_g c'
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
shows \exists t'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c', s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land (isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t') \land (\neg \ isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof -
from exec-to-execn [OF exec] obtain n where
\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t ..
from execn-to-execn-subseteq-guards [OF c-c' this]
show ?thesis
by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
```

## **6.5** Lemmas about merge-guards

```
theorem execn-to-execn-merge-guards:
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
shows \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-c
proof (induct)
  case (Guard \ s \ q \ c \ n \ t \ f)
  have s-in-g: s \in g by fact
 have exec-merge-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \exists f' \ g' \ c'. merge-guards c = Guard \ f' \ g' \ c')
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   with exec-merge-c s-in-g
    show ?thesis
      by (cases merge-guards c) (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: Let-def)
  next
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    then obtain f'g'c' where
      merge-guards-c: merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c'
     by iprover
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases f=f')
     case False
      {\bf from}\ exec\text{-}merge\text{-}c\ s\text{-}in\text{-}g\ merge\text{-}guards\text{-}c\ False\ {\bf show}\ ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: Let-def)
    \mathbf{next}
      case True
     from exec-merge-c s-in-g merge-guards-c True show ?thesis
        by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: execn.cases)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (GuardFault\ s\ g\ f\ c\ n)
  have s-notin-g: s \notin g by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \exists f' g' c'. merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c')
    case False
    with s-notin-q
```

```
show ?thesis
      by (cases merge-guards c) (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: Let-def)
  next
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    then obtain f'g'c' where
      \textit{merge-guards-c: merge-guards } c = \textit{Guard } \textit{f'} \textit{g'} \textit{c'}
      by iprover
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases f = f')
      {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
      from s-notin-g merge-guards-c False show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: Let-def)
    \mathbf{next}
      case True
      from s-notin-g merge-guards-c True show ?thesis
        by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
qed (fastforce intro: execn.intros)+
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn-merge-guards-to-execn-Normal:
  \land s \ n \ t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct \ c)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case Basic thus ?case by auto
next
  case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
 hence exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ (merge-guards \ c1) \ (merge-guards \ c2), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow
t
    by simp
  then obtain s' where
    exec-merge-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}quards \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' and
    exec-merge-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c2,s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by cases
  from exec-merge-c1
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
    by (rule Seq.hyps)
  show ?case
  proof (cases s')
    case (Normal s'')
    with exec-merge-c2
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: Seq.hyps)
    with exec-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
```

```
next
   case (Abrupt s'')
   with exec-merge-c2 have t=Abrupt s''
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with exec-c1 Abrupt
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec-merge-c2 have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   \mathbf{with}\ \mathit{exec\text{-}c1}\ \mathit{Fault}
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec-merge-c2 have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   \mathbf{with}\ \mathit{exec\text{-}c1}\ \mathit{Stuck}
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case Cond thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case (While b c)
  {
   fix c' r w
   assume exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
   assume c': c'= While b (merge-guards c)
   have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
     using exec-c' c'
   proof (induct)
     case (WhileTrue r b' c'' n u w)
     have eqs: While b' c'' = While b (merge-quards c) by fact
     from While True
     have r-in-b: r \in b
       by simp
     from While True While hyps have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
     from While True have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
       by simp
     {f from} \ r-in-b exec-c exec-w
     show ?case
       by (rule execn. While True)
     case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn. WhileFalse)
   qed auto
```

```
with While.prems show ?case
   by (auto)
 case Call thus ?case by simp
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case (Guard f g c)
 have exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases \ s \in g)
   case False
   with exec-merge have t=Fault f
     by (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases
       simp add: Let-def is-Guard-def)
   with False show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case True
   note s-in-g = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases \exists f' g' c'. merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c')
     {f case}\ {\it False}
     then
     have merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
       by (cases merge-guards c) (auto simp add: Let-def)
     with exec-merge s-in-g
     obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
     from Guard.hyps [OF this] s-in-g
     show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   next
     case True
     then obtain f'g'c' where
       merge-guards-c: merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c'
       by iprover
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases f = f')
       case False
       with merge-guards-c
      have merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
         by (simp add: Let-def)
       with exec-merge s-in-g
       obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
         by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
       from Guard.hyps [OF this] s-in-g
```

```
show ?thesis
          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      next
       case True
       note f-eq-f' = this
       with merge-guards-c have
          merge-guards-Guard: merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f (g \cap g') c'
          by simp
       show ?thesis
        proof (cases s \in g')
          {f case} True
          with exec-merge merge-guards-Guard merge-guards-c s-in-g
          have \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
           by (auto intro: execn.intros elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
          with Guard.hyps [OF this] s-in-g
          show ?thesis
           by (auto intro: execn.intros)
       next
          {f case} False
          with exec-merge merge-guards-Guard
          have t=Fault f
           by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
          \mathbf{with}\ \mathit{merge-guards-c}\ \mathit{f-eq-f'}\ \mathit{False}
          have \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
           by (auto intro: execn.intros)
          from Guard.hyps [OF this] s-in-g
          show ?thesis
           by (auto intro: execn.intros)
       qed
     qed
   qed
  qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Catch c1 c2)
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{ by } fact
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ (merge-guards \ c1) \ (merge-guards \ c2), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \ by
simp
  thus ?case
   by cases (auto intro: execn.intros Catch.hyps)
qed
theorem execn-merge-guards-to-execn:
 \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
apply (cases\ s)
apply
           (fastforce intro: execn-merge-guards-to-execn-Normal)
apply (fastforce dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
apply (fastforce dest: execn-Fault-end)
```

```
apply (fastforce dest: execn-Stuck-end)
done
corollary execn-iff-execn-merge-guards:
\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 by (blast intro: execn-merge-guards-to-execn execn-to-execn-merge-guards)
theorem exec-iff-exec-merge-guards:
 \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  by (blast dest: exec-to-execn intro: execn-to-exec
             intro: execn-to-execn-merge-guards
                     execn-merge-guards-to-execn)
corollary exec-to-exec-merge-guards:
\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}quards \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  by (rule iffD1 [OF exec-iff-exec-merge-guards])
corollary exec-merge-guards-to-exec:
\Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 by (rule iffD2 [OF exec-iff-exec-merge-guards])
6.6
         Lemmas about mark-guards
lemma execn-to-execn-mark-guards:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-c t-not-Fault [simplified not-isFault-iff]
by (induct) (auto intro: execn.intros dest: noFaultn-startD')
{f lemma}\ execn-to-execn-mark-guards-Fault:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 shows \land f. \llbracket t = Fault \ f \rrbracket \implies \exists f'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle mark - guards \ x \ c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
using exec-c
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
  case Guard thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  case FaultProp thus ?case by auto
next
case Basic thus ?case by auto
next
case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
 case SpecStuck thus ?case by auto
next
```

```
case (Seq c1 \ s \ n \ w \ c2 \ t)
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
  have exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t=Fault f by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w)
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-c2 t have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault Seq.hyps obtain f'' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{mark\text{-}\mathit{guards}} \ \mathit{x} \ \mathit{c1} \, , \mathit{Normal} \ \mathit{s} \rangle \, = \! \mathit{n} \! \Rightarrow \, \mathit{Fault} \ \mathit{f''}
      by auto
    moreover have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c2, Fault \ f'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f''
      by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    case (Normal s')
    with execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c1]
    have exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
    with Seq.hyps\ t obtain f' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle mark \text{-}guards \ x \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
      by blast
    with exec-mark-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c1]
    have exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
    with Seq.hyps\ t obtain f' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    with exec-mark-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-c2 have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with t show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  case (While True s b c n w t)
  have exec\text{-}c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
```

```
have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t = Fault f by fact
  have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w)
   case (Fault f')
   with exec-w \ t have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault WhileTrue.hyps obtain f" where
     \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f''
     by auto
   moreover have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ (While \ b \ c), Fault \ f'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f''
     by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis
      using s-in-b by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case (Normal s')
   with execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c]
   have exec-mark-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
   with WhileTrue.hyps t obtain f' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ (While \ b \ c), w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
    with exec-mark-c s-in-b show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c]
   have exec-mark-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
     by simp
   with While True. hyps t obtain f' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle mark \text{-} guards \ x \ (While \ b \ c), w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    with exec-mark-c s-in-b show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec-w have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with t show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Call thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by simp
next
  case StuckProp thus ?case by simp
```

```
next
  case DynCom thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by simp
next
  case (CatchMatch\ c1\ s\ n\ w\ c2\ t)
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w by fact
  have exec - c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t = Fault f by fact
  from execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c1]
  have exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
    by simp
  with CatchMatch.hyps t obtain f' where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
  with exec-mark-c1 show ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  {\bf case}\ {\it CatchMiss}\ {\bf thus}\ {\it ?case}\ {\bf by}\ ({\it fastforce\ intro:\ execn.intros})
qed
lemma execn-mark-guards-to-execn:
  \bigwedge s \ n \ t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \implies \exists t'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
              (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
              (t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
              (isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t) \land
              (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
next
  case Basic thus ?case by auto
  case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Seq c1 c2 s n t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}quards \ f \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain w where
     exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w \text{ and }
    exec-mark-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto elim: execn-elim-cases)
  from Seq.hyps exec-mark-c1
  obtain w' where
     exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
    w-Fault: isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w' and
    w'-Fault-f: w' = Fault f \longrightarrow w' = w and
    w'-Fault: isFault \ w' \longrightarrow isFault \ w and
```

```
w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
 by blast
show ?case
proof (cases s)
 case (Fault f)
 with exec-mark have t=Fault f
   by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
 with Fault show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case Stuck
 with exec-mark have t=Stuck
   by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
 with Stuck show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Abrupt s')
 with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Normal s')
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases isFault w)
   {\bf case}\ {\it True}
   then obtain f where w': w=Fault f...
   moreover with exec-mark-c2
   have t: t=Fault f
    by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   ultimately show ?thesis
    using Normal w-Fault w'-Fault-f exec-c1
    by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
 next
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   note noFault-w = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases isFault w')
    case True
    then obtain f' where w': w'=Fault f'..
    with Normal exec-c1
    have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    from w'-Fault-f w' noFault-w
    have f' \neq f
      by (cases w) auto
    moreover
    from w'w'-Fault exec-mark-c2 have isFault t
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end elim: isFaultE)
```

```
ultimately
       show ?thesis
         using exec
         by auto
     next
       {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
       with w'-noFault have w': w'=w by simp
       from Seq.hyps exec-mark-c2
       obtain t' where
         \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
         isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
         t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
         isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t\ {f and}
         \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
         by blast
       with Normal exec-c1 w'
       show ?thesis
         by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
     qed
   qed
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ s \ n \ t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \ s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec-mark have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec-mark have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Normal\ s')
   \mathbf{show}~? the sis
   proof (cases s' \in b)
     {f case}\ {\it True}
     with Normal exec-mark
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1 \ , Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      with Normal True Cond.hyps obtain t'
        where \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
            isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
            t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t
            isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t
            \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with Normal True
      show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
    \mathbf{next}
      case False
      with Normal exec-mark
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \ , Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      with Normal False Cond.hyps obtain t'
        where \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
            isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t'
            t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t'=t
            isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t
            \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with Normal False
      show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (While b \ c \ s \ n \ t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (While \ b \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases\ s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-mark have t=Fault\ f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    {f case}\ Stuck
    with exec-mark have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
```

```
with Abrupt show ?thesis
    by auto
next
  case (Normal s')
  {
    fix c' r w
    assume exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
    assume c': c'= While b (mark-guards f c)
    have \exists w'. \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w')
                   (w' = Fault \ f \longrightarrow w' = w) \land (isFault \ w' \longrightarrow isFault \ w) \land
                   (\neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w'=w)
      using exec-c' c'
    proof (induct)
      case (While True r b' c'' n u w)
      have eqs: While b' c'' = While b (mark-quards f c) by fact
      from While True.hyps eqs
      have r-in-b: r \in b by simp
      from While True.hyps eqs
      have exec-mark-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u \ \text{by } simp
      from While True. hyps eqs
      have exec-mark-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ (mark-guards\ f\ c), u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
         by simp
      show ?case
      proof -
         from While True.hyps eqs have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
           by simp
         with While.hyps
         obtain u' where
           exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u' and
           u-Fault: isFault \ u \longrightarrow isFault \ u' and
           u'-Fault-f: u' = Fault f \longrightarrow u' = u and
           u'-Fault: isFault\ u' \longrightarrow isFault\ u and
           u'-noFault: \neg isFault u' \longrightarrow u' = u
           by blast
         show ?thesis
         proof (cases isFault u')
           case False
           with u'-noFault have u': u'=u by simp
           from While True.hyps eqs obtain w' where
             \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w'
             isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w'
             w' = Fault f \longrightarrow w' = w
             isFault \ w' \longrightarrow isFault \ w
             \neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w' = w
             by blast
           with u' exec-c r-in-b
           show ?thesis
             by (blast intro: execn. While True)
         next
```

```
case True
       then obtain f' where u': u' = Fault f'...
       with exec-c r-in-b
       have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f'
         by (blast intro: execn.intros)
       from True u'-Fault have isFault u
         by simp
       then obtain f where u: u=Fault f..
       with exec-mark-w have w=Fault f
         by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
       with exec u' u u'-Fault-f
       show ?thesis
         by auto
     qed
   qed
  next
   case (WhileFalse r b' c'' n)
   have eqs: While b' c'' = While b (mark-guards f c) by fact
   from WhileFalse.hyps eqs
   have r-not-in-b: r \notin b by simp
   show ?case
   proof -
     from r-not-in-b
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ r
       by (rule execn. WhileFalse)
     thus ?thesis
       by blast
   qed
 qed auto
} note hyp-while = this
show ?thesis
proof (cases \ s' \in b)
 {f case}\ {\it False}
  with Normal exec-mark
 have t=s
   by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  with Normal False show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case True note s'-in-b = this
  with Normal exec-mark obtain r where
    exec-mark-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow r \ \text{and}
    exec-mark-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ (mark-guards\ f\ c), r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  from While.hyps exec-mark-c obtain r' where
    exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow r' and
    r-Fault: isFault \ r \longrightarrow isFault \ r' and
    r'-Fault-f: r' = Fault f \longrightarrow r' = r and
    r'-Fault: isFault \ r' \longrightarrow isFault \ r and
```

```
r'-noFault: \neg isFault r' \longrightarrow r' = r
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault r')
        case False
        with r'-noFault have r': r'=r by simp
        {f from}\ hyp\text{-}while\ exec\text{-}mark\text{-}w
        obtain t' where
          \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
          isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
          t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t
          isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t
          \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
          \mathbf{by} blast
        with r' exec-c Normal s'-in-b
        show ?thesis
          by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      next
        \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
        then obtain f' where r': r' = Fault f'...
        hence \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
          by auto
        with Normal s'-in-b exec-c
        have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
        from True r'-Fault
        have isFault r
          by simp
        then obtain f where r: r=Fault f..
        with exec-mark-w have t=Fault f
          by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
        with Normal exec r' r r'-Fault-f
        show ?thesis
          by auto
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case Call thus ?case by auto
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
    by (fastforce elim!: execn-elim-cases intro: execn.intros)
  case (Guard f' g c s n t)
 have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Guard \ f' \ g \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-mark have t=Fault f
```

```
by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-mark have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case (Normal s')
    \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
    proof (cases s' \in g)
     case False
      with Normal exec-mark have t: t=Fault f
       by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      from False
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle \textit{Guard } f' \textit{ g } c, \textit{Normal } s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow \textit{Fault } f'
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      with Normal t show ?thesis
       by auto
    next
      case True
      with exec-mark Normal
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      with Guard.hyps obtain t' where
       \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
        isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
        t' = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t' = t \ and
        isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t and
        \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with Normal True
     show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
    qed
 qed
\mathbf{next}
  case Throw thus ?case by auto
  case (Catch c1 c2 s n t)
 have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
```

```
show ?case
proof (cases s)
  case (Fault f)
  with exec-mark have t=Fault f
   by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
  with Fault show ?thesis
   by auto
next
  case Stuck
  with exec-mark have t=Stuck
   by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  with Stuck show ?thesis
   by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Abrupt s')
  with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
  with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
  case (Normal s') note s=this
  with exec-mark have
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ (mark-guards \ f \ c1) \ (mark-guards \ f \ c2), Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
  thus ?thesis
  proof (cases)
   \mathbf{fix}\ w
   assume exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
   assume exec-mark-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    from exec-mark-c1 Catch.hyps
    obtain w' where
      exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
      w'-Fault-f: w' = Fault f \longrightarrow w' = Abrupt w and
      w'-Fault: isFault \ w' \longrightarrow isFault \ (Abrupt \ w) and
      w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = Abrupt w
      by fastforce
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases w')
      \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{Fault}\ f')
      with Normal exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      with w'-Fault Fault show ?thesis
        by auto
    next
      case Stuck
      with w'-noFault have False
        by simp
      thus ?thesis ..
    next
      case (Normal w'')
```

```
next
         case (Abrupt w'')
         with w'-noFault have w'': w''=w by simp
         from exec-mark-c2 Catch.hyps
         obtain t' where
           \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
           isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t'
           t^{\,\prime} = \mathit{Fault} \; f \, \longrightarrow \, t^{\,\prime} \!\! = \!\! t
           isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t
           \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
           by blast
         with w'' Abrupt s exec-c1
         \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
           by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    next
      assume t: \neg isAbr t
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       with Catch.hyps
       obtain t' where
         exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
         t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
         t'-Fault-f: t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
         t'-Fault: isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t and
         t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
       show ?thesis
       proof (cases isFault t')
         \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
         then obtain f' where t': t' = Fault f'...
         with exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
           by (auto intro: execn.intros)
         with t'-Fault-f t'-Fault t's show ?thesis
           by auto
       next
         case False
         with t'-noFault have t'=t by simp
         with t exec-c1 s show ?thesis
           by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      \mathbf{qed}
    qed
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{exec-to-exec-mark-guards}\colon
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

with w'-noFault have False by simp thus ?thesis ...

```
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-c] obtain n where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \dots
  from execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF this t-not-Fault]
  show ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
{f lemma}\ exec-to-exec-mark-guards-Fault:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
 shows \exists f'. \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-c] obtain n where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f ...
  \mathbf{from}\ execn-to\text{-}execn\text{-}mark\text{-}guards\text{-}Fault\ [OF\ this]
  show ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-mark-guards-to-exec:
  assumes exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land
              (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
              (t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
              (isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t) \land
              (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF\ exec-mark] obtain n where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t ...
  from execn-mark-guards-to-execn [OF this]
  show ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
6.7
          Lemmas about strip-guards
lemma execn-to-execn-strip-quards:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-c t-not-Fault [simplified not-isFault-iff]
by (induct) (auto intro: execn.intros dest: noFaultn-startD')
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn-to\text{-}execn\text{-}strip\text{-}guards\text{-}Fault\text{:}
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 shows \land f. \llbracket t = Fault \ f; \ f \notin F \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
using exec-c
```

```
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
next
  case Guard thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case FaultProp thus ?case by auto
next
 case Basic thus ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
 case SpecStuck thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ n \ w \ c2 \ t)
 have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
 have exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t=Fault f by fact
  have notinF: f \notin F by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w)
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-c2 t have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault notinF Seq.hyps
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by auto
    moreover have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, Fault \ f \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Normal s')
    with execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c1]
    have exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
    with Seq.hyps t notinF
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by blast
    with exec-strip-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c1]
    have exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      bv simp
    with Seq.hyps t notinF
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
```

```
by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    with exec-strip-c1 show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-c2 have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with t show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (While True s b c n w t)
  have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
 have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c,w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
 have t: t = Fault f by fact
  have notinF: f \notin F by fact
  have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w)
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-w \ t have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault notinF WhileTrue.hyps
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
     by auto
    moreover have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (While \ b \ c), Fault \ f \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
     by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using s-in-b by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Normal s')
    with execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c]
    have exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}quards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
     by simp
    with WhileTrue.hyps t notinF
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (While \ b \ c), w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by blast
    with exec-strip-c s-in-b show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c]
    have exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
     bv simp
    with WhileTrue.hyps t notinF
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (While \ b \ c), w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
```

```
by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   with exec-strip-c s-in-b show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec-w have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with t show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Call thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by simp
next
  case StuckProp thus ?case by simp
next
  case DynCom thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
next
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by simp
next
  case (CatchMatch\ c1\ s\ n\ w\ c2\ t)
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w by fact
  have exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t = Fault f by fact
  have notinF: f \notin F by fact
  from execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c1]
  have exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
   by simp
  with CatchMatch.hyps t notinF
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
   by blast
  with exec-strip-c1 show ?case
   by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CatchMiss thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
qed
lemma execn-to-execn-strip-guards':
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
 shows \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (cases t)
  case (Fault f)
  with t-not-Fault exec-c show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: execn-to-execn-strip-guards-Fault)
```

```
qed (insert exec-c, auto intro: execn-to-execn-strip-guards)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{execn-strip-guards-to-execn}:
  \bigwedge s \ n \ t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}quards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \implies \exists t'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
             (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
             (t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
             (\neg isFault\ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case Basic thus ?case by auto
next
  case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Seq c1 c2 s n t)
  have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain w where
    exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w \text{ and }
    exec-strip-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto elim: execn-elim-cases)
  {\bf from}\ Seq.hyps\ exec\text{-}strip\text{-}c1
  obtain w' where
    exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
    w-Fault: isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w' and
    w'-Fault: w' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow w' = w and
    w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
    by blast
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-strip have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-strip have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case (Normal s')
```

```
show ?thesis
    proof (cases isFault w)
      {\bf case}\ {\it True}
      then obtain f where w': w=Fault f..
      moreover with exec-strip-c2
      have t: t=Fault f
        by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using Normal w-Fault w'-Fault exec-c1
        by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
    \mathbf{next}
      case False
      note noFault-w = this
      \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault w')
        \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
        then obtain f' where w': w' = Fault f'...
        with Normal exec-c1
        have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
        from w'-Fault w' noFault-w
        have f' \in F
          by (cases \ w) auto
        with exec
        show ?thesis
          by auto
      next
        case False
        with w'-noFault have w': w'=w by simp
        {\bf from}\ Seq.hyps\ exec\text{-}strip\text{-}c2
        obtain t' where
          \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
          isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
          t' \in \mathit{Fault} \ `\ (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t \ \mathbf{and}
          \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
          by blast
        with Normal exec-c1 w'
        show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    qed
 qed
\mathbf{next}
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ s \ n \ t)
 have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
 proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
```

```
with exec-strip have t=Fault f
   by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
 with Fault show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 {f case}\ Stuck
 with exec-strip have t=Stuck
    by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
 with Stuck show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Abrupt s')
 with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Normal s')
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases s' \in b)
   {f case}\ {\it True}
    with Normal exec-strip
   have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1 \ , Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
    with Normal True Cond.hyps obtain t'
     where \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
          isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t'
          t' \in Fault \ `(-F) \longrightarrow t' = t
          \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
     by blast
    with Normal True
    show ?thesis
     by (blast intro: execn.intros)
 next
    {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   with Normal exec-strip
   have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \ , Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
    with Normal False Cond.hyps obtain t'
     where \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
          isFault\ t\ \longrightarrow\ isFault\ t'
          t' \in Fault \ `(-F) \longrightarrow t' = t
          \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
     by blast
    with Normal False
   show ?thesis
     by (blast intro: execn.intros)
 \mathbf{qed}
qed
```

```
next
      case (While b \ c \ s \ n \ t)
     have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards\ F\ (While\ b\ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
     show ?case
      proof (cases s)
            case (Fault f)
            with exec\text{-}strip have t=Fault f
                   by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
             with Fault show ?thesis
                   by auto
      next
            case Stuck
            with exec-strip have t=Stuck
                  by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
            with Stuck show ?thesis
                  by auto
      next
            case (Abrupt s')
            with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
                  by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
            with Abrupt show ?thesis
                  by auto
       next
            case (Normal s')
             {
                  \mathbf{fix}\ c^{\,\prime}\ r\ w
                  assume exec - c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
                  assume c': c'= While b (strip-guards F c)
                   have \exists w'. \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isF
                                                           (w' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow w' = w) \land
                                                           (\neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w'=w)
                         using exec-c' c'
                   proof (induct)
                         case (WhileTrue r b' c'' n u w)
                        have eqs: While b' c'' = While b (strip-guards F c) by fact
                         from WhileTrue.hyps eqs
                        have r-in-b: r \in b by simp
                         from WhileTrue.hyps eqs
                         have exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u \ \text{by} \ simp
                         from While True. hyps eqs
                        have exec-strip-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ (strip-guards\ F\ c), u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
                               by simp
                         show ?case
                         proof -
                               from While True.hyps eqs have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c, Normal\ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
                                     by simp
                               with While.hyps
                               obtain u' where
                                      exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u' and
```

```
u-Fault: isFault \ u \longrightarrow isFault \ u' and
     u'-Fault: u' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow u' = u and
     u'\text{-}noFault\colon \neg\ isFault\ u'\longrightarrow u'\!\!=\!\!u
     by blast
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases isFault u')
     case False
     with u'-noFault have u': u'=u by simp
     from WhileTrue.hyps eqs obtain w' where
       \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w'
       isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w'
       w' \in Fault `(-F) \longrightarrow w' = w
       \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
       by blast
     with u' exec-c r-in-b
     show ?thesis
       by (blast intro: execn. While True)
   \mathbf{next}
     case True
     then obtain f' where u': u' = Fault f'...
     with exec-c r-in-b
     have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
       by (blast intro: execn.intros)
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases isFault u)
       {\bf case}\ {\it True}
       then obtain f where u: u=Fault f..
       with exec-strip-w have w=Fault f
         by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
       with exec u' u u'-Fault
       show ?thesis
         by auto
     \mathbf{next}
       {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
       with u'-Fault u' have f' \in F
         by (cases u) auto
       with exec show ?thesis
         by auto
     qed
   qed
 qed
next
 case (WhileFalse r b' c'' n)
 have eqs: While b' c'' = While b (strip-guards F c) by fact
 from WhileFalse.hyps eqs
 have r-not-in-b: r \notin b by simp
 show ?case
 proof -
   from r-not-in-b
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ r
        by (rule execn. WhileFalse)
      thus ?thesis
        by blast
    qed
  qed auto
} note hyp-while = this
show ?thesis
proof (cases s' \in b)
  {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
  with Normal exec-strip
  have t=s
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  with Normal False show ?thesis
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  case True note s'-in-b = this
  with Normal exec-strip obtain r where
    exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow r \ \text{and}
    exec-strip-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ (strip-quards \ F \ c), r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  from While.hyps exec-strip-c obtain r' where
    exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow r' and
    r-Fault: isFault \ r \longrightarrow isFault \ r' and
    r'-Fault: r' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow r' = r and
    r'-noFault: \neg isFault r' \longrightarrow r' = r
    by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases isFault r')
    case False
    with r'-noFault have r': r'=r by simp
    {f from}\ hyp\text{-}while\ exec\text{-}strip\text{-}w
    obtain t' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
      isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t'
      t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t
      \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
      by blast
    with r' exec-c Normal s'-in-b
    show ?thesis
      by (blast intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case True
    then obtain f' where r': r'=Fault f'...
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
      by auto
    with Normal s'-in-b exec-c
    have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
```

```
show ?thesis
      proof (cases is Fault r)
        case True
        then obtain f where r: r=Fault f..
        with exec-strip-w have t=Fault f
          by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
        with Normal exec r' r r'-Fault
        show ?thesis
          by auto
      next
        {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
        with r'-Fault r' have f' \in F
          by (cases \ r) auto
        with Normal exec show ?thesis
          by auto
      qed
     qed
   qed
 qed
next
 case Call thus ?case by auto
next
 case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce elim!: execn-elim-cases intro: execn.intros)
 case (Guard f g c s n t)
 have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{by } fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec-strip have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
 next
   case Stuck
   with exec-strip have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
 \mathbf{next}
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
   case (Normal s')
   show ?thesis
```

```
proof (cases f \in F)
      {\bf case}\ {\it True}
      with exec-strip Normal
      have exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}quards \ F \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by simp
      with Guard.hyps obtain t' where
        \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
        isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
        t' \in Fault \ (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t \text{ and }
        \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with Normal True
      show ?thesis
        by (cases s' \in g) (fastforce intro: execn.intros)+
    \mathbf{next}
      {f case} False
      note f-notin-F = this
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases s' \in g)
        case False
        with Normal exec-strip f-notin-F have t: t=Fault f
           by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
         from False
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
           by (blast intro: execn.intros)
         with False Normal t show ?thesis
           by auto
      next
        \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
        with exec-strip Normal f-notin-F
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
           by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
        with Guard.hyps obtain t' where
          \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \text{ and }
           isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
           t' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t and
           \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
           by blast
         with Normal True
        show ?thesis
           by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    qed
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case Throw thus ?case by auto
  case (Catch c1 c2 s n t)
  have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards\ F\ (Catch\ c1\ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow\ t\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
```

```
show ?case
proof (cases s)
  case (Fault f)
  with exec-strip have t=Fault f
    by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
  with Fault show ?thesis
    by auto
next
  case Stuck
  with exec-strip have t=Stuck
    by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  with Stuck show ?thesis
    by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Abrupt s')
  with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
    by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
  with Abrupt show ?thesis
    by auto
next
  case (Normal s') note s=this
  with exec-strip have
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ (strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1) \ (strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2), Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ simp
  thus ?thesis
  proof (cases)
    \mathbf{fix}\ w
    assume exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}quards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
    assume exec-strip-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}quards \ F \ c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    {f from}\ exec\mbox{-}strip\mbox{-}c1\ Catch.hyps
    obtain w' where
      exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
      w'-Fault: w' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow w' = Abrupt \ w and
      w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w'=Abrupt w
     by blast
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases w')
      case (Fault f')
      with Normal exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      with w'-Fault Fault show ?thesis
        by auto
    next
      case Stuck
      with w'-noFault have False
        \mathbf{by} \ simp
      thus ?thesis ..
      case (Normal w'')
      with w'-noFault have False by simp thus ?thesis ..
```

```
case (Abrupt w'')
        with w'-noFault have w'': w''=w by simp
         from exec-strip-c2 Catch.hyps
         obtain t' where
           \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
           isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t'
           t' \in Fault \ `(-F) \longrightarrow t' = t
           \neg isFault t' \xrightarrow{} t' = t
           by blast
         with w'' Abrupt s exec-c1
         show ?thesis
           by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    next
      assume t: \neg isAbr t
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      with Catch.hyps
      obtain t' where
         exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
         t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
         t'-Fault: t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t and
         t'\text{-}noFault \colon \neg \ \textit{isFault} \ t' \longrightarrow t' \text{=} t
         by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
         case True
         then obtain f' where t': t'=Fault f'...
         with exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
           by (auto intro: execn.intros)
         with t'-Fault t's show ?thesis
           by auto
      next
        {f case}\ {\it False}
         with t'-noFault have t'=t by simp
         with t exec-c1 s show ?thesis
           by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma execn-strip-to-execn:
  assumes exec-strip: strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
                   (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
                   (t' \in \mathit{Fault} \ `\ (-\ F) \ \longrightarrow \ t'\!\!=\!\!t) \ \land
                   (\neg isFault\ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
```

next

```
using exec-strip
proof (induct)
 case Skip thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
 case Guard thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case FaultProp thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
 case Spec thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
 case SpecStuck thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
 case Seq thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
next
 case CondTrue thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case While True thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Call thus ?case
   by simp (blast intro: execn.intros dest: execn-strip-guards-to-execn)
\mathbf{next}
  case CallUndefined thus ?case
   by simp (blast intro: execn.intros)
 case StuckProp thus ?case
   by blast
 case DynCom thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
  case Throw thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (CatchMatch\ c1\ s\ n\ r\ c2\ t)
  then obtain r' t' where
   exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow r' and
   r'-Fault: r' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow r' = Abrupt \ r and
   r'-noFault: \neg isFault r' \longrightarrow r' = Abrupt r and
   exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
   t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
   t'-Fault: t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t and
```

```
t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
    by blast
  show ?case
  proof (cases is Fault r')
    \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
    then obtain f' where r': r' = Fault f'...
    with exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Catch}\ c1\ c2, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \mathit{Fault}\ f'
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    with r' r'-Fault show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case False
    with r'-noFault have r'=Abrupt r by simp
    with exec-c1 exec-c2 t-Fault t'-noFault t'-Fault
    show ?thesis
      by (blast intro: execn.intros)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case CatchMiss thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
qed
lemma exec-strip-guards-to-exec:
  assumes exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land
                (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
                (t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
                (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof -
  from exec-strip obtain n where
    execn-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  then obtain t' where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
    isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t'\ t'\in Fault\ `(-F)\longrightarrow t'=t\ \neg\ isFault\ t'\longrightarrow t'=t
    by (blast dest: execn-strip-guards-to-execn)
  thus ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-strip-to-exec:
  assumes exec-strip: strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land
                (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
                (t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
                (\neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof -
  from exec-strip obtain n where
    execn-strip: strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
```

```
then obtain t' where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
    isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t'\ t'\in Fault\ `(-F)\longrightarrow t'=t\ \lnot\ isFault\ t'\longrightarrow t'=t
    by (blast dest: execn-strip-to-execn)
  thus ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-strip-guards:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from this t-not-Fault
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-strip-guards)
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma exec-to-exec-strip-guards':
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
 shows \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from this t-not-Fault
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-strip-guards')
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma execn-to-execn-strip:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-c t-not-Fault
proof (induct)
  case (Call p bdy s n s')
  have bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
  from Call have strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
  from execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF this] Call
  have strip\ F\ \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards\ F\ bdy, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
```

```
by simp
  moreover from bdy have (strip \ F \ \Gamma) \ p = Some \ (strip-guards \ F \ bdy)
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
  ultimately
 show ?case
   by (blast intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (auto intro: execn. CallUndefined)
qed (auto intro: execn.intros dest: noFaultn-startD' simp add: not-isFault-iff)
lemma execn-to-execn-strip':
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
assumes t-not-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
shows strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-c t-not-Fault
proof (induct)
 case (Call p bdy s n s')
 have bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
 from Call have strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
   by blast
 from execn-to-execn-strip-guards' [OF this] Call
 have strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
  moreover from bdy have (strip \ F \ \Gamma) \ p = Some \ (strip-guards \ F \ bdy)
   by simp
 ultimately
 show ?case
   by (blast intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
 case CallUndefined thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CallUndefined)
next
 case (Seq c1 s n s' c2 t)
 show ?case
 proof (cases isFault s')
   {f case} False
   with Seq show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: not-isFault-iff)
 next
   {f case}\ {\it True}
   then obtain f' where s': s'=Fault f' by (auto simp add: isFault-def)
   with Seq obtain t=Fault f' and f' \notin F
     by (force dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Seq s' show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
 qed
next
 case (While True b \ c \ s \ n \ s' \ t)
 show ?case
 proof (cases isFault s')
```

```
case False
    with WhileTrue show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: not-isFault-iff)
    case True
    then obtain f' where s': s'=Fault f' by (auto simp add: isFault-def)
    with While True obtain t=Fault\ f' and f' \notin F
      by (force dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with WhileTrue s' show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
qed (auto intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec\text{-}to\text{-}exec\text{-}strip\text{:}
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from this t-not-Fault
  have strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-strip)
  thus strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-strip':
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
 shows strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from this t-not-Fault
  have strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-strip')
  thus strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec	ext{-}to	ext{-}exec	ext{-}strip	ext{-}guards	ext{-}Fault:
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
 assumes f-notin-F: f \notin F
 shows\Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from execn-to-execn-strip-guards-Fault [OF this - f-notin-F]
```

```
by simp
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
         Lemmas about c_1 \cap_q c_2
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards\text{-}execn\text{-}Normal\text{-}noFault:
  \bigwedge c \ c2 \ s \ t \ n. \ [(c1 \cap_g c2) = Some \ c; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t; \ \neg \ isFault \ t]
        \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct c1)
  case Skip
  have (Skip \cap_q c2) = Some \ c \ by \ fact
  then obtain c2: c2=Skip and c: c=Skip
    by (simp add: inter-guards-Skip)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have t=Normal s
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  with Skip c2
  show ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Basic\ f)
  have (Basic\ f\ \cap_g\ c2)=Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain c2: c2=Basic\ f and c: c=Basic\ f
    by (simp add: inter-guards-Basic)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have t=Normal\ (f\ s)
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  with Basic c2
  show ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Spec \ r)
  have (Spec \ r \cap_q \ c2) = Some \ c \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain c2: c2=Spec\ r and c: c=Spec\ r
    by (simp add: inter-guards-Spec)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by simp
  from this Spec c2 show ?case
    by (cases) (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Seq a1 a2)
  have noFault: \neg isFault t by fact
  have (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
    c2: c2 = Seq b1 b2 and
    d1: (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 and d2: (a2 \cap_g b2) = Some \ d2 and
```

**have**  $\Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f$ 

```
c: c=Seq \ d1 \ d2
  by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Seq)
have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
with c obtain s' where
  exec-d1: \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \text{ and }
  exec-d2: \Gamma \vdash \langle d2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
show ?case
proof (cases s')
  case (Fault f')
  with exec-d2 have t=Fault f'
    by (auto intro: execn-Fault-end)
  with noFault show ?thesis by simp
next
  case (Normal s'')
  with d1 exec-d1 Seq.hyps
  obtain
    \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s'' \ \text{and} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s''
    by auto
  moreover
  from Normal d2 exec-d2 noFault Seq.hyps
  obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle a2, Normal \ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash \langle b2, Normal \ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by auto
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    using Normal c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Abrupt s'')
 with exec-d2 have t=Abrupt s''
    by (auto simp add: execn-Abrupt-end)
  moreover
  from Abrupt d1 exec-d1 Seq.hyps
  obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'' and \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt
    by auto
  moreover
  obtain
    \Gamma \vdash \langle a2, Abrupt \ s^{\prime\prime} \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s^{\prime\prime} \ \text{and} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle b2, Abrupt \ s^{\prime\prime} \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s^{\prime\prime}
    by auto
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    using Abrupt c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Stuck
  with exec-d2 have t=Stuck
    by (auto simp add: execn-Stuck-end)
  moreover
  from Stuck d1 exec-d1 Seq.hyps
  obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \ and \ \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
```

```
by auto
    moreover
    obtain
      \Gamma \vdash \langle a2, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash \langle b2, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
      by auto
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
      using Stuck c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Cond \ b \ t1 \ e1)
  have noFault: \neg isFault t by fact
  have (Cond b t1 e1 \cap_g c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain t2 e2 t3 e3 where
    c2: c2 = Cond \ b \ t2 \ e2 and
    t3: (t1 \cap_q t2) = Some t3 \text{ and }
    e3: (e1 \cap_g e2) = Some \ e3 \text{ and }
    c: c = Cond b t3 e3
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Cond)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ t3 \ e3, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by simp
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume s-in-b: s \in b
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle t3, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with Cond.hyps t3 noFault
    obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle t1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \Gamma \vdash \langle t2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by auto
    with s-in-b c2 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    assume s-notin-b: s \notin b
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle e3, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with Cond.hyps e3 noFault
    obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle e1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \Gamma \vdash \langle e2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by auto
    with s-notin-b c2 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (While b \ bdy1)
  have noFault: \neg isFault t by fact
  have (While b bdy1 \cap_g c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2 = While \ b \ bdy2 and
    bdy: (bdy1 \cap_g bdy2) = Some bdy and
    c{:}\ c{=}\,While\ b\ bdy
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-While)
```

```
have exec\-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t \ n \ w \ w1 \ w2
  assume exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle w, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume w: w = While \ b \ bdy
  assume noFault: \neg isFault t
  from exec-w w noFault
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \land 
         \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  proof (induct)
    prefer 10
    case (WhileTrue s b' bdy' n s' s'')
    have eqs: While b' bdy' = While b bdy by fact
    from While True have s-in-b: s \in b by simp
    have noFault-s": \neg isFault s" by fact
    from While True
    have exec\text{-}bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' by simp
    from WhileTrue
    have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ bdy, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'' by simp
    show ?case
    proof (cases s')
       case (Fault f)
       with exec-w have s''=Fault f
         by (auto intro: execn-Fault-end)
       with noFault-s" show ?thesis by simp
    next
       \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{Normal}\ s^{\prime\prime\prime})
       with exec-bdy bdy While.hyps
       obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal\ s'''
               \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s'''
         by auto
       moreover
       {\bf from}\ Normal\ While True
       obtain
         \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
         \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
         by simp
       ultimately show ?thesis
         using s-in-b Normal
         by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    next
       \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{Abrupt}\ s^{\prime\prime\prime})
       with exec-bdy bdy While.hyps
       obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'''
               \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'''
         by auto
       moreover
       {\bf from}\ Abrupt\ While True
       obtain
```

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Abrupt \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
          \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Abrupt \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
          by simp
         ultimately show ?thesis
          using s-in-b Abrupt
          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      next
        case Stuck
        with exec-bdy bdy While.hyps
        obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
                \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
          by auto
        moreover
        {\bf from}\ Stuck\ While True
        obtain
          \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
          \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
          by simp
        ultimately show ?thesis
          using s-in-b Stuck
          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    \mathbf{next}
      case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    \mathbf{qed}\ (simp\text{-}all)
  with this [OF exec-c c noFault] c2
  show ?case
    by auto
next
  case Call thus ?case by (simp add: inter-guards-Call)
  case (DynCom\ f1)
  have noFault: \neg isFault t by fact
  have (DynCom\ f1 \cap_q c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain f2 f where
    c2: c2=DynCom f2 and
    f-defined: \forall s. ((f1 \ s) \cap_g (f2 \ s)) \neq None \ \mathbf{and}
    c: c=DynCom (\lambda s. the ((f1 s) \cap_g (f2 s)))
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-DynCom)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ (\lambda s. \ the \ ((f1\ s) \cap_g \ (f2\ s))), Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ by \ simp
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume exec-f: \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (f1 \ s \cap_g f2 \ s), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    from f-defined obtain f where (f1 s \cap_q f2 s) = Some f
    with DynCom.hyps this exec-f c2 noFault
    show ?thesis
```

```
using execn.DynCom by fastforce
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case Guard thus ?case
    by (fastforce elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases intro: execn.intros
         simp add: inter-guards-Guard)
next
  case Throw thus ?case
    by (fastforce elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases
         simp add: inter-guards-Throw)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Catch a1 a2)
  have noFault: \neg isFault \ t \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have (Catch a1 a2 \cap_q c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
    c2: c2 = Catch \ b1 \ b2 \ \mathbf{and}
    d1: (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 and d2: (a2 \cap_g b2) = Some \ d2 and
    c: c = Catch \ d1 \ d2
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Catch)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ d1 \ d2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ by \ simp
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
    \mathbf{fix}\ s^{\,\prime}
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    with d1 Catch.hyps
    obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \ \text{and} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt
      by auto
    moreover
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle d2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with d2 Catch.hyps noFault
    obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle a2, Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow\ t and \Gamma \vdash \langle b2, Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow\ t
      by auto
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
      using c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    assume \neg isAbr t
    moreover
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with d1 Catch.hyps noFault
    obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by auto
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
      using c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  \mathbf{qed}
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards\text{-}execn\text{-}noFault:
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_q c2) = Some c
  assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assumes noFault: \neg isFault t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (cases s)
  case (Fault f)
  with exec-c have t = Fault f
    by (auto intro: execn-Fault-end)
    with noFault show ?thesis
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
next
  case (Abrupt s')
  with exec-c have t=Abrupt s'
    by (simp add: execn-Abrupt-end)
  with Abrupt show ?thesis by auto
next
  case Stuck
  with exec-c have t=Stuck
    by (simp add: execn-Stuck-end)
  with Stuck show ?thesis by auto
next
  case (Normal s')
  with exec-c noFault inter-guards-execn-Normal-noFault [OF c]
  show ?thesis
    by blast
\mathbf{qed}
{f lemma}\ inter-guards-exec-noFault:
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_g c2) = Some c
  assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assumes noFault: \neg isFault t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from c this noFault
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule inter-guards-execn-noFault)
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto intro: execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
{f lemma}\ inter-guards-execn-Normal-Fault:
  \bigwedge c \ c2 \ s \ n. \ \llbracket (c1 \cap_g c2) = Some \ c; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \rrbracket
         \implies (\Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f)
```

```
proof (induct c1)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Skip)
next
  case (Basic f) thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Basic)
next
  case (Spec \ r) thus ?case by (fastforce \ simp \ add: inter-guards-Spec)
next
  case (Seq a1 a2)
  have (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
    c2: c2 = Seq b1 b2 and
    d1: (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 and d2: (a2 \cap_g b2) = Some \ d2 and
    c: c=Seq \ d1 \ d2
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Seq)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ fact
  with c obtain s' where
    exec-d1: \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \ \mathbf{and}
    exec-d2: \Gamma \vdash \langle d2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  show ?case
  proof (cases s')
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-d2 have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault d1 exec-d1
    \mathbf{have} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{a1} \, , \! \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle = \mathit{n} \Rightarrow \ \mathit{Fault} \ \mathit{f} \ \lor \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{b1} \, , \! \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle = \mathit{n} \Rightarrow \ \mathit{Fault} \ \mathit{f}
      by (auto dest: Seq.hyps)
    thus ?thesis
    proof (cases rule: disjE [consumes 1])
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      hence \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ a1 \ a2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      thus ?thesis
        by simp
    \mathbf{next}
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      hence \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ b1 \ b2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      with c2 show ?thesis
        by simp
    qed
  \mathbf{next}
    case Abrupt with exec-d2 show ?thesis by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
  next
    case Stuck with exec-d2 show ?thesis by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  next
    case (Normal s'')
    with inter-guards-execn-noFault\ [OF\ d1\ exec-d1] obtain
      exec-a1: \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s'' and
```

```
exec-b1: \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s''
      by simp
    \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{from} \ d2 \ exec\text{-}d2 \ Normal
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle a2, Normal \ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle b2, Normal \ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by (auto dest: Seq.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Cond b t1 e1)
  have (Cond b t1 e1 \cap_q c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain t2 e2 t e where
     c2: c2 = Cond \ b \ t2 \ e2 and
    t: (t1 \cap_q t2) = Some \ t \ \mathbf{and}
    e: (e1 \cap_q e2) = Some \ e \ and
    c: c = Cond \ b \ t \ e
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Cond)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ t \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ simp
  thus ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume s \in b
    moreover assume \Gamma \vdash \langle t, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with t have \Gamma \vdash \langle t1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle t2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by (auto dest: Cond.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis using c2 c by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  next
    assume s \notin b
    moreover assume \Gamma \vdash \langle e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with e have \Gamma \vdash \langle e1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle e2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by (auto dest: Cond.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis using c2 c by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (While b bdy1)
  have (While b bdy1 \cap_q c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain bdy2 bdy where
     c2: c2 = While \ b \ bdy2 and
    bdy: (bdy1 \cap_g bdy2) = Some bdy and
    c: c = While \ b \ bdy
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-While)
  have exec\text{-}c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
    fix s t n w w1 w2
    assume exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle w, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume w: w = While b bdy
    assume Fault: t=Fault f
    from exec-w w Fault
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor
```

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    proof (induct)
      case (WhileTrue s b' bdy' n s' s'')
      have eqs: While b' bdy' = While b bdy by fact
      from While True have s-in-b: s \in b by simp
      have Fault-s'': s''=Fault\ f by fact
      {\bf from}\ \mathit{WhileTrue}
      have exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' by simp
      from While True
      have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ bdy,s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'' by simp
      show ?case
      proof (cases s')
        case (Fault f')
        with exec-w Fault-s'' have f'=f
          by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
        with Fault exec-bdy bdy While.hyps
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
          by auto
        with s-in-b show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
      next
        case (Normal s''')
        with inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF bdy exec-bdy]
        obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s'''
               \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s'''
          by auto
        moreover
        from Normal WhileTrue
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor f
              \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
          by simp
        ultimately show ?thesis
          using s-in-b by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
        case (Abrupt s''')
        with exec-w Fault-s" show ?thesis by (fastforce dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
     next
        case Stuck
        with exec-w Fault-s' show ?thesis by (fastforce dest: execn-Stuck-end)
     qed
    next
      case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    qed (simp-all)
  with this [OF exec-c c] c2
  show ?case
    by auto
next
  case Call thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Call)
```

}

```
next
  {f case}\ (DynCom\ f1)
  have (DynCom\ f1 \cap_q c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain f2 where
    c2: c2=DynCom \ f2 and
    F-defined: \forall s. ((f1 \ s) \cap_g (f2 \ s)) \neq None \ \mathbf{and}
    c: c=DynCom (\lambda s. the ((f1 s) \cap_g (f2 s)))
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-DynCom)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ (\lambda s. \ the \ ((f1\ s) \cap_g \ (f2\ s))), Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f
by simp
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume exec-F: \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (f1 \ s \cap_q f2 \ s), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    from F-defined obtain F where (f1 \ s \cap_q f2 \ s) = Some \ F
      by auto
    with DynCom.hyps this exec-F c2
    show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Guard \ m \ g1 \ bdy1)
  have (Guard m g1 bdy1 \cap_g c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain g2 bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2 = Guard m g2 bdy2 and
    bdy: (bdy1 \cap_q bdy2) = Some bdy and
    c: c = Guard \ m \ (g1 \cap g2) \ bdy
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Guard)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ m \ (g1 \cap g2) \ bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    by simp
  thus ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume f-m: Fault <math>f = Fault m
    assume s \notin g1 \cap g2
    hence s \notin g1 \lor s \notin g2
      by blast
    with c2 f-m show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    assume s \in g1 \cap g2
    moreover
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow
Fault f
      by (rule Guard.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using c2
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
```

```
qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Throw)
  case (Catch a1 a2)
  have (Catch a1 a2 \cap_g c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
     c2: c2 = Catch \ b1 \ b2 \ \mathbf{and}
    d1: (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 \ \text{and} \ d2: (a2 \cap_g b2) = Some \ d2 \ \text{and}
    c: c = Catch \ d1 \ d2
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Catch)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ d1 \ d2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ simp
  thus ?case
  proof (cases)
    fix s'
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    from inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF d1 this] obtain
      exec-a1: \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' and
      exec-b1: \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
      by simp
    moreover assume \Gamma \vdash \langle d2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with d2
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle a2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle b2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by (auto dest: Catch.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using c2 by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  next
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with d1 have \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault
      by (auto dest: Catch.hyps)
    with c2 show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  qed
qed
lemma inter-guards-execn-Fault:
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_g c2) = Some c
  assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
proof (cases s)
  case (Fault f)
  with exec-c show ?thesis
    by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
  case (Abrupt s')
  with exec-c show ?thesis
```

```
by (fastforce dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
next
  \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
  with exec-c show ?thesis
    by (fastforce dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  case (Normal s')
  with exec-c inter-guards-execn-Normal-Fault [OF c]
  show ?thesis
    \mathbf{by} blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards\text{-}exec\text{-}Fault:
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_q c2) = Some c
  assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from c this
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
    by (rule inter-guards-execn-Fault)
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto intro: execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
         Restriction of Procedure Environment
6.9
lemma restrict-SomeD: (m|_A) x = Some y \implies m \ x = Some y
  by (auto simp add: restrict-map-def split: if-split-asm)
lemma restrict-dom-same [simp]: m|_{dom\ m} = m
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (clarsimp simp add: restrict-map-def)
  apply (simp only: not-None-eq [symmetric])
  apply rule
  apply (drule sym)
  \mathbf{apply}\ \mathit{blast}
  done
lemma restrict-in-dom: x \in A \Longrightarrow (m|_A) \ x = m \ x
  by (auto simp add: restrict-map-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec\text{-}restrict\text{-}to\text{-}exec\text{:}
  assumes exec-restrict: \Gamma|_A \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assumes notStuck: t \neq Stuck
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
using exec-restrict notStuck
by (induct) (auto intro: exec.intros dest: restrict-SomeD Stuck-end)
lemma execn-restrict-to-execn:
  assumes exec-restrict: \Gamma|_A \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes notStuck: t \neq Stuck
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-restrict notStuck
by (induct) (auto intro: execn.intros dest: restrict-SomeD execn-Stuck-end)
lemma restrict-NoneD: m \ x = None \implies (m|_A) \ x = None
  by (auto simp add: restrict-map-def split: if-split-asm)
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn-to\text{-}execn\text{-}restrict\text{:}
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 shows \exists t'. \Gamma \mid p \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land (t = Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Stuck) \land
               (\forall f. \ t=Fault \ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault \ f, Stuck\}) \land (t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t'=t)
using execn
proof (induct)
  case Skip show ?case by (blast intro: execn.Skip)
next
  case Guard thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.Guard)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.GuardFault)
next
  case FaultProp thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.FaultProp)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.Basic)
\mathbf{next}
  case Spec thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.Spec)
  case SpecStuck thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.SpecStuck)
next
  {\bf case}\ Seq\ {\bf thus}\ ?case\ {\bf by}\ (metis\ insertCI\ execn. Seq\ StuckProp)
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CondTrue)
\mathbf{next}
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CondFalse)
  case While True thus ?case by (metis insertCI execn. While True StuckProp)
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn. WhileFalse)
next
  case (Call p bdy n s s')
  have \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \ p \in P)
   case True
   with Call have (\Gamma|_P) p = Some \ bdy
```

```
by (simp)
    with Call show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    case False
    hence (\Gamma|_P) p = None by simp
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn. Call Undefined)
  qed
next
  case (CallUndefined p n s)
  have \Gamma p = None by fact
 hence (\Gamma|_P) p = None by (rule\ restrict-NoneD)
 thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CallUndefined)
next
  case StuckProp thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.StuckProp)
next
  case DynCom thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.DynCom)
  case Throw thus ?case by (auto intro: execn. Throw)
next
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.AbruptProp)
  case (CatchMatch c1 s n s' c2 s'')
  from CatchMatch.hyps
  obtain t' t'' where
    exec-res-c1: \Gamma|_{P} \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
    t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt s' and
    exec-res-c2: \Gamma|_P \vdash \langle c2, Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'' and
    s''-Stuck: s'' = Stuck \longrightarrow t'' = Stuck and
    s''-Fault: \forall f. \ s'' = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t'' \in \{Fault \ f, \ Stuck\} and
    t^{\prime\prime}-notStuck: t^{\prime\prime} \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t^{\prime\prime} = s^{\prime\prime}
    by auto
  show ?case
  proof (cases t'=Stuck)
    \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
    \mathbf{with}\ \mathit{exec}\text{-}\mathit{res}\text{-}\mathit{c1}
    have \Gamma|_P \vdash \langle Catch\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
      by (auto intro: execn.CatchMiss)
    thus ?thesis
      by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case False
    with t'-notStuck have t'= Abrupt s'
      \mathbf{by} \ simp
    with exec-res-c1 exec-res-c2
    have \Gamma|_P \vdash \langle Catch\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t''
      by (auto intro: execn. CatchMatch)
    with s''-Stuck s''-Fault t''-notStuck
```

```
show ?thesis
      by blast
  qed
next
  case (CatchMiss\ c1\ s\ n\ w\ c2)
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
  from CatchMiss.hyps obtain w' where
    exec-c1': \Gamma|_{P} \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
    w-Stuck: w = Stuck \longrightarrow w' = Stuck and
    w-Fault: \forall f. \ w = Fault \ f \longrightarrow w' \in \{Fault \ f, \ Stuck\} and
    w'-noStuck: w' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow w' = w
    by auto
  have noAbr-w: \neg isAbr w by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w')
    case (Normal s')
    with w'-noStuck have w'=w
      by simp
    with exec-c1' Normal w-Stuck w-Fault w'-noStuck
    show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn. CatchMiss)
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with w'-noStuck have w'=w
      \mathbf{by} \ simp
    with noAbr-w Abrupt show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Fault f)
    with w'-noStuck have w'=w
      by simp
    with exec\text{-}c1' Fault w\text{-}Stuck w\text{-}Fault w'\text{-}noStuck
    show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn.CatchMiss)
  \mathbf{next}
    case Stuck
    with exec-c1' w-Stuck w-Fault w'-noStuck
    show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn. CatchMiss)
  qed
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-restrict:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 shows \exists t'. \ \Gamma|_{P} \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land (t = Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Stuck) \land
                (\forall f. \ t = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault \ f, Stuck\}) \land (t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = t)
proof -
  from exec obtain n where
    execn-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
   from execn-to-execn-restrict [where P=P,OF this]
   obtain t' where
     \Gamma|_{P} \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
     t = Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Stuck \ \forall f. \ t = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault \ f, Stuck\} \ t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = t
   thus ?thesis
     by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma notStuck-GuardD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ m \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \in g \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.Guard)
lemma notStuck-SeqD1:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Seg \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rbrace
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.Seq )
lemma notStuck-SeqD2:
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle
\Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.Seq)
lemma notStuck-SeqD:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
         \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \land (\forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle
\Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\})
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.Seq)
lemma notStuck-CondTrueD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \in b \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CondTrue)
lemma notStuck-CondFalseD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \notin b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CondFalse)
\mathbf{lemma}\ not Stuck\text{-}While True D1:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \in b \rrbracket
    \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Longrightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec. While True)
\mathbf{lemma}\ not Stuck\text{-}While True D2:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'; \ s \in b \rrbracket
    \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle \Longrightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec. While True)
\mathbf{lemma}\ not Stuck\text{-}Call D:
```

```
\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy \rrbracket
   \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec. Call)
lemma notStuck-CallDefinedD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket
   \Longrightarrow \Gamma \ p \neq None
  by (cases \Gamma p)
      (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CallUndefined)
lemma notStuck-DynComD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket
   \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle (c \ s), Normal \ s \rangle \Longrightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.DynCom)
lemma notStuck-CatchD1:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rbrace
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CatchMatch exec.CatchMiss)
lemma notStuck-CatchD2:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \rrbracket
   \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle \Longrightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CatchMatch)
6.10
            Miscellaneous
lemma execn-noguards-no-Fault:
 assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 {\bf assumes}\ noguards\hbox{-}c\hbox{:}\ noguards\ c
 assumes noquards-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noquards (the (\Gamma p))
 assumes s-no-Fault: \neg isFault s
 shows \neg isFault t
  using execn noguards-c s-no-Fault
  proof (induct)
    case (Call p bdy n s t) with noguards-\Gamma show ?case
       apply -
       apply (drule bspec [where x=p])
       apply auto
       done
  qed (auto)
lemma exec-noquards-no-Fault:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes noguards-c: noguards c
 assumes noguards-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noguards (the (\Gamma \ p))
 assumes s-no-Fault: \neg isFault s
 shows \neg isFault t
  using exec noguards-c s-no-Fault
  proof (induct)
```

```
qed auto
lemma execn-nothrows-no-Abrupt:
assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
assumes nothrows-c: nothrows c
\textbf{assumes} \ \textit{nothrows-}\Gamma\text{:}\ \forall\ p\ \in\ \textit{dom}\ \Gamma\text{.}\ \textit{nothrows}\ (\textit{the}\ (\Gamma\ p))
assumes s-no-Abrupt: \neg(isAbr\ s)
shows \neg (isAbr\ t)
 using execn nothrows-c s-no-Abrupt
 proof (induct)
   case (Call p bdy n s t) with nothrows-\Gamma show ?case
     apply -
     apply (drule bspec [where x=p])
     apply auto
     done
 qed (auto)
lemma\ exec-nothrows-no-Abrupt:
assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
assumes nothrows-c: nothrows c
assumes nothrows-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. nothrows (the (\Gamma \ p))
assumes s-no-Abrupt: \neg(isAbr\ s)
shows \neg (isAbr\ t)
 using exec\ nothrows-c\ s-no-Abrupt
 proof (induct)
   case (Call p bdy s t) with nothrows-\Gamma show ?case
     apply -
     apply (drule bspec [where x=p])
     apply auto
     done
 qed (auto)
end
      Hoare Logic for Partial Correctness
theory HoarePartialDef imports Semantic begin
type-synonym ('s,'p) quadruple = ('s \ assn \times 'p \times 's \ assn \times 's \ assn)
7.1
       Validity of Hoare Tuples: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
definition
  valid :: [('s,'p,'f) \ body,'f \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com,'s \ assn,'s \ assn] => bool
```

case (Call p bdy s t) with noguards- $\Gamma$  show ?case

**apply** (*drule bspec* [**where** x=p])

apply -

apply auto

$$(-\models_{'/\_}/$$
 - - -,-  $[61,60,1000, 20, 1000,1000]$   $60)$ 

#### where

$$\Gamma\models_{/F} P\ c\ Q, A \equiv \forall\ s\ t.\ \Gamma\vdash\langle c,s\rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow s \in Normal\ `P \longrightarrow t \notin Fault\ `F \longrightarrow t \in Normal\ `Q \cup Abrupt\ `A$$

#### definition

cvalid::

## where

$$\Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A \equiv (\forall \, (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A$$

### definition

$$nvalid :: [('s,'p,'f) \ body,nat,'f \ set,$$
  $"s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com,'s \ assn,'s \ assn] => bool$   $(-\models ::_{/_{-}}/---,-[61,60,60,1000,\ 20,\ 1000,1000]\ 60)$ 

#### where

 $\Gamma\models n:_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A\equiv\forall\, s\ t.\ \Gamma\vdash \langle\, c,s\,\,\rangle=n\Rightarrow\, t\,\longrightarrow\, s\in \mathit{Normal}\ `P\,\longrightarrow\, t\notin \mathit{Fault}\ `F$ 

$$\longrightarrow t \in \mathit{Normal} \ `Q \cup \mathit{Abrupt} \ `A$$

## definition

cnvalid::

```
 \begin{split} [('s,'p,'f)\ body, ('s,'p)\ quadruple\ set, nat,'f\ set,\\ 's\ assn, ('s,'p,'f)\ com,'s\ assn,'s\ assn] \Rightarrow bool\\ (-,-\models :_{'/\_}/\ -\ -\ -,-\ [61,60,60,60,1000,\ 20,\ 1000,1000]\ 60) \end{split}
```

## where

$$\Gamma,\Theta\models n:_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A\equiv (\forall\,(P,p,Q,A)\in\Theta.\ \Gamma\models n:_{/F}P\ (\mathit{Call}\ p)\ Q,A)\longrightarrow\Gamma\models n:_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A$$

### notation (ASCII)

# 7.2 Properties of Validity

```
lemma valid-iff-nvalid: \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A = (\forall n. \ \Gamma \models_{n:/F} P \ c \ Q, A) apply (simp only: valid-def nvalid-def exec-iff-execn) apply (blast dest: exec-final-notin-to-execn) done
```

```
lemma cnvalid-to-cvalid: (\forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (unfold cvalid-def cnvalid-def valid-iff-nvalid [THEN eq-reflection])
  \mathbf{apply}\ \mathit{fast}
  done
lemma nvalidI:
 Abrupt 'A
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  by (auto simp add: nvalid-def)
lemma validI:
 \llbracket \bigwedge s \ t. \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t; s \in P; \ t \not\in Fault \ `F \rrbracket \implies t \in Normal \ `Q \ \cup \ Abrupt
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  by (auto simp add: valid-def)
lemma cvalidI:
\llbracket \bigwedge s \ t. \ \llbracket \forall \ (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma {\models_{/F}} \ P \ (Call \ p) \ \ Q, \\ A; \Gamma {\vdash} \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t; s \in P; t \not\in Fault
            \implies t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (auto simp add: cvalid-def valid-def)
lemma cvalidD:
 \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A; \forall \ (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A; \Gamma \vdash \langle c,Normal \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \ t;s
\in P; t \notin Fault `F]
  \implies t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
  by (auto simp add: cvalid-def valid-def)
lemma cnvalidI:
 \llbracket \bigwedge s \ t. \ \llbracket \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A;
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle = n \Rightarrow t; s \in P; t \notin Fault \ `F \square
            \implies t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (auto simp add: cnvalid-def nvalid-def)
lemma cnvalidD:
 \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A; \forall \ (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A;
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t; s \in P;
   t\notin Fault ' F
  \implies t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
  by (auto simp add: cnvalid-def nvalid-def)
{f lemma} nvalid-augment-Faults:
  assumes validn:\Gamma\models n:_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A
  assumes F': F \subseteq F'
```

```
shows \Gamma \models n:_{/F'} P \ c \ Q, A
proof (rule nvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume F: t \notin Fault ' F'
  with F' have t \notin Fault ' F
    by blast
  with exec P validn
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    by (auto simp add: nvalid-def)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{valid-augment-Faults}\colon
  assumes validn: \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  assumes F': F \subseteq F'
  shows \Gamma \models_{/F'} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule validI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume F: t \notin Fault ' F'
  with F' have t \notin Fault ' F
    by blast
  with exec P validn
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    by (auto simp add: valid-def)
qed
lemma nvalid-to-nvalid-strip:
  assumes validn:\Gamma\models n:_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A
  assumes F': F' \subseteq -\dot{F}
  shows strip F' \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule nvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume exec-strip: strip F' \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from exec-strip obtain t' where
    exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
    t': t' \in Fault ' (-F') \longrightarrow t' = t \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
    by (blast dest: execn-strip-to-execn)
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases t' \in Fault `F)
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    with t' F F' have False
      by blast
    thus ?thesis ..
```

```
next
    {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
    \mathbf{with}\ \mathit{exec}\ \mathit{P}\ \mathit{validn}
    have *: t' \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by (auto simp add: nvalid-def)
    with t' have t'=t
      by auto
    with * show ?thesis
      \mathbf{by} \ simp
  qed
qed
{f lemma}\ valid-to-valid-strip:
  assumes valid:\Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A assumes F': F' \subseteq -F
  shows strip F' \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
proof (rule validI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume exec-strip: strip F' \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from exec-strip obtain t' where
    exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t' \ \mathbf{and}
    t': t' \in Fault \ (-F') \longrightarrow t' = t \neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t' = t
    by (blast dest: exec-strip-to-exec)
  \mathbf{show}\ t \in Normal\ `Q \cup Abrupt\ `A
  proof (cases t' \in Fault `F)
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    with t' F F' have False
      by blast
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case False
    with exec P valid
    have *: t' \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by (auto simp add: valid-def)
    with t' have t'=t
      by auto
    with * show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
qed
7.3
         The Hoare Rules: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
lemma mono-WeakenContext: A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow
        (\lambda(P, c, Q, A'). (\Gamma, \Theta, F, P, c, Q, A') \in A) x \longrightarrow
        (\lambda(P, c, Q, A'). (\Gamma, \Theta, F, P, c, Q, A') \in B) x
```

```
inductive hoarep::[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,'f \ set,
       {\it 's assn, ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com, \ 's \ assn, 's \ assn] => \ bool}
      ((3-,-/\vdash_{'/-}(-/(-)/-,/-))[60,60,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
   for \Gamma :: ('s, 'p, 'f) body
where
    Skip: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} Q Skip Q,A
\mid Basic: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ f\ s\in Q\}\ (Basic\ f)\ Q,A
\mid \mathit{Spec} \colon \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{ s. \ (\forall \ t. \ (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in Q) \ \land \ (\exists \ t. \ (s,t) \in r) \} \ (\mathit{Spec} \ r) \ \mathit{Q}, A
\mid \mathit{Seq} \colon \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{\big/F} P \ c_1 \ R, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{\big/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
            \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2) \ Q,A
\mid \mathit{Cond} \colon \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \, \cap \, b) \ c_1 \ \mathit{Q}, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \, \cap \, - \, b) \ c_2 \ \mathit{Q}, A \rrbracket
              \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (Cond b c_1 c_2) Q,A
| While: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap b) c P,A
               \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (While \ b \ c) \ (P \cap -b), A
| Guard: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(g\cap P) c Q,A
                \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (g \cap P) (Guard f g c) Q,A
\mid \textit{Guarantee} \colon \llbracket f \in \textit{F} \text{; } \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{\textit{/}F} (g \, \cap \, P) \ \textit{c} \ \textit{Q},A \rrbracket
                      \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
| CallRec:
    [(P,p,Q,A) \in Specs;
      \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \ p \in dom \ \Gamma \land \Gamma, \Theta \cup Specs \vdash_{/F} P \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q, A \ ]
   \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
\mid DynCom:
         \forall\,s\,\in\,P.\ \Gamma,\!\Theta\vdash_{\big/F}P\ (c\ s)\ Q,\!A
         \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (DynCom \ c) \ Q,A
| Throw: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} A \ Throw \ Q,A
\mid \mathit{Catch} \colon \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, R; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ \mathit{Catch} \ c_1 \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
```

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{apply} \ \mathit{blast} \\ \mathbf{done} \end{array}$ 

```
| Conseq: \forall s \in P. \exists P' \ Q' \ A'. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q', A' \land s \in P' \land \ Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
```

```
 \mid \mathit{Asm} \colon \llbracket (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{\big/F} P \ (\mathit{Call} \ p) \ \mathit{Q}, A
```

| ExFalso:  $[\![ \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n: /_F P \ c \ Q, A; \ \neg \ \Gamma \models /_F P \ c \ Q, A]\!] \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash /_F P \ c \ Q, A$ — This is a hack rule that enables us to derive completeness for an arbitrary context  $\Theta$ , from completeness for an empty context.

Does not work, because of rule ExFalso, the context  $\Theta$  is to blame. A weaker version with empty context can be derived from soundness and completeness later on.

```
lemma hoare-strip-\Gamma:
 assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P p Q,A
 shows strip (-F) \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P p Q, A
using deriv
proof induct
 case Skip thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Skip)
next
 case Basic thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Basic)
next
 case Spec thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Spec)
next
 case Seq thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Seq)
next
 case Cond thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Cond)
 case While thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep. While)
\mathbf{next}
 case Guard thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Guard)
next
 case DynCom
 thus ?case
   \mathbf{by} - (rule\ hoarep.DynCom, best\ elim!:\ ballE\ exE)
next
 case Throw thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep. Throw)
next
 case Catch thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Catch)
next
 case Asm thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Asm)
next
 case ExFalso
```

```
thus ?case
    oops
lemma hoare-augment-context:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P p Q,A shows \wedge\Theta'. \Theta\subseteq\Theta'\Longrightarrow\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P p Q,A
using deriv
proof (induct)
  {f case}\ {\it CallRec}
  case (CallRec P p Q A Specs \Theta F \Theta')
  {f from}\ CallRec.prems
  have \Theta \cup Specs
        \subseteq \Theta' \cup Specs
    by blast
  with CallRec.hyps (2)
  have \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. p \in dom \ \Gamma \land \Gamma,\Theta' \cup Specs \vdash_{/F} P \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A
    by fastforce
  with CallRec show ?case by - (rule hoarep.CallRec)
  case DynCom thus ?case by (blast intro: hoarep.DynCom)
next
  case (Conseq P \Theta F c Q A \Theta')
  from Conseq
  have \forall s \in P.
          (\exists P' \ Q' \ A'. \ \Gamma, \Theta' \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q', A' \land s \in P' \land Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A)
    by blast
  with Conseq show ?case by - (rule hoarep.Conseq)
next
  case (ExFalso\ \Theta\ F\ P\ c\ Q\ A\ \Theta')
  have \mathit{valid\text{-}ctxt}: \forall \, n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A \ \Theta \subseteq \Theta' \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{fact} +
  hence \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta' \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    by (simp add: cnvalid-def) blast
  moreover have invalid: \neg \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A by fact
  ultimately show ?case
    by (rule hoarep.ExFalso)
qed (blast intro: hoarep.intros)+
         Some Derived Rules
7.4
lemma Conseq': \forall s. \ s \in P \longrightarrow
              (\exists P' \ Q' \ A'.
                (\forall \ Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P^{\,\prime}\,Z) \ c \ (Q^{\,\prime}\,Z),(A^{\,\prime}\,Z)) \ \land \\
                      (\exists Z. \ s' \in P' \ Z \land (Q' \ Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' \ Z \subseteq A)))
            \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule Conseq)
apply (rule ballI)
```

```
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply (clarify)
apply (rule-tac \ x=P'\ Z \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)
apply (rule-tac x=Q'Z in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=A'Z in exI)
apply blast
done
lemma conseq: \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'\ Z) \ c \ (Q'\ Z),(A'\ Z);
                \forall s. \ s \in P \longrightarrow (\exists \ Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \land (Q' \ Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' \ Z \subseteq A))]
                \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (rule Conseq) blast
theorem conseqPrePost [trans]:
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P'\ c\ Q',A'\Longrightarrow P\subseteq P'\Longrightarrow\ Q'\subseteq Q\Longrightarrow A'\subseteq A\Longrightarrow\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A
  by (rule conseq [where ?P'=\lambda Z. P' and ?Q'=\lambda Z. Q']) auto
lemma conseqPre [trans]: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P' c Q,A\Longrightarrow P\subseteq P'\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
by (rule conseq) auto
lemma conseqPost [trans]: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q',A'\Longrightarrow Q'\subseteq Q\Longrightarrow A'\subseteq A
 \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (rule conseq) auto
lemma CallRec':
  [p \in Procs; Procs \subseteq dom \ \Gamma;]
   \forall p \in Procs.
    \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup p \in Procs. \bigcup Z. \{((P \ p \ Z),p,Q \ p \ Z,A \ p \ Z)\})
         \vdash_{/F} (P \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)]
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\ p\ Z)\ (Call\ p)\ (Q\ p\ Z),(A\ p\ Z)
apply (rule CallRec [where Specs = \bigcup p \in Procs. \bigcup Z. \{((P p Z), p, Q p Z, A p Z)\}])
apply blast
apply blast
done
end
```

## 8 Properties of Partial Correctness Hoare Logic

theory HoarePartialProps imports HoarePartialDef begin

## 8.1 Soundness

```
lemma hoare-cnvalid: assumes hoare: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
```

```
shows \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
using hoare
proof (induct)
  case (Skip \Theta F P A)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P Skip P,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ s \in P
    thus t \in Normal 'P \cup Abrupt 'A
      by cases auto
  qed
next
  case (Basic \Theta F f P A)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} \{s.\ f\ s\in P\}\ (Basic\ f)\ P,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ f, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t\ s \in \{s.\ f\ s \in P\}
    thus t \in Normal 'P \cup Abrupt 'A
       by cases auto
  qed
next
  case (Spec \Theta F r Q A)
  \mathbf{show}\ \Gamma,\Theta\models n:_{/F}\{s.\ (\forall\ t.\ (s,\ t)\in\ r\longrightarrow t\in\ Q)\ \land\ (\exists\ t.\ (s,\ t)\in\ r)\}\ \mathit{Spec}\ r\ \mathit{Q},A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s, t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\exists t. \ (s, t) \in r)\}
    from exec P
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
       \mathbf{by}\ cases\ auto
  qed
  case (Seq \Theta F P c1 R A c2 Q)
  have valid-c1: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P c1 R,A by fact
  have valid-c2: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} R c2 Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ Seq \ c1 \ c2 \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    assume P: s \in P
    from exec P obtain r where
       exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow r \text{ and } exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by cases auto
    with t-notin-F have r \notin Fault 'F
       by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P
```

```
have r: r \in Normal 'R \cup Abrupt 'A
     by (rule cnvalidD)
    \mathbf{show}\ t{\in}Normal\ `\ Q\ \cup\ Abrupt\ `\ A
    proof (cases r)
     case (Normal r')
      with exec-c2 r
     show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
        apply -
        apply (rule cnvalidD [OF valid-c2 ctxt - - t-notin-F])
        apply auto
        done
    \mathbf{next}
     case (Abrupt r')
     with exec-c2 have t=Abrupt r'
       by (auto elim: execn-elim-cases)
      with Abrupt r show ?thesis
       by auto
    next
      case Fault with r show ?thesis by blast
     case Stuck with r show ?thesis by blast
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Cond \Theta F P b c1 Q A c2)
  have valid-c1: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} (P \cap b) c1 Q,A by fact
  have valid-c2: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} (P \cap -b) c2 Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases \ s \in b)
     {\bf case}\ {\it True}
      with exec have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by cases auto
      with P True
     show ?thesis
       \mathbf{by} - (rule\ cnvalidD\ [OF\ valid-c1\ ctxt\ -\ -\ t-notin-F], auto)
    \mathbf{next}
     case False
      with exec P have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by cases auto
      with P False
     show ?thesis
       by - (rule cnvalidD [OF valid-c2 ctxt - - t-notin-F], auto)
```

```
qed
  qed
next
  case (While \Theta \ F \ P \ b \ c \ A \ n)
  have valid-c: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} (P \cap b) c P,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P While b \ c \ (P \cap -b),A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q,A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    show t \in Normal ' (P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases \ s \in b)
      case True
      {
        fix d::('b,'a,'c) com fix s t
        assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle d, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        assume d: d = While b c
        assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
        from exec d ctxt
        have [s \in Normal 'P; t \notin Fault 'F]
                \implies t \in Normal ' (P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt'A
        proof (induct)
          case (While True s b' c' n r t)
          have t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F by fact
          have eqs: While b'c' = While b c by fact
          note valid-c
          moreover have ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A by fact
          {\bf moreover\ from\ } \textit{WhileTrue}
          obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow r and
             \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \mathbf{and}
             Normal \ s \in Normal \ `(P \cap b) \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
          moreover with t-notin-F have r \notin Fault 'F
            by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
          ultimately
          \mathbf{have}\ r{:}\ r\in \mathit{Normal}\ `P\cup\mathit{Abrupt}\ `A
             \mathbf{by} - (rule\ cnvalidD, auto)
          from this - ctxt
          show t \in Normal ' (P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt 'A
          proof (cases \ r)
             case (Normal r')
             with r ctxt eqs t-notin-F
             show ?thesis
               \mathbf{by} - (rule\ WhileTrue.hyps, auto)
          next
             case (Abrupt r')
             have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b' \ c',r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
```

```
with Abrupt have t=r
               by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
             with r Abrupt show ?thesis
               by blast
           next
             case Fault with r show ?thesis by blast
             case Stuck with r show ?thesis by blast
           qed
        \mathbf{qed} auto
      }
      with exec\ ctxt\ P\ t-notin-F
      show ?thesis
        by auto
    \mathbf{next}
      case False
      with exec P have t=Normal s
        by cases auto
      with P False
      show ?thesis
        by auto
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Guard \Theta F g P c Q A f)
  have valid-c: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} (g \cap P) Guard f g \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    assume P:s \in (g \cap P)
    from exec P have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by cases auto
    \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{valid-c}\ \mathit{ctxt}\ \mathit{this}\ \mathit{P}\ \mathit{t-notin-F}
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Guarantee f F \Theta g P c Q A)
  have valid-c: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q,A by fact
  have f-F: f \in F by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ \textit{Guard} \ f \ g \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix}\ s\ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    assume P:s \in P
    from exec f-F t-notin-F have g: s \in g
      by cases auto
    with P have P': s \in g \cap P
      by blast
    from exec P g have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by cases auto
    from valid-c ctxt this P' t-notin-F
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
      by (rule\ cnvalidD)
  qed
next
  case (CallRec P p Q A Specs \Theta F)
  have p: (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs by fact
  have valid-body:
     \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ p \in dom \ \Gamma \land (\forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs \models n:_{/F} P \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
Q,A)
    \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{CallRec.hyps} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{blast}
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ Call \ p \ Q,A
  proof -
    {
      \mathbf{fix} \ n
      have \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
         \implies \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \ \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
      proof (induct n)
         \mathbf{case}\ \theta
         show \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \Gamma \models \theta:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
           by (fastforce elim!: execn-elim-cases simp add: nvalid-def)
      next
         case (Suc\ m)
         have hyp: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models m:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
               \implies \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ \Gamma \models m:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A \ by \ fact
        \mathbf{have}\ \forall\,(P,\ p,\ Q,\ A){\in}\Theta.\ \Gamma\models Suc\ m:_{/F}P\ (\mathit{Call}\ p)\ \mathit{Q,A}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fact}
        hence ctxt-m: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models m:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
           by (fastforce simp add: nvalid-def intro: execn-Suc)
         hence valid-Proc:
           \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \Gamma \models m:_{/F} P (Call p) Q,A
           by (rule hyp)
         let ?\Theta' = \Theta \cup Specs
         from valid-Proc ctxt-m
         have \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in ?\Theta'. \Gamma \models m:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
           by fastforce
         with valid-body
         have valid-body-m:
           \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ \forall n. \ \Gamma \models m:_{fF} P \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A
           by (fastforce simp add: cnvalid-def)
```

```
show \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \Gamma \models Suc m:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
        proof (clarify)
          fix P \ p \ Q \ A assume p: (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs
          show \Gamma \models Suc \ m:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
          proof (rule nvalidI)
           \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
            assume exec-call:
              \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ m \Rightarrow t
            assume Pre: s \in P
            assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
            from exec-call
            show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
            proof (cases)
              fix bdy m'
              assume m: Suc m = Suc m'
              assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
              assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = m' \Rightarrow t
              from Pre valid-body-m exec-body bdy m p t-notin-F
              \mathbf{show}~? the sis
                by (fastforce simp add: nvalid-def)
            next
              assume \Gamma p = None
              with valid-body p have False by auto
              thus ?thesis ..
            qed
          qed
        qed
     qed
    with p show ?thesis
      by (fastforce simp add: cnvalid-def)
  qed
next
  case (DynCom\ P\ \Theta\ F\ c\ Q\ A)
  hence valid-c: \forall s \in P. (\forall n. \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P (c s) Q, A) by auto
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ DynCom \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
    from exec show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases)
     assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     from cnvalidD [OF valid-c [rule-format, OF P] ctxt this P t-notin-Fault]
     show ?thesis.
    qed
```

```
qed
next
  case (Throw \Theta F A Q)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} A \ Throw \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ s \in A
    then show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
      by cases simp
  qed
next
  case (Catch \Theta F P c_1 Q R c_2 A)
  have valid-c1: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P c_1 Q,R by fact
  have valid-c2: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} R c_2 Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    fix s t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
    from exec show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases)
      fix s'
      assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
      assume exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      from cnvalidD [OF valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P]
      have Abrupt \ s' \in Abrupt \ `R
        by auto
      with cnvalidD [OF valid-c2 ctxt - - t-notin-Fault] exec-c2
      show ?thesis
        by fastforce
    \mathbf{next}
      assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      assume notAbr: \neg isAbr t
      from cnvalidD [OF valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P t-notin-Fault]
      have t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' R .
      with notAbr
      show ?thesis
        by auto
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Conseq P \Theta F c Q A)
  hence adapt: \forall s \in P. \ (\exists P' \ Q' \ A'. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P' \ c \ Q',A' \ \land 
                            s \in P' \land Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A
    by blast
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
```

```
proof (rule cnvalidI)
    fix s t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof -
      from P adapt obtain P' Q' A' Z where
        spec: \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P' \ c \ Q',A' and
        P': s \in P' and strengthen: Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A
        by auto
      from spec [rule-format] ctxt exec P' t-notin-F
      have t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A'
        by (rule cnvalidD)
      with strengthen show ?thesis
        by blast
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Asm\ P\ p\ Q\ A\ \Theta\ F)
  have asm: (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    from asm ctxt have \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P Call p Q,A by auto
    moreover
    assume s \in P \ t \notin Fault ' F
    ultimately
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
      using exec
      by (auto simp add: nvalid-def)
  qed
next
  case ExFalso thus ?case by iprover
qed
theorem hoare-sound: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (iprover intro: cnvalid-to-cvalid hoare-cnvalid)
8.2
         Completeness
\mathbf{lemma}\ MGT\text{-}valid:
\Gamma \models_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ `(-F))\} \ c
   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof (rule validI)
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
          s \in \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
          t \not\in \mathit{Fault} \, \, \lq \, F
  thus t \in Normal ' \{t. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal t\} \cup
              Abrupt ` \{t. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt t \}
    by (cases t) (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
qed
The consequence rule where the existential Z is instantiated to s. Usefull in
proof of MGT-lemma.
lemma ConseqMGT:
  assumes modif: \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z),(A'Z)
  assumes impl: \land s. \ s \in P \xrightarrow{\cdot} s \in P' \ s \land (\forall \ t. \ t \in Q' \ s \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land 
                                                   (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ s \longrightarrow t \in A)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
using impl
by - (rule conseq [OF modif], blast)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{Seq\text{-}NoFaultStuckD1}\colon
  assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ 'F)
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault 'F)
proof (rule final-notinI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ t
  assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  \mathbf{show}\ t\notin \{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ `F
  proof
    assume t \in \{Stuck\} \cup Fault ' F
    moreover
    {
      assume t = Stuck
       with exec-c1
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
         by (auto intro: exec-Seq')
       with noabort have False
         by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
       hence False ..
    }
    moreover
       assume t \in Fault ' F
       then obtain f where
       t: t=Fault f and f: f \in F
         by auto
       from t exec-c1
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
         by (auto intro: exec-Seq')
       with noabort f have False
```

```
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
                       hence False ..
                ultimately show False by auto
        ged
\mathbf{qed}
lemma Seq-NoFaultStuckD2:
        assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ 'F)
       shows \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ 'F) \longrightarrow
                                                     \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault 'F)
using noabort
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec-Seq')
lemma MGT-implies-complete:
       assumes MGT: \forall Z. \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} \{s. s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault)\}
  (-F)
                                                                                                              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                                                                                              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
        assumes valid: \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
        shows \Gamma,\{\} \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
        using MGT
        apply (rule ConseqMGT)
        apply (insert valid)
        apply (auto simp add: valid-def intro!: final-notinI)
        done
Equipped only with the classic consequence rule [\cite{P},\cite{P},\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cie{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P}'\cite{P
 derive this syntactically more involved version of completeness. But seman-
tically it is equivalent to the "real" one (see below)
lemma MGT-implies-complete':
        assumes MGT: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F}
                                                                                             \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle c,Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\cup\ Fault\ `(-F))\}\ c
                                                                                                              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                                                                                              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
        assumes valid: \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
        shows \Gamma,\{\} \vdash_{/F} \{s, s = Z \land s \in P\} \ c \ \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\},
         using MGT [rule-format, of Z]
        apply (rule conseqPrePost)
        apply (insert valid)
        apply (fastforce simp add: valid-def final-notin-def)
        apply (fastforce simp add: valid-def)
        apply (fastforce simp add: valid-def)
         done
```

Semantic equivalence of both kind of formulations

lemma

apply (clarify)

apply  $(rule-tac \ x=P \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)$ apply  $(rule-tac \ x=Q \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)$ 

```
lemma valid-involved-to-valid:

assumes valid:

\forall Z. \ \Gamma \models_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land s \in P\} \ c \ \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in A\} \}
shows \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
using valid
apply (simp \ add: \ valid-def)
apply (simp \ add: \ valid-def)
apply (erule-tac \ x = x \ \mathbf{in} \ all E)
apply (erule-tac \ x = Normal \ x \ \mathbf{in} \ all E)
apply (erule-tac \ x = t \ \mathbf{in} \ all E)
apply (erule-tac \ x = t \ \mathbf{in} \ all E)
apply (erule-tac \ x = t \ \mathbf{in} \ all E)
apply (erule-tac \ x = t \ \mathbf{in} \ all E)
```

The sophisticated consequence rule allow us to do this semantical transformation on the hoare-level, too. The magic is, that it allow us to choose the instance of Z under the assumption of an state  $s \in P$ 

```
assumes deriv:
                      \forall\,Z.\ \Gamma,\!\{\}\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ s\in P\}\ c\ \{t.\ Z\in P\ \longrightarrow\ t\in Q\},\!\{t.\ Z\in P\ \longrightarrow\ t\in Q\}\}
            shows \Gamma,\{\} \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
            apply (rule ConseqMGT [OF deriv])
          apply auto
            done
\mathbf{lemma}\ valid\text{-}to\text{-}valid\text{-}involved:
            \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow
               \Gamma \models_{/F}^{'} \{s. \; s = Z \; \land \; s \in P\} \; \; c \; \{t. \; Z \in P \; \longrightarrow \; t \in Q\}, \{t. \; Z \in P \; \longrightarrow \; t \in A\}
by (simp add: valid-def Collect-conv-if)
lemma
            assumes deriv: \Gamma,\{\} \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
          \mathbf{shows}\ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s = \overset{'}{Z} \land \ s \in P\}\ c\ \{t.\ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t.\ Z \in P \longrightarrow t 
            apply (rule conseqPrePost [OF deriv])
            apply auto
            done
lemma\ conseq-extract-state-indep-prop:
            assumes state-indep-prop:\forall s \in P. R
            assumes to-show: R \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
            shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
            apply (rule Conseq)
```

```
apply (rule-tac x=A in exI)
   using state-indep-prop to-show
  by blast
lemma MGT-lemma:
   assumes MGT-Calls:
     \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F}
         \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma{\vdash}\langle\mathit{Call}\ p,\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\not\in(\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\}
           (Call p)
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  \mathbf{shows} \  \, \bigwedge Z. \  \, \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s{=}Z \  \, \land \  \, \Gamma \vdash_{} \langle c, Normal \  \, s \rangle \  \, \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \  \, \cup \  \, Fault \  \, ` \  \, (-F))\}
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
proof (induct c)
  case Skip
   show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma\vdash \langle Skip,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
Skip
              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
     by (rule hoarep.Skip [THEN conseqPre])
         (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
   case (Basic\ f)
  \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic \ f, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
Basic f
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic \ f, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic \ f, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule hoarep.Basic [THEN conseqPre])
         (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
next
   case (Spec \ r)
  show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ s=Z\land\Gamma\vdash\langle Spec\ r,Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\notin(\{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
Spec \ r
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     apply (rule hoarep.Spec [THEN conseqPre])
     apply (clarsimp simp add: final-notin-def)
     apply (case-tac \exists t. (Z,t) \in r)
     apply (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     done
next
   case (Seq c1 c2)
   have hyp\text{-}c1: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `
(-F)) c1
                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Seq.hyps by iprover
   \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{hyp-c2}\colon\forall\,Z.\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash\langle\mathit{c2},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle\ \Rightarrow\not\in(\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ ``
```

```
(-F))} c2
                                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Seq.hyps by iprover
  from hyp-c1
  \mathbf{have}\ \Gamma,\!\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2,\!Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `\ (-F))\}
c1
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \land \}
                         \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\},
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
         (auto dest: Seq-NoFaultStuckD1 [simplified] Seq-NoFaultStuckD2 [simplified]
                   intro: exec.Seq)
  \mathbf{thus}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s = Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `\ (-F))\}
                           Seq c1 c2
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  proof (rule hoarep.Seq)
     show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{t.\ \Gamma\vdash\langle c1,Normal\ Z\rangle\Rightarrow Normal\ t\ \land
                               \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c2],safe)
        fix r t
        assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
        then show \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
           by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
     \mathbf{next}
        \mathbf{fix} \ r \ t
        assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
        then show \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
           by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
     qed
  qed
next
   case (Cond b c1 c2)
   have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash_{\langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle} \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
c1
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Cond.hyps by iprover
   hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash (Cond\ b\ c1\ c2,Normal\ s)\Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ `
(-F))\}\cap b)
                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule ConseqMGT)
```

```
(fastforce intro: exec.CondTrue simp add: final-notin-def)
    moreover
     \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ `\ (-F))\}
                                               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         using Cond.hyps by iprover
     \mathbf{hence} \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (\{s.\ s = Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \backslash Cond\ b\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ ``
(-F))\}\cap -b)
                                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
                                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
                (fastforce intro: exec.CondFalse simp add: final-notin-def)
     ultimately
     show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash\langle Cond\ b\ c1\ c2,Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\notin(\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ functional sets for some sets f
(-F))
                                        Cond b c1 c2
                                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
                                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         by (rule hoarep.Cond)
next
     case (While b \ c)
    let ?unroll = (\{(s,t). \ s \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\})^*
    let ?P' = \lambda Z. \{t. (Z,t) \in ?unroll \land
                                              (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                                          \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                                                                   (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                                                               \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) \}
    let ?A' = \lambda Z. \{t. \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
   \mathbf{show}\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash\langle\ While\ b\ c,Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\notin(\{Stuck\}\cup\ Fault\ `\ (-F))\}
                                      While b c
                                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
    proof (rule ConseqMGT [where ?P' = ?P'
                                                         and ?Q'=\lambda Z. ?P'Z\cap -b and ?A'=?A'])
         show \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (?P'Z) \ (While \ b \ c) \ (?P'Z \cap -b), (?A'Z)
         proof (rule allI, rule hoarep.While)
             \mathbf{fix} \ Z
             from While
            have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
                                                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\} \ \mathbf{by} \ iprover
              then show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(?P'Z\cap b)\ c\ (?P'Z),(?A'Z)
              proof (rule ConseqMGT)
                  \mathbf{fix} \ s
```

```
assume s \in \{t. (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land \}
                    (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                            \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                                 (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                        \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) \}
               \cap b
then obtain
   Z-s-unroll: (Z,s) \in ?unroll and
   noabort{:}\forall\;e.\;(Z{,}e){\in}\,?unroll\,\longrightarrow\,e{\in}\,b
                        \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                            (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                     \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) and
   s-in-b: s \in b
   \mathbf{by} blast
show s \in \{t. \ t = s \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\} \land 
(\forall t. \ t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\} \longrightarrow
       t \in \{t. (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
              (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                     \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                           (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                     \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u))\}) \land
 (\forall t. \ t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\} \longrightarrow
       t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\})
   (is ?C1 ∧ ?C2 ∧ ?C3)
proof (intro conjI)
   from Z-s-unroll noabort s-in-b show ?C1 by blast
next
   {
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume s-t: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
     moreover
      from Z-s-unroll s-t s-in-b
     have (Z, t) \in ?unroll
        by (blast intro: rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
     moreover note noabort
     ultimately
     have (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
              (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                        \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                             (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                     \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u))
        by iprover
   }
   then show ?C2 by blast
next
   {
      \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume s-t: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
     from Z-s-unroll noabort s-t s-in-b
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
                                 by blast
                        } thus ?C3 by simp
                   qed
              qed
         qed
     next
           assume P: s \in \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ '
(-F))
         hence WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
              by auto
         show s \in ?P's \land
         (\forall t. \ t \in (?P's \cap -b) \longrightarrow
                     t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}) \land
          (\forall t. \ t \in ?A' \ s \longrightarrow t \in ?A' \ Z)
         proof (intro conjI)
                   \mathbf{fix} \ e
                   assume (Z,e) \in ?unroll \ e \in b
                   from this WhileNoFault
                  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                                    (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                                \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) \ (\textbf{is} \ ?Prop \ Z \ e)
                   proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
                        assume e-in-b: e \in b
                           assume WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ '
(-F)
                        with e-in-b WhileNoFault
                        have cNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
                            by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
                        moreover
                        {
                            fix u assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
                            with e-in-b have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
                                 by (blast intro: exec.intros)
                        }
                        ultimately
                        show ?Prop e e
                             by iprover
                   next
                        fix Z r
                        assume e-in-b: e \in b
                          assume WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ function A \ fu
(-F)
                      assume hyp: [e \in b; \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))]
                                                       \implies ?Prop r e
                        assume Z-r:
                            (Z, r) \in \{(Z, r). Z \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal r\}
```

```
with WhileNoFault
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
         by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
       from hyp [OF e-in-b this] obtain
          cNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \ and
          Abrupt\text{-}r \colon \forall \, u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \, \, e \rangle \, \Rightarrow \, Abrupt \, \, u \, \longrightarrow \,
                             \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
          by simp
          fix u assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
          with Abrupt-r have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \ by \ simp
         moreover from Z-r obtain
            Z \in b \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r
            by simp
          ultimately have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
            by (blast intro: exec.intros)
       with cNoFault show ?Prop Z e
         by iprover
     \mathbf{qed}
  with P show s \in ?P's
    by blast
\mathbf{next}
  {
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume termination: t \notin b
     assume (Z, t) \in ?unroll
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
     proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
       from termination
       show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
         by (blast intro: exec. WhileFalse)
     \mathbf{next}
       \mathbf{fix} \ Z \ r
       assume first-body:
                (Z, r) \in \{(s, t). s \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal t\}
       assume (r, t) \in ?unroll
       assume rest-loop: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, \ Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
       show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
       proof -
          from first-body obtain
            Z \in b \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal r
            by fast
          moreover
          from rest-loop have
            \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{While b c, Normal r} \rangle \Rightarrow \mathit{Normal t}
            by fast
```

```
ultimately show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
                 by (rule exec. While True)
            qed
         qed
       }
       with P
       show (\forall t. \ t \in (?P's \cap -b)
               \longrightarrow t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\})
         by blast
    \mathbf{next}
       from P show \forall t. \ t \in ?A' \ s \longrightarrow t \in ?A' \ Z by simp
    qed
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Call\ p)
  let ?P = \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
  from noStuck-Call have \forall s \in ?P. p \in dom \Gamma
    by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def)
  then show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}?P (Call p)
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  proof (rule conseq-extract-state-indep-prop)
    assume p-definied: p \in dom \Gamma
    with MGT-Calls show
       \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ s=Z\land
                    \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
                      (Call\ p)
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       by (auto)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (DynCom\ c)
  have hyp:
    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s', Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s', Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
    using DynCom by simp
  have hyp':
  \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ ``\ (-F))\}\ c
           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \}
\Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
    by (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp])
        (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
   show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `
(-F))
                  DynCom c
```

```
\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
    apply (rule hoarep.DynCom)
    apply (clarsimp)
    apply (rule hyp' [simplified])
    done
next
  case (Guard f g c)
   \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{hyp-c} \colon \forall \, Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{ s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{c}, Normal \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \ \cup \ \mathit{Fault} \ `
(-F)) c
                         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
    using Guard by iprover
  show ?case
  proof (cases f \in F)
    {f case} True
    from hyp-c
    have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(g\cap\{s.\ s=Z\land
                        \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\})
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       apply (rule ConseqMGT)
       apply (insert True)
       apply (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
       done
    from True this
    show ?thesis
       by (rule conseqPre [OF Guarantee]) auto
    case False
    from hyp-c
    have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F}
            (g \cap \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\})
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       apply (rule ConseqMGT)
       apply clarify
       apply (frule Guard-noFaultStuckD [OF - False])
       apply (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
    then show ?thesis
       apply (rule conseqPre [OF hoarep.Guard])
       apply clarify
       \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{frule} \ \mathit{Guard-noFaultStuckD} \ [\mathit{OF} \ \text{-} \ \mathit{False}])
       apply auto
       done
```

```
qed
next
   {\bf case}\ {\it Throw}
  \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Throw}, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \ \cup \ \mathit{Fault} \ `\ (-F))\}
Throw
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
      by (rule conseqPre [OF hoarep.Throw]) (blast intro: exec.intros)
next
   case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ `\ (-F))\}
                           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
      using Catch.hyps by iprover
   hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma\vdash \langle Catch\ c_1\ c_2,Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ `
(-F)) c_1
                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \land \}
                            \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
      by (rule ConseqMGT)
          (fastforce intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
   moreover
   have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash_{} \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
                           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
      using Catch.hyps by iprover
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ \Gamma\vdash\langle c_1,Normal\ Z\rangle\Rightarrow Abrupt\ s\ \land
                            \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
      by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
          (fastforce intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
   ultimately
   \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Catch}\ c_1\ c_2, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `
(-F))
                             Catch c_1 c_2
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
      by (rule hoarep.Catch)
qed
lemma MGT-Calls:
 \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z.
       \Gamma,\!\{\} \vdash_{/F} \! \{s.\ s = Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Call}\ p,\!\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \not\in (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\}
                  (Call\ p)
```

```
\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof -
   {
     fix p Z
     assume defined: p \in dom \Gamma
     have
        \Gamma,(\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z.
              \{(\{s.\ s=Z\ \land
                  \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\},
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\})\})
         \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
              (the (\Gamma p))
              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
        (is \Gamma, ?\Theta \vdash_{/F} (?Pre\ p\ Z)\ (the\ (\Gamma\ p))\ (?Post\ p\ Z), (?Abr\ p\ Z))
     proof -
        have MGT-Calls:
         \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, ?\Theta \vdash_{/F}
           \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
             (Call\ p)
           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
           by (intro ballI allI, rule HoarePartialDef.Asm, auto)
           have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, ?\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p) \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup \{Stuck\} ) \}
Fault'(-F)
                                 (the (\Gamma p))
                                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
           by (iprover intro: MGT-lemma [OF MGT-Calls])
        thus \Gamma,?\Theta \vdash_{/F} (?Pre \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (?Post \ p \ Z), (?Abr \ p \ Z)
           apply (rule ConseqMGT)
           apply (clarify, safe)
        proof -
           assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
           with defined show \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
              by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def
                      intro: exec.intros)
        next
           \mathbf{fix} \ t
           assume \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
           with defined
           show \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
              by (auto intro: exec.Call)
        next
           \mathbf{fix} \ t
```

```
assume \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
         with defined
        show \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
           by (auto intro: exec. Call)
      qed
    qed
  then show ?thesis
    apply -
    apply (intro ballI allI)
    apply (rule CallRec' [where Procs=dom \ \Gamma and
      P=\lambda p \ Z. \ \{s. \ s=Z \ \land \}
                    \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}and
      Q = \lambda p Z.
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \} and
      A=\lambda p Z.
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}]
    apply simp+
    done
qed
theorem hoare-complete: \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\{\} \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (iprover intro: MGT-implies-complete MGT-lemma [OF MGT-Calls])
lemma hoare-complete':
  assumes cvalid: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (cases \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A)
  case True
  hence \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    by (rule hoare-complete)
  thus \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
    by (rule hoare-augment-context) simp
next
  {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
  with cvalid
  show ?thesis
    by (rule ExFalso)
qed
lemma hoare-strip-\Gamma:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/F} P \ p \ Q,A
  assumes F': F' \subseteq -F'
  shows strip F' \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} P p Q, A
proof (rule hoare-complete)
  from hoare-sound [OF deriv] have \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ p \ Q, A
    by (simp add: cvalid-def)
```

```
from this F'
show strip F' \Gamma \models_{/F} P p Q, A
by (rule valid-to-valid-strip)
qed
```

## 8.3 And Now: Some Useful Rules

## 8.3.1 Consequence

```
{f lemma}\ {\it Liberal Conseq-sound}:
fixes F:: 'f set
\mathbf{assumes}^{"} \ cons: \ \forall \ s \ \in \ P. \ \ \forall \ (t::('s,'f) \ \ \textit{xstate}). \ \ \exists \ P' \ \ Q' \ \ A'. \ \ (\forall \ n. \ \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} \ \ P' \ \ c
Q',A') \wedge
                  ((s \in P' \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A')
                               \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\models n:_{/F}P c Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  \mathbf{assume}\ t\textit{-}notin\textit{-}F\colon\thinspace t\notin\mathit{Fault}\ `F
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof -
    from P cons obtain P' Q' A' where
       spec: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P' \ c \ Q',A' \ and
       adapt: (s \in P' \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A')
                                  \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
       apply -
       apply (drule (1) bspec)
       apply (erule-tac x=t in allE)
       apply (elim exE conjE)
       apply iprover
       done
    from exec spec ctxt t-notin-F
    have s \in P' \longrightarrow t \in Normal 'Q' \cup Abrupt 'A'
       by (simp add: cnvalid-def nvalid-def)
    with adapt show ?thesis
       \mathbf{by} \ simp
  qed
qed
lemma LiberalConseq:
fixes F:: 'f set
assumes cons: \forall s \in P. \forall (t::('s,'f) \ xstate). \exists P' \ Q' \ A'. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q',A' \land
                  ((s \in P' \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A')
                                  \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
```

```
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule LiberalConseq-sound)
\mathbf{using}\ \mathit{cons}
apply (clarify)
apply (drule (1) bspec)
apply (erule-tac \ x=t \ in \ all E)
apply clarify
apply (rule-tac x=P' in exI)
apply (rule-tac \ x=Q' \ in \ exI)
apply (rule-tac \ x=A' \ in \ exI)
apply (rule\ conjI)
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
apply assumption
done
lemma \forall s \in P. \exists P' \ Q' \ A'. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q',A' \land s \in P' \land \ Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A
           \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule LiberalConseq)
  apply (rule ballI)
  apply (drule (1) bspec)
  apply clarify
  apply (rule-tac \ x=P' \ in \ exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=Q' in exI)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=A' \ in \ exI)
  apply auto
  done
lemma
fixes F:: 'f set
assumes cons: \forall s \in P. \exists P' Q' A'. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P' c Q', A' \land
                (\forall (t::('s,'f) \ xstate). \ (s \in P' \xrightarrow{'} t \in Normal \ `Q' \cup Abrupt \ `A')
                              \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule Conseq)
  apply (rule ballI)
  apply (insert cons)
  apply (drule (1) bspec)
  apply clarify
  apply (rule-tac \ x=P' \ in \ exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=Q' in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=A' in exI)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply assumption
  oops
lemma LiberalConseq':
fixes F:: 'f set
assumes cons: \forall s \in P. \exists P' Q' A'. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P' c Q', A' \land
```

```
(\forall (t::('s,'f) \ xstate). \ (s \in P' \longrightarrow t \in Normal \ Q' \cup Abrupt \ A')
                               \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule LiberalConseq)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (rule allI)
apply (insert cons)
apply (drule (1) bspec)
apply clarify
apply (rule-tac \ x=P' \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)
apply (rule-tac \ x=Q' \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)
apply (rule-tac \ x=A' \ in \ exI)
apply iprover
done
lemma LiberalConseq'':
fixes F:: 'f set
assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P' Z) \ c \ (Q' Z), (A' Z)
assumes cons: \forall s \ (t :: ('s, 'f) \ xstate). (\forall Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \longrightarrow t \in Normal \ `Q' \ Z \cup Abrupt \ `A' \ Z)
                  \longrightarrow (s \in P \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule LiberalConseq)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (rule allI)
apply (insert cons)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=t in allE)
apply (case-tac t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A)
apply (insert spec)
{\bf apply} \quad iprover
apply auto
done
primrec procs:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow 'p set
where
procs\ Skip = \{\} \mid
procs\ (Basic\ f) = \{\}\ |
procs (Seq c_1 c_2) = (procs c_1 \cup procs c_2) \mid
procs (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) = (procs \ c_1 \cup procs \ c_2) \mid
procs (While b c) = procs c
procs (Call p) = \{p\}
procs (DynCom \ c) = (\bigcup s. \ procs \ (c \ s)) \mid
procs (Guard f g c) = procs c \mid
procs\ Throw = \{\} \mid
procs (Catch c_1 c_2) = (procs c_1 \cup procs c_2)
primrec noSpec:: ('s, 'p, 'f) com \Rightarrow bool
where
```

```
noSpec Skip = True
noSpec (Basic f) = True \mid
noSpec (Spec \ r) = False \mid
noSpec (Seq c_1 c_2) = (noSpec c_1 \land noSpec c_2) \mid
noSpec \ (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) = (noSpec \ c_1 \land noSpec \ c_2) \mid
noSpec (While b c) = noSpec c
noSpec \ (Call \ p) = True \ |
noSpec\ (DynCom\ c) = (\forall\ s.\ noSpec\ (c\ s))\ |
noSpec (Guard f g c) = noSpec c \mid
noSpec \ Throw = True \mid
noSpec \ (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2) = (noSpec \ c_1 \land noSpec \ c_2)
{f lemma}\ exec{-noSpec-no-Stuck}:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes noSpec-c: noSpec c
 assumes noSpec-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noSpec \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
 assumes procs-subset: procs c \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
 assumes procs-subset-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. procs (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
 assumes s-no-Stuck: s \neq Stuck
 shows t \neq Stuck
using exec noSpec-c procs-subset s-no-Stuck proof induct
  case (Call p bdy s t) with noSpec-\Gamma procs-subset-\Gamma show ?case
    by (auto dest!: bspec [of - - p])
next
  case (DynCom\ c\ s\ t) then show ?case
   by auto blast
qed auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn-noSpec-no-Stuck:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes noSpec-c: noSpec c
 assumes noSpec-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noSpec \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
 assumes procs-subset: procs c \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
 assumes procs-subset-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. procs (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
 assumes s-no-Stuck: s \neq Stuck
 shows t \neq Stuck
using exec noSpec-c procs-subset s-no-Stuck proof induct
  case (Call p bdy n s t) with noSpec-\Gamma procs-subset-\Gamma show ?case
    by (auto dest!: bspec [of - - p])
  case (DynCom\ c\ s\ t) then show ?case
    by auto blast
qed auto
{\bf lemma}\ {\it Liberal Conseq-noguards-noth rows-sound}:
assumes \mathit{spec} \colon \forall \, Z. \ \forall \, n. \ \Gamma, \Theta {\models} n {:}_{/F} \ (P^{\, \prime} \, Z) \ c \ (Q^{\, \prime} \, Z), (A^{\, \prime} \, Z)
assumes cons: \forall s \ t. \ (\forall Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \longrightarrow t \in Q' \ Z)
                   \longrightarrow (s \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q)
assumes noguards-c: noguards c
```

```
{\bf assumes}\ nothrows\hbox{-}c\hbox{:}\ nothrows\ c
assumes nothrows-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. nothrows (the (\Gamma \ p))
assumes noSpec-c: noSpec c
assumes noSpec-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noSpec \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
assumes procs-subset: procs c \subseteq dom \Gamma
assumes procs-subset-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. procs (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof -
    from execn-noguards-no-Fault [OF exec noguards-c noguards-\Gamma]
     execn-nothrows-no-Abrupt [OF exec nothrows-c nothrows-\Gamma]
     execn-noSpec-no-Stuck [OF exec
               noSpec\mbox{-}c noSpec\mbox{-}\Gamma procs\mbox{-}subset
      procs-subset-\Gamma
    obtain t' where t: t=Normal t'
      by (cases t) auto
    with exec spec ctxt
    have (\forall Z. \ s \in P' Z \longrightarrow t' \in Q' Z)
      by (unfold cavalid-def nvalid-def) blast
    with cons P t show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{LiberalConseq-noguards-nothrows}:
assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
assumes cons: \forall s \ t. \ (\forall Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \longrightarrow t \in Q' \ Z)
                    \longrightarrow (s \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q)
assumes noguards-c: noguards c
assumes noguards-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noguards (the (\Gamma \ p))
assumes nothrows-c: nothrows c
assumes nothrows-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. nothrows (the (\Gamma \ p))
assumes noSpec-c: noSpec c
assumes noSpec-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noSpec \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
assumes procs-subset: procs c \subseteq dom \Gamma
assumes procs-subset-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. procs (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P\ c\ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
{\bf apply} \ (\textit{rule Liberal Conseq-noguards-nothrows-sound}
              [\mathit{OF} - \mathit{cons} \mathit{noguards}-\mathit{c} \mathit{noguards}-\mathit{\Gamma} \mathit{nothrows}-\mathit{\Gamma}
```

**assumes** noguards- $\Gamma$ :  $\forall p \in dom \ \Gamma$ . noguards (the  $(\Gamma \ p)$ )

```
noSpec-c noSpec-\Gamma
                   procs-subset procs-subset-\Gamma])
apply (insert spec)
apply (intro allI)
apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
by (rule hoare-cnvalid)
lemma
assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s=fst \ Z \ \land \ P \ s \ (snd \ Z)\} \ c \ \{t. \ Q \ (fst \ Z) \ (snd \ Z)\}
t\},\{\}
{\bf assumes}\ noguards\hbox{-}c\hbox{:}\ noguards\ c
assumes noguards-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noguards (the (\Gamma \ p))
assumes nothrows-c: nothrows c
assumes nothrows-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. nothrows (the (\Gamma \ p))
assumes noSpec-c: noSpec c
assumes noSpec-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noSpec \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
assumes procs-subset: procs c \subseteq dom \Gamma
assumes procs-subset-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. procs (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
shows \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = \sigma\} \ c \ \{t. \ \forall \ l. \ P \ \sigma \ l \longrightarrow Q \ \sigma \ l \ t\}, \{\}
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule LiberalConseq-noguards-nothrows
                [OF spec - noquards-c noquards-\Gamma nothrows-c nothrows-\Gamma
                     noSpec-c noSpec-\Gamma
                    procs-subset procs-subset-\Gamma])
apply auto
done
8.3.2
            Modify Return
{\bf lemma}\ {\it ProcModifyReturn-sound}:
  assumes valid-call: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ Q,A
  {\bf assumes}\ \mathit{valid-modif}\colon
    \forall\,\sigma.\,\,\forall\,n.\,\,\Gamma,\Theta{\models}n:_{/\mathit{UNIV}}\{\sigma\}\ \mathit{Call}\ p\ (\mathit{Modif}\ \sigma),(\mathit{ModifAbr}\ \sigma)
  assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s)
             \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
                                 \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  then have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{IVIV} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: nvalid-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from exec
```

```
show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
proof (cases rule: execn-call-Normal-elim)
 fix bdy m t'
 assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
 assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
 assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = Suc \ m \Rightarrow t
 assume n: n = Suc m
 from exec\text{-}body \ n \ bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
    by (auto simp add: intro: execn.Call)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt' this] P
 have t' \in Modif (init s)
   by auto
 with ret-modif have Normal (return' s t') =
    Normal (return s t')
    by simp
 with exec-body exec-c bdy n
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    \mathbf{by}\ (auto\ intro:\ execn-call)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
   by simp
next
 fix bdy m t'
 assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
 assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
 assume n: n = Suc m
 assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
 also from exec-body n bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
    by (auto simp add: intro: execn.intros)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt' this] P
 have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
    by auto
  with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s t') = Abrupt (return' s t')
    by simp
 finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
 with exec-body bdy n
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: execn-callAbrupt)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
   by simp
next
 fix bdy m f
 assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
 assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Fault\ f\ n = Suc\ m
    t = Fault f
 with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
by (auto intro: execn-callFault)
    from valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  next
    \mathbf{fix}\ bdy\ m
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Stuck\ n = Suc\ m
      t = Stuck
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callStuck)
    from valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ m
    \mathbf{assume}\ \Gamma\ p = \mathit{None}
    and n = Suc \ m \ t = Stuck
    then have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callUndefined)
    from valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule\ cnvalidD)
  qed
qed
lemma ProcModifyReturn:
  assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes result-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes return-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
              \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  {\bf assumes}\ \textit{modifies-spec}:
 \forall\,\sigma.\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\mathit{UNIV}} \{\sigma\}\ \mathit{Call}\ p\ (\mathit{Modif}\ \sigma), (\mathit{ModifAbr}\ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
{\bf apply} \ ({\it rule \ ProcModifyReturn-sound}
          [where Modif=Modif and ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
            OF - result-conform return-conform])
using spec
apply (blast intro: hoare-cavalid)
using modifies-spec
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
done
```

```
assumes valid-call: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ Q,A
  assumes valid-modif:
    \forall \sigma. \ \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
  assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s)
            \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
                               \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{exec}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases rule: execn-call-Normal-elim)
    fix bdy m t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
    assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = Suc \ m \Rightarrow t
    assume n: n = Suc m
    from exec\text{-}body \ n \ bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
      by (auto simp add: intro: execn.intros)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt this] P
    have t' \in Modif (init s)
      by auto
    with ret-modif have Normal (return's t') =
      Normal (return s t')
      by simp
    with exec-body exec-c bdy n
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-call)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this] P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  next
    fix bdy m t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
    assume n: n = Suc m
    assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
    also
    from exec\text{-}body \ n \ bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
      by (auto simp add: intro: execn.intros)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt this] P
```

```
have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
      by auto
    with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s\ t') = Abrupt (return 's\ t')
      by simp
    finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
    with exec\text{-}body\ bdy\ n
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callAbrupt)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this] P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  next
    fix bdy m f
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Fault\ f\ n = Suc\ m and
      t: t = Fault f
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callFault)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  \mathbf{next}
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy \ m
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Stuck\ n = Suc\ m
      t = Stuck
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callStuck)
    from valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ m
    assume \Gamma p = None
    and n = Suc \ m \ t = Stuck
    then have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callUndefined)
    from valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  qed
qed
{\bf lemma}\ {\it ProcModifyReturnSameFaults}:
 assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
 assumes result-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
 assumes return-conform:
```

```
\forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  {\bf assumes} \ \textit{modifies-spec} :
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma), (Modif Abr \ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
{\bf apply} \ (\textit{rule ProcModifyReturnSameFaults-sound}
           [where Modif=Modif and ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
          OF - result-conform return-conform])
using spec
apply (blast intro: hoare-cavalid)
using modifies-spec
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
done
8.3.3
           DynCall
lemma dynProcModifyReturn-sound:
assumes valid-call: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ Q,A
assumes valid-modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \forall n.
       \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{IUNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s)
            \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
                                \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  then have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/UNIV} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: nvalid-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  assume P: s \in P
  \mathbf{with}\ \mathit{valid}\text{-}\mathit{modif}
  have valid-modif': \forall \sigma. \forall n.
       \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
    by blast
  from exec
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (cases rule: execn-dynCall-Normal-elim)
  then show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases rule: execn-call-Normal-elim)
    fix bdy m t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
```

```
assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = Suc \ m \Rightarrow t
 assume n: n = Suc m
 from exec\text{-}body \ n \ bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s) \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
    by (auto simp add: intro: execn.intros)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt' this] P
 have t' \in Modif (init s)
    by auto
  with ret-modif have Normal (return' s t') = Normal (return s t')
    by simp
 with exec\text{-}body\ exec\text{-}c\ bdy\ n
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: execn-call)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ execn-dynCall)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
    by simp
next
 fix bdy m t'
 assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
 assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
 assume n: n = Suc m
 assume t: t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
 also from exec-body n bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s) \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
    by (auto simp add: intro: execn.intros)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt' this] P
 have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
   by auto
  with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s t') = Abrupt (return' s t')
   by simp
 finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
 with exec-body bdy n
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: execn-callAbrupt)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ execn-dynCall)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
    by simp
\mathbf{next}
 fix bdy m f
 assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
 assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Fault\ f\ n = Suc\ m
    t = Fault f
 with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ . Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (auto intro: execn-callFault)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
by (rule\ execn-dynCall)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  next
   \mathbf{fix}\ bdy\ m
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Stuck\ n = Suc\ m
      t = Stuck
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callStuck)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (rule execn-dynCall)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule\ cnvalidD)
  \mathbf{next}
    \mathbf{fix} \ m
    assume \Gamma(p s) = None
    and n = Suc \ m \ t = Stuck
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callUndefined)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (rule execn-dynCall)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
 qed
qed
lemma dynProcModifyReturn:
assumes dyn-call: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P dynCall init p return' c Q,A
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s)
           \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
                              \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
assumes modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma.
       \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule dynProcModifyReturn-sound [where Modif=Modif and ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
          OF hoare-cnvalid [OF dyn-call] - ret-modif ret-modifAbr])
apply (intro ballI allI)
apply (rule hoare-cnvalid [OF modif [rule-format]])
apply assumption
done
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ dyn Proc Modify Return Same Faults-sound:
assumes valid-call: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ Q,A
assumes valid-modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \forall n.
        \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  assume P: s \in P
  with valid-modif
  have valid-modif': \forall \sigma. \forall n.
    \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(Modif Abr \ \sigma)
    by blast
  from exec
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (cases rule: execn-dynCall-Normal-elim)
  then show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases rule: execn-call-Normal-elim)
    fix bdy m t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
    assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = Suc \ m \Rightarrow t
    assume n: n = Suc m
    from exec\text{-}body \ n \ bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call\ (p\ s)\ , Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
      by (auto simp add: intro: execn.Call)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt this] P
    have t' \in Modif (init s)
      by auto
    with ret-modif have Normal (return' s \ t') = Normal (return s \ t')
      by simp
    with exec-body exec-c bdy n
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-call)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (rule\ execn-dynCall)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  next
    fix bdy m t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
```

```
assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
  assume n: n = Suc m
  assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
  also from exec-body n bdy
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s) \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
    by (auto simp add: intro: execn.intros)
  from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt this] P
  have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
    by auto
  with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s\ t') = Abrupt (return 's\ t')
    by simp
  finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
  with exec-body bdy n
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: execn-callAbrupt)
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ execn-dynCall)
  from cnvalidD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
  show ?thesis
    by simp
next
  fix bdy m f
  assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Fault\ f\ n = Suc\ m and
    t: t = Fault f
  with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: execn-callFault)
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-dynCall)
  from cnvalidD [OF valid-call ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
  show ?thesis
    by simp
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ bdy \ m
  assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Stuck\ n = Suc\ m
    t = Stuck
  with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: execn-callStuck)
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ execn-dynCall)
  from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
  show ?thesis
    by (rule\ cnvalidD)
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ m
  assume \Gamma(p s) = None
  and n = Suc \ m \ t = Stuck
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
by (auto intro: execn-callUndefined)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (rule execn-dynCall)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  qed
qed
{\bf lemma}\ dyn Proc Modify Return Same Faults:
assumes dyn-call: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P dynCall init p return' c Q,A
assumes ret-modif:
   \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s)
            \rightarrow return's t = return s t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
                             \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
assumes modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma), (ModifAbr \ \sigma)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
\mathbf{apply} (rule dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults-sound
        [where Modif=Modif and ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
           OF hoare-cnvalid [OF dyn-call] - ret-modif ret-modifAbr])
apply (intro ballI allI)
apply (rule hoare-cnvalid [OF modif [rule-format]])
apply assumption
done
          Conjunction of Postcondition
8.3.4
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{PostConjI-sound}:
assumes valid-Q: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
assumes valid-R: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ R,B
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R), (A \cap B)
proof (rule cnvalidI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
 assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
 from valid-Q [rule-format] ctxt exec P t-notin-F have t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt
^{\cdot} A
    by (rule cnvalidD)
 moreover
 from valid-R [rule-format] ctxt exec P t-notin-F have t \in Normal ' R \cup Abrupt
    by (rule cnvalidD)
```

```
ultimately show t \in Normal ' (Q \cap R) \cup Abrupt ' (A \cap B)
   \mathbf{by} blast
qed
lemma PostConjI:
 assumes deriv-Q: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes deriv-R: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P c R,B
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R),(A \cap B)
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule PostConjI-sound)
using deriv-Q
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
using deriv-R
apply (blast intro: hoare-cavalid)
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{Merge-PostConj-sound}\colon
  assumes validF: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  assumes validG: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/G} P' \ c \ R,X
 assumes F-G: F\subseteq G
 assumes P-P': P \subseteq P'
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R),(A \cap X)
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  fix s t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  with F-G have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/G} P (Call p) Q, A
   by (auto intro: nvalid-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  with P-P' have P': s \in P'
   by auto
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' F
  show t \in Normal ' (Q \cap R) \cup Abrupt ' (A \cap X)
  proof -
   from cnvalidD [OF validF [rule-format] ctxt exec P t-noFault]
   have *: t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
   then have t \notin Fault ' G
     by auto
   from cnvalidD [OF validG [rule-format] ctxt' exec P' this]
   have t \in Normal 'R \cup Abrupt 'X.
   with * show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
lemma Merge-PostConj:
  assumes validF: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  assumes validG: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/G} P' \ c \ R,X
```

```
assumes F-G: F \subseteq G
 assumes P - P' : P \subseteq P'
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R),(A \cap X)
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule Merge-PostConj-sound [OF - - F-G P-P'])
using validF apply (blast intro:hoare-cnvalid)
using validG apply (blast intro:hoare-cnvalid)
done
8.3.5
          Weaken Context
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{WeakenContext-sound}\colon
 assumes valid-c: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta' \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes valid-ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta'. \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q,A
  with valid-ctxt
  have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta'. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (simp add: cnvalid-def)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from valid-c [rule-format] ctxt' exec P t-notin-F
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
   by (rule cnvalidD)
qed
{f lemma} WeakenContext:
 assumes deriv-c: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes deriv-ctxt: \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta'. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (Call p) Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule WeakenContext-sound)
using deriv-c
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
using deriv-ctxt
```

## 8.3.6 Guards and Guarantees

apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)

done

```
lemma SplitGuards-sound: assumes valid-c1: \forall n. \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P c_1 Q, A assumes valid-c2: \forall n. \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P c_2 UNIV, UNIV
```

```
assumes c: (c_1 \cap_g c_2) = Some c
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
 assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  \mathbf{show}\ t \in Normal\ `Q \cup Abrupt\ `A
  proof (cases \ t)
    case Normal
    with inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF c exec]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by simp
    from valid-c1 [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  \mathbf{next}
    case Abrupt
    with inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF c exec]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by simp
    from valid-c1 [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Fault f)
    with exec inter-quards-execn-Fault [OF c]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by auto
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases rule: disjE [consumes 1])
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      from Fault cavalidD [OF valid-c1 [rule-format] ctxt this P] t-notin-F
      show ?thesis
        by blast
    \mathbf{next}
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      from Fault cavalidD [OF valid-c2 [rule-format] ctxt this P] t-notin-F
      show ?thesis
        by blast
    qed
  next
    case Stuck
    with inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF c exec]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by simp
    from valid-c1 [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  qed
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{SplitGuards} \colon
  assumes c: (c_1 \cap_q c_2) = Some c
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c<sub>1</sub> Q,A
 assumes deriv\text{-}c2: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P c_2 UNIV, UNIV
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule SplitGuards-sound [OF - - c])
using deriv-c1
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
using deriv-c2
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
done
lemma CombineStrip-sound:
  assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  assumes valid-strip: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{f} \ P \ (strip-guards \ (-F) \ c) \ UNIV, UNIV
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: nvalid-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault '\{\}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases \ t)
    case (Normal t')
    \mathbf{from} \ \ cnvalidD \ \ [OF \ valid \ \ [rule-format] \ \ ctxt' \ exec \ P] \ \ Normal
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case (Abrupt \ t')
    from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Abrupt
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case (Fault f)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases f \in F)
      {f case}\ {\it True}
      hence f \notin -F by simp
      with exec Fault
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ (-F) \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
        by (auto intro: execn-to-execn-strip-guards-Fault)
      from cnvalidD [OF valid-strip [rule-format] ctxt this P] Fault
```

```
have False
       by auto
      thus ?thesis ..
    \mathbf{next}
      {f case} False
      with cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Fault
      show ?thesis
        by auto
    \mathbf{qed}
  next
    case Stuck
    from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Stuck
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
qed
lemma CombineStrip:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
 assumes deriv-strip: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P (strip-guards (-F) c) UNIV,UNIV
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule CombineStrip-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
\mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{iprover\ intro:\ hoare-cnvalid}\ [\mathit{OF\ deriv-strip}])
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{GuardsFlip\text{-}sound}\colon
  assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
 assumes validFlip: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/-F} P \ c \ UNIV, UNIV
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{f} P(Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: nvalid-augment-Faults)
  from ctxt have ctxtFlip: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{f} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: nvalid-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases \ t)
    case (Normal t')
    from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Normal
    show ?thesis
      by auto
```

```
next
   case (Abrupt t')
   from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Abrupt
   show ?thesis
     by auto
  \mathbf{next}
   case (Fault f)
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f \in F)
     {\bf case}\ {\it True}
     hence f \notin -F by simp
     with cnvalidD [OF validFlip [rule-format] ctxtFlip exec P] Fault
     have False
       by auto
     thus ?thesis ..
   next
     {f case} False
     with cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Fault
     show ?thesis
       by auto
   qed
  \mathbf{next}
   from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Stuck
   show ?thesis
     by auto
 qed
qed
lemma GuardsFlip:
 assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes derivFlip: \Gamma , \Theta \vdash_{/-F} P \ c \ UNIV, UNIV
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule GuardsFlip-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF derivFlip])
done
{\bf lemma}\ {\it MarkGuardsI-sound}:
 assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/\{\}} \ P \ c \ Q,A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\models n:_{/\{\}} P mark-guards f c Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
 assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{f} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  from execn-mark-guards-to-execn [OF exec] obtain t' where
```

```
exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
    t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
    by blast
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof -
    from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-c P]
    have t' \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by blast
    with t'-noFault
    show ?thesis
      by auto
 qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{MarkGuardsI}:
 assumes \mathit{deriv} \colon \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q, A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P mark-guards f c Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule MarkGuardsI-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
done
lemma MarkGuardsD-sound:
 assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/\{\}} \ P \ mark-guards \ f \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
 assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases isFault t)
    \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
    with execn-to-execn-mark-guards-Fault [OF exec]
    obtain f' where \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f'
      by (fastforce elim: isFaultE)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt this P]
    have False
      by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    {f case} False
    from execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec False]
    obtain f' where \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
by auto
    from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt this P]
    \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
      by auto
  ged
\mathbf{qed}
lemma MarkGuardsD:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P \text{ mark-guards } f \in Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule MarkGuardsD-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
lemma MergeGuardsI-sound:
  assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \text{ merge-guards } c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  from execn-merge-guards-to-execn [OF exec-merge]
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t.
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from \ cnvalidD \ [OF \ valid \ [rule-format] \ ctxt \ exec \ P \ t-notin-F]
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{MergeGuardsI}\colon
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P merge-guards c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule MergeGuardsI-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
done
lemma MergeGuardsD-sound:
  assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n: /_F \ P \ merge-guards \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  from execn-to-execn-merge-guards [OF exec]
```

```
have exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t.
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-merge P t-notin-F]
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
\mathbf{qed}
lemma MergeGuardsD:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P merge-guards c Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule MergeGuardsD-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
lemma SubsetGuards-sound:
  assumes c 	ext{-} c': c \subseteq_g c'
  assumes valid: \forall n. \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/\{\}} P c' Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\models n:_{/\{\}}\ P\ c\ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  from execn-to-execn-subseteq-guards [OF\ c-c'\ exec] obtain t' where
    exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
    t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
    by blast
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-c'P] t'-noFault t-noFault
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    by auto
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{SubsetGuards}:
  assumes c-c': c \subseteq_g c'
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{f} P c' Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{SubsetGuards\text{-}sound} \ [\mathit{OF} \ \mathit{c\text{-}c'}])
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
done
lemma NormalizeD-sound:
  assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ (normalize \ c) \ Q, A
```

```
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  hence exec-norm: \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-normalize)
  assume P: s \in P
  assume noFault: t \notin Fault ' F
  from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-norm P noFault]
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
qed
lemma NormalizeD:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (normalize c) Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P\ c\ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule NormalizeD-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
done
lemma NormalizeI-sound:
  assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ (normalize \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  hence exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-normalize-to-execn)
  assume P: s \in P
  assume noFault: t \notin Fault ' F
  \mathbf{from} \ \ cnvalidD \ \ [OF \ valid \ \ [rule-format] \ \ ctxt \ \ exec \ P \ \ noFault]
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NormalizeI}:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (normalize c) Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule NormalizeI-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
done
```

## 8.3.7 Restricting the Procedure Environment

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{nvalid-restrict-to-nvalid}\colon
assumes valid-c: \Gamma|_{M}\models n:_{/F}P c Q,A
shows \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
proof (rule nvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  \mathbf{show}\ t \in Normal\ `Q \cup Abrupt\ `A
  proof -
    from execn-to-execn-restrict [OF exec]
    obtain t' where
      exec-res: \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
      t-Fault: \forall f. \ t = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault \ f, \ Stuck\} and
      t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = t
    from t-Fault t-notin-F t'-notStuck have t' \notin Fault 'F
      by (cases t') auto
    with valid-c exec-res P
    have t' \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by (auto simp add: nvalid-def)
    with t'-notStuck
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  \mathbf{qed}
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ valid\text{-}restrict\text{-}to\text{-}valid:
assumes valid-c: \Gamma|_{M}\models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
shows \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
proof (rule validI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof -
    from exec-to-exec-restrict [OF exec]
    obtain t' where
      exec-res: \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
      t-Fault: \forall f.\ t = Fault\ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault\ f,\ Stuck\} and
      t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = t
      by blast
    from t-Fault t-notin-F t'-notStuck have t' \notin Fault 'F
      by (cases t') auto
    with valid-c exec-res P
    have t' \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
```

```
by (auto simp add: valid-def)
    with t'-notStuck
    show ?thesis
     by auto
  ged
qed
lemma augment-procs:
assumes deriv-c: \Gamma|_{M},{}\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
shows \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule hoare-complete)
  apply (rule valid-restrict-to-valid)
  apply (insert hoare-sound [OF deriv-c])
  by (simp add: cvalid-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{augment}\text{-}\mathit{Faults}\text{:}
assumes deriv-c: \Gamma,{}\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
assumes F : F \subseteq F'
shows \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/F'} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule hoare-complete)
  apply (rule valid-augment-Faults [OF - F])
  apply (insert hoare-sound [OF deriv-c])
  by (simp add: cvalid-def)
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

## 9 Derived Hoare Rules for Partial Correctness

theory HoarePartial imports HoarePartialProps begin

```
by simp
```

```
lemma conseq: [\forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'\ Z) \ c \ (Q'\ Z), (A'\ Z);
                \forall s.\ s \in P \longrightarrow \dot{}(\exists\ Z.\ s \in P'\ Z \land (Q'\ Z \subseteq Q) \land (A'\ Z \subseteq A))]
                 \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A
  by (rule Conseq') blast
lemma Lem: \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'\ Z) \ c \ (Q'\ Z), (A'\ Z);
               P\subseteq \{s.\ \exists\ Z.\ s\in P'\ Z\ \land\ (Q'\ Z\subseteq Q)\ \land\ (A'\ Z\subseteq A)\}]
               \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ (\mathit{lem}\ x\ c)\ Q,A
  apply (unfold lem-def)
  apply (erule conseq)
  apply blast
  done
lemma LemAnno:
assumes conseq: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P' Z \land \}
                          (\forall\,t.\ t\in Q'\,Z\longrightarrow t\in Q)\,\wedge\,(\forall\,t.\ t\in A'\,Z\longrightarrow t\in A)\}
assumes lem: \forall Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) c (Q'Z),(A'Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (lem \ x \ c) \ Q,A
  apply (rule Lem [OF lem])
  using conseq
  by blast
lemma Lem Anno No Abrupt:
assumes conseq: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P' Z \land (\forall t. t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow t \in Q)\}
assumes \mathit{lem} \colon \forall \, Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'\, Z) \ c \ (Q'\, Z), \{\}
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (lem \ x \ c) \ Q,\{\}
  apply (rule Lem [OF lem])
  using conseq
  by blast
lemma TrivPost: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
                    \forall\,Z.\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'\ Z)\ c\ \mathit{UNIV}, \mathit{UNIV}
apply (rule allI)
apply (erule conseq)
apply auto
done
lemma TrivPostNoAbr: \forall Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z),\{\}
                    \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'\ Z) \ c \ UNIV,\{\}
apply (rule allI)
apply (erule conseq)
```

```
apply auto
done
lemma conseq-under-new-pre: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q', A';
       \forall s \in P. \ s \in P' \land Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A
\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule conseq)
apply (rule allI)
apply assumption
apply auto
done
lemma conseq-Kleymann: \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P' Z) \ c \ (Q' Z), (A' Z);
              \forall \, s \in P. \; (\exists \, Z. \; s {\in} P' \; Z \; \land \stackrel{\cdot}{(}Q' \; Z \subseteq Q) \; \land \; (A' \; Z \subseteq A))] \rrbracket
              \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 by (rule Conseq') blast
lemma DynComConseq:
  A' \subseteq A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ DynCom \ c \ Q,A
  using assms
  apply -
 \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{DynCom})
 apply clarsimp
 apply (rule Conseq)
  apply clarsimp
 apply blast
  done
lemma SpecAnno:
 assumes consequence: P \subseteq \{s. (\exists Z. s \in P' Z \land (Q' Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' Z \subseteq A))\}
 assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'\ Z) \ (c\ Z) \ (Q'\ Z), (A'\ Z)
 assumes bdy-constant: \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (specAnno P' c Q' A') Q,A
proof -
  from spec bdy-constant
  have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} ((P'Z)) \ (c \ undefined) \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
    apply -
    apply (rule allI)
    apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
    apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
    apply simp
   done
  with consequence show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: specAnno-def)
    apply (erule conseq)
```

```
apply blast
     done
qed
lemma SpecAnno':
 \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P'Z \land A\} 
                (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow \ t \in Q) \land (\forall t. \ t \in A' Z \longrightarrow t \in A)\};
   \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ (c\ Z) \ (Q'Z), (A'Z);
   \forall Z. \ c \ Z \stackrel{\cdot}{=} \ c \ undefined
     \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ (specAnno\ P'\ c\ Q'\ A')\ Q,A
apply (simp only: subset-iff [THEN sym])
apply (erule (1) SpecAnno)
apply assumption
done
lemma SpecAnnoNoAbrupt:
 [\![P\subseteq\{s.\ \exists\ Z.\ s{\in}P'\ Z\ \land
                (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow \ t \in Q)\};
   \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ (cZ) \ (Q'Z), \{\};
   \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
     \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ (specAnno\ P'\ c\ Q'\ (\lambda s.\ \{\}))\ Q,A
apply (rule SpecAnno')
apply auto
done
lemma Skip: P \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P Skip Q,A
  by (rule hoarep.Skip [THEN conseqPre],simp)
lemma Basic: P \subseteq \{s. (f s) \in Q\} \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P (Basic f) Q, A
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{hoarep.Basic}\ [\mathit{THEN}\ \mathit{conseqPre}])
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{BasicCond} \colon
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (b \ s \longrightarrow f \ s \in Q) \land (\neg b \ s \longrightarrow g \ s \in Q)\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P Basic (\lambda s.\ if\ b\ s\ then\ f\ s\ else\ g\ s) Q,A
  apply (rule Basic)
  apply auto
  done
lemma Spec: P \subseteq \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\exists t. \ (s,t) \in r)\}
                \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Spec \ r) \ Q,A
by (rule hoarep.Spec [THEN conseqPre])
lemma SpecIf:
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (b \ s \longrightarrow f \ s \in Q) \ \land \ (\neg \ b \ s \longrightarrow g \ s \in Q \ \land \ h \ s \in Q)\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ \mathit{Spec}\ (\mathit{if-rel}\ \mathit{b}\ f\ \mathit{g}\ \mathit{h})\ \mathit{Q},\mathit{A}
```

```
apply (rule Spec)
  apply (auto simp add: if-rel-def)
  done
lemma Seq [trans, intro?]:
  \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c_1 \ R, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2) \ Q, A
  by (rule hoarep.Seq)
lemma SeqSwap:
  \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c2 \ Q,A; \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c1 \ R,A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ Q,A
  by (rule Seq)
lemma BSeq:
   \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c_1 \ R, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (bseq \ c_1 \ c_2) \ Q, A
  by (unfold bseq-def) (rule Seq)
lemma Cond:
  assumes wp: P \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\}
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P_1 c_1 Q,A
  assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P_2 c_2 Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{Cond}\ b\ c_1\ c_2)\ \textit{Q},\textit{A}
proof (rule hoarep.Cond [THEN conseqPre])
  from deriv-c1
  \mathbf{show}\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ (s\in b\longrightarrow s\in P_1)\ \land\ (s\notin b\longrightarrow s\in P_2)\}\ \cap\ b)\ c_1\ Q,A
     by (rule conseqPre) blast
next
  from deriv-c2
  show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ (s\in b\longrightarrow s\in P_1)\land (s\notin b\longrightarrow s\in P_2)\}\cap -b)\ c_2\ Q,A
     by (rule conseqPre) blast
next
  show P \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\} by (rule wp)
qed
lemma CondSwap:
  \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P1\ c1\ Q,A;\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P2\ c2\ Q,A;\ P\subseteq \{s.\ (s\in b\longrightarrow s\in P1)\ \land\ (s\notin b\longrightarrow s\in P1)\}
s \in P2)\}
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ (\mathit{Cond}\ b\ \mathit{c1}\ \mathit{c2})\ \mathit{Q}, A
  by (rule Cond)
lemma Cond':
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (b \subseteq P1) \ \land \ (-b \subseteq P2)\}; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P1 \ c1 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P2 \ c2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (Cond b c1 c2) Q,A
```

```
by (rule CondSwap) blast+
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{CondInv} \colon
  assumes wp: P \subseteq Q
  \textbf{assumes} \ inv: \ Q \subseteq \{s. \ (s{\in}b \longrightarrow s{\in}P_1) \ \land \ (s{\notin}b \longrightarrow s{\in}P_2)\}
  assumes deriv\text{-}c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P_1 c_1 Q,A assumes deriv\text{-}c2: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P_2 c_2 Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (Cond b c_1 c_2) Q,A
proof -
  from wp inv
  have P \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\}
     by blast
  from Cond [OF this deriv-c1 deriv-c2]
  show ?thesis.
qed
lemma CondInv':
  assumes wp: P \subseteq I
  assumes inv: I \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\}
  assumes wp': I \subseteq Q
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P_1 c_1 I,A
  assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash^{\cdot}_{/F} P_2 \ c_2 \ I,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (Cond b c_1 c_2) Q,A
proof -
  from CondInv [OF wp inv deriv-c1 deriv-c2]
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (Cond b c_1 c_2) I,A.
  from conseqPost [OF this wp' subset-reft]
  show ?thesis.
\mathbf{qed}
lemma switchNil:
  P \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (switch \ v \ []) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: Skip)
\mathbf{lemma}\ switchCons:
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (v \ s \in V \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (v \ s \notin V \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\};
         \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P_1 \ c \ Q,A;
          \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P_2 \ (switch \ v \ vs) \ Q,A
\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (switch \ v \ ((V,c)\#vs)) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: Cond)
lemma Guard:
 \llbracket P \subseteq g \cap R; \, \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} R \,\, c \,\, Q,A \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule Guard [THEN conseqPre, of - - - R])
apply (erule conseqPre)
```

```
apply auto
done
lemma GuardSwap:
 \llbracket \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q,A; \ P \subseteq g \ \cap \ R \rrbracket
  \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (rule Guard)
lemma Guarantee:
 \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in g \longrightarrow s \in R\}; \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q,A; \ f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule Guarantee [THEN conseqPre, of - - - - \{s. \ s \in g \longrightarrow s \in R\}])
apply assumption
apply (erule conseqPre)
apply auto
done
lemma GuaranteeSwap:
 \llbracket \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q,A; \ P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in g \longrightarrow s \in R\}; \ f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (rule Guarantee)
lemma GuardStrip:
 \llbracket P \subseteq R; \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q,A; f \in F \rrbracket
  \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule Guarantee [THEN conseqPre])
apply auto
done
\mathbf{lemma} \mathit{GuardStripSwap}:
 \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} R\ c\ Q,A;\ P\subseteq R;\ f\in F \rrbracket
  \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (rule GuardStrip)
lemma GuaranteeStrip:
 \llbracket P \subseteq R; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q, A; f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (unfold guaranteeStrip-def) (rule GuardStrip)
lemma GuaranteeStripSwap:
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq R; f \in F \rrbracket
  \implies \dot{\Gamma}, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c) \ Q, A
  by (unfold guaranteeStrip-def) (rule GuardStrip)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{GuaranteeAsGuard}\colon
 \llbracket P \subseteq g \cap R; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q, A \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
```

```
lemma Guarantee As Guard Swap:
 \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} R\ c\ Q,A;\ P\subseteq g\ \cap\ R \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (rule GuaranteeAsGuard)
lemma GuardsNil:
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guards \ [] \ c) \ Q,A
  by simp
lemma GuardsCons:
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ Guard \ f \ g \ (guards \ gs \ c) \ Q,A \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guards \ ((f,g)\#gs) \ c) \ Q,A
  by simp
{\bf lemma}\ {\it GuardsConsGuaranteeStrip} :
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ (guards \ gs \ c) \ Q,A \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ (guards \ (guaranteeStripPair f \ g\#gs) \ c) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: guaranteeStripPair-def guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma While:
  assumes P-I: P \subseteq I
  assumes deriv-body: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(I\cap b) c I,A
  assumes I-Q: I \cap -b \subseteq Q
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (whileAnno b I V c) Q,A
proof -
  from deriv-body P-I I-Q
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: whileAnno-def)
    {\bf apply} \ ({\it erule \ conseqPrePost \ [OF \ HoarePartialDef.While}])
    apply simp-all
    done
qed
J will be instantiated by tactic with gs' \cap I for those guards that are not
stripped.
lemma While Anno G:
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guards \ gs
                     (while Anno\ b\ J\ V\ (Seq\ c\ (guards\ gs\ Skip))))\ Q,A
        \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (whileAnnoG \ gs \ b \ I \ V \ c) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def whileAnno-def while-def)
```

**by** (unfold guaranteeStrip-def) (rule Guard)

This form stems from strip-guards F (whileAnnoG gs b I V c)

```
lemma WhileNoGuard':
  assumes P-I: P \subseteq I
  assumes deriv-body: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (I \cap b) c I,A
  assumes I-Q: I \cap -b \subseteq Q
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (while Anno b I V (Seq c Skip)) Q,A
  apply (rule While [OF P-I - I-Q])
  apply (rule Seq)
  apply (rule deriv-body)
  apply (rule hoarep.Skip)
  done
lemma WhileAnnoFix:
assumes consequence: P \subseteq \{s. (\exists Z. s \in I Z \land (I Z \cap -b \subseteq Q)) \}
assumes bdy: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (I \ Z \cap b) \ (c \ Z) \ (I \ Z), A
assumes bdy-constant: \forall Z.\ c\ Z = c\ undefined
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (while AnnoFix b I V c) Q,A
proof -
  from bdy bdy-constant
  have bdy': \forall Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (IZ \cap b) \ (c \ undefined) \ (IZ),A
    apply -
    apply (rule allI)
    apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
    apply (erule-tac \ x=Z \ in \ all E)
    apply simp
    done
  have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (I \ Z) \ (while AnnoFix \ b \ I \ V \ c) \ (I \ Z \cap -b), A
   apply rule
    apply (unfold whileAnnoFix-def)
    apply (rule hoarep. While)
    apply (rule bdy' [rule-format])
    done
  then
  show ?thesis
   apply (rule conseq)
    using consequence
    \mathbf{by} blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{WhileAnnoFix'}:
assumes consequence: P \subseteq \{s. (\exists Z. s \in IZ \land A)\}
                               (\forall t. \ t \in I \ Z \cap -b \longrightarrow t \in Q)) \ \}
assumes bdy: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (I \ Z \cap b) \ (c \ Z) \ (I \ Z), A
assumes bdy-constant: \forall Z.\ c\ Z = c\ undefined
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (while AnnoFix b I V c) Q,A
 apply (rule WhileAnnoFix [OF - bdy bdy-constant])
 using consequence by blast
```

 ${f lemma}$  While AnnoGFix:

```
assumes whileAnnoFix:
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guards \ gs
                (while Anno Fix\ b\ J\ V\ (\lambda Z.\ (Seq\ (c\ Z)\ (guards\ gs\ Skip)))))\ Q,A
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (whileAnnoGFix gs b I V c) Q,A
  using whileAnnoFix
  by (simp add: whileAnnoGFix-def whileAnnoFix-def while-def)
lemma Bind:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in P' \ s\}
  assumes c: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P's) \ (c \ (e \ s)) \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (bind \ e \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. s=Z \land s \in P' Z} and Q'=\lambda Z. Q and
A'=\lambda Z. A]
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold bind-def)
apply (rule DynCom)
apply (rule ballI)
apply simp
apply (rule conseqPre)
apply (rule\ c\ [rule-format])
apply blast
using adapt
apply blast
done
lemma Block:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. init s \in P' s\}
\textbf{assumes} \ \textit{bdy} \vdots \ \forall \, s. \ \Gamma. \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P' \, s) \ \textit{bdy} \ \{t. \ \textit{return} \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\}, \{t. \ \textit{return} \ s \ t \in A\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F}^{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (block init bdy return c) Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. s=Z \land init \ s \in P' \ Z} and Q'=\lambda Z. Q
and
A'=\lambda Z. A]
prefer 2
using adapt
apply blast
apply (rule allI)
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{unfold} \ \mathit{block-def})
apply (rule DynCom)
apply (rule ballI)
\mathbf{apply}\ clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return Z t \in R Z t\} in SeqSwap)
apply (rule-tac P'=\lambda Z'. {t. t=Z' \land return Z t \in R Z t} and
          Q'=\lambda Z'. Q and A'=\lambda Z'. A in conseq)
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule DynCom)
apply (clarsimp)
```

```
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule \ c \ [rule-format])
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R=\{t. return Z t \in A\} in Catch)
apply (rule-tac R = \{i. i \in P'Z\} in Seq)
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply simp
apply (rule bdy [rule-format])
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule Throw)
apply (rule Basic)
apply simp
done
lemma BlockSwap:
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes bdy: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P's) \ bdy \ \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\}, \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in A\}
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s' : init \ s \in P' \ s\}
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (block init bdy return c) Q,A
using adapt bdy c
  by (rule Block)
lemma BlockSpec:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                               (\forall t. \ t \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \land (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A)\}
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes bdy: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ bdy \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (block init bdy return c) Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. init s \in P' Z \land
                               (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \land
                               (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A) and Q'=\lambda Z. \ Q and
A'=\lambda Z. A]
prefer 2
using adapt
apply blast
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (rule DynCom)
apply (rule ballI)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\} in SeqSwap)
apply (rule-tac P'=\lambda Z'. {t. t=Z' \land return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t} and
           Q'=\lambda Z'. Q and A'=\lambda Z'. A in conseq)
```

```
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule DynCom)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply \quad (rule \ c \ [rule-format])
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return \ s \ t \in A\} in Catch)
apply (rule-tac R = \{i. i \in P'Z\} in Seq)
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply simp
apply (rule conseq [OF bdy])
apply clarsimp
apply blast
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule Throw)
apply (rule Basic)
apply simp
done
lemma Throw: P \subseteq A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P Throw Q, A
 by (rule hoarep. Throw [THEN conseqPre])
lemmas Catch = hoarep.Catch
lemma CatchSwap: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ Catch
c_1 c_2 Q,A
 by (rule hoarep.Catch)
lemma raise: P \subseteq \{s. f s \in A\} \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \text{ raise } f Q, A
  apply (simp add: raise-def)
  apply (rule Seq)
 apply (rule Basic)
 apply (assumption)
  apply (rule Throw)
 apply (rule subset-refl)
  done
lemma condCatch: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, ((b \cap R) \cup (-b \cap A)); \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
                 \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ condCatch \ c_1 \ b \ c_2 \ Q,A
 apply (simp add: condCatch-def)
 apply (rule Catch)
 apply assumption
  apply (rule CondSwap)
  apply (assumption)
  apply (rule hoarep. Throw)
  apply blast
```

## done

lemma FCall:

```
lemma condCatchSwap: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, ((b \cap R) \cup (-b \cap R)) \end{bmatrix}
A))
                     \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ condCatch \ c_1 \ b \ c_2 \ Q,A
  by (rule condCatch)
lemma ProcSpec:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                                  (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \ \land
                                  (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P' Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q' Z), (A' Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
using adapt c p
apply (unfold call-def)
by (rule BlockSpec)
lemma ProcSpec':
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                                  (\forall t \in Q' Z. return s t \in R s t) \land
                                  (\forall t \in A' Z. return s t \in A)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F}^{'} (P' Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q' Z), (A' Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule\ ProcSpec\ [OF - c\ p])
apply (insert adapt)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule (1) subsetD)
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{clarsimp})
apply (rule-tac x=Z in exI)
apply blast
done
lemma ProcSpecNoAbrupt:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                                  (\forall t. \ t \in Q'Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q'Z), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule ProcSpec [OF - c p])
using adapt
apply simp
done
```

```
\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ (call \ init \ p \ return \ (\lambda s \ t. \ c \ (result \ t))) \ Q,A
\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (fcall init p return result c) Q,A
  by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma ProcRec:
  assumes deriv-bodies:
   \forall p \in Procs.
     \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup p \in Procs. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{(P \ p \ Z,p,Q \ p \ Z,A \ p \ Z)\})
          \vdash_{/F} (P \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  assumes Procs-defined: Procs \subseteq dom \Gamma
  \mathbf{shows} \ \forall \ p {\in} Procs. \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta {\vdash_{/F}} (P \ p \ Z) \ \ Call \ p \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  by (intro strip)
      (rule CallRec'
      [OF - Procs-defined deriv-bodies],
      simp-all)
lemma ProcRec':
  assumes ctxt: \Theta' = \Theta \cup (\bigcup p \in Procs. \bigcup Z. \{(P \ p \ Z, p, Q \ p \ Z, A \ p \ Z)\})
  assumes deriv-bodies:
   \forall p \in Procs. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta' \vdash_{/F} (P \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  assumes Procs-defined: Procs \subseteq dom \Gamma
  shows \forall p \in Procs. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ p \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  using ctxt deriv-bodies
  apply simp
  apply (erule ProcRec [OF - Procs-defined])
  done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ProcRecList} \colon
  assumes deriv-bodies:
   \forall p \in set \ Procs.
     \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \cup (\bigcup p \in set \ Procs. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{(P \ p \ Z, p, Q \ p \ Z, A \ p \ Z)\})
          \vdash_{/F} (P \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  assumes dist: distinct Procs
  assumes Procs-defined: set\ Procs \subseteq dom\ \Gamma
  \mathbf{shows} \ \forall \ p {\in} set \ Procs. \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta {\vdash_{/F}} (P \ p \ Z) \ \ Call \ p \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  using deriv-bodies Procs-defined
  by (rule ProcRec)
\mathbf{lemma} \;\; \mathit{ProcRecSpecs} \colon
  \llbracket\forall\,(P,p,Q,A)\in\mathit{Specs}.\ \Gamma,\Theta\cup\mathit{Specs}\vdash_{/F}P\ (\mathit{the}\ (\Gamma\ p))\ Q,A;
     \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \ p \in dom \ \Gamma
  \implies \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
apply (auto intro: CallRec)
done
```

```
lemma ProcRec1:
  assumes deriv-body:
   \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup Z. \ \{(P\ Z,p,Q\ Z,A\ Z)\}) \vdash_{/F} (P\ Z) \ (the\ (\Gamma\ p)) \ (Q\ Z),(A\ Z)
  assumes p-defined: p \in dom \Gamma
  shows \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P\ Z) \ Call\ p\ (Q\ Z),(A\ Z)
proof -
  from deriv-body p-defined
  have \forall p \in \{p\}. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
    by – (rule ProcRec [where A=\lambda p. A and P=\lambda p. P and Q=\lambda p. Q],
           simp-all)
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ProcNoRec1}\colon
  assumes deriv-body:
   \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
  assumes p-def: p \in dom \Gamma
  shows \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
proof -
from deriv-body
  have \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup Z. \ \{(P\ Z,p,Q\ Z,A\ Z)\})
              \vdash_{/F} (P Z) (the (\Gamma p)) (Q Z), (A Z)
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{blast\ intro:\ hoare-augment-context})
  from this p-def
  show ?thesis
    by (rule ProcRec1)
qed
lemma ProcBody:
 assumes WP: P \subseteq P'
 assumes \mathit{deriv}\text{-}\mathit{body}\colon \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P'\ \mathit{body}\ Q,A
 assumes body: \Gamma p = Some \ body
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P Call p Q,A
apply (rule conseqPre [OF - WP])
apply (rule ProcNoRec1 [rule-format, where P=\lambda Z. P' and Q=\lambda Z. Q and
A=\lambda Z. A])
apply (insert body)
apply simp
apply (rule hoare-augment-context [OF deriv-body])
\mathbf{apply} \quad blast
apply fastforce
done
lemma CallBody:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. init s \in P' s\}
assumes bdy: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P's) \ body \ \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\}, \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in A\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
```

```
assumes body: \Gamma p = Some \ body
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (unfold call-def)
apply (rule Block [OF adapt - c])
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule ProcBody [where \Gamma = \Gamma, OF - bdy [rule-format] body])
apply simp
done
lemmas ProcModifyReturn = HoarePartialProps.ProcModifyReturn
{\bf lemmas}\ ProcModifyReturnSameFaults = HoarePartialProps. ProcModifyReturnSameFaults
lemma ProcModifyReturnNoAbr:
  assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes result-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes modifies-spec:
 \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
by (rule ProcModifyReturn [OF spec result-conform - modifies-spec]) simp
{\bf lemma}\ ProcModify Return No Abr Same Faults:
  assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes result-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes modifies-spec:
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma),\{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
by (rule ProcModifyReturnSameFaults [OF spec result-conform - modifies-spec])
simp
lemma DynProc:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' \mid s \mid Z \land A\}
                         assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall s \in P. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P' \ s \ Z) \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Q' \ s \ Z), (A' \ s \ Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P dynCall init p return c Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. s=Z \land s \in P}
  and Q'=\lambda Z. Q and A'=\lambda Z. A]
prefer 2
using adapt
apply blast
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold dynCall-def call-def block-def)
apply (rule DynCom)
apply clarsimp
```

```
apply (rule DynCom)
\mathbf{apply}\ \mathit{clarsimp}
apply (frule in-mono [rule-format, OF adapt])
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac Z')
apply (rule-tac\ R=Q'\ Z\ Z'\ \mathbf{in}\ Seq)
apply (rule CatchSwap)
apply (rule SeqSwap)
          (rule Throw)
apply
          (rule subset-refl)
apply
apply (rule Basic)
apply (rule subset-refl)
apply (rule-tac R = \{i. i \in P' Z Z'\} in Seq)
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply simp
apply (rule-tac Q'=Q'ZZ' and A'=A'ZZ' in conseqPost)
using p
apply
           clarsimp
apply simp
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac P'=\lambda Z''. \{t.\ t=Z'' \land return\ Z\ t\in R\ Z\ t\} and
          Q'=\lambda Z''. Q and A'=\lambda Z''. A in conseq)
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule DynCom)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule \ c \ [rule-format])
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
done
lemma DynProc':
 assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' \mid s \mid Z \land A\}
                          (\forall t \in Q' \ s \ Z. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \land
                          (\forall t \in A' \ s \ Z. \ return \ s \ t \in A)}
 assumes c : \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q,A
  assumes p: \forall s \in P. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P' \ s \ Z) \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Q' \ s \ Z), (A' \ s \ Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P dynCall init p return c Q,A
proof -
  from adapt have P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' \mid s \mid Z \land A\}
                          (\forall \, t. \, \, t \in Q' \, s \, Z \longrightarrow return \, s \, t \in R \, s \, t) \, \land
                          (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ s \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A) \}
    by blast
 from this c p show ?thesis
    by (rule DynProc)
qed
```

```
lemma DynProcStaticSpec:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in S \land (\exists Z. \ init \ s \in P'Z \land A\}\}
                                                                             (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in Q' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in R \ s \ \tau) \land
                                                                              (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in A))\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes spec: \forall s \in S. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ (p s) \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
      from adapt have P-S: P \subseteq S
           by blast
      have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap S) (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
           apply (rule DynProc [where P'=\lambda s Z. P'Z and Q'=\lambda s Z. Q'Z
                                                                    and A'=\lambda s Z. A' Z, OF - c)
           apply clarsimp
           apply (frule in-mono [rule-format, OF adapt])
           apply clarsimp
           using spec
           apply clarsimp
           done
      thus ?thesis
           by (rule conseqPre) (insert P-S,blast)
qed
lemma DynProcProcPar:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q \land (\exists Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land \}\}
                                                                             (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in R \ s \ \tau) \land (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in A))\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ q \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
     apply (rule DynProcStaticSpec [where S = \{s. p \mid s = q\}, simplified, OF adapt c])
     using spec
     apply simp
      done
\mathbf{lemma}\ DynProcProcParNoAbrupt:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q \land (\exists Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land P' \ Z
                                                                            (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in R \ s \ \tau))\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P' Z) \ Call \ q \ (Q' Z), \{\}
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
     have P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q \land (\exists \ Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land \}\}
                                                             (\forall t. \ t \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \ \land
                                                             (\forall t. \ t \in \{\} \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A))\}
```

```
(is P \subseteq ?P')
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume P \colon s {\in} P
    with adapt obtain Z where
      Pre: p \ s = q \land init \ s \in P' \ Z and
      adapt-Norm: \forall \tau. \ \tau \in \mathit{Q'} \ \mathit{Z} \longrightarrow \mathit{return} \ s \ \tau \in \mathit{R} \ s \ \tau
      by blast
    from adapt-Norm
    have \forall t. t \in Q'Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t
      by auto
    then
    show s \in ?P'
      using Pre by blast
  qed
  note P = this
  show ?thesis
    apply -
    apply (rule DynProcStaticSpec [where S = \{s. p \ s = q\}, simplified, OF P c])
    apply (insert spec)
    apply auto
    done
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ DynProcModifyReturnNoAbr:
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                              \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  {\bf assumes}\ \textit{modif-clause}:
            \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from ret-nrm-modif
  have \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
         \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
    by iprover
  then
  have ret-nrm-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                       \longrightarrow \mathit{return'} \; s \; t = \mathit{return} \; s \; t
    by simp
  have ret-abr-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in \{\}
                         \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
    by simp
  from to-prove ret-nrm-modif' ret-abr-modif' modif-clause show ?thesis
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturn)
qed
```

```
{\bf lemma}\ ProcDynModifyReturnNoAbrSameFaults:
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                             \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
            \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ (p \ s)) \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from ret-nrm-modif
 have \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
        \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
   by iprover
  have ret-nrm-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                      \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
    by simp
  have ret-abr-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in \{\}
                        \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  from to-prove ret-nrm-modif' ret-abr-modif' modif-clause show ?thesis
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults)
qed
{f lemma}\ ProcProcParModifyReturn:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'
   — DynProcProcPar introduces the same constraint as first conjunction in P', so
the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                             \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes ret-abr-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (ModifAbr \ (init \ s))
                             \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
          \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ q) \ (Modif \ \sigma), (Modif Abr \ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
  from to-prove have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c)\ Q,A
    by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
       ret-abr-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ }c)\ \textit{Q,A}
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturn) (insert modif-clause, auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
    by (rule conseqPre)
qed
```

```
{\bf lemma}\ Proc Proc Par Modify Return Same Faults:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'
   — DynProcProcPar introduces the same constraint as first conjunction in P', so
the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                            \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes ret-abr-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (ModifAbr \ (init \ s))
                            \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
          \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ q \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from to-prove
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init}\ p\ \textit{return'}\ c)\ \textit{Q,A}
    by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
       ret-abr-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ }c)\ \textit{Q,A}
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults) (insert modif-clause,auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
    by (rule conseqPre)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ ProcProcParModifyReturnNoAbr:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'
   — DynProcProcParNoAbrupt introduces the same constraint as first conjunction
in P', so the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                               \rightarrow return's t = return s t
  {\bf assumes} \ \textit{modif-clause} :
           \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ q) \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof
  from to-prove have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c)\ Q,A
   by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ c)\ Q,A
    by (rule DynProcModifyReturnNoAbr) (insert modif-clause, auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
    by (rule\ conseqPre)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ Proc Proc Par Modify Return No Abr Same Faults:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'
   — DynProcProcParNoAbrupt introduces the same constraint as first conjunction
```

```
in P', so the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
 assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                            \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
           \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ q) \ (Modif \ \sigma),\{\}
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from to-prove have
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c)\ Q,A
   by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ }c)\ \textit{Q,A}
   by (rule ProcDynModifyReturnNoAbrSameFaults) (insert modif-clause,auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
   by (rule\ conseqPre)
qed
lemma MergeGuards-iff: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P merge-guards c \ Q, A = \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
 by (auto intro: MergeGuardsI MergeGuardsD)
lemma CombineStrip':
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c' Q,A
  assumes deriv-strip-triv: \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/\{\}} P c'' UNIV, UNIV
  assumes c'': c''= mark-guards False (strip-guards (-F) c')
  assumes c: merge-guards c = merge-guards (mark-guards False c')
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from deriv-strip-triv have deriv-strip: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}}P c'' UNIV,UNIV
   by (auto intro: hoare-augment-context)
  from deriv-strip [simplified c'']
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P (strip-guards (-F) c') UNIV, UNIV
   by (rule MarkGuardsD)
  with deriv
  have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c' \ Q,A
   by (rule CombineStrip)
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}}P mark-guards False c' Q,A
   by (rule MarkGuardsI)
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}}P merge-guards (mark-guards False c') Q,A
   by (rule MergeGuardsI)
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P merge-guards c Q,A
   by (simp \ add: \ c)
  thus ?thesis
   by (rule\ MergeGuardsD)
qed
lemma CombineStrip":
```

```
assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{True\}} P \ c' \ Q,A
  assumes deriv-strip-triv: \Gamma,{}\\vdash_/{}} P c'' UNIV, UNIV
  assumes c'': c''= mark-guards False (strip-guards ({False}) c')
  assumes c: merge-guards c = merge-guards (mark-guards False c')
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule CombineStrip' [OF deriv deriv-strip-triv - c])
  apply (insert c'')
  apply (subgoal-tac - \{True\} = \{False\})
  apply auto
  done
lemma AsmUN:
  (\bigcup Z.\ \{(P\ Z,\ p,\ Q\ Z,\!A\ Z)\})\subseteq\Theta
  \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ (Call \ p) \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
  by (blast intro: hoarep.Asm)
lemma augment-context':
  \llbracket\Theta\subseteq\Theta';\,\forall\,Z.\,\,\Gamma,\!\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\;Z)\quad p\,\,(Q\;Z),\!(A\;Z)\rrbracket
   \implies \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P Z) \ p \ (Q Z),(A Z)
  by (iprover intro: hoare-augment-context)
lemma hoarep-strip:
 \llbracket \forall \, Z. \,\, \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} (P \,\, Z) \,\, p \,\, (Q \,\, Z), (A \,\, Z); \,\, F^{\, \prime} \subseteq -F \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
     \forall Z. \ strip \ F' \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
  by (iprover intro: hoare-strip-\Gamma)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{augment-emptyFaults}\colon
 \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/\{\}} \ (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
     \forall Z. \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} (P Z) \ p \ (Q Z), (A Z)
  by (blast intro: augment-Faults)
lemma augment-FaultsUNIV:
 \llbracket\forall\,Z.\ \Gamma,\!\{\}\vdash_{/F}(P\ Z)\ p\ (Q\ Z),\!(A\ Z)\rrbracket \Longrightarrow
     \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/UNIV} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
  by (blast intro: augment-Faults)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{PostConjI}\ [\mathit{trans}]:
  \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ Q,A;\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ R,B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ (Q\ \cap\ R),(A\ \cap\ B)
  by (rule PostConjI)
lemma PostConjI':
  \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ Q,A;\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ Q,A \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ R,B \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R), (A \cap B)
  by (rule PostConjI) iprover+
```

```
lemma PostConjE [consumes 1]: assumes conj: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R),(A \cap B) assumes E: \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A; \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ R,B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow S shows S proof — from conj have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A by (rule\ conseqPost)\ blast+ moreover from conj have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ R,B by (rule\ conseqPost)\ blast+ ultimately show S by (rule\ E) qed
```

## 9.1 Rules for Single-Step Proof

 $\mathbf{lemma}$  reannotate While No Guard:

We are now ready to introduce a set of Hoare rules to be used in single-step structured proofs in Isabelle/Isar.

Assertions of Hoare Logic may be manipulated in calculational proofs, with the inclusion expressed in terms of sets or predicates. Reversed order is supported as well.

```
lemma annotateI [trans]:
\llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ anno \ Q,A; \ c = anno \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
lemma annotate-normI:
        assumes deriv-anno: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P anno Q,A
        assumes norm-eq: normalize c = normalize anno
         shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
         from NormalizeI [OF deriv-anno] norm-eq
         have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P normalize c \ Q,A
                  by simp
         from NormalizeD [OF this]
         show ?thesis.
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{annotateWhile} :
\llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b I V c}) \ \textit{Q,A} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{while gs b c}) \ \textit{Q,A}
        by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{reannotateWhile} :
\llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b I V c}) \ \textit{Q,A} \rrbracket \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V}) = \Gamma, G \vdash_{/F
c) Q,A
        by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
```

```
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \text{ (whileAnno b I V c) } Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \text{ (whileAnno b J V c) } Q, A  by (simp add: whileAnno-def)
```

lemma 
$$[trans]: P' \subseteq P \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q, A$$
 by  $(rule \ conseqPre)$ 

lemma [trans]: 
$$Q \subseteq Q' \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q', A$$
 by (rule conseqPost) blast+

lemma [trans]:

$$\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P\ s\}\ c\ Q,A\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s\longrightarrow P\ s)\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c\ Q,A$$
 by (rule conseqPre) auto

lemma [trans]:

$$(\bigwedge s. \ P' \ s \longrightarrow P \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ P \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A$$
 by (rule conseqPre) auto

lemma [trans]:

$$\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ c\ \{s.\ Q\ s\},A\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ Q\ s\longrightarrow Q'\ s)\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\},A$$
 by (rule conseqPost) auto

lemma [trans]:

$$(\bigwedge s. \ Q \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A$$
 by (rule conseqPost) auto

lemma [intro?]: 
$$\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P$$
 Skip  $P,A$  by (rule Skip) auto

lemma CondInt [trans,intro?]:

 $\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{CondConj} \ [\mathit{trans}, \ \mathit{intro?}] :$ 

lemma WhileInvInt [intro?]:

$$\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap b)\ c\ P,A\Longrightarrow\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ (whileAnno\ b\ P\ V\ c)\ (P\cap -b),A$$
 by  $(rule\ While)\ auto$ 

lemma WhileInt [intro?]:

$$\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap b)\ c\ P,A$$

```
\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ (whileAnno\ b\ \{s.\ undefined\}\ V\ c)\ (P\cap -b),A
\mathbf{by}\ (unfold\ whileAnno\ def)
(rule\ HoarePartialDef\ .While\ [THEN\ conseqPrePost],auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ WhileInvConj\ [intro?]:
\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ P\ s\wedge b\ s\}\ c\ \{s.\ P\ s\}\ V\ c)\ \{s.\ P\ s\wedge \neg\ b\ s\},A
\mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ While\ Collect-conj-eq\ Collect-neg-eq)}
\mathbf{lemma}\ WhileConj\ [intro?]:
\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ P\ s\wedge b\ s\}\ c\ \{s.\ P\ s\},A
\Rightarrow
\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ P\ s\}\ (whileAnno\ \{s.\ b\ s\}\ \{s.\ undefined\}\ V\ c)\ \{s.\ P\ s\wedge \neg\ b\ s\},A
\mathbf{by}\ (unfold\ whileAnno\ def)
(simp\ add:\ HoarePartialDef\ .While\ [THEN\ conseqPrePost]
Collect-conj-eq\ Collect-neg-eq)
```

end

# 10 Terminating Programs

theory Termination imports Semantic begin

## 10.1 Inductive Characterisation: $\Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s$

```
inductive terminates::('s,'p,'f) \ body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'f) \ xstate \Rightarrow bool
(\vdash - \downarrow - [60,20,60] \ 89)
for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f) \ body
where
Skip: \Gamma \vdash Skip \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Basic: \Gamma \vdash Basic \ f \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Spec: \Gamma \vdash Spec \ r \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Guard: [s \in g; \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow (Normal \ s)]]
\Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| GuardFault: \ s \notin g
\Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Fault \ [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Fault \ f
```

```
\mid \mathit{Seq} \colon \llbracket \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow \mathit{Normal} \ s; \ \forall \ s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s' \rrbracket
            \Gamma \vdash Seq \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| CondTrue: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow (Normal \ s)]|
                     \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| CondFalse: [s \notin b; \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow (Normal \ s)]|
                     \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| While True: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow (Normal \ s);
                        \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s' 
                       \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| WhileFalse: [s \notin b]
                         \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Call: [\Gamma p=Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow (Normal \ s)]
                \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| CallUndefined: [\Gamma p = None]
                               \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Stuck [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Stuck
\mid DynCom: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (c \ s) \downarrow (Normal \ s) \rrbracket
                     \Gamma \vdash DynCom \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Throw: \Gamma \vdash Throw \downarrow (Normal\ s)
|Abrupt[intro,simp]: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Abrupt s
| Catch: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s;
                 \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s' \rrbracket
                \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s
```

inductive-cases terminates-elim-cases [cases set]:

```
\Gamma \vdash Skip \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \ \downarrow \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Basic \ f \ \downarrow \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Spec \ r \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash Seq \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash DynCom \ c \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash Throw \,\downarrow \, s
  \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
inductive-cases terminates-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
  \Gamma \vdash Skip \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Basic \ f \ \downarrow \ Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Spec \ r \ \downarrow \ Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Seq \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ c \downarrow Normal\ s
  \Gamma \vdash Throw \downarrow Normal s
  \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
lemma terminates-Skip': \Gamma \vdash Skip \downarrow s
  by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
lemma terminates-Call-body:
 \Gamma \ p{=}Some \ bdy {\Longrightarrow} \Gamma {\vdash} Call \ \ p \ {\downarrow} s = \Gamma {\vdash} (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) {\downarrow} s
  by (cases\ s)
      (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros)
lemma terminates-Normal-Call-body:
 p \in dom \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \ \downarrow Normal \ s = \Gamma \vdash (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \downarrow Normal \ s
  by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros)
lemma terminates-implies-exec:
  assumes terminates: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
  shows \exists t. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
using terminates
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Basic thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
  case (Spec \ r \ s) thus ?case
     by (cases \exists t. (s,t) \in r) (auto intro: exec.intros)
```

```
next
 case Guard thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case GuardFault thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
  case Fault thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
  case Seq thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec-Seq')
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{next}
 case CondFalse thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case WhileTrue thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case WhileFalse thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case (Call p bdy s)
 then obtain s' where
   \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
   by iprover
 moreover have \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
 ultimately show ?case
   by (cases s') (iprover intro: exec.intros)+
next
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case Stuck thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case DynCom thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
 case Throw thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case Abrupt thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case (Catch c1 s c2)
 then obtain s' where exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
   by iprover
  thus ?case
  proof (cases s')
   case (Normal s'')
   with exec-c1 show ?thesis by (auto intro!: exec.intros)
  \mathbf{next}
   case (Abrupt s'')
   with exec-c1 Catch.hyps
   obtain t where \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s^{\prime\prime} \rangle \Rightarrow t
   with exec-c1 Abrupt show ?thesis by (auto intro: exec.intros)
 next
```

```
with exec-c1 show ?thesis by (auto intro!: exec.CatchMiss)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-c1 show ?thesis by (auto intro!: exec.CatchMiss)
  ged
qed
lemma terminates-block:
\llbracket \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s);
  \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t) 
 \implies \Gamma \vdash block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim!: exec-Normal-elim-cases
         dest!: not-isAbrD)
done
lemma terminates-block-elim [cases set, consumes 1]:
assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash block init bdy return c \downarrow Normal s
assumes e: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s);
          \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s)
t)
         \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
proof -
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ init, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ (init\ s)
    by (auto intro: exec.intros)
  with termi
  have \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal (init s)
    apply (unfold block-def)
    apply (elim terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
    by simp
  moreover
    \mathbf{fix} \ t
    assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
    have \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t)
    proof -
      from exec-bdy
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ (Seq \ (Basic \ init) \ bdy)
                                  (Seq (Basic (return s)) Throw), Normal s \Rightarrow Normal t
        by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
      with termi have \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ (\lambda t.\ Seq\ (Basic\ (return\ s))\ (c\ s\ t)) \downarrow Normal\ t
        apply (unfold block-def)
        apply (elim terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
        by simp
      thus ?thesis
        apply (elim terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
        apply (auto intro: exec.intros)
```

```
done
    qed
  ultimately show P by (iprover intro: e)
qed
lemma terminates-call:
\llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s);
  \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t) 
 \implies \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  apply (unfold call-def)
  apply (rule terminates-block)
  apply (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
  apply (auto elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  done
lemma terminates-callUndefined:
\llbracket \Gamma \ p = None \rrbracket
 \implies \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return \ result \downarrow Normal \ s
  apply (unfold call-def)
  apply (rule terminates-block)
  apply (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
  apply (auto elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  done
lemma terminates-call-elim [cases set, consumes 1]:
assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash call init p return c \downarrow Normal s
assumes bdy: \bigwedge bdy. \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s);
     \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c\ s\ t \downarrow Normal\ (return\ s\ t) 
assumes undef: \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
apply (cases \ \Gamma \ p)
apply (erule undef)
using termi
apply (unfold call-def)
apply (erule terminates-block-elim)
apply (erule terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (frule (1) bdy)
apply (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
apply assumption
apply simp
done
lemma terminates-dynCall:
\llbracket \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \rrbracket
 \implies \Gamma \vdash dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
```

```
apply (unfold dynCall-def)
 apply (auto intro: terminates.intros terminates-call)
  done
lemma terminates-dynCall-elim [cases set, consumes 1]:
assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
assumes \llbracket \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \rrbracket \implies P
shows P
using termi
apply (unfold dynCall-def)
apply (elim terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
apply fact
done
           Lemmas about sequence, flatten and Language.normalize
10.2
lemma terminates-sequence-app:
  \land s. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ xs \downarrow \ Normal \ s;
        \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \ \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ ys \downarrow s'
\implies \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys) \downarrow Normal \ s
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case by (auto intro: exec.intros)
next
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
  have termi-x-xs: \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (x \# xs) \downarrow Normal \ s \ by fact
  have termi-ys: \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (x \# xs), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash sequence
Seq ys \downarrow s' by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases xs)
    case Nil
    with termi-x-xs termi-ys show ?thesis
      by (cases ys) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  next
    case Cons
    from termi-x-xs Cons
    have \Gamma \vdash x \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
    moreover
      fix s'
      assume exec-x: \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
      have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (xs @ ys) \downarrow s'
      proof -
        from exec-x termi-x-xs Cons
        have termi-xs: \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq xs \downarrow s'
          by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
        show ?thesis
```

**proof** (cases s')

```
case (Normal s'')
          with exec-x termi-ys Cons
          have \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s'' \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ ys \ \downarrow
s'
            by (auto intro: exec.intros)
          from Cons.hyps [OF termi-xs [simplified Normal] this]
          have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (xs @ ys) \downarrow Normal s''.
          with Normal show ?thesis by simp
        next
          case Abrupt thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        \mathbf{next}
          case Fault thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
          case Stuck thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        qed
      qed
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using Cons
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
qed
lemma terminates-sequence-appD:
  \land s. \ \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys) \downarrow Normal \ s
   \implies \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ xs \downarrow \ Normal \ s \ \land
       (\forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \ \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ ys \downarrow s')
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases exec-Normal-elim-cases
         intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
  have termi-x-xs-ys: \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq ((x \# xs) @ ys) \downarrow Normal s by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases xs)
    case Nil
    with termi-x-xs-ys show ?thesis
      by (cases ys)
         (auto\ elim:\ terminates\text{-}Normal\text{-}elim\text{-}cases\ exec\text{-}Normal\text{-}elim\text{-}cases
           intro: terminates-Skip')
  next
    case Cons
    with termi-x-xs-ys
    obtain termi-x: \Gamma \vdash x \downarrow Normal \ s and
          termi-xs-ys: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \ \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ (xs@ys) \downarrow s'
      by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash Seq \ x \ (sequence \ Seq \ xs) \downarrow Normal \ s
proof (rule terminates.Seq [rule-format])
 show \Gamma \vdash x \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{by} \ (rule \ termi-x)
\mathbf{next}
  fix s'
 assume exec-x: \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
 show \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq xs \downarrow s'
  proof -
    from termi-xs-ys [rule-format, OF exec-x]
   have termi-xs-ys': \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (xs@ys) \downarrow s'.
   show ?thesis
    proof (cases s')
     case (Normal s'')
     from Cons.hyps [OF termi-xs-ys' [simplified Normal]]
      show ?thesis
        using Normal by auto
    next
      case Abrupt thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
      case Fault thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    next
      case Stuck thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    qed
 qed
qed
moreover
{
 fix s'
 assume exec-x-xs: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ x \ (sequence \ Seq \ xs), Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
 have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq ys \downarrow s'
  proof -
    from exec-x-xs obtain t where
      exec-x: \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t and
      exec-xs: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, t \rangle \Rightarrow s'
      by cases
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases t)
      case (Normal t')
      with exec-x termi-xs-ys have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (xs@ys) \downarrow Normal t'
      from Cons.hyps [OF this] exec-xs Normal
      show ?thesis
       by auto
    next
      case (Abrupt \ t')
      with exec-xs have s'=Abrupt\ t'
       by (auto dest: Abrupt-end)
      thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    next
```

```
case (Fault f)
          with exec-xs have s'=Fault f
           by (auto dest: Fault-end)
          thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        next
          case Stuck
          with exec-xs have s' = Stuck
           by (auto dest: Stuck-end)
          thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        qed
     qed
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using Cons
      by auto
  qed
qed
lemma terminates-sequence-appE [consumes 1]:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys) \downarrow Normal \ s;
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ xs \downarrow \ Normal \ s;
    \forall\,s'.\;\Gamma \vdash \langle sequence\; Seq\; xs, Normal\; s\;\rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \; \Gamma \vdash sequence\; Seq\; ys\downarrow s\, \P \implies P \P
  by (auto dest: terminates-sequence-appD)
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}sequence\text{-}flatten:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 shows \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten c) \downarrow s
using termi
by (induct)
   (auto intro: terminates.intros terminates-sequence-app
     exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec)
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}normalize\text{:}
 assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 shows \Gamma \vdash normalize \ c \downarrow s
using termi
proof induct
  case Seq
  thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros terminates-sequence-app
                 terminates-to-terminates-sequence-flatten
        dest: exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec exec-normalize-to-exec)
next
  case WhileTrue
  thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros terminates-sequence-app
                 terminates-to-terminates-sequence-flatten
        dest: exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec exec-normalize-to-exec)
```

```
next
  case Catch
  thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros terminates-sequence-app
                 terminates-to-terminates-sequence-flatten
        dest: exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec exec-normalize-to-exec)
qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}sequence\text{-}flatten\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{:}
  shows \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten c) \downarrow s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
proof (induct \ c)
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten (Seq c1 c2)) \downarrow s by fact
 hence termi-app: \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten c1 @ flatten c2) \downarrow s by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases\ s)
    case (Normal s')
    have \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c1\ c2 \downarrow Normal\ s'
    proof (rule terminates.Seq [rule-format])
      from termi-app [simplified Normal]
      have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten c1) \downarrow Normal s'
        by (cases rule: terminates-sequence-appE)
      with Seq.hyps
      show \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal s'
        by simp
    \mathbf{next}
      fix s''
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
      from termi-app [simplified Normal] exec-to-exec-sequence-flatten [OF this]
      have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten c2) \downarrow s''
        by (cases rule: terminates-sequence-appE) auto
      with Seq.hyps
      show \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s''
        by simp
    qed
    with Normal show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
{\bf lemma}\ terminates\text{-}normalize\text{-}to\text{-}terminates:
 shows \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash normalize \ c \downarrow s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
proof (induct c)
 case Skip thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates-Skip')
\mathbf{next}
  case Basic thus ?case by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case Spec thus ?case by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
```

```
case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash normalize (Seq c1 c2) \downarrow s by fact
  hence termi-app: \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c1) @ flatten (normalize
(c2))\downarrow s
    by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Normal s')
    have \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c1\ c2 \downarrow Normal\ s'
    proof (rule terminates.Seq [rule-format])
      from termi-app [simplified Normal]
      have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c1)) \downarrow Normal s'
        by (cases rule: terminates-sequence-appE)
      from terminates-sequence-flatten-to-terminates [OF this] Seq.hyps
      show \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal s'
        by simp
    next
     fix s''
     assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
      from exec-to-exec-normalize [OF this]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{normalize}\ c1, \mathit{Normal}\ s' \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'' .
      from termi-app [simplified Normal] exec-to-exec-sequence-flatten [OF this]
      have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c2)) \downarrow s''
        by (cases rule: terminates-sequence-appE) auto
      from terminates-sequence-flatten-to-terminates [OF this] Seq.hyps
      show \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s''
        by simp
    qed
    with Normal show ?thesis by simp
  qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Cond b c1 c2)
  thus ?case
    by (cases\ s)
       (auto intro: terminates.intros elim!: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case (While b c)
 have \Gamma \vdash normalize (While \ b \ c) \downarrow s \ by fact
  hence termi-norm-w: \Gamma \vdash While\ b\ (normalize\ c) \downarrow s\ by\ simp
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ t \ w
    assume termi-w: \Gamma \vdash w \downarrow t
    have w = While \ b \ (normalize \ c) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow t
      using termi-w
    proof (induct)
      case (WhileTrue t' b' c')
      from WhileTrue obtain
        t'-b: t' \in b and
        termi-norm-c: \Gamma \vdash normalize \ c \downarrow Normal \ t' and
```

```
termi-norm-w': \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ t' \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s'
       by auto
      from While.hyps [OF termi-norm-c]
      have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ t'.
      moreover
      from termi-norm-w'
      have \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While\ b\ c \downarrow s'
       by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-normalize)
      ultimately show ?case
       using t'-b
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
 from this [OF termi-norm-w]
 show ?case
   by auto
next
  case Call thus ?case by simp
  case DynCom thus ?case
  by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros rangeI elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case Guard thus ?case
   by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  case Throw thus ?case by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Catch
  thus ?case
   by (cases\ s)
       (auto\ dest:\ exec-to-exec-normalize\ elim!:\ terminates-Normal-elim-cases
        intro!: terminates.Catch)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{terminates-iff-terminates-normalize} :
\Gamma \vdash normalize \ c \downarrow s = \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
  {f by} (auto intro: terminates-to-terminates-normalize
    terminates-normalize-to-terminates)
10.3
          Lemmas about strip-guards
lemma terminates-strip-guards-to-terminates: \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash strip-guards \ F \ c \downarrow s \implies \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
\mathbf{proof} (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case Basic thus ?case by simp
next
  case Spec thus ?case by simp
```

```
case (Seq c1 c2)
  hence \Gamma \vdash Seq (strip-guards \ F \ c1) (strip-guards \ F \ c2) \downarrow s \ by \ simp
  thus \Gamma \vdash Seq \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  proof (cases)
    fix f assume s=Fault f thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    assume s=Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix s' assume s=Abrupt s' thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix s'
    assume s: s=Normal s'
    assume \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c1 \ \downarrow\ Normal\ s'
    hence \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
      by (rule Seq.hyps)
    moreover
    assume c2:
      \forall s''. \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s'' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \downarrow s''
      fix s'' assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
      have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s''
      proof (cases s'')
        case (Normal s''')
        with exec-c1
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
           \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto\ intro}\colon \mathit{exec\text{-}to\text{-}exec\text{-}strip\text{-}guards})
        with c2
        show ?thesis
           by (iprover intro: Seq.hyps)
      next
        case (Abrupt s^{\prime\prime\prime})
        with exec-c1
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
          by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-guards)
        with c2
        show ?thesis
           by (iprover intro: Seq.hyps)
      next
         case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
      next
         case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
      qed
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using s
      by (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Cond b c1 c2)
```

```
hence \Gamma \vdash Cond\ b\ (strip-guards\ F\ c1)\ (strip-guards\ F\ c2) \downarrow s\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
  thus \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  proof (cases)
    fix f assume s=Fault f thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    assume s=Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
  \mathbf{next}
    fix s' assume s=Abrupt s' thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix s'
    assume s' \in b \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s' \ s = Normal \ s'
    thus ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates.intros Cond.hyps)
  \mathbf{next}
    \mathbf{fix} \ s'
    assume s' \notin b \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}quards \ F \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s' \ s = Normal \ s'
    thus ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates.intros Cond.hyps)
  qed
next
  case (While b c)
  have hyp-c: \land s. \Gamma \vdash strip-guards \ F \ c \downarrow s \implies \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s \ by \ fact
  have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ (strip-guards \ F \ c) \downarrow s \ using \ While.prems by simp
  moreover
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ sw
    assume \Gamma \vdash sw \downarrow s
    then have sw = While \ b \ (strip-guards \ F \ c) \Longrightarrow
      \Gamma \vdash While\ b\ c\ \downarrow\ s
    proof (induct)
      case (WhileTrue s b' c')
      have eqs: While b'c' = While b (strip-guards Fc) by fact
      with \langle s \in b' \rangle have b: s \in b by simp
      from eqs \langle \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal \ s \rangle have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
         by simp
      hence term-c: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal s
         by (rule\ hyp-c)
      moreover
      {
         \mathbf{fix} \ t
        assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
        have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow t
        proof (cases \ t)
           case Fault
           thus ?thesis by simp
         next
           case Stuck
           thus ?thesis by simp
         next
```

```
case (Abrupt t')
         thus ?thesis by simp
       next
         case (Normal t')
         with exec-c
         have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
           by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-guards)
         with WhileTrue.hyps eqs Normal
         show ?thesis
           \mathbf{by} fastforce
       \mathbf{qed}
     }
     ultimately
     \mathbf{show} ?case
       using b
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
     case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   qed simp-all
  ultimately show \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s
   by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case Call thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case DynCom thus ?case
    by (cases s) (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros
rangeI)
\mathbf{next}
  case Guard
  thus ?case
   by (cases s) (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros
                 split: if-split-asm)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Catch c1 c2)
 hence \Gamma \vdash Catch \ (strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1) \ (strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2) \downarrow s \ \text{by} \ simp
  thus \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  proof (cases)
   fix f assume s=Fault f thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   assume s=Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   fix s' assume s=Abrupt s' thus ?thesis by simp
  \mathbf{next}
   fix s'
   assume s: s=Normal s'
   assume \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
```

```
hence \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal s'
       by (rule Catch.hyps)
    moreover
    assume c2:
       \forall s''. \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s''
               \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s''
       fix s^{\prime\prime} assume exec\text{-}c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal\ s^{\prime}\ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ s^{\prime\prime}
       have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal s''
       proof -
         from exec-c1
         have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s''
            by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-guards)
         with c2
         show ?thesis
            by (auto intro: Catch.hyps)
       \mathbf{qed}
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis
       using s
       by (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{terminates-strip-to-terminates}\colon
  assumes termi-strip: strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
  shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
using termi-strip
{f proof}\ induct
  case (Seq c1 s c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ fact
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
       {f case}\ {\it True}
       thus ?thesis
         by (auto elim: isFaultE)
    \mathbf{next}
       case False
       from exec-to-exec-strip [OF exec this] Seq.hyps
       show ?thesis
         by auto
    qed
  ultimately show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
```

```
next
  case (WhileTrue\ s\ b\ c)
  have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      {\bf case}\ {\it True}
      thus ?thesis
        by (auto elim: isFaultE)
    \mathbf{next}
      {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
      from exec-to-exec-strip [OF exec this] While True.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by auto
    \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Catch\ c1\ s\ c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    from exec-to-exec-strip [OF exec] Catch.hyps
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
      by auto
  ultimately show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Call thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros terminates-strip-guards-to-terminates)
qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
10.4 Lemmas about c_1 \cap_g c_2
{f lemma}\ inter-guards-terminates:
  \bigwedge c \ c2 \ s. \ \llbracket (c1 \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c; \ \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow s \ \rrbracket
        \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
proof (induct c1)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Skip)
  case (Basic f) thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Basic)
next
```

```
case (Spec r) thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Spec)
next
  case (Seq a1 a2)
  have (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_q \ c2) = Some \ c \ by \ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
    c2: c2=Seq b1 b2 and
   d1: (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 \ \mathbf{and} \ d2: (a2 \cap_g b2) = Some \ d2 \ \mathbf{and}
   c: c=Seq \ d1 \ d2
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Seq)
  have termi-c1: \Gamma \vdash Seq \ a1 \ a2 \downarrow s \ by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash Seq \ d1 \ d2 \downarrow s
  \mathbf{proof}\ (cases\ s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case (Normal s')
   note Normal-s = this
   \mathbf{with}\ d1\ termi\text{-}c1
   have \Gamma \vdash d1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
     by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: Seq.hyps)
   moreover
    {
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume exec-d1: \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
     have \Gamma \vdash d2 \downarrow t
     proof (cases t)
       case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
       case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
     next
       case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
     next
       case (Normal t')
       with inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF d1 exec-d1]
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal\ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
         by simp
       with termi-c1 Normal-s have \Gamma \vdash a2 \downarrow Normal\ t'
         by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
       with d2 have \Gamma \vdash d2 \downarrow Normal t'
         by (auto intro: Seq.hyps)
       with Normal show ?thesis by simp
     qed
   ultimately have \Gamma \vdash Seq \ d1 \ d2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
     by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
   with Normal show ?thesis by simp
```

```
qed
  with c show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case Cond thus ?case
   \mathbf{by} - (cases\ s,
         auto intro: terminates.intros elim!: terminates-Normal-elim-cases
              simp\ add: inter-guards-Cond)
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b bdy1)
  have (While b bdy1 \cap_g c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2 = While \ b \ bdy2 and
   bdy: (bdy1 \cap_q bdy2) = Some bdy and
   c: c = While \ b \ bdy
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-While)
  have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ bdy1 \downarrow s \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  moreover
   fix s w w1 w2
   assume termi-w: \Gamma \vdash w \downarrow s
   assume w: w = While \ b \ bdy1
   \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{termi-w}\ w
   have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ bdy \downarrow s
   proof (induct)
     case (WhileTrue s b' bdy1')
     have eqs: While b' bdy1' = While b bdy1 by fact
     from WhileTrue have s-in-b: s \in b by simp
     from While True have termi-bdy1: \Gamma \vdash bdy1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ simp
     show ?case
     proof -
       from bdy termi-bdy1
       have \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow (Normal\ s)
         by (rule While.hyps)
       moreover
        {
         \mathbf{fix} \ t
         assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t
         have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ bdy \downarrow t
         proof (cases t)
           case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
         next
           case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
         next
           case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
         next
           case (Normal t')
           with inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF bdy exec-bdy]
           have \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
             by simp
```

```
with While True have \Gamma \vdash While b \ bdy \downarrow Normal \ t'
            \mathbf{by} \ simp
           with Normal show ?thesis by simp
       ultimately show ?thesis
         using s-in-b
         by (blast intro: terminates. While True)
     qed
   next
     case WhileFalse thus ?case
       by (blast intro: terminates. WhileFalse)
   qed (simp-all)
 ultimately
 show ?case using c by simp
 case Call thus ?case by (simp add: inter-guards-Call)
next
  case (DynCom\ f1)
 have (DynCom\ f1 \cap_g c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
 then obtain f2 f where
   c2: c2 = DynCom f2 and
   f-defined: \forall s. ((f1 \ s) \cap_g (f2 \ s)) \neq None \ \mathbf{and}
   c: c=DynCom (\lambda s. the ((f1 s) \cap_g (f2 s)))
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-DynCom)
 have termi: \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ f1 \downarrow s\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases\ s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
   case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case (Normal s')
   from f-defined obtain f where f: ((f1 \ s') \cap_q (f2 \ s')) = Some f
     by auto
   from Normal termi
   have \Gamma \vdash f1 \ s' \downarrow (Normal \ s')
     by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   from DynCom.hyps f this
   have \Gamma \vdash f \downarrow (Normal \ s')
     \mathbf{by} blast
   with c f Normal
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
 qed
next
```

```
case (Guard f g1 bdy1)
 have (Guard f g1 \ bdy1 \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain g2 bdy2 bdy where
   c2: c2 = Guard f g2 bdy2 and
   bdy: (bdy1 \cap_g bdy2) = Some bdy and
   c: c = Guard f (g1 \cap g2) bdy
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Guard)
  have termi-c1: \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g1 \ bdy1 \downarrow s \ by \ fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case (Normal s')
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases \ s' \in g1)
     case False
     with Normal c show ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.GuardFault)
   next
     case True
     note s-in-g1 = this
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases s' \in g2)
       case False
       with Normal c show ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.GuardFault)
     next
       case True
       with termi-c1 s-in-g1 Normal have \Gamma \vdash bdy1 \downarrow Normal s'
        by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
       with c bdy Guard.hyps Normal True s-in-g1
      show ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.Guard)
     qed
   qed
 qed
  case Throw thus ?case
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Throw)
next
  case (Catch a1 a2)
 have (Catch\ a1\ a2\ \cap_g\ c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
   c2: c2 = Catch \ b1 \ b2 \ \mathbf{and}
   d1: (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 \ \text{and} \ d2: (a2 \cap_g b2) = Some \ d2 \ \text{and}
   c: c = Catch \ d1 \ d2
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Catch)
 have termi-c1: \Gamma \vdash Catch \ a1 \ a2 \downarrow s \ by fact
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash Catch \ d1 \ d2 \downarrow s
  proof (cases \ s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
   case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case (Normal s')
   note Normal-s = this
   with d1 \ termi-c1
   have \Gamma \vdash d1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
     by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: Catch.hyps)
   moreover
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume exec-d1: \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
      have \Gamma \vdash d2 \downarrow Normal \ t
      proof -
        from inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF d1 exec-d1]
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal\ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
          by simp
       with termi-c1 Normal-s have \Gamma \vdash a2 \downarrow Normal\ t
          by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
       with d2 have \Gamma \vdash d2 \downarrow Normal t
          by (auto intro: Catch.hyps)
       with Normal show ?thesis by simp
     qed
   }
   ultimately have \Gamma \vdash Catch \ d1 \ d2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
      by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
   with Normal show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  with c show ?case by simp
qed
lemma inter-guards-terminates':
 assumes c: (c1 \cap_g c2) = Some c
assumes termi-c2: \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s
  shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
proof -
  from c have (c2 \cap_g c1) = Some c
   by (rule inter-guards-sym)
  from this termi-c2 show ?thesis
   by (rule inter-guards-terminates)
qed
```

#### 10.5 Lemmas about mark-guards

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{terminates-to-terminates-mark-guards}\colon
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 shows \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c \downarrow s
using termi
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Guard thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Fault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Seq c1 s c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash mark-guards f c1 \downarrow Normal s by fact
  moreover
   assume exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \downarrow t
   proof -
     from exec-mark-guards-to-exec [OF exec-mark] obtain t' where
        exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
       t-Fault: isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
       t'-Fault-f: t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
       t'-Fault: isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t and
       t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
       by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
       {\bf case}\  \, True
       with t'-Fault have isFault t by simp
       thus ?thesis
          by (auto elim: isFaultE)
      next
       case False
       with t'-noFault have t'=t by simp
       with exec-c1 Seq.hyps
       show ?thesis
          by auto
     qed
   \mathbf{qed}
  }
  ultimately show ?case
```

```
by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case CondTrue thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
 case CondFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (WhileTrue \ s \ b \ c)
 have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
 have \Gamma \vdash mark-guards f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by fact
 moreover
 {
   \mathbf{fix} \ t
   assume exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ c, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow t
   have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (While \ b \ c) \downarrow t
   proof -
     from exec-mark-quards-to-exec [OF exec-mark] obtain t' where
       exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
       t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
       t'-Fault-f: t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
       t'-Fault: isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t and
       t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
       by blast
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases isFault t')
       {f case} True
       with t'-Fault have isFault t by simp
       thus ?thesis
         by (auto elim: isFaultE)
     next
       case False
       with t'-noFault have t'=t by simp
       with exec-c1 While True.hyps
       show ?thesis
         by auto
     qed
   qed
 ultimately show ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case Call thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case CallUndefined thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
 case Stuck thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case DynCom thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
```

```
next
  case Throw thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Abrupt thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch c1 s c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash mark-guards f c1 \downarrow Normal s by fact
  moreover
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ t
    assume exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
    have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ t
    proof -
      from exec-mark-guards-to-exec [OF exec-mark] obtain t' where
        exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t' \ \mathbf{and}
        t'-Fault-f: t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt t and
        t'-Fault: isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ (Abrupt\ t) and
        t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt t
       by fastforce
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
        case True
        with t'-Fault have isFault (Abrupt t) by simp
        thus ?thesis by simp
      next
        {f case} False
        with t'-noFault have t'=Abrupt t by simp
        with exec-c1 Catch.hyps
        show ?thesis
          by auto
      qed
    qed
  ultimately show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}mark\text{-}guards\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}Normal:
  \land s. \ \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \downarrow Normal \ s \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain
```

```
termi-merge-c1: \Gamma \vdash mark-guards \ f \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ and
    termi-merge-c2: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle mark-guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow
                             \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \downarrow s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  from termi-merge-c1 Seq.hyps
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ iprover
  moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      {f case}\ {\it True}
      thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE)
    next
      case False
      from exec-to-exec-mark-quards [OF exec-c1 False]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'.
      from termi-merge-c2 [rule-format, OF this] Seq.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by (cases s') (auto)
    qed
  ultimately show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Cond thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{While} \ b \ c)
    fix u c'
    assume termi-c': \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal \ u
    assume c': c' = mark-guards f (While b c)
    have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ u
      using termi-c' c'
    proof (induct)
      case (WhileTrue s b' c')
      have s-in-b: s \in b using WhileTrue by simp
      have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
         using WhileTrue by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
      with While.hyps have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
        by auto
      moreover
      have hyp-w: \forall w. \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow w \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow w
        using WhileTrue by simp
      hence \forall w. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow w \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow w
        apply -
        apply (rule allI)
        apply (case-tac \ w)
```

```
apply (auto dest: exec-to-exec-mark-guards)
       done
      ultimately show ?case
       using s-in-b
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
      case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   qed auto
  with While show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case Call thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros )
\mathbf{next}
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  case (Guard f g c)
 thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  case Throw thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case (Catch c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain
    termi-merge-c1: \Gamma \vdash mark-guards f \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s and
   termi-merge-c2: \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle mark-guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \longrightarrow
                          \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
   by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  from termi-merge-c1 Catch.hyps
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s by iprover
  moreover
   fix s'
   assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
   have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal s'
   proof -
      from exec-to-exec-mark-guards [OF exec-c1]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \ by \ simp
      from termi-merge-c2 [rule-format, OF this] Catch.hyps
     show ?thesis
       by iprover
   \mathbf{qed}
  }
  ultimately show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
```

 ${\bf lemma}\ \textit{terminates-mark-guards-to-terminates}:$ 

```
\Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c \downarrow s \implies \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates-mark-guards-to-terminates-Normal)
```

#### 10.6 Lemmas about merge-guards

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}merge\text{-}guards:
 assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 shows \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards \ c \downarrow s
using termi
proof (induct)
 case (Guard \ s \ g \ c \ f)
 have s-in-g: s \in g by fact
 have termi-merge-c: \Gamma \vdash merge-guards c \downarrow Normal \ s by fact
 proof (cases \exists f' \ g' \ c'. merge-guards c = Guard \ f' \ g' \ c')
   case False
   hence merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
     by (cases merge-quards c) (auto simp add: Let-def)
   with s-in-g termi-merge-c show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  \mathbf{next}
   case True
   then obtain f'g'c' where
     mc: merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c'
     by blast
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f=f')
     {f case}\ {\it False}
     with mc have merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
      by (simp add: Let-def)
     with s-in-g termi-merge-c show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   next
     case True
     with mc have merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f (g \cap g') c'
      by simp
     with s-in-g mc True termi-merge-c
     show ?thesis
       by (cases s \in q')
          (auto intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   qed
 qed
next
  case (GuardFault\ s\ g\ f\ c)
 have s \notin g by fact
 thus ?case
   by (cases merge-guards c)
      (auto intro: terminates.intros split: if-split-asm simp add: Let-def)
qed (fastforce intro: terminates.intros dest: exec-merge-quards-to-exec)+
```

```
{\bf lemma}\ terminates-merge-guards-to-terminates-Normal:
  shows \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards\ c \downarrow Normal\ s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash merge-guards (Seq c1 c2) \downarrow Normal s by fact
  then obtain
    termi\text{-}merge\text{-}c1: \Gamma\vdash merge\text{-}guards\ c1\downarrow Normal\ s\ \mathbf{and}
    termi-merge-c2: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle merge-guards \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow
                           \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}quards \ c2 \downarrow s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  from termi-merge-c1 Seq.hyps
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s by iprover
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s'
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-merge-guards [OF exec-c1]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'.
      from termi-merge-c2 [rule-format, OF this] Seq.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by (cases s') (auto)
    qed
  ultimately show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case Cond thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b \ c)
  {
    fix u c'
    assume termi-c': \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal \ u
    assume c': c' = merge-guards (While b c)
    have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ u
      using termi-c' c'
    proof (induct)
      case (While True s b' c')
      have s-in-b: s \in b using WhileTrue by simp
      have \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards\ c \downarrow Normal\ s
        using WhileTrue by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
```

```
with While.hyps have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
       by auto
     moreover
     have hyp-w: \forall w. \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow w \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow w
       using WhileTrue by simp
     hence \forall w. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow w \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow w
       by (simp add: exec-iff-exec-merge-guards [symmetric])
     ultimately show ?case
       using s-in-b
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
     case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   \mathbf{qed} auto
  with While show ?case by simp
  case Call thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros )
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case (Guard f g c)
 have termi-merge: \Gamma \vdash merge-guards (Guard f g c) \downarrow Normal s by fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases \exists f' \ g' \ c'. merge-guards c = Guard \ f' \ g' \ c')
   case False
   hence m: merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
     by (cases merge-guards c) (auto simp add: Let-def)
   from termi-merge Guard.hyps show ?thesis
     by (simp\ only:\ m)
        (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
 next
   {\bf case}\ {\it True}
   then obtain f'g'c' where
     mc: merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c'
     by blast
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f = f')
     case False
     with mc have m: merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
       by (simp add: Let-def)
     from termi-merge Guard.hyps show ?thesis
     by (simp\ only:\ m)
        (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   \mathbf{next}
     case True
     with mc have m: merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f (g \cap g') c'
       by simp
```

```
from termi-merge Guard.hyps
      \mathbf{show}~? the sis
         by (simp \ only: m \ mc)
            (auto intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
    ged
  qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch\ c1\ c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash merge-guards (Catch c1 c2) \downarrow Normal s by fact
  then obtain
    termi\text{-}merge\text{-}c1: \Gamma\vdash merge\text{-}guards\ c1\downarrow Normal\ s\ \mathbf{and}
    termi-merge-c2: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle merge-guards\ c1, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ s' \longrightarrow
                              \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}quards \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  from termi-merge-c1 Catch.hyps
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s by iprover
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-merge-guards [OF exec-c1]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'.
      from termi-merge-c2 [rule-format, OF this] Catch.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by iprover
    qed
  ultimately show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
lemma terminates-merge-quards-to-terminates:
   \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards \ c \downarrow s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates-merge-guards-to-terminates-Normal)
\textbf{theorem} \ \textit{terminates-iff-terminates-merge-guards}:
  \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s = \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards \ c \downarrow s
  by (iprover intro: terminates-to-terminates-merge-guards
     terminates-merge-guards-to-terminates)
10.7
           Lemmas about c_1 \subseteq_q c_2
{\bf lemma}\ terminates\text{-}fewer\text{-}guards\text{-}Normal\text{:}
  shows \bigwedge c s. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal \ s; \ c \subseteq_g \ c'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV \rrbracket
               \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
```

```
proof (induct c')
  case Skip thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-guardsD)
  case Basic thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-quardsD)
  case Spec thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-guardsD)
next
  case (Seq c1' c2')
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c1'\ c2' \downarrow Normal\ s\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain
    termi-c1': \Gamma \vdash c1' \downarrow Normal \ s and
    termi-c2': \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c2' \downarrow s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1'\ c2', Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV by fact
  hence noFault-c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV
    by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  have c \subseteq_q Seq c1' c2' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Seq [OF this] obtain c1 c2 where
    c: c = Seq c1 c2 and
    c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_g c1' and
    c2\text{-}c2': c2\subseteq_g c2'
    by blast
  from termi-c1' c1-c1' noFault-c1'
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule Seq.hyps)
  moreover
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ t
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow t
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-subseteq-guards [OF c1-c1' exec-c1] obtain t' where
        exec-c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
        \textit{t'-noFault} \colon \neg \textit{ isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
        case True
        with exec-c1' noFault-c1'
        have False
          by (fastforce elim: isFaultE dest: Fault-end simp add: final-notin-def)
      next
        {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
        with t'-noFault have t': t'=t by simp
        with termi-c2' exec-c1'
        have termi-c2': \Gamma \vdash c2' \downarrow t
          by auto
```

```
show ?thesis
     proof (cases t)
       case Fault thus ?thesis by auto
       case Abrupt thus ?thesis by auto
     next
       case Stuck thus ?thesis by auto
     next
       case (Normal\ u)
       with noFault exec-c1' t'
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2', Normal\ u\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ `UNIV
          by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
       from termi-c2' [simplified Normal] c2-c2' this
       have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ u
         by (rule Seq.hyps)
       with Normal exec-c1
       show ?thesis by simp
     qed
   qed
 qed
ultimately show ?case using c by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
case (Cond b c1' c2')
have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV by fact
have termi: \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2' \downarrow Normal \ s \ by fact
have c \subseteq_g Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2' by fact
from subseteq-guards-Cond [OF this] obtain c1 c2 where
  c: c = Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ \mathbf{and}
 c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_g c1' and
 c2\text{-}c2': c2\subseteq_g c2'
 by blast
thus ?case
proof (cases \ s \in b)
 {f case}\ {\it True}
 with termi have termi-c1': \Gamma \vdash c1' \downarrow Normal \ s
   by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
 from True noFault have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV
   by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
 from termi-c1' c1-c1' this
 have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
   by (rule Cond.hyps)
 with True c show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
 case False
 with termi have termi-c2': \Gamma \vdash c2' \downarrow Normal \ s
   by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
 from False noFault have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV
```

```
by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
    from termi-c2' c2-c2' this
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
       by (rule Cond.hyps)
    with False c show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (While b c')
  have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ UNIV \ by fact
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c' \downarrow Normal \ s \ by fact
  have c \subseteq_g While b c' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-While [OF this]
  obtain c'' where
    c: c = While b c'' and
    c^{\prime\prime}-c^{\prime}: c^{\prime\prime}\subseteq_g c^{\prime}
    \mathbf{by} blast
    \mathbf{fix} \ d \ u
    assume termi: \Gamma \vdash d \downarrow u
    assume d: d = While b c'
    assume noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c', u\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV
    have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c'' \downarrow u
    using termi d noFault
    proof (induct)
       case (WhileTrue u b' c''')
       have u-in-b: u \in b using WhileTrue by simp
       have termi-c': \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal \ u \ using \ While True \ by <math>simp
      have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c', Normal\ u\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ `UNIV\ using\ WhileTrue
by simp
       hence noFault-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal\ u\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ `UNIV\ using\ u-in-b
         by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
       from While.hyps [OF termi-c' c''-c' this]
       have \Gamma \vdash c'' \downarrow Normal \ u.
       moreover
       {\bf from}\ \textit{WhileTrue}
       have hyp-w: \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ u \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c', s' \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault
UNIV
                            \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c^{\prime\prime} \downarrow s^{\prime}
         \mathbf{by} \ simp
         \mathbf{fix} \ v
         assume exec-c'': \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ u \rangle \Rightarrow v
         have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c'' \downarrow v
         proof -
            from exec-to-exec-subseteq-guards [OF\ c''-c'\ exec-c''] obtain v' where
              exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ u \rangle \Rightarrow v' and
              v-Fault: isFault \ v \longrightarrow isFault \ v' and
              v'-noFault: \neg isFault v' \longrightarrow v' = v
```

```
by auto
         show ?thesis
         proof (cases isFault v')
           case True
           with exec-c' noFault u-in-b
           have False
             by (fastforce
                 simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros elim: isFaultE)
           thus ?thesis ..
         next
           case False
           with v'-noFault have v': v'=v
             by simp
           with noFault exec-c' u-in-b
           have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c', v \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV
             by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
           from hyp-w [rule-format, OF exec-c' [simplified v'] this]
           show \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c'' \downarrow v.
         qed
       qed
     }
     ultimately
     show ?case using u-in-b
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
     case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   qed auto
 with c noFault termi show ?case
   by auto
next
 case Call thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-guardsD)
next
 case (DynCom\ C')
 have termi: \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ C' \downarrow Normal\ s by fact
 hence termi-C': \Gamma \vdash C' s \downarrow Normal s
   by cases
 have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ C', Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV by fact
 hence noFault-C': \Gamma \vdash \langle C' s, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV
   by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
 have c \subseteq_g DynCom\ C' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-DynCom [OF this] obtain C where
   c: c = DynCom \ C and
   C-C': \forall s. C s \subseteq_g C' s
   \mathbf{by} blast
  from DynCom.hyps termi-C' C-C' [rule-format] noFault-C'
  have \Gamma \vdash C \ s \downarrow Normal \ s
   by fast
  with c show ?case
```

```
by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Guard f' g' c')
  have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f' \ g' \ c', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ UNIV  by fact
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash Guard f' g' c' \downarrow Normal s by fact
  have c \subseteq_g Guard f' g' c' by fact
  hence c-cases: (c \subseteq_q c') \vee (\exists c''. c = Guard f' g' c'' \wedge (c'' \subseteq_q c'))
    by (rule subseteq-guards-Guard)
  thus ?case
  proof (cases s \in g')
    case True
    note s-in-g' = this
    with noFault have noFault-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV
      by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
   from termi\ s-in-g' have termi-c': \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal\ s
      by cases auto
    from c-cases show ?thesis
    proof
      assume c \subseteq_q c'
      from termi-c' this noFault-c'
      show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
        by (rule Guard.hyps)
    \mathbf{next}
      assume \exists c''. c = Guard f' g' c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_g c')
      then obtain c'' where
        c: c = Guard f' g' c'' and c''-c': c'' \subseteq_q c'
        by blast
      from termi-c' c''-c' noFault-c'
      have \Gamma \vdash c'' \downarrow Normal \ s
        by (rule Guard.hyps)
      with s-in-g' c
      show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    qed
  next
    case False
    with noFault have False
      by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
next
 case Throw thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-guardsD)
  case (Catch c1' c2')
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash Catch\ c1'\ c2' \downarrow Normal\ s\ by\ fact
  then obtain
    termi-c1': \Gamma \vdash c1' \downarrow Normal \ s and
    termi\text{-}c2'\text{: }\forall \, s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \, \, s \, \, \rangle \, \Rightarrow \, Abrupt \, \, s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c2' \downarrow \, Normal \, \, s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
```

```
have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1' \ c2', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'UNIV \ by \ fact
  hence noFault-c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV
    by (fastforce intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  have c \subseteq_q Catch \ c1' \ c2' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Catch [OF this] obtain c1 c2 where
    c: c = Catch \ c1 \ c2 \ \mathbf{and}
    c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_q c1' and
    c2-c2': c2 \subseteq_g c2'
    by blast
  from termi-c1' c1-c1' noFault-c1'
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule Catch.hyps)
  moreover
    \mathbf{fix} \ t
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ t
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-subseteq-guards [OF c1-c1' exec-c1] obtain t' where
        exec-c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt t
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
        {\bf case}\  \, True
        with exec-c1' noFault-c1'
        have False
           by (fastforce elim: isFaultE dest: Fault-end simp add: final-notin-def)
        thus ?thesis ..
      next
        case False
        with t'-noFault have t': t'=Abrupt t by simp
        with termi-c2' exec-c1'
        have termi-c2': \Gamma \vdash c2' \downarrow Normal \ t
          by auto
        with noFault exec-c1' t'
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2', Normal\ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault ' UNIV
           by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
        from termi-c2' c2-c2' this
        show \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ t
           by (rule Catch.hyps)
      qed
    qed
  ultimately show ?case using c by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
qed
{\bf theorem}\ \textit{terminates-fewer-guards}\colon
  shows \llbracket \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s; \ c \subseteq_q \ c'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c', s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV" \rrbracket
```

```
\Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
  by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates-fewer-guards-Normal)
lemma terminates-noFault-strip-guards:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
  shows \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `F \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash strip-guards \ F \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
using termi
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Guard \ s \ q \ c \ f)
  have s-in-q: s \in q by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by \ fact
  with s-in-g have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault ' F
    by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  with Guard.hyps have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-guards } F \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \ \text{by } simp
  with s-in-g show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  case Fault thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ c2)
  have noFault-Seq: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ 'F\ by\ fact
  hence noFault-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `F
    by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  with Seq.hyps have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c1 \downarrow Normal\ s\ by\ simp
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec-strip-guards-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-guards } F \text{ c1}, Normal \text{ s } \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      case True
      thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE intro: terminates.intros)
    next
      case False
      with exec-strip-guards-to-exec [OF exec-strip-guards-c1] noFault-c1
      have *: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      with noFault-Seq have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'F
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
```

```
with * show ?thesis
       using Seq.hyps by simp
   qed
 ultimately show ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
next
 case (While True \ s \ b \ c)
 have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
 have noFault-while: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by fact
  with s-in-b have noFault-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ f
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  with While True.hyps have \Gamma \vdash strip-guards F \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ simp
 moreover
   fix s'
   assume exec-strip-guards-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-guards } F \ c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
   have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (While \ b \ c) \downarrow s'
   proof (cases isFault s')
     case True
     thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE intro: terminates.intros)
   next
     case False
     with exec-strip-guards-to-exec [OF exec-strip-guards-c] noFault-c
     have *: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
     with s-in-b noFault-while have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'F
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     with * show ?thesis
       using WhileTrue.hyps by simp
   qed
  ultimately show ?case
   using WhileTrue.hyps by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case Call thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case CallUndefined thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
 case Stuck thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
```

```
case DynCom thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Abrupt thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch\ c1\ s\ c2)
  have noFault-Catch: \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by fact
  hence noFault-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
    by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  with Catch.hyps have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ simp
  moreover
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ s'
    assume exec-strip-quards-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-quards } F \text{ c1}, Normal \text{ s} \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \text{ s'}
    have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}quards \ F \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    proof -
      from exec-strip-guards-to-exec [OF exec-strip-guards-c1] noFault-c1
      have *: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      with noFault-Catch have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
      with * show ?thesis
        using Catch.hyps by simp
    qed
  }
  ultimately show ?case
    using Catch.hyps by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
qed
10.8
           Lemmas about strip-quards
lemma terminates-noFault-strip:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
  shows \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `F \rrbracket \implies strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
using termi
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Guard \ s \ g \ c \ f)
  have s-in-g: s \in g by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `F \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  with s-in-g have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault ' F
    by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
```

```
then have strip F \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ (simp \ add: Guard.hyps)
  with s-in-g show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros simp del: strip-simp)
  case GuardFault thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
next
  case Fault thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ c2)
  have noFault-Seq: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ 'F\ by\ fact
  hence noFault-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
    by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  then have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: Seq.hyps)
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec-strip-c1: strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      case True
      thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE intro: terminates.intros)
    next
      case False
      with exec-strip-to-exec [OF exec-strip-c1] noFault-c1
     have *: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      with noFault-Seq have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2,s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'F
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
      with * show ?thesis
        using Seq.hyps by (simp del: strip-simp)
   qed
  ultimately show ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case CondTrue thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  case CondFalse thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
next
  case (While True s \ b \ c)
  have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
 have noFault-while: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by fact
  with s-in-b have noFault-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
    by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  then have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ (simp \ add: While True.hyps)
  moreover
```

```
{
   fix s'
   assume exec-strip-c: strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
   have strip F \Gamma \vdash While b c \downarrow s'
   proof (cases isFault s')
     case True
     thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE intro: terminates.intros)
    next
     case False
     with exec-strip-to-exec [OF exec-strip-c] noFault-c
     have *: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
     with s-in-b noFault-while have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ f
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     with * show ?thesis
       using WhileTrue.hyps by (simp del: strip-simp)
   qed
  ultimately show ?case
   using WhileTrue.hyps by (auto intro: terminates.intros simp del: strip-simp)
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Call p bdy s)
  have bdy: \Gamma p = Some bdy by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by \ fact
  with bdy have bdy-noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
   by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  then have strip-bdy-noFault: strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `F
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest!: exec-strip-to-exec elim!: isFaultE)
  from bdy-noFault have strip F \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal s by (simp add: Call.hyps)
  from terminates-noFault-strip-guards [OF this strip-bdy-noFault]
  have strip\ F\ \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards\ F\ bdy \downarrow Normal\ s.
  with bdy show ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.Call)
next
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case Stuck thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
\mathbf{next}
  case Throw thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case Abrupt thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch c1 s c2)
```

```
have noFault-Catch: \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by fact
  hence noFault-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
    by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  then have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ (simp \ add: \ Catch.hyps)
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec-strip-c1: strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    proof -
      from exec-strip-to-exec [OF exec-strip-c1] noFault-c1
      have *: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      with * noFault-Catch have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
      with * show ?thesis
        using Catch.hyps by (simp del: strip-simp)
    qed
  ultimately show ?case
    using Catch.hyps by (auto intro: terminates.intros simp del: strip-simp)
qed
10.9
           Miscellaneous
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}while\text{-}lemma:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash w \downarrow fk
  shows \bigwedge k \ b \ c. [fk = Normal (f k); w=While b c;
                         \forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ (f \ i) \ \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ (f \ (Suc \ i)) 
          \implies \exists i. \ f \ i \notin b
using termi
proof (induct)
  case WhileTrue thus ?case by blast
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by blast
qed simp-all
lemma terminates-while:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ (f \ k);
    \forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ (f \ i) \ \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ (f \ (Suc \ i))
          \implies \exists i. f i \notin b
  by (blast intro: terminates-while-lemma)
lemma wf-terminates-while:
 wf \{(t,s). \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ s \land s \in b \land a
              \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}
apply(subst wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain)
apply(rule\ notI)
apply clarsimp
```

```
apply(insert terminates-while)
apply blast
done
lemma terminates-restrict-to-terminates:
  assumes terminates-res: \Gamma|_{M}\vdash c\downarrow s
 assumes not-Stuck: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
 shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
using terminates-res not-Stuck
proof (induct)
  case Skip show ?case by (rule terminates.Skip)
  case Basic show ?case by (rule terminates.Basic)
\mathbf{next}
  case Spec show ?case by (rule terminates.Spec)
  case Guard thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.Guard dest: notStuck-GuardD)
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.GuardFault)
next
  case Fault show ?case by (rule terminates.Fault)
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ c2)
  have not-Stuck: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} by fact
  hence c1-notStuck: \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
    by (rule notStuck-SeqD1)
  show \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c1\ c2 \downarrow Normal\ s
  proof (rule terminates.Seq,safe)
    {f from}\ c1-notStuck
    show \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule Seq.hyps)
  \mathbf{next}
    fix s'
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    show \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s'
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-restrict [OF exec] obtain t' where
        exec-res: \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = s'
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases t'=Stuck)
        case True
        with c1-notStuck exec-res have False
          by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
        thus ?thesis ..
      next
        {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
```

```
with t'-notStuck have t': t'=s' by simp
         \mathbf{with}\ not\text{-}Stuck\ exec\text{-}res
         have \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
           by (auto dest: notStuck-SeqD2)
         with exec-res t' Seq.hyps
         show ?thesis
           by auto
      qed
    qed
  \mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{next}
  case CondTrue thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.CondTrue dest: notStuck-CondTrueD)
\mathbf{next}
  case CondFalse thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.CondFalse dest: notStuck-CondFalseD)
  case (While True \ s \ b \ c)
  have s: s \in b by fact
  \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{not\text{-}Stuck}\colon \Gamma|_{M} \hspace{-0.05cm}\vdash \hspace{-0.05cm} \langle \mathit{While}\ \mathit{b}\ \mathit{c}, \!\mathit{Normal}\ \mathit{s}\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fact}
  with While True have c-not Stuck: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
    by (iprover intro: notStuck-WhileTrueD1)
  show ?case
  proof (rule terminates. While True [OF s], safe)
    {f from}\ c	ext{-}notStuck
    show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
       by (rule While True.hyps)
  next
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    show \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s'
    proof -
       from exec-to-exec-restrict [OF exec] obtain t' where
         exec-res: \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
         t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = s'
         by blast
       show ?thesis
       proof (cases t'=Stuck)
         case True
         with c-notStuck exec-res have False
           by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
         thus ?thesis ..
       next
         case False
         with t'-notStuck have t': t'=s' by simp
         \mathbf{with}\ not\text{-}Stuck\ exec\text{-}res\ s
         have \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle While\ b\ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
           by (auto dest: notStuck-WhileTrueD2)
         \mathbf{with}\ exec\text{-}res\ t'\ While True.hyps
```

```
show ?thesis
         by auto
     qed
   qed
  qed
next
  case WhileFalse then show ?case by (iprover intro: terminates.WhileFalse)
  case Call thus ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.Call dest: notStuck-CallD restrict-SomeD)
next
  case CallUndefined
  thus ?case
   by (auto dest: notStuck-CallDefinedD)
next
  case Stuck show ?case by (rule terminates.Stuck)
next
  case DynCom
  thus ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.DynCom dest: notStuck-DynComD)
  case Throw show ?case by (rule terminates. Throw)
next
  case Abrupt show ?case by (rule terminates.Abrupt)
next
  case (Catch\ c1\ s\ c2)
  have not-Stuck: \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle Catch\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}\ by fact
  hence c1-notStuck: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c1, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   by (rule notStuck-CatchD1)
  show \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (rule terminates. Catch, safe)
   from c1-notStuck
   show \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
     by (rule Catch.hyps)
  next
   assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ s'
   show \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal s'
   proof -
     from exec-to-exec-restrict [OF exec] obtain t' where
        exec-res: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
       t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt s'
       by blast
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases t'=Stuck)
       case True
       with c1-notStuck exec-res have False
         by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
       thus ?thesis ..
```

```
next
    case False
    with t'-notStuck have t': t'=Abrupt s' by simp
    with not-Stuck exec-res
    have \Gamma|_M\vdash \langle c2,Normal\ s'\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
    by (auto dest: notStuck-CatchD2)
    with exec-res t' Catch.hyps
    show ?thesis
    by auto
    qed
    qed
    qed
    qed
    qed
    qed
```

## 11 Small-Step Semantics and Infinite Computations

```
theory SmallStep imports Termination begin
```

**primrec**  $redex:: ('s,'p,'f)com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com$ 

end

The redex of a statement is the substatement, which is actually altered by the next step in the small-step semantics.

```
where
redex\ Skip = Skip \mid
redex (Basic f) = (Basic f) \mid
redex (Spec \ r) = (Spec \ r) \mid
redex (Seq c_1 c_2) = redex c_1 \mid
redex (Cond b c_1 c_2) = (Cond b c_1 c_2) \mid
redex (While b c) = (While b c)
redex (Call p) = (Call p)
redex (DynCom d) = (DynCom d)
redex (Guard f b c) = (Guard f b c) \mid
redex (Throw) = Throw
redex (Catch c_1 c_2) = redex c_1
         Small-Step Computation: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
11.1
\textbf{type-synonym} \ ('s,'p,'f) \ config = ('s,'p,'f)com \ \times ('s,'f) \ \textit{xstate}
inductive step::[('s,'p,'f)\ body,('s,'p,'f)\ config,('s,'p,'f)\ config] \Rightarrow bool
                              (-\vdash (-\to/-)[81,81,81]100)
 for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f) body
where
  Basic: \Gamma \vdash (Basic\ f, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (Skip, Normal\ (f\ s))
```

```
Spec: (s,t) \in r \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Spec\ r, Normal\ s) \to (Skip, Normal\ t)
|SpecStuck: \forall t. (s,t) \notin r \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Spec \ r, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Skip, Stuck)
| Guard: s \in g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s) \to (c, Normal \ s)
| GuardFault: s \notin g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Guard f \ g \ c, Normal \ s) \to (Skip, Fault \ f)
| Seq: \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow (c_1',s')
          \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c_1' \ c_2, \ s')
  SeqSkip: \Gamma \vdash (Seq Skip \ c_2, s) \rightarrow (c_2, s)
| SeqThrow: \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Throw\ c_2, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (Throw,\ Normal\ s)
  CondTrue: s \in b \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \to (c_1, Normal \ s)
  CondFalse: s \notin b \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \to (c_2, Normal \ s)
| \  \, While True \colon \llbracket s \! \in \! b \rrbracket
                   \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), Normal \ s)
| WhileFalse: \llbracket s \notin b \rrbracket
                    \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Skip, Normal \ s)
\mid Call: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \Longrightarrow
            \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (bdy, Normal\ s)
| CallUndefined: \Gamma p=None \Longrightarrow
            \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (Skip, Stuck)
| DynCom: \Gamma \vdash (DynCom\ c,Normal\ s) \rightarrow (c\ s,Normal\ s)
| Catch: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow (c_1',s') \rrbracket
             \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c_1' \ c_2, s')
  Catch Throw: \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ Throw \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c_2, Normal \ s)
| CatchSkip: \Gamma \vdash (Catch\ Skip\ c_2,s) \rightarrow (Skip,s)
  FaultProp: [c \neq Skip; redex \ c = c] \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (c, Fault \ f) \to (Skip, Fault \ f)
  \mathit{StuckProp} \colon \ \llbracket c \neq \mathit{Skip}; \ \mathit{redex} \ c = c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (c, \mathit{Stuck}) \to (\mathit{Skip}, \mathit{Stuck})
  AbruptProp: \llbracket c \neq Skip; \ redex \ c = c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (c, Abrupt \ f) \to (Skip, Abrupt \ f)
```

**lemmas** step-induct = step.induct [of - (c,s) (c',s'), split-format (complete), case-names Basic Spec SpecStuck Guard GuardFault Seq SeqSkip SeqThrow CondTrue CondFalse WhileTrue WhileFalse Call CallUndefined DynCom Catch CatchThrow CatchSkip FaultProp StuckProp AbruptProp, induct set]

```
inductive-cases step-elim-cases [cases set]:
 \Gamma \vdash (Skip,s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Guard \ f \ g \ c,s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Basic\ f,s) \to u
 \Gamma \vdash (Spec \ r,s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Seg \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c,s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p,s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (DynCom\ c,s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Throw, s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow u
inductive-cases step-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
 \Gamma \vdash (Skip, Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Basic\ f, Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Spec\ r, Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p, Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (DynCom\ c, Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Throw, Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
The final configuration is either of the form (Skip,-) for normal termination,
```

or (Throw, Normal s) in case the program was started in a Normal state and terminated abruptly. The Abrupt state is not used to model abrupt termination, in contrast to the big-step semantics. Only if the program starts in an Abrupt states it ends in the same Abrupt state.

```
final\ cfg = (fst\ cfg = Skip \lor (fst\ cfg = Throw \land (\exists\ s.\ snd\ cfg = Normal\ s)))
abbreviation
 step\text{-}rtrancl :: [('s,'p,'f) \ body, ('s,'p,'f) \ config, ('s,'p,'f) \ config] \Rightarrow bool
                                    (-\vdash (-\to^*/-)[81,81,81]100)
 \Gamma \vdash cf\theta \rightarrow^* cf1 \equiv (CONST \ step \ \Gamma)^{**} \ cf\theta \ cf1
abbreviation
 step-trancl :: [('s,'p,'f) \ body, ('s,'p,'f) \ config, ('s,'p,'f) \ config] \Rightarrow bool
                                    (-\vdash (-\to^+/-)[81,81,81]100)
 where
 \Gamma \vdash cf0 \rightarrow^+ cf1 \equiv (CONST \ step \ \Gamma)^{++} \ cf0 \ cf1
```

**definition** final:: ('s, 'p, 'f) config  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

## 11.2 Structural Properties of Small Step Computations

```
lemma redex-not-Seq: redex\ c = Seq\ c1\ c2 \Longrightarrow P
  apply (induct \ c)
 apply auto
  done
lemma no-step-final:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow (c',s')
 shows final (c,s) \Longrightarrow P
using step
by induct (auto simp add: final-def)
lemma no-step-final':
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash cfg \rightarrow cfg'
  shows final cfg \Longrightarrow P
using step
 by (cases cfg, cases cfg') (auto intro: no-step-final)
lemma step-Abrupt:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
 shows \bigwedge x. s = Abrupt \ x \implies s' = Abrupt \ x
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma step-Fault:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
 shows \bigwedge f. s = Fault f \implies s' = Fault f
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma step-Stuck:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
  shows \bigwedge f. \ s = Stuck \implies s' = Stuck
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma SeqSteps:
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash cfg_1 \rightarrow^* cfg_2
 shows \bigwedge c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s'. [cfg_1 = (c_1,s); cfg_2 = (c_1',s')]
          \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ c_1' \ c_2, s')
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  thus ?case
    by simp
next
  case (Trans cfg<sub>1</sub> cfg'')
  have step: \Gamma \vdash cfg_1 \rightarrow cfg'' by fact
 have steps: \Gamma \vdash cfg'' \rightarrow^* cfg_2 by fact
```

```
have cfg_1: cfg_1 = (c_1, s) and cfg_2: cfg_2 = (c_1', s') by fact+
  obtain c_1'' s'' where cfg'': cfg''=(c_1'',s'')
    by (cases cfg'') auto
  from step cfq<sub>1</sub> cfq''
  have \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow (c_1'',s'')
    by simp
  hence \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2,s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c_1'' \ c_2,s'')
    by (rule step.Seq)
  also from Trans.hyps (3) [OF cfg'' cfg_2]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1'' \ c_2, \ s'') \rightarrow^* (Seq \ c_1' \ c_2, \ s').
  finally show ?case.
qed
lemma CatchSteps:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash cfg_1 \rightarrow^* cfg_2
  shows \bigwedge c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s'. [cfg_1 = (c_1,s); \ cfg_2 = (c_1',s')]
           \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ c_1' \ c_2, s')
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  thus ?case
    by simp
next
  case (Trans\ cfg_1\ cfg'')
  have step: \Gamma \vdash cfg_1 \rightarrow cfg'' by fact
  have steps: \Gamma \vdash cfg'' \rightarrow^* cfg_2 by fact
  have cfg_1: cfg_1 = (c_1, s) and cfg_2: cfg_2 = (c_1', s') by fact +
  obtain c_1'' s'' where cfg'': cfg''=(c_1'',s'')
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{cases}\ \mathit{cfg}^{\,\prime\prime})\ \mathit{auto}
  from step \ cfg_1 \ cfg''
  have s: \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow (c_1'',s'')
    by simp
  hence \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2,s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c_1'' \ c_2,s'')
    by (rule step.Catch)
  also from Trans.hyps (3) [OF cfg" cfg_2]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1'' \ c_2, \ s'') \rightarrow^* (Catch \ c_1' \ c_2, \ s').
  finally show ?case.
qed
lemma steps-Fault: \Gamma \vdash (c, Fault f) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f)
proof (induct c)
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Fault f) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f) by fact
  have steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash (c_2, Fault f) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f) by fact
  from SeqSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Fault \ f) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ Skip \ c_2, \ Fault \ f).
  also
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Skip\ c_2,\ Fault\ f) \to (c_2,\ Fault\ f) by (rule\ SeqSkip)
```

```
also note steps-c_2
  finally show ?case by simp
next
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Fault f) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f) by fact
  from CatchSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Fault \ f) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Fault \ f).
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Fault \ f) \rightarrow (Skip, \ Fault \ f) by (rule \ Catch Skip)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
lemma steps-Stuck: \Gamma \vdash (c, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck)
proof (induct c)
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck) by fact
 have steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash (c_2, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck) by fact
  from SeqSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ Skip \ c_2, \ Stuck).
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Skip\ c_2,\ Stuck) \rightarrow (c_2,\ Stuck) by (rule\ SeqSkip)
  also note steps-c_2
  finally show ?case by simp
next
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck) by fact
  from CatchSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Stuck).
  also
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch\ Skip\ c_2,\ Stuck) \rightarrow (Skip,\ Stuck) by (rule\ CatchSkip)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
lemma steps-Abrupt: \Gamma \vdash (c, Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt \ s)
proof (induct \ c)
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Abrupt s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt s) by fact
 have steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash (c_2, Abrupt s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt s) by fact
  from SeqSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ Skip \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s).
  also
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Skip\ c_2,\ Abrupt\ s) \to (c_2,\ Abrupt\ s) by (rule\ SeqSkip)
  also note steps-c_2
  finally show ?case by simp
next
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Abrupt s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt s) by fact
  from CatchSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s).
```

```
also
 have \Gamma \vdash (Catch\ Skip\ c_2,\ Abrupt\ s) \rightarrow (Skip,\ Abrupt\ s) by (rule\ CatchSkip)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
lemma step-Fault-prop:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
 shows \bigwedge f. s=Fault\ f \implies s'=Fault\ f
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma step-Abrupt-prop:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
 shows \bigwedge x. s = Abrupt \ x \implies s' = Abrupt \ x
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma step-Stuck-prop:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
 shows s=Stuck \implies s'=Stuck
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma steps-Fault-prop:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c', s')
 shows s=Fault f \implies s'=Fault f
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans\ c\ s\ c^{\prime\prime}\ s^{\prime\prime})
  thus ?case
   by (auto intro: step-Fault-prop)
qed
lemma steps-Abrupt-prop:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c', s')
 shows s=Abrupt\ t \implies s'=Abrupt\ t
using step
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans\ c\ s\ c^{\prime\prime}\ s^{\prime\prime})
  thus ?case
   by (auto intro: step-Abrupt-prop)
qed
lemma steps-Stuck-prop:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c', s')
```

```
shows s=Stuck \implies s'=Stuck
using step
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
case Refl thus ?case by simp
next
case (Trans c s c'' s'')
thus ?case
by (auto intro: step-Stuck-prop)
qed
```

## 11.3 Equivalence between Small-Step and Big-Step Semantics

```
theorem exec-impl-steps:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 shows \exists c' t'. \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (c',t') \land
               (case t of
                Abrupt x \Rightarrow if s=t then c'=Skip \land t'=t else c'=Throw \land t'=Normal
x
                | - \Rightarrow c' = Skip \land t' = t)
using exec
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case
    by simp
next
  case Guard thus ?case by (blast intro: step.Guard rtranclp-trans)
next
 case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.GuardFault rtranclp-trans)
next
  case FaultProp show ?case by (fastforce intro: steps-Fault)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.Basic rtranclp-trans)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.Spec rtranclp-trans)
  case SpecStuck thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.SpecStuck rtranclp-trans)
next
  case (Seq c_1 \ s \ s' \ c_2 \ t)
  have exec-c_1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' by fact
  have exec-c_2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow t by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \exists x. s' = Abrupt x)
    case False
   \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{False}\ \mathit{Seq.hyps}\ (2)
   have \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, \ s')
      by (cases s') auto
    hence seq-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \to^* (Seq \ Skip \ c_2, \ s')
      by (rule SeqSteps) auto
    from Seq.hyps (4) obtain c't' where
```

```
steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash (c_2, s') \rightarrow^* (c', t') and
      t: (case t of
           Abrupt x \Rightarrow if s' = t then c' = Skip \land t' = t
                       else c' = Throw \land t' = Normal x
           | - \Rightarrow c' = Skip \wedge t' = t)
      by auto
    note seq-c_1
    also have \Gamma \vdash (Seq Skip \ c_2, \ s') \rightarrow (c_2, \ s') by (rule \ step.SeqSkip)
   also note steps-c_2
    finally have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c_1\ c_2,\ Normal\ s) \to^* (c',\ t').
    with t False show ?thesis
      by (cases \ t) auto
  next
    \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
    then obtain x where s': s' = Abrupt x
      by blast
    from s' Seq.hyps (2)
    have \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ x)
    hence seq-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ Throw \ c_2, Normal \ x)
      by (rule SeqSteps) auto
    also have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Throw\ c_2,\ Normal\ x) \to (Throw,\ Normal\ x)
      by (rule SeqThrow)
    finally have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c_1\ c_2,\ Normal\ s) \to^* (Throw,\ Normal\ x).
    moreover
    from exec-c_2 s' have t=Abrupt x
      by (auto intro: Abrupt-end)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
  qed
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (blast intro: step.CondTrue rtranclp-trans)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (blast intro: step.CondFalse rtranclp-trans)
  case (WhileTrue s b c s' t)
 have exec\text{-}c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' by fact
 have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow t \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have b: s \in b by fact
  hence step: \Gamma \vdash (While b c,Normal s) \rightarrow (Seq c (While b c),Normal s)
    by (rule step. While True)
  show ?case
  proof (cases \exists x. s' = Abrupt x)
    case False
    from False WhileTrue.hyps (3)
    have \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, s')
      by (cases s') auto
    hence seq-c: \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \rightarrow^* (Seq\ Skip\ (While\ b\ c),\ s')
      by (rule SeqSteps) auto
```

```
from While True.hyps (5) obtain c't' where
     steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, \ s') \rightarrow^* (c', \ t') \ and
     t: (case t of
          Abrupt x \Rightarrow if s' = t then c' = Skip \land t' = t
                     else c' = Throw \land t' = Normal x
          | - \Rightarrow c' = Skip \wedge t' = t)
     by auto
   note step also note seq-c
   also have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Skip\ (While\ b\ c),\ s') \to (While\ b\ c,\ s')
     by (rule step.SeqSkip)
   also note steps-c_2
   finally have \Gamma \vdash (While\ b\ c,\ Normal\ s) \to^* (c',\ t').
   with t False show ?thesis
     \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{cases}\ t)\ \mathit{auto}
 next
   case True
   then obtain x where s': s' = Abrupt x
     by blast
   note step
   also
   from s' While True.hyps (3)
   have \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ x)
     by auto
   hence
     seg-c: \Gamma \vdash (Seg\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \rightarrow^* (Seg\ Throw\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s)
x)
     by (rule SeqSteps) auto
   also have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Throw\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ x) \to (Throw,\ Normal\ x)
     by (rule SeqThrow)
   finally have \Gamma \vdash (While\ b\ c,\ Normal\ s) \to^* (Throw,\ Normal\ x).
   moreover
   from exec-w s' have t=Abrupt x
     by (auto intro: Abrupt-end)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     by auto
 qed
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step. WhileFalse rtrancl-trans)
  case Call thus ?case by (blast intro: step.Call rtranclp-trans)
next
 case CallUndefined thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.CallUndefined rtranclp-trans)
next
 case StuckProp thus ?case by (fastforce intro: steps-Stuck)
next
 case DynCom thus ?case by (blast intro: step.DynCom rtranclp-trans)
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case AbruptProp thus ?case by (fastforce intro: steps-Abrupt)
next
  case (CatchMatch \ c_1 \ s \ s' \ c_2 \ t)
  from CatchMatch.hyps (2)
  have \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ s')
    by simp
  hence \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ Throw \ c_2, \ Normal \ s')
    by (rule CatchSteps) auto
  also have \Gamma \vdash (Catch\ Throw\ c_2,\ Normal\ s') \to (c_2,\ Normal\ s')
    by (rule step.CatchThrow)
  also
  from CatchMatch.hyps (4) obtain c't' where
      steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash (c_2, Normal \ s') \rightarrow^* (c', t') \ \mathbf{and}
      t: (case t of
           Abrupt x \Rightarrow if Normal s' = t then c' = Skip \land t' = t
                       else c' = Throw \land t' = Normal x
           | - \Rightarrow c' = Skip \wedge t' = t)
      by auto
  note steps-c_2
  finally show ?case
    using t
    by (auto split: xstate.splits)
next
  case (CatchMiss\ c_1\ s\ t\ c_2)
  have t: \neg isAbr \ t by fact
  with CatchMiss.hyps (2)
  have \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, \ t)
    by (cases \ t) auto
  hence \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ t)
    by (rule CatchSteps) auto
  also
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch\ Skip\ c_2,\ t) \to (Skip,\ t)
    by (rule step.CatchSkip)
  finally show ?case
    using t
    by (fastforce split: xstate.splits)
qed
corollary exec-impl-steps-Normal:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
  shows \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Normal \ t)
using exec-impl-steps [OF exec]
by auto
{\bf corollary}\ \it exec-impl-steps-Normal-Abrupt:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
 shows \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal\ s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal\ t)
using exec-impl-steps [OF exec]
by auto
```

```
{\bf corollary}\ exec-impl-steps-Abrupt-Abrupt:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Abrupt \ t \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
  shows \Gamma \vdash (c, Abrupt \ t) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt \ t)
using exec-impl-steps [OF exec]
by auto
corollary exec-impl-steps-Fault:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
  shows \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f)
using exec-impl-steps [OF exec]
by auto
\mathbf{corollary}\ exec\mbox{-}impl\mbox{-}steps\mbox{-}Stuck:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
  shows \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck)
using exec-impl-steps [OF exec]
by auto
lemma step-Abrupt-end:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s')
  shows s' = Abrupt x \implies s = Abrupt x
using step
by induct auto
lemma step-Stuck-end:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s')
  shows s' = Stuck \Longrightarrow
           s = Stuck \lor
           (\exists r \ x. \ redex \ c_1 = Spec \ r \land s = Normal \ x \land (\forall t. \ (x,t) \notin r)) \lor
           (\exists p \ x. \ redex \ c_1 = Call \ p \land s = Normal \ x \land \Gamma \ p = None)
using step
\mathbf{by} induct auto
lemma step-Fault-end:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s')
  shows s' = Fault f \Longrightarrow
           s=Fault f \lor
           (\exists g \ c \ x. \ redex \ c_1 = Guard \ f \ g \ c \land s = Normal \ x \land x \notin g)
using step
by induct auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec	ext{-}redex	ext{-}Stuck:
\Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
proof (induct c)
  case Seq
  thus ?case
    by (cases s) (auto intro: exec.intros elim:exec-elim-cases)
```

```
next
  case Catch
  thus ?case
    by (cases s) (auto intro: exec.intros elim:exec-elim-cases)
ged simp-all
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec	ext{-}redex	ext{-}Fault:
\Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
proof (induct c)
  case Seq
  thus ?case
    by (cases s) (auto intro: exec.intros elim:exec-elim-cases)
next
  case Catch
  thus ?case
    by (cases s) (auto intro: exec.intros elim:exec-elim-cases)
qed simp-all
lemma step-extend:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow (c', s')
 shows \bigwedge t. \Gamma \vdash \langle c', s' \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
using step
proof (induct)
  case Basic thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case Spec thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case SpecStuck thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case Guard thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case GuardFault thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case (Seq c_1 s c_1' s' c_2)
  have step: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s') by fact
  have exec': \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c_1' \ c_2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Normal x)
    \mathbf{note}\ s\text{-}Normal=\ this
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases s')
      case (Normal x')
      from exec' [simplified Normal] obtain s" where
```

```
exec-c_1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1', Normal \ x' \rangle \Rightarrow s'' and
    exec-c_2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, s'' \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by cases
  from Seq.hyps (2) Normal exec-c_1' s-Normal
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow s''
    by simp
  from exec.Seq [OF this exec-c_2] s-Normal
  show ?thesis by simp
next
  case (Abrupt x')
  with exec' have t=Abrupt x'
    by (auto intro: Abrupt-end)
  moreover
  {f from} \ step \ Abrupt
  have s=Abrupt x'
    by (auto intro: step-Abrupt-end)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    by (auto intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Fault f)
  from step-Fault-end [OF step this] s-Normal
  obtain g c where
    redex-c_1: redex c_1 = Guard f g c and
    fail: x \notin g
    by auto
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    by (auto intro: exec.intros)
  from exec-redex-Fault [OF this]
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f.
  moreover from Fault exec' have t=Fault f
    by (auto intro: Fault-end)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    using s-Normal
    by (auto intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Stuck
  from step-Stuck-end [OF step this] s-Normal
  have (\exists r. \ redex \ c_1 = Spec \ r \land (\forall t. \ (x, t) \notin r)) \lor
        (\exists p. redex c_1 = Call p \land \Gamma p = None)
    by auto
  moreover
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ r
    assume redex c_1 = Spec \ r \ \text{and} \ (\forall \ t. \ (x, \ t) \notin r)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
      by (auto intro: exec.intros)
    from exec-redex-Stuck [OF this]
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck.
     moreover from Stuck \ exec' have t=Stuck
       by (auto intro: Stuck-end)
     ultimately
     have ?thesis
       using s-Normal
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
   moreover
   {
     \mathbf{fix} p
     assume redex c_1 = Call \ p and \Gamma \ p = None
     hence \Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
      by (auto intro: exec.intros)
     from exec-redex-Stuck [OF this]
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck.
     moreover from Stuck \ exec' have t=Stuck
       by (auto intro: Stuck-end)
     ultimately
     have ?thesis
       using s-Normal
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     by auto
 qed
next
 case (Abrupt x)
 from step-Abrupt [OF step this]
 have s'=Abrupt x.
 with exec'
 have t=Abrupt x
   by (auto intro: Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt
 show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: exec.intros)
next
 case (Fault f)
 from step-Fault [OF step this]
 have s'=Fault f.
 with exec'
 have t=Fault f
   by (auto intro: Fault-end)
 with Fault
 show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: exec.intros)
 case Stuck
 from step-Stuck [OF step this]
```

```
have s'=Stuck.
   with exec'
   have t=Stuck
     by (auto intro: Stuck-end)
   with Stuck
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: exec.intros)
 qed
next
 case (SeqSkip \ c_2 \ s \ t) thus ?case
   by (cases s) (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-elim-cases)+
 case (SeqThrow c_2 s t) thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-elim-cases)+
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case CondFalse thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case WhileTrue thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
 case Call thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case CallUndefined thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case (Catch c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s' \ c_2 \ t)
 have step: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s') by fact
 have exec': \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1' \ c_2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases s)
   case (Normal\ x)
   note s-Normal = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases s')
     case (Normal x')
     from exec' [simplified Normal]
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases)
```

```
fix s''
    assume exec-c_1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1', Normal \ x' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s''
    assume exec-c<sub>2</sub>: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s'' \rangle \Rightarrow t
    from Catch.hyps (2) Normal exec-c_1' s-Normal
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s''
      by simp
    from exec.CatchMatch [OF this exec-c_2] s-Normal
    show ?thesis by simp
  next
    assume exec-c_1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1', Normal \ x' \rangle \Rightarrow t
   assume t: \neg isAbr t
    from Catch.hyps (2) Normal exec-c_1' s-Normal
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by simp
    from exec.CatchMiss [OF this t] s-Normal
    show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
  case (Abrupt x')
  with exec' have t=Abrupt x'
    by (auto intro:Abrupt-end)
  moreover
  from step Abrupt
  have s=Abrupt x'
    by (auto intro: step-Abrupt-end)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    by (auto intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Fault f)
  from step-Fault-end [OF step this] s-Normal
 obtain g c where
    redex-c_1: redex\ c_1 = Guard\ f\ g\ c and
    fail: x \notin g
   by auto
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    by (auto intro: exec.intros)
  from exec-redex-Fault [OF this]
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f.
  moreover from Fault exec' have t=Fault f
    by (auto intro: Fault-end)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    using s-Normal
   by (auto intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Stuck
  {f from}\ step	ext{-}Stuck	ext{-}end\ [OF\ step\ this]\ s	ext{-}Normal
  have (\exists r. \ redex \ c_1 = Spec \ r \land (\forall t. \ (x, \ t) \notin r)) \lor
```

```
(\exists p. \ redex \ c_1 = Call \ p \land \Gamma \ p = None)
     by auto
   moreover
   {
     \mathbf{fix} \ r
     assume redex c_1 = Spec \ r \ and \ (\forall \ t. \ (x, \ t) \notin r)
     hence \Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
     from exec-redex-Stuck [OF this]
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck.
     moreover from Stuck\ exec' have t{=}Stuck
       by (auto intro: Stuck-end)
     ultimately
     have ?thesis
       using s-Normal
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
   }
   moreover
   {
     \mathbf{fix} p
     assume redex c_1 = Call \ p and \Gamma \ p = None
     hence \Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
     from exec-redex-Stuck [OF this]
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck.
     moreover from Stuck \ exec' have t=Stuck
       by (auto intro: Stuck-end)
     ultimately
     have ?thesis
       using s-Normal
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     by auto
 qed
next
 case (Abrupt x)
 from step-Abrupt [OF step this]
 have s'=Abrupt x.
 with exec'
 have t=Abrupt x
   by (auto intro: Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt
 show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{next}
 case (Fault f)
 from step-Fault [OF step this]
 have s'=Fault f.
```

```
with exec'
   have t=Fault f
     by (auto intro: Fault-end)
   with Fault
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: exec.intros)
 \mathbf{next}
   from step-Stuck [OF step this]
   have s'=Stuck.
   with exec'
   have t=Stuck
     by (auto intro: Stuck-end)
   with Stuck
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: exec.intros)
 \mathbf{qed}
next
  case CatchThrow thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case CatchSkip thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-elim-cases)
next
 case FaultProp thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-elim-cases)
 case StuckProp thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case AbruptProp thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-elim-cases)
qed
theorem steps-Skip-impl-exec:
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip,t)
 shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
 case Refl thus ?case
   by (cases t) (auto intro: exec.intros)
 case (Trans\ c\ s\ c'\ s')
 have \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s') and \Gamma \vdash \langle c', s' \rangle \Rightarrow t by fact +
 thus ?case
   by (rule step-extend)
```

 $\textbf{theorem} \ \textit{steps-Throw-impl-exec}:$ 

```
assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal\ t)
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl thus ?case
     by (auto intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans\ c\ s\ c'\ s')
  have \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s') and \Gamma \vdash \langle c', s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t by fact +
  thus ?case
     by (rule step-extend)
qed
            Infinite Computations: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \to ...(\infty)
11.4
definition inf:: ('s, 'p, 'f) \ body \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ config \Rightarrow bool
 (-\vdash -\to ...'(\infty') [60,80] 100) where
\Gamma \vdash cfg \rightarrow ...(\infty) \equiv (\exists f. \ f \ (0::nat) = cfg \land (\forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (i+1)))
lemma not-infI: \llbracket \bigwedge f. \llbracket f \ \theta = cfg; \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f \ i \to f \ (Suc \ i) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow False \rrbracket
                    \Longrightarrow \neg \Gamma \vdash cfg \to \dots (\infty)
  by (auto simp add: inf-def)
```

## 11.5 Equivalence between Termination and the Absence of Infinite Computations

```
lemma step-preserves-termination:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow (c',s')
 shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s \implies \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
using step
proof (induct)
 case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
 case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case SpecStuck thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Guard thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s' \ c_2) thus ?case
   apply (cases\ s)
   apply
              (cases s')
                  (fast force\ intro:\ terminates.intros\ step-extend
   apply
                  elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros dest: step-Abrupt-prop
     step-Fault-prop step-Stuck-prop)+
```

```
done
\mathbf{next}
  case (SeqSkip \ c_2 \ s)
  thus ?case
   apply (cases \ s)
   apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros
          elim:\ terminates\text{-}Normal\text{-}elim\text{-}cases\ )+
   done
next
  case (SeqThrow c_2 s)
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
  case CondTrue
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
  {f case}\ {\it CondFalse}
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  {\bf case}\ {\it While True}
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
  {f case} While False
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
  case Call
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
  case CallUndefined
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{DynCom}
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
```

```
case (Catch c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s' \ c_2) thus ?case
   apply (cases \ s)
   apply
               (cases s')
                   (fastforce intro: terminates.intros step-extend
   apply
                  elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros dest: step-Abrupt-prop
     step	ext{-}Fault	ext{-}prop\ step	ext{-}Stuck	ext{-}prop) +
   done
next
 {f case}\ {\it CatchThrow}
 thus ?case
  by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros
           elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
  case (CatchSkip\ c_2\ s)
 thus ?case
   by (cases s) (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)+
\mathbf{next}
 case FaultProp thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
 case StuckProp thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
qed
lemma steps-preserves-termination:
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (c',s')
 shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s \implies \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
using steps
proof (induct rule: rtranclp-induct2 [consumes 1, case-names Refl Trans])
 case Refl thus ?case .
next
 case Trans
 thus ?case
   by (blast dest: step-preserves-termination)
qed
\mathbf{ML} (
  ML-Thms.bind-thm (tranclp-induct2, Split-Rule.split-rule @{context})
   (Rule-Insts.read-instantiate @{context})
     [(((a, 0), Position.none), (aa,ab)), (((b, 0), Position.none), (ba,bb))]
     @\{thm\ tranclp-induct\}));
lemma steps-preserves-termination':
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^+ (c',s')
 shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s \implies \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
using steps
proof (induct rule: tranclp-induct2 [consumes 1, case-names Step Trans])
```

```
case Step thus ?case by (blast intro: step-preserves-termination)
\mathbf{next}
  case Trans
  thus ?case
    by (blast dest: step-preserves-termination)
\mathbf{qed}
definition head-com:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com
where
head\text{-}com\ c =
  (case \ c \ of
     Seq c_1 c_2 \Rightarrow c_1
    Catch c_1 c_2 \Rightarrow c_1
   | - \Rightarrow c \rangle
definition head:: ('s,'p,'f) config \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) config
  where head cfg = (head\text{-}com (fst cfg), snd cfg)
lemma le-Suc-cases: \llbracket \bigwedge i. \llbracket i < k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P i; P k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \forall i < (Suc k). P i
  apply clarify
  apply (case-tac \ i=k)
  apply auto
  done
lemma redex-Seq-False: \bigwedge c' c''. (redex c = Seq c'' c') = False
  by (induct c) auto
lemma redex-Catch-False: \bigwedge c' c''. (redex c = Catch c'' c') = False
  by (induct c) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ in finite-computation\text{-}extract\text{-}head\text{-}Seq:
  assumes inf-comp: \forall i :: nat. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (i+1)
  assumes f-\theta: f \theta = (Seq c_1 c_2,s)
  assumes not-fin: \forall i < k. \neg final (head (f i))
  shows \forall i < k. (\exists c' s'. f(i + 1) = (Seq c' c_2, s')) \land
               \Gamma\vdash head\ (f\ i) \to head\ (f\ (i+1))
        (is \forall i < k. ?P i)
using not-fin
proof (induct k)
  case \theta
  show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc\ k)
  have not-fin-Suc:
    \forall i < Suc \ k. \ \neg \ final \ (head \ (f \ i)) by fact
```

```
from this[rule-format] have not-fin-k:
   \forall i < k. \neg final (head (f i))
   apply clarify
   apply (subgoal-tac i < Suc k)
   apply blast
   apply simp
   done
 from Suc.hyps [OF this]
 have hyp: \forall i < k. (\exists c' s'. f (i + 1) = (Seq c' c_2, s')) \land
                 \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1)).
 show ?case
 proof (rule le-Suc-cases)
   \mathbf{fix} i
   assume i < k
   then show ?P i
     by (rule hyp [rule-format])
 next
   show ?P k
   proof -
     from hyp [rule-format, of k-1] f-0
     obtain c'fs'L's' where f-k: fk = (Seq c'c_2, s')
       by (cases k) auto
     from inf-comp [rule-format, of k] f-k
     have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c'\ c_2,\ s') \to f\ (k+1)
       by simp
     moreover
     from not-fin-Suc [rule-format, of k] f-k
     have \neg final (c',s')
       by (simp add: final-def head-def head-com-def)
     ultimately
     obtain c'' s'' where
        \Gamma \vdash (c', s') \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
        f(k+1) = (Seq c'' c_2, s'')
       by cases (auto simp add: redex-Seq-False final-def)
     with f-k
     show ?thesis
       by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
 qed
qed
lemma infinite-computation-extract-head-Catch:
 assumes inf-comp: \forall i :: nat. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (i+1)
 assumes f-\theta: f \theta = (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2,s)
 assumes not-fin: \forall i < k. \neg final (head (f i))
 shows \forall i < k. (\exists c' s'. f (i + 1) = (Catch c' c_2, s')) \land
             \Gamma\vdash head\ (f\ i) \to head\ (f\ (i+1))
       (is \forall i < k. ?P i)
```

```
using not-fin
proof (induct \ k)
  case \theta
  show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc \ k)
  have not-fin-Suc:
   \forall i < Suc \ k. \ \neg \ final \ (head \ (f \ i)) \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  from this[rule-format] have not-fin-k:
   \forall i < k. \neg final (head (f i))
   apply clarify
   apply (subgoal-tac i < Suc k)
   apply blast
   apply simp
   done
  from Suc.hyps [OF this]
  have hyp: \forall i < k. (\exists c' s'. f(i + 1) = (Catch c' c_2, s')) \land
                  \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1)).
  show ?case
  proof (rule le-Suc-cases)
   \mathbf{fix} \ i
   assume i < k
   then show ?P i
      by (rule hyp [rule-format])
  next
   \mathbf{show} \ ?P \ k
   proof -
     from hyp [rule-format, of k-1] f-0
     obtain c' fs' L' s' where f-k: f k = (Catch c' c_2, s')
       by (cases \ k) auto
      from inf-comp [rule-format, of k] f-k
      have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c' \ c_2, \ s') \rightarrow f \ (k+1)
       by simp
     moreover
      from not-fin-Suc [rule-format, of k] f-k
     have \neg final (c',s')
       by (simp add: final-def head-def head-com-def)
      ultimately
     obtain c'' s'' where
        \Gamma \vdash (c', s') \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
        f(k+1) = (Catch c'' c_2, s'')
       \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{cases}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{redex-Catch-False}\ \mathit{final-def}) +
     with f-k
     \mathbf{show}~? the sis
       by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
   qed
  qed
qed
```

```
lemma no-inf-Throw: \neg \Gamma \vdash (Throw, s) \rightarrow ...(\infty)
proof
  assume \Gamma \vdash (Throw, s) \rightarrow ...(\infty)
  then obtain f where
    step [rule-format]: \forall i :: nat. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (i+1) and
   f-\theta: f \theta = (Throw, s)
    by (auto simp add: inf-def)
  from step [of 0, simplified f-0] step [of 1]
  show False
    by cases (auto elim: step-elim-cases)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{split-inf-Seq} \colon
 assumes inf-comp: \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \to \ldots(\infty)
 shows \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \to \ldots(\infty) \lor
         (\exists s'. \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip,s') \land \Gamma \vdash (c_2,s') \rightarrow \ldots(\infty))
proof -
  from inf-comp obtain f where
    step: \forall i :: nat. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (i+1) \ and
    f - \theta: f \theta = (Seq c_1 c_2, s)
    by (auto simp add: inf-def)
  from f-\theta have head-f-\theta: head (f \theta) = (c_1,s)
    by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases \exists i. final (head (f i)))
    case True
    define k where k = (LEAST i. final (head (f i)))
    have less-k: \forall i < k. \neg final (head (f i))
      apply (intro allI impI)
      apply (unfold \ k\text{-}def)
      apply (drule not-less-Least)
      apply auto
      done
    from infinite-computation-extract-head-Seq [OF step f-0 this]
    obtain step-head: \forall i < k. \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1)) and
           conf: \forall i < k. (\exists c' s'. f(i + 1) = (Seq c' c_2, s'))
      by blast
    from True
    have final-f-k: final (head (f k))
      apply -
      apply (erule exE)
      apply (drule LeastI)
      apply (simp \ add: k-def)
      done
    moreover
    from f-0 conf [rule-format, of <math>k-1]
    obtain c' s' where f-k: f k = (Seq c' c_2,s')
      by (cases k) auto
```

```
moreover
from step-head have steps-head: \Gamma \vdash head (f \ \theta) \rightarrow^* head (f \ k)
proof (induct \ k)
  case \theta thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc\ m)
 have step: \forall i < Suc \ m. \ \Gamma \vdash \ head \ (f \ i) \rightarrow head \ (f \ (i+1)) by fact
  hence \forall i < m. \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1))
   by auto
  hence \Gamma \vdash head (f \theta) \rightarrow^* head (f m)
   by (rule Suc.hyps)
 also from step [rule-format, of m]
 have \Gamma \vdash head (f m) \rightarrow head (f (m + 1)) by simp
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
 assume f-k: f k = (Seq Skip <math>c_2, s')
  with steps-head
  have \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \to^* (Skip,s')
   using head-f-0
   by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
  moreover
  from step [rule-format, of k] f-k
  obtain \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ Skip \ c_2,s') \rightarrow (c_2,s') and
   f-Suc-k: f(k + 1) = (c_2, s')
   by (fastforce elim: step.cases intro: step.intros)
  define g where g i = f (i + (k + 1)) for i
  from f-Suc-k
  have g \cdot \theta: g \theta = (c_2, s')
   by (simp \ add: g-def)
  from step
  have \forall i. \Gamma \vdash g i \rightarrow g (i + 1)
   by (simp \ add: g-def)
  with g-\theta have \Gamma \vdash (c_2, s') \to ...(\infty)
   by (auto simp add: inf-def)
  ultimately
 have ?thesis
   by auto
moreover
{
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume s': s'=Normal x and f-k: f k = (Seq Throw c_2, s')
  from step [rule-format, of k] f-k s'
  obtain \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Throw\ c_2,s') \to (Throw,s') and
   f-Suc-k: f(k + 1) = (Throw, s')
   by (fastforce elim: step-elim-cases intro: step.intros)
  define g where g i = f (i + (k + 1)) for i
  from f-Suc-k
```

```
have g - \theta: g \theta = (Throw, s')
        by (simp \ add: g-def)
      from step
      have \forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash g \ i \rightarrow g \ (i+1)
        by (simp add: g-def)
      with g-0 have \Gamma \vdash (Throw, s') \to ...(\infty)
        by (auto simp add: inf-def)
      with no-inf-Throw
      have ?thesis
        by auto
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: final-def head-def head-com-def)
  next
    case False
    then have not-fin: \forall i. \neg final (head (f i))
      by blast
    have \forall i. \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1))
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ k
      from not-fin
      have \forall i < (Suc \ k). \neg final \ (head \ (f \ i))
        by simp
      from infinite-computation-extract-head-Seq [OF step f-0 this]
      show \Gamma \vdash head (f k) \rightarrow head (f (k + 1)) by simp
    qed
    with head-f-0 have \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \to \ldots(\infty)
      \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{inf-def})
    thus ?thesis
      by simp
  \mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{qed}
lemma split-inf-Catch:
  assumes inf-comp: \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \to \dots (\infty)
  shows \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \to \ldots(\infty) \lor
          (\exists s'. \ \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ s') \land \Gamma \vdash (c_2, Normal \ s') \rightarrow \ldots(\infty))
proof
  from inf-comp obtain f where
    step: \forall i::nat. \ \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f \ (i+1) \ \mathbf{and}
    f-\theta: f \theta = (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ s)
    by (auto simp add: inf-def)
  from f-\theta have head-f-\theta: head (f \theta) = (c_1,s)
    by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases \exists i. final (head (f i)))
    \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
```

```
define k where k = (LEAST i. final (head (f i)))
\mathbf{have}\ \mathit{less-k} \colon \forall\, \mathit{i} {<} \mathit{k}.\ \neg\ \mathit{final}\ (\mathit{head}\ (\mathit{f}\ \mathit{i}))
 apply (intro allI impI)
 apply (unfold k-def)
 apply (drule not-less-Least)
 apply auto
 done
from infinite-computation-extract-head-Catch [OF step f-0 this]
obtain step-head: \forall i < k. \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1)) and
       conf: \forall i < k. (\exists c' s'. f (i + 1) = (Catch c' c_2, s'))
 by blast
from True
have final-f-k: final (head (f k))
 apply -
 apply (erule exE)
 apply (drule LeastI)
 apply (simp add: k-def)
 done
moreover
from f-0 conf [rule-format, of k-1]
obtain c' s' where f-k: f k = (Catch c' c_2, s')
 by (cases k) auto
moreover
from step-head have steps-head: \Gamma \vdash head (f \ 0) \rightarrow^* head (f \ k)
proof (induct k)
  case \theta thus ?case by simp
next
 case (Suc\ m)
  have step: \forall i < Suc \ m. \ \Gamma \vdash head \ (f \ i) \rightarrow head \ (f \ (i + 1)) by fact
 hence \forall i < m. \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1))
   by auto
  hence \Gamma \vdash head (f \theta) \rightarrow^* head (f m)
   by (rule Suc.hyps)
  also from step [rule-format, of m]
  have \Gamma \vdash head (f m) \rightarrow head (f (m + 1)) by simp
 finally show ?case by simp
\mathbf{qed}
  assume f-k: f k = (Catch Skip <math>c_2, s')
  with steps-head
  have \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip,s')
   using head-f-0
   by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
  moreover
  from step [rule-format, of k] f-k
  obtain \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, s') \rightarrow (Skip, s') and
   f-Suc-k: f(k + 1) = (Skip, s')
   by (fastforce elim: step.cases intro: step.intros)
  from step [rule-format, of k+1, simplified f-Suc-k]
```

```
have ?thesis
     by (rule no-step-final') (auto simp add: final-def)
 }
 moreover
  {
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume s': s'=Normal x and f-k: f k = (Catch Throw <math>c_2, s')
   with steps-head
   have \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow^* (Throw,s')
     using head-f-\theta
     by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
   moreover
   from step [rule-format, of k] f-k s'
   obtain \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ Throw \ c_2,s') \to (c_2,s') and
     f-Suc-k: f(k + 1) = (c_2, s')
     by (fastforce elim: step-elim-cases intro: step.intros)
   define g where g i = f (i + (k + 1)) for i
   from f-Suc-k
   have g - \theta: g \theta = (c_2, s')
     by (simp \ add: g-def)
   from step
   have \forall i. \Gamma \vdash g i \rightarrow g (i + 1)
     by (simp \ add: g-def)
   with g-0 have \Gamma \vdash (c_2, s') \to ...(\infty)
     by (auto simp add: inf-def)
   ultimately
   have ?thesis
     using s'
     by auto
 }
 ultimately
 show ?thesis
   by (auto simp add: final-def head-def head-com-def)
\mathbf{next}
 {f case} False
 then have not-fin: \forall i. \neg final (head (f i))
 have \forall i. \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1))
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ k
   from not-fin
   have \forall i < (Suc \ k). \neg final \ (head \ (f \ i))
     by simp
   from infinite-computation-extract-head-Catch [OF step f-0 this]
   show \Gamma \vdash head (f k) \rightarrow head (f (k + 1)) by simp
  with head-f-0 have \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \to ...(\infty)
   by (auto simp add: inf-def)
```

```
thus ?thesis
      \mathbf{by} \ simp
  \mathbf{qed}
qed
lemma Skip-no-step: \Gamma \vdash (Skip,s) \rightarrow cfg \Longrightarrow P
  apply (erule no-step-final')
  apply (simp add: final-def)
  done
lemma not-inf-Stuck: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c,Stuck) \rightarrow ...(\infty)
proof (induct c)
  case Skip
  \mathbf{show} ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc \ i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Skip, Stuck)
    from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    show False
      by (auto elim: Skip-no-step)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Basic\ g)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Basic g, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  \mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{next}
  case (Spec \ r)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Spec r, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Stuck) \rightarrow ...(\infty)
```

```
from split-inf-Seq [OF this] Seq.hyps
    show False
      by (auto dest: steps-Stuck-prop)
  qed
next
  case (Cond b c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc \ i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    {f show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (While b c)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (While b c, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Call p)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
   \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc \ i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Call p, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    {f show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (DynCom\ d)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
   assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f \ (Suc \ i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (DynCom\ d,\ Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
```

```
case (Guard m \ g \ c)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Guard \ m \ g \ c, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    {f show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  \mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{next}
  {f case}\ Throw
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Throw, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Stuck) \rightarrow ...(\infty)
    from split-inf-Catch [OF this] Catch.hyps
    show False
      by (auto dest: steps-Stuck-prop)
  \mathbf{qed}
qed
lemma not-inf-Fault: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c, Fault \ x) \rightarrow \ldots(\infty)
proof (induct c)
  {f case} Skip
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Skip, Fault x)
    from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    show False
      by (auto elim: Skip-no-step)
  qed
next
  case (Basic\ g)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
```

```
assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \to f (Suc \ i)
    \mathbf{assume}\ f\text{-}\theta\text{:}\ f\ \theta\ =\ (Basic\ g,\ Fault\ x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (Spec \ r)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Spec \ r, Fault \ x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Fault \ x) \to \ldots(\infty)
    from split-inf-Seq [OF this] Seq.hyps
    show False
      by (auto dest: steps-Fault-prop)
  qed
next
  case (Cond b c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Cond b c_1 c_2, Fault x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    {f show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (While b c)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (While b c, Fault x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
```

```
case (Call \ p)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Call p, Fault x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    {f show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (DynCom\ d)
  \mathbf{show} ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \to f \ (Suc \ i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (DynCom\ d, Fault\ x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Guard m \ g \ c)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Guard m g c, Fault x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case Throw
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Throw, Fault x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Fault \ x) \to \dots (\infty)
    from split-inf-Catch [OF this] Catch.hyps
```

```
show False
      \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{dest}\colon \mathit{steps}\text{-}\mathit{Fault}\text{-}\mathit{prop})
  qed
qed
lemma not-inf-Abrupt: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c, Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow \ldots(\infty)
proof (induct c)
  case Skip
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Skip, Abrupt s)
    from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    show False
      by (auto elim: Skip-no-step)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (Basic\ g)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Basic g, Abrupt s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Spec \ r)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \to f \ (Suc \ i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Spec r, Abrupt s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow \ldots(\infty)
    from split-inf-Seq [OF this] Seq.hyps
    {f show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (auto dest: steps-Abrupt-prop)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
```

```
case (Cond b c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    {f show}\ \mathit{False}
       by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (While b c)
  \mathbf{show} ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    \mathbf{assume}\ f\text{-}\theta\text{:}\ f\ \theta\ =\ (\mathit{While}\ b\ c,\ \mathit{Abrupt}\ s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Call\ p)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Call p, Abrupt s)
    \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{f-step}\ [\mathit{of}\ \mathit{0}]\ \mathit{f-0}\ \mathit{f-step}\ [\mathit{of}\ \mathit{1}]
    \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{False}
       by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (DynCom\ d)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (DynCom\ d,\ Abrupt\ s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
       by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Guard m \ g \ c)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f \ (Suc \ i)
```

```
assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Guard m g c, Abrupt s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    {f show} False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case Throw
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \to f (Suc \ i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Throw, Abrupt s)
    \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{f-step}\ [\mathit{of}\ \mathit{0}]\ \mathit{f-0}\ \mathit{f-step}\ [\mathit{of}\ \mathit{1}]
    {f show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2)
  show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow \ldots(\infty)
    from split-inf-Catch [OF this] Catch.hyps
    show False
      by (auto dest: steps-Abrupt-prop)
  \mathbf{qed}
qed
{\bf theorem}\ \textit{terminates-impl-no-infinite-computation}:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
  shows \neg \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \to \ldots(\infty)
using termi
proof (induct)
  \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{Skip}\ s)\ \mathbf{thus}\ \mathit{?case}
  proof (rule not-infI)
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Skip, Normal s)
    from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    {f show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (auto elim: Skip-no-step)
  qed
next
  case (Basic\ g\ s)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Basic g, Normal s)
```

```
from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Spec \ r \ s)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc \ i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Spec r, Normal s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Guard \ s \ g \ c \ m)
  have g: s \in g by fact
  have hyp: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow ...(\infty) by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Guard m g c, Normal s)
    from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    have f 1 = (c, Normal \ s)
      by (fastforce elim: step-elim-cases)
    \mathbf{with}\ \mathit{f\text{-}step}
    have \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \to ...(\infty)
      apply (simp add: inf-def)
      apply (rule-tac x=\lambda i. f (Suc i) in exI)
      by simp
    with hyp show False ..
  qed
next
  case (GuardFault\ s\ g\ m\ c)
  have g: s \notin g by fact
  \mathbf{show}~? case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Guard m g c, Normal s)
    from g f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{False}
      \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{fastforce}\ \mathit{elim}\colon \mathit{Skip}\text{-}\mathit{no}\text{-}\mathit{step}\ \mathit{step}\text{-}\mathit{elim}\text{-}\mathit{cases})
  qed
next
  case (Fault c m)
  thus ?case
```

```
by (rule not-inf-Fault)
next
  case (Seq c_1 \ s \ c_2)
  show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \ldots(\infty)
    from split-inf-Seq [OF this] Seq.hyps
    show False
      by (auto intro: steps-Skip-impl-exec)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (CondTrue\ s\ b\ c1\ c2)
  have b: s \in b by fact
  have hyp-c1: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow ...(\infty) by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc \ i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Cond b c1 c2, Normal s)
    from b f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    have f 1 = (c1, Normal s)
      by (auto elim: step-Normal-elim-cases)
    with f-step
    have \Gamma \vdash (c1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow ...(\infty)
      apply (simp add: inf-def)
      apply (rule-tac x=\lambda i. f (Suc i) in exI)
      by simp
    with hyp-c1 show False by simp
  qed
next
  case (CondFalse s b c2 c1)
  have b: s \notin b by fact
  have hyp-c2: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow ...(\infty) by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Cond b c1 c2, Normal s)
    from b f-step [of 0] f-0
    have f 1 = (c2, Normal s)
      by (auto elim: step-Normal-elim-cases)
    with f-step
    have \Gamma \vdash (c2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow ...(\infty)
      apply (simp add: inf-def)
      apply (rule-tac x=\lambda i. f (Suc i) in exI)
      by simp
    with hyp-c2 show False by simp
  qed
next
```

```
case (While True s \ b \ c)
  have b: s \in b by fact
  have hyp\text{-}c: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow ...(\infty) by fact
  have hyp-w: \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow
                        \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s' \land \neg \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, \ s') \rightarrow \ldots(\infty) \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have not-inf-Seq: \neg \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \to \ldots(\infty)
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \to \ldots(\infty)
    from split-inf-Seq [OF this] hyp-c hyp-w show False
       by (auto intro: steps-Skip-impl-exec)
  qed
  show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \ldots(\infty)
    then obtain f where
      f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \to f (Suc \ i) and
      f-\theta: f \theta = (While b c, Normal s)
      by (auto simp add: inf-def)
    from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta b
    have f 1 = (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), Normal \ s)
       \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{elim}\colon \mathit{step}\text{-}\mathit{Normal}\text{-}\mathit{elim}\text{-}\mathit{cases})
    with f-step
    have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \to \ldots(\infty)
      apply (simp add: inf-def)
      apply (rule-tac x=\lambda i. f (Suc i) in exI)
      by simp
    with not-inf-Seq show False by simp
  ged
next
  case (WhileFalse s \ b \ c)
  have b: s \notin b by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (While b c, Normal s)
    from b f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{False}
       by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Call p \ bdy \ s)
  have bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
  have hyp: \neg \Gamma \vdash (bdy, Normal \ s) \rightarrow ...(\infty) by fact
  \mathbf{show} ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Call p, Normal s)
```

```
from bdy f-step [of 0] f-0
   have f 1 = (bdy, Normal \ s)
      by (auto elim: step-Normal-elim-cases)
    with f-step
    have \Gamma \vdash (bdy, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \ldots(\infty)
      apply (simp add: inf-def)
      apply (rule-tac x=\lambda i. f (Suc i) in exI)
      by simp
    with hyp show False by simp
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (CallUndefined p s)
  have no-bdy: \Gamma p = None by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \to f \ (Suc \ i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Call p, Normal s)
    from no-bdy f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Stuck \ c)
  show ?case
    by (rule not-inf-Stuck)
  case (DynCom\ c\ s)
  have hyp: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c \ s, Normal \ s) \rightarrow ...(\infty) by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \to f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (DynCom\ c,\ Normal\ s)
    from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    have f(Suc(\theta)) = (c(s, Normal(s)))
      by (auto elim: step-elim-cases)
    with f-step have \Gamma \vdash (c \ s, \ Normal \ s) \to \ldots(\infty)
      apply (simp add: inf-def)
      apply (rule-tac x=\lambda i. f (Suc i) in exI)
      \mathbf{by} \ simp
    with hyp
    show False by simp
  qed
next
  case (Throw s) thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
```

```
assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Throw, Normal s)
   from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    show False
     by (auto elim: step-elim-cases)
  ged
next
  case (Abrupt c)
 show ?case
    by (rule not-inf-Abrupt)
next
  case (Catch \ c_1 \ s \ c_2)
  show ?case
 proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow ...(\infty)
    from split-inf-Catch [OF this] Catch.hyps
    show False
     by (auto intro: steps-Throw-impl-exec)
  qed
qed
definition
 \textit{termi-call-steps} :: (\textit{'s}, \textit{'p}, \textit{'f}) \ \textit{body} \Rightarrow ((\textit{'s} \times \textit{'p}) \times (\textit{'s} \times \textit{'p})) \textit{set}
where
termi-call-steps \Gamma =
 \{((t,q),(s,p)). \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \land \}
       (\exists c. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^+ (c, Normal \ t) \land redex \ c = Call \ q) \}
primrec subst-redex:: ('s,'p,'f)com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com
where
subst-redex\ Skip\ c=c
subst-redex\ (Basic\ f)\ c=c\ |
subst-redex (Spec r) c = c
subst-redex\ (Seq\ c_1\ c_2)\ c\ = Seq\ (subst-redex\ c_1\ c)\ c_2\ |
subst-redex (Cond b c_1 c_2) c = c
subst-redex (While b c') c = c |
subst-redex (Call p) c = c
subst-redex (DynCom d) c = c
subst-redex (Guard f b c') c = c
subst-redex (Throw) c = c
subst-redex\ (Catch\ c_1\ c_2)\ c=Catch\ (subst-redex\ c_1\ c)\ c_2
lemma subst-redex-redex:
  subst-redex c (redex c) = c
 by (induct c) auto
lemma redex-subst-redex: redex (subst-redex c r) = redex r
  by (induct c) auto
```

```
lemma step-redex':
  shows \Gamma \vdash (redex \ c,s) \to (r',s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \to (subst-redex \ c \ r',s')
by (induct c) (auto intro: step.Seq step.Catch)
lemma step-redex:
  shows \Gamma\vdash(r,s)\to(r',s')\Longrightarrow\Gamma\vdash(subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,s)\to(subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',s')
by (induct c) (auto intro: step.Seq step.Catch)
lemma steps-redex:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^* (r', s')
  shows \bigwedge c. \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,s) \rightarrow^* (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',s')
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  show \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s') \rightarrow^* (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s')
    by simp
next
  case (Trans r s r'' s'')
  have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r'', s'') by fact
  from step-redex [OF this]
  have \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,\ s) \rightarrow (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r'',\ s'').
  also
  have \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r'',\ s'') \rightarrow^* (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s') by fact
  finally show ?case.
qed
\mathbf{ML} (
  ML-Thms.bind-thm (trancl-induct2, Split-Rule.split-rule @{context})
    (Rule-Insts.read-instantiate @\{context\})
      [(((a, 0), Position.none), (aa, ab)), (((b, 0), Position.none), (ba, bb))]
      @\{thm\ trancl-induct\}));
lemma steps-redex':
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^+ (r', s')
  shows \bigwedge c. \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,s) \to^+ (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',s')
using steps
proof (induct rule: tranclp-induct2 [consumes 1, case-names Step Trans])
  case (Step r's')
  have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r', s') by fact
  then have \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,\ s) \rightarrow (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s')
    by (rule step-redex)
  then show \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,\ s) \rightarrow^+ (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s')..
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans r's'r''s'')
  have \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,\ s) \rightarrow^+ (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s') by fact
  also
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash (r', s') \rightarrow (r'', s'') by fact
  hence \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s') \rightarrow (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r'',\ s'')
    by (rule step-redex)
  finally show \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,\ s) \to^+ (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r'',\ s'').
qed
primrec seq: (nat \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f)com) \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f)com
where
seq \ c \ p \ \theta = Call \ p \mid
seq \ c \ p \ (Suc \ i) = subst-redex \ (seq \ c \ p \ i) \ (c \ i)
lemma renumber':
  assumes f: \forall i. (a, f i) \in r^* \land (f i, f(Suc i)) \in r
  assumes a-b: (a,b) \in r^*
  shows b = f \theta \Longrightarrow (\exists f. f \theta = a \land (\forall i. (f i, f(Suc i)) \in r))
using a-b
proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
  assume b = f \theta
  with f show \exists f. f \theta = b \land (\forall i. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in r)
    by blast
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ a \ z
  assume a-z: (a, z) \in r and (z, b) \in r^*
  assume b = f \ 0 \Longrightarrow \exists f. \ f \ 0 = z \land (\forall i. \ (f \ i, f \ (Suc \ i)) \in r)
  then obtain f where f\theta: f\theta = z and seq: \forall i. (fi, f(Suc\ i)) \in r
    by iprover
    fix i have ((\lambda i. \ case \ i \ of \ 0 \Rightarrow a \mid Suc \ i \Rightarrow f \ i) \ i, f \ i) \in r
       using seq a-z f\theta
      by (cases i) auto
  }
  then
  show \exists f. f \theta = a \land (\forall i. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in r)
    by - (rule exI [where x=\lambda i. case i of 0 \Rightarrow a \mid Suc \ i \Rightarrow f \ i], simp)
qed
lemma renumber:
\forall i. (a,f i) \in r^* \land (f i,f(Suc i)) \in r
\implies \exists f. \ f \ \theta = a \land (\forall i. \ (f \ i, f(Suc \ i)) \in r)
 by (blast dest:renumber')
lemma lem:
  \forall y. \ r^{++} \ a \ y \longrightarrow P \ a \longrightarrow P \ y
   \implies ((b,a) \in \{(y,x). \ P \ x \land r \ x \ y\}^+) = ((b,a) \in \{(y,x). \ P \ x \land r^{++} \ x \ y\})
apply(rule iffI)
apply clarify
 apply(erule trancl-induct)
```

```
apply blast
 apply(blast intro:tranclp-trans)
apply clarify
apply(erule tranclp-induct)
apply blast
apply(blast intro:trancl-trans)
done
{\bf corollary}\ terminates-impl-no-infinite-trans-computation:
 assumes terminates: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
shows \neg(\exists f. f \ \theta = (c,s) \land (\forall i. \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow^+ f(Suc \ i)))
proof -
  have wf(\{(y,x). \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* x \land \Gamma \vdash x \rightarrow y\}^+)
  proof (rule wf-trancl)
    show wf \{(y, x). \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* x \land \Gamma \vdash x \rightarrow y\}
    proof (simp only: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain, clarify, simp)
       assume \forall i. \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* f i \land \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
       hence \exists f. f \ (\theta :: nat) = (c,s) \land (\forall i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f \ (Suc \ i))
         by (rule renumber [to-pred])
       moreover from terminates-impl-no-infinite-computation [OF terminates]
       have \neg (\exists f. f (0::nat) = (c, s) \land (\forall i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)))
         by (simp add: inf-def)
       ultimately show False
         by simp
    qed
  qed
  hence \neg (\exists f. \forall i. (f (Suc i), f i)
                    \in \{(y, x). \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* x \land \Gamma \vdash x \rightarrow y\}^+\}
    by (simp add: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain)
  thus ?thesis
  proof (rule contrapos-nn)
    assume \exists f. f \ (\theta :: nat) = (c, s) \land (\forall i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow^+ f \ (Suc \ i))
    then obtain f where
      f\theta: f\theta = (c, s) and
      seq: \forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow^+ f \ (Suc \ i)
      by iprover
    show
       \exists f. \ \forall i. \ (f \ (Suc \ i), f \ i) \in \{(y, x). \ \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* x \land \Gamma \vdash x \rightarrow y\}^+
    proof (rule exI [where x=f],rule allI)
      show (f (Suc i), f i) \in \{(y, x). \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* x \land \Gamma \vdash x \rightarrow y\}^+
       proof -
         {
           fix i have \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \to^* f i
           proof (induct i)
              case \theta show \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* f \theta
                by (simp add: f0)
           next
```

```
case (Suc\ n)
             have \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* f n by fact
             with seq show \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* f (Suc n)
               by (blast intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp rtranclp-trans)
          qed
        hence \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* f i
           by iprover
        with seq have
           (f\ (Suc\ i),f\ i)\in\{(y,\,x).\ \Gamma\vdash(c,\,s)\to^*x \ \wedge\ \Gamma\vdash x\to^+y\}
           by clarsimp
        moreover
        have \forall y. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow^+ y \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* f i \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* y
           by (blast intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp rtranclp-trans)
        ultimately
        show ?thesis
           by (subst lem )
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
theorem wf-termi-call-steps: wf (termi-call-steps \Gamma)
proof (simp only: termi-call-steps-def wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain,
       clarify, simp)
  \mathbf{fix} f
  assume inf: \forall i. (\lambda(t, q) (s, p).
                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \land
                 (\exists c. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^+ (c, Normal \ t) \land redex \ c = Call \ q))
              (f (Suc i)) (f i)
  define s where s i = fst (f i) for i :: nat
  define p where p i = (snd (f i)::'b) for i :: nat
  from inf
  have inf': \forall i. \Gamma \vdash Call \ (p \ i) \downarrow Normal \ (s \ i) \land
                (\exists c. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ (p \ i), Normal \ (s \ i)) \rightarrow^+ (c, Normal \ (s \ (i+1))) \land
                     redex\ c = Call\ (p\ (i+1)))
    apply -
    apply (rule allI)
    apply (erule-tac x=i in allE)
    apply (auto simp add: s-def p-def)
    done
  show False
  proof -
    from inf'
    have \exists c. \forall i. \Gamma \vdash Call (p i) \downarrow Normal (s i) \land
                \Gamma \vdash (Call\ (p\ i),\ Normal\ (s\ i)) \rightarrow^+ (c\ i,\ Normal\ (s\ (i+1))) \land
                     redex(c i) = Call(p(i+1))
      apply -
      apply (rule choice)
```

```
by blast
    then obtain c where
      termi-c: \forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash Call \ (p \ i) \downarrow Normal \ (s \ i) \ \mathbf{and}
      steps-c: \forall i. \Gamma \vdash (Call (p i), Normal (s i)) \rightarrow^+ (c i, Normal (s (i+1))) and
      red-c: \forall i. \ redex \ (c \ i) = Call \ (p \ (i+1))
      by auto
    define g where g i = (seq \ c \ (p \ \theta) \ i,Normal \ (s \ i)::('a,'c) \ xstate) for i
    from red-c [rule-format, of \theta]
    have g \theta = (Call (p \theta), Normal (s \theta))
      by (simp add: g-def)
    moreover
    {
      \mathbf{fix} i
      have redex (seq c (p 0) i) = Call (p i)
        by (induct i) (auto simp add: redex-subst-redex red-c)
      from this [symmetric]
      have subst-redex (seq c(p 0) i) (Call (p i)) = (seq c(p 0) i)
        by (simp add: subst-redex-redex)
    } note subst-redex-seq = this
    have \forall i. \Gamma \vdash (g \ i) \rightarrow^+ (g \ (i+1))
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} i
      from steps-c [rule-format, of i]
      have \Gamma \vdash (Call\ (p\ i),\ Normal\ (s\ i)) \rightarrow^+ (c\ i,\ Normal\ (s\ (i+1))).
      from steps-redex' [OF this, of (seq\ c\ (p\ 0)\ i)]
      have \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ (seq\ c\ (p\ 0)\ i)\ (Call\ (p\ i)),\ Normal\ (s\ i)) \to^+
                 (subst-redex\ (seq\ c\ (p\ 0)\ i)\ (c\ i),\ Normal\ (s\ (i+1))).
      hence \Gamma \vdash (seq\ c\ (p\ \theta)\ i,\ Normal\ (s\ i)) \rightarrow^+
                  (seq\ c\ (p\ 0)\ (i+1),\ Normal\ (s\ (i+1)))
        by (simp add: subst-redex-seq)
      thus \Gamma \vdash (g \ i) \rightarrow^+ (g \ (i+1))
        by (simp \ add: g-def)
    qed
    moreover
    from terminates-impl-no-infinite-trans-computation [OF termi-c [rule-format,
    have \neg (\exists f. \ f \ \theta = (Call \ (p \ \theta), \ Normal \ (s \ \theta)) \land (\forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow^+ f \ (Suc \ i))).
    ultimately show False
      by auto
  qed
qed
lemma no-infinite-computation-implies-wf:
  assumes not-inf: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow ...(\infty)
  shows wf \{(c2,c1). \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* c1 \land \Gamma \vdash c1 \rightarrow c2\}
proof (simp only: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain, clarify, simp)
  \mathbf{fix} f
  assume \forall i. \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* f i \land \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
```

```
hence \exists f. f \ \theta = (c, s) \land (\forall i. \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (Suc \ i))
    by (rule renumber [to-pred])
  moreover from not-inf
  have \neg (\exists f. f \ \theta = (c, s) \land (\forall i. \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (Suc \ i)))
    by (simp add: inf-def)
  ultimately show False
    by simp
qed
lemma not-final-Stuck-step: \neg final (c,Stuck) \Longrightarrow \exists c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (c,Stuck) \to (c',s')
by (induct c) (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)+
\mathbf{lemma}\ not\text{-}final\text{-}Abrupt\text{-}step:
  \neg final\ (c, Abrupt\ s) \Longrightarrow \exists\ c'\ s'.\ \Gamma \vdash (c,\ Abrupt\ s) \to (c', s')
by (induct c) (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)+
lemma not-final-Fault-step:
  \neg final (c, Fault f) \Longrightarrow \exists c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (c, Fault f) \to (c', s')
by (induct c) (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)+
lemma not-final-Normal-step:
  \neg final\ (c, Normal\ s) \Longrightarrow \exists\ c'\ s'.\ \Gamma \vdash (c,\ Normal\ s) \to (c', s')
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)
\mathbf{next}
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Spec \ r)
  thus ?case
    by (cases \exists t. (s,t) \in r) (fastforce intro: step.intros) +
next
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  thus ?case
   by (cases final (c_1, Normal s)) (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)+
  case (Cond b c1 c2)
 show ?case
    by (cases s \in b) (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
next
  case (While b c)
 show ?case
    by (cases s \in b) (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
\mathbf{next}
  case (Call\ p)
 show ?case
 by (cases \Gamma p) (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
  case DynCom thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros)
next
```

```
case (Guard f g c)
 \mathbf{show}~? case
    by (cases s \in g) (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
  case Throw
  thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  thus ?case
   by (cases final (c_1, Normal s)) (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)+
qed
lemma final-termi:
final\ (c,s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 by (cases s) (auto simp add: final-def terminates.intros)
lemma split-computation:
assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c_f, s_f)
assumes not-final: \neg final (c,s)
assumes final: final (c_f, s_f)
shows \exists c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s') \land \Gamma \vdash (c', s') \rightarrow^* (c_f, s_f)
using steps not-final final
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans\ c\ s\ c'\ s')
  thus ?case by auto
qed
lemma wf-implies-termi-reach-step-case:
assumes hyp: \bigwedge c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \to (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
using hyp
proof (induct c)
  case Skip show ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case Basic show ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Spec \ r)
 show ?case
    by (cases \exists t. (s,t) \in r) (fastforce\ intro:\ terminates.intros) +
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
 have hyp: \bigwedge c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule terminates.Seq)
     fix c's'
```

```
assume step-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c', s')
    have \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
    proof -
      from step-c_1
      have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c' \ c_2, \ s')
        by (rule step.Seq)
      from hyp [OF this]
      have \Gamma \vdash Seq \ c' \ c_2 \downarrow s'.
      thus \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
        by cases auto
    \mathbf{qed}
  from Seq.hyps (1) [OF this]
  show \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s.
next
  show \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s'
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix s'
    assume exec 	ext{-} c_1 	ext{: } \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    show \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases final (c_1, Normal s))
      {\bf case}\ {\it True}
      hence c_1 = Skip \lor c_1 = Throw
        by (simp add: final-def)
      thus ?thesis
      proof
        assume Skip: c_1 = Skip
        have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ Skip \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c_2, Normal \ s)
          by (rule step.SeqSkip)
        from hyp [simplified Skip, OF this]
        have \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s.
        moreover from exec-c_1 Skip
        have s'=Normal s
          by (auto elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
        ultimately show ?thesis by simp
        assume Throw: c_1 = Throw
        with exec-c_1 have s'=Abrupt s
           by (auto elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
        thus ?thesis
           by auto
      qed
    next
      case False
      from exec\text{-}impl\text{-}steps [OF exec\text{-}c_1]
      obtain c_f t where
        steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (c_f, \ t) and
        fin:(case\ s'\ of
                Abrupt \ x \Rightarrow c_f = Throw \land t = Normal \ x
```

```
| - \Rightarrow c_f = Skip \wedge t = s' )
          by (fastforce split: xstate.splits)
        with fin have final: final (c_f,t)
          by (cases s') (auto simp add: final-def)
        from split-computation [OF steps-c_1 False this]
        obtain c^{\prime\prime}\,s^{\prime\prime} where
          first: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
          rest: \Gamma \vdash (c'', s'') \rightarrow^* (c_f, t)
          by blast
        from step.Seq [OF first]
        have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c'' \ c_2, \ s'').
        from hyp [OF this]
        have termi-s'': \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c''\ c_2 \downarrow s''.
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases s'')
          case (Normal x)
          from termi-s'' [simplified Normal]
          have termi-c_2: \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow t
            by cases
          show ?thesis
          proof (cases \exists x'. s' = Abrupt x')
            {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
             with fin obtain c_f = Skip \ t = s'
              by (cases s') auto
             from steps-Skip-impl-exec [OF rest [simplified this]] Normal
            have \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow s'
              by simp
             from termi-c_2 [rule-format, OF this]
            show \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s'.
          \mathbf{next}
             with fin obtain x' where s': s'=Abrupt x' and c_f=Throw t=Normal
x'
              by auto
             from steps-Throw-impl-exec [OF rest [simplified this]] Normal
            have \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ x'
              by simp
             from termi-c_2 [rule-format, OF this] s'
            show \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s' by simp
          qed
        next
          case (Abrupt \ x)
          from steps-Abrupt-prop [OF rest this]
          have t = Abrupt \ x by simp
          with fin have s' = Abrupt x
            by (cases s') auto
          thus \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s'
            by auto
        next
```

```
case (Fault f)
          from steps-Fault-prop [OF rest this]
          have t=Fault\ f by simp
          with fin have s'=Fault f
            by (cases s') auto
          thus \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s'
            by auto
        next
          case Stuck
          from steps-Stuck-prop [OF rest this]
          have t=Stuck by simp
          with fin have s'=Stuck
            by (cases s') auto
          thus \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s'
            by auto
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Cond b c_1 c_2)
 have hyp: \land c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
 \mathbf{show}~? case
  proof (cases \ s \in b)
   {\bf case}\ {\it True}
   then have \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c_1, \ Normal \ s)
      by (rule step.CondTrue)
    from hyp [OF this] have \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s.
    with True show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  next
    case False
    then have \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c_2, \ Normal \ s)
      by (rule step.CondFalse)
    from hyp [OF this] have \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s.
    with False show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (While b c)
  have hyp: \land c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c', \ s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \ s \in b)
    case True
    then have \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), Normal \ s)
      by (rule step. While True)
    from hyp [OF this] have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c)) \downarrow Normal\ s.
    with True show ?thesis
      by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros)
```

```
next
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  ged
\mathbf{next}
  case (Call\ p)
  have hyp: \bigwedge c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ s) \to (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases \Gamma p)
    {\bf case}\ None
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
 next
    case (Some \ bdy)
   then have \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow (bdy, \ Normal \ s)
      by (rule step. Call)
    from hyp [OF this] have \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal s.
    with Some show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (DynCom\ c)
  have hyp: \bigwedge c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (DynCom\ c,\ Normal\ s) \to (c',\ s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash (DynCom\ c,\ Normal\ s) \rightarrow (c\ s,\ Normal\ s)
    by (rule step.DynCom)
  from hyp [OF this] have \Gamma \vdash c \ s \downarrow Normal \ s.
  then show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Guard f g c)
 have hyp: \bigwedge c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (Guard f g c, Normal s) \rightarrow (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
 show ?case
  proof (cases \ s \in g)
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    then have \Gamma \vdash (Guard \ f \ g \ c, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c, \ Normal \ s)
      by (rule step.Guard)
    from hyp [OF this] have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s.
    with True show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case Throw show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case (Catch c_1 c_2)
```

```
have hyp: \land c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
show ?case
proof (rule terminates.Catch)
    fix c's'
    assume step-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c', s')
    have \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
    proof -
      from step-c_1
      have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c' \ c_2, \ s')
         by (rule step.Catch)
      from hyp [OF this]
      have \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c' \ c_2 \downarrow s'.
      thus \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
         by cases auto
    qed
  from Catch.hyps (1) [OF this]
  show \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal s.
next
  show \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix s'
    assume exec 	ext{-} c_1 	ext{: } \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    show \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    proof (cases final (c_1, Normal \ s))
      case True
      with exec-c_1
      have Throw: c_1 = Throw
         by (auto simp add: final-def elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
      have \Gamma \vdash (Catch\ Throw\ c_2, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (c_2, Normal\ s)
         by (rule step.CatchThrow)
      from hyp [simplified Throw, OF this]
      have \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s.
      moreover from exec-c_1 Throw
      have s'=s
         by (auto elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
      ultimately show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case False
      from exec\text{-}impl\text{-}steps [OF exec\text{-}c_1]
      obtain c_f t where
         steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ s')
         by (fastforce split: xstate.splits)
      \textbf{from} \ \textit{split-computation} \ [\textit{OF} \ \textit{steps-}c_1 \ \textit{False}]
      obtain c'' s'' where
         first: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
         rest: \Gamma \vdash (c'', s'') \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal s')
         by (auto simp add: final-def)
```

```
from step. Catch [OF first]
        have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c'' \ c_2, \ s'').
        from hyp [OF this]
        have \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c'' \ c_2 \downarrow s''.
        moreover
        \mathbf{from}\ steps\text{-}Throw\text{-}impl\text{-}exec\ [\mathit{OF}\ rest]
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', s'' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt s'.
        moreover
        from rest obtain x where s''=Normal x
           by (cases s'')
              (auto dest: steps-Fault-prop steps-Abrupt-prop steps-Stuck-prop)
        ultimately show ?thesis
           by (fastforce elim: terminates-elim-cases)
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma wf-implies-termi-reach:
assumes wf: wf \{(cfg2,cfg1), \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* cfg1 \land \Gamma \vdash cfg1 \rightarrow cfg2\}
shows \bigwedge c1 \ s1. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* cfg1; \ cfg1 = (c1,s1) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow s1
using wf
proof (induct cfg1, simp)
  fix c1 s1
  assume reach: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c1, s1)
  assume hyp-raw: \bigwedge y \ c2 \ s2.
            [\![\Gamma\vdash(c1,s1)\to(c2,s2);\Gamma\vdash(c,s)\to^*(c2,s2);y=(c2,s2)]\!]
            \implies \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s2
  have hyp: \bigwedge c2 s2. \Gamma \vdash (c1, s1) \rightarrow (c2, s2) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s2
    apply
    apply (rule hyp-raw)
    apply assumption
    using reach
    apply simp
    apply (rule refl)
    done
  show \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow s1
  proof (cases s1)
    case (Normal s1')
    with wf-implies-termi-reach-step-case [OF hyp [simplified Normal]]
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
qed
theorem no\text{-}infinite\text{-}computation\text{-}impl\text{-}terminates:
  assumes not-inf: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow ...(\infty)
  shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
```

```
proof — from no-infinite-computation-implies-wf [OF not-inf] have wf: wf \{(c2, c1). \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* c1 \land \Gamma \vdash c1 \rightarrow c2\}. show ?thesis by (rule wf-implies-termi-reach [OF wf]) auto qed corollary terminates-iff-no-infinite-computation: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s = (\neg \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow \ldots(\infty)) apply (rule) apply (erule terminates-impl-no-infinite-computation) apply (erule no-infinite-computation-impl-terminates) done
```

### 11.6 Generalised Redexes

For an important lemma for the completeness proof of the Hoare-logic for total correctness we need a generalisation of *redex* that not only yield the redex itself but all the enclosing statements as well.

```
primrec redexes:: ('s,'p,'f)com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com set
where
redexes\ Skip = \{Skip\}\ |
redexes\ (Basic\ f) = \{Basic\ f\}\ |
redexes (Spec \ r) = \{Spec \ r\} \mid
redexes (Seq c_1 c_2) = \{Seq c_1 c_2\} \cup redexes c_1 \mid
redexes (Cond b c_1 c_2) = {Cond b c_1 c_2}
redexes (While b c) = { While b c}
redexes\ (Call\ p) = \{Call\ p\}\ |
redexes\ (DynCom\ d) = \{DynCom\ d\}\ |
redexes (Guard f b c) = \{Guard f b c\} \mid
redexes\ (Throw) = \{Throw\}\ |
redexes\ (Catch\ c_1\ c_2) = \{Catch\ c_1\ c_2\} \cup redexes\ c_1
lemma root-in-redexes: c \in redexes c
 apply (induct \ c)
 apply auto
 done
lemma redex-in-redexes: redex c \in redexes c
 apply (induct \ c)
 apply auto
 done
lemma redex-redexes: \bigwedge c'. \llbracket c' \in redexes \ c; \ redex \ c' = c' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow redex \ c = c'
 apply (induct \ c)
 apply auto
 done
```

```
shows \bigwedge r r'. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (r,s) \to (r',s'); r \in redexes c \rrbracket
  \implies \exists c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow (c',s') \land r' \in redexes \ c'
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases)
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
 have r \in redexes (Seq c_1 c_2) by fact
 hence r: r = Seq c_1 c_2 \lor r \in redexes c_1
 have step-r: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r', s') by fact
 from r show ?case
 proof
   assume r = Seq c_1 c_2
   with step-r
   show ?case
     by (auto simp add: root-in-redexes)
  next
   assume r: r \in redexes \ c_1
   from Seq.hyps (1) [OF step-r this]
   obtain c' where
     step-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c', s') and
     r': r' \in redexes c'
     by blast
   from step.Seq [OF step-c_1]
   have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c' \ c_2, \ s').
   with r'
   show ?case
     by auto
 \mathbf{qed}
next
 case Cond
 thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases simp add: root-in-redexes)
next
 {f case} While
 thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases simp add: root-in-redexes)
next
 case Call thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases simp add: root-in-redexes)
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases simp add: root-in-redexes)
next
 case Guard thus ?case
```

```
by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases simp add: root-in-redexes)
next
  case Throw thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases simp add: root-in-redexes)
next
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  have r \in redexes (Catch c_1 c_2) by fact
 hence r: r = Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \lor r \in redexes \ c_1
   by simp
  have step-r: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r', s') by fact
  from r show ?case
  proof
   assume r = Catch \ c_1 \ c_2
   with step-r
   show ?case
     by (auto simp add: root-in-redexes)
   assume r: r \in redexes c_1
   from Catch.hyps (1) [OF step-r this]
   obtain c' where
     step-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c', s') and
     r': r' \in redexes c'
     by blast
   from step.Catch [OF step-c_1]
   have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c' \ c_2, \ s').
   with r'
   show ?case
     by auto
 \mathbf{qed}
qed
lemma steps-redexes:
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^* (r', s')
 shows \bigwedge c. \ r \in redexes \ c \Longrightarrow \exists \ c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \to^* (c',s') \land \ r' \in redexes \ c'
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  then
 show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (c, s') \rightarrow^* (c', s') \land r' \in redexes c'
   by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans r s r'' s'')
  have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r'', s'') \ r \in redexes \ c \ by \ fact +
  from step-redexes [OF this]
  obtain c' where
   step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s'') and
   r'': r'' \in redexes c'
   bv blast
 note step
```

```
also
  from Trans.hyps (3) [OF r'']
  obtain c'' where
   steps: \Gamma \vdash (c', s'') \rightarrow^* (c'', s') and
    r': r' \in redexes \ c''
   by blast
  note steps
  finally
 show ?case
   using r'
    by blast
qed
lemma steps-redexes':
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^+ (r', s')
 shows \land c. \ r \in redexes \ c \Longrightarrow \exists \ c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \to^+ (c',s') \land \ r' \in redexes \ c'
using steps
proof (induct rule: tranclp-induct2 [consumes 1, case-names Step Trans])
  case (Step r's'c')
 have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r', s') r \in redexes \ c' by fact +
 from step-redexes [OF this]
 show ?case
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{blast\ intro:\ r\text{-}into\text{-}trancl})
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans r' s' r'' s'')
  from Trans obtain c' where
    steps: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^+ (c', s') and
    r': r' \in redexes c'
   by blast
  note steps
 moreover
 have \Gamma \vdash (r', s') \rightarrow (r'', s'') by fact
 from step-redexes [OF this r'] obtain c'' where
    step: \Gamma \vdash (c', s') \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
    r'': r'' \in redexes c''
   \mathbf{by} blast
  note step
  finally show ?case
    using r'' by blast
qed
lemma step-redexes-Seq:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (r,s) \rightarrow (r',s')
 assumes Seq: Seq \ r \ c_2 \in redexes \ c
 shows \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow (c',s') \land Seq r' c_2 \in redexes c'
proof -
 from step.Seq [OF step]
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ r \ c_2, \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ r' \ c_2, \ s').
  from step-redexes [OF this Seq]
  show ?thesis.
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ steps\text{-}redexes\text{-}Seq:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^* (r', s')
  shows \bigwedge c. Seq r c_2 \in redexes c \Longrightarrow
              \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (c',s') \land Seq \ r' \ c_2 \in redexes \ c'
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  then show ?case
    by (auto)
next
  case (Trans \ r \ s \ r'' \ s'')
  have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r'', s'') Seq r c_2 \in redexes \ c by fact +
  from step-redexes-Seq [OF this]
  obtain c' where
    step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s'') and
    r'': Seq r'' c_2 \in redexes c'
    by blast
  note step
  also
  from Trans.hyps (3) \lceil OF r'' \rceil
  obtain c'' where
    steps: \Gamma \vdash (c', s'') \rightarrow^* (c'', s') and
    r': Seq \ r' \ c_2 \in redexes \ c''
    by blast
  note steps
  finally
  show ?case
    using r'
    by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma steps-redexes-Seq':
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^+ (r', s')
  shows \bigwedge c. Seq r c_2 \in redexes c
             \implies \exists c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^+ (c',s') \land Seq \ r' \ c_2 \in redexes \ c'
using steps
proof (induct rule: tranclp-induct2 [consumes 1, case-names Step Trans])
  case (Step r's'c')
  have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r', s') Seq r c_2 \in redexes \ c' by fact+
  from step-redexes-Seq [OF this]
  show ?case
    by (blast intro: r-into-trancl)
next
```

```
case (Trans r' s' r'' s'')
  from Trans obtain c' where
    steps: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^+ (c', s') and
    r': Seq r' c_2 \in redexes c'
    by blast
  note steps
  moreover
  have \Gamma \vdash (r', s') \rightarrow (r'', s'') by fact
  from step-redexes-Seq [OF this r'] obtain c'' where
    step: \Gamma \vdash (c', s') \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
    r'': Seq r'' c_2 \in redexes c''
   by blast
 note step
 finally show ?case
   using r'' by blast
qed
lemma step-redexes-Catch:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (r,s) \to (r',s')
 assumes Catch: Catch r c_2 \in redexes c
  shows \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow (c',s') \land Catch \ r' \ c_2 \in redexes \ c'
proof -
  from step.Catch [OF step]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ r \ c_2, \ s) \rightarrow (Catch \ r' \ c_2, \ s').
  from step-redexes [OF this Catch]
  show ?thesis.
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ steps\text{-}redexes\text{-}Catch:
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^* (r', s')
 shows \bigwedge c. Catch r \ c_2 \in redexes \ c \Longrightarrow
              \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (c',s') \land Catch \ r' \ c_2 \in redexes \ c'
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  then show ?case
    by (auto)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans r s r'' s'')
  have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r'', s'') Catch r c_2 \in redexes \ c by fact +
  from step-redexes-Catch [OF this]
  obtain c' where
    step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s'') and
    r'': Catch r'' c_2 \in redexes c'
   by blast
  note step
  also
 from Trans.hyps (3) [OF r'']
```

```
obtain c'' where
    steps: \Gamma \vdash (c', s'') \rightarrow^* (c'', s') and
    r': Catch r' c_2 \in redexes c''
    by blast
  note steps
  finally
  show ?case
    using r'
    by blast
qed
lemma steps-redexes-Catch':
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^+ (r', s')
 shows \bigwedge c. Catch r c_2 \in redexes c
             \implies \exists c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^+ (c',s') \land Catch \ r' \ c_2 \in redexes \ c'
using steps
proof (induct rule: tranclp-induct2 [consumes 1, case-names Step Trans])
  case (Step r's'c')
  have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r', s') Catch r c_2 \in redexes \ c' by fact +
  from step-redexes-Catch [OF this]
 show ?case
    by (blast intro: r-into-trancl)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans \ r' \ s' \ r'' \ s'')
  from Trans obtain c' where
    steps: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^+ (c', s') and
   r': Catch r' c_2 \in redexes c'
   by blast
  note steps
 moreover
  have \Gamma \vdash (r', s') \rightarrow (r'', s'') by fact
  from step-redexes-Catch [OF this r'] obtain c" where
    step: \Gamma \vdash (c', s') \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
    r'': Catch r'' c_2 \in redexes c''
   by blast
  note step
 finally show ?case
    using r'' by blast
qed
lemma redexes-subset: \land c'. c' \in redexes \ c \implies redexes \ c' \subseteq redexes \ c
 by (induct c) auto
lemma redexes-preserves-termination:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 shows \bigwedge c'. c' \in redexes \ c \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s
using termi
by induct (auto intro: terminates.intros)
```

# 12 Hoare Logic for Total Correctness

theory HoareTotalDef imports HoarePartialDef Termination begin

### 12.1 Validity of Hoare Tuples: $\Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A$

```
definition
```

```
validt :: [('s,'p,'f)\ body,'f\ set,'s\ assn,('s,'p,'f)\ com,'s\ assn,'s\ assn] \Rightarrow bool\ (-\models_{t'/\_}/\ --\ -,-\ [61,60,1000,\ 20,\ 1000,1000]\ 60)
```

#### where

$$\Gamma {\models_{t/F}} \ P \ c \ Q, A \equiv \Gamma {\models_{/F}} \ P \ c \ Q, A \ \land \ (\forall \, s \in \mathit{Normal} \ `P. \ \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} s)$$

#### definition

cvalidt::

```
 \begin{array}{ll} [('s,'p,'f)\ body, ('s,'p)\ quadruple\ set,'f\ set,\\ 's\ assn, ('s,'p,'f)\ com,'s\ assn,'s\ assn] \Rightarrow bool\\ (-,-\models_{t'/\_}/\ -\ -\ -,-\ [61,60,\ 60,1000,\ 20,\ 1000,1000]\ 60) \end{array}
```

where

$$\Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/F}P\ c\ Q,A\equiv (\forall\,(P,p,Q,A)\in\Theta.\ \Gamma\models_{t/F}P\ (\mathit{Call}\ p)\ Q,A)\longrightarrow\Gamma\models_{t/F}P\ c\ Q,A$$

```
{\bf notation}\,\,(ASCII)
```

## 12.2 Properties of Validity

 $lemma \ validtI$ :

$$\Longrightarrow \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A$$
  
by (auto simp add: validt-def valid-def)

25 (water string awar carrait asj car

lemma cvalidtI:

lemma cvalidt-postD:

```
\llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A; \ \forall \ (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ (\mathit{Call} \ p) \ \ Q,A; \Gamma \vdash \langle c,Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow A \models_{t/F} P \ (\mathit{Call} \ p) \ \ Q,A \models_{t/F} A \models_{t/F} P \ \ (\mathit{Call} \ p) \ \ Q,A \models_{t/F} A \models_{t/F} A \models_{t/F} P \ \ (\mathit{Call} \ p) \ \ Q,A \models_{t/F} A \models_{t
         s \in P; t \notin Fault `F
       \implies t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
       by (simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def)
lemma cvalidt-termD:
    \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta {\models_{t/F}} \ P \ c \ Q,A; \ \forall \, (P,p,Q,A) {\in} \Theta. \ \Gamma {\models_{t/F}} \ P \ (\mathit{Call} \ p) \ \ Q,A;s \in P \rrbracket
        \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow (Normal\ s)
       by (simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def)
lemma validt-augment-Faults:
       assumes valid:\Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
       assumes F': F \subseteq F'
       shows \Gamma \models_{t/F'} P \ c \ Q,A
       using valid^{'}F'
       by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults simp add: validt-def)
                                    The Hoare Rules: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
inductive hoaret::[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,'f \ set,
                                                                                   's \ assn, ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com, 's \ assn, 's \ assn]
                                                                               => bool
             ((3\text{-},\text{-}/\vdash_{t'/\text{-}}(\text{-}/\text{(-)}/\text{-},\text{-}))\ [61,60,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
           for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f) body
where
        Skip: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} Q Skip Q, A
\mid \mathit{Basic} \colon \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ f \ s \in \mathit{Q}\} \ (\mathit{Basic} \ f) \ \mathit{Q}, A
| Spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\exists t. \ (s,t) \in r)\}\ (Spec\ r)\ Q,A
\mid \mathit{Seq} \colon \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ R, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
                          \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ Seq\ c_1\ c_2\ Q,A
| Cond: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \cap b) \ c_1 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \cap -b) \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
                             \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ (Cond\ b\ c_1\ c_2)\ Q,A
| While: \llbracket wf \ r; \ \forall \ \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P \cap b) \ c \ (\{t. \ (t,\sigma) \in r\} \cap P), A \rrbracket
                                 \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (While b c) (P\cap -b),A
\mid \mathit{Guard} \colon \Gamma , \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (g \, \cap \, P) \ c \ Q , A
                                  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (g \cap P) \ Guard \ f \ g \ c \ Q,A
```

```
| Guarantee: \llbracket f \in F; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q, A \rrbracket
                  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
| CallRec:
   [(P,p,Q,A) \in Specs;
      wf r;
      Specs-wf = (\lambda p \ \sigma. \ (\lambda(P,q,Q,A). \ (P \cap \{s. \ ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in r\},q,Q,A)) \ `Specs);
     \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs.
        p \in dom \ \Gamma \land (\forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs-wf \ p \ \sigma \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A)
     \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
\mid DynCom: \ \forall s \in P. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (c \ s) \ Q,A
                \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (DynCom \ c) \ Q,A
| Throw: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} A \ Throw \ Q, A
| Catch: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, R; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Catch \ c_1 \ c_2
Q,A
| \textit{ Conseq} \colon \forall \, s \in \textit{P} . \; \exists \, \textit{P'} \; \textit{Q'} \; \textit{A'}. \; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \textit{P'} \; c \; \textit{Q'}, \textit{A'} \; \land \; s \in \textit{P'} \; \land \; \textit{Q'} \subseteq \textit{Q} \; \land \; \textit{A'} \subseteq \textit{A}
               \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
\mid \mathit{Asm} \colon (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
\mid ExFalso: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A; \neg \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
      - This is a hack rule that enables us to derive completeness for an arbitrary
context \Theta, from completeness for an empty context.
Does not work, because of rule ExFalso, the context \Theta is to blame. A weaker
version with empty context can be derived from soundness later on.
lemma hoaret-to-hoarep:
   assumes hoaret: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P \ p \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P p Q,A
using hoaret
proof (induct)
   case Skip thus ?case by (rule hoarep.intros)
   case Basic thus ?case by (rule hoarep.intros)
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case Seq thus ?case by - (rule hoarep.intros)
next
  case Cond thus ?case by - (rule hoarep.intros)
next
  case (While r \Theta F P b c A)
  hence \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P \cap b) \ c \ (\{t. \ (t, \sigma) \in r\} \cap P), A
    by iprover
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap b)\ c\ P,A
    by (rule HoarePartialDef.conseq) blast
  then show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P While b c (P\cap -b),A
    by (rule hoarep. While)
  case Guard thus ?case by – (rule hoarep.intros)
next
  case DynCom thus ?case by (blast intro: hoarep.DynCom)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by - (rule\ hoarep.Throw)
\mathbf{next}
  case Catch thus ?case by - (rule hoarep. Catch)
next
  case Conseq thus ?case by - (rule hoarep.Conseq,blast)
next
  case Asm thus ?case by (rule HoarePartialDef.Asm)
next
  case (ExFalso\ \Theta\ F\ P\ c\ Q\ A)
  assume \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  hence \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    oops
lemma hoaret-augment-context:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P p Q,A
  shows \land \Theta'. \Theta \subseteq \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta' \vdash_{t/F} P \ p \ Q, A
using deriv
proof (induct)
  case (CallRec P p Q A Specs r Specs-wf \Theta F \Theta)
  have aug: \Theta \subseteq \Theta' by fact
  have h: \bigwedge \tau \ p. \ \Theta \cup Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau
       \subseteq \Theta' \cup Specs\text{-}wf p \tau
    by blast
  have \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ p \in dom \ \Gamma \land A
     (\forall \tau. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau \vdash_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A \land 
            (\forall x. \Theta \cup Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau)
                   \subseteq x \longrightarrow
                  \Gamma, x \vdash_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q, A)) \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  hence \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ p \in dom \ \Gamma \land A
```

```
(\forall \tau. \ \Gamma, \Theta' \cup Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau \vdash_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q, A)
   apply (clarify)
   apply (rename-tac\ P\ p\ Q\ A)
   apply (drule (1) bspec)
   apply (clarsimp)
   apply (erule-tac x=\tau in allE)
   apply clarify
   apply (erule-tac x=\Theta' \cup Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau \ \mathbf{in} \ all E)
   apply (insert aug)
   apply auto
   done
  with CallRec show ?case by - (rule hoaret.CallRec)
  case DynCom thus ?case by (blast intro: hoaret.DynCom)
next
  case (Conseq P \Theta F c Q A \Theta')
  from Conseq
 A)
   by blast
  with Conseq show ?case by - (rule hoaret.Conseq)
  case (ExFalso\ \Theta\ F\ P\ c\ Q\ A\ \Theta')
 have \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/F}P c Q,A \neg \Gamma\models_{t/F}P c Q,A \Theta\subseteq\Theta' by fact+
  then show ?case
   by (fastforce intro: hoaret.ExFalso simp add: cvalidt-def)
qed (blast intro: hoaret.intros)+
12.4
          Some Derived Rules
lemma Conseq': \forall s. s \in P \longrightarrow
           (\exists P' \ Q' \ A'.
             (\forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'\ Z) \ c \ (Q'\ Z), (A'\ Z))\ \land\\
                   (\exists Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \land (Q' \ Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' \ Z \subseteq A)))
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule Conseq)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply (clarify)
apply (rule-tac \ x=P'\ Z \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)
apply (rule-tac \ x=Q' \ Z \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)
apply (rule-tac x=A'Z in exI)
apply blast
done
lemma conseq: \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), (A'Z);
             \forall s. \ s \in P \longrightarrow (\exists \ Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \land (Q' \ Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' \ Z \subseteq A))]
```

```
\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (rule Conseq) blast
theorem conseqPrePost:
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P' \ c \ Q',A' \Longrightarrow P \subseteq P' \Longrightarrow \ Q' \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow A' \subseteq A \Longrightarrow \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c
Q,A
  by (rule conseq [where ?P'=\lambda Z. P' and ?Q'=\lambda Z. Q']) auto
lemma conseqPre: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P' \ c \ Q,A \Longrightarrow P \subseteq P' \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
by (rule conseq) auto
lemma conseqPost: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ Q',A'\Longrightarrow Q'\subseteq Q\Longrightarrow A'\subseteq A\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P
c Q, A
  by (rule conseq) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{Spec}	ext{-}\mathit{wf}	ext{-}\mathit{conv}:
  (\lambda(P, q, Q, A). (P \cap \{s. ((s, q), \tau, p) \in r\}, q, Q, A))
                  (\bigcup p \in Procs. \bigcup Z. \{(P \ p \ Z, \ p, \ Q \ p \ Z, \ A \ p \ Z)\}) =
         (\bigcup q \in Procs. \bigcup Z. \{(P \neq Z \cap \{s. ((s, q), \tau, p) \in r\}, q, Q \neq Z, A \neq Z)\})
  by (auto intro!: image-eqI)
lemma CallRec':
  [p \in Procs; Procs \subseteq dom \ \Gamma;]
    wf r;
   \forall p \in Procs. \ \forall \tau \ Z.
   \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup q \in Procs. \bigcup Z.
    \{((P \ q \ Z) \cap \{s. \ ((s,q),(\tau,p)) \in r\}, q, Q \ q \ Z,(A \ q \ Z))\})
     \vdash_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap (P \ p \ Z)) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)]
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(P\ p\ Z)\ (Call\ p)\ (Q\ p\ Z),(A\ p\ Z)
apply (rule CallRec [where Specs=\bigcup p \in Procs. \bigcup Z. \{((P p Z), p, Q p Z, A p Z)\}
and
          r=r
apply
           blast
apply assumption
apply (rule refl)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rename-tac p')
apply (rule\ conjI)
apply blast
apply (intro allI)
apply (rename-tac~Z~\tau)
apply (drule-tac x=p' in bspec, assumption)
apply (erule-tac x=\tau in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
apply (fastforce simp add: Spec-wf-conv)
```

done

end

# 13 Properties of Total Correctness Hoare Logic

 ${\bf theory}\ Hoare Total Props\ {\bf imports}\ Small Step\ Hoare Total Def\ Hoare Partial Props\ {\bf begin}$ 

#### 13.1 Soundness

```
lemma hoaret-sound:
assumes hoare: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
using hoare
proof (induct)
  case (Skip \Theta F P A)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ Skip \ P,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ s \in P
    thus t \in Normal 'P \cup Abrupt 'A
      by cases auto
    fix s show \Gamma \vdash Skip \downarrow Normal s
       by (rule terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Basic \Theta F f P A)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} \{s.\ fs \in P\}\ (Basic\ f)\ P,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix}\ s\ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ f, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t\ s \in \{s.\ f\ s \in P\}
    thus t \in Normal 'P \cup Abrupt 'A
      by cases auto
  next
    fix s show \Gamma \vdash Basic f \downarrow Normal s
       by (rule terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Spec \Theta F r Q A)
  show \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/F}\{s.\ (\forall\ t.\ (s,\ t)\in r\longrightarrow t\in Q)\land (\exists\ t.\ (s,\ t)\in r)\}\ Spec\ r\ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
            s \in \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s, t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\exists t. \ (s, t) \in r)\}
    thus t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by cases auto
```

```
next
    fix s show \Gamma \vdash Spec \ r \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Seq \Theta F P c1 R A c2 Q)
  have valid-c1: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P c1 R,A by fact
 have valid-c2: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} R \ c2 \ Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ Seq \ c1 \ c2 \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
   assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    from exec P obtain r where
      exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow r \ \text{and} \ exec-c2: \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, r \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by cases auto
    with t-notin-F have r \notin Fault ' F
      by (auto dest: Fault-end)
    from valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P this
    have r: r \in Normal 'R \cup Abrupt 'A
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases \ r)
      case (Normal r')
      with exec-c2 r
      show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
       apply -
       apply (rule cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c2 ctxt - - t-notin-F])
        apply auto
        done
    next
      case (Abrupt r')
      with exec-c2 have t=Abrupt r'
       by (auto elim: exec-elim-cases)
      with Abrupt \ r \ show \ ?thesis
       by auto
    \mathbf{next}
      case Fault with r show ?thesis by blast
      case Stuck with r show ?thesis by blast
    qed
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
   assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P: s \in P
    show \Gamma \vdash Seq c1 c2 \downarrow Normal s
```

```
proof -
      from valid-c1 ctxt P
      have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal s
        by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
      moreover
        fix r assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow r
        have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow r
        proof (cases r)
          case (Normal r')
          with cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P]
          have r: r \in Normal 'R
            by auto
          with cvalidt-termD [OF valid-c2 ctxt] exec-c1
          show \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow r
            by auto
        qed auto
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Cond \Theta F P b c1 Q A c2)
  have valid-c1: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (P \cap b) c1 Q,A by fact
  have valid-c2: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (P \cap -b) c2 Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix}\ s\ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    \mathbf{show}\ t \in Normal\ `Q \cup Abrupt\ `A
    proof (cases \ s \in b)
      case True
      with exec have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
        by cases auto
      with P True
      show ?thesis
        by - (rule cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c1 ctxt - - t-notin-F], auto)
    \mathbf{next}
      with exec P have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
        by cases auto
      with P False
      show ?thesis
        \mathbf{by} - (rule\ cvalidt	ext{-}postD\ [OF\ valid	ext{-}c2\ ctxt	ext{-}-t	ext{-}notin	ext{-}F], auto)
    qed
```

```
next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P: s \in P
    thus \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
       using cvalidt-termD [OF valid-c1 ctxt] cvalidt-termD [OF valid-c2 ctxt]
       by (cases \ s \in b) (auto \ intro: \ terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (While r \Theta F P b c A)
  assume wf: wf r
  have valid-c: \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P \cap b) \ c \ (\{t.\ (t,\sigma) \in r\} \cap P),A
    using While.hyps by iprover
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P (While b c) (P \cap -b),A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume wprems: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t\ s \in P\ t \notin Fault\ `F
    from wf
    have \bigwedge t. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t; \ s \in P; \ t \notin Fault \ `F \rrbracket
                    \implies t \in Normal \cdot (P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt \cdot A
    proof (induct)
      \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
      assume hyp:
         \land s' t. \llbracket (s',s) \in r; \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow t; \ s' \in P; \ t \notin Fault `F \rrbracket
                   \implies t \in Normal ' (P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt ' A
       assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       assume P: s \in P
       assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
       from exec
       show t \in Normal ' (P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt 'A
       proof (cases)
         fix s'
         assume b: s \in b
         assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
         assume exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
         from exec-w t-notin-F have s' \notin Fault ' F
           by (auto dest: Fault-end)
         \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{exec\text{-}c}\ P\ \mathit{b}\ \mathit{valid\text{-}c}\ \mathit{ctxt}\ \mathit{this}
         have s': s' \in Normal \ (\{s', (s', s) \in r\} \cap P) \cup Abrupt \ A
           by (auto simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def)
         show ?thesis
         proof (cases s')
           case Normal
           with exec-w s' t-notin-F
           show ?thesis
             \mathbf{by} - (rule\ hyp, auto)
         next
           case Abrupt
```

```
with exec-w have t=s'
         by (auto dest: Abrupt-end)
       with Abrupt s' show ?thesis
         by blast
     next
       case Fault
       with exec-w have t=s'
         by (auto dest: Fault-end)
       with Fault s' show ?thesis
         by blast
     \mathbf{next}
       case Stuck
       with exec-w have t=s'
         by (auto dest: Stuck-end)
       with Stuck s' show ?thesis
         by blast
     qed
   next
     assume s \notin b t=Normal s with P show ?thesis by simp
   qed
 qed
 with wprems show t \in Normal '(P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt 'A by blast
\mathbf{next}
 \mathbf{fix} \ s
 assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
 assume s \in P
 with wf
 show \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
 proof (induct)
   \mathbf{fix} \ s
   assume hyp: \bigwedge s'. \llbracket (s',s) \in r; s' \in P \rrbracket
                       \implies \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s'
   assume P: s \in P
   show \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
   proof (cases \ s \in b)
     case False with P show ?thesis
       by (blast intro: terminates.intros)
   next
     {\bf case}\  \, True
     with valid-c P ctxt
     have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
       by (simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def)
     moreover
     {
       fix s'
       assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
       have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s'
       proof (cases s')
         case (Normal s'')
```

```
with exec-c P True valid-c ctxt
             have s': s' \in Normal '(\{s', (s', s) \in r\} \cap P)
               by (fastforce simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def)
             then show ?thesis
               by (blast intro: hup)
           \mathbf{qed} auto
        ultimately
        show ?thesis
           by (blast intro: terminates.intros)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Guard \Theta F g P c Q A f)
  have valid-c: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q,A \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (g \cap P) Guard f g \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    assume P:s \in (g \cap P)
    from exec P have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by cases auto
    {\bf from}\ valid\hbox{-} c\ ctxt\ this\ P\ t\hbox{-} notin\hbox{-} F
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
      by (rule cvalidt-postD)
  \mathbf{next}
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P:s \in (g \cap P)
    thus \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \ \downarrow Normal \ s
      \textbf{by} \ (\textit{auto intro: terminates.intros cvalidt-term} D \ [\textit{OF valid-c ctxt}])
  qed
next
  case (Guarantee f F \Theta g P c Q A)
  have valid-c: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q,A \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have f-F: f \in F by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ \textit{Guard} \ f \ g \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    assume P:s \in P
    from exec f-F t-notin-F have g: s \in g
      by cases auto
```

```
with P have P': s \in g \cap P
      by blast
    from exec g have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by cases auto
    from valid-c ctxt this P' t-notin-F
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P:s \in P
    thus \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \ \downarrow Normal \ s
      \textbf{by} \ (\textit{auto intro: terminates.intros cvalidt-term} D \ [\textit{OF valid-c ctxt}])
  qed
next
  case (CallRec P p Q A Specs r Specs-wf \Theta F)
  have p: (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs by fact
  have wf: wf r by fact
  have Specs-wf:
    Specs-wf = (\lambda p \ \tau. \ (\lambda(P,q,Q,A). \ (P \cap \{s. \ ((s,q),\tau,p) \in r\},q,Q,A)) \ `Specs)  by
fact
  from CallRec.hyps
  have valid-body:
    \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. p \in dom \ \Gamma \land A
         (\forall \tau. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup \mathit{Specs\text{-}wf}\ p\ \tau \models_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P)\ \mathit{the}\ (\Gamma\ \mathit{p})\ \mathit{Q,A})\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
  proof -
      fix \tau p
      assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
      from wf
      have \land \tau \ p \ P \ Q \ A. \llbracket \tau p = (\tau, p); \ (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
                    \Gamma \models_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ (p))) \ Q,A
      proof (induct \tau p rule: wf-induct [rule-format, consumes 1, case-names WF])
         case (WF \tau p \tau p P Q A)
         have \tau p: \tau p = (\tau, p) by fact
        have p: (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs by fact
         {
           fix q P' Q' A'
           assume q: (P',q,Q',A') \in Specs
           have \Gamma \models_{t/F} (P' \cap \{s. ((s,q), \tau,p) \in r\}) (Call \ q) \ Q',A'
           proof (rule validtI)
             \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
             assume exec-q:
               \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
             assume Pre: s \in P' \cap \{s. ((s,q), \tau, p) \in r\}
             assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
             from Pre \ q \ \tau p
```

```
have valid-bdy:
        \Gamma \models_{t/F} (\{s\} \cap P') \text{ the } (\Gamma q) Q', A'
        \mathbf{by} - (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{WF.hyps},\ \mathit{auto})
      from Pre q
      have Pre': s \in \{s\} \cap P'
        by auto
      from exec-q show t \in Normal 'Q' \cup Abrupt 'A'
      proof (cases)
        \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
        assume bdy: \Gamma q = Some \ bdy
        assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
        from valid-bdy [simplified bdy option.sel] t-notin-F exec-bdy Pre'
        have t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A'
          by (auto simp add: validt-def valid-def)
        with Pre q
        show ?thesis
          by auto
      next
        assume \Gamma q = None
        with q valid-body have False by auto
        thus ?thesis ..
      qed
    next
      \mathbf{fix} \ s
      assume Pre: s \in P' \cap \{s. ((s,q), \tau, p) \in r\}
      from Pre \ q \ \tau p
      have valid-bdy:
        \Gamma \models_{t/F} (\{s\} \cap P') \ (the \ (\Gamma \ q)) \ Q',A'
        \mathbf{by} - (rule \ WF.hyps, \ auto)
      from Pre q
      have Pre': s \in \{s\} \cap P'
        by auto
      from valid-bdy ctxt Pre'
      have \Gamma \vdash the (\Gamma \ q) \downarrow Normal \ s
        by (auto simp add: validt-def)
      with valid-body q
      show \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ s
        by (fastforce intro: terminates.Call)
    \mathbf{qed}
  hence \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau. \ \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ Call \ p \ Q, A
    by (auto simp add: cvalidt-def Specs-wf)
  with ctxt have \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta \cup Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau. \ \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ Call \ p \ Q, A
    by auto
  with p valid-body
  show \Gamma \models_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P) (the (\Gamma p)) Q, A
    by (simp add: cvalidt-def) blast
qed
```

```
\mathbf{note}\ \mathit{lem} = \mathit{this}
  have valid-body':
    \land \tau. \ \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \Longrightarrow
    \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ \Gamma \models_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A
    by (auto intro: lem)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec-call: \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    from exec-call show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases)
      \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
      assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
      assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      from exec\text{-}body\ bdy\ p\ P\ t\text{-}notin\text{-}F
        valid-body' [of s, OF ctxt]
        ctxt
      have t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
        apply (simp only: cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def)
        apply (drule (1) bspec)
        apply auto
        done
      with p P
      show ?thesis
        by simp
    \mathbf{next}
      assume \Gamma p = None
      with p valid-body have False by auto
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
  \mathbf{next}
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P: s \in P
    \mathbf{show}\ \Gamma \vdash Call\ p\ \downarrow\ Normal\ s
    proof -
      from ctxt P p valid-body' [of s,OF ctxt]
      have \Gamma \vdash (the (\Gamma p)) \downarrow Normal s
        by (auto simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def)
      with valid-body p show ?thesis
        by (fastforce intro: terminates.Call)
    qed
  qed
qed
```

```
next
  case (DynCom\ P\ \Theta\ F\ c\ Q\ A)
  hence valid-c: \forall s \in P. \Gamma, \Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (c \ s) \ Q, A \ \text{by} \ simp
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ DynCom \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    from exec show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases)
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c [rule-format, OF P] ctxt this P t-notin-F]
      show ?thesis.
    qed
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P: s \in P
    show \Gamma \vdash DynCom \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
    proof -
      from cvalidt-termD [OF valid-c [rule-format, OF P] ctxt P]
      have \Gamma \vdash c \ s \downarrow Normal \ s.
      thus ?thesis
        by (rule terminates.intros)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Throw \Theta F A Q)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} A \ Throw \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ s \in A
    then show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by cases simp
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    show \Gamma \vdash Throw \downarrow Normal s
      by (rule terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Catch \Theta F P c_1 Q R c_2 A)
  have valid-c1: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ Q,R \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have valid-c2: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
```

```
assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    from exec show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases)
      fix s'
      assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
      assume exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
      from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P]
      have Abrupt \ s' \in Abrupt \ `R
        by auto
      with cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c2 ctxt] exec-c2 t-notin-F
      show ?thesis
        by fastforce
    \mathbf{next}
      assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      assume notAbr: \neg isAbr t
      from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P] t-notin-F
      have t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' R .
      with notAbr
      show ?thesis
        by auto
    qed
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P: s \in P
   show \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s
    proof -
      from valid-c1 ctxt P
      have \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s
        by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
      moreover
      {
        fix r assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ r
        from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P]
        have r: Abrupt \ r \in Normal \ `Q \cup Abrupt \ `R
          by auto
        hence Abrupt \ r \in Abrupt ' R by fast
        with cvalidt-termD [OF valid-c2 ctxt] exec-c1
        have \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ r
          by fast
      }
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
    qed
  qed
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case (Conseq P \Theta F c Q A)
  hence adapt:
    \forall s \in P. \ (\exists P' \ Q' \ A'. \ (\Gamma, \Theta \models_{t/F} P' \ c \ Q', A') \ \land \ s \in P' \land \ Q' \subseteq Q \ \land \ A' \subseteq A)
\mathbf{by} blast
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof -
      from adapt [rule-format, OF P]
      obtain P' and Q' and A' where
        \mathit{valid}\text{-}P'\text{-}Q'\!\!:\Gamma,\!\Theta\models_{t/F}P'\ c\ Q'\!,\!A'
        and weaken: s \in P' Q' \subseteq Q A' \subseteq A
        by blast
      from exec\ valid-P'-Q'\ ctxt\ t-notin-F
      have P'-Q': Normal \ s \in Normal \ `P' \longrightarrow
        t \in Normal 'Q' \cup Abrupt 'A'
        by (unfold cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def) blast
      hence s \in P' \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A'
        by blast
      with weaken
      show ?thesis
        by blast
    qed
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P: s \in P
    show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    proof -
      from P adapt
      obtain P' and Q' and A' where
        \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P' \ c \ Q',A'
        s \in P'
        by blast
      with ctxt
      show ?thesis
        by (simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Asm \ P \ p \ Q \ A \ \Theta \ F)
  assume (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta
  then show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
```

```
by (auto simp add: cvalidt-def)
next
  case ExFalso thus ?case by iprover
qed
lemma hoaret-sound':
\Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A \Longrightarrow \Gamma\models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (drule hoaret-sound)
  apply (simp add: cvalidt-def)
  done
theorem total-to-partial:
 assumes total: \Gamma,{}\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A \ \text{shows} \ \Gamma,{}\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from total have \Gamma,\{\}\models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    by (rule hoaret-sound)
  hence \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
    by (simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def cvalid-def)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule hoare-complete)
qed
13.2
            Completeness
lemma MGT-valid:
\Gamma \models_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ `` \ (-F)) \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ \} 
     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}, \ \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
s \} \ c' \\ \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \ \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \} \\ \mathbf{proof} \ (rule \ validtI) \\ \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t \\ \mathbf{assume} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \\ s \in \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s \} \\ t \notin Fault \ `F \\ \mathbf{thus} \ t \in Normal \ `\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \} \cup \\ Abrupt \ `\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \} \\ \mathbf{apply} \ (cases \ t) \\ \mathbf{apply} \ (auto \ simp \ add: \ final-notin-def) \\ \mathbf{done} \\ \mathbf{next} \\ \mathbf{fix} \ s \\ \mathbf{assume} \ s \in \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s \} \\ \mathbf{thus} \ \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s \\ \mathbf{by} \ blast \\ \mathbf{qed}
```

The consequence rule where the existential Z is instantiated to s. Usefull in proof of MGT-lemma.

```
lemma ConseqMGT:
  assumes modif: \forall Z :: 'a. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'\ Z :: 'a\ assn)\ c\ (Q'\ Z),(A'\ Z)
  assumes impl: \bigwedge s. \ s \in P \Longrightarrow \stackrel{\text{\tiny }}{s} \in P' \ s \land (\forall \ t. \ t \in Q' \ s \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\forall \ t. \ t \in A' \ s \longrightarrow t \in A)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
using impl
by - (rule conseq [OF modif], blast)
{f lemma}\ MGT-implies-complete:
  assumes MGT: \forall Z. \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} \{s. s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault)\}
(-F)
                                            \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
                                       \begin{aligned} \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \\ \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \} \end{aligned} 
  assumes valid: \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\{\} \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  using MGT
  apply (rule ConseqMGT)
  apply (insert valid)
  apply (auto simp add: validt-def valid-def intro!: final-notinI)
  done
lemma conseq-extract-state-indep-prop:
  assumes state-indep-prop:\forall s \in P. R
  assumes to-show: R \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule Conseq)
  apply (clarify)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=P \ in \ exI)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=Q \ in \ exI)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=A \ in \ exI)
  using state-indep-prop to-show
  by blast
lemma MGT-lemma:
   assumes MGT-Calls:
     \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
         \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle Call\ p, Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ `(-F))\ \land
              \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p) \downarrow Normal\ s
                 (Call p)
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  shows \bigwedge Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                                   \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
```

```
proof (induct c)
   case Skip
  show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \}
                          \Gamma \vdash Skip \downarrow Normal \ s
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \}
t
     by (rule hoaret.Skip [THEN conseqPre])
          (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def
                  intro: exec.intros terminates.intros)
next
   case (Basic\ f)
   \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ f,Normal\ s\rangle \ \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `\ (-F))
                        \Gamma \vdash Basic \ f \ \downarrow \ Normal \ s \}
                       Basic f
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic \ f, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic \ f, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule hoaret.Basic [THEN conseqPre])
          (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def
                  intro: exec.intros terminates.intros)
next
   case (Spec \ r)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec\ r,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land Fault\ `(-F)\} \}
                            \Gamma \vdash Spec \ r \downarrow Normal \ s
                       Spec r
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     apply (rule hoaret.Spec [THEN conseqPre])
     apply (clarsimp simp add: final-notin-def)
     apply (case-tac \exists t. (Z,t) \in r)
     apply (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     done
\mathbf{next}
   case (Seq c1 c2)
   \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{hyp-c1}\colon\forall\,Z.\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}\{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle\mathit{c1},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\notin(\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `
(-F)) \wedge
                                             \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal\ s
                                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{Seq.hyps}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{iprover}
  \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{hyp-c2} \colon \forall\ Z.\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s = Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{c2}, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault\ fine}\} \}
(-F)) \wedge
                                              \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal\ s
                                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
```

```
using Seq.hyps by iprover
  from hyp-c1
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma\vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                     \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c1\ c2 \downarrow Normal\ s\}\ c1
      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `
(-F)
          \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal\ t},
     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
         (auto dest: Seq-NoFaultStuckD1 [simplified] Seq-NoFaultStuckD2 [simplified]
                 elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases
                 intro: exec.intros)
  thus \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma\vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                      \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c1\ c2 \downarrow Normal\ s
                     Seq c1 c2
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  proof (rule hoaret.Seq )
     \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \ \land \\
                          \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal 
t
                       c2
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c2],safe)
        \mathbf{fix} \ r \ t
        assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
        then show \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
          by (rule exec.intros)
     next
        \mathbf{fix} \ r \ t
        assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
        then show \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
          by (rule exec.intros)
     qed
  qed
next
  case (Cond b c1 c2)
   \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s{=}Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ `\ (-F))
Λ
                                 \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal\ s
                         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Cond.hyps by iprover
  (-F)) \wedge
```

```
\Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \downarrow Normal \ s \cap b)
                                       c1
                                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
                                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
                 (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec. CondTrue
                                          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
     have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
                                                                           \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal\ s
                                                      c2
                                                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         using Cond.hyps by iprover
     hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle Cond\ b\ c1\ c2,Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ `footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote{footnote
(-F)) \wedge
                                                 \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \downarrow Normal \ s \cap b)
                                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
                                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
                 (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec. CondFalse
                                          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
     \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Cond}\ b\ \mathit{c1}\ \mathit{c2},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ \mathsf{c2},\mathsf{Normal}\ s)\}
(-F)) \wedge
                                    \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \downarrow Normal \ s
                                    (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2)
                                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
                                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         by (rule hoaret.Cond)
next
     case (While b \ c)
    let ?unroll = (\{(s,t).\ s \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t\})^*
    let ?P' = \lambda Z. \{t. (Z,t) \in ?unroll \land
                                                 (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                                               \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                                                                       (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                                                                   \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                                                 \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ t
    let ?A = \lambda Z. \{t. \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
    let ?r = \{(t,s). \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ s \land s \in b \land a
                                                 \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}
    show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma\vdash \langle While\ b\ c,Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
                                           \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ s \}
                                   (While b c)
                                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
```

```
\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
   proof (rule ConseqMGT [where ?P'=\lambda Z. ?P'Z
                                     and ?Q'=\lambda Z. ?P'Z \cap -b]
     have wf-r: wf?r by (rule wf-terminates-while)
     show \forall Z. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (?P'Z) (While b c) (?P'Z \cap -b), (?AZ)
     proof (rule allI, rule hoaret. While [OF wf-r])
        \mathbf{fix} \ Z
         from While
         have hyp\text{-}c: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ s ) \}
(-F)) \wedge
                                                  \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
                                            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \} \ \mathbf{by} \ iprover
        show \forall \sigma. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap ?P'Z \cap b) c
                                  (\lbrace t. \ (t, \sigma) \in ?r \rbrace \cap ?P'Z), (?AZ)
         proof (rule allI, rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c])
           fix \sigma s
           assume s \in \{\sigma\} \cap
                            \{t. (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
                                 (\forall \ e. \ (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                         \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                                              (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                                     \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                                \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ t
                            \cap b
           then obtain
              s-eq-\sigma: s=\sigma and
              Z-s-unroll: (Z,s) \in ?unroll and
              noabort: \forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                    \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                                         (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                                  \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) and
              while-term: \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ s \ and
              s-in-b: s \in b
              \mathbf{by} blast
           show s \in \{t. \ t = s \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                                  \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ t \} \land
            (\forall t. \ t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\} \longrightarrow
                   t \in \{t. (t,\sigma) \in ?r\} \cap
                         \{t. (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
                               (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                        \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                                            (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                                  \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                                \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ t\}) \land
             (\forall t. \ t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\} \longrightarrow
                   t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\})
              (is ?C1 \land ?C2 \land ?C3)
```

```
proof (intro conjI)
            from Z-s-unroll noabort s-in-b while-term show ?C1
              by (blast elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
         next
              \mathbf{fix} \ t
              assume s-t: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
              with s-eq-\sigma while-term s-in-b have (t,\sigma) \in ?r
                 by blast
              moreover
              from Z-s-unroll s-t s-in-b
              have (Z, t) \in ?unroll
                 by (blast intro: rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
              moreover from while-term s-t s-in-b
              have \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ t
                 by (blast elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
              moreover note noabort
              ultimately
              have (t,\sigma) \in ?r \land (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
                      (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                             \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                                  (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                         \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                     \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ t
                 by iprover
            then show ?C2 by blast
         next
            {
              \mathbf{fix} \ t
              assume s-t: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
              from Z-s-unroll noabort s-t s-in-b
              have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
                 by blast
            } thus ?C3 by simp
         qed
       qed
    qed
  next
     assume P: s \in \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ '
(-F)) \wedge
                            \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
    hence While NoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
       by auto
    \mathbf{show}\ s\in \textit{?P'}\ s\ \land\\
      (\forall t. \ t \in (?P' \ s \cap -b) \longrightarrow
            t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}) \land
      (\forall t. \ t \in ?A \ s \longrightarrow t \in ?A \ Z)
```

```
proof (intro conjI)
          \mathbf{fix} \ e
          assume (Z,e) \in ?unroll \ e \in b
          from this WhileNoFault
          have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land 
                   (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                         \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) \ (\textbf{is} \ ?Prop \ Z \ e)
          proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
             assume e-in-b: e \in b
              \mathbf{assume} \ \ \mathit{WhileNoFault:} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{While} \ b \ \mathit{c,Normal} \ e \rangle \ \Rightarrow \not \in (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \ \cup \ \mathit{Fault} \ `
(-F)
             with e-in-b WhileNoFault
             have cNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
               by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
             moreover
               fix u assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
               with e-in-b have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
                 by (blast intro: exec.intros)
             }
             ultimately
             show ?Prop e e
               by iprover
          next
             \mathbf{fix} \ Z \ r
             assume e-in-b: e \in b
              assume WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault '
(-F)
           assume hyp: [e \in b; \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))]
                             \implies ?Prop r e
             assume Z-r:
               (Z, r) \in \{(Z, r). \ Z \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r\}
             with WhileNoFault
             have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
               by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
             from hyp [OF e-in-b this] obtain
               cNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \ and
               Abrupt-r: \forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                   \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
               by simp
              {
               fix u assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
               with Abrupt-r have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \ by \ simp
               moreover from Z-r obtain
                  Z \in b \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r
                 by simp
               ultimately have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
```

```
by (blast intro: exec.intros)
           with cNoFault show ?Prop Z e
             by iprover
         qed
       }
       with P show s \in ?P's
         by blast
    \mathbf{next}
       {
         \mathbf{fix} t
         assume termination: t \notin b
         assume (Z, t) \in ?unroll
         hence \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
         proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
           from termination
           show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
             by (blast intro: exec. WhileFalse)
         next
           fix Z r
           assume first-body:
                   (Z, r) \in \{(s, t). s \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}
           assume (r, t) \in ?unroll
           assume rest-loop: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, \ Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
           show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
           proof -
             from first-body obtain
                Z \in b \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal r
                by fast
             moreover
              from rest-loop have
                \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
                by fast
              ultimately show \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
                by (rule exec. While True)
           \mathbf{qed}
         \mathbf{qed}
       with P
      show (\forall t. \ t \in (?P's \cap -b)
              \longrightarrow t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\})
         by blast
    \mathbf{next}
       from P show \forall t. t \in ?A s \longrightarrow t \in ?A Z
         by simp
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Call p)
```

```
from noStuck-Call
  have \forall s \in \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                               \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow \ Normal \ s \}.
            p \in dom \Gamma
     by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def)
  then show ?case
  \mathbf{proof} (rule conseq-extract-state-indep-prop)
     assume p-defined: p \in dom \Gamma
     with MGT-Calls show
     \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land
                     \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land
                     \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \}
                    (Call p)
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       by (auto)
  qed
next
  case (DynCom\ c)
  have hyp:
    \Gamma \vdash c \ s' \downarrow Normal \ s \} \ c \ s'
       \{t.\ \Gamma \vdash \langle c\ s', Normal\ Z\rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t\}, \\ \{t.\ \Gamma \vdash \langle c\ s', Normal\ Z\rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t\}
     using DynCom by simp
  have hyp':
  \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
               \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ c \downarrow Normal\ s
           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \}
\Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
     by (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp])
        (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros
            elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
 show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                     \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ c \downarrow Normal\ s
                    DynCom c
                \{t.\ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ Z\rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t\},
                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     apply (rule hoaret.DynCom)
    \mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{clarsimp})
     apply (rule hyp' [simplified])
     done
  case (Guard f g c)
  have hyp-c: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ '
(-F)) \wedge
                                     \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
```

```
\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Guard by iprover
  \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \textit{Guard f g c,Normal s} \rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{\textit{Stuck}\} \ \cup \ \textit{Fault} \ ``
(-F)) \wedge
                         \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s \}
                    Guard f g c
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  proof (cases f \in F)
     {f case}\ {\it True}
     from hyp-c
     have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(g\cap\{s.\ s=Z\land
                           \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ ` \ (-F)) \land 
                          \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s\})
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       apply (rule ConseqMGT)
       apply (insert True)
       apply (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros
                         elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
       done
     from True this
     show ?thesis
       by (rule conseqPre [OF Guarantee]) auto
  next
     {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
     from hyp-c
     have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(g\cap\{s.\ s\in g\land s=Z\land
                           \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Guard} \ f \ g \ \mathit{c}, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \cup \ \mathit{Fault} \ `\ (-F)) \land \\
                          \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s \})
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       apply (rule ConseqMGT)
       apply clarify
       apply (frule Guard-noFaultStuckD [OF - False])
       apply (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros
                         elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
       done
     then show ?thesis
       apply (rule conseqPre [OF hoaret.Guard])
       apply clarify
       apply (frule Guard-noFaultStuckD [OF - False])
       apply auto
       done
  qed
```

```
next
       {f case}\ Throw
      show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                                                                   \Gamma \vdash Throw \downarrow Normal \ s
                                                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
            by (rule conseqPre [OF hoaret.Throw])
                        (blast intro: exec.intros terminates.intros)
next
       case (Catch c_1 c_2)
      \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ `\ (-F))
                                                                               \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s
                                                             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                                             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
             using Catch.hyps by iprover
       hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}\{s.\ s=Z\land\Gamma\vdash\langle Catch\ c_1\ c_2,Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\notin(\{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ ``
(-F)) \wedge
                                                               \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s
                                                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                                                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ t \land \}
                                                               \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
             by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
                        (fastforce intro: exec.intros terminates.intros
                                                         elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases
                                                         simp add: final-notin-def)
       moreover
       have
             \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                                                                       \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \} \ c_2
                                                             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                                             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
             using Catch.hyps by iprover
       hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ \Gamma\vdash \langle c_1,Normal\ Z\rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ s \land \Gamma\vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal\ s \land Abrupt\ s \land \Gamma\vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal\ s \land Abrupt\ s \land G\vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal\ s \land G\vdash c_2 \downarrow No
                                                               \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
                                                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                                                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
                    by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
                               (fastforce intro: exec.intros terminates.intros
                                                                simp add: noFault-def')
       ultimately
       show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}\{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle \textit{Catch}\ c_1\ c_2,\textit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\textit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \textit{Fault}\ `
(-F)) \wedge
                                                              \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s
```

```
Catch c_1 c_2
                           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
       by (rule hoaret.Catch)
qed
lemma Call-lemma':
 assumes Call-hyp:
 \forall \ q \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `
                                         \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ s \land ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in termi\text{-}call\text{-}steps \ \Gamma \}
                             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
 shows \bigwedge Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
          \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma{\vdash}\langle c.Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\not\in(\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `\ ({-}F))\ \land\ \Gamma{\vdash}Call\ p{\downarrow}Normal\ s\rangle
                            (\exists \, c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (\mathit{Call} \ p, \mathit{Normal} \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', \mathit{Normal} \ s) \, \land \, c \in \mathit{redexes} \ c') \}
           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof (induct \ c)
   case Skip
   \mathbf{show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land\ \mathsf{Show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \emptyset \cap \{\mathsf{Stuck}\} \cup \mathsf{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land\ \mathsf{Show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \emptyset \cap \{\mathsf{Stuck}\} \cup \mathsf{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land\ \mathsf{Show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{\mathsf{Stuck}\} \cup \mathsf{Fault}\ `\ (-F)\}
                              \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                             (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land Skip \in redexes \ c') \}
                          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       by (rule hoaret.Skip [THEN conseqPre]) (blast intro: exec.Skip)
next
    case (Basic\ f)
    show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ f,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                                  \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                             (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                                        Basic f \in redexes c')
                           Basic f
                          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic \ f, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic \ f, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       by (rule hoaret.Basic [THEN conseqPre]) (blast intro: exec.Basic)
   case (Spec \ r)
   show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec\ r,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \{Stuck\} \mid Fault\ `(-F)\} \}
                                   \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                             (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                               Spec \ r \in redexes \ c')
```

```
Spec r
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     apply (rule hoaret.Spec [THEN conseqPre])
     apply (clarsimp)
     apply (case-tac \exists t. (Z,t) \in r)
     apply (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     done
next
   case (Seq c1 c2)
   have hyp-c1:
     \forall\,Z.\ \Gamma,\!\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle c1,\!Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `\ ({-}F))\ \land\ Fault\ `\ ({-}F))
                              \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                        (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c1 \in redexes \ c')
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Seq.hyps by iprover
   have hyp-c2:
     \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land Fault \ `(-F) \} 
                            \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                        (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c2 \in redexes \ c')
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Seq.hyps (2) by iprover
   \mathbf{have} \ c1{:}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ ``
(-F)) \wedge
                            \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                    (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                             Seq\ c1\ c2 \in redexes\ c')
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \land \}
                           \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                           \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                          (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land
                                   c2 \in redexes \ c')\},
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
   proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c1], clarify, safe)
     assume Γ⊢\langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault ` (-F))
        by (blast dest: Seq-NoFaultStuckD1)
   next
     fix c'
     assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
     assume red: Seq c1 c2 \in redexes c'
     \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{redexes\text{-}subset}\ [\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{red}]\ \mathit{steps\text{-}c'}
     show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z) \land c1 \in redexes \ c'
        by (auto iff: root-in-redexes)
```

```
next
    \mathbf{fix} \ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
              \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
    thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
       by (blast dest: Seq-NoFaultStuckD2)
  next
    fix c' t
    assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
    assume red: Seq c1 c2 \in redexes c'
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
    show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land c2 \in redexes \ c'
    proof -
       note steps-c'
       also
       from exec-impl-steps-Normal [OF exec-c1]
       have \Gamma \vdash (c1, Normal \ Z) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Normal \ t).
       from steps-redexes-Seq [OF this red]
       obtain c'' where
         steps-c'': \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal \ Z) \rightarrow^* (c'', Normal \ t) and
         Skip: Seq Skip c2 \in redexes c''
         by blast
       note steps-c''
       also
       have step-Skip: \Gamma \vdash (Seq Skip \ c2, Normal \ t) \rightarrow (c2, Normal \ t)
         by (rule step.SeqSkip)
       from step-redexes [OF step-Skip Skip]
       obtain c''' where
         step-c''': \Gamma \vdash (c'', Normal \ t) \rightarrow (c''', Normal \ t) and
         c2: c2 \in redexes \ c'''
         by blast
       note step-c'''
       finally show ?thesis
         using c2
         by blast
    qed
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
    thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
       by (blast intro: exec.intros)
  show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma\vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                     \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                  (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land Seq \ c1 \ c2 \in redexes
c'
                Seq c1 c2
                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
```

```
\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule hoaret.Seq [OF c1 ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c2]])
         (blast intro: exec.intros)
next
  case (Cond b c1 c2)
  have hyp-c1:
        \forall \, Z. \, \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \, s{=}Z \, \land \, \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \, s \rangle \, \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \, \cup \, Fault \, \, `(-F)) \, \land \, \}
                               \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                         (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c1 \in redexes \ c')
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Cond.hyps by iprover
  \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ s = Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Cond}\ b\ \mathit{c1}\ \mathit{c2}, \mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))
              \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
              (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                       Cond b c1 c2 \in redexes c')
              \cap b)
              c1
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  {\bf proof} \ ({\it rule} \ {\it ConseqMGT} \ [{\it OF} \ {\it hyp-c1}], safe)
     assume Z \in b \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \cdot (-F))
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.CondTrue)
   next
    fix c'
     assume b: Z \in b
    assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
     assume redex-c': Cond b c1 c2 \in redexes c'
     show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z) \land c1 \in redexes \ c'
     proof -
       note steps-c'
       also
        from b
        have \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, \ Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c1, \ Normal \ Z)
          by (rule step.CondTrue)
        from step\text{-}redexes [OF this redex-c'] obtain c'' where
          step-c'': \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal Z) \rightarrow (c'', Normal Z) and
          c1: c1 \in redexes c''
          \mathbf{by} blast
        note step-c^{\prime\prime}
        finally show ?thesis
          using c1
          by blast
     qed
  next
```

```
fix t assume Z \in b \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal t
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
     by (blast intro: exec.CondTrue)
  fix t assume Z \in b \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt t
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
     by (blast intro: exec.CondTrue)
qed
moreover
have hyp-c2:
      \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                        \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                      (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c2 \in redexes \ c')
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  using Cond.hyps by iprover
have
\Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ s = Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Cond}\ b\ \mathit{c1}\ \mathit{c2}, \mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))
               \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
           (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                   Cond b c1 c2 \in redexes c')
           \cap -b
           c2
          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c2],safe)
  assume Z \notin b Γ⊢\langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
     by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec. CondFalse)
\mathbf{next}
  fix c'
  assume b: Z \notin b
  assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z)
  assume redex-c': Cond b c1 c2 \in redexes c'
  show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z) \land c2 \in redexes \ c'
  proof -
     note steps-c'
     also
     from b
     have \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, \ Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c2, \ Normal \ Z)
       by (rule step.CondFalse)
     from step-redexes [OF this redex-c'] obtain c'' where
       step-c'': \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal Z) \rightarrow (c'', Normal Z) and
        c1: c2 \in redexes c''
       by blast
     note step-c''
     finally show ?thesis
```

```
using c1
          \mathbf{by} blast
     qed
   next
     fix t assume Z \notin b \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal t
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
        by (blast intro: exec.CondFalse)
     fix t assume Z \notin b \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt t
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
        by (blast intro: exec.CondFalse)
  qed
  ultimately
  \mathbf{show}
    \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Cond}\ b\ \mathit{c1}\ \mathit{c2}, \mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))
                   \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
               (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                       Cond b c1 c2 \in redexes c')
               (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2)
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule hoaret.Cond)
next
   case (While b \ c)
  let ?unroll = (\{(s,t).\ s \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t\})^*
  let ?P' = \lambda Z. \{t. (Z,t) \in ?unroll \land
                           (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                   \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                                       (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                              \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                           \Gamma \vdash Call\ p {\downarrow} Normal\ \sigma\ \land
                         (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+
                                          (c', Normal\ t) \land While\ b\ c \in redexes\ c')
  let ?A = \lambda Z. \{t. \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}
  show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
         \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \}
                       \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
             (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land While \ b \ c \in redexes \ c') \}
            (While \ b \ c)
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
   proof (rule ConseqMGT [where ?P'=\lambda Z. ?P'Z
                                  and ?Q'=\lambda Z. ?P'Z \cap -b]
     have wf-r: wf ?r by (rule wf-terminates-while)
     show \forall Z. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (?P'Z) (While b c) (?P'Z \cap -b), (?AZ)
     proof (rule allI, rule hoaret. While [OF wf-r])
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ Z
from While
have hyp-c: \forall Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
        \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle c.Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `\ (-F))\ \land
             \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
            (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c \in redexes \ c')
          c
        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \} by iprover
show \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap ?P' Z \cap b) \ c
                       (\lbrace t. \ (t, \sigma) \in ?r \rbrace \cap ?P'Z), (?AZ)
proof (rule allI, rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c])
  fix \tau s
  assume asm: s \in \{\tau\} \cap
                 \{t. (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
                      (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                             \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                                  (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                        \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                    \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow \ Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                    (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+
                                      (c', Normal\ t) \land While\ b\ c \in redexes\ c')
                 \cap b
  then obtain c' where
     s-eq-\tau: s=\tau and
     Z-s-unroll: (Z,s) \in ?unroll and
     noabort: \forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                         \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                             (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                      \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) and
     termi: \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \mathbf{and}
     reach: \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p, Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal\ s) and
     red-c': While b \ c \in redexes \ c' and
     s-in-b: s \in b
     by blast
  obtain c'' where
     reach-c: \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p, Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c'', Normal\ s)
                 Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c) \in redexes\ c''
  proof -
     note reach
     also from s-in-b
     have \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), Normal \ s)
        \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{step}.\mathit{WhileTrue})
     from step-redexes [OF this red-c'] obtain c'' where
        step: \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c'', Normal \ s) and
        red-c'': Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c) \in redexes\ c''
       by blast
     note step
     finally
```

```
show ?thesis
     using red-c"
     by (blast intro: that)
from reach termi
have \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal \ s
  by (rule steps-preserves-termination')
from redexes-preserves-termination [OF this red-c']
have termi-while: \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s.
show s \in \{t. \ t = s \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                  \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \land
             (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land c \in redexes \ c') \} \land
(\forall t. \ t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\} \longrightarrow
      t \in \{t. (t,\tau) \in ?r\} \cap
           \{t. (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
                 (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                        \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                            (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                 \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \land
               (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land
                        While b \ c \in redexes \ c')\}) \land
 (\forall t. \ t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\} \longrightarrow
      t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\})
   (is ?C1 ∧ ?C2 ∧ ?C3)
proof (intro conjI)
  from Z-s-unroll noabort s-in-b termi reach-c show ?C1
     apply clarsimp
     apply (drule redexes-subset)
     apply simp
     apply (blast intro: root-in-redexes)
     done
next
   {
     assume s-t: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
     with s-eq-\tau termi-while s-in-b have (t,\tau) \in ?r
       by blast
     moreover
     from Z-s-unroll s-t s-in-b
     have (Z, t) \in ?unroll
       by (blast intro: rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
     moreover
     obtain c'' where
       \mathit{reach-c''} \colon \Gamma \vdash (\mathit{Call}\ p, \mathit{Normal}\ \sigma) \to^+ (\mathit{c''}, \mathit{Normal}\ t)
                    (While\ b\ c) \in redexes\ c''
     proof -
       note reach-c (1)
       also from s-in-b
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), Normal \ s)
           by (rule step. While True)
         have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \to^+
                    (While b c, Normal t)
         proof -
           from exec-impl-steps-Normal [OF s-t]
           have \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Normal \ t).
           hence \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \rightarrow^*
                       (Seq Skip (While b c), Normal t)
             by (rule SeqSteps) auto
           moreover
           have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Skip\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ t) \rightarrow (While\ b\ c,\ Normal\ t)
              by (rule step.SeqSkip)
           ultimately show ?thesis by (rule rtranclp-into-tranclp1)
         from steps-redexes' [OF this reach-c (2)]
         obtain c^{\prime\prime\prime} where
           step: \Gamma \vdash (c^{\prime\prime}, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^+ (c^{\prime\prime\prime}, Normal \ t) and
           red-c'': While b \ c \in redexes \ c'''
           by blast
         note step
         finally
         show ?thesis
           using red-c''
           by (blast intro: that)
       qed
       moreover note noabort termi
       ultimately
      have (t,\tau) \in ?r \wedge (Z, t) \in ?unroll \wedge
              (\forall \ e. \ (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                     \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                         (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
             \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \land
                (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land
                           While b \ c \in redexes \ c'
         by iprover
    then show ?C2 by blast
  \mathbf{next}
    {
       \mathbf{fix} \ t
      assume s-t: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
      from Z-s-unroll noabort s-t s-in-b
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
         by blast
    } thus ?C3 by simp
  qed
qed
```

```
qed
  \mathbf{next}
     \mathbf{fix} \ s
      assume P: s \in \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ '
(-F)) \wedge
                              \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                        (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                                 While b \ c \in redexes \ c'
     hence While NoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
        \mathbf{by} auto
     show s \in ?P's \land
      (\forall t. \ t \in (?P' \ s \cap -b) \longrightarrow
             t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}) \land
      (\forall t. \ t \in ?A \ s \longrightarrow t \in ?A \ Z)
     proof (intro\ conjI)
          \mathbf{fix} \ e
          assume (Z,e) \in ?unroll \ e \in b
          from this WhileNoFault
          have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                   (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                          \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) \ (\textbf{is} \ ?Prop \ Z \ e)
          proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
             assume e-in-b: e \in b
              assume WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ '
(-F)
             with e-in-b WhileNoFault
             have cNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal\ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
               by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
            moreover
               fix u assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
               with e-in-b have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
                  by (blast intro: exec.intros)
             }
             ultimately
             show ?Prop e e
               by iprover
          next
             \mathbf{fix} \ Z \ r
             assume e-in-b: e \in b
              assume WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault '
(-F)
            assume hyp: \llbracket e \in b; \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \rrbracket
                              \implies ?Prop r e
             assume Z-r:
                (Z, r) \in \{(Z, r). Z \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal r\}
             with WhileNoFault
             have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
```

```
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
       \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{hyp}\ [\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{e-in-b}\ \mathit{this}]\ \mathbf{obtain}
          cNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \ \mathbf{and}
          Abrupt-r: \forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                             \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
         by simp
          fix u assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
          with Abrupt-r have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \ by \ simp
         moreover from Z-r obtain
            Z \in b \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r
            by simp
          ultimately have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
            by (blast intro: exec.intros)
       with cNoFault show ?Prop Z e
         by iprover
  with P show s \in ?P's
    by blast
\mathbf{next}
  {
     \mathbf{fix} t
    assume termination: t \notin b
     assume (Z, t) \in ?unroll
     hence \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
     proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
       from termination
       show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
          by (blast intro: exec. WhileFalse)
     \mathbf{next}
       \mathbf{fix}\ Z\ r
       assume first-body:
                (Z, r) \in \{(s, t). s \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal t\}
       assume (r, t) \in ?unroll
       assume rest-loop: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, \ Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
       show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
       proof -
          from first-body obtain
            Z \in b \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal r
            by fast
          moreover
          from rest-loop have
            \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
          ultimately show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
            by (rule exec. While True)
```

```
\mathbf{qed}
                         qed
                   with P
                   show \forall t. \ t \in (?P' \ s \cap - \ b)
                                       \longrightarrow t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}
                         by blast
            next
                   from P show \forall t. t \in ?A s \longrightarrow t \in ?A Z
                         by simp
            qed
      qed
next
      case (Call\ q)
      let ?P = \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                                                \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                                             (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land Call \ q \in redexes \ c') \}
      from noStuck-Call
      have \forall s \in ?P. \ q \in dom \ \Gamma
            by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def)
       then show ?case
       \mathbf{proof} (rule conseq-extract-state-indep-prop)
            assume q-defined: q \in dom \Gamma
            from Call-hyp have
                   \forall q \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z.
                         \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s = Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Call}\ q, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land\ \mathsf{Part} = \{\mathsf{Stuck}\} \ \cup\ \mathsf{Part} = \{\mathsf{Part}\} \ \cup\ \mathsf{Part} = \{\mathsf{Part} = \{\mathsf{Part}\} \ \cup\ \mathsf{Part} = \{\mathsf{Part}\} \ \cup
                                                                             \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ s \land ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in termi-call-steps \ \Gamma \}
                                                       (Call\ q)
                                                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
                   by (simp add: exec-Call-body' noFaultStuck-Call-body' [simplified]
                             terminates-Normal-Call-body)
            from Call-hyp q-defined have Call-hyp':
              \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s{=}Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Call} \ q, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \ \cup \ \mathit{Fault} \ `\ (-F))
\land
                                                                    \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ s \land ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in termi-call-steps \ \Gamma \}
                                                         (Call \ q)
                                                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
                   by auto
            show
              \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} ?P
                                   (Call \ q)
                                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
            proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF Call-hyp'],safe)
                   fix c'
                   assume termi: \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma
                   assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
```

```
assume red-c': Call q \in redexes c'
       show \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ Z
       proof -
         from steps-preserves-termination' [OF steps-c' termi]
         have \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal Z.
         from redexes-preserves-termination [OF this red-c']
         show ?thesis.
       qed
    next
      fix c'
      assume termi: \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma
      assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
      assume red-c': Call q \in redexes c'
       from redex-redexes [OF this]
       have redex c' = Call q
         by auto
       with termi steps-c'
      show ((Z, q), \sigma, p) \in termi-call-steps \Gamma
         by (auto simp add: termi-call-steps-def)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (DynCom\ c)
  have hyp:
    \bigwedge s'. \forall Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
       \{s.\ s=Z\land\Gamma\vdash\langle c\ s',Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\notin(\{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ `(-F))\land
             \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \land
           (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c \ s' \in redexes \ c') \}
        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s', Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s', Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
    using DynCom by simp
  have hyp':
    \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault\ `\ (-F)) \ \land \\
                    \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \land
                 (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land DynCom \ c \in redexes
c')
         (c Z)
       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Tormal \ t \}
Abrupt \ t
  proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp],safe)
    assume Γ⊢⟨DynCom c,Normal Z⟩ \Rightarrow∉({Stuck} ∪ Fault ' (−F))
    then show \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ Z, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \cdot (-F))
       by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  next
    fix c'
    assume steps: \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z)
    assume c': DynCom\ c \in redexes\ c'
    have \Gamma \vdash (DynCom\ c,\ Normal\ Z) \rightarrow (c\ Z,Normal\ Z)
       by (rule\ step.DynCom)
```

```
from step-redexes [OF this c'] obtain c'' where
        step: \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c'', Normal \ Z) and c'': c \ Z \in redexes \ c''
        by blast
     note steps also note step
     finally show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z) \land c \ Z \in redexes
        using c'' by blast
  next
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ Z, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
        by (auto intro: exec.intros)
  next
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ Z, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
        by (auto intro: exec.intros)
   qed
   show ?case
     apply (rule hoaret.DynCom)
     apply safe
     apply (rule hyp')
     done
next
   case (Guard f g c)
  have hyp-c: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F}
            \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land \}
                   \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c \in redexes \ c') \}
            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Guard.hyps by iprover
   \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \textit{Guard}\ f\ g\ c\ , \textit{Normal}\ s\rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{\textit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \textit{Fault}\ ``
(-F)) \wedge
                        \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                 (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land Guard \ f \ g \ c \in redexes
c'
                   Guard f g c
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  proof (cases f \in F)
     case True
     have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (g \cap \{s.\ s=Z \land \})
                             \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ ` \ (-F)) \land 
                       \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                         Guard f g c \in redexes c')\})
```

```
\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c], safe)
        \mathbf{assume} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Guard} \ f \ g \ c \ , \! \mathit{Normal} \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \ \cup \ \mathit{Fault} \ `\ (-F)) \ Z \in g
        thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
          by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     \mathbf{next}
        fix c'
        assume steps: \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
        assume c': Guard f g c \in redexes c'
        assume Z \in g
        from this have \Gamma \vdash (Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c, Normal \ Z)
          by (rule step.Guard)
        from step-redexes [OF this c'] obtain c'' where
          step: \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal Z) \rightarrow (c'', Normal Z) and c'': c \in redexes c''
          by blast
        note steps also note step
        finally show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z) \land c \in redexes
c'
          using c'' by blast
     next
        \mathbf{fix} \ t
        assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
                 \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \ Z \in g
        thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
          by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     \mathbf{next}
        \mathbf{fix} \ t
        assume \Gamma⊢\langle Guard f g c , Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault ` (-F))
                  \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \ Z \in g
        thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
          by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     qed
     from True this show ?thesis
        by (rule conseqPre [OF Guarantee]) auto
   next
     case False
     have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} (g \cap \{s.\ s=Z \land \})
                           \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ ` \ (-F)) \land 
                      \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                        Guard f g c \in redexes c')\})
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
     proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c], safe)
        assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ ` \ (-F))
        thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
          using False
```

```
by (cases Z \in g) (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
    \mathbf{next}
       fix c'
       assume steps: \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
       assume c': Guard f g c \in redexes c'
       assume Z \in g
       from this have \Gamma \vdash (Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c, Normal \ Z)
         by (rule step.Guard)
       from step-redexes [OF this c'] obtain c'' where
         step: \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c'', Normal \ Z) and c'': c \in redexes \ c''
         by blast
       note steps also note step
       finally show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z) \land c \in redexes
c'
         using c'' by blast
    next
       \mathbf{fix} \ t
       assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ ` \ (-F))
         \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
       thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
         \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{False}
         by (cases Z \in g) (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
    next
       \mathbf{fix} t
       assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ ` \ (-F))
               \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
       thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
         using False
         by (cases Z \in g) (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
    qed
    then show ?thesis
       apply (rule conseqPre [OF hoaret.Guard])
       apply clarify
       apply (frule Guard-noFaultStuckD [OF - False])
       apply auto
       done
  qed
next
  case Throw
  show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land Throw,Normal\ s \} 
                     \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                     (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land Throw \in redexes
c'
                 Throw
                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
    by (rule conseqPre [OF hoaret.Throw])
```

```
(blast intro: exec.intros terminates.intros)
next
   case (Catch c_1 c_2)
   have hyp-c1:
   \forall \, Z. \, \, \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \, s = \, Z \, \wedge \, \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \, \, s \rangle \, \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \, \cup \, Fault \, \, ` \, (-F)) \, \, \wedge \, \, \}
                             \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \land
                       (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                                c_1 \in redexes \ c')
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
     using Catch.hyps by iprover
   have hyp-c2:
   \forall \, Z. \, \, \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \, s = Z \, \wedge \, \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \, s \rangle \, \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \, \cup \, Fault \, \, `(-F)) \, \, \wedge \, \, \}
                              \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow \ Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                       (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c_2 \in redexes \ c') \}
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Catch.hyps by iprover
  have
     \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch\ c_1\ c_2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                     \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow \ Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                 (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                            Catch c_1 c_2 \in redexes c')
                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \land \}
                     \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault'(-F)) \land \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma
\wedge
                     (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land c_2 \in redexes \ c') \}
   proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c1], clarify, safe)
     assume \Gamma ⊢\langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \cdot (-F))
        by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
   next
     fix c'
     assume steps: \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
     assume c': Catch c_1 c_2 \in redexes c'
     from steps redexes-subset [OF this]
     show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, \ Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', \ Normal \ Z) \land c_1 \in redexes \ c'
        by (auto iff: root-in-redexes)
   \mathbf{next}
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
        by (auto intro: exec.intros)
   next
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
```

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
    thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  next
    fix c' t
    assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
    assume red: Catch c_1 c_2 \in redexes c'
    assume exec-c_1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
    show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land c_2 \in redexes \ c'
    proof -
      note steps-c'
      also
       from exec-impl-steps-Normal-Abrupt [OF exec-c_1]
       have \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ Z) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ t).
       from steps-redexes-Catch [OF this red]
       obtain c'' where
         steps-c'': \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal \ Z) \rightarrow^* (c'', Normal \ t) and
         Catch: Catch Throw c_2 \in redexes c''
         by blast
       note steps-c''
       also
       have step-Catch: \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ Throw \ c_2, Normal \ t) \rightarrow (c_2, Normal \ t)
         by (rule step.CatchThrow)
       from step-redexes [OF step-Catch Catch]
       obtain c^{\prime\prime\prime} where
         step-c''': \Gamma \vdash (c'', Normal \ t) \rightarrow (c''', Normal \ t) and
         c2: c_2 \in redexes \ c'''
         by blast
       note step-c'''
       finally show ?thesis
         using c2
         by blast
    \mathbf{qed}
  qed
  moreover
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{t. \ \Gamma\vdash \langle c_1,Normal\ Z\rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t \land \}
                     \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                    \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                     (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land c_2 \in redexes \ c')
                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
    by (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c2]) (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
  ultimately show ?case
    by (rule hoaret.Catch)
qed
```

To prove a procedure implementation correct it suffices to assume only the procedure specifications of procedures that actually occur during evaluation

```
of the body.
lemma Call-lemma:
 assumes A:
 \forall q \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
                      \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land \}
                          \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ s \land ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in termi-call-steps \ \Gamma \}
                      (Call q)
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
 assumes pdef: p \in dom \Gamma
 shows \bigwedge Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
                (\{\sigma\} \cap \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle the\ (\Gamma\ p), Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
                                          \Gamma \vdash the \ (\Gamma \ p) \downarrow Normal \ s\})
                      the (\Gamma p)
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
apply (rule conseqPre)
apply (rule Call-lemma' [OF A])
using pdef
apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros tranclp.r-into-trancl [of (step \Gamma), OF
step.Call root-in-redexes)
done
\mathbf{lemma} \ \textit{Call-lemma-switch-Call-body} :
 assumes
 call: \forall q \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
                      \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                          \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ s \land ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in termi-call-steps \ \Gamma \}
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
 assumes p-defined: p \in dom \Gamma
 shows \bigwedge Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
                  (\{\sigma\} \cap \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
Λ
                                          \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \})
                      the (\Gamma p)
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
apply (simp only: exec-Call-body' [OF p-defined] noFaultStuck-Call-body' [OF p-defined]
terminates-Normal-Call-body [OF p-defined])
apply (rule conseqPre)
apply (rule Call-lemma')
apply (rule call)
using p-defined
apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros tranclp.r-into-trancl [of (step \Gamma), OF
step.Call
```

```
root-in-redexes)
done
lemma MGT-Call:
\forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z.
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Call}\ p, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \ \cup \ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F)) \ \land \\
                                                                    \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p) \downarrow Normal\ s
                                                          (Call p)
                                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
apply (intro ballI allI)
apply (rule CallRec' [where Procs=dom \Gamma and
                     P = \lambda p \ Z. \ \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land \}
                                                                                                           \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \} and
                       Q=\lambda p\ Z.\ \{t.\ \Gamma\vdash\langle Call\ p,Normal\ Z\rangle\Rightarrow Normal\ t\} and
                     A=\lambda p\ Z.\ \{t.\ \Gamma\vdash \langle Call\ p, Normal\ Z\rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t\} and
                     r=termi-call-steps \Gamma
                     ])
apply
                                                              simp
apply
                                                     simp
apply (rule wf-termi-call-steps)
apply (intro ballI allI)
apply simp
apply (rule Call-lemma-switch-Call-body [rule-format, simplified])
apply (rule hoaret.Asm)
apply fastforce
apply assumption
done
lemma CollInt-iff: \{s. \ P \ s\} \cap \{s. \ Q \ s\} = \{s. \ P \ s \land Q \ s\}
lemma image-Un-conv: f'(\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z. \{x \ p \ Z\}) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z. \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D\} = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup D) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup D) = (\bigcup D)
(x p Z)
          by (auto iff: not-None-eq)
Another proof of MGT-Call, maybe a little more readable
lemma
\forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z.
         \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Call} \ p, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \ \cup \ \mathit{Fault} \ `\ (-F)) \ \land \ \mathsf{Pault} \ `\ (-F)) \land \mathsf{Pault} \ `\ (-F) \land \mathsf{Pault} \ `\ (-F
                                                                    \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p) \downarrow Normal\ s
                                                           (Call p)
                                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof -
                     fix p Z \sigma
                     assume defined: p \in dom \Gamma
```

```
define Specs where Specs = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z.
               \{(\{s.\ s=Z\ \land
                 \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land 
                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \},
                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\})\})
     define Specs-wf where Specs-wf p \sigma = (\lambda(P,q,Q,A).
                           (P \cap \{s. ((s,q),\sigma,p) \in termi-call\text{-steps }\Gamma\}, q, Q, A)) 'Specs for
p \sigma
     have \Gamma, Specs-wf p \sigma
               \vdash_{t/F}(\{\sigma\} \cap
                   \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle the\ (\Gamma\ p), Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \}
                      \Gamma \vdash the \ (\Gamma \ p) \downarrow Normal \ s\})
                    (the (\Gamma p))
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       apply (rule Call-lemma [rule-format, OF - defined])
       apply (rule hoaret.Asm)
       apply (clarsimp simp add: Specs-wf-def Specs-def image-Un-conv)
       apply (rule-tac x=q in bexI)
       apply (rule-tac \ x=Z \ in \ exI)
       apply (clarsimp simp add: CollInt-iff)
       apply auto
       done
     hence \Gamma, Specs-wf p \sigma
               \vdash_{t/F}(\{\sigma\} \cap
                     \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call\ p, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \}
                      \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s\})
                    (the (\Gamma p))
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       by (simp only: exec-Call-body' [OF defined]
                        noFaultStuck-Call-body' [OF defined]
                       terminates-Normal-Call-body [OF defined])
  } note bdy=this
  show ?thesis
     apply (intro ballI allI)
     apply (rule hoaret. CallRec [where Specs = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z.
               \{(\{s.\ s=Z\ \land
                 \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \},
                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}\}\}
                OF - wf-termi-call-steps [of \Gamma] refl])
     apply fastforce
     apply clarify
     apply (rule\ conjI)
```

```
apply fastforce
    apply (rule allI)
    apply (simp (no-asm-use) only: Un-empty-left)
    apply (rule\ bdy)
    apply auto
    done
\mathbf{qed}
theorem hoaret-complete: \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  by (iprover intro: MGT-implies-complete MGT-lemma [OF MGT-Call])
lemma hoaret-complete':
  assumes cvalid: \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ c\ Q,A
\mathbf{proof}\ (\mathit{cases}\ \Gamma {\models_{t/F}}\ P\ c\ Q{,}A)
  {f case}\ True
  hence \Gamma,{}\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    by (rule hoaret-complete)
  thus \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    by (rule hoaret-augment-context) simp
\mathbf{next}
  {f case}\ {\it False}
  with cvalid
 show ?thesis
    by (rule ExFalso)
qed
```

## 13.3 And Now: Some Useful Rules

## 13.3.1 Modify Return

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ProcModifyReturn}\text{-}\mathit{sound}\text{:}
  assumes valid-call: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P call init p return' c Q,A
  assumes valid-modif:
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ p) \ (Modif \ \sigma), (ModifAbr \ \sigma)
  assumes res-modif:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
  assumes ret-modifAbr:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (call \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  fix s t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  then have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/UNIV} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults)
```

```
assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
assume P: s \in P
assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
from exec
show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
proof (cases rule: exec-call-Normal-elim)
 fix bdy t'
 assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
 assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
 assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
 from exec-body bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle (Call \ p \ ), Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
   by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
 from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
 have t' \in Modif (init s)
    by auto
  with res-modif have Normal (return' s t') = Normal (return s t')
   by simp
 with exec-body exec-c bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: exec-call)
 from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
    by simp
\mathbf{next}
 fix bdy t'
 assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
 assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
 assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
 also from exec-body bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle (Call \ p), Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
   by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
 from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
 have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
   by auto
 with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s t') = Abrupt (return' s t')
    by simp
 finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
 with exec-body bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: exec-callAbrupt)
 from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
   by simp
\mathbf{next}
 fix bdy f
 assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
 assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f and
    t: t = Fault f
```

```
with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callFault)
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  \mathbf{next}
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
       t = Stuck
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callStuck)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
    assume \Gamma p = None \ t = Stuck
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (auto intro: exec-callUndefined)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  qed
next
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  then have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/UNIV} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults)
  assume P: s \in P
  from valid-call \ ctxt \ P
  have call: \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s
    by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
  show \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (cases p \in dom \Gamma)
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    with call obtain bdy where
       bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy and termi-bdy: \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s) and
       termi-c: \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow
                       \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return' \ s \ t)
       by cases auto
      \mathbf{fix} \ t
       assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
       hence \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t)
       proof -
         from exec-bdy bdy
         have \Gamma \vdash \langle (Call \ p \ ), Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
```

```
by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
        from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
           res-modif
        have return' s t = return s t
           by auto
        with termi-c exec-bdy show ?thesis by auto
      qed
    with bdy termi-bdy
    show ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates-call)
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates-callUndefined)
  qed
qed
lemma ProcModifyReturn:
  assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes res-modif:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes ret-modifAbr:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes modifies-spec:
  \forall\,\sigma.\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\}\ (\mathit{Call}\ p)\ (\mathit{Modif}\ \sigma), (\mathit{ModifAbr}\ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
\mathbf{apply} \; (\mathit{rule} \; \mathit{ProcModifyReturn-sound} \; [\mathbf{where} \; \mathit{Modif} = \mathit{Modif} \; \mathbf{and} \; \mathit{ModifAbr} = \mathit{ModifAbr}, \\
         OF - res-modif ret-modif Abr
apply (rule hoaret-sound [OF spec])
using modifies-spec
apply (blast intro: hoare-sound)
done
{\bf lemma}\ ProcModify Return Same Faults-sound:
  assumes valid-call: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P call init p return' c Q,A
  {\bf assumes}\ \mathit{valid-modif}\colon
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma), (Modif Abr \ \sigma)
  assumes res-modif:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
  assumes ret-modifAbr:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in \mathit{ModifAbr}\ (\mathit{init}\ s) \longrightarrow \mathit{return'}\ s\ t = \mathit{return}\ s\ t
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (call \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
```

```
hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  by (auto simp add: validt-def)
assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
assume P: s \in P
assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
from exec
show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
proof (cases rule: exec-call-Normal-elim)
  fix bdy t'
  assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
  assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
  assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-body bdy
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle (Call \ p) \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
    by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
  from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
  have t' \in Modif (init s)
    by auto
  with res-modif have Normal (return' s t') = Normal (return s t')
    by simp
  with exec-body exec-c bdy
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: exec-call)
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
  show ?thesis
    by simp
\mathbf{next}
  fix bdy t'
  assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
  assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
  assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
  from exec-body bdy
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
    by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
  from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
  have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
    by auto
  with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s\ t') = Abrupt (return 's\ t')
    by simp
  finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
  with exec-body bdy
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: exec-callAbrupt)
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
  show ?thesis
    by simp
next
  fix bdy f
```

```
assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f and
       t: t = Fault f
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callFault)
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by simp
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
       t = Stuck
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callStuck)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
    assume \Gamma p = None \ t = Stuck
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (auto intro: exec-callUndefined)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  qed
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  assume P: s \in P
  from valid-call ctxt P
  have call: \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s
    by (rule cvalidt-termD)
  show \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (cases p \in dom \Gamma)
    case True
    with call obtain bdy where
       bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \ \mathbf{and} \ termi-bdy: \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s) \ \mathbf{and}
       termi-c: \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t \longrightarrow
                       \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return' \ s \ t)
       by cases auto
     {
      assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
       hence \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t)
       proof -
         from exec-bdy bdy
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash \langle (Call \ p \ ), Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
          by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
        from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
          res-modif
        have return' s t = return s t
          by auto
        with termi-c exec-bdy show ?thesis by auto
      qed
    }
    with bdy termi-bdy
    show ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates-call)
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates-callUndefined)
  qed
qed
lemma ProcModifyReturnSameFaults:
  assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes res-modif:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes ret-modifAbr:
 \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes modifies-spec:
 \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ p) \ (Modif \ \sigma), (Modif Abr \ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule ProcModifyReturnSameFaults-sound [where Modif=Modif and Mod-
ifAbr = ModifAbr,
          OF - res-modif ret-modif Abr
apply (rule hoaret-sound [OF spec])
using modifies-spec
apply (blast intro: hoare-sound)
done
13.3.2
            DynCall
lemma dynProcModifyReturn-sound:
assumes valid-call: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ Q,A
assumes \ valid-modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ (\textit{Call} \ (p \ s)) \ (\textit{Modif} \ \sigma), (\textit{ModifAbr} \ \sigma)
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
```

```
assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
hence \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  by (auto simp add: validt-def)
then have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/UNIV} P (Call p) Q, A
  by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults)
assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
assume P: s \in P
with valid-modif
have valid-modif':
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ (p \ s)) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
  by blast
from \ exec
have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  by (cases rule: exec-dynCall-Normal-elim)
then show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
proof (cases rule: exec-call-Normal-elim)
  fix bdy t'
  assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
 assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
  assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-body bdy
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s), Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
    by (auto simp add: intro: exec.Call)
  from cvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
  have t' \in Modif (init s)
    by auto
  with ret-modif have Normal (return's t') =
    Normal (return s t')
    by simp
  with exec-body exec-c bdy
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: exec-call)
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
  show ?thesis
    \mathbf{by} simp
\mathbf{next}
  fix bdy t'
  assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
  assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
 assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
  also from exec-body bdy
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call\ (p\ s)\ , Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
    by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
  from cvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
  have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
    by auto
```

```
with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s t') = Abrupt (return' s t')
      by simp
    finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
    with exec-body bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callAbrupt)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  next
    fix bdy f
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f and
      t: t = Fault f
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callFault)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
    \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
      by blast
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
      t = Stuck
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callStuck)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (rule exec-dynCall)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
    assume \Gamma(p s) = None \ t = Stuck
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callUndefined)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule\ cvalidt-postD)
  qed
next
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
```

```
hence \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  then have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/UNIV} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults)
  assume P: s \in P
  from valid-call \ ctxt \ P
  have \Gamma \vdash dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s
    by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
  hence call: \Gamma \vdash call init (p \ s) return' c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by cases
  have \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (cases p \ s \in dom \ \Gamma)
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    with call obtain bdy where
      bdy: \Gamma (p\ s) = Some\ bdy\ {\bf and}\ termi-bdy: \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal\ (init\ s)\ {\bf and}
      termi-c: \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow
                     \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return' \ s \ t)
      by cases auto
      \mathbf{fix} \ t
      assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
      hence \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t)
      proof -
        from exec-bdy bdy
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call\ (p\ s), Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
           by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
        from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of s init s] ctxt' this] P
           ret-modif
        have return' s t = return s t
           by auto
        with termi-c exec-bdy show ?thesis by auto
      qed
    }
    with bdy termi-bdy
    \mathbf{show} \ ? the sis
      by (iprover intro: terminates-call)
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates-callUndefined)
  thus \Gamma \vdash dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (iprover intro: terminates-dynCall)
qed
{f lemma}\ dynProcModifyReturn:
assumes dyn-call: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P dynCall init p return' c Q,A
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s)
```

```
\longrightarrow return's t = return s t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
                                  \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
assumes modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma.
        \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
shows \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (\textit{dynCall init p return } c) \ \textit{Q,A}
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
{\bf apply} \ ({\it rule} \ {\it dynProcModifyReturn-sound}
         [where Modif=Modif and ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
              OF hoaret-sound [OF dyn-call] - ret-modif ret-modifAbr])
apply (intro ballI allI)
apply (rule hoare-sound [OF modif [rule-format]])
apply assumption
done
{\bf lemma}\ dyn Proc Modify Return Same Faults-sound:
assumes valid-call: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ Q,A
assumes \ valid-modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  assume P: s \in P
  with valid-modif
  have valid-modif':
    \forall \, \sigma. \, \Gamma, \Theta \models_{/F} \{\sigma\} \, \left( \mathit{Call} \, \left( p \, s \right) \right) \, \left( \mathit{Modif} \, \, \sigma \right), \left( \mathit{ModifAbr} \, \, \sigma \right)
    by blast
  from exec
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (cases rule: exec-dynCall-Normal-elim)
  then show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases rule: exec-call-Normal-elim)
    fix bdy t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
    assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
    from exec-body bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s), Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
       by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
```

```
from cvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
 have t' \in Modif (init s)
   \mathbf{by} auto
  with ret-modif have Normal (return's t') =
    Normal (return s t')
    by simp
  with exec-body exec-c bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: exec-call)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
 from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
next
 fix bdy t'
 assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
 assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
 assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
 also from exec-body bdy
 \mathbf{have} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Call} \ (p \ s) \ \ , \! \mathit{Normal} \ (\mathit{init} \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow \mathit{Abrupt} \ t'
    by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
 from cvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
 have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
    by auto
  with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s\ t') = Abrupt (return 's\ t')
   by simp
 finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
 with exec-body bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: exec-callAbrupt)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
 from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
    by simp
next
 fix bdy f
 assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
 assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f and
    t: t = Fault f
  with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   by (auto intro: exec-callFault)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
 from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
    by simp
next
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ bdy
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
       t = Stuck
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (auto intro: exec-callStuck)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt	ext{-}postD)
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
    assume \Gamma(p s) = None \ t = Stuck
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (auto intro: exec-callUndefined)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (rule exec-dynCall)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  assume P: s \in P
  from valid-call ctxt P
  have \Gamma \vdash dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s
    by (rule cvalidt-termD)
  hence call: \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s
    by cases
  have \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (cases p \ s \in dom \ \Gamma)
    case True
    with call obtain bdy where
       bdy: \Gamma (p \ s) = Some \ bdy \ and \ termi-bdy: \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s) \ and
       termi-c: \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow
                       \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return' \ s \ t)
       by cases auto
       \mathbf{fix} \ t
       assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
       hence \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t)
       proof -
         from exec-bdy bdy
         have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call\ (p\ s), Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
            by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
```

```
from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of s init s] ctxt' this] P
          ret-modif
        have return' s t = return s t
          by auto
        with termi-c exec-bdy show ?thesis by auto
      qed
    with bdy termi-bdy
   show ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates-call)
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto\ intro}\colon \mathit{terminates-callUndefined})
  thus \Gamma \vdash dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (iprover intro: terminates-dynCall)
qed
lemma dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults:
assumes dyn\text{-}call: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c\ Q,A
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
assumes modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma), (ModifAbr \ \sigma)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
{\bf apply} \ (\textit{rule dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults-sound}
        [where Modif=Modif and ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
          OF hoaret-sound [OF dyn-call] - ret-modif ret-modifAbr])
apply (intro ballI allI)
apply (rule hoare-sound [OF modif [rule-format]])
apply assumption
done
13.3.3
            Conjunction of Postcondition
lemma PostConjI-sound:
  assumes valid-Q: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes valid-R: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ R,B
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R),(A \cap B)
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
```

```
from valid-Q ctxt exec P t-notin-F have t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
   by (rule cvalidt-postD)
  moreover
  from valid-R ctxt exec P t-notin-F have t \in Normal 'R \cup Abrupt 'B
   by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  ultimately show t \in Normal ' (Q \cap R) \cup Abrupt ' (A \cap B)
   by blast
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
 assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
 assume P: s \in P
 from valid-Q ctxt P
 show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
   by (rule\ cvalidt-termD)
qed
lemma PostConjI:
 assumes deriv-Q: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ Q,A
 assumes deriv-R: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ R,B
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ (Q\cap R),(A\cap B)
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule PostConjI-sound)
apply (rule hoaret-sound [OF deriv-Q])
apply (rule hoaret-sound [OF deriv-R])
done
{\bf lemma}\ \textit{Merge-PostConj-sound}:
  assumes validF: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes validG: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/G} P' \ c \ R,X
 assumes F-G: F\subseteq G
 assumes P - P': P \subseteq P'
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R),(A \cap X)
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  with F-G have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/G} P (Call p) Q,A
   by (auto intro: validt-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  with P-P' have P': s \in P'
   by auto
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' F
  show t \in Normal ' (Q \cap R) \cup Abrupt ' (A \cap X)
  proof -
   {f from}\ cvalidt	ext{-}postD\ [OF\ validF\ [rule	ext{-}format]\ ctxt\ exec\ P\ t	ext{-}noFault]
   have t: t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
   then have t \notin Fault ' G
```

```
by auto
    from cvalidt-postD [OF validG [rule-format] ctxt' exec P' this]
    have t \in Normal 'R \cup Abrupt 'X .
    with t show ?thesis by auto
  ged
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
 assume P: s \in P
 from validF ctxt P
 show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
qed
lemma Merge-PostConj:
  assumes validF: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  assumes validG: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/G} P' c R, X
  assumes F-G: F \subseteq G
 assumes P - P' : P \subseteq P'
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P c (Q\cap R),(A\cap X)
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule Merge-PostConj-sound [OF - - F-G P-P'])
using validF apply (blast intro:hoaret-sound)
using validG apply (blast intro:hoaret-sound)
done
13.3.4
            Guards and Guarantees
\mathbf{lemma}\ SplitGuards\text{-}sound:
  assumes valid-c1: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ Q,A
 assumes valid-c2: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P c<sub>2</sub> UNIV, UNIV
 assumes c: (c_1 \cap_g c_2) = Some c
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
   by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases \ t)
    case Normal
    with inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF c exec]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t by simp
```

```
from valid-c1 ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  next
    case Abrupt
    with inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF c exec]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t by simp
    from valid-c1 ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  next
    case (Fault f)
    assume t: t=Fault f
    with exec inter-guards-exec-Fault [OF c]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
       by auto
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases rule: disjE [consumes 1])
       assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
       \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{cvalidt\text{-}postD}\ [\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{valid\text{-}c1}\ \mathit{ctxt}\ \mathit{this}\ \mathit{P}]\ \mathit{t}\ \mathit{t\text{-}notin\text{-}F}
       show ?thesis
         by blast
    \mathbf{next}
       assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
       from cvalidD [OF valid-c2 ctxt' this P] t t-notin-F
       show ?thesis
         by blast
    qed
  next
    {f case}\ Stuck
    with inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF c exec]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t by simp
    from valid-c1 ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof -
    \mathbf{from}\ valid\text{-}c1\ ctxt\ P
    have \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
    with c show ?thesis
       by (rule inter-guards-terminates)
  qed
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{SplitGuards} \colon
  assumes c: (c_1 \cap_q c_2) = Some c
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P c_1 Q,A
 assumes deriv\text{-}c2: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P c_2 UNIV,UNIV
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule SplitGuards-sound [OF - - c])
apply (rule hoaret-sound [OF deriv-c1])
apply (rule hoare-sound [OF deriv-c2])
done
lemma CombineStrip-sound:
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes valid-strip: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/\{\}} P (strip-guards (-F) c) UNIV, UNIV
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{f} P(Call p) Q, A
   by (auto simp add: validt-def)
 from ctxt have ctxt": \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
   by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults simp add: validt-def)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases t)
   case (Normal t')
   from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt" exec P] Normal
   show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt t')
   from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt" exec P] Abrupt
   show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Fault f)
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f \in F)
      case True
      hence f \notin -F by simp
      with exec Fault
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ (-F) \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
       by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-guards-Fault)
      from cvalidD [OF valid-strip ctxt' this P] Fault
      have False
```

```
by auto
      thus ?thesis ..
    \mathbf{next}
      case False
      with cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt'' exec P] Fault
      show ?thesis
        by auto
    qed
  next
    \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
    \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{cvalidt\text{-}postD}\ [\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{valid}\ \mathit{ctxt''}\ \mathit{exec}\ \mathit{P}]\ \mathit{Stuck}
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
next
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults simp add: validt-def)
  assume P: s \in P
  show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof -
    from valid ctxt' P
    show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule\ cvalidt-termD)
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma CombineStrip:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  assumes deriv-strip: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P (strip-guards (-F) c) UNIV,UNIV
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule CombineStrip-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
apply (iprover intro: hoare-sound [OF deriv-strip])
done
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{GuardsFlip\text{-}sound} \colon
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  assumes validFlip: \Gamma, \Theta \models_{/-F} P \ c \ UNIV, UNIV
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  from ctxt have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto\ intro}\colon \mathit{valid-augment-Faults\ simp\ add}\colon \mathit{validt-def})
  from ctxt have ctxtFlip: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{f-F} P (Call p) Q, A
```

```
by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults simp add: validt-def)
 assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assume P: s \in P
 assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
 show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
 proof (cases t)
   case (Normal t')
   from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt' exec P] Normal
   show ?thesis
     by auto
 next
   case (Abrupt t')
   from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt' exec P] Abrupt
   \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
     by auto
 next
   case (Fault f)
   \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
   proof (cases f \in F)
     case True
     hence f \notin -F by simp
     with cvalidD [OF validFlip ctxtFlip exec P] Fault
     have False
       by auto
     thus ?thesis ..
   \mathbf{next}
     case False
     with cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt' exec P] Fault
     show ?thesis
       by auto
   qed
 next
   {\bf case}\ Stuck
   from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt' exec P] Stuck
   show ?thesis
     by auto
 qed
next
 \mathbf{fix} \ s
 assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q,A
 hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
   by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults simp add: validt-def)
 assume P: s \in P
 show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
 proof -
   from valid ctxt' P
   show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
     by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
 qed
```

```
lemma GuardsFlip:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  assumes derivFlip: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/-F} P \ c \ UNIV, UNIV
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule GuardsFlip-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
apply (iprover intro: hoare-sound [OF derivFlip])
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{MarkGuardsI-sound}\colon
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/\{\}} P mark-guards f c Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-mark-guards-to-exec [OF exec] obtain t' where
    exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
    t'\text{-}noFault : \neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t' = t
    by blast
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-c P]
    have t' \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by blast
    with t'-noFault
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
next
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s.
  thus \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule terminates-to-terminates-mark-guards)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{MarkGuardsI}:
 assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}}P c Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ mark-guards \ f \ c \ Q,A
```

```
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{MarkGuardsI-sound})
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
done
{f lemma}\ {\it MarkGuardsD-sound}:
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/\{\}} P \text{ mark-guards } f \in Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases isFault t)
    case True
    with exec-to-exec-mark-guards-Fault exec
    obtain f' where \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f'
      by (fastforce elim: isFaultE)
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt this P]
    have False
      by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
  \mathbf{next}
    case False
    from exec-to-exec-mark-guards [OF exec False]
    obtain f' where \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt this P]
    \mathbf{show} \ ? the sis
      by auto
  qed
next
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s.
  thus \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule terminates-mark-guards-to-terminates)
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{MarkGuardsD} \colon
  assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \text{ mark-guards } f \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
```

```
apply (rule MarkGuardsD-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{MergeGuardsI-sound}:
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \text{ merge-guards } c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-merge-guards-to-exec [OF exec-merge]
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t.
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec P t-notin-F]
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s.
  thus \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule terminates-to-terminates-merge-guards)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{MergeGuardsI}:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P merge-guards c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule MergeGuardsI-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
done
lemma Merge Guards D-sound:
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \text{ merge-guards } c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-to-exec-merge-guards [OF exec]
  have exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t.
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-merge P t-notin-F]
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
```

```
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards\ c \downarrow Normal\ s.
  thus \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule terminates-merge-guards-to-terminates)
qed
lemma MergeGuardsD:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P merge-guards c Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule MergeGuardsD-sound)
\mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{iprover\ intro:\ hoaret-sound}\ [\mathit{OF\ deriv}])
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{SubsetGuards\text{-}sound}\colon
  assumes c 	ext{-} c' : c \subseteq_g c'
  assumes valid: \Gamma, \Theta \models_{t/\{\}} P \ c' \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-to-exec-subseteq-guards [OF c-c' exec] obtain t' where
    exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
    t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
    by blast
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault '\{\}
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-c' P] t'-noFault t-noFault
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    by auto
\mathbf{next}
  fix s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have termi-c': \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal s.
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt - P]
  have noFault-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault ' UNIV
    by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
  from termi-c' c-c' noFault-c'
  show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule terminates-fewer-guards)
```

```
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{SubsetGuards}\colon
  assumes c-c': c \subseteq_g c'
  assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c' \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule SubsetGuards-sound [OF c-c'])
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NormalizeD-sound}\colon
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P (normalize c) Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q,A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  hence exec-norm: \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{exec-to-exec-normalize})
  assume P: s \in P
  assume noFault: t \notin Fault ' F
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-norm P noFault]
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have \Gamma \vdash normalize \ c \downarrow Normal \ s.
  thus \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule terminates-normalize-to-terminates)
qed
{\bf lemma} NormalizeD:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (normalize c) Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule NormalizeD-sound)
\mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{iprover\ intro:\ hoaret-sound}\ [\mathit{OF\ deriv}])
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NormalizeI-sound}\colon
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (normalize \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
```

```
assume \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  hence exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule exec-normalize-to-exec)
  assume P: s \in P
  assume noFault: t \notin Fault ' F
  \mathbf{from} \ \ cvalidt\text{-}postD \ \ [OF \ valid \ \ [rule\text{-}format] \ \ ctxt \ \ exec \ P \ \ noFault]
  show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A.
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s.
  thus \Gamma \vdash normalize \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule terminates-to-terminates-normalize)
qed
lemma NormalizeI:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (normalize \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule NormalizeI-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
done
```

## 13.3.5 Restricting the Procedure Environment

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{validt\text{-}restrict\text{-}to\text{-}validt\text{:}}
assumes validt-c: \Gamma|_{M}\models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
shows \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
proof -
  from validt-c
  have valid-c: \Gamma|_{M}\models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A by (simp add: validt-def)
  hence \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A by (rule valid-restrict-to-valid)
  moreover
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume P: s \in P
    have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
    proof -
      from P validt-c have \Gamma|_{M} \vdash c \downarrow Normal s
         by (auto simp add: validt-def)
      moreover
      from P valid-c
      have \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
         by (auto simp add: valid-def final-notin-def)
      ultimately show ?thesis
         by (rule terminates-restrict-to-terminates)
    qed
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
lemma augment-procs:
assumes deriv-c: \Gamma|_{M},{}\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
shows \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 apply (rule hoaret-complete)
 apply (rule validt-restrict-to-validt)
 apply (insert hoaret-sound [OF deriv-c])
  by (simp add: cvalidt-def)
13.3.6 Miscellaneous
lemma augment-Faults:
assumes deriv-c: \Gamma,{}\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
assumes F : F \subseteq F'
shows \Gamma,{}\vdash_{t/F'} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule hoaret-complete)
 apply (rule validt-augment-Faults [OF - F])
 apply (insert hoaret-sound [OF deriv-c])
  by (simp add: cvalidt-def)
lemma TerminationPartial-sound:
  assumes termination: \forall s \in P. \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal s
 assumes partial-corr: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
using termination partial-corr
by (auto simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def cvalid-def)
lemma TerminationPartial:
  assumes partial-deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
  assumes termination: \forall s \in P. \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal s
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule hoaret-complete')
  apply (rule TerminationPartial-sound [OF termination])
  apply (rule hoare-sound [OF partial-deriv])
  done
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{TerminationPartialStrip} :
  assumes partial-deriv: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes termination: \forall s \in P. strip F' \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-guards } F' c \downarrow Normal s
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from termination have \forall s \in P. \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal s
   by (auto intro: terminates-strip-guards-to-terminates
```

```
terminates-strip-to-terminates)
  with partial-deriv
  show ?thesis
   by (rule TerminationPartial)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{SplitTotalPartial} :
  assumes termi: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q', A'
  assumes part: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from hoaret-sound [OF termi] hoare-sound [OF part]
  have \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
   \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{fastforce simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def cvalid-def valid-def})
  thus ?thesis
   by (rule hoaret-complete')
qed
lemma SplitTotalPartial':
  assumes termi: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/UNIV} P \ c \ Q', A'
  assumes part: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from hoaret-sound [OF termi] hoare-sound [OF part]
 have \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/F}P c Q,A
   by (fastforce simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def cvalid-def)
  thus ?thesis
   by (rule hoaret-complete')
qed
end
```

## 14 Derived Hoare Rules for Total Correctness

theory Hoare Total imports Hoare Total Props begin

```
lemma conseq-no-aux:  \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P' \ c \ Q',A'; \\ \forall s. \ s \in P \longrightarrow (s \in P' \land (Q' \subseteq Q) \land (A' \subseteq A)) \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A \\ \text{by } (\textit{rule conseq } [\textbf{where } P' = \lambda Z. \ P' \ \textbf{and} \ Q' = \lambda Z. \ Q' \ \textbf{and} \ A' = \lambda Z. \ A']) \ \textit{auto}
```

If for example a specification for a "procedure pointer" parameter is in the precondition we can extract it with this rule

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{conseq}\text{-}\mathit{exploit}\text{-}\mathit{pre}\text{:}$ 

$$\llbracket \forall s \in P. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{s\} \cap P) \ c \ Q,A \rrbracket$$

```
\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule Conseq)
  apply clarify
  apply (rule-tac x = \{s\} \cap P in exI)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=Q \ in \ exI)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=A \ in \ exI)
  by simp
lemma conseq: [\forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), (A'Z);
                 \forall s. \ s \in P \longrightarrow (\exists \ Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \land (Q' \ Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' \ Z \subseteq A))]
                 \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (rule Conseq') blast
lemma Lem: \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), (A'Z);
              P\subseteq\{s.\;\exists\;Z.\;s\in P'\;Z\;\wedge\;(Q'\;Z\subseteq Q)\;\wedge\;(A'\;Z\subseteq A)\}] \Longrightarrow
                \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (lem \ x \ c) \ Q,A
  apply (unfold lem-def)
  \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{erule} \ \mathit{conseq})
  apply blast
  done
lemma LemAnno:
assumes conseq: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P' Z \land A\}
                          (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\forall t. \ t \in A' Z \longrightarrow t \in A)\}
assumes lem: \forall Z. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ (lem\ x\stackrel{'}{c})\ Q,A
  apply (rule Lem [OF lem])
  using conseq
  by blast
lemma \ Lem Anno No Abrupt:
assumes conseq: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P' Z \land (\forall t. t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow t \in Q)\}
assumes lem: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), \{\}
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (lem \ x \ c) \ Q,\{\}
  apply (rule Lem [OF lem])
  using conseq
  by blast
lemma \mathit{TrivPost}: \forall Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z),(A'Z)
                    \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'\ Z) \ c \ \mathit{UNIV}, \mathit{UNIV}
apply (rule allI)
```

```
apply (erule conseq)
apply auto
done
lemma TrivPostNoAbr: \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z),\{\}
                \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'\ Z) \ c \ \mathit{UNIV},\{\}
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{allI})
apply (erule conseq)
apply auto
done
lemma DynComConseq:
 A' \subseteq A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ DynCom \ c \ Q,A
  using assms
  apply –
 apply (rule hoaret.DynCom)
 \mathbf{apply} \ \mathit{clarsimp}
  apply (rule hoaret.Conseq)
 apply clarsimp
 apply blast
  done
lemma SpecAnno:
 assumes consequence: P \subseteq \{s. (\exists Z. s \in P' Z \land (Q' Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' Z \subseteq A))\}
 assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ (c\ Z) \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
 assumes bdy-constant: \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
 shows \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (specAnno\ P'\ c\ Q'\ A')\ Q, A
proof -
  {\bf from}\ spec\ bdy\hbox{-}constant
 \mathbf{have} \ \forall \, Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{^t/F} (P' \ Z) \ (\textit{c undefined}) \ (\textit{Q'} \ \textit{Z}), (\textit{A'} \ \textit{Z})
    apply -
    \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{allI})
    apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
    apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
    apply simp
    done
  with consequence show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: specAnno-def)
    apply (erule conseq)
    apply blast
    done
qed
```

```
lemma SpecAnno':
 \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ \exists \ Z. \ s{\in}P'\ Z \ \land
               (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\forall t. \ t \in A' Z \longrightarrow t \in A)\};
   \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ (cZ) \ (Q'Z), (A'Z);
   \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
     \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (specAnno\ P'\ c\ Q'\ A')\ Q,A
apply (simp only: subset-iff [THEN sym])
apply (erule (1) SpecAnno)
{\bf apply} \ assumption
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ Spec Anno No Abrupt:
 \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P'Z \land A\} \}
               (\forall\,t.\ t\in\,Q^{\,\prime}\,Z\,\longrightarrow\,t\in\,Q)\};
   \forall\,Z.\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(P^{\,\prime}\,Z)\ (c\ Z)\ (Q^{\,\prime}\,Z),\{\};
   \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
  ]\!] \Longrightarrow
     \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (specAnno \ P' \ c \ Q' \ (\lambda s. \ \{\})) \ Q,A
apply (rule SpecAnno')
apply auto
done
lemma Skip: P \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P Skip Q, A
  by (rule hoaret.Skip [THEN conseqPre],simp)
lemma Basic: P \subseteq \{s. (f s) \in Q\} \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (Basic f) Q, A
  by (rule hoaret.Basic [THEN conseqPre])
lemma BasicCond:
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (b \ s \longrightarrow f \ s \in Q) \land (\neg b \ s \longrightarrow g \ s \in Q)\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Basic \ (\lambda s. \ if \ b \ s \ then \ f \ s \ else \ g \ s) \ \ Q,A
  apply (rule Basic)
  apply auto
  done
lemma Spec: P \subseteq \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\exists t. \ (s,t) \in r)\}
               \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Spec \ r) \ Q,A
by (rule hoaret.Spec [THEN conseqPre])
lemma SpecIf:
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (b \ s \longrightarrow f \ s \in Q) \land (\neg \ b \ s \longrightarrow g \ s \in Q \land h \ s \in Q)\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ Spec \ (if\text{-rel } b \ f \ g \ h) \ Q,A
  apply (rule Spec)
  apply (auto simp add: if-rel-def)
  done
lemma Seq [trans, intro?]:
```

```
\llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ R, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Seq \ c_1 \ c_2 \ Q, A
  by (rule hoaret.Seq)
lemma SeqSwap:
   \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c2 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c1 \ R, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Seq \ c1 \ c2 \ Q, A
  by (rule Seq)
lemma BSeq:
   \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ R, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (bseq \ c_1 \ c_2) \ Q, A
  by (unfold bseq-def) (rule Seq)
lemma Cond:
  assumes wp: P \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\}
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P_1 c_1 Q,A
  assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P_2 c_2 Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) \ Q,A
proof (rule hoaret.Cond [THEN conseqPre])
   from deriv-c1
  show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{s.\ (s\in b\longrightarrow s\in P_1)\land (s\notin b\longrightarrow s\in P_2)\}\cap b)\ c_1\ Q,A
     by (rule conseqPre) blast
next
  from deriv-c2
  show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{s.\ (s\in b\longrightarrow s\in P_1)\land (s\notin b\longrightarrow s\in P_2)\}\cap -b)\ c_2\ Q,A
     by (rule conseqPre) blast
qed (insert wp)
lemma CondSwap:
   \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P1 \ c1 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P2 \ c2 \ Q, A; 
     P\subseteq \{s.\;(s{\in}b\longrightarrow s{\in}P1)\;\land\;(s{\notin}b\longrightarrow s{\in}P2)\}\|
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \ Q,A
  by (rule Cond)
lemma Cond':
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (b \subseteq P1) \land (-b \subseteq P2)\}; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P1 \ c1 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P2 \ c2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \ Q,A
  by (rule CondSwap) blast+
lemma CondInv:
  assumes wp: P \subseteq Q
  assumes inv: Q \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\}
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P_1\ c_1\ Q,A
  assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P_2 c_2 Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (Cond b c_1 c_2) Q,A
proof -
```

```
from wp inv
  have P \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\}
    \mathbf{by} blast
  from Cond [OF this deriv-c1 deriv-c2]
  show ?thesis.
qed
lemma CondInv':
  assumes wp: P \subseteq I
  \textbf{assumes} \ inv: I \subseteq \{s. \ (s{\in}b \longrightarrow s{\in}P_1) \ \land \ (s{\notin}b \longrightarrow s{\in}P_2)\}
  assumes wp': I \subseteq Q
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P_1 c_1 I,A
  assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P_2 c_2 I,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (Cond b c_1 c_2) Q,A
proof -
  from CondInv [OF wp inv deriv-c1 deriv-c2]
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (Cond b c_1 c_2) I,A.
  from conseqPost [OF this wp' subset-refl]
  show ?thesis.
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ switchNil:
  P \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (switch \ v \ []) \ Q, A
  by (simp add: Skip)
\mathbf{lemma}\ switchCons:
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (v \ s \in V \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (v \ s \notin V \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\};
         \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P_1\ c\ Q,A;
         \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P_2 \ (switch \ v \ vs) \ Q,A
\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (switch \ v \ ((V,c)\#vs)) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: Cond)
lemma Guard:
 \llbracket P \subseteq g \cap R; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Guard \ f \ g \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule HoareTotalDef.Guard [THEN conseqPre, of - - - R])
apply (erule conseqPre)
apply auto
done
lemma GuardSwap:
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq g \cap R \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Guard \ f \ g \ c \ Q,A
  by (rule Guard)
```

```
lemma Guarantee:
 \llbracket P \subseteq \{s.\ s \in g \longrightarrow s \in R\};\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} R\ c\ Q,A;\ f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule Guarantee [THEN conseqPre, of - - - - \{s. \ s \in g \longrightarrow s \in R\}])
apply assumption
apply (erule conseqPre)
apply auto
done
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{GuaranteeSwap} \colon
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in g \longrightarrow s \in R\}; \ f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (\textit{Guard f g c}) \ \textit{Q}, \textit{A}
  by (rule Guarantee)
lemma GuardStrip:
 \llbracket P \subseteq R; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A; f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule Guarantee [THEN conseqPre])
apply auto
done
\mathbf{lemma} \mathit{GuardStripSwap}:
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq R; \ f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q, A
  by (rule GuardStrip)
lemma GuaranteeStrip:
 \llbracket P \subseteq R; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A; f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma ,\! \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (\textit{guaranteeStrip f g c}) \ \textit{Q},\! \textit{A}
  by (unfold guaranteeStrip-def) (rule GuardStrip)
lemma GuaranteeStripSwap:
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq R; f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (unfold guaranteeStrip-def) (rule GuardStrip)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{GuaranteeAsGuard}\colon
 \llbracket P \subseteq g \cap R; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c \ Q,A
  by (unfold guaranteeStrip-def) (rule Guard)
lemma GuaranteeAsGuardSwap:
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq g \cap R \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c \ Q, A
  by (rule GuaranteeAsGuard)
```

```
lemma GuardsNil:
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (guards \ [] \ c) \ Q,A
  by simp
lemma GuardsCons:
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Guard \ f \ g \ (guards \ gs \ c) \ Q,A \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (guards \ ((f,g)\#gs) \ c) \ Q,A
  by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{GuardsConsGuaranteeStrip} :
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ (guards \ gs \ c) \ Q,A \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (guards \ (guaranteeStripPair \ f \ g\#gs) \ c) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: guaranteeStripPair-def guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma While:
  assumes P-I: P \subseteq I
  assumes deriv-body:
  \forall\,\sigma.\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{\sigma\}\cap I\cap b)\ c\ (\{t.\ (t,\,\sigma)\in\,V\}\cap I),A
  assumes I - Q: I \cap -b \subseteq Q
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{wf}\colon \mathit{wf}\ \mathit{V}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (whileAnno b I V c) Q,A
proof
  from wf deriv-body P-I I-Q
  show ?thesis
    apply (unfold whileAnno-def)
    apply (erule conseqPrePost [OF HoareTotalDef.While])
    apply auto
    done
qed
lemma WhileInvPost:
  assumes P-I: P \subseteq I
  assumes termi-body:
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/UNIV} (\{\sigma\} \cap I \cap b) \ c \ (\{t. \ (t, \, \sigma) \in V\} \cap P), A
  assumes deriv-body:
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(I\cap b)\ c\ I,A
  assumes I-Q: I \cap -b \subseteq Q
  assumes wf: wf V
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (whileAnno b I V c) Q,A
  have \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap I \cap b) \ c \ (\{t. \ (t, \sigma) \in V\} \cap I), A
  proof
     from hoare-sound [OF deriv-body] hoaret-sound [OF termi-body [rule-format,
of \sigma
```

```
have \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap I \cap b) \ c \ (\{t.\ (t,\sigma) \in V\} \cap I),A
      by (fastforce simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def cvalid-def valid-def)
    then
   show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{\sigma\}\cap I\cap b) c (\{t.\ (t,\,\sigma)\in V\}\cap I),A
      by (rule hoaret-complete')
 from While [OF P-I this I-Q wf]
 show ?thesis.
qed
lemma \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap b) c\ Q,A\Longrightarrow\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap b)\ (Seq\ c\ (Guard\ f\ Q\ Skip))
Q,A
oops
J will be instantiated by tactic with gs' \cap I for those guards that are not
stripped.
lemma WhileAnnoG:
 \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (guards \ gs
                    (while Anno\ b\ J\ V\ (Seq\ c\ (guards\ gs\ Skip))))\ Q, A
        \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (whileAnnoG \ gs \ b \ I \ V \ c) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def whileAnno-def while-def)
This form stems from strip-guards F (whileAnnoG gs b I V c)
lemma WhileNoGuard':
  assumes P-I: P \subseteq I
  assumes deriv-body: \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap I \cap b) \ c \ (\{t. \ (t, \ \sigma) \in V\} \cap I), A
  assumes I-Q: I \cap -b \subseteq Q
  assumes wf: wf V
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (whileAnno\ b\ I\ V\ (Seq\ c\ Skip))\ Q,A
  apply (rule While [OF P-I - I-Q wf])
  apply (rule allI)
  apply (rule Seq)
  apply (rule deriv-body [rule-format])
  apply (rule hoaret.Skip)
  done
{f lemma} While AnnoFix:
assumes consequence: P \subseteq \{s. (\exists Z. s \in IZ \land (IZ \cap -b \subseteq Q)) \}
assumes bdy: \forall Z \sigma. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap IZ \cap b) \ (c\ Z) \ (\{t.\ (t,\sigma) \in VZ\} \cap IZ), A)
assumes bdy-constant: \forall Z. c Z = c undefined
assumes wf : \forall Z. \ wf \ (V \ Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (whileAnnoFix b I V c) Q,A
proof -
  from bdy bdy-constant
 have bdy': \bigwedge Z. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap I \ Z \cap b) \ (c \ undefined)
```

```
\mathbf{apply} \ - (\{t. \ (t, \, \sigma) \in \mathit{VZ}\} \cap \mathit{IZ}), A
    apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
    apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
    apply simp
    done
  have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (I \ Z) \ (while AnnoFix \ b \ I \ V \ c) \ (I \ Z \ \cap \ -b), A
    apply rule
    apply (unfold whileAnnoFix-def)
    apply (rule hoaret. While)
    apply (rule wf [rule-format])
    apply (rule bdy')
    done
  then
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule conseq)
    using consequence
    by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma WhileAnnoFix':
assumes consequence: P \subseteq \{s. (\exists Z. s \in IZ \land A)\}
                                 (\forall\,t.\ t\in I\ Z\ \cap -b\ \longrightarrow\ t\in\ Q))\ \}
assumes \mathit{bdy} \colon \forall \, Z \ \sigma \colon \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap I \ Z \cap \mathit{b}) \ (\mathit{c} \ \mathit{Z}) \ (\{t. \ (t, \, \sigma) \in \mathit{V} \ \mathit{Z}\} \cap I \ \mathit{Z}), A
assumes bdy-constant: \forall Z. c Z = c undefined
assumes wf : \forall Z. \ wf \ (V \ Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (while AnnoFix b I V c) Q,A
  apply (rule WhileAnnoFix [OF - bdy bdy-constant wf])
  using consequence by blast
lemma WhileAnnoGFix:
assumes whileAnnoFix:
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (guards \ gs
                (while Anno Fix \ b \ J \ V \ (\lambda Z. \ (Seq \ (c \ Z) \ (guards \ gs \ Skip))))) \ Q,A
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (whileAnnoGFix gs b I V c) Q,A
  using while AnnoFix
  by (simp add: whileAnnoGFix-def whileAnnoFix-def while-def)
lemma Bind:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in P' \ s\}
  assumes c: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P's) \ (c \ (e \ s)) \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (bind e c) Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. s=Z \land s \in P' Z} and Q'=\lambda Z. Q and
A'=\lambda Z. A])
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold bind-def)
{\bf apply} \ \ (\textit{rule HoareTotalDef.DynCom})
apply (rule ballI)
```

```
apply clarsimp
apply (rule conseqPre)
apply \quad (rule \ c \ [rule-format])
apply blast
using adapt
apply blast
done
lemma Block:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. init s \in P' s\}
assumes bdy: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P's) \ bdy \ \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\}, \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in A\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (block init bdy return c) Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ init\ s\in P'\ Z\} and Q'=\lambda Z. Q
and
A'=\lambda Z. A])
prefer 2
using adapt
apply blast
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (rule Hoare TotalDef.DynCom)
apply (rule ballI)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return Z t \in R Z t\} in SeqSwap)
apply (rule-tac P'=\lambda Z'. {t. t=Z' \land return \ Z \ t \in R \ Z \ t} and
          Q'=\lambda Z'. Q and A'=\lambda Z'. A in conseq)
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule HoareTotalDef.DynCom)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule SeqSwap)
\mathbf{apply} \quad (\mathit{rule}\ c\ [\mathit{rule-format}])
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return Z t \in A\} in HoareTotalDef.Catch)
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule-tac}\ R {=} \{i.\ i \in \mathit{P'}\ \mathit{Z}\}\ \mathbf{in}\ \mathit{Seq})
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply simp
apply (rule bdy [rule-format])
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule Throw)
apply (rule Basic)
apply simp
done
lemma BlockSwap:
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
```

```
assumes bdy: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P's) \ bdy \ \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\}, \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in A\}
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. init s \in P' s\}
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (block init bdy return c) Q,A
  using adapt bdy c
 by (rule Block)
lemma BlockSpec:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                              (\forall\,t.\ t\in\,Q^{\,\prime}\,Z\,\longrightarrow\,return\ s\ t\in\,R\ s\ t)\,\,\wedge
                              (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A) \}
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
 assumes bdy: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ bdy \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (block init bdy return c) Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. init s \in P' Z \land
                              (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \land
                              (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A) and Q'=\lambda Z. \ Q and
A'=\lambda Z. A])
\mathbf{prefer} \ 2
using adapt
apply blast
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (rule HoareTotalDef.DynCom)
apply (rule ballI)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\} in SeqSwap)
apply (rule-tac P'=\lambda Z'. {t. t=Z' \land return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t} and
          Q'=\lambda Z'. Q and A'=\lambda Z'. A in conseq)
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule HoareTotalDef.DynCom)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule \ c \ [rule-format])
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return \ s \ t \in A\} in HoareTotalDef.Catch)
apply (rule-tac R = \{i. i \in P'Z\} in Seq)
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply simp
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{conseq}\ [\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{bdy}])
\mathbf{apply} \quad clarsimp
apply blast
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule Throw)
apply (rule Basic)
apply simp
```

## done

```
lemma Throw: P \subseteq A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P Throw Q, A
  by (rule hoaret. Throw [THEN conseqPre])
lemmas Catch = hoaret.Catch
lemma CatchSwap: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, R \rrbracket \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P
Catch c_1 c_2 Q,A
  by (rule hoaret.Catch)
lemma raise: P \subseteq \{s. \ f \ s \in A\} \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ raise \ f \ Q,A
  apply (simp add: raise-def)
  apply (rule Seq)
  apply (rule Basic)
  apply (assumption)
  apply (rule Throw)
  apply (rule subset-refl)
  done
lemma condCatch: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, ((b \cap R) \cup (-b \cap A)); \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
                    \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ condCatch \ c_1 \ b \ c_2 \ Q, A
  apply (simp add: condCatch-def)
  apply (rule Catch)
  apply assumption
  apply (rule CondSwap)
  apply (assumption)
  apply (rule hoaret. Throw)
  apply blast
  done
lemma condCatchSwap: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, ((b \cap R) \cup (-b \cap R)) \end{bmatrix}
A))]
                        \implies \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ condCatch \ c_1 \ b \ c_2 \ Q,A
  by (rule condCatch)
lemma ProcSpec:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                                 (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \land
                                 (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P' Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q' Z), (A' Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (call init p return c) Q,A
using adapt c p
apply (unfold call-def)
by (rule BlockSpec)
```

```
lemma ProcSpec':
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                                 (\forall t \in Q' Z. return s t \in R s t) \land
                                 (\forall t \in A' Z. return s t \in A)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'\ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q'\ Z), (A'\ Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule\ ProcSpec\ [OF - c\ p])
apply (insert adapt)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule (1) subsetD)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule-tac \ x=Z \ in \ exI)
apply blast
done
lemma ProcSpecNoAbrupt:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                                 (\forall t. \ t \in Q'Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q'Z), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule ProcSpec [OF - c p])
using adapt
apply simp
done
lemma FCall:
\Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (call \ init \ p \ return \ (\lambda s \ t. \ c \ (result \ t))) \ Q, A
\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (fcall \ init \ p \ return \ result \ c) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma ProcRec:
  assumes deriv-bodies:
   \forall p \in Procs.
    \forall \sigma \ Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup q \in Procs. \bigcup Z.
        \{(P \ q \ Z \cap \{s. \ ((s,q), \ \sigma,p) \in r\}, q, Q \ q \ Z, A \ q \ Z)\})
         \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  assumes wf: wf r
  assumes Procs-defined: Procs \subseteq dom \Gamma
  shows \forall p \in Procs. \ \forall Z.
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P\ p\ Z)\ Call\ p\ (Q\ p\ Z), (A\ p\ Z)
  by (intro strip)
     (rule Hoare Total Def. Call Rec'
     [OF - Procs-defined wf deriv-bodies],
     simp-all)
```

```
lemma ProcRec':
  assumes ctxt:
   \Theta' = (\lambda \sigma \ p. \ \Theta \cup (\bigcup q \in Procs.)
                      \bigcup Z. \{ (P \ q \ Z \cap \{s. \ ((s,q), \ \sigma,p) \in r\}, q, Q \ q \ Z, A \ q \ Z) \} ) )
  assumes deriv-bodies:
   \forall p \in Procs.
    \forall\,\sigma\ Z.\ \Gamma,\Theta'\ \sigma\ p\vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\}\ \cap\ P\ p\ Z)\ (the\ (\Gamma\ p))\ (Q\ p\ Z), (A\ p\ Z)
  assumes wf: wf r
  assumes Procs-defined: Procs \subseteq dom \Gamma
  shows \forall p \in Procs. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \ p \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  using ctxt deriv-bodies
  apply simp
  apply (erule ProcRec [OF - wf Procs-defined])
  done
lemma ProcRecList:
  assumes deriv-bodies:
   \forall p \in set \ Procs.
    \forall \sigma \ Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup q \in set \ Procs. \bigcup Z.
        \{(P \ q \ Z \cap \{s. \ ((s,q), \ \sigma,p) \in r\}, q, Q \ q \ Z, A \ q \ Z)\})
         \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  assumes wf: wf r
  assumes dist: distinct Procs
  assumes Procs-defined: set Procs \subseteq dom \Gamma
  shows \forall p \in set \ Procs. \ \forall Z.
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(P\ p\ Z)\ Call\ p\ (Q\ p\ Z),(A\ p\ Z)
  using deriv-bodies wf Procs-defined
  by (rule ProcRec)
lemma ProcRecSpecs:
  \llbracket \forall \sigma. \ \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs.
     \Gamma,\Theta \cup ((\lambda(P,q,Q,A), (P \cap \{s. ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in r\},q,Q,A)) `Specs)
      \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A;
    wf r;
    \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \ p \in dom \ \Gamma
  \implies \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
apply (rule ballI)
apply (case-tac \ x)
apply (rename-tac \ x \ P \ p \ Q \ A)
apply simp
apply (rule hoaret.CallRec)
apply auto
done
lemma ProcRec1:
  assumes deriv-body:
   \forall \sigma Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup Z. \ \{(P Z \cap \{s. \ ((s,p), \sigma,p) \in r\}, p, Q Z, A Z)\})
```

```
\vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P Z) \text{ (the } (\Gamma p)) (Q Z), (A Z)
  assumes wf: wf r
  assumes p-defined: p \in dom \Gamma
  shows \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
proof
  from deriv-body wf p-defined
  \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ p {\in} \{p\}. \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta {\vdash_t}_{/F} \ (P \ Z) \ \ Call \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
    apply (rule ProcRec [where A=\lambda p. A and P=\lambda p. P and Q=\lambda p. Q])
    apply simp-all
    done
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma ProcNoRec1:
  assumes deriv-body:
   \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P\ Z) \ (the\ (\Gamma\ p)) \ (Q\ Z),(A\ Z)
  assumes p-defined: p \in dom \ \Gamma
  shows \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
proof
  have \forall \sigma \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
    by (blast intro: conseqPre deriv-body [rule-format])
  with p-defined have \forall \sigma \ Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup Z. \ \{(P\ Z\ \cap \ \{s.\ ((s,p),\ \sigma,p) \in \{\}\},\ 
                           p, Q Z, A Z)\})
              \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P Z) (the (\Gamma p)) (Q Z), (A Z)
    by (blast intro: hoaret-augment-context)
  from this
  show ?thesis
    by (rule ProcRec1) (auto simp add: p-defined)
qed
lemma ProcBody:
 assumes WP: P \subseteq P'
 assumes deriv-body: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P' body Q,A
 assumes body: \Gamma p = Some body
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Call \ p \ Q,A
apply (rule conseqPre [OF - WP])
apply (rule ProcNoRec1 [rule-format, where P=\lambda Z. P' and Q=\lambda Z. Q and
A=\lambda Z. A]
apply (insert body)
\mathbf{apply} \ simp
\mathbf{apply} \hspace{0.2cm} (\textit{rule hoaret-augment-context} \hspace{0.2cm} [\textit{OF deriv-body}])
apply blast
apply fastforce
done
lemma CallBody:
```

```
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. init s \in P' s\}
assumes bdy: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P's) \ body \ \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\}, \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in A\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes body: \Gamma p = Some body
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (unfold call-def)
apply (rule \ Block \ [OF \ adapt - c])
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule ProcBody [where \Gamma = \Gamma, OF - bdy [rule-format] body])
apply simp
done
lemmas ProcModifyReturn = HoareTotalProps.ProcModifyReturn
{\bf lemmas}\ ProcModifyReturnSameFaults = HoareTotalProps.ProcModifyReturnSameFaults
lemma ProcModifyReturnNoAbr:
  assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes result-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes modifies-spec:
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
by (rule ProcModifyReturn [OF spec result-conform - modifies-spec]) simp
{\bf lemma}\ ProcModifyReturnNoAbrSameFaults:
  assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes result-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes modifies-spec:
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma),\{\}
  shows \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q, A
by (rule ProcModifyReturnSameFaults [OF spec result-conform - modifies-spec])
simp
lemma DynProc:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' \mid s \mid Z \land A\}
                             (\forall \, t. \, t \in \mathit{Q'} \, s \, \mathit{Z} \, \longrightarrow \, \mathit{return} \, s \, t \in \mathit{R} \, s \, t) \, \land \,
                             (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ s \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A) \}
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall s \in P. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P' \ s \ Z) \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Q' \ s \ Z), (A' \ s \ Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. s=Z \land s \in P}
  and Q'=\lambda Z. Q and A'=\lambda Z. A]
prefer 2
using adapt
```

```
apply blast
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold dynCall-def call-def block-def)
apply (rule Hoare TotalDef.DynCom)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule Hoare TotalDef.DynCom)
apply clarsimp
apply (frule in-mono [rule-format, OF adapt])
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac Z')
\mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{rule-tac}\ \mathit{R}\!=\!\mathit{Q'}\ \mathit{Z}\ \mathit{Z'}\ \mathbf{in}\ \mathit{Seq})
apply (rule CatchSwap)
apply (rule SeqSwap)
          (rule Throw)
apply
apply
           (rule subset-refl)
apply (rule Basic)
apply (rule subset-refl)
apply (rule-tac R = \{i. i \in P' Z Z'\} in Seq)
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply simp
apply (rule-tac Q'=Q'ZZ' and A'=A'ZZ' in conseqPost)
using p
apply
           clarsimp
apply simp
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac P'=\lambda Z''. {t. t=Z'' \land return Z t \in R Z t} and
          Q'=\lambda Z''. Q and A'=\lambda Z''. A in conseq)
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule Hoare TotalDef.DynCom)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule \ c \ [rule-format])
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
done
lemma DynProc':
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' \mid s \mid Z \land A\}
                          (\forall t \in Q' \ s \ Z. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \land
                          (\forall t \in A' \ s \ Z. \ return \ s \ t \in A)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
 assumes p: \forall s \in P. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P' \ s \ Z) \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Q' \ s \ Z), (A' \ s \ Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from adapt have P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' \mid s \mid Z \land A\}
                          (\forall\,t.\ t\in\,Q^{\,\prime}\,s\,Z\,\longrightarrow\,\textit{return}\,\,s\,\,t\in\,R\,\,s\,\,t)\,\,\land
                          (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ s \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A)
```

```
by blast
  from this c p show ?thesis
    by (rule DynProc)
lemma DynProcStaticSpec:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in S \land (\exists Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land \}\}
                                  (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in Q'Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in R \ s \ \tau) \land (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in A'Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in A))\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes spec: \forall s \in S. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof -
  from adapt have P-S: P \subseteq S
    by blast
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(P\cap S) (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
    apply (rule DynProc [where P'=\lambda s\ Z.\ P'\ Z and Q'=\lambda s\ Z.\ Q'\ Z
                              and A'=\lambda s Z. A' Z, OF - c
    apply clarsimp
    apply (frule in-mono [rule-format, OF adapt])
    apply clarsimp
    using spec
    apply clarsimp
    done
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule conseqPre) (insert P-S,blast)
qed
lemma DynProcProcPar:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q \land (\exists Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land assumes)\}
                                  (\forall \, \tau. \, \tau \in \mathit{Q'} \, \mathit{Z} \, \longrightarrow \mathit{return} \, \mathit{s} \, \tau \in \mathit{R} \, \mathit{s} \, \tau) \, \land \,
                                  (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in A))
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ q \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
  apply (rule DynProcStaticSpec [where S = \{s. p \ s = q\}, simplified, OF \ adapt \ c])
  using spec
  apply simp
  done
lemma DynProcProcParNoAbrupt:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q \land (\exists Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land A)\}
                                  (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in R \ s \ \tau))
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ q \ (Q'Z), \{\}
```

```
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof -
  have P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q \land (\exists \ Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land \}\}
                         (\forall t. \ t \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \ \land
                         (\forall t. \ t \in \{\} \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A))\}
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume P: s \in P
    with adapt obtain Z where
       Pre: p s = q \wedge init s \in P' Z and
       \mathit{adapt-Norm} \colon \forall \, \tau. \, \tau \in \mathit{Q'} \, \mathit{Z} \, \longrightarrow \, \mathit{return} \, \mathit{s} \, \tau \in \mathit{R} \, \mathit{s} \, \tau
      by blast
    from adapt-Norm
    have \forall t. t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t
      by auto
    then
    show s \in ?P'
      using Pre by blast
  \mathbf{qed}
  note P = this
  show ?thesis
    apply -
    apply (rule DynProcStaticSpec [where S = \{s. p \mid s = q\}, simplified, OF P c])
    apply (insert spec)
    apply auto
    done
\mathbf{qed}
lemma DynProcModifyReturnNoAbr:
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c) \ Q, A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                                \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
             \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from ret-nrm-modif
  have \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
         \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
    by iprover
  then
  have ret-nrm-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                         \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  have ret-abr-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in \{\}
                           \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
    by simp
  from to-prove ret-nrm-modif' ret-abr-modif' modif-clause show ?thesis
```

```
by (rule dynProcModifyReturn)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ProcDynModifyReturnNoAbrSameFaults}:
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c) \ Q, A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                               \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
            \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ (p \ s)) \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from ret-nrm-modif
  have \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
         \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
    by iprover
  then
  have ret-nrm-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                        \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  have ret-abr-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in \{\}
                          \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
    by simp
  \mathbf{from}\ to\text{-}prove\ ret\text{-}nrm\text{-}modif'\ ret\text{-}abr\text{-}modif'\ modif\text{-}clause\ \mathbf{show}\ ?thesis
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults)
qed
{f lemma}\ ProcProcParModifyReturn:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'
     - DynProcProcPar introduces the same constraint as first conjunction in P', so
the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P' (dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c) \ Q, A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                               \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  assumes ret-abr-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (ModifAbr \ (init \ s))
                                 \rightarrow return's t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
           \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ q) \ (Modif \ \sigma), (ModifAbr \ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
  from to-prove have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ }c)\ \textit{Q},\textit{A}
    by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
       ret-abr-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ }c)\ \textit{Q,A}
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturn) (insert modif-clause, auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
    by (rule conseqPre)
\mathbf{qed}
```

```
{\bf lemma}\ Proc Proc Par Modify Return Same Faults:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'
   — DynProcProcPar introduces the same constraint as first conjunction in P', so
the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                            \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes ret-abr-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (ModifAbr \ (init \ s))
                               \rightarrow return's t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
          \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ q \ (Modif \ \sigma), (Modif Abr \ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from to-prove
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c)\ Q,A
    by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
       ret-abr-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ c)\ Q,A
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults) (insert modif-clause,auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
    by (rule conseqPre)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ProcProcParModifyReturnNoAbr}:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'

    — DynProcProcParNoAbrupt introduces the same constraint as first conjunction

in P', so the vcg can simplify it.
 assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                             \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
           \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ q) \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof
 from to-prove have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P') (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
    by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ }c)\ \textit{Q,A}
    by (rule DynProcModifyReturnNoAbr) (insert modif-clause, auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
    by (rule conseqPre)
qed
```

```
{\bf lemma}\ Proc Proc Par Modify Return No Abr Same Faults:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'
      — DynProcProcParNoAbrupt introduces the same constraint as first conjunc-
tion in P', so the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q, A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                            \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
           \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ q) \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from to-prove have
    \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c)\ Q,A
    by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init}\ p\ \textit{return\ }c)\ \textit{Q,A}
    \mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{ProcDynModifyReturnNoAbrSameFaults}) \ (\mathit{insert} \ \mathit{modif-clause}, \mathit{auto})
  from this q show ?thesis
    by (rule\ conseqPre)
qed
lemma MergeGuards-iff: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P merge-guards c\ Q,A=\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ c\ Q,A
  by (auto intro: MergeGuardsI MergeGuardsD)
lemma CombineStrip':
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P c' Q,A
  assumes deriv-strip-triv: \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/\{\}} P c'' UNIV, UNIV
  assumes c'': c''= mark-guards False (strip-guards (-F) c')
  assumes c: merge-guards c = merge-guards (mark-guards False c')
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from deriv-strip-triv have deriv-strip: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}}P c" UNIV, UNIV
   by (auto intro: hoare-augment-context)
  from deriv-strip [simplified c'']
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P (strip-guards (-F) c') UNIV,UNIV
    by (rule HoarePartialProps.MarkGuardsD)
  with deriv
 have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c' \ Q,A
    by (rule CombineStrip)
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P mark-guards False c' Q,A
    by (rule MarkGuardsI)
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P merge-guards (mark-guards False c') Q,A
    by (rule MergeGuardsI)
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P merge-guards c Q,A
    by (simp\ add:\ c)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule\ MergeGuardsD)
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma CombineStrip'':
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/\{True\}} P \ c' \ Q,A
  assumes deriv-strip-triv: \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/\{\}} P c'' UNIV, UNIV\}
  assumes c'': c''= mark-guards False (strip-guards ({False}) c')
  assumes c: merge-guards c = merge-guards (mark-guards False c')
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule CombineStrip' [OF deriv deriv-strip-triv - c])
  apply (insert c'')
  apply (subgoal-tac - \{True\} = \{False\})
  apply auto
  done
lemma AsmUN:
  (\bigcup Z. \{(P Z, p, Q Z, A Z)\}) \subseteq \Theta
  \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P\ Z)\ (Call\ p)\ (Q\ Z),(A\ Z)
  by (blast intro: hoaret.Asm)
lemma hoaret-to-hoarep':
  \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z) \Longrightarrow \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
  by (iprover intro: total-to-partial)
lemma augment-context':
  \llbracket\Theta\subseteq\Theta';\,\forall\,Z.\,\,\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(P\,Z)\ \ p\,\,(Q\,Z),(A\,Z)\rrbracket
   \Longrightarrow \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P\ Z)\ p\ (Q\ Z),(A\ Z)
  by (iprover intro: hoaret-augment-context)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{augment-emptyFaults}\colon
 \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/\{\}} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
    \forall\,Z.\ \Gamma,\!\{\}\vdash_{t/F}(P\ Z)\ p\ (Q\ Z),\!(A\ Z)
  by (blast intro: augment-Faults)
lemma augment-FaultsUNIV:
 \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
    \forall Z. \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/UNIV} (P Z) \ p \ (Q Z), (A Z)
  by (blast intro: augment-Faults)
lemma PostConjI [trans]:
  \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ R, B \rrbracket \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R), (A \cap B)
  by (rule PostConjI)
lemma PostConjI':
  \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ R, B \rrbracket
```

#### 14.0.1 Rules for Single-Step Proof

We are now ready to introduce a set of Hoare rules to be used in single-step structured proofs in Isabelle/Isar.

Assertions of Hoare Logic may be manipulated in calculational proofs, with the inclusion expressed in terms of sets or predicates. Reversed order is supported as well.

```
lemma annotateI [trans]:
[\![\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ anno \ Q,A; \ c = \ anno]\!] \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (simp)
lemma annotate-normI:
  assumes deriv-anno: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P anno Q,A
  assumes norm-eq: normalize c = normalize anno
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from Hoare TotalProps.NormalizeI [OF deriv-anno] norm-eq
  have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P normalize c \ Q,A
    by simp
  from NormalizeD [OF this]
  show ?thesis.
qed
lemma annotateWhile:
\llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \text{ (while Anno G gs b I V c) } Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \text{ (while gs b c) } Q, A \rrbracket
  by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
lemma reannotate While:
\llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b I V c}) \ \textit{Q,A} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b J V})
```

```
c) Q,A
               by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ reannotate While No Guard:
\llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnno b I V c}) \ \textit{Q,A} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnno b J V c}) \ \textit{Q,A} \rrbracket
                 by (simp add: whileAnno-def)
lemma [trans]: P' \subseteq P \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P' \ c \ Q, A
                   by (rule conseqPre)
lemma [trans]: Q \subseteq Q' \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q', A
                   by (rule conseqPost) blast+
lemma [trans]:
                                 \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P\ s\}\ c\ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c\ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, G \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, G \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, G \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, G \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, G \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, G \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, G \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, G \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s \longrightarrow P\ s \longrightarrow P\ s \longrightarrow P\ s \longrightarrow P \ s 
                   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{conseqPre})\ \mathit{auto}
lemma [trans]:
                                     (\bigwedge s. P' s \xrightarrow{} P s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. P' s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_
                   by (rule conseqPre) auto
lemma [trans]:
                                   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ c\ \{s.\ Q\ s\},A\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ Q\ s\longrightarrow Q'\ s)\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\},A
                   by (rule conseqPost) auto
lemma [trans]:
                                   (\bigwedge s. \ Q \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c 
                   by (rule conseqPost) auto
lemma [intro?]: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P Skip P,A
                 by (rule Skip) auto
lemma CondInt [trans,intro?]:
                     \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \,\cap\, b) \ c1 \ Q,A; \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \,\cap\, -\, b) \ c2 \ Q,A \rrbracket
                         \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \ Q,A
                   by (rule Cond) auto
lemma CondConj [trans, intro?]:
                     \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P\ s\ \wedge\ b\ s\}\ c1\ Q, A;\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P\ s\ \wedge\ \neg\ b\ s\}\ c2\ Q, A \rrbracket
                         \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P\ s\}\ (Cond\ \{s.\ b\ s\}\ c1\ c2)\ Q,A
                   by (rule Cond) auto
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# 15 Auxiliary Definitions/Lemmas to Facilitate Hoare Logic

theory Hoare imports HoarePartial HoareTotal begin

```
syntax
-hoar ep\text{-}empty Faults ::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,
   {\it 'f set, 's assn, ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com, \ 's assn, 's assn} = > bool
   ((3-,-)\vdash (-/(-)/(-,/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoarep-emptyCtx::
[('s,'p,'f)\ body,'f\ set,'s\ assn,('s,'p,'f)\ com,\ 's\ assn,'s\ assn] =>\ bool
   ((3-/\vdash_{\prime/\_}(-/(-)/(-,/-)))[61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoarep\text{-}emptyCtx\text{-}emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn,'s \ assn] => bool
   ((3-/\vdash (-/(-)/(-,/-)) [61,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoarep-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,'f \ set,
    s \ assn, (s, p, f) \ com, \ s \ assn => bool
   ((3-,-/\vdash_{'/\_}(-/(-)/-))[61,60,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoarep-noAbr-emptyFaults::
[(s, p, f) \ body, (s, p) \ quadruple \ set, s \ assn, (s, p, f) \ com, s \ assn] => bool
   ((3-,-)\vdash (-/(-)/(-))) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoarep-emptyCtx-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f)\ body,'f\ set,'s\ assn,('s,'p,'f)\ com,\ 's\ assn] =>\ bool
    ((3\text{-}/\vdash_{'/\text{-}}(\text{-}/\text{(-)}/\text{-}))\ [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoarep-empty Ctx-no Abr-empty Faults::\\
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, \ 's \ assn] => \ bool
   ((3-/\vdash (-/(-)/-)) [61,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,
    sassn,(s,'p,'f) com, sassn,(sassn) => bool
   ((3-,-/\vdash_t (-/(-)/-,/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx::
[(s, p, f) \ body, f \ set, s \ assn, (s, p, f) \ com, s \ assn, s \ assn] => bool
    ((3-/\vdash_{t'/\_} (-/(-)/(-,/-))) [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
```

```
-hoaret-emptyCtx-emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f)\ body,'s\ assn,('s,'p,'f)\ com,\ 's\ assn,'s\ assn] =>\ bool
   ((3-/\vdash_t (-/(-)/-,/-)) [61,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoaret-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f)\ body,'f\ set,\ ('s,'p)\ quadruple\ set,
    's \ assn, ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com, \ 's \ assn] => bool
   ((3\text{-},\text{-}/\vdash_t \prime_{/\text{-}} (\text{-}/\text{ (-)}/\text{ -})) \ [61,60,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoar et-no Abr-empty Faults::\\
[(s, p, f) \ body, (s, p) \ quadruple \ set, s \ assn, (s, p, f) \ com, s \ assn] => bool
   ((3-,-/\vdash_t (-/(-)/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'f \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn] => bool
   ((3-/\vdash_{t'/\_} (-/(-)/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret\text{-}emptyCtx\text{-}noAbr\text{-}emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn] => bool
   ((3-/\vdash_t (-/(-)/-)) [61,1000,20,1000]60)
syntax (ASCII)
-hoarep\text{-}emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,
     's assn, ('s, 'p, 'f) com, 's assn, 's assn] \Rightarrow bool
   ((3-,-/|-(-/(-)/-,/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoarep-emptyCtx::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'f \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn,'s \ assn] => bool
  ((3-/|-'/-(-/(-)/--,/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoarep\text{-}emptyCtx\text{-}emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn,'s \ assn] => bool
   ((3-/|-(-/(-)/(-,/-))) [61,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoarep-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,'f \ set,
   {\it 's\ assn}, ({\it 's,'p,'f})\ com,\ {\it 's\ assn}] => bool
  ((3-,-/|-'/-(-/(-)/-)) [61,60,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoarep-noAbr-emptyFaults::
[(s, p, f) \ body, (s, p) \ quadruple \ set, s \ assn, (s, p, f) \ com, s \ assn] => bool
   ((3-,-/|-(-/(-)/(-)/(-))) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoarep-emptyCtx-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'f \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn] => bool
  ((3-/|-'/-(-/(-)/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
```

```
-hoarep-emptyCtx-noAbr-emptyFaults::\\
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn] => bool
  ((3-/|-(-/(-)/-)) [61,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret\text{-}emptyFault::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,
     sassn,(s,p,f) com, sassn,sassn => bool
  ((3-,-/|-t(-/(-)/(-,/-)))[61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx::
[('s,'p,'f)\ body,'f\ set,'s\ assn,('s,'p,'f)\ com,\ 's\ assn,'s\ assn] => bool
  ((3\text{-}/|-t'/\text{-} (\text{-}/ (\text{-})/ \text{-},/\text{-})) \ [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx-emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f)\ body,'s\ assn,('s,'p,'f)\ com,\ 's\ assn,'s\ assn] =>\ bool
  ((3-/|-t(-/(-)/(-,/-))) [61,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoaret-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f)\ body,('s,'p)\ quadruple\ set,'f\ set,
   's \ assn, ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com, \ 's \ assn] => bool
  ((3-,-/|-t'/-(-/(-)/-)) [61,60,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret-noAbr-emptyFaults::
[(s,p,f) \ body,(s,p) \ quadruple \ set,s \ assn,(s,p,f) \ com, s \ assn] => bool
  ((3-,-/|-t(-/(-)/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'f \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn] => bool
  ((3-/|-t'/-(-/(-)/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx-noAbr-emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn] => bool
  ((3\text{-}/|-t(\text{-}/\text{ (-)}/\text{ -}))\ [61,1000,20,1000]60)
```

#### translations

$$\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash P \ c \ Q, A \ \ == \Gamma \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q, A \\ \Gamma \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \ \ == \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash P \ c \ Q \ \ == \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q \\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q \ \ == \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, \{\} \\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash P \ c \ Q, A \ \ == \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q, A \\ \Gamma \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q \ \ == \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q \\ \Gamma \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q \ \ <= \Gamma \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, \{\} \end{array}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash P \ c \ Q \quad <= \ \Gamma \vdash P \ c \ Q, \{\}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Gamma \vdash_t P \ c \ Q, A & == \Gamma \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q, A \\ \Gamma \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A & == \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_t P \ c \ Q & == \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q \\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q == \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, \{\} \\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_t P \ c \ Q, A & == \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q, A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Gamma \vdash_t P \ c \ Q & == \Gamma \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q \\ \Gamma \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q & == \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q \\ \Gamma \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q & <= \Gamma \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, \{\} \\ \Gamma \vdash_{t} P \ c \ Q & <= \Gamma \vdash_{t} P \ c \ Q, \{\} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma \vdash \ P \ c \ Q \\ \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma \vdash \ P \ c \ Q, A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q \\ \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma,\!\Theta \vdash P \ c \ Q \\ \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma,\!\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash P \ c \ Q, A \\ \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \end{array}$$

term 
$$\Gamma \vdash_t P \ c \ Q$$
  
term  $\Gamma \vdash_t P \ c \ Q, A$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q \\ \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma,\!\Theta \vdash P \ c \ Q \\ \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma,\!\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash P \ c \ Q, A \\ \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \end{array}$$

locale hoare = fixes 
$$\Gamma::('s,'p,'f)$$
 body

```
primrec assoc:: ('a \times 'b) \ list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b
where
assoc \mid x = undefined \mid
assoc\ (p\#ps)\ x=(if\ fst\ p=x\ then\ (snd\ p)\ else\ assoc\ ps\ x)
lemma conjE-simp: (P \land Q \Longrightarrow PROP R) \equiv (P \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow PROP R)
  by rule simp-all
lemma CollectInt-iff: \{s.\ P\ s\} \cap \{s.\ Q\ s\} = \{s.\ P\ s\ \land\ Q\ s\}
  by auto
lemma Compl-Collect:-(Collect\ b) = \{x.\ \neg(b\ x)\}
  \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fastforce}
lemma\ Collect	ext{-}False: \{s.\ False\} = \{\}
  by simp
lemma Collect-True: \{s. True\} = UNIV
  by simp
lemma triv-All-eq: \forall x. P \equiv P
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma triv-Ex-eq: \exists x. P \equiv P
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma Ex-True: \exists b. b
   by blast
lemma Ex-False: \exists b. \neg b
  by blast
definition mex::('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool
  where mex P = Ex P
definition meq::'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
  where meq \ s \ Z = (s = Z)
lemma subset-unI1: A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \cup C
  by blast
lemma subset-unI2: A \subseteq C \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \cup C
lemma split-paired-UN: (\bigcup p. (P p)) = (\bigcup a b. (P (a,b)))
  by auto
lemma in\text{-}insert\text{-}hd: f \in insert f X
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
```

```
lemma lookup-Some-in-dom: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \Longrightarrow p \in dom \ \Gamma
 by auto
lemma unit\text{-}object: (\forall u::unit. P u) = P ()
 by auto
lemma unit\text{-}ex: (\exists u::unit. P u) = P ()
 by auto
lemma unit-meta: (\bigwedge(u::unit). PROP P u) \equiv PROP P ()
 by auto
lemma unit-UN: (\bigcup z::unit. \ P \ z) = P ()
  by auto
lemma subset-singleton-insert1: y = x \Longrightarrow \{y\} \subseteq insert \ x \ A
 by auto
lemma subset-singleton-insert2: \{y\} \subseteq A \Longrightarrow \{y\} \subseteq insert \ x \ A
 by auto
lemma in-Specs-simp: (\forall x \in \bigcup Z. \{(P Z, p, Q Z, A Z)\}. Prop x) =
      (\forall Z. Prop (P Z, p, Q Z, A Z))
 by auto
lemma in-set-Un-simp: (\forall x \in A \cup B. P x) = ((\forall x \in A. P x) \land (\forall x \in B. P x))
lemma split-all-conj: (\forall x. P x \land Q x) = ((\forall x. P x) \land (\forall x. Q x))
 by blast
lemma image-Un-single-simp: f'(\bigcup Z. \{PZ\}) = (\bigcup Z. \{f(PZ)\})
 by auto
lemma measure-lex-prod-def':
 f < mlex > r \equiv (\{(x,y). (x,y) \in measure f \lor fx = fy \land (x,y) \in r\})
 by (auto simp add: mlex-prod-def inv-image-def)
lemma in-measure-iff: (x,y) \in measure f = (f x < f y)
 by (simp add: measure-def inv-image-def)
lemma in-lex-iff:
  ((a,b),(x,y)) \in r < *lex* > s = ((a,x) \in r \lor (a=x \land (b,y) \in s))
  by (simp add: lex-prod-def)
lemma in-mlex-iff:
```

```
(x,y) \in f < *mlex* > r = (f x < f y \lor (f x=f y \land (x,y) \in r))
 by (simp add: measure-lex-prod-def' in-measure-iff)
lemma in-inv-image-iff: (x,y) \in inv-image r f = ((f x, f y) \in r)
 by (simp add: inv-image-def)
This is actually the same as wf-mlex. However, this basic proof took me so
long that I'm not willing to delete it.
lemma wf-measure-lex-prod [simp,intro]:
 assumes wf-r: wf r
 shows wf (f < *mlex *> r)
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume \neg wf (f < *mlex * > r)
  then
 obtain g where \forall i. (g (Suc i), g i) \in f <*mlex*> r
   by (auto simp add: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain)
 hence g: \forall i. (g (Suc i), g i) \in measure f \lor
   f (g (Suc i)) = f (g i) \land (g (Suc i), g i) \in r
   by (simp add: measure-lex-prod-def')
 hence le-g: \forall i. f (g (Suc i)) \leq f (g i)
   by (auto simp add: in-measure-iff order-le-less)
 have wf (measure f)
   by simp
 hence \forall Q. (\exists x. \ x \in Q) \longrightarrow (\exists z \in Q. \ \forall y. \ (y, z) \in measure f \longrightarrow y \notin Q)
   by (simp add: wf-eq-minimal)
 from this [rule\text{-}format, of g 'UNIV]
 have \exists z. z \in range \ g \land (\forall y. (y, z) \in measure \ f \longrightarrow y \notin range \ g)
   by auto
  then obtain z where
   z: z \in range \ g \ \mathbf{and}
   min-z: \forall y. fy < fz \longrightarrow y \notin range g
   by (auto simp add: in-measure-iff)
  from z obtain k where
   k: z = g k
   by auto
 have \forall i. k \leq i \longrightarrow f(g i) = f(g k)
 proof (intro allI impI)
   assume k \leq i then show f(g|i) = f(g|k)
   proof (induct i)
     case \theta
     have k \leq \theta by fact hence k = \theta by simp
     thus f(q \theta) = f(q k)
       by simp
   \mathbf{next}
     case (Suc \ n)
     have k-Suc-n: k \leq Suc \ n by fact
     then show f(g(Suc(n))) = f(g(k))
```

**proof** (cases k = Suc n)

```
\mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
       thus ?thesis by simp
     next
       {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
       with k-Suc-n
       have k \leq n
         by simp
       with Suc.hyps
       have n-k: f(g n) = f(g k) by simp
       from le-g have le: f (g (Suc n)) <math>\leq f (g n)
         \mathbf{by} simp
       show ?thesis
       proof (cases f (g (Suc n)) = f (g n))
         case True with n-k show ?thesis by simp
       next
         case False
         with le have f(g(Suc(n))) < f(g(n))
           by simp
         with n-k k have f (g (Suc n)) < f z
           by simp
         with min-z have g (Suc n) \notin range g
           by blast
         hence False by simp
         thus ?thesis
           by simp
       \mathbf{qed}
     qed
   qed
  qed
  with k [symmetric] have \forall i. k \leq i \longrightarrow f (g i) = f z
  hence \forall i. k \leq i \longrightarrow f (g (Suc i)) = f (g i)
   by simp
  with g have \forall i. k \leq i \longrightarrow (g (Suc i), (g i)) \in r
   by (auto simp add: in-measure-iff order-less-le)
 hence \forall i. (g (Suc (i+k)), (g (i+k))) \in r
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
  then
  have \exists f. \ \forall i. \ (f \ (Suc \ i), f \ i) \in r
   by - (rule \ exI \ [where \ x=\lambda i. \ g \ (i+k)], simp)
  with wf-r show False
   by (simp add: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain)
lemmas all-imp-to-ex = <math>all-simps (5)
lemma all-imp-eq-triv: (\forall x. \ x = k \longrightarrow Q) = Q
                      (\forall x. \ k = x \longrightarrow Q) = Q
```

```
by auto
```

end

## 16 State Space Template

```
theory StateSpace imports Hoare
begin
record 'g state = globals::'g
definition
  upd-globals:: ('g \Rightarrow 'g) \Rightarrow ('g, 'z) state-scheme \Rightarrow ('g, 'z) state-scheme
where
  upd-globals upd s = s(globals := upd (globals s))
\mathbf{record} ('g, 'n, 'val) \mathit{stateSP} = 'g \; \mathit{state} \; + \;
 locals :: 'n \Rightarrow 'val
lemma upd-globals-conv: upd-globals f = (\lambda s. \ s(globals := f \ (globals \ s)))
 by (rule ext) (simp add: upd-globals-def)
end
{\bf theory} \ \ Generalise \ \ {\bf imports} \ \ HOL-State space. Distinct Tree Prover
begin
lemma protectRefl: PROP Pure.prop (PROP C) \Longrightarrow PROP Pure.prop (PROP
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon prop\text{-}def)
lemma protectImp:
assumes i: PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ P \Longrightarrow PROP \ Q)
shows PROP Pure.prop (PROP Pure.prop P \Longrightarrow PROP Pure.prop Q)
proof -
  {
   assume P: PROP Pure.prop P
   from i [unfolded prop-def, OF P [unfolded prop-def]]
   have PROP Pure.prop Q
     by (simp add: prop-def)
 note i' = this
 show PROP ?thesis
   apply (rule protectI)
   apply (rule i')
   apply assumption
```

```
lemma generaliseConj:
  assumes i1: PROP Pure.prop (PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop P) \Longrightarrow PROP
Pure.prop (Trueprop Q))
  assumes i2: PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ Pure.prop \ (Trueprop \ P') \implies PROP
Pure.prop (Trueprop Q'))
  shows PROP Pure.prop (PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop (P \land P')) \Longrightarrow (PROP)
Pure.prop (Trueprop (Q \wedge Q')))
 using i1 i2
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generaliseAll:
assumes i: PROP Pure.prop (\lands. PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop (Ps)) \Longrightarrow PROP
Pure.prop\ (Trueprop\ (Q\ s)))
 shows PROP Pure.prop (PROP \ Pure.prop \ (\forall s. P \ s)) \implies PROP
Pure.prop (Trueprop (\forall s. Q s)))
 using i
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generalise-all:
 assumes i: PROP Pure.prop (\land s. PROP Pure.prop (PROP P s) \Longrightarrow PROP
Pure.prop (PROP Q s))
shows PROP Pure.prop ((PROP Pure.prop (\land s. PROP P s)) \Longrightarrow (PROP Pure.prop)
(\bigwedge s. \ PROP \ Q \ s)))
 using i
 proof (unfold prop-def)
   \mathbf{assume}\ i1 \colon \big \backslash s.\ (PROP\ P\ s) \Longrightarrow (PROP\ Q\ s)
   assume i2: \Lambda s. PROP P s
   show \bigwedge s. PROP Q s
     by (rule i1) (rule i2)
 qed
lemma generaliseTrans:
 assumes i1: PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ P \Longrightarrow PROP \ Q)
 assumes i2: PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ Q \Longrightarrow PROP \ R)
 shows PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ P \Longrightarrow PROP \ R)
 using i1 i2
 proof (unfold prop-def)
   assume P-Q: PROP P \Longrightarrow PROP Q
   assume Q-R: PROP Q \Longrightarrow PROP R
   assume P: PROPP
   show PROP R
     by (rule\ Q-R\ [OF\ P-Q\ [OF\ P]])
 ged
```

done

lemma meta-spec:

qed

```
assumes \bigwedge x. PROP P x
 shows PROP P x by fact
lemma meta-spec-protect:
 assumes q: \Lambda x. PROP P x
 shows PROP Pure.prop (PROP P x)
using q
by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generaliseImp:
 assumes i: PROP\ Pure.prop\ (PROP\ Pure.prop\ (Trueprop\ P) \Longrightarrow PROP\ Pure.prop
  shows PROP Pure.prop (PROP \ Pure.prop \ (Trueprop \ (X \longrightarrow P)) \Longrightarrow PROP
Pure.prop\ (Trueprop\ (X \longrightarrow Q)))
 using i
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generaliseEx:
assumes i: PROP Pure.prop (\lands. PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop (Ps)) \Longrightarrow PROP
Pure.prop\ (Trueprop\ (Q\ s)))
 \mathbf{shows}\ \mathit{PROP}\ \mathit{Pure.prop}\ (\mathit{PROP}\ \mathit{Pure.prop}\ (\mathit{Trueprop}\ (\exists\, s.\ P\ s)) \implies \mathit{PROP}
Pure.prop (Trueprop (\exists s. Q s)))
  using i
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generaliseRefl: PROP Pure.prop (PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop P) \Longrightarrow
PROP\ Pure.prop\ (Trueprop\ P))
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generaliseRefl': PROP Pure.prop (PROP <math>P \Longrightarrow PROP P)
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generaliseAllShift:
 assumes i: PROP Pure.prop (\bigwedge s. P \Longrightarrow Q s)
 shows PROP\ Pure.prop\ (PROP\ Pure.prop\ (Trueprop\ P) \Longrightarrow PROP\ Pure.prop
(Trueprop (\forall s. Q s)))
  using i
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{generalise-allShift}\colon
 assumes i: PROP Pure.prop (\bigwedge s. PROP P \Longrightarrow PROP Q s)
  shows PROP Pure.prop (PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ P) \implies PROP \ Pure.prop
(\bigwedge s. \ PROP \ Q \ s))
 using i
 proof (unfold prop-def)
   assume P-Q: \bigwedge s. PROP P \Longrightarrow PROP Q s
   assume P: PROPP
   show \bigwedge s. PROP Q s
```

```
by (rule P-Q [OF P])
 qed
lemma generaliseImpl:
 assumes i: PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ Pure.prop \ P \Longrightarrow PROP \ Pure.prop \ Q)
  shows PROP \ Pure.prop \ ((PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ X \implies PROP \ P)) \implies
(PROP\ Pure.prop\ (PROP\ X \Longrightarrow PROP\ Q)))
 using i
 proof (unfold prop-def)
   assume i1: PROP P \Longrightarrow PROP Q
   assume i2: PROP X \Longrightarrow PROP P
   assume X: PROP X
   show PROP Q
    by (rule i1 [OF i2 [OF X]])
 qed
ML-file generalise-state.ML
end
17
       Facilitating the Hoare Logic
theory Vcg
imports\ StateSpace\ HOL-Statespace.StateSpaceLocale\ Generalise
\mathbf{keywords} procedures hoarestate :: thy-decl
begin
axiomatization NoBody:('s,'p,'f) com
ML-file hoare.ML
method-setup \ hoare = Hoare.hoare
 raw verification condition generator for Hoare Logic
method-setup hoare-raw = Hoare.hoare-raw
 even more raw verification condition generator for Hoare Logic
method-setup \ vcg = Hoare.vcg
 verification condition generator for Hoare Logic
method-setup \ vcg-step = Hoare.vcg-step
 single\ verification\ condition\ generation\ step\ with\ light\ simplification
method\text{-}setup\ \mathit{hoare-rule} = \mathit{Hoare.hoare-rule}
 apply single hoare rule and solve certain sideconditions
```

Variables of the programming language are represented as components of a record. To avoid cluttering up the namespace of Isabelle with lots of typical variable names, we append a unusual suffix at the end of each name by parsing

```
definition list-multsel:: 'a list \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow 'a list (infix! !! 100)
 where xs !! ns = map (nth xs) ns
definition list-multupd:: 'a list <math>\Rightarrow nat list <math>\Rightarrow 'a list <math>\Rightarrow 'a list
  where list-multupd xs ns ys = foldl (\lambda xs (n,v). xs[n:=v]) xs (zip ns ys)
nonterminal lmupdbinds and lmupdbind
syntax
  — multiple list update
 -lmupdbind:: ['a, 'a] => lmupdbind
                                         ((2-[:=]/-))
  :: lmupdbind => lmupdbinds
  -lmupdbinds :: [lmupdbind, lmupdbinds] => lmupdbinds (-,/-)
  -LMUpdate :: ['a, lmupdbinds] => 'a \quad (-/[(-)] [900,0] 900)
translations
  -LMUpdate \ xs \ (-lmupdbinds \ b \ bs) == -LMUpdate \ (-LMUpdate \ xs \ b) \ bs
 xs[is[:=]ys] == CONST list-multupd xs is ys
         Some Fancy Syntax
reverse application
definition rapp:: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \text{ (infixr } |> 60)
 where rapp x f = f x
nonterminal
  newinit and
  newinits and
  locinit and
  locinits and
  switchcase and
  switchcases and
  grds and
 grd and
  bdy and
  basics and
  basic and
  basic block
notation
  Skip (SKIP) and
  Throw (THROW)
```

```
syntax
  -raise:: 'c \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'f) com
                                              ((RAISE - :==/ -) [30, 30] 23)
  -seq:('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ (-;;/-[20, 21] \ 20)
                                         (-\sqrt{1000}] 1000
  -guarantee
                  :: 's \ set \Rightarrow grd
                                           (-# [1000] 1000)
  -quaranteeStrip:: 's set \Rightarrow qrd
  -grd
                :: 's \ set \Rightarrow grd
                                        (-[1000]\ 1000)
  -last-grd
                :: grd \Rightarrow grds
                                         (-1000)
                :: [\mathit{grd}, \; \mathit{grds}] \Rightarrow \mathit{grds} \; (\text{-,/-} [999,1000] \; 1000)
  -grds
                 :: grds \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com
  -guards
                             ((-/\mapsto -) [60, 21] 23)
               "b" => ('a" => 'b")
  -quote
  -antiquoteCur0 :: ('a => 'b) => 'b
                                                  ('- [1000] 1000)
  -antiquoteCur :: ('a => 'b) => 'b
  -antiquoteOld0 :: ('a => 'b) => 'a => 'b
                                                          ( -[1000,1000] 1000 )
  -antiquoteOld :: ('a => 'b) => 'a => 'b
               :: 'a => 'a \ set
                                            ((\{-\}) [0] 1000)
  -AssertState :: idt \Rightarrow 'a => 'a set
                                             ((\{-, -\}) [1000, 0] 1000)
               "b" => b" => (a, p, f) com ((- :==/ -) [30, 30] 23)
  -Assign
              :: ident \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a,'p,'f) com
                                          (('-:==-/-)[30,1000,30]23)
  -GuardedAssign:: 'b = 'b = ('a, 'p, 'f) \ com \ ((-:==_g/-) [30, 30] 23)
  \textit{-}newinit
                :: [ident,'a] \Rightarrow newinit ((2'-:==/-))
              :: newinit \Rightarrow newinits (-)
  -new in its \\
                :: [newinit, newinits] \Rightarrow newinits (-,/-)
  -New
                :: ['a, 'b, newinits] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'f) com
                                         ((-:==/(2 NEW -/ [-])) [30, 65, 0] 23)
  -GuardedNew :: ['a, 'b, newinits] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'f) com
                                         ((-:==_g/(2 NEW -/ [-])) [30, 65, 0] 23)
  -NNew
                  :: ['a, 'b, newinits] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'f) com
                                         ((-:==/(2 NNEW -/ [-])) [30, 65, 0] 23)
  -GuardedNNew :: ['a, 'b, newinits] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'f) com
                                         ((-:==_q/(2 NNEW -/ [-])) [30, 65, 0] 23)
  -Cond
                "" 'a bexp => ('a,'p,'f) com => ('a,'p,'f) com => ('a,'p,'f) com
       ((0IF (-)/(2THEN/-)/(2ELSE-)/FI) [0, 0, 0] 71)
  -Cond-no-else:: 'a bexp => ('a,'p,'f) com => ('a,'p,'f) com
       ((0IF (-)/(2THEN/-)/FI) [0, 0] 71)
  -Guarded Cond: 'a bexp => ('a,'p,'f) com => ('a,'p,'f) com => ('a,'p,'f) com
       ((0IF_g (-)/(2THEN -)/(2ELSE -)/FI) [0, 0, 0] 71)
  -GuardedCond-no-else:: 'a bexp => ('a,'p,'f) com => ('a,'p,'f) com
       ((0IF_g (-)/(2THEN -)/FI) [0, 0] 71)
  -While-inv-var :: 'a bexp => 'a assn \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow bdy
                        \Rightarrow ('a,'p,'f) com
       ((0WHILE (-)/ INV (-)/ VAR (-) /-) [25, 0, 0, 81] 71)
  -WhileFix-inv-var :: 'a bexp => pttrn \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a assn) \Rightarrow
                          ('z \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set) \Rightarrow bdy
                        \Rightarrow ('a,'p,'f) com
       ((0WHILE (-)/ FIX -./ INV (-)/ VAR (-) /-) [25, 0, 0, 0, 81] 71)
  -WhileFix-inv :: 'a bexp => pttrn \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a assn) \Rightarrow bdy
```

```
\Rightarrow ('a,'p,'f) com
        ((0WHILE (-)/ FIX -./ INV (-) /-) [25, 0, 0, 81] 71)
  -GuardedWhileFix-inv-var :: 'a bexp => pttrn \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a assn) \Rightarrow
                            ('z \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set) \Rightarrow bdy
                          \Rightarrow ('a, 'p, 'f) com
        ((0WHILE_q (-)/FIX -./INV (-)/VAR (-)/-) [25, 0, 0, 0, 81] 71)
  -Guarded While Fix-inv-var-hook :: 'a bexp \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a assn) \Rightarrow
                            ('z \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set) \Rightarrow bdy
                          \Rightarrow ('a, 'p, 'f) \ com
  -Guarded While Fix-inv :: 'a bexp => pttrn \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a assn) \Rightarrow bdy
                          \Rightarrow ('a,'p,'f) com
        ((0WHILE_q (-)/FIX -./INV (-)/-) [25, 0, 0, 81] 71)
  -Guarded While-inv-var::
       'a\ bexp => 'a\ assn \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a)\ set \Rightarrow bdy \Rightarrow ('a,'p,'f)\ com
        ((0WHILE_g (-)/INV (-)/VAR (-)/-) [25, 0, 0, 81] 71)
  -While-inv :: 'a bexp => 'a assn => bdy => ('a,'p,'f) com
        ((0WHILE (-)/ INV (-) /-) [25, 0, 81] 71)
  -GuardedWhile-inv :: 'a \ bexp => 'a \ assn => ('a,'p,'f) \ com => ('a,'p,'f) \ com
        ((0WHILE_g (-)/INV (-)/-) [25, 0, 81] 71)
                 :: 'a \ bexp => bdy => ('a, 'p, 'f) \ com
  - While
        ((0WHILE (-) /-) [25, 81] 71)
  -Guarded While
                          :: 'a \ bexp => bdy => ('a,'p,'f) \ com
        ((0WHILE_g (-) /-) [25, 81] 71)
  -While-guard
                        :: grds => 'a bexp => bdy => ('a,'p,'f) com
        ((0WHILE (-/\longmapsto (1-)) /-) [1000,25,81] 71)
  -While-guard-inv:: grds \Rightarrow 'a \ bexp \Rightarrow 'a \ assn \Rightarrow bdy \Rightarrow ('a,'p,'f) \ com
        ((0WHILE (-/\longmapsto (1-)) INV (-) /-) [1000,25,0,81] 71)
  -While-guard-inv-var:: grds \Rightarrow 'a \ bexp \Rightarrow 'a \ assn \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set
                              \Rightarrow bdy \Rightarrow ('a,'p,'f) com
        ((0WHILE (-/\mapsto (1-)) INV (-)/ VAR (-)/-) [1000,25,0,0,81] 71)
   -WhileFix-guard-inv-var:: grds \Rightarrow 'a \ bexp \Rightarrow pttrn \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a \ assn) \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a)
set
                              \Rightarrow bdy \Rightarrow ('a, 'p, 'f) \ com
        ((0WHILE (-/\longmapsto (1-)) FIX -./ INV (-)/ VAR (-)/-) [1000,25,0,0,0,81]
71)
  -WhileFix-guard-inv:: grds \Rightarrow 'a \ bexp \Rightarrow pttrn \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a \ assn)
                              \Rightarrow bdy \Rightarrow ('a, 'p, 'f) \ com
        ((0WHILE (-/\mapsto (1-)) FIX -./ INV (-)/-) [1000,25,0,0,81] 71)
  -Try-Catch:: ('a,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('a,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('a,'p,'f) com
        ((0TRY (-)/(2CATCH -)/END) [0,0] 71)
  -DoPre :: ('a,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('a,'p,'f) com
  -Do :: ('a,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow bdy \ ((2DO/(-))/OD \ [0] \ 1000)
  -Lab:: 'a bexp \Rightarrow ('a,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow bdy
            (-\cdot/-[1000,71] 81)
  :: bdy \Rightarrow ('a, 'p, 'f) \ com \ (-)
  -Spec:: pttrn \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com
```

```
((ANNO - . -/ (-)/ -,/-) [0,1000,20,1000,1000] 60)
  -SpecNoAbrupt:: pttrn \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow \ ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow \ ('s,'p,'f) \ com
           ((ANNO - . -/ (-)/ -) [0,1000,20,1000] 60)
  -LemAnno:: 'n \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com
              ((0 \ LEMMA \ (-)/ \ - \ END) \ [1000,0] \ 71)
  -locnoinit
                :: ident \Rightarrow locinit
                                                    ((2' - :==/-))
                :: [ident,'a] \Rightarrow locinit
  -locinit
              :: locinit \Rightarrow locinits
               :: [locinit, locinits] \Rightarrow locinits (-,/-)
  -locinits
  -Loc:: [locinits, ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com] \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com
                                        ((2 LOC -;;/ (-) COL) [0,0] 71)
  -Switch:: ('s \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow switchcases \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com
              ((0 \ SWITCH \ (-)/ \ - \ END) \ [22,0] \ 71)
  -switchcase:: 'v set \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow switchcase (-\Rightarrow/ -)
  -switchcasesSingle :: switchcase \Rightarrow switchcases (-)
  -switchcasesCons::switchcase \Rightarrow switchcases \Rightarrow switchcases
                      (-/ | -)
  -Basic:: basicblock \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ ((0BASIC/\ (-)/\ END)\ [22]\ 71)
  -BasicBlock:: basics \Rightarrow basicblock (-)
  -BAssign :: 'b => 'b => basic ((-:==/-)[30, 30] 23)
            :: basic \Rightarrow basics
                                             (-)
  -basics :: [basic, basics] \Rightarrow basics (-,/-)
syntax (ASCII)
  -Assert
                :: 'a => 'a set
                                             ((\{|-|\}) [0] 1000)
  -AssertState :: idt \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \quad ((\{|-, -|\}) [1000, 0] 1000)
                    :: grds =  'a bexp =  bdy \Rightarrow ('a, 'p, 'f) com
       ((0WHILE (-|->/-)/-) [0,0,1000] 71)
  -While-guard-inv:: grds \Rightarrow 'a \ bexp \Rightarrow 'a \ assn \Rightarrow bdy \Rightarrow ('a, 'p, 'f) \ com
       ((0WHILE (-|->/-)INV (-)/-)[0,0,0,1000] 71)
  -guards :: grds \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com \ ((-|->-) \ [60, 21] \ 23)
syntax (output)
  -hidden-grds
                      :: grds (...)
translations
  -Do c => c
  b \cdot c = > CONST \ condCatch \ c \ b \ SKIP
  b \cdot (-DoPre\ c) <= CONST\ condCatch\ c\ b\ SKIP
  l \cdot (CONST \ whileAnnoG \ gs \ b \ I \ V \ c) <= l \cdot (-DoPre \ (CONST \ whileAnnoG \ gs \ b \ I)
V(c)
  l \cdot (CONST \ whileAnno \ b \ I \ V \ c) <= l \cdot (-DoPre \ (CONST \ whileAnno \ b \ I \ V \ c))
  CONST\ condCatch\ c\ b\ SKIP <= (-DoPre\ (CONST\ condCatch\ c\ b\ SKIP))
  -Do\ c <= -DoPre\ c
  c;; d == CONST Seq c d
  -guarantee g => (CONST\ True,\ g)
  -guaranteeStrip\ g == CONST\ guaranteeStripPair\ (CONST\ True)\ g
  -grd\ g => (CONST\ False,\ g)
  -grds \ g \ gs => g\#gs
```

```
-last-grd g => [g]
  -guards gs\ c == CONST\ guards\ gs\ c
 \{|s. P|\}
                          ==\{|-antiquoteCur(op = s) \land P|\}
  \{|b|\}
                       => CONST\ Collect\ (-quote\ b)
  IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2 FI \Longrightarrow CONST Cond \{|b|\} c1 c2
  IF b THEN c1 FI
                         == IF b THEN c1 ELSE SKIP FI
                            == IF_q b THEN c1 ELSE SKIP FI
  IF_q b THEN c1 FI
                                  => CONST \ whileAnno \ \{|b|\} \ I \ V \ c
  -While-inv-var b I V c
  -While-inv-var b I V (-DoPre c) \langle CONST | WhileAnno \{|b|\} | I | V | C
  -While-inv b I c
                                 == -While-inv-var b I (CONST undefined) c
  -While b c
                                == -While-inv \ b \ \{|CONST \ undefined|\} \ c
                                           => CONST \ whileAnnoG \ gs \ \{|b|\} \ I \ V \ c
  -While-quard-inv-var qs b I V c
 -While-quard-inv qs b I c
                             == -While-quard-inv-var qs b I (CONST undefined)
                                 == -While-guard-inv gs b {| CONST undefined|} c
  -While-guard gs b c
  -GuardedWhile-inv\ b\ I\ c\ ==\ -GuardedWhile-inv-var\ b\ I\ (CONST\ undefined)\ c
  -GuardedWhile\ b\ c
                          == -GuardedWhile-inv b \{|CONST undefined|\} c
                                 == CONST \ Catch \ c1 \ c2
  TRY c1 CATCH c2 END
  ANNO s. P c Q,A => CONST specAnno (\lambda s. P) (\lambda s. c) (\lambda s. Q) (\lambda s. A)
  ANNO\ s.\ P\ c\ Q == ANNO\ s.\ P\ c\ Q,\{\}
 -While Fix-inv-var b z I V c = CONST while Anno Fix \{|b|\} (\lambda z. I) (\lambda z. V) (\lambda z.
  -WhileFix-inv-var b \ z \ I \ V \ (-DoPre \ c) <= -WhileFix-inv-var \ \{|b|\} \ z \ I \ V \ c
  -WhileFix-inv b z I c == -WhileFix-inv-var b z I (CONST undefined) c
  -GuardedWhileFix-inv b z I c == -GuardedWhileFix-inv-var b z I (CONST un-
defined) c
  -Guarded\,WhileFix-inv-var\,\,b\,\,z\,\,I\,\,V\,\,c =>
                     -GuardedWhileFix-inv-var-hook \{|b|\}\ (\lambda z.\ I)\ (\lambda z.\ V)\ (\lambda z.\ c)
  -WhileFix-guard-inv-var gs b z I V c = >
                                  CONST while Anno GF ix gs \{|b|\}\ (\lambda z.\ I)\ (\lambda z.\ V)
(\lambda z. c)
  -While Fix-guard-inv-var gs b z I V (-DoPre c) <=
                                -WhileFix-guard-inv-var gs \{|b|\} z I V c
  -WhileFix-guard-inv gs b z I c == -WhileFix-guard-inv-var gs b z I (CONST
undefined) c
  LEMMA \ x \ c \ END == CONST \ lem \ x \ c
translations
(-switchcase\ V\ c) => (V,c)
(-switchcasesSingle\ b) => [b]
```

```
(-switchcasesCons\ b\ bs) => CONST\ Cons\ b\ bs
 (-Switch\ v\ vs) => CONST\ switch\ (-quote\ v)\ vs
parse-ast-translation (
  let
   fun \ tr \ c \ asts = Ast.mk-appl \ (Ast.Constant \ c) \ (map \ Ast.strip-positions \ asts)
  [(@{syntax-const - antiquoteCur0}), K (tr @{syntax-const - antiquoteCur})),
   (@{syntax-const - antiquoteOld0}, K (tr @{syntax-const - antiquoteOld}))]
  end
>
\textbf{print-ast-translation} \ \ \\
  let
   fun \ tr \ c \ asts = Ast.mk-appl \ (Ast.Constant \ c) \ asts
  [(@{syntax-const - antiquoteCur}, K (tr @{syntax-const - antiquoteCur0})),
   (@{syntax-const - antiquoteOld}, K (tr @{syntax-const - antiquoteOldO}))]
print-ast-translation (
   fun dest-abs (Ast.Appl [Ast.Constant @\{syntax-const -abs\}, x, t]) = (x, t)
     | dest-abs - = raise Match;
   fun\ spec-tr'[P,\ c,\ Q,\ A] =
       val(x',P') = dest-abs P;
       val(-,c') = dest-abs c;
       val(-,Q') = dest-abs(Q;
       val(-,A') = dest-abs A;
       if (A' = Ast.Constant @\{const-syntax bot\})
       then Ast.mk-appl (Ast.Constant @\{syntax-const - SpecNoAbrupt\}) [x', P',
c', Q'
       else Ast.mk-appl (Ast.Constant @{syntax-const -Spec}) [x', P', c', Q', A']
   fun\ while AnnoFix-tr'[b, I, V, c] =
     let
       val(x',I') = dest-abs I;
       val(-, V') = dest-abs(V);
       val(-,c') = dest-abs(c);
        Ast.mk-appl (Ast.Constant @\{syntax-const - WhileFix-inv-var\}) [b, x', I',
V', c'
     end;
 in
  [(@\{const\text{-}syntax\ specAnno\},\ K\ spec\text{-}tr'),
   (@{const-syntax whileAnnoFix}, K whileAnnoFix-tr')]
```

```
end
syntax
  -faccess :: 'ref \Rightarrow ('ref \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow 'v
   (-\to -[65,1000]\ 100)
syntax (ASCII)
  -faccess :: 'ref \Rightarrow ('ref \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow 'v
   (-->-[65,1000]\ 100)
translations
 p \rightarrow f
              => f p
 g \rightarrow (-antiquoteCur f) <= -antiquoteCur f g
nonterminal par and pars and actuals
syntax
  -par :: 'a \Rightarrow par
      :: par \Rightarrow pars
  -pars :: [par, pars] \Rightarrow pars
  -actuals :: pars \Rightarrow actuals
  -actuals-empty :: actuals
syntax -Call :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f)\ com)\ (CALL -- [1000,1000]\ 21)
       -GuardedCall :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f)\ com)\ (CALL_q - [1000,1000]
21)
       -CallAss:: 'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f) com)
             (- :== CALL -- [30,1000,1000] 21)
       -Proc :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a, string, 'f) \ com) \ (PROC -- 21)
       -ProcAss:: 'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f)\ com)
              (-:==PROC - [30,1000,1000] 21)
       \textit{-GuardedCallAss}:: \ 'a \ \Rightarrow \ 'p \ \Rightarrow \ actuals \ \Rightarrow \ ((\ 'a,string,'\!f) \ com)
              (-:==CALL_g - [30,1000,1000] 21)
       -DynCall :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a, string, 'f') com) (DYNCALL -- [1000, 1000])
21)
         -GuardedDynCall :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f) \ com) \ (DYNCALL_g --
[1000, 1000] 21)
```

-DynCallAss::  $'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f) \ com)$  $(-:== DYNCALL -- [30,1000,1000] \ 21)$ 

-Bind::  $['s \Rightarrow 'v, idt, 'v \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com] \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com (-\gg -./ - [22,1000,21] 21)$ 

 $(-:==DYNCALL_q -- [30,1000,1000] 21)$ 

-GuardedDynCallAss::  $'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f)\ com)$ 

```
-bseq:('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com
         (-\gg/-[22, 21] 21)
      -FCall :: ['p,actuals,idt,(('a,string,'f)\ com)] \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f)\ com)
                   (CALL -- \gg -./ - [1000, 1000, 1000, 21] 21)
translations
-Bind e i c == CONST bind (-quote e) (\lambda i. c)
-FCall p acts i c == -FCall p acts (\lambda i. c)
-bseq\ c\ d == CONST\ bseq\ c\ d
nonterminal modifyargs
syntax
  -may-modify :: ['a,'a,modifyargs] \Rightarrow bool
       (- may'-only'-modify'-globals - in [-] [100,100,0] 100)
  -may-not-modify :: ['a,'a] \Rightarrow bool
       (- may'-not'-modify'-globals - [100,100] 100)
  -may-modify-empty :: ['a, 'a] \Rightarrow bool
       (- may'-only'-modify'-globals - in [] [100,100] 100)
  -modifyargs :: [id, modifyargs] \Rightarrow modifyargs (-,/-)
            :: id => modifyargs
translations
s may-only-modify-globals Z in [] => s may-not-modify-globals Z
definition Let':: ['a, 'a => 'b] => 'b
 where Let' = Let
ML-file hoare-syntax.ML
parse-translation (
   val\ argsC = @\{syntax-const - modifyargs\};
   val\ globalsN = globals;
   val\ ex = @\{const\text{-}syntax\ mex\};
   val\ eq = @\{const\text{-}syntax\ meq\};
   val \ varn = Hoare.varname;
```

 $fun\ extract-args\ (Const\ (argsC, -)\$Free\ (n, -)\$t) = varn\ n::extract-args\ t$ 

= raise TERM (extract-args, [t])

| extract-args (Free (n,-)) = [varn n]

 $fun\ idx\ []\ y = error\ idx:\ element\ not\ in\ list$ 

| extract-args t

let

```
idx (x::xs) y = if x=y then 0 else (idx xs y)+1
   fun\ gen-update\ ctxt\ names\ (name,t) =
      Hoare-Syntax.update-comp ctxt [] false true name (Bound (idx names name))
   fun\ gen-updates\ ctxt\ names\ t=Library.foldr\ (gen-update\ ctxt\ names)\ (names,t)
   fun\ gen-ex\ (name,t) = Syntax.const\ ex\ \$\ Abs\ (name,dummyT,t)
   fun\ gen-exs\ names\ t=Library.foldr\ gen-ex\ (names,t)
   fun \ tr \ ctxt \ s \ Z \ names =
     let val upds = qen-updates ctxt (rev names) (Syntax.free qlobalsN\$Z);
         val\ eq\ = Syntax.const\ eq\ \$\ (Syntax.free\ globalsN\$s)\ \$\ upds;
     in gen-exs names eq end;
   fun may-modify-tr ctxt [s, Z, names] = tr ctxt s Z
                                       (sort-strings (extract-args names))
   fun may-not-modify-tr ctxt [s,Z] = tr ctxt s Z []
  [(@{syntax-const - may-modify}, may-modify-tr),
   (@{syntax-const - may-not-modify}, may-not-modify-tr)]
  end;
print-translation (
 let
   val\ argsC = @\{syntax-const - modifyargs\};
   val\ chop = Hoare.chopsfx\ Hoare.deco;
   fun\ get-state (-\$-\$\ t) = get-state t\ (*for\ record-updates*)
     | qet\text{-state } (-\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ t) = qet\text{-state } t \ (* for statespace - updates *)
       get-state (globals\$(s \ as \ Const \ (@\{syntax-const \ -free\}, -) \$ \ Free \ -)) = s
       get-state (globals\$(s \ as \ Const \ (@\{syntax-const \ -bound\}, -) \$ \ Free \ -)) = s
       get-state (globals\$(s \ as \ Const \ (@\{syntax-const \ -var\}, -) \$ \ Var \ -)) = s
       get\text{-}state\ (globals\$(s\ as\ Const\ \text{-})) = s
       get-state (globals\$(s \ as \ Free \ -)) = s
       get-state (globals\$(s \ as \ Bound \ -)) = s
     get-state t
                              = raise Match;
   fun \ mk-args [n] = Syntax.free \ (chop \ n)
     |\ mk\text{-}args\ (n::ns)| = Syntax.const\ argsC\ \$\ Syntax.free\ (chop\ n)\ \$\ mk\text{-}args\ ns
                      = raise Match;
     |mk-args -
   fun\ tr'\ names\ (Abs\ (n,-,t)) = tr'\ (n::names)\ t
```

```
|tr'| names (Const (@\{const-syntax mex\}, -) \$ t) = tr' names t
     |tr'| names (Const (@\{const-syntax meq\},-) \$ (globals\$s) \$ upd) =
          let \ val \ Z = get\text{-}state \ upd;
          in (case names of
               | > Syntax.const @\{syntax-const -may-not-modify\}  $ $ Z
            \mid xs = > Syntax.const @\{syntax-const -may-modify\} \$ s \$ Z \$ mk-args
(rev\ names))
          end:
   fun may-modify-tr'[t] = tr'[]t
  fun\ may-not-modify-tr'[-\$s,-\$Z] = Syntax.const\ @\{syntax-const-may-not-modify\}
s s Z
 in
   [(@\{const\text{-}syntax\ mex\},\ K\ may\text{-}modify\text{-}tr'),
    (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ meg\},\ K\ may\text{-}not\text{-}modify\text{-}tr')]
 end;
parse-translation (
[(@{syntax-const - antiquoteCur}],
   K \ (Hoare-Syntax.antiquote-varname-tr \ @\{syntax-const \ -antiquoteCur\}))]
parse-translation (
[(@{syntax-const - antiquoteOld}, Hoare-Syntax.antiquoteOld-tr),
 (@{syntax-const -Call}, Hoare-Syntax.call-tr false false),
 (@{syntax-const -FCall}, Hoare-Syntax.fcall-tr),
 (@{syntax-const -CallAss}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ass-tr false false),
 (@{syntax-const -GuardedCall}, Hoare-Syntax.call-tr false true),
 (@{syntax-const -GuardedCallAss}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ass-tr false true),
 (@{syntax-const -Proc}, Hoare-Syntax.proc-tr),
 (@{syntax-const - ProcAss}, Hoare-Syntax.proc-ass-tr),
 (@{syntax-const -DynCall}, Hoare-Syntax.call-tr true false),
 (@{syntax-const - DynCallAss}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ass-tr true false),
 (@{syntax-const - GuardedDynCall}, Hoare-Syntax.call-tr true true),
 (@{syntax-const - GuardedDynCallAss}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ass-tr true true),
 (@\{syntax-const - BasicBlock\}, Hoare-Syntax.basic-assigns-tr)]
```

```
parse-translation (
  fun\ quote-tr\ ctxt\ [t] = Hoare-Syntax.quote-tr\ ctxt\ @\{syntax-const\ -antiquoteCur\}
     | quote-tr\ ctxt\ ts = raise\ TERM\ (quote-tr,\ ts);
 in [(@{syntax-const -quote}, quote-tr)] end
parse-translation (
[(@{syntax-const - Assign}), Hoare-Syntax.assign-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - raise}, Hoare-Syntax.raise-tr),
  (@{syntax-const -New}, Hoare-Syntax.new-tr),
  (@{syntax-const -NNew}, Hoare-Syntax.nnew-tr),
  (@{syntax-const -GuardedAssign}, Hoare-Syntax.quarded-Assign-tr),
  (@{syntax-const -GuardedNew}, Hoare-Syntax.guarded-New-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - GuardedNNew}, Hoare-Syntax.guarded-NNew-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - Guarded While-inv-var}, Hoare-Syntax.guarded-While-tr),
 (@\{syntax-const - GuardedWhileFix-inv-var-hook\}, Hoare-Syntax.guarded-WhileFix-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - GuardedCond}), Hoare-Syntax.guarded-Cond-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - Basic}, Hoare-Syntax.basic-tr)]
parse-translation (
[(@{syntax-const -Init}, Hoare-Syntax.init-tr),
 (@{syntax-const -Loc}, Hoare-Syntax.loc-tr)]
print-translation (
[(@\{const\text{-}syntax\ Basic\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.assign\text{-}tr'),
  (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ raise\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.raise\text{-}tr'),
  (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ Basic\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.new\text{-}tr'),
  (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ Basic\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.init\text{-}tr'),
  (@{const-syntax Spec}, Hoare-Syntax.nnew-tr'),
  (@{const-syntax block}, Hoare-Syntax.loc-tr'),
  (@{const-syntax Collect}, Hoare-Syntax.assert-tr'),
  (@{const-syntax Cond}, Hoare-Syntax.bexp-tr'-Cond),
  (@{const-syntax switch}, Hoare-Syntax.switch-tr'),
  (@{const-syntax Basic}, Hoare-Syntax.basic-tr'),
  (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ guards\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.guards\text{-}tr'),
  (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ whileAnnoG\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.whileAnnoG\text{-}tr'),
  (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ whileAnnoGFix\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.whileAnnoGFix\text{-}tr'),
  (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ bind\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.bind\text{-}tr')]
```

### $\textbf{print-translation} \ \ \langle$

```
fun spec-tr' ctxt ((coll as Const -)$
                ((splt\ as\ Const\ -)\ \$\ (t\ as\ (Abs\ (s,T,p))))::ts) =
         let
          fun\ selector\ (Const\ (c,\ T)) = Hoare.is-state-var\ c
            | selector (Const (@{syntax-const -free}, -) $ (Free (c, T))) =
                Hoare.is-state-var c
            | selector - = false;
         in
          if\ Hoare-Syntax.antiquote-applied-only-to\ selector\ p\ then
            Syntax.const @\{const-syntax Spec\} \$ coll \$
              (splt $ Hoare-Syntax.quote-mult-tr' ctxt selector
                      Hoare	ext{-}Syntax.antiquoteCur\ Hoare	ext{-}Syntax.antiquoteOld\ (Abs
(s,T,t)))
            else raise Match
     | spec-tr' - ts = raise Match
 in [(@{const-syntax Spec}, spec-tr')] end
syntax
-Measure:: ('a \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set
     (MEASURE - [22] 1)
-Mlex:: ('a \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set
     (infixr <*MLEX*> 30)
translations
                     => (CONST\ measure)\ (-quote\ f)
MEASURE f
                       => (-quote f) <*mlex*> r
f < *MLEX * > r
print-translation (
   fun\ selector\ (Const\ (c,T)) = Hoare.is-state-var\ c
     \mid selector - = false;
   fun\ measure-tr'\ ctxt\ ((t\ as\ (Abs\ (-,-,p)))::ts)=
         if Hoare-Syntax.antiquote-applied-only-to selector p
      then Hoare-Syntax.app-quote-tr' ctxt (Syntax.const @{syntax-const -Measure})
(t::ts)
         else raise Match
     \mid measure-tr' - - = raise\ Match
   fun\ mlex-tr'\ ctxt\ ((t\ as\ (Abs\ (-,-,p)))::r::ts) =
         if Hoare-Syntax.antiquote-applied-only-to selector p
       then Hoare-Syntax.app-quote-tr'ctxt (Syntax.const @{syntax-const -Mlex})
(t::r::ts)
```

```
else raise Match
     | mlex-tr' - - = raise Match
  [(@{const-syntax measure}, measure-tr'),
   (@{const-syntax mlex-prod}, mlex-tr')]
  end
print-translation (
 [(@\{const\text{-}syntax\ call\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.call\text{-}tr'),
  (@{const-syntax dynCall}, Hoare-Syntax.dyn-call-tr'),
  (@{const-syntax fcall}, Hoare-Syntax.fcall-tr'),
  (@{const-syntax Call}, Hoare-Syntax.proc-tr')]
end
theory TimSortProc
 \mathbf{imports} \; ../Simpl/\mathit{Vcg} \; \mathit{Main} \; {}^{\sim \sim}/\mathit{src}/\mathit{HOL}/\mathit{Library}/\mathit{Code}\text{-}\mathit{Target-Numeral} \; \mathit{TimSortLemma}
begin
hoarestate globals-var =
 stack	ext{-}size:: nat
 run-base :: nat\ list
 run-len :: nat\ list
 \mathit{stack}\text{-}\mathit{len} :: \mathit{nat}
 a :: int list
 global-min-gallop :: nat
procedures (imports globals-var)
gallop\text{-}left(key::int,array::int\ list,base::nat,len::nat,hint::nat|ret::nat)
where last\text{-}ofs::nat\ ofs::nat\ max\text{-}ofs::nat\ tmp\text{-}gallop::nat\ mid::nat\ in
'last-ofs:==0;;
'ofs :== 1;;
IF 'key>'array!('base+'hint)
THEN
 `max-ofs :== `len - `hint;;
 WHILE ('ofs < 'max-ofs & 'key > 'array!('base+'hint+'ofs))
  'last-ofs :== 'ofs;;
  ofs :== ofs + ofs + 1
 OD;;
 IF \ 'ofs > 'max-ofs \ THEN \ 'ofs :== 'max-ofs \ FI ;;
 'last-ofs :== 'last-ofs + 'hint+1;;
 'ofs :== 'ofs + 'hint
ELSE
```

```
'max-ofs :== 'hint + 1;;
 \textit{WHILE ('ofs < 'max-ofs \& 'key \leq 'array!('base+'hint-'ofs))}
DO
 'last-ofs :== 'ofs;;
 ofs :== ofs + ofs + 1
 OD;;
\mathit{IF} 'ofs > 'max-ofs \mathit{THEN} 'ofs :== 'max-ofs \mathit{FI} ;;
 'tmp-gallop :== 'last-ofs;;
'last-ofs :== 'hint+1 - 'ofs;;
 \acute{ofs} :== \lq hint - \lq tmp\text{-}gallop
FI;
WHILE ('last-ofs < 'ofs)
DO
 'mid :== ('ofs + 'last-ofs)div 2;;
IF ('key > 'array!('base+'mid))
THEN
 'last-ofs :== 'mid+1
ELSE
  'ofs :== 'mid
FI
OD;;
'ret :== 'ofs
procedures (imports globals-var)
gallop\text{-}right(key::int,array::int\ list,base::nat,len::nat,hint::nat|ret::nat)
where last-ofs::nat ofs::nat max-ofs::nat tmp-gallop::nat mid::nat in
(* 'stack-len :== 'stack-len;; unnecessary but the spec need globals be modified *)
'last-ofs:==0;;
ofs :== 1;
IF 'key<'array!('base+'hint)</pre>
THEN
 'max-ofs :== 'hint + 1;;
 WHILE ('ofs < 'max-ofs & 'key < 'array!('base+'hint-'ofs))
 'last-ofs :== 'ofs;;
 ofs :== ofs + ofs + 1
 OD;;
IF \ 'ofs > 'max-ofs \ THEN \ 'ofs :== 'max-ofs \ FI ;;
 'tmp\text{-}gallop :== 'last\text{-}ofs;;
 'last-ofs :== 'hint+1 - 'ofs;;
 ofs :== 'hint - 'tmp-gallop'
ELSE
 'max-ofs :== 'len - 'hint;;
 WHILE ('ofs < 'max-ofs & 'key \geq 'array!('base+'hint+'ofs))
  'last-ofs :== 'ofs;;
  'ofs :== 'ofs+'ofs+1
```

```
IF \ 'ofs > 'max-ofs \ THEN \ 'ofs :== 'max-ofs \ FI ;;
  'last-ofs :== 'last-ofs + 'hint+1;;
  ofs :== ofs + int
FI::
 WHILE ('last-ofs < 'ofs)
DO
   'mid :== ('ofs + 'last-ofs)div 2;;
  IF ('key < 'array!('base+'mid))</pre>
  THEN
    'ofs :== 'mid
  ELSE
     'last-ofs :== 'mid+1
  FI
OD;;
 'ret :== 'ofs
value replicate (3::nat) (4::nat)
value list-copy [1,2,3::int] 6 [1,2,3::int] 1 2
procedures (imports globals-var)
merge-lo (base1::nat, len1::nat, base2::nat, len2::nat)
where tmp::int list cursor1::nat cursor2::nat dest::nat
min-gallop::nat count1::nat count2::nat in
TRY
     'tmp :== replicate 'len1 (0::int);;
    'tmp :== list\text{-}copy 'tmp (0::nat) 'a 'base1 'len1;;
    `cursor1 :== 0;;
     `cursor2 :== `base2;;
      'dest :== 'base1;;
     'a!'dest :== 'a!'cursor2;;
     'dest :== 'dest+1;;
     `cursor2 :== `cursor2+1;;
    'len2 :== 'len2-1;;
     'min-gallop :== 'global-min-gallop;;(* need to add min gallop to globals var *)
    IF 'len2=0 THEN 'a :== list-copy 'a 'dest 'tmp 'cursor1 'len1;; THROW
FI;;
   IF 'len1=1 \ THEN 'a :== list-copy 'a 'dest 'a 'cursor2 'len2;; 'a!('dest+'len2):== list-copy 'a 'dest 'a 'len2; 'len2]:= list-copy 'a 'dest 'a 'len2]:= list-copy 'a 'len2]:= lis
 'tmp!'cursor1;;THROW FI;;
    TRY
         WHILE\ True
         DO
              count1 :== 0;
             count2 :== 0;
             \textit{WHILE} \ (\textit{`count1} < \textit{`min-gallop \& `count2} < \textit{`min-gallop)}
                IF 'a!'cursor2 < 'tmp!'cursor1
```

```
THEN
   a!'dest :== a!'cursor2;;
   'dest :== 'dest+1;;
   `cursor2 :== `cursor2+1;;
   count2 :== count2+1:
   count1 :== 0;
   'len2 :== 'len2-1;;
   \mathit{IF} \mathit{`len2} = \mathit{0} \mathit{THEN} \mathit{THROW} \mathit{FI}
 ELSE
   a!'dest :== 'tmp!'cursor1;;
   'dest :== 'dest+1;;
   cursor1 :== cursor1 + 1;
   count1 :== count1+1;;
   'count2 :== 0;;
   'len1 :== 'len1-1;;
   \mathit{IF} '\mathit{len1} = 1 THEN THROW \mathit{FI}
OD;;
WHILE \ (\'count1 \ge \'global-min-gallop \mid \'count2 \ge \'global-min-gallop)
 `count1 :== CALL \ gallop-right(`a!`cursor2, `tmp, `cursor1, `len1, 0);;
 IF \ 'count1 \neq 0
 THEN
   'a :== list-copy 'a 'dest 'tmp 'cursor1 'count1;;
   'dest :== 'dest + 'count1;;
   `cursor1 :== `cursor1 + `count1;;
   'len1 :== 'len1 - 'count1;;
   IF 'len1≤1 THEN THROW FI
 FI;;
  a!'dest :== 'a!'cursor2;;
 'dest :== 'dest+1;;
 cursor2 :== cursor2+1;;
 'len2 :== 'len2-1;;
 IF 'len2 = 0 THEN THROW FI;;
 'count2 :== CALL gallop-left('tmp!'cursor1, 'a, 'cursor2, 'len2, 0);;
 IF \ 'count2 \neq 0
 THEN
   a :== list\text{-}copy 'a 'dest 'a 'cursor2 'count2;;
   'dest :== 'dest + 'count2;;
   `cursor2 :== `cursor2 + `count2";
   'len2 :== 'len2 - 'count2;;
   \mathit{IF} \mathit{'len2} = \mathit{0} \mathit{THEN} \mathit{THROW} \mathit{FI}
 FI;;
 a!'dest :== 'tmp!'cursor1;;
 'dest :== 'dest+1;;
 `cursor1 :== `cursor1+1;;
 'len1 :== 'len1-1;;
 IF 'len1 = 1 THEN THROW FI;;
```

```
'min-gallop :== 'min-gallop - 1
    OD;;
    IF \ 'min-gallop < 0 \ THEN \ 'min-gallop :== 0 \ FI;;
     'min-gallop :== 'min-gallop + 2
 CATCH
 Skip
 END;;
 IF 'len1 = 1
  THEN \ \'a :== list-copy \ \'a \ \'dest \ \'a \ \'cursor2 \ \'len2;; \'a!(\'dest+\'len2) :==
'tmp!'cursor1
 ELSE\ IF\ 'len1 = 0
     THEN Skip
     ELSE 'a :== list-copy 'a 'dest 'tmp 'cursor1 'len1
 FI
CATCH
Skip
END
procedures (imports globals-var)
merge-hi (base1::nat, len1::nat, base2::nat, len2::nat)
where tmp::int list cursor1::nat cursor2::nat dest::nat
min-gallop::nat count1::nat count2::nat count-tmp::nat in
TRY
  'tmp :== replicate 'len2 (0::int);;
 'tmp :== list\text{-}copy 'tmp (0::nat) 'a 'base2 'len2;;
 cursor1 :== base1 + len1 - 1;
  `cursor2 :== `len2-1;;
  'dest :== 'base2 + 'len2 - 1;;
  'a!'dest :== 'a!'cursor1;;
  'dest :== 'dest-1;;
  cursor1 :== cursor1-1;;
  'len1 :== 'len1-1;;
  'min-qallop :== 'global-min-qallop ::(* need to add min qallop to globals var *)
  IF 'len1=0 THEN 'a :== list-copy 'a ('dest-('len2-1)) 'tmp 0 'len2;;
THROW FI;;
 IF 'len2=1
 THEN
   'dest :== 'dest-'len1;;
   `cursor1 :== `cursor1 - `len1";;
   \'a :== list\text{-}copy \'a (\'dest+1) \'a (\'cursor1+1) \'len1;;
   a!'dest:=='tmp!'cursor2;;
   THROW
 FI;;
 TRY
   WHILE True
   DO
```

```
count1 :== 0;
    count2 :== 0;
    WHILE ('count1 < 'min-gallop & 'count2 < 'min-gallop)
     IF 'tmp!'cursor2 < 'a!'cursor1
      THEN
       a!'dest :== a!'cursor1;;
       'dest :== 'dest-1;;
       cursor1 :== cursor1-1;;
       count1 :== count1+1;;
       'count2 :== 0;;
       'len1 :== 'len1-1;;
       \mathit{IF} '\mathit{len1} = \mathit{0} THEN THROW \mathit{FI}
      ELSE
       a!'dest :== 'tmp!'cursor2;;
       'dest :== 'dest-1;;
        cursor2 :== cursor2-1;
       count2 :== count2+1;;
       count1 :== 0;
       'len2 :== 'len2-1;;
       \mathit{IF} '\mathit{len2} = 1 THEN THROW \mathit{FI}
     FI
    OD;;
    WHILE \ (\'count1 \ge \'global-min-gallop \mid \'count2 \ge \'global-min-gallop)
    DO
        'count-tmp :== CALL gallop-right('tmp!'cursor2, 'a, 'base1, 'len1,
'len1-1);;
      `count1 :== `len1 - `count-tmp;;
     IF \ 'count1 \neq 0
      THEN
        'dest :== 'dest-'count1;;
        `cursor1 :== `cursor1 - `count1;;
       'len1 :== 'len1 - 'count1;;
       a :== list\text{-}copy \ 'a \ ('dest+1) \ 'a \ ('cursor1+1) \ 'count1;
       IF 'len1=0 THEN THROW FI
      'a!'dest :== 'tmp!'cursor2;;
      'dest :== 'dest-1;;
      cursor2 :== cursor2-1;;
      'len2 :== 'len2-1;;
      IF 'len2 = 1 THEN THROW FI;
     'count-tmp :== CALL\ gallop-left('a!'cursor1, 'tmp, 0, 'len2, ('len2-1));;
      'count2 :== 'len2 - 'count-tmp;;
      IF \ `count2 \neq 0
      THEN
        'dest :== 'dest-'count2;;
       `cursor2 :== `cursor2 - `count2;;
       'len2 :== 'len2-'count2;;
```

```
\'a :== \textit{list-copy} \'a (\'dest+1) \'tmp (\'cursor2+1) \'count2;;
        \mathit{IF} '\mathit{len2} \leq \mathit{1} THEN THROW \mathit{FI}
       FI;;
       a!'dest :== a!'cursor1;;
       'dest :== 'dest-1;;
       `cursor1 :== `cursor1-1;;
       'len1 :== 'len1-1;;
      IF 'len1 = 0 THEN THROW FI;
       'min-gallop :== 'min-gallop - 1
     IF \ 'min-gallop < 0 \ THEN \ 'min-gallop :== 0 \ FI;;
     'min-gallop :== 'min-gallop + 2
   OD
 CATCH
 Skip
 END;;
 IF 'len2 = 1
 THEN
   'dest :== 'dest-'len1;;
   `cursor1 :== `cursor1 - `len1";;
   a :== list\text{-}copy \ 'a \ ('dest+1) \ 'a \ ('cursor1+1) \ 'len1;
   \verb|`a!'| dest :== \verb|`tmp!'| cursor2|
 ELSE\ IF\ 'len2 = 0
      THEN Skip
      ELSE \ \'a :== list-copy \ \'a \ (\'dest-(\'len2-1)) \ \'tmp \ 0 \ \'len2
 FI
CATCH
Skip
END
procedures (imports globals-var)
merge-at (i::nat)
where k::nat\ base1::nat\ base2::nat\ len1::nat\ len2::nat\ in
TRY
  `base1 :== `run-base!`i;;
  'len1 :== 'run-len!'i;;
  'base2 :== 'run-base!('i+1);;
 'len2 :== 'run-len!('i+1);;
 ('run-len!'i) :== 'len1 + 'len2;;
 IF 'i='stack-size-3
 THEN \ 'run-base!('i+1) :== 'run-base!('i+2);;
      run-len!(i+1) :==(run-len!(i+2)) FI;;
  'stack\text{-}size :== 'stack\text{-}size-1 ;;
 (* the process of merge on the array *)
  'k :== CALL \ gallop-right('a!'base2, 'a, 'base1, 'len1, 0);;
  base1 :== base1 + k;
```

```
'len1 :== 'len1-'k;;
 IF 'len1=0 THEN THROW FI;;
 'len2 :== CALL \ gallop-left('a!('base1+'len1-1), 'a, 'base2, 'len2, 'len2-1);;
 IF 'len2=0 THEN THROW FI;;
 IF ('len1<'len2)
 THEN CALL merge-lo('base1, 'len1, 'base2, 'len2)
 ELSE CALL merge-hi('base1, 'len1, 'base2, 'len2)
 FI
CATCH Skip END
print-locale merge-at-impl
procedures (imports globals-var)
merge-collapse()
where n::nat in
TRY
 WHILE \ 'stack-size > 1
 DO
   n :== stack-size-2;
  IF \ (\ \'n>0 \ \land \ \'run-len!(\ \'n-1) \leq \ \'run-len!(\ \'n+\ \'run-len!(\ \'n+1))
   \lor ('n>1 \land 'run-len!('n-2) \le 'run-len!('n-1) + 'run-len!'n)
   THEN
    IF \ 'run-len!('n-1) < 'run-len!('n+1)
      n:==n-1
    FI
   ELSE
    THEN
      THROW
    FI
   FI;;
   CALL merge-at('n)
CATCH SKIP END
print-locale merge-collapse-impl
procedures (imports globals-var)
push-run(run-base-i::nat, run-len-i::nat)
'run-base!'stack-size :== 'run-base-i ;;
 'run-len!'stack-size :== 'run-len-i ;;
 'stack-size :== 'stack-size + 1
procedures (imports globals-var)
merge-force-collapse()
where n::nat in
W\!H\!I\!L\!E 'stack-size > 1
DO
```

```
'n :== 'stack-size - 2;;
 IF ('n > 0 \land 'run\text{-}len!('n-1) < 'run\text{-}len!('n+1))
 THEN
   n:=n-1
 FI::
 CALL merge-at('n)
OD
procedures (imports globals-var)
reverse-range(array::int list, lo::nat, hi::nat| ret::int list)
where t::int in
TRY
IF \dot{} if i = 0 THEN THROW ELSE (* to address the problem of natural number *)
hi :== hi-1;
WHILE\ 'lo<'hi\ DO
 't :== 'array!' lo;;
 `array!`lo :== `array!`hi;;
 'array!'hi :== 't;;
 'lo :== 'lo+1;;
 hi :== hi-1
OD FI
CATCH Skip END;;
'ret :== 'array
procedures (imports globals-var)
count-run-and-make-ascending(array::int list, lo::nat, hi::nat| ret-value::nat, ret::int
list)
where run-hi::nat in
'run-hi:=='lo+1;;
IF \ 'run\text{-}hi = 'hi \ THEN \ 'ret\text{-}value:==1;;'ret :== 'array;; THROW FI;;
IF 'array!'run-hi < 'array!'lo
  run-hi :== run-hi+1;;
 WHILE ('run-hi < 'hi & 'array!'run-hi < 'array!('run-hi-1))
 DO \text{ 'run-hi} :== \text{ 'run-hi+1 OD'};
  'array :== CALL reverse-range('array, 'lo, 'run-hi)
ELSE
  'run-hi :== 'run-hi+1;;
 WHILE ('run-hi < 'hi & 'array!'run-hi \geq 'array!('run-hi-1))
 DO \text{ 'run-hi} :== \text{ 'run-hi+1 } OD
FI;;
 'ret-value :== 'run-hi - 'lo;;
 ret :== 'array'
CATCH Skip END
```

```
procedures (imports qlobals-var)
binary-sort(array::int list, lo::nat, hi::nat, start::nat| ret::int list)
where pivot::int left::nat right::nat mid::nat move::nat in
IF 'start = 'lo THEN 'start :== 'start + 1 FI;
WHILE 'start < 'hi DO
 'pivot :== 'array!'start;;
 'left :== 'lo;;
 'right :== 'start;;
 W\!H\!I\!L\!E ´left < ´right DO
 'mid :== ('left+'right) div 2;;
 IF 'pivot < 'array!' mid
 THEN
   'right :== 'mid
 ELSE
   'left :== 'mid+1
 OD;;
 'move :== 'start - 'left;;
 `array :== list\text{-}copy `array (`left+1) `array `left `move;;
 'array!'left :== 'pivot;;
 'start :== 'start + 1
OD;;
'ret :== 'array
procedures (imports globals-var)
sort(array::int list, lo::nat, hi::nat| ret::int list)
\mathbf{where} \ \ \mathit{min-run::} nat \ \ \mathit{n-remaining::} nat \ \ \mathit{run-len-i::} nat \ \ \mathit{force::} nat \ \ \mathit{init-run-len-i::} nat
TRY
'n-remaining :== 'hi - 'lo;;
IF ('n\text{-}remaining < 2) \ THEN 'ret :== 'array;; \ THROW FI;;
IF ('n-remaining < 32) THEN
 CALL count-run-and-make-ascending ('array, 'lo, 'hi, 'init-run-len-i, 'array); (*
return two values*)
  'array :== CALL binary-sort('array, 'lo, 'hi, 'lo+'init-run-len-i);;
  'ret :== 'array;;
 THROW
FI;;
a:=='array;;
'stack-size :== 0;;
'min-run :== 16;;
\it IF\ size\ 'a < 120\ THEN\ 'stack-len :== 4\ ELSE
IF size 'a < 1542 THEN 'stack-len :== 9 ELSE
IF size 'a < 119151 THEN 'stack-len :== 18 ELSE
stack-len :== 39 FI FI FI;
'run-len :== replicate 'stack-len (0::nat);;
```

```
'run-base :== replicate 'stack-len (0::nat);;
CALL count-run-and-make-ascending('a, 'lo, 'hi, 'run-len-i, 'a);;
 \mathit{IF} 'run-len-i < 'min-run THEN
  IF 'n-remaining \leq 'min-run THEN
   force :== `n-remaining'
  ELSE
   'force :== 'min-run
  FI;;
  \dot{a} :== CALL \ binary-sort(\dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{b}+force, \dot{b}+run-len-i);;
  'run-len-i :== 'force'
 FI;;
CALL push-run('lo, 'run-len-i);;
CALL merge-collapse();;
'lo :== 'lo + 'run-len-i;;
 'n-remaining :== 'n-remaining - 'run-len-i;;
WHILE 'n-remaining \neq 0 DO
CALL count-run-and-make-ascending('a, 'lo, 'hi, 'run-len-i, 'a);;
 \mathit{IF} 'run-len-i < 'min-run THEN
  \mathit{IF} '\mathit{n\text{-}remaining} \leq '\mathit{min\text{-}run} \mathit{THEN}
   force :== n-remaining
  ELSE
   'force :== 'min-run
  FI;;
  a :== CALL \ binary-sort(`a, `lo, `lo+'force, `lo+'run-len-i);;
  'run-len-i :== 'force
 FI;;
CALL push-run('lo, 'run-len-i);;
CALL merge-collapse();;
'lo :== 'lo + 'run-len-i;;

    \text{'}n\text{-remaining} :== \text{'}n\text{-remaining} - \text{'}run\text{-len-i}

OD;;
CALL merge-force-collapse();;
ret :== 'a
CATCH Skip END
```

end