Experiments in Spectroscopy

Markus Lippitz December 9, 2021

Contents

I	Fundamentals	7
1	Absorption	9
2	Fluorescence	17
3	Molecular Vibrations	23
4	Rayleigh and Mie Scattering	27
5	Molecular Aggregates — Coupled Two-Level Systems	33
II	Two Level Systems	39
6	Rabi Oscillations	41
7	(Perturbed) Free Induction Decay	49
8	Strong coupling of cavity and emitter	57
9	Weak coupling of cavity and emitter: Purcell Effect	63
Ш	Nonlinear Spectroscopy	69
10	Second Harmonic Generation	71
11	Four-Wave Mixing	79
12	Two-Photon Absorption	87
13	Two-Dimensional Spectroscopy	93
IV	Plasmonics	103
14	Plasmon hybridization	105
15	Surface plasmons as example of optics of layered media	115
16	Lattices of plasmonic particles	123



4 Experiments in Spectroscopy

17	Plasmonic Nano-Rods	129
Αp	ppendix	136
A	Julia and Pluto	133
В	Fourier transformation	14'

Part I Fundamentals

Part II Two Level Systems

Part III Nonlinear Spectroscopy

Part IV Plasmonics

Chapter 17

Plasmonic Nano-Rods

Markus Lippitz December 9, 2021

Tasks

- Simulate the optical field above a plasmonic nano-rod and compare to the experimental data in Fig. 3 of Dorfmüller et al., 2010.
- Investigate how the spatial maximum amplitude of the field depends on rod length and illumination direction, and compare to Fig. 4 of Dorfmüller et al., 2010.

The first steps are implemented in Pluto¹.



How this is measured

Dorfmüller et al., 2010 measure the optical field in amplitude and phase about 20 nm above of gold nano-rods, using a near-field microscope. The operation of such device is topic of one of the following chapters.

The sample is a glass substrate on which gold nanostructures of rectangular shape were fabrication by electron-beam lithography. The structures can be understood as cut out a two-dimensional film. All have thus the same height. These gold nano-rods are illuminated by 911 nm laser light under a tilted angle from above. The polarization direction is along the long axis of the rods. The free electrons in the nano-rod come to a resonance, depending on the rod length. This resource leads to a locally increased field amplitude. Dorfmüller et al. measure the field component perpendicular to the sample surface by scattering it out with a sharp tip of an atomic force microscope. Phase-stable interference with a reference beam allows to obtain both amplitude and phase of the near-field. The spatial resolution is only limited by the sharpness of the AFM tip to about 20 nm. The near-field microscope operates thus outside the Abbé diffraction limit.

Waveguides

Let us start by investigating the optical modes of a thin wire. We assume cylindrical symmetry and coordinates z and ρ . The wire has a radius a and is



made of a material (first dielectric, later metal) of dielectric function ϵ_{in} . It is embedded in a dielectric matrix characterized by ϵ_{out} . One solves Maxwell's equation with these boundary conditions.²

The electric field is described inside and outside the wire by cylindrical Bessel J and Hankel $H^{(1)}$ functions of the first kind, respectively. The lowest order mode is 3

$$\mathbf{E}_{in} = E_0 \left(J_0(\kappa_1 r) \, \hat{\mathbf{z}} + \frac{i k_z}{\kappa_1} J_1(\kappa_1 r) \, \hat{\mathbf{r}} \right) e^{i(k_z z - \omega t)} \tag{17.1}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{out} = E_0 \left(H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_2 r) \, \hat{\mathbf{z}} - \frac{ik_z}{\kappa_2} H_1^{(1)}(\kappa_2 r) \, \hat{\mathbf{r}} \right) e^{i(k_z z - \omega t)} \tag{17.2}$$

where k_z is the component of the wave vector (length in vacuum $k_0=2\pi/\lambda$) along the wire. The components perpendicular to the wire are defined as

$$\kappa_i = k_0 \sqrt{\epsilon_i - (k_z/k_0)^2} \tag{17.3}$$

with $\epsilon_i=(\epsilon_{in},\epsilon_{out}).$ The boundary condition at r=a leads to a condition for k_z)

$$\frac{\epsilon_{in}}{\kappa_1 a} \frac{J_1(\kappa_1 a)}{J_0(\kappa_1 a)} - \frac{\epsilon_{out}}{\kappa_2 a} \frac{H_1^{(1)}(\kappa_2 a)}{H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_2 a)} = 0 \quad . \tag{17.4}$$

Guiding of waves requires that the electric field is localized near the wire, i.e., the radial component of the wave vector outside the wire κ_2 has to be imaginary, or $k_z/k_0 > \sqrt{\epsilon_{out}}$. At the same time, the wave should propagate inside the wire, i.e., k_z should be (almost⁴) real, or $\sqrt{\epsilon_{in}} > k_z/k_0$.

For dielectric waveguides, the core has to have a higher index of refraction than the embedding medium. When the radius of the wire is decreased, the decay of the field outside the wire becomes slower and slower. By Fourier transformation from κ to ρ one finds a characteristic lower limit for radius R of the field distribution, independent of the wire diameter,

$$R > \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\epsilon_{in}}} \quad . \tag{17.5}$$

Dielectric waveguides are thus limited in their size of the mode field to approximately the wavelength in the core medium.

Plasmonic waveguides in contrast can become very small. When the dielectric function ϵ_{in} is negative, the mode field remains bound close to the wire even for a small wire radius. The downside is that losses increase. The wave vector in propagation direction becomes complex. The real part describes the effective index of refraction of the mode, the imaginary part the losses due to absorption in metal. These losses increase drastically when the wire becomes smaller, as shown in the Pluto script linked at the beginning of this chapter.

The large component of the wave vector in propagation direction k_z in a plasmonic waveguide corresponds to a short effective wavelength $\lambda_{in}=2\pi/k_z$. The thinner the waveguide, the shorter the effective wavelength. Novotny shows that a linear relation to the vacuum wavelength exists 5

$$\lambda_{in} = n_1 + n_2 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_p} \tag{17.6}$$

where $\lambda_p=2\pi c/\omega_p$ is the plasma wavelength of the Drude metal and the n_i are constants depending on geometry and refractive indices. ⁶

see also Novotny and Hecht, 2012 eq. 12.53

² Details see, e.g., Saleh and Teich, 1991 in the chapter on fiber optics

³ Takahara et al., 1997.

 $^{^4}$ When the dielectric functions are complex-valued, also k_z becomes complex-valued

⁵ Novotny, 2007.

⁶ see eq. 14 in Novotny, 2007

Side note: Leakage radiation

The component of the wave vector in propagation direction k_z is larger than the maximum possible length of a wave vector in the embedding medium $k_0\sqrt{\epsilon_{out}}$. This means that momentum conservation does not allow photons to leave the waveguide. Plane waves with such a value of k_z are evanescent (have an imaginary k_{\perp}) in the embedding medium. In this way, a mode can propagate without loses to the environment. However, this also hinders observation of such a propagation. One only could detect the emission at the end of the waveguide or at defects.

One way around is leakage radiation. When the waveguide is placed on or near a medium with a higher index of refraction $k_z/k_0 < \sqrt{\epsilon_{substrate}}$, then this substrate supports suitable free-space modes. The distance between waveguide and substrate defines the coupling (as seen by the observer) or the losses (from the point of view of the waveguide). In such an experiment one can see bright emission along the whole waveguide.

Fabry-Perot modes in nano-rods

We now cut out a piece of a thin plasmonic waveguide and call this object a nano-rod. The propagation of the plasmon mode along the waveguide is the same as in the preceding section, but now the wave is reflected back at the ends of the waveguide. The free space around the nano-rod does not support modes of sufficient high wave vector, so that the light can not just propagate out. The two ends thus form two mirrors of a Fabry-Perot cavity and the short piece of waveguide in between is similar to the medium in the cavity. We expect to find periodic resonances when varying the length of the rod. This is indeed what is observed. However, the apparent length of the rod is larger by some offset length L_o

$$L_{res} = n \frac{\lambda_{in}}{2} + L_o \tag{17.7}$$

The exact value of the offset L_o depends on details of the waveguide end, for example how it is rounded or cut flat, and also how one defines the rod length L_{res} , when the ends are rounded.

The physical origin of this apparent additional length L_o is that a propagating plasmon is a quasi-particle combined of free electrons and an electromagnetic field. The electrons have to stay inside the metal to within less than a lattice constant. The optical field however extends all around the nanorod and thus also extends over the ends of the rod. This gives an additional length.

In some publications this additional length L_o is called a reflection phase $\Delta\phi$, as one could also describe the process in terms of phases as

$$\frac{2L_{res}}{\lambda_{in}}\,2\pi=n\,2\pi+2\Delta\phi\tag{17.8}$$

This is in analogy to the phase acquired by an optical beam undergoing total internal reflection. In this case, $k_{z,1}$ is real-valued, but $k_{z,2}$ is complex, as it describes an evanescent wave. The reflection coefficient

$$r_{12}^s = \frac{k_{z,1} - k_{z,2}}{k_{z,1} + k_{z,2}} \tag{17.9}$$

becomes then also complex, so that the field acquires a phase shift

$$\Delta \phi = \arg(r_{12}^s) \tag{17.10}$$

Excitation of resonances in nano-rods

We shine a plane wave on a nano-rod, i.e., a short piece of a thin plasmonic wire, and want to calculate the response of the particle in terms of scattered field and absorption. We follow here the analytical model by Dorfmüller et al., 2010, model the effect of the wire itself and assume an ad-hoc parameter for the effect of the wire ends. At the wire surface, the boundary condition for electric fields relates the z components of incident, scattered and internal fields

$$E_z^{inc}(r=a) + E_z^{scat}(r=a) = E_z^{inside}(r=a) \quad . \tag{17.11} \label{eq:17.11}$$

The plane wave is incident with an angle θ to the symmetry axis of the wire

$$E_z^{inc}(r=a) = E_0 \sin(\theta) e^{i \, k_\parallel z}$$
 with $\cos(\theta) = \frac{k_\parallel}{k_0}$ (17.12)

where we omitted the time dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$ and have put the phase factor of $e^{ik_r a}$ into E_0 . k_{\parallel} is the component of the plane wave's wave vector along the wire direction.

The scattered field is described by the current inside the wire. An oscillating current is source of radiation, as for example in an antenna. We assume that the current is spatially homogeneous and running only along z direction (not radially). One finds

$$E_z^{scat}(r=a) = rac{i\, ilde{Z}}{4\pi\epsilon_0\omega}\,\left(rac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k^2
ight)I_z(z)$$
 (17.13)

where \tilde{Z} is the (antenna) impedance of the wire, which is unknown at this point.

Current and field inside the wire are connected by the conductivity σ or the imaginary part of the dielectric function of the wire ϵ_{in}

$$I_z(z) = \sigma E_z^{inside}(z) = \epsilon_0 \omega \, \Im(\epsilon_{in}) \, E_z^{inside}(z)$$
 (17.14)

Putting everything in eq. 17.11 we get a differential equation for $I_z(z)$

$$E_0 \sin(\theta) e^{i k_z z} + \frac{i \tilde{Z}}{4\pi \epsilon_0 \omega} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k^2 \right) I_z(z) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0 \omega \Im(\epsilon_{in})} I_z(z) \quad \text{(17.15)}$$

We make the ansatz that the current either flows with the wave vector k_{\parallel} of the external field projected on the wire direction, or with the wave vector k_p of the plasmon mode calculated by eq. 17.4 (where it was called k_z):

$$I_z(z) = I_{\parallel} \, e^{ik_{\parallel}z} + I_{+p} \, e^{ik_pz} + I_{-p} \, e^{-ik_p^{\star}z} \tag{17.16} \label{eq:Iz}$$

Additionally we know that the current has to vanish at the ends of the wire, as the electrons can not flow out, i.e.,

$$I_z\left(z = \pm \tilde{L}/2\right) = 0\tag{17.17}$$

where \tilde{L} is the effective length of the nano-rod, i.e., longer than the geometrical size to include the phase effect of the reflection at the wire end. All together one then finds⁷

⁷ Dorfmüller et al., 2010.

$$\tilde{Z} = +i \frac{4\pi}{\Im(\epsilon_{in})} \frac{1}{k_p^2 - k^2}$$
 (17.18)

$$I_{\parallel}=-i\,rac{\omega\epsilon_0\, ilde{Z}}{4\pi}\,rac{1}{k_p^2-k_{\parallel}^2}\,E_0\,\sin heta$$
 (17.19)

$$I_{\pm p} = -I_{\parallel} \frac{\sin([k_p \pm k_{\parallel}] \tilde{L}/2)}{\sin(k_p \tilde{L})}$$
 (17.20)

The antenna impedance \tilde{Z} of the wire (eq. 17.18) has a resonance that goes with the momentum mismatch between free-space modes and plasmonic wire modes. For the excitation of the current in the wire (Eq. 17.19) only the momentum mismatch between the plasmon mode and the wave vector projected on the wire axis k_{\parallel} matters. As function of nano-rod length we find back the Fabry-Perot resonances at

$$k_p \, \tilde{L} = n \, \pi \tag{17.21}$$

where the index n enumerates the resonances.

Dipole model of the modes in a nano-rod

Before we continue with the work of Dorfmüller, let us have a look at these resonances. We simplify the discussion by assuming that the incident plane wave propagates perpendicular to the wire axis, i.e. $\theta=90^\circ$ and $k_{\parallel}=0$. The amplitudes of the plasmon currents $I_{\pm p}$ become identical and come into resonance for

$$k_p \tilde{L} = n \pi \quad \text{for odd } n$$

By perpendicular incidence we can only excite odd resonances of the rod! In this case, the current distribution in the wire also simplify, as the term with I_{\parallel} is spatially constant, as $k_{\parallel}=0$. We thus have

$$I_z(z) = I_{\parallel} + 2I_{\pm p}\cos(k_p z) \approx 2I_{\pm p}\cos(k_p z)$$
 (17.23)

where the approximation certainly hold on resonance. We thus have current maxima at positions z along the nano-rod where $|\cos(k_p z)|$ is maximal, or at

$$z_{
u}=\pm\,
u\,rac{ ilde{L}}{n}$$
 where $u=0,1,\ldots,rac{n-1}{2}$

As the current oscillates with $e^{i\omega t}$, we can replace $I_z(z)$ by n dipoles placed at the positions z_{ν} , when neighboring dipoles oscillate 180° out of phase.

This dipole model then also explains why we can not excite even Fabry-Perot orders. Here one half of the dipoles would require a field pointing into $\pm z$ direction, the other one a field pointing in $\pm z$ direction, which can not be fulfilled simultaneously. For odd-order modes, one of the two directions always has one dipole more that the other direction, so that some net excitation efficiency remains. But one also sees that the excitation efficiency drops with increasing order, as most dipoles cancel with each other. We will find this back in the data by Dorfmüller et al.

Field distributions

Now that we know the current in the center of the nano-rod, we can go back to fields. We could start from the currents (eq. 17.16) and use Maxwell's equation, as done in Dorfmüller et al., 2010. Here, we take a shortcut as we have introduced the eigen-modes already with eqs. 17.1,17.2, which we name here $\mathbf{E}_m(k_z)$, i.e. the argument is the component of the wave vector along the wire. The amplitudes of the currents $I_{\pm p}$ and I_{\parallel} are also the amplitudes of the corresponding fields. Inside the wire we have

$$\mathbf{E}_{in} = I_{+p} \mathbf{E}_m(+k_{+p}) + I_{-p} \mathbf{E}_m(-k_{-p}^*) + I_{\parallel} \mathbf{E}_m(+k_{\parallel})$$
 (17.25)

Note that $k_z=+k_\parallel$ does not fulfill the eigen-mode condition eq. 17.4. The fields with this value of k_z only match at the surface of the wire when the incident field is also taken into account. The fields outside the wire have thus one additional component

$$\mathbf{E}_{out} = I_{+p} \mathbf{E}_{m}(+k_{+p}) + I_{-p} \mathbf{E}_{m}(-k_{-p}^{\star}) + I_{\parallel} \mathbf{E}_{m}(+k_{\parallel}) + \hat{\mathbf{n}} E_{0} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$$
(17.26)

where the vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ defines the p-polarization direction.

These fields are valid only along the wire, i.e. for $|z|<\tilde{L}$, but this is sufficient to explain the experiment. One could assume a flat wire end and calculate the fields near this end using the continuity conditions for fields parallel and perpendicular to a surface

$$E_{\parallel}^{in}=E_{\parallel}^{out}$$
 and $\epsilon_{in}E_{\perp}^{in}=\epsilon_{out}E_{\perp}^{out}$ (17.27)

The full field distribution would need near-field propagation of the fields on the wire surface, which is beyond the scope of this chapter.

To compare more systematically the model with the experiment, Jens Dorfmüller et al. vary the rod length and measure the r component of the near-fields. They compare then the maximum near-field amplitude under a certain illumination angle with the model. To this end, the exact calculation of the spatial dependence of the field can be skipped. If the wire is just long enough, at some point all three contributions will add up, so that the maximum field is approximately

$$|E_r|_{max} \approx |k_{\parallel} I_{\parallel}| + |k_{+p} I_{+p}| + |k_{-p} I_{-p}|$$
 (17.28)

where the k_i are a consequence of the k_z in eq 17.2. This simplified model describes the dependence of the Fabry-Perot resonances on the effective rod-length \tilde{L} .

Appendix: Far-field emission pattern

The Far-field emission pattern of a nano-rod is, according to Dorfmüller, 2010 appendix, eq. B.16,

$$\frac{dP}{d\Omega} = \frac{I_0^2}{8\pi^2 c\epsilon_0} \frac{k^2}{k_p^2} \sin^2 \theta \, \frac{f^2 \left(n \, \pi k_{\parallel} / (2k_p) \right)}{\left(1 - k_{\parallel}^2 / k_p^2 \right)^2} \tag{17.29}$$

where $k_{\parallel}=k\,\cos{ heta}$ and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sin(x) & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ \cos(x) & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

with the order n of the Fabry-Perot resonance.

This has similarities and difference to the far-field diffraction pattern of n slits. The envelope function of a single slit is replaced by the $\sin \theta$ angular dependence of dipolar emission. The biggest difference is that in contrast to slits, neighboring dipoles in the nano-rod emit 180° out of phase. This would correspond to a transmission grating where every second slit is covered by a thin glass plate to achieve the phase lag.

One should be able to obtain this equation by assuming two lattices of dipoles with doubled distance. Each would produce the familiar amplitude pattern. The two amplitude patterns are then added, taking care of the distance between the neighboring dipoles, and squared to get the intensity pattern shown above. But I did not try this yet ...

References

Dorfmüller, Jens (2010). "Optical Wire Antennas: Near-Field Imaging, Modeling and Emission Patterns". PhD thesis. École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne. URL: https://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/ 147977.

Dorfmüller, Jens et al. (2010). "Plasmonic nanowire antennas: experiment, simulation, and theory". In: Nano Letters 10.9, pp. 3506-3603. DOI: 10. 1021/nl101921y.

Novotny, Lukas (2007). "Effective Wavelength Scaling for Optical Antennas". In: *Physical Review Letters* 98.26, p. 266802. DOI: 10 . 1103 / PhysRevLett.98.266802.

Novotny, Lukas and Bert Hecht (2012). Principles of nano-optics. 2. ed. Cambridge Univ. Press. ISBN: 9781107005464. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511794193.

Saleh, Bahaa E. A. and Malvin C. Teich (1991). Fundamentals of photonics. New York, NY [u.a.]: Wiley. ISBN: 0471839655. DOI: 10.1002/ 0471213748.

Takahara, Junichi et al. (1997). "Guiding of a one-dimensional optical beam with nanometer diameter". In: Optics Letters 22.7, p. 475. DOI: 10.1364/ OL.22.000475.



Bibliography

```
Dorfmüller, Jens (2010). "Optical Wire Antennas: Near-Field Imaging, Model-
  ing and Emission Patterns". PhD thesis. École Polytechnique Fédérale de
  Lausanne. URL: https://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/
Dorfmüller, Jens et al. (2010). "Plasmonic nanowire antennas: experiment,
  simulation, and theory". In: Nano Letters 10.9, pp. 3506-3603. DOI: 10.
   1021/nl101921y.
Novotny, Lukas (2007). "Effective Wavelength Scaling for Optical Anten-
  nas". In: Physical Review Letters 98.26, p. 266802. DOI: 10 . 1103 /
  PhysRevLett.98.266802.
Novotny, Lukas and Bert Hecht (2012). Principles of nano-optics. 2. ed. Cam-
  bridge Univ. Press. ISBN: 9781107005464. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511794193.
Saleh, Bahaa E. A. and Malvin C. Teich (1991). Fundamentals of photon-
  ics. New York, NY [u.a.]: Wiley. ISBN: 0471839655. DOI: 10.1002/
Takahara, Junichi et al. (1997). "Guiding of a one-dimensional optical beam
  with nanometer diameter". In: Optics Letters 22.7, p. 475. DOI: 10.1364/
  OL.22.000475.
```