CSIRTS NETWORK, NOTIFICATION AND SHARING SCENARIOS

E.104

CIRCL COMPUTER INCIDENT RESPONSE CENTER LUXEMBOURG



MISP PROJECT https://www.misp-project.org/

MARCH 29, 2022 - VO.7

CSIRTs network, notification and sharing scenarios

CSIRTS NETWORK, NOTIFICATION AND SHARING SCENARIOS

E.100

L COMPUTER INCIDENT RESPONSE CENTER LUXEMBOURG



SP PROJECT tps://www.misp-project.org/

CSIRT NETWORK INFORMATION EXCHANGE

- Well established methodologies and rule-sets
- Reliance on a common understanding of information releasability
- Network wide exchange tooling and practices

CSIRTs network, notification and sharing scenarios

CSIRT network information exchange

Well established methodologies and rule-sets
 Reliance on a common understanding of information releasability
 Network wide exchange - tooling and practices

1. Here we just quickly give an overview and explanation of the main factors that enable the sharing for the CSIRT network.

MAIN OBJECTIVES OF INFORMATION SHARING FROM A CSIRT PERSPECTIVE

- **■** Incident response
- **Proactive information sharing** for detection and prevention
- **■** Takedown notifications

CSIRTs network, notification and sharing scenarios

u Incident response
u Proactive information sharing for detection and
u Takedown notifications

 Main objectives of information sharing from a CSIRT perspective

- 1. Information sharing happens for different reasons and at different layers.
- 2. Before diving into each objective, here we list them as an overview

2

THE INCIDENT RESPONSE USE-CASES

- Collaboration during **incident repsonse**
 - ► Multiple CSIRTs involved in the IR of a single victim
 - Ongoing campaigns against multiple victims in different constituencies
- Building baseline rulesets for hunting / IR

CSIRTs network, notification and sharing scenarios

☐ The incident response use-cases

i	Collaboration during incident repsonse
	 Multiple CSIRTs involved in the IR of a single victim
	 Ongoing campaigns against multiple victims in different
	constituencies
	Building baseline rulesets for hunting / IR

- 1. During incident response, there are multiple scenarios where information sharing becomes crucial.
- 2. It is quite frequent that victims span organisations of multiple countries or sectors, in which case collaboration saves time and effort.
- Besides individual IR cases, having a well maintained and relevant indicator repository is vital for bootstrapping the IR / hunting workflows.

11

PROACTIVE INFORMATION SHARING

- One of the objectives of CSIRTs is often informing and preparing their constituencies against ongoing campaigns
 - ► Sharing of **indicators and TTPs**
 - Categorising and publishing metrics on the ongoing threat actor activities
 - ► Sharing of preventative measures, remediation playbooks and supporting tools
- These can be used for:
 - ▶ Building protective measures (IDS, firewall, SIEM, EDR rules, etc)
 - ► Gap analysis for the deployed counter-measures' relevance
 - ▶ Decisions on staff recruitment and trainings based on the required expertise

CSIRTs network, notification and sharing scenarios

-Proactive information sharing

- 1. CSIRTs often act as central hubs for the national / sectorial information flow for ongoing attacker trends.
- 2. In contrast to commercial feed providers, the main importance attributed to the data shared by the CSIRTs is that their focus is on attacks targeting their constituency, making it additionally relevant for them.
- What is important to explain here is the type of information that is relevant here (Indicators, TTPs, preventative measures and playbooks) and what effect they can have on an organisation.

TAKEDOWN NOTIFICATIONS

- Abuse handling often delegated to the CSIRTs who
 - ► The contact providers to issue takedown requests
 - ► Potentially liaise with law enforcement on more drastic measures
- Takedown requests can be difficult due attacks originating in another country
- A working relationship with operators and hosting providers can speed up the process
- **Contacts to local law enforcement** also help
- Involving the responsible CSIRT therefore is customary

CSIRTs network, notification and sharing scenarios

-Takedown notifications

The contact processes to see another neglection.
 Potentially liaise with haw enforcement on more drastic measures

 Takedown requests can be difficult due attacks originating in another country

 A working relationship with operators and hosting providers.

Contacts to local law enforcement also help

Involving the responsible CSIRT therefore is cu

- 1. The focus of this slide should be on the difficulty of successful takedown requests when dealing with providers / hosts abroad and how contacting the local CSIRTs can help the process along.
- 2. Also explain how the CSIRT getting the request forwarded would potentially involve law enforcement.

11

SHARING IN PRACTICE

- The actual sharing happens over different layers (from most to least stricly formalised)
 - ► Automated information sharing for structured intelligence (via for example MISP)
 - ► **Recurring report** on trends based on **surveys** conducted in the network (for example ENISA Cyber Weather)
 - ► **Takedown notifications** assistance requests to the responsible CSIRTs
 - ► Conference calls for certain high priority campaigns (via for example BBB, Jitsi, webex, etc)
 - ► Ad-hoc discussions and information requests (via mailing lists, chat applications such as mattermost)

CSIRTs network, notification and sharing scenarios

-Sharing in practice

1. The actual exchanges use a variety of tools and mechanisms for the exchange, ranging from ad-hoc discussions to well modeled, automated intel sharing.

ADHERING TO RELEASABILITY

- Simple to understand sharing models
 - ► TLP is understood to be authoritative in the network
 - ► PAP used less frequently, it is an additional way to mark the accepted actions to be carried out on the information
- Besides data, meetings and individual discussion channels all can have an indicated baseline TLP level
- Networks such as this are built on trust that needs to be fostered

CSIRTs network, notification and sharing scenarios

-Adhering to releasability

Simple to understand sharing models

* TUP is understand to be authorizated in the network.

* TUP is understand to be authorizated may be man the
* When was for investing, it is an additional may to man the
* tenders data, meetings and individual discussion channels
all can have an individual bedseline TUP left
* Itelands such as this are built on trust that needs to be
foretered.

- 1. A quick explanation of TLP and PAP is required at this point, especially in regards to their differences.
- 2. TLP: Who can I share it with?
- 3. PAP: What sort of actions are permitted with regards to the information?

AUTOMATED INFORMATION SHARING

- **■** Indicators, context, enrichments, sightings
- The objectives of the data are **automation** as well as building **knowledge-bases** for future use
- Strong validation and contextualisation is crucial
- **Parts** of the data will end up in the **proactive sharing** with the constituency
- Information about the Victim is excluded.
- Information about the attacker beyond the attacker modus operandi are also excluded
- The objective is protection / remediation rather than dealing with the attacker

CSIRTs network, notification and sharing scenarios

-Automated information sharing

- 1. It is important to note here that CSIRTs in general don't focus at all on attribution beyond being able to differentiate and anticipate potential attacker actions to help with the incident response and detection. Attributing attacks to individuals, groups, nationalities are not in scope beyond this.
- 2. As for the sanitisation process, it should also be mentioned that data is shared with different releasability levels depending on sensitivity.
- 3. The sharing is often broader and less filtered with the network than it is with the constituencies.

TAKEDOWN REQUESTS

- Malicious infrastructure IPs, IP ranges, domains
- **Timeline** of the malicious activities
- **Network logs** for verification and evidence towards the provider

CSIRTs network, notification and sharing scenarios

-Takedown requests



- 1. Whilst not standardised globally, takedown request notifications are kept similar in scope, with information backing up the claim of malicious activity being provided from the get go to speed up the process.
- 2. Besides the target of the takedown request, timestamps and logs are crucial.

AD-HOC COMMUNICATIONS

- E-mails, chats, video conferences
- Network wide vs ad-hoc exchanges
- Inter-personal trust relationships go a long way
- **Request for information** (has anyone else also seen...?)
- Updates on **conclusions drawn** during incidents (we are seeing a rise in a specific type of attacks abusing a given vulnerability)

CSIRTs network, notification and sharing scenarios

<u></u>∟,

-Ad-hoc communications

mails, chats, video conferences twork vide's vad-hoc exchanges represental trust relationships go a long way quest for information (has anyone else also seen...?) and the concentration of charge with the control time are ling a rice in a specific type of attacks abusing a given merability)

- Besides the network wide communication, familiarity and trust in individual other members is often a starting point in ad-hoc discussions, especially for requests for information and assistance.
- 2. It's important to emphasise here that timeliness is of the essence for incident response, leading to these networks being often active around the clock.

CSIRT exchanges with law enforcement

- Sharing information during the rendering of assistance to law enforcement during an ongoing forensics case
- Creating data-sets to **bootstrap the forensics investigations** of law enforcement
- Attacker trends being shared both ways
- Assistance in the **takedown** process

CSIRTs network, notification and sharing scenarios

-CSIRT exchanges with law enforcement

Sharing information during the randering of assistance to law enforcement during an ongoing forensics case. Creating data-sets to bootstrap the forensics investigations of law enforcement. Attacker trends being shared both ways Assistance in the tackdown process.

 There are numerous reasons why exchanges between LEAs and CSIRTs would take place, be it an off-loading of certain tasks where the others can offer expertise, or simply assisting in the creation of working information knowledge bases / rules to be used during day-to-day activities.