MANAGING INFORMATION SHARING COMMUNITIES

E.103

CIRCL COMPUTER INCIDENT RESPONSE CENTER LUXEMBOURG

MISP PROJECT https://www.misp-project.org/

MISP Threat Sharing

MARCH 23, 2022

OBJECTIVES OF THIS MODULE

- Tips for joining information sharing communities
- Tips for being a good member in a sharing community
- Tips for building your own sharing community
- Tool for managing a sharing community
 - ► Managing organisations and contacts
 - Maintaining distribution lists (aka sharing groups)
 - Managing a large cluster of MISPs

BEING PART OF AN INFORMATION SHARING COMMUNITY

JOINING AN INFORMATION SHARING COMMUNITIES

There is a wide range of MISP communities type:

- Private sector communities
 - Private organisations, researchers, central hub
- ISACs communities
 - Central hub for sectorial or geographical Communities
 - Examples: GSMA, FIRST.org, CSIRT Network, Banking, etc
- Ad-hoc communities
 - Often use for exercises such as ENISA or LockedShield

JOINING AN INFORMATION SHARING COMMUNITIES

Considerations before joining a sharing community:

- Understand the community's objectives
 - Defense, prevention, collaboration, research, specific reporting duties
- Make sure the use-cases are not conflicting
 - False-positive appetite, maturity levels, topical interests
 - Detection rules VS threat intelligence VS prevention

TIPS FOR BEING A GOOD MEMBER OF A SHARING COMMUNITY

- As explained extensively in course e.206, Context is king:
 - ► You should try to contextualise as best as you can using:
 - ► Normalized vocab: Taxonomies, Galaxies & MITRE ATT&CK
 - Connected graph using MISP Objects and relationships
 - ► Add timeliness with Sightings and first_seen / last_seen
- Sharing results and reports
- Sharing enhancements or proposals to existing data
- Validating data (sightings) or flagging false positives
- Asking for support from the community

- Different models for your constituents
 - ► Having an account on a MISP instance
 - ► **Hosting** their own instance and connecting to a peer
 - ► **Becoming member** of a sectorial MISP community that is connected to multiple peers
- Planning ahead for future growth
 - ► Estimating requirements (workforce, hardware requirements)
 - Deciding early on common vocabularies (i.e. taxonomies)
 - Offering services through MISP to promote adhesion

- Lead by example the power of immitation
- Don't block sharing with unrealistic quality controls
 - You might loose organisations that might turn into valuable contributors
 - Organisations will start sharing junk to stay above the thresholds
- Encourage improving by doing
 - What should the information look like?
 - How should it be contextualised
 - ► What do you consider as useful information?
 - What tools did you use to get your conclusions?
- Side effect is that you will end up raising the capabilities of your constituents

- Convert the passive organisations into actively sharing ones
 - ► Help them increase their capabilities
 - ► Lead by example
 - Give credit where credit is due
 - Never steal the contribution of your community
 - ► Offers the possiblity to take over their data via **delegation**
 - Anonymity of organisations might help them building confidence at the beginning

- Encourage sharing of supporting materials, scripts or guidance for protection
- Raise awareness about the benefits of a well modelled, graph-based information
- Again, context is king! If possible, make contextualisation a requirement
 - Users can then filter based on their needs
 - Classification help your peers to understand why the data is important
 - And also, why this data can be useful to them

DISPELLING THE MYTHS AROUND BLOCKERS WHEN IT COMES TO INFORMATION SHARING

- Sharing difficulties are not really technical issues but often it's a matter of **social interactions** (e.g. **trust**).
 - You can play a role here: organise regular workshops, conferences, have face to face meetings
- Legal restrictions
 - "Our legal framework doesn't allow us to share information."
 - "Risk of information leak is too high and it's too risky for our organization or partners."
- Practical restrictions
 - "We don't have information to share."
 - "We don't have time to process or contribute indicators."
 - "Our model of classification doesn't fit your model."
 - "Tools for sharing information are tied to a specific format, we use a different one."

MANAGING SUB-SHARING COMMUNITIES

- Often within a community, smaller bubbles of information sharing will form
 - e.g: Within a national private sector community, a dedicated community for financial institutions
 - ► If an incident involves multiple organisations
- MISP's sharing group serve this purpose mainly
- If you are building your own community, consider bootstraping these specific sharing community
 - Organisations can self-organise, but you are probably the ones with the know-how to get them started

COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT AND OR-CHESTRATION TOOL

- MISP is just one part of the puzzle
- Information sharing presumes knowledge of contacts
- Creating reusable community-specific distribution list need to be maintained
- Fleet management for larger organisations needs additional work

Cerebrate is an open-source tool meant to address these challenges

WHAT IS CEREBRATE?



- Open source community management and orchestration tool
- Central tool for the Melicertes 2 project (Co-funded by the EU as a CEF project)
 - Project for the CSIRT network building a common set of tools and services for the national CSIRTs
 - ► Flexible to support a wide range of communities
- Tight **integration** with various open-source tools
- Planned as the primary MISP management tool

WHY DO WE NEED CEREBRATE FROM A MISP PERSPECTIVE

■ **Deficiencies** in our current tool chain

- ► Do I really have to jump through hoops and long e-mail chains to **onboard new members**?
- How do I find trusted information on who an organisation is in MISP?
- ► How can I manage a large cluster of MISPs without tedious manual labour?
- ► If I run a community through MISP, how can I reuse my member information for other community tasks such as mailing lists?
- ► Information signing has been on the MISP roadmap for a long time where do we get ground truths for a community from?

WHAT ISSUES IS CEREBRATE TRYING TO TACKLE?


- Community management
 - ► **Repository** of organisations and individuals
 - ► Management of **sharing groups**
 - **Exchange** of contact and sharing group information
 - Cryptographic key lookup for information signing
- Local tool management
 - ► Instrumentation of local tool interconnections
 - ► Local tool **fleet management**
 - ► **Feeding** the local tools with Cerebrate data

CEREBRATE: WHAT IS AVAILABLE CURRENTLY?

- A set of Common functionalities
- Contact Database
- Sharing group management
- Cerebrate to Cerebrate synchronisation
- Mailing list management
- Local tool orchestration integration modules
- Inbox system
- Local tool fleet management

- Index of Organisations and Individuals
- Flexible meta-data model (community specific, constituency, etc)
- Content aware search functionalities

Flexible meta-data model to include community specific data point




/ 25

Content aware search functionalities: CIDR block search




Global searches on a large variety of data point



CEREBRATE: SHARING GROUP MANAGEMENT

Allow to define sharing groups composed of organisations that can be download from another Cerebrate or from MISP




CEREBRATE: SHARING GROUP MANAGEMENT

Sharing groups can also be generated based on filters via the reusable blueprints

```
#19: Non-sanctioned financial organisations
                                                                       ◎ぼは盲
                                      "AND": {
                                        "OR": {
                                          "org sector": "Financial".
                                          "sharing_group_id": 127
                                        "NOT": {
                                          "org nationality": [
                                            "Russia".
                                            "Russian Federation",
                                            "Belarus".
                                            "Republic of Belarus"
```


CEREBRATE: SYNCHRONISATION

Mechanism to exchange contact data via synchronisation




CEREBRATE: LOCAL TOOL ORCHESTRATION

Manage and configure local tools (such as MISP) via Cerebrate



CEREBRATE: LOCAL TOOL ORCHESTRATION

Inter-connect local tools (such as a MISP instance) to another through Cerebrate



USE CASE SPECIFIC TO LAW ENFORCEMENT

- Budapest convention allowed us to have a public inventory of contact infomartion
- Once this data is ingested in Cerebrate, we can make use of the search functionalities to quickly get the infomartion we need

TODO: Include picture of data stored in Cerebrate