Dissertation Notes

M.K. Lau

April 4, 2012

Dissertation Requirements Timeline

- April 2012 Assessment, prospectus review and course plan approval
 - Bio form 5 PhD Program Form
 - Bio form 10 Progress/Funding Assessment
 - Bio form 13 Teaching requirement documentation
 - Bio form 14 Scientific paper presentation documentation
- Feb 2013 Prospectus defense and oral exam
 - Bio form 7 Written exam results
 - Bio form 10 Progress/Funding Assessment
 - Bio form 8 Oral exam results REPORT
 - Bio form 8.11a Oral exam assessment/questionaire
 - Bio form 9 Prospectus approval form
 - Bio form 11 Oral exam results
 - Bio form 10 Progress/Funding Assessment
- May 2014 Final dissertation defense
 - Dissertation draft
 - Dissertation Defense Scheduling Form
 - Graduate college final oral exam form (can only be accessed by advisor)

Committee

0.1 Requirements

- 1. At least four members
- 2. At least one external member
- 3. Majority from within the department (must have voting status; this includes emeritus faculty)

4.

1 The Evolution of Interaction Networks

1.1 Stability of Communities and Evolution

MacArthur 1955

- 1. Assuming that:
 - (a) the ammount of energy entering the community does not vary with time
 - (b) the length of time that energy is retained by a species doesnt' change with time
 - (c) the population of each species varies directly with available food energy
- 2. These assumptions imply that the population of each species tends to a specific constant (example Linderman 1942)
- 3. p_{ij} = the proportion of energy transferred from species i to j
- 4. As long as all energy transfers are shown/quantified, then $\sum_{j} p_{ij} = 1$
- 5. "This equation shows that the food web considered as an energy transformer is what is known in probability theory as a Markov chain (Feller 1950)."

Given the assumptions, the species' populations should tend toward a constant.

However, since populations fluctuate in nature, at least one of the assumptions must not hold.

Community Stability = the constancy of species' abundances over time

Stability can arise in two ways:

- (a) Patterns of interactions among species
- (b) Properties intrinsic to each species

Stability (S) increases with the number of pathways (p_i) , as $S = -\sum p_i log(p_i)$

There are several interesting properties of stability:

- (a) stability increases with the total number of links
- (b) stability will increase with richness (given the number of prey per species remaines constant)
- (c) Given 1 and 2, stability can be achieved by a large number of species with a restricted diet or a few species with a large number of prey
- (d) Maximum stability for m species is achieved by m trophic levels with every species eating all species in lower trophic levels
- (e) Minimum stability would arise with one species eating all others in a single lower trophic level

2 How does genetic variation influence ecological network structure?

- Does genetic variation within a foundation species lead to modularity in cooccurrence patterns of associated species?
- Approach:
 - 1. Use Lonsdorf's simulation to generate communities
 - 2. Model communities as bipartite graphs using relative abundances
 - 3. Generate structural statistics (including modularity and nestedness)
 - 4. Look for relationship with genetic variability
 - 5. Add layers to simulation, such as interactions among arthropods (e.g. intransitivity)

3 How does genetic variability contribute to the structure of plant-herbivore (and predator) networks?

- Does the modular structure of plant-herbivore interaction networks arise from crosstype or genotype variability?
- Approach:
 - 1. Gina's, Art's, Sharon's and Dave's arthropod data
 - 2. Reduce to just known herbivores (and maybe omnivores) and analyze for modularity
 - 3. Use herbivore and predator co-occurrences to generate herbivore-predator (and maybe parasite) networks and analyze for network structural similarity among crosstypes/genotypes

4 How does genotypic variability influence the structure of lichen species interactions?

- How do lichen co-occurrence patterns vary among genotypes?
- Approach:
 - 1. Collect co-occurrence data for bark lichen associated with cottonwood individuals of known genotype
 - 2. Model co-occurrence patterns using standard co-occurrence methods and network modeling algorithms
 - 3. Test for the effect or similarity of genotypes with respect to lichen cooccurrence structure
 - 4. Analyze the structural patterns that are changing among genotypes
 - 5. Analyze the individual species responses

5 How does genotypic variation influence the structure of modifier-inquiline interactions?

• How does host genotype directly and indirectly influence the interaction between leaf-modifiers and inquilines associated with their modification structures?

• Approach:

- 1. Survey the abundance of leaf-modifiers in the wild along a hybridizing system
- 2. Survey leaf-modifiers and their inquilines in the common garden
- 3. Create artificial leaf-modifications that mimic the leaf-modifier species (i.e. paper clip method used in Martinsen et al. 2000)
- 4. Survey leaf modifications for inquilines
- 5. Build networks for each tree using the inquiline abundance data (possibly separate out known herbivores from predators)
- 6. Analyze networks for structural similarity among genotypes
- 7. Analyze for individual species responses (locations in networks)

6 How does plant facilitation influence the co-occurrence patterns of associated plant species?

- Are there phylogenetic patterns in the effect of nurse plant on associated species co-occurrences?
- Approach:
 - 1. Cleanup Alpine Pals' global community dataset
 - 2. Get phylo-stats from Brad
 - 3. Model co-occurrence networks using distance based method
 - 4. Look for correlation between phylo-stats and co-occurrence network structure
 - 5. Explore to find the source of the phylogenetic patterns
- How does intraspecific variation influence inter-plant interactions?
- Approach:
 - 1. Get Lamit's plant data
 - 2. Model as a bipartite graph
 - 3. Analyze for modularity