Nuclear Shell Model

Morten Hjorth-Jensen, National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA & Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

Slater determinants as basis states, Repetition

The simplest possible choice for many-body wavefunctions are **product** wavefunctions. That is

$$\Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_A) \approx \phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\phi_3(x_3)\ldots$$

because we are really only good at thinking about one particle at a time. Such product wavefunctions, without correlations, are easy to work with; for example, if the single-particle states $\phi_i(x)$ are orthonormal, then the product wavefunctions are easy to orthonormalize.

Similarly, computing matrix elements of operators are relatively easy, because the integrals factorize.

The price we pay is the lack of correlations, which we must build up by using many, many product wavefunctions. (Thus we have a trade-off: compact representation of correlations but difficult integrals versus easy integrals but many states required.)

Slater determinants as basis states, repetition

Because we have fermions, we are required to have antisymmetric wavefunctions, e.g.

$$\Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_A) = -\Psi(x_2, x_1, x_3, \ldots, x_A)$$

etc. This is accomplished formally by using the determinantal formalism

$$\Psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A!}} \det \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(x_1) & \phi_1(x_2) & \dots & \phi_1(x_A) \\ \phi_2(x_1) & \phi_2(x_2) & \dots & \phi_2(x_A) \\ \vdots & & & & \\ \phi_A(x_1) & \phi_A(x_2) & \dots & \phi_A(x_A) \end{vmatrix}$$

Product wavefunction + antisymmetry = Slater determinant.

Slater determinants as basis states

$$\Psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(x_1) & \phi_1(x_2) & \dots & \phi_1(x_A) \\ \phi_2(x_1) & \phi_2(x_2) & \dots & \phi_2(x_A) \\ \vdots & & & & \\ \phi_A(x_1) & \phi_A(x_2) & \dots & \phi_A(x_A) \end{vmatrix}$$

Properties of the determinant (interchange of any two rows or any two columns yields a change in sign; thus no two rows and no two columns can be the same) lead to the Pauli principle:

- No two particles can be at the same place (two columns the same); and
- No two particles can be in the same state (two rows the same).

Slater determinants as basis states

As a practical matter, however, Slater determinants beyond N=4 quickly become unwieldy. Thus we turn to the **occupation** representation or second quantization to simplify calculations. The occupation representation, using fermion creation and annihilation operators, is compact and efficient. It is also abstract and, at first encounter, not easy to internalize. It is inspired by other operator formalism, such as the ladder operators for the harmonic oscillator or for angular momentum, but unlike those cases, the operators do not have coordinate space representations.

Instead, one can think of fermion creation/annihilation operators as a game of symbols that compactly reproduces what one would do, albeit clumsily, with full coordinate-space Slater determinants.

Quick repetition of the occupation representation

We start with a set of orthonormal single-particle states $\{\phi_i(x)\}$. (Note: this requirement, and others, can be relaxed, but leads to a more involved formalism.) **Any** orthonormal set will do.

To each single-particle state $\phi_i(x)$ we associate a creation operator \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} and an annihilation operator \hat{a}_i .

When acting on the vacuum state $|0\rangle$, the creation operator \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} causes a particle to occupy the single-particle state $\phi_i(x)$:

$$\phi_i(x) \rightarrow \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} |0\rangle$$

Quick repetition of the occupation representation

But with multiple creation operators we can occupy multiple states:

$$\phi_i(x)\phi_j(x')\phi_k(x'') \rightarrow \hat{a}_i^{\dagger}\hat{a}_i^{\dagger}\hat{a}_k^{\dagger}|0\rangle.$$

Now we impose antisymmetry, by having the fermion operators satisfy **anticommutation relations**:

$$\hat{a}_i^{\dagger}\hat{a}_j^{\dagger}+\hat{a}_j^{\dagger}\hat{a}_i^{\dagger}=[\hat{a}_i^{\dagger},\hat{a}_j^{\dagger}]_+=\{\hat{a}_i^{\dagger},\hat{a}_j^{\dagger}\}=0$$

so that

$$\hat{a}_i^{\dagger}\hat{a}_j^{\dagger}=-\hat{a}_j^{\dagger}\hat{a}_i^{\dagger}$$

Quick repetition of the occupation representation

Because of this property, automatically $\hat{a}_i^{\dagger}\hat{a}_i^{\dagger}=0$, enforcing the Pauli exclusion principle. Thus when writing a Slater determinant using creation operators,

$$\hat{a}_i^{\dagger}\hat{a}_j^{\dagger}\hat{a}_k^{\dagger}\dots|0\rangle$$

each index i, j, k, \ldots must be unique.

We have defined the ansatz for the ground state as

$$|\Phi_0
angle = \left(\prod_{i\leq F}\hat{a}_i^\dagger
ight)|0
angle,$$

where the index i defines different single-particle states up to the Fermi level. We have assumed that we have N fermions. A given one-particle-one-hole (1p1h) state can be written as

$$|\Phi_i^a\rangle = \hat{a}_a^{\dagger}\hat{a}_i|\Phi_0\rangle,$$

while a 2p2h state can be written as

$$|\Phi_{ii}^{ab}\rangle = \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{b}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{j}\hat{a}_{i}|\Phi_{0}\rangle,$$

and a general NpNh state as

$$|\Phi_{iik...}^{abc...}\rangle = \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{b}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{c}^{\dagger}\dots\hat{a}_{k}\hat{a}_{j}\hat{a}_{i}|\Phi_{0}\rangle.$$

We can then expand our exact state function for the ground state as

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = C_0|\Phi_0\rangle + \sum_{ai} C_i^a|\Phi_i^a\rangle + \sum_{abii} C_{ij}^{ab}|\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle + \cdots = (C_0 + \hat{C})|\Phi_0\rangle,$$

where we have introduced the so-called correlation operator

$$\hat{C} = \sum_{ai} C_i^a \hat{a}_a^\dagger \hat{a}_i + \sum_{abij} C_{ij}^{ab} \hat{a}_a^\dagger \hat{a}_b^\dagger \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i + \dots$$

Since the normalization of Ψ_0 is at our disposal and since C_0 is by hypothesis non-zero, we may arbitrarily set $C_0=1$ with corresponding proportional changes in all other coefficients. Using this so-called intermediate normalization we have

$$\langle \Psi_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle = \langle \Phi_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle = 1,$$

resulting in

We rewrite

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \mathit{C}_0 |\Phi_0\rangle + \sum_{ai} \mathit{C}_i^a |\Phi_i^a\rangle + \sum_{abii} \mathit{C}_{ij}^{ab} |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle + \ldots,$$

in a more compact form as

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \sum_{PH} C_H^P \Phi_H^P = \left(\sum_{PH} C_H^P \hat{A}_H^P\right) |\Phi_0\rangle,$$

where H stands for $0, 1, \ldots, n$ hole states and P for $0, 1, \ldots, n$ particle states. Our requirement of unit normalization gives

$$\langle \Psi_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle = \sum_{PH} | \textit{C}_H^{\textit{P}} |^2 = 1, \label{eq:phi0}$$

and the energy can be written as

$$E = \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \sum_{l} C_H^{*P} \langle \Phi_H^P | \hat{H} | \Phi_{H'}^{P'} \rangle C_{H'}^{P'}.$$

Normally

$$E = \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \sum_{PP'HH'} C_H^{*P} \langle \Phi_H^P | \hat{H} | \Phi_{H'}^{P'} \rangle C_{H'}^{P'},$$

is solved by diagonalization setting up the Hamiltonian matrix defined by the basis of all possible Slater determinants. A diagonalization is equivalent to finding the variational minimum of

$$\langle \Psi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle - \lambda \langle \Psi_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle$$
,

where λ is a variational multiplier to be identified with the energy of the system. The minimization process results in

$$\delta \left[\langle \Psi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle - \lambda \langle \Psi_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle \right] =$$

$$\sum_{Pll''}\Big\{\delta[C_{H}^{*P}]\langle\Phi_{H}^{P}|\hat{H}|\Phi_{H'}^{P'}\rangle C_{H'}^{P'}+C_{H}^{*P}\langle\Phi_{H}^{P}|\hat{H}|\Phi_{H'}^{P'}\rangle\delta[C_{H'}^{P'}]-\lambda(\delta[C_{H}^{*P}]C_{H'}^{P'}+$$

An alternative way to derive the last equation is to start from

$$(\hat{H} - E)|\Psi_0\rangle = (\hat{H} - E)\sum_{P'H'}C_{H'}^{P'}|\Phi_{H'}^{P'}\rangle = 0,$$

and if this equation is successively projected against all Φ_H^P in the expansion of Ψ , then the last equation on the previous slide results. As stated previously, one solves this equation normally by diagonalization. If we are able to solve this equation exactly (that is numerically exactly) in a large Hilbert space (it will be truncated in terms of the number of single-particle states included in the definition of Slater determinants), it can then serve as a benchmark for other many-body methods which approximate the correlation operator $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

For reasons to come (links with Coupled-Cluster theory and Many-Body perturbation theory), we will rewrite Eq. ($\ref{eq:coupled}$) as a set of coupled non-linear equations in terms of the unknown coefficients C_H^P .

To see this, we look at the contributions arising from

$$\langle \Phi_H^P | = \langle \Phi_0 |$$

in Eq. (??), that is we multiply with $\langle \Phi_0 |$ from the left in

$$(\hat{H}-E)\sum_{P',H'}C_{H'}^{P'}|\Phi_{H'}^{P'}\rangle=0.$$

If we assume that we have a two-body operator at most, Slater's rule gives then an equation for the correlation energy in terms of C_i^a and C_{ii}^{ab} only. We get then

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{ai} \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_i^a \rangle C_i^a + \sum_{abii} \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{ij}^{ab} \rangle C_{ij}^{ab} = 0,$$

Inserting the various matrix elements we can rewrite the previous equation as

$$\Delta E = \sum_{ai} \langle i | \hat{f} | a \rangle C_i^a + \sum_{abii} \langle ij | \hat{v} | ab \rangle C_{ij}^{ab}.$$

This equation determines the correlation energy but not the coefficients C. We need more equations. Our next step is to set up

$$\langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{bj} \langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_j^b \rangle C_j^b + \sum_{bcjk} \langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{jk}^{bc} \rangle C_{jk}^{bc} + \sum_{bcdjkl} \langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{H} \rangle C_j^b + \sum_{bcjkl} \langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{$$

as this equation will allow us to find an expression for the coefficients C_i^a since we can rewrite this equation as

$$\langle i|\hat{f}|a\rangle + \langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{H}|\Phi_i^a\rangle C_i^a + \sum_{bj\neq ai} \langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{H}|\Phi_j^b\rangle C_j^b + \sum_{bcjk} \langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{H}|\Phi_{jk}^{bc}\rangle C_{jk}^{bc} + \sum_{bcdjkl} \langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{H}|\Phi_j^{bc}\rangle C_{jk}^{bc} + \sum_{bcdjkl} \langle \Phi_i^a|\Phi_j^{bc}\rangle C_{jk}^{bc}$$

We rewrite this equation as

$$C_i^a = -(\langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{H} | \Phi_i^a \rangle^{-1}$$

$$\times \left(\langle i|\hat{f}|a\rangle + \sum_{bj\neq ai} \langle \Phi^a_i|\hat{H}|\Phi^b_j\rangle C^b_j + \sum_{bcjk} \langle \Phi^a_i|\hat{H}|\Phi^{bc}_{jk}\rangle C^{bc}_{jk} + \sum_{bcdjkl} \langle \Phi^a_i|\hat{H}|\Phi^{bcd}_{jkl}\rangle \right)$$
 Since these equations are solved iteratively (that is we can start with a guess for the coefficients C^a_i), it is common to start the iteration by setting

$$C_i^a = -rac{\langle i|\hat{f}|a
angle}{\langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{H}|\Phi_i^a
angle},$$

and the denominator can be written as

$$C_i^a = \frac{\langle i|\hat{f}|a\rangle}{\langle i|\hat{f}|i\rangle - \langle a|\hat{f}|a\rangle + \langle ai|\hat{v}|ai\rangle}.$$

The observant reader will however see that we need an equation for

For C_{ik}^{bc} we need then

$$\langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij}|\hat{H} - E|\Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{kc} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij}|\hat{H} - E|\Phi^c_k \rangle C^c_k +$$

$$\sum_{cdkl} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{kl}^{cd} \rangle C_{kl}^{cd} + \sum_{cdeklm} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{klm}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{ij}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \Phi_{ij}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}^{cde} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \Phi_{ij}^{cde} \rangle C_{klm}$$

and we can isolate the coefficients C^{cd}_{kl} in a similar way as we did for the coefficients C^a_i . At the end we can rewrite our solution of the Schrödinger equation in terms of n coupled equations for the coefficients C^P_H . This is a very cumbersome way of solving the equation. However, by using this iterative scheme we can illustrate how we can compute the various terms in the wave operator or correlation operator \hat{C} . We will later identify the calculation of the various terms C^P_H as parts of different many-body approximations to full CI. In particular, ww can relate this non-linear scheme with Coupled Cluster theory and many-body perturbation theory.

If we use a Hartree-Fock basis, we simplify this equation

$$\Delta E = \sum_{\mathit{ai}} \langle i | \hat{f} | \mathit{a} \rangle \mathit{C}^{\mathit{a}}_{i} + \sum_{\mathit{abij}} \langle i j | \hat{v} | \mathit{ab} \rangle \mathit{C}^{\mathit{ab}}_{ij}.$$

What about

$$\langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{bj} \langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_j^b \rangle C_j^b + \sum_{bcjk} \langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_{jk}^{bc} \rangle C_{jk}^{bc} + \sum_{bcdjkl} \langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{H} \rangle C_j^b + \sum_{bc$$

and

$$\langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij}|\hat{H}-E|\Phi_0\rangle + \sum_{kc} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij}|\hat{H}-E|\Phi^c_k\rangle C^c_k + \sum_{cdkl} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij}|\hat{H}-E|\Phi^{cd}_{kl}\rangle C^{cd}_{kl} +$$

$$\sum_{cdeklm} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cdef}_{klmn} \rangle C^{cdef}_{klmn} = 0?$$

Let us now sketch how construct a working code that constructs the many-body Hamiltonian matrix in a basis of Slater determinants and allows us to find the low-lying eigenenergies. This is referred to as the configuration-interaction method or shell-model diagonalization (or the interacting shell model).

The first step in such codes is to construct the many-body basis. While the formalism is independent of the choice of basis, the effectiveness of a calculation will certainly be basis dependent. Furthermore there are common conventions useful to know.

First, the single-particle basis has angular momentum as a good quantum number. You can imagine the single-particle wavefunctions being generated by a one-body Hamiltonian, for example a harmonic oscillator. Modifications include harmonic oscillator plus spin-orbit splitting, or self-consistent mean-field potentials, or the Woods-Saxon potential which mocks up the self-consistent mean-field.

For nuclei, the harmonic oscillator, modified by spin-orbit splitting, provides a useful language for describing single-particle states. Each single-particle state is labeled by the following quantum numbers:

- Orbital angular momentum /
- ▶ Intrinsic spin s = 1/2 for protons and neutrons
- Angular momentum $j = l \pm 1/2$
- \triangleright z-component i_z (or m)
- Some labeling of the radial wavefunction, typically *n* the

In this format one labels states by $n(I)_j$, with (I) replaced by a letter: s for I=0, p for I=1, d for I=2, f for I=3, and thenceforth alphabetical.

In practice the single-particle space has to be severely truncated. This truncation is typically based upon the single-particle energies, which is the effective energy from a mean-field potential. Sometimes we freeze the core and only consider a valence space. For example, one may assume a frozen ^4He core, with two protons and two neutrons in the $0s_{1/2}$ shell, and then only allow active particles in the $0p_{1/2}$ and $0p_{3/2}$ orbits.

Another example is a frozen $^{16}{\rm O}$ core, with eight protons and eight neutrons filling the $0s_{1/2}$, $0p_{1/2}$ and $0p_{3/2}$ orbits, with valence particles in the $0d_{5/2}$, $1s_{1/2}$ and $0d_{3/2}$ orbits.

Sometimes we refer to nuclei by the valence space where their last nucleons go. So, for example, we call 12 C a p-shell nucleus, while 26 Al is an sd-shell nucleus and 56 Fe is a pf-shell nucleus.

There are different kinds of truncations.

- ► For example, one can start with 'filled' orbits (almost always the lowest), and then allow one, two, three... particles excited out of those filled orbits. These are called 1p-1h, 2p-2h, 3p-3h excitations.
- Alternately, one can state a maximal orbit and allow all possible configurations with particles occupying states up to that maximum. This is called *full configuration*.
- Finally, for particular use in nuclear physics, there is the *energy* truncation, also called the $N\hbar\Omega$ or N_{max} truncation.

Here one works in a harmonic oscillator basis, with each major oscillator shell assigned a principal quantum number $N=0,1,2,3,\ldots$

The $N\hbar\Omega$ or N_{max} truncation: Any configuration is given an noninteracting energy, which is the sum of the single-particle harmonic oscillator energies. (Thus this ignores spin-orbit splitting.)

Excited state are labeled relative to the lowest configuration by the number of harmonic oscillator quanta.

This truncation is useful because: if one includes *all* configuration up to some N_{max} , and has a translationally invariant interaction, then the intrinsic motion and the center-of-mass motion factor. In other words, we can know exactly the center-of-mass wavefunction.

In almost all cases, the many-body Hamiltonian is rotationally invariant. This means it commutes with the operators \hat{J}^2 , \hat{J}_z and so eigenstates will have good J, M. Furthermore, the eigenenergies do not depend upon the orientation M.

Therefore we can choose to construct a many-body basis which has fixed M; this is called an M-scheme basis.

Alternately, one can construct a many-body basis which has fixed J, or a J-scheme basis.

The Hamiltonian matrix will have smaller dimensions (a factor of 10 or more) in the *J*-scheme than in the *M*-scheme. On the other hand, as we'll show in the next slide, the *M*-scheme is very easy to construct with Slater determinants, while the *J*-scheme basis states, and thus the matrix elements, are more complicated, almost always being linear combinations of *M*-scheme states. *J*-scheme bases are important and useful, but we'll focus on the simpler *M*-scheme.

The quantum number m is additive (because the underlying group is Abelian): if a Slater determinant $\hat{a}_i^{\dagger}\hat{a}_j^{\dagger}\hat{a}_k^{\dagger}\dots|0\rangle$ is built from single-particle states all with good m, then the total

$$M = m_i + m_i + m_k + \dots$$

This is *not* true of J, because the angular momentum group SU(2) is not Abelian.

The upshot is that

- ▶ It is easy to construct a Slater determinant with good total *M*;
- ▶ It is trivial to calculate *M* for each Slater determinant;
- ► So it is easy to construct an *M*-scheme basis with fixed total *M*.

Note that the individual M-scheme basis states will not, in general, have good total J. Because the Hamiltonian is rotationally invariant, however, the eigenstates will have good J. (The situation is muddied when one has states of different J that are nonetheless

⊏xampı	e: τ	wo .	J=1	/ 2 orbi	τs
Index	n	1	j	m_j	
1	0	0	1/2	-1/2	
2	0	0	1/2	1/2	

4 1 0 1/2 1/2

Note: the order is arbitrary. There are $\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = 6$ two-particle

states, which we list with the total M:

Occupied	Μ	
1,2	0	
1,3	-1	
1,4	0	
2,3	0	
2,4	1	
3,4	0	
and 1 each	with	Μ.

and 1 each with $M = \pm 1$.

Example: consider using only single particle states from the $0\,d_{5/2}$ space. They have the following quantum numbers

Index	n	1	j	m_j	
1	0	2	5/2	-5/2	
2	0	2	5/2	-3/2	
3	0	2	5/2	-1/2	
4	0	2	5/2	1/2	
5	0	2	5/2	3/2	
6	0	2	5/2	5/2	
/ 6 \					

There are
$$\begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = 15$$
 two-particle states, which we list with the

total M:

Occupied	М	Occupied	М	Occupied	Μ
1,2	-4	2,3	-2	3,5	1
1,3	-3	2,4	-1	3,6	2
1,4	-2	2,5	0	4,5	2
1,5	-1	2,6	1	4,6	3
1,6	0	3,4	0	5,6	4

We consider a space with 2Ω single-particle states, with each state labeled by $k=1,2,3,\Omega$ and $m=\pm 1/2$. The convention is that the state with k>0 has m=+1/2 while -k has m=-1/2. The Hamiltonian we consider is

$$\hat{H} = -G\hat{P}_{+}\hat{P}_{-},$$

where

$$\hat{P}_+ = \sum_{k>0} \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{-k}^{\dagger}.$$

and $\hat{P}_- = (\hat{P}_+)^\dagger$.

This problem can be solved using what is called the quasi-spin formalism to obtain the exact results. Thereafter we will try again using the explicit Slater determinant formalism.

One can show (and this is part of the project) that

$$\left[\hat{P}_+,\hat{P}_-
ight] = \sum_{k>0} \left(\hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k + \hat{a}_{-k}^\dagger \hat{a}_{-k} - 1\right) = \hat{N} - \Omega.$$

Now define

$$\hat{P}_z = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{N} - \Omega).$$

Finally you can show

$$\left[\hat{P}_{z},\hat{P}_{\pm}\right]=\pm\hat{P}_{\pm}.$$

This means the operators \hat{P}_{\pm} , \hat{P}_z form a so-called SU(2) algebra, and we can use all our insights about angular momentum, even though there is no actual angular momentum involved So we rewrite the Hamiltonian to make this explicit:

$$\hat{H} = -G\hat{P}_{+}\hat{P}_{-} = -G\left(\hat{P}^{2} - \hat{P}_{z}^{2} + \hat{P}_{z}\right)$$

Because of the SU(2) algebra, we know that the eigenvalues of \hat{P}^2 must be of the form p(p+1), with p either integer or half-integer, and the eigenvalues of \hat{P}_z are m_p with $p \geq |m_p|$, with m_p also integer or half-integer.

But because $\hat{P}_z = (1/2)(\hat{N} - \Omega)$, we know that for N particles the value $m_p = (N - \Omega)/2$. Furthermore, the values of m_p range from $-\Omega/2$ (for N = 0) to $+\Omega/2$ (for $N = 2\Omega$, with all states filled).

We deduce the maximal $p=\Omega/2$ and for a given n the values range of p range from $|N-\Omega|/2$ to $\Omega/2$ in steps of 1 (for an even number of particles)

Following Racah we introduce the notation $p=(\Omega-v)/2$ where $v=0,2,4,...,\Omega-|N-\Omega|$ With this it is easy to deduce that the eigenvalues of the pairing Hamiltonian are

$$-G(N-v)(2\Omega+2-N-v)/4$$

This also works for N odd, with $v = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$

Let's take a specific example: $\Omega=3$ so there are 6 single-particle states, and N=3, with $\nu=1,3$. Therefore there are two distinct eigenvalues,

$$E = -2G, 0$$

Now let's work this out explicitly. The single particle degrees of freedom are defined as

muex	^	111
1	1	-1/2
2	-1	1/2
3	2	-1/2
4	-2	1/2
5	3	-1/2
6	-3	1/2
		/

There are $\begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = 20$ three-particle states, but there are 9 states

with M = +1/2, namely

 $|1,2,3\rangle, |1,2,5\rangle, |1,4,6\rangle, |2,3,4\rangle, |2,3,6\rangle, |2,4,5\rangle, |2,5,6\rangle, |3,4,6\rangle, |4,5,6\rangle$

In this basis, the operator

$$\hat{P}_{+}=\hat{a}_{1}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{2}^{\dagger}+\hat{a}_{3}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{4}^{\dagger}+\hat{a}_{5}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{6}^{\dagger}$$

From this we can determine that

$$\hat{P}_{-}|1,4,6\rangle = \hat{P}_{-}|2,3,6\rangle = \hat{P}_{-}|2,4,5\rangle = 0$$

so those states all have eigenvalue 0.

Now for further example,

$$\hat{P}_{-}|1,2,3\rangle=|3\rangle$$

SO

$$\hat{P}_{+}\hat{P}_{-}|1,2,3\rangle = |1,2,3\rangle + |3,4,3\rangle + |5,6,3\rangle$$

The second term vanishes because state 3 is occupied twice, and reordering the last term we get

$$\hat{P}_{+}\hat{P}_{-}|1,2,3\rangle=|1,2,3\rangle+|3,5,6\rangle$$

without picking up a phase.

Continuing in this fashion, with the previous ordering of the many-body states ($|1,2,3\rangle,|1,2,5\rangle,|1,4,6\rangle,|2,3,4\rangle,|2,3,6\rangle,|2,4,5\rangle,|2,5,6\rangle,|3,4,6\rangle,|4,5,6$ the Hamiltonian matrix of this system is

This is useful for our project. One can by hand confirm that there are 3 eigenvalues -2G and 6 with value zero.

Another example Using the $(1/2)^4$ single-particle space, resulting in eight single-particle states

Index	n	I	5	m_s
1	0	0	1/2	-1/2
2	0	0	1/2	1/2
3	1	0	1/2	-1/2
4	1	0	1/2	1/2
5	2	0	1/2	-1/2
6	2	0	1/2	1/2
7	3	0	1/2	-1/2
8	3	0	1/2	1/2
م عابد ام عدم		- I . :	I.	. 1

and then taking only 4-particle, M=0 states that have no 'broken pairs', there are six basis Slater determinants:

- $|1,2,3,4\rangle,$
- ► |1, 2, 5, 6⟩,
- ▶ |1,2,7,8⟩,
- |3, 4, 5, 6⟩,
- ▶ |3, 4, 7, 8⟩,

Now we take the following Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{n} n \delta \hat{N}_{n} - G \hat{P}^{\dagger} \hat{P}$$

where

$$\hat{N}_n = \hat{a}_{n,m=+1/2}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{n,m=+1/2} + \hat{a}_{n,m=-1/2}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{n,m=-1/2}$$

and

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger} = \sum_{m{n}} \hat{a}_{m{n},m=+1/2}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{m{n},m=-1/2}^{\dagger}$$

We can write down the 6×6 Hamiltonian in the basis from the prior slide:

$$H = \left(egin{array}{ccccccc} 2\delta - 2G & -G & -G & -G & -G & 0 \ -G & 4\delta - 2G & -G & -G & -G & -G \ -G & -G & 6\delta - 2G & 0 & -G & -G \ -G & -G & 0 & 6\delta - 2G & -G & -G \end{array}
ight)$$