

Formal Proof of Type Preservation of the Dictionary Passing Transform for System F

Marius Weidner

Chair of Programming Languages, University of Freiburg weidner@cs.uni-freiburg.de

Bachelor Thesis

Examiner: Prof. Dr. Peter Thiemann Advisor: Hannes Saffrich

Abstract. Most popular strongly typed programming languages support function overloading. In combination with polymorphism this leads to essential language constructs, for example typeclasses in Haskell or traits in Rust. We introduce System F_O , a minimal language extension to System F, with support for overloading. We show that the Dictionary Passing Transform from System F_O to System F is type preserving.

1 Introduction

1.1 Overloading in General

Overloading function names is a practical technique to overcome verbosity in real world programming languages. In every language there exist commonly used function names and operators that are defined for a variety of type combinations. Overloading the meaning of function names for different type combinations solves the unique name problem and helps overcome verbosity. Python uses magic methods to overload commonly used operators on user defined classes and Java utilizes method overloading. Both Python and Java implement rather restricted forms of overloading. Haskell solves the overloading problem with a more general concept called typeclasses.

1.2 Overloading in Haskell using Typeclasses

Essentially, typeclasses allow to declare function names with generic type signatures. We can give one of possibly many meanings to a typeclass by instantiating the typeclass for some concrete type. Instantiating a typeclass gives a concrete implementation to all the functions defined by the typeclass. When we invoke an overloaded function name defined by a typeclass, we expect the compiler to determine the correct instance based on the types of the arguments applied. Furthermore, Haskell allows to constrain bound type variables α via type constraints Tc $\alpha \Rightarrow \tau'$, to only be substituted by concrete types τ , if there exists an instance Tc τ .

Example: Overloading Equality in Haskell

In this example we want to overload the function $eq: \alpha \to \alpha \to Bool$ with different meanings for different substitutions $\{\alpha \mapsto \tau\}$. We want to be able to call eq on both $\{\alpha \mapsto Nat\}$ and $\{\alpha \mapsto [\beta]\}$ where β is a type and there exists an concrete instance $eq: \beta \to \beta \to Bool$. The intuition here is that we want to be able to compare natural numbers Nat and lists $[\beta]$ where the elements of type β are known to be comparable.

```
class Eq \alpha where eq :: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow Bool instance Eq Nat where eq x y = x \stackrel{.}{=} y instance Eq \beta \Rightarrow Eq [\beta] where eq [] = True eq (x : xs) (y : ys) = eq x y && eq xs ys ... eq 42 0 ... eq [42, 0] [42, 0] ...
```

First, typeclass Eq, with a single generic function signature eq :: $\alpha \to \alpha \to Bool$, is declared. Next, we instantiate Eq for $\{\alpha \mapsto Nat\}$. After that, Eq is instantiated for $\{\alpha \mapsto [\beta]\}$, given that an instance Eq β can be found. Finally, we can call eq on elements of both Nat and [Nat]. In the latter case, the type constraint Eq $\beta \Rightarrow \ldots$ in the second instance resolves to the first instance.

1.3 Introducing System F_O

In our language extension to System F [CITE] we give up high level language constructs. System F_O desugars typeclass functionality to overloaded variables. Using the decl o in e' expression we can introduce an new overloaded variable o. If declared as overloaded, o can be instantiated for type τ of expression e using the inst o = e in e' expression. In contrast to Haskell, we allow to overload o with arbitrary types. Locally shadowing other instances of the same type is allowed. Constraints can be introduced using the constraint abstraction λ (o: τ). e'. Constraint abstractions result in expressions of constraint type [o: τ] \Rightarrow τ' . Constraints are eliminated implicitly by the typing rules.

Example: Overloading Equality in System F_O

Recall the Haskell example from above. The same functionality can be expressed in System F_O . For convenience type annotations for instances are given.

```
decl eq in  \begin{split} &\text{inst eq : Nat} \to \text{Nat} \to \text{Bool} \\ &= \lambda x. \ \lambda y. \ .. \ \text{in} \\ &\text{inst eq : } \forall \beta. \ [\text{eq : } \beta \to \beta \to \text{Bool}] \ \Rightarrow \ [\beta] \to [\beta] \to \text{Bool} \\ &= \Lambda \beta. \ \lambda (\text{eq : } \beta \to \beta \to \text{Bool}). \ \lambda xs. \ \lambda ys. \ .. \ \text{in} \\ &\text{.. eq } 42 \ 0 \ .. \ \text{eq Nat} \ [42, \ 0] \ [42, \ 0] \ .. \end{aligned}
```

First, we declare eq to be an overloaded identifier and instantiate eq for equality on Nat. Next, we instantiate eq for equality on lists $[\beta]$, given the constraint eq: $\beta \to \beta \to Bool$ introduced by the constraint abstraction λ is satisfied. Because System F_O is based on System F, we are required to bind type variables using type abstractions Λ and eliminate type variables using type application.

A little caveat: the second instance needs to recursively call eq for sublists but System F_O 's formalization does not actually support recursion. Extending System F and System F_O with recursive let bindings and thus recursive instances is known to be straight forward.

1.4 Transforming System F_O to System F

The Dictionary Passing Transform translates well typed System F_O expressions to well typed System F expressions. The translation removes all decl o in e expressions. Instance expressions inst o = e in e' are replaced with let $o_\tau = e$ in e' expressions, where o_τ is an unique name with respect to type τ of expression e. Constraint abstractions λ ($o : \tau$). e' translate to normal abstractions λo_τ . e'. Hence, constraint types $[o : \tau] \Rightarrow \tau'$ are translated to function types $\tau \to \tau'$. Invocations of overloaded function names o translate to the correct unique variable name o_τ bound by the translated instance. Implicitly resolved constraints in System F_O must be explicitly passed as arguments in System F.

Example: Dicitionary Passing Transform

Recall the System F_O example from above. We use indices to represent unique names. Applying the Dictionary Passing Transform to well typed System F_O results in well typed System F.

```
let eq<sub>1</sub>: Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Bool

= \lambda x. \lambda y. . . in

let eq<sub>2</sub>: \forall \beta. (\beta \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow [\beta] \rightarrow [\beta] \rightarrow Bool

= \Lambda \beta. \lambda eq_1. \lambda xs. \lambda ys. . . in

... eq<sub>1</sub> 42 0 ... eq<sub>2</sub> Nat eq<sub>1</sub> [42, 0] [42, 0] ...
```

First we drop the decl expression and transform inst definitions to let bindings with unique names. Inside the second instance the constraint abstraction is translated into a normal lambda abstraction. Invocations of eq are translated to the correct unique variables eq_i . When invoking eq_2 the correct instance to resolve the former constraint, now higher order function, must be applied explicitly by passing instance eq_1 as argument.

1.5 Related Work

There exist other Systems to formalize overloading.

Bla, Bla & Bla introduced System O [CITE], a language extension to the Hindley Milner System, preserving full type inference. Aside from using Hindley Milner as base system, System O differs from System F_O by embedding constraints into \forall -types. Constraints can not be introduced on the expression level, instead constraints are introduced via explicit type annotations of instances. ...?

2 Preliminary

2.1 Dependently Typed Programming in Agda

Agda is a dependently typed programming language and proof assistant. [CITE] Agdas type system is based on Martin Löf's intuitionistic type theory [CITE] and allows to construct proofs based on the Curry Howard correspondence [CITE]. The Curry Howard correspondence is an isomorphic relationship between programs written in dependently typed languages and mathematical proofs written in first order logic. Because of the Curry Howard correspondence, programs in Agda correspond to proofs and formulae correspond to types. Thus, type checked Agda programs imply the correctness of the corresponding proofs, given we do not use unsafe Agda features and assuming Agda is implemented correctly.

2.2 Design Decisions for the Agda Formalization

To formalize System F and System F_O in Agda we use a single data type Term indexed by sorts s to represent the syntax. Sorts distinguish between different kinds of terms. For example, sort e_s categorizes expressions e, τ_s categorizes τ and κ_s is used to categorizes the only existing kind \star . Using a single data type to formalize the syntax yields more elegant proofs involving contexts, substitutions and renamings. In consequence we must use extrinsic typing, because intrinsically typed terms Term $e_s \vdash \text{Term } \tau_s$ would need to be indexed by themselves and Agda does not allow that. In the actual implementation Term has another index S, that we will ignore for now.

2.3 Verbal Formulation of the Type Preservation Proof

Our goal will be to prove that the Dictionary Passing Transform is type preserving. Let $\vdash t$ be any well formed System F_O term $\Gamma \vdash_{F_O} t : T$, where t is a $\mathsf{Term}_{F_O} s$, T is a $\mathsf{Term}_{F_O} s$ and s is the sort of the typing result for terms of sort s. There exist two cases for typings: $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash \tau : \star$. Let $\leadsto : (\Gamma \vdash_{F_O} t : T) \to \mathsf{Term}_F s$ be the Dictionary Passing Transform that translates well typed System F_O terms to untyped System F terms. Further let $\leadsto_{\Gamma} : \mathsf{Ctx}_{F_O} \to \mathsf{Ctx}_F$ be the transform of contexts and $\leadsto_T : \mathsf{Term}_{F_O} s \to \mathsf{Term}_F s$ be the transform of untyped types and kinds. We show that for all well typed System F_O terms $\vdash t$ the Dictionary Passing Transform results in a well typed System F term $(\leadsto_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_F (\leadsto_{\Gamma} t) : (\leadsto_T T)$.

3 System F

3.1 Specification

Sorts

The formalization of System F requires three sorts: e_s for expressions, τ_s for types and κ_s for kinds.

```
data Sort : Ctxable \rightarrow Set where

e_s : Sort \top^C

\tau_s : Sort \top^C

\kappa_s : Sort \bot^C
```

Sorts are indexed by boolean data type Ctxable. Index \top^C indicates that variables for terms of some sort s can be bound. In contrast, \bot^C says that variables for terms of some s cannot be bound. Hence, System F support abstractions over expressions and types, but not over kinds. Going forward we use shorthand Sorts = List (Sort \top^C), variable s for sorts and variable s for lists of contextable sorts.

Syntax

The syntax of System F is represented as single data type Term, indexed by sorts S and sort s. The index s represents the sort of the term itself. The index S is inspired by Debruijn indices [CITE], where we reference variables using numbers that count the amount of binders we need to go back to find the binder corresponding to our variable. In Agda, we often index terms by the amount of bound variables to ensure only bound variables can be referenced using their Debruijn index. But indexing our term with a natural number is not sufficient, since System F has both expression and type variables. Thus, we need to extend the idea to be able to distinguish variables of different sorts. Hence, the length of S represents the amount of bound variables and the elements s_i of the list represent the sort of the variable bound at that debruijn index.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{data} \  \, \mathsf{Term} : \mathsf{Sorts} \, \to \mathsf{Sort} \, \, r \, \to \mathsf{Set} \, \, \mathsf{where} \\ `\_ & : s \, \in \, S \, \to \mathsf{Term} \, \, S \, \, s \\ \mathsf{tt} & : \, \mathsf{Term} \, \, S \, \, \mathsf{e}_s \\ \lambda `\mathsf{x} \to \_ & : \, \mathsf{Term} \, \, (S \, \rhd \, \mathsf{e}_s) \, \, \mathsf{e}_s \, \to \mathsf{Term} \, \, S \, \, \mathsf{e}_s \end{array}
```

Variables 'x are represented as references $s \in S$ to an element in S. Memberships of type $s \in S$ are defined similar to natural numbers. Memberships can either be here refl, where refl is prove we found our element or there x, where x is another membership. In consequence we can only reference already bound variables. The unit element tt and unit type ' \top represent base types. Lambda abstractions $\lambda' \times \rightarrow e'$ result in function types $\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2$ and type abstractions $\Lambda' \times \rightarrow e'$ result in forall types $\forall' \times \tau'$. To eliminate abstractions we use application $e_1 \cdot e_2$ and type application $e \cdot \tau$ to eliminate type abstractions. Let bindings $|\text{et'} \times = e_2|$ in e_1 combine abstraction and application. All types τ have kind \star . We use shorthands $\text{Var } S \cdot s = s \in S$, Expr $S = \text{Term } S \cdot e_s$, Type $S = \text{Term } S \cdot \tau_s$ and variable names x, e and τ respectively, as well as t for arbitrary $\text{Term } S \cdot s$.

Renaming

Renamings ρ of type Ren S_1 S_2 are defined as total functions mapping variables Var S_1 s to variables Var S_2 s preserving the sort s of the variable.

```
Ren : Sorts \rightarrow Sorts \rightarrow Set
Ren S_1 S_2 = \forall \{s\} \rightarrow  Var S_1 \ s \rightarrow  Var S_2 \ s
```

Applying a renaming Ren S_1 S_2 to a term Term S_1 s yields a new term Term S_2 s where variables are now represented as references to elements in S_2 .

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{ren}:\operatorname{Ren}\,S_1\,\,S_2 \to (\operatorname{Term}\,S_1\,\,s \to \operatorname{Term}\,S_2\,\,s) \\ \operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\left( {}^{'}\,x \right) = {}^{'}\,\left( \rho\,x \right) \\ \operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\operatorname{tt} = \operatorname{tt} \\ \operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\left( \lambda{}^{'}\!\! x \! \to \! e \right) = \lambda{}^{'}\!\! x \! \to (\operatorname{ren}\,\left(\operatorname{ext}_r\,\rho\right)\,e) \\ \operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\left( \lambda{}^{'}\!\! x \! \to \! e \right) = \lambda{}^{'}\!\! x \! \to (\operatorname{ren}\,\left(\operatorname{ext}_r\,\rho\right)\,e) \\ \operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\left( e_1 \cdot e_2 \right) = (\operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,e_1) \cdot (\operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,e_2) \\ \operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\left( e \bullet \tau \right) = (\operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,e) \bullet (\operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\tau) \\ \operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\left(\operatorname{let}'\!\! x \! = \! e_2 \text{ in } e_1 \right) = \operatorname{let}'\!\! x \! = (\operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,e_2) \text{ 'in } \operatorname{ren}\left(\operatorname{ext}_r\,\rho\right)\,e_1 \\ \operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\left( \tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \right) = \operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\tau_1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\tau_2 \\ \operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\left(\forall{}^{'}\!\! \alpha\,\tau\right) = \forall{}^{'}\!\! \alpha\,\left(\operatorname{ren}\,\left(\operatorname{ext}_r\,\rho\right)\,\tau\right) \\ \operatorname{ren}\,\rho\,\star = \star \end{array}
```

When we encounter a binder for a term of sort s, the renaming is extended using $\operatorname{\mathsf{ext}}_r$: Ren S_1 $S_2 \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Ren}} (S_1 \rhd s)$ $(S_2 \rhd s)$. The weakening of a term can be defined as shifting all variables by one.

```
wk : Term S \ s \rightarrow \mathsf{Term} \ (S \rhd s') \ s wk = ren there
```

Since variables are represented as references to a list, shifting variables is simply wrapping them in the there constructor.

Substitution

Substitutions σ of type Sub S_1 S_2 are similar to renamings but rather than mapping variables to variables, substitutions map variables to terms.

```
Sub : Sorts \rightarrow Sorts \rightarrow Set
Sub S_1 S_2 = \forall \{s\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Var}\ S_1\ s \rightarrow \mathsf{Term}\ S_2\ s
```

Applying a substitution to a term, using the sub function, is analogous to applying a renaming using ren. Function t [t'] substitutes the last bound variable in t with t'.

```
 \underline{-[\_]}: \mathsf{Term}\ (S \vartriangleright s')\ s \to \mathsf{Term}\ S\ s' \to \mathsf{Term}\ S\ s t \ [\ t'\ ] = \mathsf{sub}\ (\mathsf{single}_s\ \mathsf{id}_s\ t')\ t
```

A single substitution $\operatorname{single}_s:\operatorname{Sub} S_1 S_2 \to \operatorname{Term} S_2 s \to \operatorname{Sub} (S_1 \rhd s) S_2$ introduces t' to an existing substitution σ' . In the case of _[_] we let σ' be the identity substitution $\operatorname{id}_s:\operatorname{Sub} S S$.

Context

Similar to terms, typing contexts Γ of type Ctx S are also indexed by S. In consequence only types and kinds for already bound variables can be stored in Γ .

```
data Ctx : Sorts → Set where
\emptyset : Ctx []

► : Ctx S → Term S (kind-of s) → Ctx (S \triangleright s)
```

A context can either be empty \emptyset or cons $\Gamma \triangleright T$, where T is a term of sort kind-of s. The function kind-of maps contextable sorts s to sorts s'. For variables of sort s a term of sort s' is stored in Γ .

```
kind-of e_s = \tau_s
kind-of \tau_s = \kappa_s
```

Expressions variables require Γ to store the corresponding type and types need their kind stored in Γ . We will use T as shorthand for the term with sort kind-of s.

Typing

The typing relation $\Gamma \vdash t$: T relates terms t to their typing result T in context Γ .

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{data} \ \_\vdash \_ : \_ : \mathsf{Ctx} \ S \to \mathsf{Term} \ S \ s \to \mathsf{Term} \ S \ (\mathsf{kind-of} \ s) \to \mathsf{Set} \ \mathsf{where} \\ \vdash `x : \\ \mathsf{lookup} \ \varGamma \ x \ \equiv \ \tau \to \\ \varGamma \vdash ` \ x : \ \tau \\ \vdash \top : \end{array}
```

```
\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{tt} : `\top
⊢λ:
     \Gamma \triangleright \tau \vdash e : \mathsf{wk} \ \tau' \rightarrow
     \Gamma \vdash \lambda' x \rightarrow e : \tau \Rightarrow \tau'
⊢Λ :
     \Gamma \blacktriangleright \star \vdash e : \tau \rightarrow
     \Gamma \vdash \Lambda' \alpha \rightarrow e : \forall' \alpha \tau
     \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow
     \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1 \rightarrow
     \Gamma \vdash e_1 \cdot e_2 : \tau_2
     \Gamma \vdash e : \forall `\alpha \tau' \rightarrow
     \Gamma \vdash e \bullet \tau : \tau' [\tau]
⊢let :
     \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \rightarrow
     \Gamma \triangleright \tau \vdash e_1 : \mathsf{wk} \ \tau' \rightarrow
     \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{let'x} = e_2 \text{ in } e_1 : \tau'
⊢τ:
     \Gamma \vdash \tau : \star
```

Rule \vdash 'x says that a variable 'x has type τ if x has type τ in Γ . Next, $\vdash \top$ states that unit expression tt has type ' \top . The rule for abstractions $\vdash \lambda$ introduces a variable of type τ to body e. Because body type τ ' cannot use the introduced expression variable, we let τ ' have one variable bound less and weaken it to be compatible with context Γ \blacktriangleright τ . Hence τ ' is compatible in the list of bound variables with τ to form the resulting function type $\tau \Rightarrow \tau$ '. Type abstraction rule $\vdash \Lambda$ introduces a type of kind \star to body e and results in forall type \forall ' α τ , where τ is the type of body e. Application is handled by rule \vdash · and says that, if e_1 is a function from τ_1 to τ_2 and e_2 has type τ_1 , then e_1 · e_2 has type τ_2 . Similarly, type application rule \vdash • states that, if e has type \forall ' α τ ' a can be substituted with another type τ in τ '. Rule \vdash let combines the abstraction and application rule. Finally, rule $\vdash \tau$ indicates that all types τ are well formed and have kind \star . Type variables are correctly typed per definition and type constructors \forall ' α and \Rightarrow accept arbitrary types as their arguments.

Typing Renaming & Substitution

Because of extrinsic typing, both renamings and substitutions need to have typed counter parts. We formalize typed renamings as order preserving embeddings. Thus, if variable x_1 of type $s_1 \in S_1$ references an element with an index smaller than some other variable x_2 in S_1 , then renamed x_1 must still reference an element with a smaller index than renamed x_2 in S_2 . Arbitrary renaming would allow swapping types in the context and thus potentially violate the telescoping. Telescoping allows types in the context to depend on variables bound before them.

The identity renaming $\vdash \operatorname{id}_r$ is typed per definition. The extension of a renaming $\vdash \operatorname{ext}_r$ allows to extend both Γ_1 and Γ_2 by T' and renamed T' respectively. Constructor $\vdash \operatorname{ext}_r$ corresponds to the typed version of function ext_r , that is used when a binder is encountered. Further, constructor $\vdash \operatorname{drop}_r$ allows us to introduce T' only in Γ_2 . Hence, $\vdash \operatorname{drop}_r \vdash \operatorname{id}_r$ corresponds to the typed weakening of a term.

Typed Substitutions are defined as total function, similar to untyped substitutions.

Typed substitutions $\vdash \sigma$ map variables x to the corresponding typing of σ x. The type of σ x is looked up in Γ and also applied to substitution σ .

Semantics

The semantics are formalized call-by-value. That is, there is no reduction under binders. Values are indexed by their corresponding irreducible expression.

```
data Val : Expr S \rightarrow Set where v-\lambda : Val (\lambda'x\rightarrow e) v-\Lambda : Val (\Lambda'\alpha\rightarrow e) v-tt : \forall \{S\} \rightarrow Val \{t \in S\}
```

System F has three values. The two closure values $v-\lambda$ and $v-\Lambda$ and unit value v-tt. We formalize small step semantics where each constructor represents a single reduction step $e \hookrightarrow e'$. We distinguish between β and ξ rules. Meaningful computation in the form of substitution is done by β rules while ξ rules only reduce sub expressions.

```
data \_\hookrightarrow\_: Expr S \to \mathsf{Expr}\ S \to \mathsf{Set} where
    β-λ:
         Val e_2 \rightarrow
         (\lambda' x \rightarrow e_1) \cdot e_2 \hookrightarrow e_1 [e_2]
         (\Lambda' \alpha \rightarrow e) \bullet \tau \hookrightarrow e [\tau]
     \beta-let:
         Val e_2 \rightarrow
         let'x = e_2 	ilde{in} e_1 \hookrightarrow (e_1 [e_2])
          e_1 \hookrightarrow e \rightarrow
          e_1 \cdot e_2 \hookrightarrow e \cdot e_2
     \xi-\cdot2:
          e_2 \hookrightarrow e \rightarrow
         \mathsf{Val}\ e_1 \ \rightarrow
          e_1 \cdot e_2 \hookrightarrow e_1 \cdot e
     ξ. • :
          e \hookrightarrow e' \rightarrow
          e \bullet \tau \hookrightarrow e' \bullet \tau
```

```
\xi-let:

e_2 \hookrightarrow e \rightarrow

|\text{et'x} = e_2 \text{ in } e_1 \hookrightarrow |\text{et'x} = e \text{ in } e_1
```

Rules β - λ and β - Λ give meaning to application and type application by substituting the applied expression or term into the abstraction body. Reduction β -let is equivalent to application. Rules ξ - \cdot_i and ξ - \bullet evaluate sub expressions of applications until e_1 and e_2 , or e respectively, are values. Finally, ξ -let reduces the bound expression e_2 until e_2 is a value and β -let can be applied.

3.2 Soundness

Progress

We prove progress, that is, a typed expression e can either be further reduced to some e' or e is a value. The proof follows by induction over the typing rules.

```
progress:
    \emptyset \vdash e : \tau \rightarrow
   (\exists [e'] (e \hookrightarrow e')) \uplus \forall \exists [e]
\mathsf{progress} \vdash \top = \mathsf{inj}_2 \ \mathsf{v-tt}
progress (\vdash \lambda \_) = inj_2 v - \lambda
progress (\vdash \Lambda \_) = inj_2 v - \Lambda
progress (\vdash \cdot \{e_1 = e_1\} \{e_2 = e_2\} \vdash e_1 \vdash e_2) with progress \vdash e_1 \mid \mathsf{progress} \vdash e_2
... \mid \mathsf{inj}_2 \ v \mid \mathsf{inj}_1 \ (e_2 \ ' \ , \ e_2 \hookrightarrow e_2 \ ') = \mathsf{inj}_1 \ (e_1 \ \cdot \ e_2 \ ' \ , \ \xi \cdot \cdot_2 \ e_2 \hookrightarrow e_2 \ ' \ v)
... \mid \mathsf{inj}_2 \; (\mathsf{v-}\lambda \; \{e = e_1\}) \mid \mathsf{inj}_2 \; v = \mathsf{inj}_1 \; (e_1 \; [\; e_2 \; ] \; , \; \beta\text{-}\lambda \; v)
progress (\vdash \bullet \{\tau = \tau\} \vdash e) with progress \vdash e
... |\inf_1(e', e \hookrightarrow e') = \inf_1(e' \bullet \tau, \xi - \bullet e \hookrightarrow e')
... | inj_2 (v-\Lambda \{e = e\}) = inj_1 (e [\tau], \beta-\Lambda)
progress (\vdashlet \{e_2 = e_2\} \{e_1 = e_1\} \vdash e_2 \vdash e_1) with progress \vdash e_2
... |\inf_1(e_2', e_2 \hookrightarrow e_2') = \inf_1((|\text{let'x} = e_2' \text{ 'in } e_1), \xi \text{-let } e_2 \hookrightarrow e_2')
... |\inf_2 v = \inf_1 (e_1 [e_2], \beta-let v)
```

Cases $\vdash \top$, $\vdash \lambda$ and $\vdash \Lambda$ result in values. Application cases $\vdash \cdot$, $\vdash \bullet$ and $\vdash \mid$ tellow directly from the induction hypothesis.

Subject Reduction

We prove subject reduction, that is, reduction preserves typing. More specifically, an expression e with type τ still has type τ after being reduced to e'. We prove subject reduction by induction over the reduction rules.

```
subject-reduction : \forall \{\Gamma: \mathsf{Ctx}\ S\} \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash e: \tau \rightarrow e \hookrightarrow e' \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash e': \tau subject-reduction (\vdash \cdot (\vdash \lambda \vdash e_1) \vdash e_2) (\beta - \lambda \ v_2) = \mathsf{e}[\mathsf{e}]\text{-preserves} \vdash e_1 \vdash e_2 subject-reduction (\vdash \cdot \vdash e_1 \vdash e_2) (\xi - \iota_1 \ e_1 \hookrightarrow e) = \vdash \cdot (\mathsf{subject-reduction} \vdash e_1 \ e_1 \hookrightarrow e) \vdash e_2 subject-reduction (\vdash \cdot \vdash e_1 \vdash e_2) (\xi - \iota_2 \ e_2 \hookrightarrow e \ x) = \vdash \cdot \vdash e_1 (\mathsf{subject-reduction} \vdash e_2 \ e_2 \hookrightarrow e)
```

```
subject-reduction (\vdash \bullet (\vdash \land \vdash e)) \beta - \land = e[\tau]-preserves \vdash e \vdash \tau subject-reduction (\vdash \bullet \vdash e) (\xi - \bullet e \hookrightarrow e') = \vdash \bullet (\text{subject-reduction} \vdash e e \hookrightarrow e') subject-reduction (\vdash let \vdash e_2 \vdash e_1) (\beta - let v_2) = e[e]-preserves \vdash e_1 \vdash e_2 subject-reduction (\vdash let \vdash e_2 \vdash e_1) (\xi - let e_2 \hookrightarrow e') = \vdash let (\text{subject-reduction} \vdash e_2 e_2 \hookrightarrow e') \vdash e_1
```

Cases ξ -·1, ξ -·2, ξ -• and ξ -let follow directly from the induction hypothesis. For beta reduction cases β - λ , β - Λ and β -let we need to prove that the substitutions preserve typing for both e [e] and e [τ]. Both e[e]-preserves and e[τ]-preserves follow from a more general lemma $\vdash \sigma$ -preserves.

Lemma $\vdash \sigma$ -preserves follows by induction over typing rules and lemmas about the interaction between renamings and substitutions. Soundness follows as a consequence of progress and subject reduction.

4 System Fo

4.1 Specification

Sorts

In addition to the sorts of System F, System F_O introduces two new sorts: o_s for overloaded variables and c_s for constraints.

```
data Sort : Ctxable \rightarrow Set where o_s : Sort \top^C c_s : Sort \bot^C - . . .
```

Terms of sort o_s can only be constructed using the variable constructor '_. Variables for constraints do not exist and thus c_s is indexed by \perp^C .

Syntax

We only discuss additions to the syntax of System F.

Declarations decl'o'in e introduce a new overloaded variable o. Hence, S is extended by sort o_s inside the body e. Expression inst' o = e 'in e' gives overloaded variable o

an additional meaning e in e'. Constraints c can be constructed using constructor ' o: τ . Constraints are part of both constraint abstractions λ $c \Rightarrow e$ and constraint types $[c] \Rightarrow \tau$, used to introduce constraints to the expression and type level respectively. Going forward, we will use shorthand $\mathsf{Cstr}\ S = \mathsf{Term}\ S\ \mathsf{c}_s$.

Renaming & Substitution

Renamings and substitutions in System F_O are formalized identically to renamings and substitutions in System F. The only difference is that we define single substitution only on types.

```
 \underline{-[\_]} : \mathsf{Type} \ (S \vartriangleright \mathsf{\tau}_s) \to \mathsf{Type} \ S \to \mathsf{Type} \ S \\ \mathsf{\tau} \ [\ \mathsf{\tau}'\ ] = \mathsf{sub} \ (\mathsf{sing}|\mathsf{e}\text{-type}_s \ \mathsf{id}_s \ \mathsf{\tau}') \ \mathsf{\tau}
```

Because we do not formalize semantics for System F_O only substitutions of types in types are necessary. Type in type substitutions are used in the typing rule for type application.

Context

In addition to the normal context items, we also store constraints inside the context.

```
data Ctx : Sorts \rightarrow Set where
_{} \succeq : \mathsf{Ctx} \ S \to \mathsf{Cstr} \ S \to \mathsf{Ctx} \ S
```

We write $\Gamma \triangleright c$ to pick up constraint c. Constraints give an additional meaning to a overloaded variable that is already bound. Hence index S is not modified.

Constraint Solving

The search for constraints in a context is formalized analogously to membership proofs $s \in S$. The subtle difference is, that we do reference constraints in Γ and not S.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{data} \; [\; \_] \in \_ \; : \; \mathsf{Cstr} \; S \to \mathsf{Ctx} \; S \to \mathsf{Set} \; \mathsf{where} \\ \mathsf{here} \; : \; [\; (' \; o \; : \; \tau) \; ] \in \; (\Gamma \; \blacktriangleright \; (' \; o \; : \; \tau)) \\ \mathsf{under-bind} \; : \; \{I \; : \; \mathsf{Term} \; S \; (\mathsf{item-of} \; s')\} \to [\; (' \; o \; : \; \tau) \; ] \in \; \Gamma \to [\; (' \; \mathsf{there} \; o \; : \; \mathsf{wk} \; \tau) \; ] \in \; (\Gamma \; \blacktriangleright \; I) \\ \mathsf{under-cstr} \; : \; [\; c \; ] \in \; \Gamma \to [\; c \; ] \in \; (\Gamma \; \blacktriangleright \; c') \\ \end{array}
```

The here constructor is analogous to the here constructor of memberships and can be used when the last item in Γ is the constraint c, the constraint that we searched for. If the last item in the context is not the constraint c, c can be further inside the context, either behind a item stored in Γ (under-bind) or constraint (under-cstr).

Typing

Again, we only discuss typing rules not already discussed in the System F specification.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{data} \ \_\vdash \_: \_ : \mathsf{Ctx} \ S \to \mathsf{Term} \ S \ s \to \mathsf{Term} \ S \ (\mathsf{kind-of} \ s) \to \mathsf{Set} \ \mathsf{where} \\ \vdash \mathsf{inst} : \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \rightarrow \\ \Gamma \blacktriangleright (`o:\tau) \vdash e_1 : \tau' \rightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inst}``o `= e_2 `\mathsf{in} \ e_1 : \tau' \\ \vdash \mathsf{inst}``o `= e_2 `\mathsf{in} \ e_1 : \tau' \\ \vdash \mathsf{inst}``o : \tau = \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{in} \
```

Rule \vdash 'o for overloaded variables says that, if we can resolve the constraint $o: \tau$ in Γ , then o has type τ . The rule for constraint abstraction $\vdash \lambda$ appends constraint c to Γ and thus assumes c to be valid in body e. Expressions e with constraint type $[c] \Rightarrow \tau'$ have the constraint implicitly eliminated using the $\vdash \oslash$ rule, given constraint c can be resolve in Γ . Finally, the rule $\vdash \deg$ introduces a new overloaded variable to body e. Similar to the abstraction rule, type τ is weakened to be compatible in S with Γ not extended by o to act as the resulting type of the typing.

Typing Renaming & Substitution

Typed renamings are identical to the typed renamings in System F, except there are two new cases for the constraints that can appear inside contexts.

Constructor \vdash ext-inst_r allows to introduce a constraint c to Γ_1 and renamed c to Γ_2 , similar to \vdash ext_r. We can also introduce a constraint $o: \tau$ only to Γ_2 using constructor \vdash drop-inst_r. The latter corresponds to a typed weakening, similar to \vdash ext_r, but instead of introducing an unused variable we introduce an unused constraint.

Other than in System F arbitrary substitutions will not be allowed in System F_O.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{data} \ \_: \_ \Rightarrow_s \_ : \mathsf{Sub} \ S_1 \ S_2 \to \mathsf{Ctx} \ S_1 \to \mathsf{Ctx} \ S_2 \to \mathsf{Set} \ \mathsf{where} \\ \vdash \mathsf{id}_s : \forall \ \{\varGamma\} \to \_: \_ \Rightarrow_s \_ \ \{S_1 = S\} \ \{S_2 = S\} \ \mathsf{id}_s \ \varGamma \ \varGamma \\ \vdash \mathsf{keep}_s : \forall \ \{\varGamma_1 : \mathsf{Ctx} \ S_1\} \ \{\varGamma_2 : \mathsf{Ctx} \ S_2\} \ \{I : \mathsf{Term} \ S_1 \ (\mathsf{item-of} \ s)\} \to \\ \sigma : \varGamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \varGamma_2 \to \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{ext}_s \ \sigma : \Gamma_1 \blacktriangleright I \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \blacktriangleright \operatorname{sub} \ \sigma \ I \\ \vdash \operatorname{drop}_s : \forall \left\{ \Gamma_1 : \operatorname{Ctx} \ S_1 \right\} \left\{ \Gamma_2 : \operatorname{Ctx} \ S_2 \right\} \left\{ I : \operatorname{Term} \ S_2 \ (\operatorname{item-of} \ s) \right\} \rightarrow \\ \sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \\ \operatorname{drop}_s \ \sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \left( \Gamma_2 \blacktriangleright I \right) \\ \vdash \operatorname{type}_s : \forall \left\{ \Gamma_1 : \operatorname{Ctx} \ S_1 \right\} \left\{ \Gamma_2 : \operatorname{Ctx} \ S_2 \right\} \left\{ \tau : \operatorname{Type} \ S_2 \right\} \rightarrow \\ \sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \\ \operatorname{single-type}_s \ \sigma \ \tau : \Gamma_1 \blacktriangleright \star \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \\ \vdash \operatorname{keep-inst}_s : \forall \left\{ \Gamma_1 : \operatorname{Ctx} \ S_1 \right\} \left\{ \Gamma_2 : \operatorname{Ctx} \ S_2 \right\} \left\{ \tau \right\} \left\{ \sigma \right\} \rightarrow \\ \sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \\ \sigma : \left( \Gamma_1 \blacktriangleright (\sigma : \tau) \right) \Rightarrow_s \left( \Gamma_2 \blacktriangleright (\operatorname{sub} \sigma \ \sigma : \operatorname{sub} \sigma \ \tau) \right) \\ \vdash \operatorname{drop-inst}_s : \forall \left\{ \Gamma_1 : \operatorname{Ctx} \ S_1 \right\} \left\{ \Gamma_2 : \operatorname{Ctx} \ S_2 \right\} \left\{ \tau \right\} \left\{ \sigma \right\} \rightarrow \\ \sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \\ \sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \\ \sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \left( \Gamma_2 \blacktriangleright (\sigma : \tau) \right) \end{array}
```

5 Dictionary Passing Transform

5.1 Translation

Sorts

The translation of System F_O sorts to System F sorts only considers sorts that are contextable. The two missing non-contextable sorts c_s and κ_s do not need to be translated for our purpose. Intuitively there does not even exist a translation for c_s .

```
s \leadsto s : F^O.Sort \ \top^C \to F.Sort \ \top^C

s \leadsto s \ e_s = e_s

s \leadsto s \ o_s = e_s

s \leadsto s \ \tau_s = \tau_s
```

Sort e_s and τ_s translate to their corresponding counter parts in System F. Overloaded variables in System F_O are translate to normal variables in System F. Thus sort o_s translates to e_s .

Translating lists S directly is not possible, because there might appear additional sorts inside the list after the translation. New sorts must be added for variable bindings introduced by the translation. For example, a constraint abstraction translates to a normal abstraction. Hence S must have a new entry \mathbf{e}_s at the corresponding position to further function as valid index for the translated constraint abstraction body. To solve this problem we use the System $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{O}}$ context Γ to build the translated S. The context stores the relevant information about introduced constraints and thus, where new bindings will occur.

```
\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{S} : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \ F^O.S \to \mathsf{F}.\mathsf{Sorts} \\ \Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{S} \ \emptyset = [] \\ \Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{S} \ (\varGamma \blacktriangleright c) = \Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{S} \ \varGamma \rhd \mathsf{F.e}_s \\ \Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{S} \ \{S \rhd s\} \ (\varGamma \blacktriangleright x) = \Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{S} \ \varGamma \rhd \mathsf{s} \leadsto \mathsf{s} \ s \end{array}
```

The empty context \emptyset corresponds to the empty list []. For each constraint in Γ an additional sort e_s is appended to S, representing the new binder introduced by the translation. If we find that a normal item is stored in the context, s is directly translated to $s \leadsto s$.

5.2 Variables

Similar to lists S, the translation for variables x needs context information.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} : \forall \; \{ \varGamma : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \; F^O.S \} \to \\ \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Var} \; F^O.S \; F^O.s \to \mathsf{F.Var} \; ( \varGamma \leadsto \mathsf{S} \; \varGamma ) \; ( \mathsf{s} \leadsto \mathsf{s} \; F^O.s ) \\ \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \; \{ \varGamma = \varGamma \; \blacktriangleright \; \tau \} \; (\mathsf{here} \; \mathsf{refl}) = \mathsf{here} \; \mathsf{refl} \\ \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \; \{ \varGamma = \varGamma \; \blacktriangleright \; \tau \} \; (\mathsf{there} \; x) = \mathsf{there} \; ( \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \; x ) \\ \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \; \{ \varGamma = \varGamma \; \blacktriangleright \; c \} \; x = \mathsf{there} \; ( \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \; x ) \end{array}
```

If an item is stored in the context we can translate the variable by straight forward induction. Whenever a constraint is encountered, x is wrapped in an additional there. This is because, the expression that introduced the constraint, will translated to an expression with an additional new binding.

Furthermore, resolved constraints translate to the correct unique expression variable.

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{o:} \tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \mathbf{x} : \forall \ \{ \varGamma : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \ F^O.S \} \to \\ \left[ \ \ ^{\circ}F^O.o : F^O.\tau \right] \in \varGamma \to \mathsf{F.Var} \ (\Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{S} \ \varGamma) \ \mathsf{F.e}_s \\ \text{o:} \tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{here} = \mathsf{here} \ \mathsf{refl} \\ \text{o:} \tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{x} \ (\mathsf{under-bind} \ o: \tau \in \varGamma) = \mathsf{there} \ (\mathsf{o:} \tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{x} \ o: \tau \in \varGamma) \\ \text{o:} \tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{x} \ (\mathsf{under-cstr} \ o: \tau \in \varGamma) = \mathsf{there} \ (\mathsf{o:} \tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{x} \ o: \tau \in \varGamma) \end{array}
```

The idea is the same as before, we wrap the variable in an additional there, for each constraint in the context.

Context

The translation of contexts is mostly a one to one translation. We only look at the translation of constraints stored in the context.

```
\Gamma \leadsto \Gamma : (\Gamma : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \ F^O.S) \to \mathsf{F}.\mathsf{Ctx} \ (\Gamma \leadsto \mathsf{S} \ \Gamma) 

\Gamma \leadsto \Gamma \ (\Gamma \blacktriangleright (`o:\tau)) = (\Gamma \leadsto \Gamma \ \Gamma) \blacktriangleright \tau \leadsto \tau \ \tau
```

Following the idea from above, constraints $o: \tau$ stored inside Γ translate to normal items in the translated Γ . The item introduced is the translated type $\tau \leadsto \tau$ required by the constraint. Again, whenever we pick up a constraint in System F_O there will be a new expression binding in System F. Thus, a type is expected inside the context at that position.

Renaming & Substitution

```
 \begin{array}{l} \vdash \rho \leadsto \rho : \forall \; \{\rho : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ren} \; F^O.S_1 \; F^O.S_2\} \; \{\varGamma_1 : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \; F^O.S_1\} \; \{\varGamma_2 : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \; F^O.S_2\} \\ \rho \; \mathsf{F}^O.: \; \varGamma_1 \Rightarrow_r \; \varGamma_2 \to \\ \mathsf{F}.\mathsf{Ren} \; (\varGamma \leadsto \mathsf{S} \; \varGamma_1) \; (\varGamma \leadsto \mathsf{S} \; \varGamma_2) \\ \vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \; (\vdash \mathsf{ext-inst}_r \; \vdash \rho) = \mathsf{F.ext}_r \; (\vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \; \vdash \rho) \end{array}
```

```
 \begin{split} & \vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \; (\vdash \mathsf{drop\text{-}inst}_r \vdash \rho) = \mathsf{F.drop}_r \; (\vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \vdash \rho) \\ & \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma : \forall \; \{\sigma : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Sub} \; F^O.S_1 \; F^O.S_2\} \; \{\varGamma_1 : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \; F^O.S_1\} \; \{\varGamma_2 : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \; F^O.S_2\} \to \sigma \; \mathsf{F}^O.: \; \varGamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \varGamma_2 \to \\ & \vdash S.\mathsf{Sub} \; (\varGamma \leadsto S \; \varGamma_1) \; (\varGamma \leadsto S \; \varGamma_2) \\ & \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \; (\vdash \mathsf{type}_s \; \{\tau = \tau\} \vdash \sigma) = \mathsf{F.single}_s \; (\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \vdash \sigma) \; (\tau \leadsto \tau \; \tau) \\ & \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \; (\vdash \mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \vdash \sigma) = \mathsf{F.ext}_s \; (\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \vdash \sigma) \\ & \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \; (\vdash \mathsf{drop\text{-}inst}_s \vdash \sigma) = \mathsf{F.drop}_s \; (\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \vdash \sigma) \\ & \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \; (\vdash \mathsf{drop\text{-}inst}_s \vdash \sigma) = \mathsf{F.drop}_s \; (\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \vdash \sigma) \\ & \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \; (\vdash \mathsf{drop\text{-}inst}_s \vdash \sigma) = \mathsf{F.drop}_s \; (\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \vdash \sigma) \\ & \vdash \cdots \end{split}
```

Terms

Types and kinds can be translated without typing information. Kind \star translates to its System F counter part \star . Furthermore, all System F₀ types translate to their direct counter parts in System F, except the constraint type $[o:\tau] \Rightarrow \tau'$.

```
	au \mapsto 	au : \forall \{ \Gamma : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \ F^O.S \} \to \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Type} \ F^O.S \to \mathsf{F}.\mathsf{Type} \ (\Gamma \mapsto \mathsf{S} \ \Gamma) \\ 	au \mapsto 	au \ ([\ o : \tau\ ] \Rightarrow \ 	au') = 	au \mapsto 	au \ 	au \Rightarrow 	au \mapsto 	au \ 	au'
```

Constraint types $[o:\tau] \Rightarrow \tau'$ translate to function types $\tau \Rightarrow \tau'$. The translation from constraint types to function types corresponds directly to the translation of constraint abstractions to normal abstractions. The implicitly resolved constraint will now be taken as higher order function argument.

Arbitrary terms can only be translated using typing information. The typing carries information about the instances that were resolved, for all usages of overloaded variables. The unique variable name for the resolved instance can then be substituted for the overloaded variable. We only look at the translation of System F_O expressions that do not have a direct counter part in System F.

```
 \begin{array}{c} \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} : \forall \; \{ \varGamma : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \; \mathit{F}^O.S \} \; \{ \mathit{t} : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Term} \; \mathit{F}^O.S \; \mathit{F}^O.s \} \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \{ \varUpsilon : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Term} \; \mathit{F}^O.S \; (\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{kind-of} \; \mathit{F}^O.s) \} \; \to \\ \varGamma \; \mathsf{F}^O.\vdash \; \mathit{t} : \; \varUpsilon \; \to \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathsf{F}.\mathsf{Term} \; ( \varGamma \leadsto \mathsf{S} \; \varGamma ) \; (\mathsf{s} \leadsto \mathsf{s} \; \mathit{F}^O.s ) \\ \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \; ( \vdash `o \; o : \tau \in \varGamma ) \; = \; `o : \tau \in \varGamma \hookrightarrow \mathsf{x} \; o : \tau \in \varGamma \\ \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \; ( \vdash \land \land \vdash e ) \; = \; \land `\mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \vdash e ) \\ \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \; ( \vdash \land \lor \vdash e \; o : \tau \in \varGamma ) \; = \; \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \vdash e \; \cdot \; `o : \tau \in \varGamma \hookrightarrow \mathsf{x} \; o : \tau \in \varGamma \\ \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \; ( \vdash \mathsf{decl} \vdash e ) \; = \; \mathsf{let} \; `\mathsf{x} \; = \; \mathsf{t} \; `\mathsf{in} \; \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \vdash e \\ \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \; ( \vdash \mathsf{inst} \; \vdash e_2 \vdash e_1 ) \; = \; \mathsf{let} \; `\mathsf{x} \; = \; \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \vdash e_2 \; \mathsf{in} \; \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \vdash e_1 \\ \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \; ( \vdash \mathsf{inst} \; \vdash e_2 \vdash e_1 ) \; = \; \mathsf{let} \; `\mathsf{x} \; = \; \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \; \vdash e_2 \; \mathsf{in} \; \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \vdash e_1 \\ \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \; ( \vdash \mathsf{inst} \; \vdash e_2 \vdash e_1 ) \; = \; \mathsf{let} \; `\mathsf{x} \; = \; \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \vdash e_2 \; \mathsf{in} \; \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \vdash e_1 \\ \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \; ( \vdash \mathsf{o} \; \mathsf{t} \; \vdash e_1 ) \; = \; \mathsf{let} \; `\mathsf{x} \; = \; \mathsf{t} \; \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \vdash e_2 \; \mathsf{in} \; \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \vdash e_1 \\ \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \; ( \vdash \mathsf{o} \; \mathsf{o} \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; ) \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; \mathsf{t} \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; \mathsf{e} \; ) \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; ) \\ \vdash \mathsf{e} \; ) \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; ) \\ \vdash \mathsf{e} \; ) \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; ) \\ \vdash \mathsf{e} \; ) \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; \vdash \mathsf{e} \; ) \\ \vdash \mathsf{e} \; )
```

Typed overloaded variables \vdash o carry information about the instance that was resolved for o. We translate the resolved instance to the unique variable in System F, that points to the former instance, now let binding. Constraint abstractions translate to normal abstractions. Implicitly resolved constraints translate to explicit application

passing of the unique variable pointing to the former instance, now let binding. The declexpressions could be translated to nothing, as seen in the example at the beginning. Instead declexpressions are translated to useless let bindings, binding a unit value. Because declexpressions bind a new overloaded variable in System Fo, removing them would result in a variable binding less in System F and hence, more complex proofs. As already discussed, inst expressions translate to let bindings.

5.3 Type Preservation

Terms

```
\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t : \{\Gamma : F^O.\mathsf{Ctx}\ F^O.S\}\ \{t : F^O.\mathsf{Term}\ F^O.S\ F^O.s\}\ \{T : F^O.\mathsf{Term}\ F^O.S\ (F^O.kind-of\ F^O.s)\} \to \mathsf{Term}\ F^O.S
      (\vdash t : \Gamma \vdash C \vdash t : T) \rightarrow
      (\Gamma \leadsto \Gamma \ \Gamma) \ \vdash (\vdash t \leadsto t \vdash t) : (T \leadsto T \ T)
\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \ (\vdash `o \ o : \tau \in \Gamma) = \vdash `x \ (o : \tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \Gamma x \equiv \tau \ o : \tau \in \Gamma)
\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \ (\vdash \lambda \ \{c = (`o : \tau)\} \vdash e) = \vdash \lambda \ (subst \ ( \vdash F \vdash \vdash t \leadsto t \vdash e : )
      \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{wk-inst} \cdot \tau \equiv \mathsf{wk-inst} \cdot \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\vdash t \rightsquigarrow \vdash t \vdash e))
\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \ (\vdash \oslash \vdash e \ o: \tau \in \Gamma) = \vdash \cdot \ (\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \vdash e) \ (\vdash `x \ (o: \tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \Gamma x \equiv \tau \ o: \tau \in \Gamma))
\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \{ \Gamma = \Gamma \} \ (\vdash `x \{ x = x \} \ \Gamma x^O \equiv \tau) = \vdash `x \ (\lceil x \equiv \tau \leadsto \lceil x \equiv \tau \ x \ \Gamma x^O \equiv \tau)
\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \vdash \top = \vdash \top
\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \ (\vdash \lambda \ \{ \tau' = \tau' \} \vdash e) = \vdash \lambda \ (\mathsf{subst} \ ( \quad \mathsf{F}. \vdash \vdash t \leadsto \mathsf{t} \vdash e : \ ) \ \tau \leadsto \mathsf{wk} \cdot \tau \equiv \mathsf{wk} \cdot \tau \leadsto \tau \ (\vdash t \leadsto \vdash \mathsf{t} \vdash e))
\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t (\vdash \land \vdash e) = \vdash \land (\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \vdash e)
\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \ (\vdash \cdot \vdash e_1 \vdash e_2) = \vdash \cdot \ (\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \vdash e_1) \ (\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \vdash e_2)
\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \ (\vdash \bullet \ \{\tau' = \tau'\} \ \{\tau = \tau\} \vdash e) = \mathsf{subst} \ (\_ \ \mathsf{F} \vdash \vdash t \leadsto \mathsf{t} \vdash e \ \bullet \ \tau \leadsto \tau \ \tau : \_) \ (\tau' \leadsto \tau' [\tau \leadsto \tau] \equiv \tau \leadsto \tau' [\tau] \ \tau \ \tau') \ (\vdash \bullet \ (\vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \vdash \mathsf{t} \vdash e) = \mathsf{t} \leadsto \tau 
\vdasht\leadsto\vdasht (\vdashlet \vdashe<sub>2</sub> \vdashe<sub>1</sub>) = \vdashlet (\vdasht\leadsto\vdasht \vdashe<sub>2</sub>) (subst ( F.\vdash \vdasht\leadstot \vdashe<sub>1</sub> : ) \tau\leadstowk\cdot\tau\equivwk\cdot\tau\leadstoτ (\vdasht\leadsto\vdasht \vdashe<sub>1</sub>))
\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \; (\vdash \mathsf{dec} \vdash e) = \vdash \vdash \mathsf{t} \; (\mathsf{subst} \; (\_ \; \mathsf{F}. \vdash \vdash \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \; \vdash e : \_) \; \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{wk} \cdot \mathsf{t} \equiv \mathsf{wk} \cdot \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \; \vdash e))
\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t (\vdash inst \{o = o\} \vdash e_2 \vdash e_1) = \vdash let
      (\vdash t \leadsto \vdash t \vdash e_2)
      (subst ( F.\vdash \vdasht\leadstot \vdashe<sub>1</sub> : ) \tau\leadstowk-inst·\tau\equivwk-inst·\tau\leadstoτ (\vdasht\leadsto\vdasht \vdashe<sub>1</sub>))
```

Variables

```
\begin{split} & \Gamma x \equiv \tau \leadsto \Gamma x \equiv \tau : \forall \; \left\{ \varGamma : \; F^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \; F^O.S \right\} \; \left\{ \tau : \; F^O.\mathsf{Type} \; F^O.S \right\} \; \left( x : \; F^O.\mathsf{Var} \; F^O.S \; \mathsf{e}_s \right) \to \\ & F^O.\mathsf{lookup} \; \varGamma \; x \; \equiv \; \tau \to \\ & \mathsf{F}.\mathsf{lookup} \; \left( \varGamma \hookrightarrow \Gamma \; \varGamma \right) \; \left( x \leadsto x \; x \right) \; \equiv \; \left( \tau \leadsto \tau \; \tau \right) \\ & \Gamma x \equiv \tau \leadsto \Gamma x \equiv \tau \; \left\{ \varGamma = \; \varGamma \; \blacktriangleright \; \tau \right\} \; \left( \mathsf{here} \; \mathsf{refl} \right) \; \mathsf{refl} \; = \; \vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \leadsto \tau \equiv \tau \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \; \mathsf{F}^O. \vdash \mathsf{wk}_r \; \tau \\ & \Gamma x \equiv \tau \leadsto \Gamma x \equiv \tau \; \left\{ \varGamma = \; \varGamma \; \blacktriangleright \; \_ \right\} \; \left\{ \tau' \right\} \; \left( \mathsf{there} \; x \right) \; \mathsf{refl} \; = \; \mathsf{trans} \\ & \left( \mathsf{cong} \; F.\mathsf{wk} \; \left( \Gamma x \equiv \tau \leadsto \Gamma x \equiv \tau \; x \; \mathsf{refl} \right) \right) \\ & \Gamma x \equiv \tau \leadsto \Gamma x \equiv \tau \; \left\{ \varGamma = \; \varGamma \; \blacktriangleright \; c@(`\; o : \; \tau') \right\} \; \left\{ \tau \right\} \; x \; \mathsf{refl} \; = \; \left( \mathsf{begin} \; F.\mathsf{wk} \; \left( \Gamma \bowtie \Gamma \; \Gamma \right) \; \left( x \leadsto x \; x \right) \right) \\ & \equiv \left( \; \mathsf{cong} \; F.\mathsf{wk} \; \left( \Gamma x \equiv \tau \leadsto \Gamma x \equiv \tau \; x \; \mathsf{refl} \right) \right) \\ & F.\mathsf{wk} \; \left( \tau \leadsto \tau \; \tau \right) \end{split}
```

```
\equiv \langle \vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \leadsto \tau \equiv \tau \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \vdash \mathsf{wk-inst}_r \tau \rangle
          \tau \leadsto \tau \ (\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{ren}\ \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{id}_r\ \tau)
      \equiv \langle \operatorname{cong} \tau \leadsto \tau \left( \operatorname{id}_r \tau \equiv \tau \tau \right) \rangle
          τ~~τ τ
     \Box)
0:\tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \Gamma \times \equiv \tau : \forall \{\Gamma : \mathsf{F}^O . \mathsf{Ctx} \ F^O . S\} \to (o:\tau \in \Gamma : [\ `F^O . o : F^O . \tau\ ] \in \Gamma) \to 0
      F.lookup (\Gamma \leadsto \Gamma) (\circ: \tau \in \Gamma \leadsto x \ o: \tau \in \Gamma) \equiv (\tau \leadsto \tau \ F^O.\tau)
o: \tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \Gamma \times \exists \tau \ \{\tau = \tau\} \ \{\Gamma = \Gamma \ F^O \blacktriangleright c@(`o:\tau)\} \ (here \ \{\Gamma = \Gamma\}) = \Gamma
     begin
           F.lookup (\Gamma \leadsto \Gamma \Gamma \blacktriangleright \tau \leadsto \tau) (here refl)
      \equiv \langle \vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \leadsto \tau \equiv \tau \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \vdash \mathsf{wk-inst}_r \tau \rangle
           \tau \leadsto \tau \ (\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{ren}\ \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{id}_r\ \tau)
      \equiv \langle \operatorname{cong} \tau \leadsto \tau (\operatorname{id}_r \tau \equiv \tau \tau) \rangle
           \tau \leadsto \tau \tau
o: \tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \Gamma x \equiv \tau \ \{ \Gamma = \Gamma \blacktriangleright \_ \} \ (under-bind \ \{ \tau = \tau \} \ x) = trans
      (cong F.wk (o:\tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \Gamma x \equiv \tau x))
      (\vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \leadsto \tau \equiv \tau \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \; \mathsf{F}^O . \vdash \mathsf{wk}_r \; \tau)
o: \tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \Gamma \\ x \equiv \tau \; \{\tau = \tau\} \; \{\Gamma = \Gamma \blacktriangleright c@(` \; o : \tau')\} \; (\text{under-cstr} \; \{c' = \quad : \tau'\} \; o: \tau \in \Gamma) = 0
           F.wk (F.lookup (\Gamma \leadsto \Gamma) (o:\tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \times o: \tau \in \Gamma))
      \equiv \langle \text{ cong F.wk } (o:\tau \in \Gamma \leadsto \Gamma x \equiv \tau \ o:\tau \in \Gamma) \rangle
           F.wk (\tau \leadsto \tau)
      \equiv \langle \vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \leadsto \tau \equiv \tau \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \vdash \mathsf{wk-inst}_r \tau \rangle
          \tau \leadsto \tau \ (\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{ren}\ \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{id}_r\ \tau)
      \equiv \langle \operatorname{cong} \tau \leadsto \tau \left( \operatorname{id}_r \tau \equiv \tau \tau \right) \rangle
           \tau \leadsto \tau \tau
```

Renaming

```
 \begin{array}{l} (\vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \vdash \rho) \ (\mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \ ) \equiv \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \ (\rho \ x) \\ \\ \mathsf{F.ren} \ (\vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \vdash \rho) \ (\mathsf{\tau} \leadsto \mathsf{\tau} \ \tau) \equiv \mathsf{\tau} \leadsto \mathsf{\tau} \ (\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{ren} \ \rho \ \tau) \mathsf{\tau} \leadsto \mathsf{\tau} \ \{ \varGamma = \varGamma \ \blacktriangleright \ I \} \ (\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{wk} \ \tau') \equiv \mathsf{F.wk} \\ (\mathsf{\tau} \leadsto \mathsf{\tau} \ \tau') \mathsf{\tau} \leadsto \mathsf{\tau} \ \{ \varGamma = \varGamma \ \blacktriangleright \ (' \ o \ : \ \tau') \} \ \tau \equiv \mathsf{F.wk} \ (\mathsf{\tau} \leadsto \mathsf{\tau} \ \tau) \\ \end{array}
```

Substitution

```
 \begin{array}{l} \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathbf{x} \leadsto \mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{\tau} \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathbf{x} : \left\{ \sigma : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Sub} \ F^O.S_1 \ F^O.S_2 \right\} \ \left\{ \varGamma_1 : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \ F^O.S_1 \right\} \ \left\{ \varGamma_2 : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx} \ F^O.S_2 \right\} \rightarrow \\ \left( \vdash \sigma : \sigma \ \mathsf{F}^O.: \ \varGamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \varGamma_2 \right) \rightarrow \\ \left( x : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Var} \ F^O.S_1 \ \mathbf{\tau}_s \right) \rightarrow \\ \mathsf{F.sub} \ \left( \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \vdash \sigma \right) \ (` \ \mathbf{x} \leadsto \mathbf{x} \ x) \equiv \mathbf{\tau} \leadsto \mathbf{\tau} \ \left( \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{sub} \ \sigma \ (` \ x) \right) \\ \end{array}
```

```
\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \equiv \mathsf{t} \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x} \vdash \mathsf{id}_s \ x = \mathsf{refl}
                                     \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot x \leadsto x \equiv \tau \leadsto \sigma \cdot x \ (\vdash \ker p_s \vdash \sigma) \ (here refl) = refl
                                     \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot x \leadsto x \equiv \tau \leadsto \sigma \cdot x \ (\vdash \mathsf{keep}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ (\mathsf{there} \ x) = \mathsf{trans}
                                                        (\mathsf{cong}\;\mathsf{F}.\mathsf{wk}\;(\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \equiv \mathsf{t} \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x}\;\vdash \sigma\;x))\;(\vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \cdot \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \equiv \mathsf{t} \leadsto \rho \cdot \mathsf{t}\;\mathsf{F}^O.\vdash \mathsf{wk}_r\;(\sigma\;x))
                                     \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot x \leadsto x \equiv \tau \leadsto \sigma \cdot x \ (\vdash drop_s \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = trans
                                                        (\mathsf{cong}\;\mathsf{F}.\mathsf{wk}\;(\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \equiv \mathsf{t} \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x}\;\vdash \sigma\;x))\;(\vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \cdot \mathsf{t} \leadsto \mathsf{t} \equiv \mathsf{t} \leadsto \rho \cdot \mathsf{t}\;\mathsf{F}^O.\vdash \mathsf{wk}_r\;(\sigma\;x))
                                      \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot x \leadsto x \equiv \tau \leadsto \sigma \cdot x \ (\vdash \mathsf{type}_s \vdash \sigma) \ (\mathsf{here} \ \mathsf{refl}) = \mathsf{refl}
                                      \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \equiv \mathsf{t} \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x} \ (\vdash \mathsf{type}_s \vdash \sigma) \ (\mathsf{there} \ x) = \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \equiv \mathsf{t} \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x} \vdash \sigma \ x
                                      \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathbf{x} \leadsto \mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{t} \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathbf{x} \ (\vdash \mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{cong} \ \mathsf{F.wk} \ (\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathbf{x} \leadsto \mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{t} \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathbf{x} \vdash \sigma \ x)) \ (\vdash \mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{cong} \ \mathsf{F.wk} \ (\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathbf{x} \leadsto \mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{t} \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathbf{x} \vdash \sigma \ x)) \ (\vdash \mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{cong} \ \mathsf{F.wk} \ (\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathbf{x} \leadsto \mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{t} \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathbf{x} \vdash \sigma \ x)) \ (\vdash \mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{keep\text{-}inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x 
                                                                           F.wk (\tau \leadsto \tau (\sigma x))
                                                          \equiv \langle (\vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \leadsto \tau \equiv \tau \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \vdash \mathsf{wk-inst}_r (\sigma x)) \rangle
                                                                             \tau \leadsto \tau \ (\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{ren}\ \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{id}_r\ (\sigma\ x))
                                                          \equiv \langle \operatorname{cong} \tau \leadsto \tau \left( \operatorname{id}_r \tau \equiv \tau \left( \sigma x \right) \right) \rangle
                                                                           \tau \leadsto \tau (\sigma x)
                                      \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \equiv \mathsf{t} \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x} (\vdash \mathsf{drop-inst}_s \ \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \mathsf{trans} \ (\mathsf{cong} \ \mathsf{F.wk} \ (\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x} \leadsto \mathsf{x} \equiv \mathsf{t} \leadsto \sigma \cdot \mathsf{x} \vdash \sigma \ x)) \ (
                                                        begin
                                                                          F.wk (\tau \leadsto \tau (\sigma x))
                                                          \equiv \langle \vdash \rho \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \leadsto \tau \equiv \tau \leadsto \rho \cdot \tau \vdash \mathsf{wk-inst}_r (\sigma x) \rangle
                                                                          \tau \leadsto \tau \ (\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{ren}\ \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{id}_r\ (\sigma\ x))
                                                          \equiv \langle \operatorname{cong} \tau \leadsto \tau (\operatorname{id}_r \tau \equiv \tau (\sigma x)) \rangle
                                                                          \mathbf{\tau} \leadsto \mathbf{\tau} (\sigma x)
                                                          \Box)
                                     \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \tau \leadsto \tau \equiv \tau \leadsto \sigma \cdot \tau : \forall \ \{\sigma : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Sub}\ F^O.S_1\ F^O.S_2\}\ \{\varGamma_1 : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx}\ F^O.S_1\}\ \{\varGamma_2 : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Ctx}\ F^O.S_2\} \Rightarrow \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{
                                                        (\vdash \sigma : \sigma \mathsf{F}^O :: \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2) \rightarrow
                                                          (\tau : \mathsf{F}^O.\mathsf{Type}\ F^O.S_1) \rightarrow
F.sub (\vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \vdash \sigma) (\tau \leadsto \tau \tau) \equiv \tau \leadsto \tau (F^O.sub \sigma \tau)
                                      \tau' \leadsto \tau' [\tau \leadsto \tau] \equiv \tau \leadsto \tau' [\tau] \ \tau \ \tau' = \vdash \sigma \leadsto \sigma \cdot \tau \leadsto \tau \equiv \tau \leadsto \sigma \cdot \tau \ \vdash single-type_s \ \tau'
```

6 Further Work and Conclusion

6.1 Hindley Milner with Overloading

In this scenario our source language for the Dictionary Passing Transform would be an extended Hindley Milner based system (HM_O) and our target language would be Hindley Milner (HM). HM is a restricted form of System F. HM would have two sorts m_s for mono types and p_s for poly types in favour of arbitrary types τ_s . Poly types can include quantification over type variables, while mono types consist only of primitive types and type variables. Usually all language constructs are restricted to mono types, except let bound variables. Hence polymorphism in HM is also called let polymorphism [CITE]. In consequence, constraint abstractions would only allow to introduce constraints for overloaded variables with mono types. Furthermore, we need to restrict all instances for a overloaded variable o to differ in the type of their first argument. With these two restrictions, type inference, using an extended version of Algorithm W, should be preserved [CITE]. Formalizing the changes and restrictions mentioned above should be a fairly straight forward adjustment to the formalization of System F and System F_O.

6.2 Semantic Preservation of System F_O

For now System F_O does not have semantics formalized. Semantics for System F_O would need to be typed semantics, because applications ' $o \cdot e_1 \dots e_n$ need type information to reduce properly. The correct instance for o needs to be resolved based on the types of arguments e_1 ... e_n . More specifically, to formalize small step semantics we would need to apply the restriction mentioned above, that all instances for the same overloaded variable o must differ in the type of their first argument. In consequence, the resolved instance for single application step ' $o \cdot e$ would be decidable. Let $\vdash e \hookrightarrow \vdash e'$ be such a typed small step semantic for System Fo. We would need to prove something similar to: If $\vdash e \hookrightarrow \vdash e'$ then $\exists [e''] (\vdash e \hookrightarrow e' \leadsto e \hookrightarrow e' \vdash e \hookrightarrow *e'') \times (\vdash e \hookrightarrow e' \leadsto e \hookrightarrow e' \vdash e' \hookrightarrow *e'')$, where $\vdash e \hookrightarrow e' \leadsto e \hookrightarrow e'$ translates typed System F_O reductions to a untyped System F reductions. Instead of translating reduction steps directly, we prove that both translated $\vdash e$ and $\vdash e'$ reduce to some System F expression e'' using finite many reduction steps. This more general formulation is needed because there might be more reduction steps in the translated System F expression than in the System F_O expression. For example, an implicitly resolved constraint in System Fo needs to be explicitly passed using a additional application step in System F. For now it is unclear, if semantic preservation can be shown using induction over the semantic rules or if logical relations are needed.

6.3 Conclusion

We have formalized both System F and System F_O in Agda. System F_O acts as core calculus, capturing the essence of overloading. Using Agda we formalized the Dictionary Passing Transform between System F and System F_O We proved System F to be sound and the Dictionary Passing Transform to be type preserving.

References

Declaration

I hereby declare, that I am the sole author and composer of my thesis and that no other sources or learning aids, other than those listed, have been used. Furthermore, I declare that I have acknowledged the work of others by providing detailed references of said work. I also hereby declare that my thesis has not been prepared for another examination or assignment, either in its entirety or excerpts thereof.	
Place, Date	Signature