

Formal Proof of Type Preservation of the Dictionary Passing Transform for System F

Marius Weidner

Chair of Programming Languages, University of Freiburg
weidner@cs.uni-freiburg.de

Bachelor Thesis

Examiner: Prof. Dr. Peter Thiemann

Advisor: Hannes Saffrich

Abstract. Most popular strongly typed programming languages support function overloading. In combination with polymorphism this leads to essential language constructs, for example type classes in Haskell or traits in Rust. We introduce System F_O , a minimal language extension to System F, with support for overloading. We show that the Dictionary Passing Transform from System F_O to System F is type preserving.

1 Introduction

1.1 Overloading in General

Overloading function names is a practical technique to overcome verbosity in real world programming languages. In every language there exist commonly used function names, especially in the form of infix operators, for example equality and arithmetics, that are defined for a variety of type combinations. Overloading the meaning of common function names and operators for multiple types eliminates the necessity for a unique name for each operator, on each type. For example, Python uses so called magic methods, that allow to overload commonly used operators used on user defined classes and Java utilizes method overloading. Both Python and Java implement rather restricted forms of overloading. Haskell supports overloading in a less restricted fashion. Haskell uses typeclasses, to solve the overloading problem.

1.2 Overloading in Haskell using Typeclasses

Essentially, typeclasses allow to declare overloaded function names with generic type signatures. We can give one of many specific meanings to a type class, by instantiating the type class for concrete types. When we invoke the overloaded function name, the type checker determines the correct instance based on the types of the applied arguments. Furthermore, Haskell allows to constrain bound type variables α via type constraints $\text{TC } \alpha \Rightarrow \tau'$ to only be substituted by a concrete type τ , if there exists an instance $\text{TC } \tau$.

Example: Overloading Equality in Haskell

Our goal is to overload the function $\text{eq} : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ with different meanings for different types substituted for α . We want to be able to call eq on both Nat and $[\alpha]$, where α is a type that eq is already defined on. In Haskell we would solve the problem as follows:

```
class Eq α where
  eq :: α → α → Bool

instance Eq Nat where
  eq x y = x ≐ y
instance Eq α ⇒ Eq [α] where
  eq [] [] = True
  eq (x : xs) (y : ys) = eq x y && eq xs ys

.. eq 42 0 .. eq [42, 0] [42, 0] ..
```

First, type class Eq with a single polymorphic function eq is declared. Next, we instantiate Eq for Nat . After that, Eq is instantiated for $[\alpha]$, given that an instance Eq exists for type α . Finally, we can call eq on elements of both Nat and $[\text{Nat}]$, where in the latter case, the type constraint $\text{Eq } \alpha \Rightarrow \dots$ in the second instance resolves to the first instance.

1.3 Introducing System F_O

In our language extension to System F [CITE] we give up high level language constructs. System F_O desugars type class functionality to overloaded variables. Using the $\text{decl } o \text{ in } e'$ expression we can introduce an new overloaded variable o . If declared as overloaded, o can be instantiated for type τ of expression e using the $\text{inst } o = e \text{ in } e'$ expression. In contrast to Haskell, it is allowed to overload o with arbitrary types. Shadowing other instances of the same type is allowed. Constraints can be introduced using the constraint abstraction $\lambda (o : \tau). e'$, resulting in expressions of constraint type $[o : \tau] \Rightarrow \tau'$. Constraints are eliminated implicitly by the typing rules.

Example: Overloading Equality in System F_O

Recall the Haskell example from above. The same functionality can be expressed in System F_O as follows:

```
decl eq in

inst eq : Nat → Nat → Bool
  = λx. λy. .. in
inst eq : ∀α. [eq : α → α → Bool] ⇒ [α] → [α] → Bool
  = Λα. λ(eq : α → α → Bool). λxs. λys. .. in

.. eq 42 0 .. eq Nat [42, 0] [42, 0] ..
```

For convenience type annotations for instances are given. First, we declare `eq` to be an overloaded identifier and instantiate `eq` for `Nat`. Next, we instantiate `eq` for `[α]`, given the constraint introduced by the constraint abstraction λ is satisfied. The actual implementations of the instances are omitted. Because System F_O is based on System F, we are required to bind type variables using type abstractions Λ and eliminate type variables using type application.

A little caveat: the second instance needs to recursively call `eq` for sublists but System F_O 's formalization does not actually support recursive let bindings. Extending System F and System F_O with recursive let bindings and thus recursive instances is known to be straight forward.

1.4 Translating between System F_O and System F

The Dictionary Passing Transform translates well typed System F_O expressions to well typed System F expressions. The translation drops `decl o in` expressions and replaces `inst o = e in e'` expressions with `let oτ = e in e'` expressions, where `oτ` is a unique name with respect to type τ of `e`. Constraint abstractions $\lambda (o : \tau). e'$ translate to lambda bindings $\lambda o_{\tau}. e'$. Similarly constraint types $[o : \tau] \Rightarrow \tau'$ are translated to function types $\tau \rightarrow \tau'$. Invocations of overloaded function names are translated to the correct variable name bound by the former instance, now let binding. Implicitly resolved constraints in System F_O must be explicitly passed as arguments in System F.

Example: Dictionary Passing Transform

Recall the System F_O example from above. We use indices to ensure unique names. Applying the Dictionary Passing Transform results in the following well typed System F expression:

```
let eq1 : Nat → Nat → Bool
  = λx. λy. .. in
let eq2 : ∀α. (α → α → Bool) → [α] → [α] → Bool
  = Λα. λeq1. λxs. λys. .. in

.. eq1 42 0 .. eq2 Nat eq1 [42, 0] [42, 0] ..
```

First we drop the `decl` expression and transform `inst` definitions to `let` bindings with unique names. Inside the second instance the constraint abstraction is translated into a lambda abstraction. Invocations of `eq` are translated to the correct unique names `eqi`. When invoking `eq2` the correct instance to resolve the former constraint must be eliminated explicitly by passing `eq1` as argument.

1.5 Related Work

There exist other Systems to formalize overloading.

Bla, Bla & Bla introduced System O [CITE], a language extension to the Hindley Milner System, preserving full type inference. Aside from using Hindley Milner as base system, System O differs from System F_O by embedding constraints into \forall -types. Constraints can not be introduced on the expression level, instead constraints are introduced via explicit type annotations of instances. ... ?

2 Preliminary

2.1 Dependently Typed Programming in Agda

Agda is a dependently typed programming language and proof assistant. [CITE] Agda's type system is based on Martin L  f's intuitionistic type theory [CITE] and allows to construct proofs based on the Curry Howard correspondence [CITE]. The Curry Howard correspondence is an isomorphic relationship between programs written in dependently typed languages and mathematical proofs written in first order logic. Because of the Curry Howard correspondence, programs in Agda correspond to proofs and formulae correspond to types. Hence, type checked Agda programs imply that proofs are sound, given we do not use unsafe Agda features and assuming Agda is implemented correctly. Agda is appealing to programmers, because proving in Agda is similar to functional programming using common concepts, for example pattern matching, currying and inductive data types. Further, Agda has useful support features, for example proving with interactive holes and automatic proof search.

2.2 Design Decisions for the Agda Formalization

To formalize System F and System F_O in Agda we will use a single data type `Term` indexed by sorts s to represent the syntax. Sorts distinguish between different kind of terms, for example sort e_s for expressions e , τ_s for types τ and κ_s for kind \star . Using only a single data type to formalize the syntax yields more elegant proofs involving contexts, substitutions and renamings. In consequence we must use extrinsic typing, because intrinsically typed terms `Term $e_s \vdash$ Term τ_s` would need to be indexed by themselves. In the actual implementation `Term` has another index S , a list of sorts representing the sort of bound variables, similar to Debruijn Indices [CITE].

2.3 Verbal Formulation of the Type Preservation Proof

Our goal will be to prove that the Dictionary Passing Transform is type preserving. Let $\vdash_{F_O} t$ be any well formed System F_O term $\Gamma \vdash_{F_O} t : T$ where t is `TermFO s` and T is `TermFO s'` and s' is the sort of the typing result for terms of sort s . There exist two cases for typings: $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash \tau : \star$. Let $\rightsquigarrow : (\Gamma \vdash_{F_O} t : T) \rightarrow \text{Term}_F s$ be the Dictionary Passing Transform, translating well typed System F_O terms to untyped System F terms. Further let $\rightsquigarrow_\Gamma : \text{Ctx}_{F_O} \rightarrow \text{Ctx}_F$ be the transform of untyped contexts and $\rightsquigarrow_T : \text{Term}_{F_O} s' \rightarrow \text{Term}_F s'$ the transform of untyped types and kinds. We show that for all well typed System F_O terms $\vdash_{F_O} t$ the Dictionary Passing Transform results in well typed System F programs, that is $(\rightsquigarrow_\Gamma \Gamma) \vdash_F (\rightsquigarrow \vdash_{F_O} t) : (\rightsquigarrow_T T)$.

3 System F

3.1 Specification

Sorts

The formalization of System F requires three sorts: e_s for expressions, τ_s for types and κ_s for kinds.

```

data Sort : Ctxable → Set where
  es : Sort TC
  τs : Sort TC
  κs : Sort ⊥C

Sorts : Set
Sorts = List (Sort TC)

```

Sorts are indexed by boolean data type `Ctxable` indicating if terms of the sort can appear in contexts. Going forward, we use s as variable name for sorts and S for lists of sorts.

Syntax

The syntax of System F is represented as a single data type `Term` indexed by a list of sorts S and sort s . The length of S represents the amount of bound variables and the elements s_i of the list represent the sort of the variable bound at that position. The index S is inspired by Debruijn indices where we reference variables using numbers that count the amount many binders we need to go back to where the variable was bound. The list S extends this idea by allowing to reference variables of different sorts. The second index s represents the sort of the term itself.

```

data Term : Sorts → Sort r → Set where
  ' _          : s ∈ S → Term S s
  tt           : Term S es
  λ'x→ _       : Term (S ▷ es) es → Term S es
  Λ'α→ _       : Term (S ▷ τs) es → Term S es
  _ · _        : Term S es → Term S es → Term S es
  _ • _        : Term S es → Term S τs → Term S es
  let'x= _ 'in _ : Term S es → Term (S ▷ es) es → Term S es
  'T           : Term S τs
  _ ⇒ _        : Term S τs → Term S τs → Term S τs
  ∀'α _        : Term (S ▷ τs) τs → Term S τs
  ★           : Term S κs

```

Variables ' x are represented as references $s \in S$ to an element in S . Memberships of type $s \in S$ are defined similar to natural numbers and can either be `here refl` where `refl` is prove we found our element or `there x` where x is another membership. In consequence we can only reference already bound variables using memberships in S . The unit element `tt` and unit type '`T`' represent base types. Lambda abstractions `λ'x→ e'` result in function types $\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2$ and type abstractions `Λ'α→ e'` result in forall types `∀'α τ`. To eliminate abstractions we use application $e_1 \cdot e_2$ for lambda abstractions and type application $e \bullet \tau$ for type abstractions. Let bindings `let'x= e2 'in e1` combine abstraction and application. All types τ have kind \star . We will use shorthands `Var S s` = $s \in S$, `Expr S` = `Term S es` and `Type S` = `Term S τs` and variable names x , e and τ respectively as well as t for arbitrary `Term S s`.

Renaming

Renamings ρ of type $\text{Ren } S_1 \ S_2$ are defined as total functions mapping variables $\text{Var } S_1$ s to variables $\text{Var } S_2$ s preserving the sort s of the variable.

$\text{Ren} : \text{Sorts} \rightarrow \text{Sorts} \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\text{Ren } S_1 \ S_2 = \forall \{s\} \rightarrow \text{Var } S_1 \ s \rightarrow \text{Var } S_2 \ s$

Applying a renaming $\text{Ren } S_1 \ S_2$ to a term $\text{Term } S_1 \ s$ yields a new term $\text{Term } S_2 \ s$ where variables are now represented as references $s \in S_2$ to elements in S_2 .

$\text{ren} : \text{Ren } S_1 \ S_2 \rightarrow (\text{Term } S_1 \ s \rightarrow \text{Term } S_2 \ s)$
 $\text{ren } \rho \ (' \ x) = ' (\rho \ x)$
 $\text{ren } \rho \ \text{tt} = \text{tt}$
 $\text{ren } \rho \ (\lambda'x \rightarrow e) = \lambda'x \rightarrow (\text{ren } (\text{ext}_r \ \rho) \ e)$
 $\text{ren } \rho \ (\Lambda' \alpha \rightarrow e) = \Lambda' \alpha \rightarrow (\text{ren } (\text{ext}_r \ \rho) \ e)$
 $\text{ren } \rho \ (e_1 \cdot e_2) = (\text{ren } \rho \ e_1) \cdot (\text{ren } \rho \ e_2)$
 $\text{ren } \rho \ (e \bullet \tau) = (\text{ren } \rho \ e) \bullet (\text{ren } \rho \ \tau)$
 $\text{ren } \rho \ (\text{let}'x = e_2 \ \text{'in } e_1) = \text{let}'x = (\text{ren } \rho \ e_2) \ \text{'in } \text{ren } (\text{ext}_r \ \rho) \ e_1$
 $\text{ren } \rho \ ' \top = ' \top$
 $\text{ren } \rho \ (\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2) = \text{ren } \rho \ \tau_1 \Rightarrow \text{ren } \rho \ \tau_2$
 $\text{ren } \rho \ (\forall' \alpha \ \tau) = \forall' \alpha \ (\text{ren } (\text{ext}_r \ \rho) \ \tau)$
 $\text{ren } \rho \ \star = \star$

When we encounter a binder, the renaming is extended using $\text{ext}_r : \text{Ren } S_1 \ S_2 \rightarrow \text{Ren } (S_1 \triangleright s) \ (S_2 \triangleright s)$. The weakening of a term can be defined as shifting all variables by one.

$\text{wk} : \text{Term } S \ s \rightarrow \text{Term } (S \triangleright s') \ s$
 $\text{wk} = \text{ren } \text{there}$

Since variables are represented as references to a list, shifting variables is simply wrapping them in the `there` constructor.

Substitution

Substitutions σ of type $\text{Sub } S_1 \ S_2$ are similar to renamings but rather than mapping variables to variables, substitutions map variables to terms.

$\text{Sub} : \text{Sorts} \rightarrow \text{Sorts} \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\text{Sub } S_1 \ S_2 = \forall \{s\} \rightarrow \text{Var } S_1 \ s \rightarrow \text{Term } S_2 \ s$

Applying a substitution to a term $\text{sub} : \text{Sub } S_1 \ S_2 \rightarrow (\text{Term } S_1 \ s \rightarrow \text{Term } S_2 \ s)$ is analogous to the applying a renaming. Single substitution $t \ [\ t']$ substitutes the last bound variable in t with t' .

$_ [_] : \text{Term } (S \triangleright s') \ s \rightarrow \text{Term } S \ s' \rightarrow \text{Term } S \ s$
 $t \ [\ t'] = \text{sub } (\text{single}_s \ \text{id}_s \ t') \ t$

A single substitution $\text{single}_s : \text{Sub } S_1 \ S_2 \rightarrow \text{Term } S_2 \ s \rightarrow \text{Sub } (S_1 \triangleright s) \ S_2$ takes a substitution σ and term t' to introduce t' to σ . In the case of $_ [_]$ we let σ be the identity substitution $\text{id}_s : \text{Sub } S \ S$.

Context

The typing context $\text{Ctx } S$ is indexed by sorts S similar to terms.

```
data Ctx : Sorts → Set where
  ∅ : Ctx []
  _ ► _ : Ctx S → Term S (kind-of s) → Ctx (S ▷ s)
```

A context can either be empty \emptyset or cons $\Gamma \triangleright T$ where T is a term of the kind of sort s . The function kind-of maps sorts that can appear in contexts to the sorts of their kind.

```
kind-of es = τs
kind-of τs = κs
```

Expressions have kind τ_s , while types have kind κ_s . We will use T as shorthand for the term with sort $\text{kind-of } s$.

Typing

The typing relation $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ relates terms t to their typing kind T in context Γ .

```
data _ ⊢ _ : Ctx S → Term S s → Term S (kind-of s) → Set where
  ⊢'x :
    lookup Γ x ≡ τ →
    Γ ⊢ 'x : τ
  ⊢⊤ :
    Γ ⊢ tt : '⊤
  ⊢λ :
    Γ ► τ ⊢ e : wk τ' →
    Γ ⊢ λ'x→ e : τ ⇒ τ'
  ⊢Λ :
    Γ ► * ⊢ e : τ →
    Γ ⊢ Λ'α→ e : ∀'α τ
  ⊢· :
    Γ ⊢ e1 : τ1 ⇒ τ2 →
    Γ ⊢ e2 : τ1 →
    Γ ⊢ e1 · e2 : τ2
  ⊢● :
    Γ ⊢ e : ∀'α τ' →
    Γ ⊢ e ● τ : τ' [ τ ]
  ⊢let :
    Γ ⊢ e2 : τ →
    Γ ► τ ⊢ e1 : wk τ' →
    Γ ⊢ let'x= e2 'in e1 : τ'
  ⊢τ :
    Γ ⊢ τ : *
```

Rule $\vdash'x$ says that variables $'x$ have type τ if x has type τ in Γ . Next, $\vdash\top$ states that unit expressions tt has type $'\top$. Finally, rule $\vdash\tau$ indicates that all types τ are well formed and have kind $*$. Type variables are correctly typed per definition and type constructors $\forall'\alpha$ and \Rightarrow accept arbitrary types as their arguments.

Typing Renaming & Substitution

Because of extrinsic typing we need to type both renamings and substitutions. We formalized typed renamings in the form of order preserving embeddings. In contrast to typed substitutions we do not allow arbitrary typed renamings. TODO Hannes

```

data  $\_ : \_ \Rightarrow_r \_ : \text{Ren } S_1 S_2 \rightarrow \text{Ctx } S_1 \rightarrow \text{Ctx } S_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$  where
   $\vdash \text{id}_r : \forall \{ \Gamma \} \rightarrow \_ : \_ \Rightarrow_r \_ \{ S_1 = S \} \{ S_2 = S \} \text{id}_r \Gamma \Gamma$ 
   $\vdash \text{ext}_r : \forall \{ \rho : \text{Ren } S_1 S_2 \} \{ \Gamma_1 : \text{Ctx } S_1 \} \{ \Gamma_2 : \text{Ctx } S_2 \} \{ T' : \text{Term } S_1 \text{ (kind-of } s) \} \rightarrow$ 
     $\rho : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_r \Gamma_2 \rightarrow$ 
     $(\text{ext}_r \rho) : (\Gamma_1 \blacktriangleright T') \Rightarrow_r (\Gamma_2 \blacktriangleright \text{ren } \rho T')$ 
   $\vdash \text{drop}_r : \forall \{ \rho : \text{Ren } S_1 S_2 \} \{ \Gamma_1 : \text{Ctx } S_1 \} \{ \Gamma_2 : \text{Ctx } S_2 \} \{ T' : \text{Term } S_2 \text{ (kind-of } s) \} \rightarrow$ 
     $\rho : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_r \Gamma_2 \rightarrow$ 
     $(\text{drop}_r \rho) : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_r (\Gamma_2 \blacktriangleright T')$ 

```

The identity renaming $\vdash \text{id}_r$ typed per definition. The extension of a renaming $\vdash \text{ext}_r$ allows to extend both Γ_1 and Γ_2 by T' and renamed T' respectively. Constructor $\vdash \text{ext}_r$ corresponds to the typed version of function ext_r . Further, constructor $\vdash \text{drop}_r$ allows us to introduce T' only in Γ_2 and drop T' in Γ_1 . TODO Typed Substitutions are defined as total function, equivalently to untyped substitutions.

```

 $\_ : \_ \Rightarrow_s \_ : \text{Sub } S_1 S_2 \rightarrow \text{Ctx } S_1 \rightarrow \text{Ctx } S_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
 $\_ : \_ \Rightarrow_s \_ \{ S_1 = S_1 \} \sigma \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 = \forall \{ s \} (x : \text{Var } S_1 s) \rightarrow \Gamma_2 \vdash \sigma x : (\text{sub } \sigma (\text{lookup } \Gamma_1 x))$ 

```

Typed substitutions map variables x to the corresponding typed terms of the substituted variable $\Gamma \vdash \sigma x : (\text{sub } \sigma (\text{lookup } \Gamma_1 x))$.

Semantics

The semantics are formalized call-by-value, that is, there is no reduction under binders. Values are indexed by there irreducible expression.

```

data Val : Expr S → Set where
  v-λ : Val (λ'x → e)
  v-Λ : Val (Λ'α → e)
  v-tt : ∀ {S} → Val (tt {S = S})

```

System F has three values. The two closure values $\text{v-}\lambda$ and $\text{v-}\Lambda$ for abstractions waiting for their argument and unit value v-tt . We formalize semantics as small step semantics, where each constructor represents a single reduction step $e \hookrightarrow e'$. We distinguish between β and ξ rules. Meaningful computation in the form of substitution is done by β rules while ξ rules reduce sub expressions.

```

data  $\_ \hookrightarrow \_ : \text{Expr } S \rightarrow \text{Expr } S \rightarrow \text{Set}$  where
   $\beta\text{-}\lambda :$ 
    Val  $e_2 \rightarrow$ 
     $(\lambda'x \rightarrow e_1) \cdot e_2 \hookrightarrow (e_1 [ e_2 ])$ 
   $\beta\text{-}\Lambda :$ 
     $(\Lambda' \alpha \rightarrow e) \bullet \tau \hookrightarrow e [ \tau ]$ 
   $\beta\text{-let} :$ 
    Val  $e_2 \rightarrow$ 

```


$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{let}'x = e_2 \text{'in } e_1 \hookrightarrow (e_1 [e_2]) \\
\xi_{\rightarrow 1} : \\
e_1 \hookrightarrow e \rightarrow \\
\text{-----} \\
e_1 \cdot e_2 \hookrightarrow e \cdot e_2 \\
\xi_{\rightarrow 2} : \\
e_2 \hookrightarrow e \rightarrow \\
\text{Val } e_1 \rightarrow \\
e_1 \cdot e_2 \hookrightarrow e_1 \cdot e \\
\xi_{\bullet} : \\
e \hookrightarrow e' \rightarrow \\
\text{-----} \\
e \bullet \tau \hookrightarrow e' \bullet \tau \\
\xi_{\text{-let}} : \\
e_2 \hookrightarrow e \rightarrow \\
\text{let}'x = e_2 \text{'in } e_1 \hookrightarrow \text{let}'x = e \text{'in } e_1
\end{array}$$

Rules $\beta\text{-}\lambda$ and $\beta\text{-}\Lambda$ give meaning to application and type application in the form of substituting the applied term into the abstraction. Further, $\beta\text{-let}$ is equivalent to application rule $\beta\text{-}\lambda$. Rules $\xi_{\rightarrow i}$ and ξ_{\bullet} evaluate sub expressions of application until e_1 and e_2 , or e respectively, are values. Finally, $\xi_{\text{-let}}$ reduces the bound expression e_2 until e_2 is a value and $\beta\text{-let}$ can be applied.

3.2 Soundness

Progress

We prove progress, that is, a typed expression $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ can either be further reduced to some e' or e is a value, by induction over the typing rules.

$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{progress} : \\
\emptyset \vdash e : \tau \rightarrow \\
(\exists [e'] (e \hookrightarrow e')) \uplus \text{Val } e \\
\text{progress } \vdash \top = \text{inj}_2 \text{ v-tt} \\
\text{progress } (\vdash \lambda _) = \text{inj}_2 \text{ v-}\lambda \\
\text{progress } (\vdash \Lambda _) = \text{inj}_2 \text{ v-}\Lambda \\
\text{progress } (\vdash \{e_1 = e_1\} \{e_2 = e_2\} \vdash e_1 \vdash e_2) \text{ with progress } \vdash e_1 \mid \text{progress } \vdash e_2 \\
\ldots \mid \text{inj}_1 (e_1', e_1 \hookrightarrow e_1') \mid _ = \text{inj}_1 (e_1' \cdot e_2, \xi_{\rightarrow 1} e_1 \hookrightarrow e_1') \\
\ldots \mid \text{inj}_2 v \mid \text{inj}_1 (e_2', e_2 \hookrightarrow e_2') = \text{inj}_1 (e_1 \cdot e_2', \xi_{\rightarrow 2} e_2 \hookrightarrow e_2' v) \\
\ldots \mid \text{inj}_2 (\text{v-}\lambda \{e = e_1\}) \mid \text{inj}_2 v = \text{inj}_1 (e_1 [e_2], \beta\text{-}\lambda v) \\
\text{progress } (\vdash \{ \tau = \tau \} \vdash e) \text{ with progress } \vdash e \\
\ldots \mid \text{inj}_1 (e', e \hookrightarrow e') = \text{inj}_1 (e' \bullet \tau, \xi_{\bullet} e \hookrightarrow e') \\
\ldots \mid \text{inj}_2 (\text{v-}\Lambda \{e = e\}) = \text{inj}_1 (e [\tau], \beta\text{-}\Lambda) \\
\text{progress } (\vdash \text{let } \{e_2 = e_2\} \{e_1 = e_1\} \vdash e_2 \vdash e_1) \text{ with progress } \vdash e_2 \\
\ldots \mid \text{inj}_1 (e_2', e_2 \hookrightarrow e_2') = \text{inj}_1 ((\text{let}'x = e_2' \text{'in } e_1), \xi_{\text{-let}} e_2 \hookrightarrow e_2') \\
\ldots \mid \text{inj}_2 v = \text{inj}_1 (e_1 [e_2], \beta\text{-let } v)
\end{array}$$

Cases $\vdash \top$, $\vdash \lambda$ and $\vdash \Lambda$ result in values. Application cases $\vdash \cdot$, $\vdash \bullet$ and $\vdash \text{let}$ follow directly from the induction hypothesis.

Subject Reduction

Finally, we prove subject reduction, that is, reductions preserve typing. An expression e with type τ still has type τ after being reduced to e' . We prove subject reduction by induction over the reduction rules.

```

subject-reduction :  $\forall \{ \Gamma : \text{Ctx } S \} \rightarrow$ 
   $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rightarrow$ 
   $e \hookrightarrow e' \rightarrow$ 
   $\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau$ 
subject-reduction ( $\vdash \cdot (\vdash \lambda \vdash e_1) \vdash e_2$ ) ( $\beta\text{-}\lambda \ v_2$ ) = e[e]-preserves  $\vdash e_1 \vdash e_2$ 
subject-reduction ( $\vdash \cdot \vdash e_1 \vdash e_2$ ) ( $\xi\text{-}\cdot_1 \ e_1 \hookrightarrow e$ ) =  $\vdash \cdot$  (subject-reduction  $\vdash e_1 \ e_1 \hookrightarrow e$ )  $\vdash e_2$ 
subject-reduction ( $\vdash \cdot \vdash e_1 \vdash e_2$ ) ( $\xi\text{-}\cdot_2 \ e_2 \hookrightarrow e \ x$ ) =  $\vdash \cdot \vdash e_1$  (subject-reduction  $\vdash e_2 \ e_2 \hookrightarrow e$ )
subject-reduction ( $\vdash \bullet (\vdash \wedge \vdash e)$ )  $\beta\text{-}\wedge$  = e[ $\tau$ ]-preserves  $\vdash e \vdash \tau$ 
subject-reduction ( $\vdash \bullet \vdash e$ ) ( $\xi\text{-}\bullet \ e \hookrightarrow e'$ ) =  $\vdash \bullet$  (subject-reduction  $\vdash e \ e \hookrightarrow e'$ )
subject-reduction ( $\vdash \text{let} \vdash e_2 \vdash e_1$ ) ( $\beta\text{-let} \ v_2$ ) = e[e]-preserves  $\vdash e_1 \vdash e_2$ 
subject-reduction ( $\vdash \text{let} \vdash e_2 \vdash e_1$ ) ( $\xi\text{-let} \ e_2 \hookrightarrow e'$ ) =  $\vdash \text{let}$  (subject-reduction  $\vdash e_2 \ e_2 \hookrightarrow e'$ )  $\vdash e_1$ 

```

Cases $\xi\text{-}\cdot_1$, $\xi\text{-}\cdot_2$, $\xi\text{-}\bullet$ and $\xi\text{-let}$ follow directly from the induction hypothesis. For beta reduction cases $\beta\text{-}\lambda$, $\beta\text{-}\wedge$ and $\beta\text{-let}$ we need to prove that substitution preserves typing both for substitutions $e \ [\ e \]$ and $e \ [\ \tau \]$. Both lemmas follow from a more general lemma $\vdash \sigma\text{-preserves}$.

```

 $\vdash \sigma\text{-preserves} : \forall \{ \sigma : \text{Sub } S_1 \ S_2 \} \{ \Gamma_1 : \text{Ctx } S_1 \} \{ \Gamma_2 : \text{Ctx } S_2 \}$ 
   $\{ t : \text{Term } S_1 \ s \} \{ T : \text{Term } S_1 \ (\text{kind-of } s) \} \rightarrow$ 
   $\sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \rightarrow$ 
   $\Gamma_1 \vdash t : T \rightarrow$ 
   $\Gamma_2 \vdash (\text{sub } \sigma \ t) : (\text{sub } \sigma \ T)$ 

```

We can prove $\vdash \sigma\text{-preserves}$ by induction over typing rules.

4 System F_O

4.1 Specification

Sorts

```

data Sort : Ctxable  $\rightarrow$  Set where
  os : Sort  $\top^C$ 
  cs : Sort  $\perp^C$ 
  - ...

```

Syntax

```

data Term : Sorts  $\rightarrow$  Sort  $r \rightarrow$  Set where
  ' _ : s  $\in S \rightarrow$  Term S s

```

```

decl 'o' in _ : Term (S ▷ o_s) e_s → Term S e_s
inst ' '= ' in _ : Term S o_s → Term S e_s → Term S e_s → Term S e_s
_ : _ : Term S o_s → Term S τ_s → Term S c_s
λ _ ⇒ _ : Term S c_s → Term S e_s → Term S e_s
[ _ ] ⇒ _ : Term S c_s → Term S τ_s → Term S τ_s

```

... Cstr S = Term S c_s

Renaming & Substitution

```

_ [ _ ] : Type (S ▷ τ_s) → Type S → Type S
τ [ τ' ] = sub (single-type_s id_s τ') τ

```

Context

```

item-of e_s = τ_s
item-of τ_s = κ_s
item-of o_s = κ_s
..
data Ctx : Sorts → Set where
  ∅ : Ctx []
  _ ► _ : Ctx S → Term S (item-of s) → Ctx (S ▷ s)
  _ ► _ : Ctx S → Cstr S → Ctx S

```

Constraint Solving

```

data [ _ ] ∈ _ : Cstr S → Ctx S → Set where
  here : [ (' o : τ) ] ∈ (Γ ► (' o : τ))
  under-bind : {I : Term S (item-of s')} → [ (' o : τ) ] ∈ Γ → [ (' there o : wk τ) ] ∈ (Γ ► I)
  under-inst : [ c ] ∈ Γ → [ c ] ∈ (Γ ► c')

```

Typing

```

kind-of e_s = τ_s
kind-of τ_s = κ_s
kind-of o_s = τ_s

```

```

data  $\_ \vdash \_ : \text{Ctx } S \rightarrow \text{Term } S \rightarrow \text{Term } S \text{ (kind-of } s) \rightarrow \text{Set where}$ 
   $\vdash \text{inst} :$ 
     $\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \rightarrow$ 
     $\Gamma \blacktriangleright ('o : \tau) \vdash e_1 : \tau' \rightarrow$ 
     $\Gamma \vdash \text{inst } 'o' = e_2 \text{ 'in } e_1 : \tau'$ 
   $\vdash o :$ 
     $['o : \tau] \in \Gamma \rightarrow$ 
     $\Gamma \vdash 'o : \tau$ 
   $\vdash \lambda :$ 
     $\Gamma \blacktriangleright c \vdash e : \tau \rightarrow$ 
     $\Gamma \vdash \lambda c \Rightarrow e : [c] \Rightarrow \tau$ 
   $\vdash \odot :$ 
     $\Gamma \vdash e : ['o : \tau] \Rightarrow \tau' \rightarrow$ 
     $['o : \tau] \in \Gamma \rightarrow$ 
     $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau'$ 

```

Typing Renaming & Substitution

```

data  $\_ : \_ \Rightarrow_r \_ : \text{Ren } S_1 \ S_2 \rightarrow \text{Ctx } S_1 \rightarrow \text{Ctx } S_2 \rightarrow \text{Set where}$ 
   $\vdash \text{ext-inst}_r : \forall \{ \Gamma_1 : \text{Ctx } S_1 \} \{ \Gamma_2 : \text{Ctx } S_2 \} \{ \tau \} \{ o \} \rightarrow$ 
     $\rho : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_r \Gamma_2 \rightarrow$ 
    -----
     $\rho : (\Gamma_1 \blacktriangleright (o : \tau)) \Rightarrow_r (\Gamma_2 \blacktriangleright (\text{ren } \rho \ o : \text{ren } \rho \ \tau))$ 
   $\vdash \text{drop-inst}_r : \forall \{ \Gamma_1 : \text{Ctx } S_1 \} \{ \Gamma_2 : \text{Ctx } S_2 \} \{ \tau \} \{ o \} \rightarrow$ 
     $\rho : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_r \Gamma_2 \rightarrow$ 
    -----
     $\rho : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_r (\Gamma_2 \blacktriangleright (o : \tau))$ 
  - ...

data  $\_ : \_ \Rightarrow_s \_ : \text{Sub } S_1 \ S_2 \rightarrow \text{Ctx } S_1 \rightarrow \text{Ctx } S_2 \rightarrow \text{Set where}$ 
   $\vdash \text{id}_s : \forall \{ \Gamma \} \rightarrow \_ : \_ \Rightarrow_s \_ \{ S_1 = S \} \{ S_2 = S \} \text{id}_s \ \Gamma \ \Gamma$ 
   $\vdash \text{keep}_s : \forall \{ \Gamma_1 : \text{Ctx } S_1 \} \{ \Gamma_2 : \text{Ctx } S_2 \} \{ I : \text{Term } S_1 \text{ (item-of } s) \} \rightarrow$ 
     $\sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \rightarrow$ 
    -----
     $\text{ext}_s \ \sigma : \Gamma_1 \blacktriangleright I \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \blacktriangleright \text{sub } \sigma \ I$ 
   $\vdash \text{drop}_s : \forall \{ \Gamma_1 : \text{Ctx } S_1 \} \{ \Gamma_2 : \text{Ctx } S_2 \} \{ I : \text{Term } S_2 \text{ (item-of } s) \} \rightarrow$ 
     $\sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \rightarrow$ 
    -----
     $\text{drop}_s \ \sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s (\Gamma_2 \blacktriangleright I)$ 
   $\vdash \text{type}_s : \forall \{ \Gamma_1 : \text{Ctx } S_1 \} \{ \Gamma_2 : \text{Ctx } S_2 \} \{ \tau : \text{Type } S_2 \} \rightarrow$ 
     $\sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \rightarrow$ 
    -----
     $\text{single-type}_s \ \sigma \ \tau : \Gamma_1 \blacktriangleright \star \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2$ 
   $\vdash \text{keep-inst}_s : \forall \{ \Gamma_1 : \text{Ctx } S_1 \} \{ \Gamma_2 : \text{Ctx } S_2 \} \{ \tau \} \{ o \} \rightarrow$ 
     $\sigma : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \rightarrow$ 
    -----

```

$$\begin{array}{l}
\sigma : (I_1 \blacktriangleright (o : \tau)) \Rightarrow_s (I_2 \blacktriangleright (\text{sub } \sigma \ o : \text{sub } \sigma \ \tau)) \\
\vdash \text{drop-inst}_s : \forall \{I_1 : \text{Ctx } S_1\} \{I_2 : \text{Ctx } S_2\} \{\tau\} \{o\} \rightarrow \\
\sigma : I_1 \Rightarrow_s I_2 \rightarrow \\
\text{-----} \\
\sigma : I_1 \Rightarrow_s (I_2 \blacktriangleright (o : \tau))
\end{array}$$

5 Dictionary Passing Transform

5.1 Translation

Sorts

$$\begin{array}{l}
s \rightsquigarrow s : F^O.\text{Sort } \top^C \rightarrow F.\text{Sort } \top^C \\
s \rightsquigarrow s \ e_s = e_s \\
s \rightsquigarrow s \ o_s = e_s \\
s \rightsquigarrow s \ \tau_s = \tau_s \\
\\
\Gamma \rightsquigarrow S : F^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S \rightarrow F.\text{Sorts} \\
\Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \ \emptyset = [] \\
\Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \ (I \blacktriangleright c) = \Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \ I \triangleright F.e_s \\
\Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \ \{S \triangleright s\} \ (I \blacktriangleright x) = \Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \ I \triangleright s \rightsquigarrow s \ s
\end{array}$$

Terms

$$\begin{array}{l}
\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau : \forall \{I : F^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S\} \rightarrow \\
F^O.\text{Type } F^O.S \rightarrow \\
F.\text{Type } (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \ I) \\
\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ ('x) = 'x \rightsquigarrow x \\
\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ '\top = '\top \\
\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2) = \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau_2 \\
\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \{I = I'\} \ (F^O.\forall' \alpha \ \tau) = F.\forall' \alpha \ \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \{I = I' \blacktriangleright \star\} \ \tau \\
\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ ([o : \tau] \Rightarrow \tau') = \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau \Rightarrow \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau'
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
T \rightsquigarrow T : \forall \{I : F^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S\} \rightarrow \\
F^O.\text{Term } F^O.S \ (F^O.\text{kind-of } F^O.s) \rightarrow \\
F.\text{Term } (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \ I) \ (F.\text{kind-of } (s \rightsquigarrow s \ F^O.s)) \\
T \rightsquigarrow T \ \{s = e_s\} \ \tau = \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau \\
T \rightsquigarrow T \ \{s = o_s\} \ \tau = \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau \\
T \rightsquigarrow T \ \{s = \tau_s\} \ _ = \star
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\vdash t \rightsquigarrow t : \forall \{I : F^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S\} \{t : F^O.\text{Term } F^O.S \ F^O.s\} \{T : F^O.\text{Term } F^O.S \ (F^O.\text{kind-of } F^O.s)\} \rightarrow \\
I \ F^O.\vdash t : T \rightarrow
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{F.Term } (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \Gamma) (\text{s} \rightsquigarrow s F^O.s) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash (\vdash' x \{x = x\} \Gamma x \equiv \tau) = \vdash' x \rightsquigarrow x x \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash (\vdash' o \ o: \tau \in \Gamma) = \vdash' o: \tau \in \Gamma \rightsquigarrow x \ o: \tau \in \Gamma \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \top = \text{tt} \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash (\vdash \lambda \vdash e) = \lambda' x \rightarrow (\vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \vdash e) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash (\vdash \Lambda \vdash e) = \Lambda' \alpha \rightarrow (\vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \vdash e) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash (\vdash \lambda \vdash e) = \lambda' x \rightarrow (\vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \vdash e) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash (\vdash \cdot \vdash e_1 \vdash e_2) = \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \vdash e_1 \cdot \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \vdash e_2 \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash (\vdash \bullet \{ \tau = \tau \} \vdash e) = \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \vdash e \bullet (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \tau) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash (\vdash \oslash \vdash e \ o: \tau \in \Gamma) = \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \vdash e \cdot \vdash' o: \tau \in \Gamma \rightsquigarrow x \ o: \tau \in \Gamma \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash (\vdash \text{let} \vdash e_2 \vdash e_1) = \text{let}' x = \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \vdash e_2 \text{ 'in } \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \vdash e_1 \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash (\vdash \text{decl} \vdash e) = \text{let}' x = \text{tt} \text{ 'in } \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \vdash e \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash (\vdash \text{inst} \vdash e_2 \vdash e_1) = \text{let}' x = \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \vdash e_2 \text{ 'in } \vdash \rightsquigarrow \vdash \vdash e_1
\end{aligned}$$

Renaming

$$\begin{aligned}
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rho : \forall \{ \rho : F^O.\text{Ren } F^O.S_1 F^O.S_2 \} \{ \Gamma_1 : F^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S_1 \} \{ \Gamma_2 : F^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S_2 \} \rightarrow \\
& \quad \rho F^O. : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_r \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{F.Ren } (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \Gamma_1) (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \Gamma_2) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rho \vdash \text{id}_r = \text{id} \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rho (\vdash \text{ext}_r \vdash \rho) = \text{F.ext}_r (\vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rho \vdash \rho) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rho (\vdash \text{drop}_r \vdash \rho) = \text{F.drop}_r (\vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rho \vdash \rho) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rho (\vdash \text{ext-inst}_r \vdash \rho) = \text{F.ext}_r (\vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rho \vdash \rho) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rho (\vdash \text{drop-inst}_r \vdash \rho) = \text{F.drop}_r (\vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \rho \vdash \rho)
\end{aligned}$$

Substitution

$$\begin{aligned}
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \sigma : \forall \{ \sigma : F^O.\text{Sub } F^O.S_1 F^O.S_2 \} \{ \Gamma_1 : F^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S_1 \} \{ \Gamma_2 : F^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S_2 \} \rightarrow \\
& \quad \sigma F^O. : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{F.Sub } (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \Gamma_1) (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \Gamma_2) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \sigma \vdash \text{id}_s = \text{F.'} _ \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \sigma (\vdash \text{keep}_s \vdash \sigma) = \text{F.ext}_s (\vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \sigma \vdash \sigma) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \sigma (\vdash \text{drop}_s \vdash \sigma) = \text{F.drop}_s (\vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \sigma \vdash \sigma) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \sigma (\vdash \text{type}_s \{ \tau = \tau \} \vdash \sigma) = \text{F.single}_s (\vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \sigma \vdash \sigma) (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \tau) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \sigma (\vdash \text{keep-inst}_s \vdash \sigma) = \text{F.ext}_s (\vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \sigma \vdash \sigma) \\
& \vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \sigma (\vdash \text{drop-inst}_s \vdash \sigma) = \text{F.drop}_s (\vdash \rightsquigarrow \rightsquigarrow \sigma \vdash \sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

Context

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma : (\Gamma : F^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S) \rightarrow \text{F.Ctx } (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow S \Gamma) \\
& \Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \emptyset = \emptyset
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \ (I \blacktriangleright I) &= (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \ I) \blacktriangleright \textcolor{blue}{I} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{T} \ I \\ \Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \ (I \blacktriangleright (' \ o : \tau)) &= (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \ I) \blacktriangleright \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau\end{aligned}$$

5.2 Type Preservation

Terms

$$\begin{aligned}\textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} : \{ \Gamma : \textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\text{Ctx} \ F^O.S \} \{ t : \textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\text{Term} \ F^O.S \ F^O.s \} \{ T : \textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\text{Term} \ F^O.S \ (\textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\text{kind-of} \ F^O.s) \} \rightarrow \\ (\vdash t : \Gamma \ \textcolor{blue}{F}^O. \vdash t : T) \rightarrow \\ (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \ I) \ \textcolor{blue}{F}. \vdash (\textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{\vdash t}) : (\textcolor{blue}{T} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{T} \ T) \\ \textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \ (\vdash' \textcolor{blue}{o} \ o : \tau \in I) = \vdash' \textcolor{blue}{x} \ (\textcolor{blue}{o} : \tau \in \Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \ x \equiv \tau \ o : \tau \in I) \\ \textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \ (\vdash' \textcolor{blue}{\lambda} \ \{ c = (' \ o : \tau) \} \vdash e) = \vdash' \textcolor{blue}{\lambda} \ (\textcolor{blue}{subst} \ (_ \ \textcolor{blue}{F}. \vdash \textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \vdash e \ : _) \\ \quad \tau \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{wk-inst} \cdot \tau \equiv \textcolor{blue}{wk-inst} \cdot \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \vdash e)) \\ \textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \ (\vdash' \textcolor{blue}{\emptyset} \vdash e \ o : \tau \in I) = \vdash' \cdot \ (\textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{\vdash t} \vdash e) \ (\vdash' \textcolor{blue}{x} \ (\textcolor{blue}{o} : \tau \in \Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \ x \equiv \tau \ o : \tau \in I)) \\ - \dots\end{aligned}$$

Variables

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \Gamma x \equiv \tau : \forall \{ \Gamma : \textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\text{Ctx} \ F^O.S \} \{ \tau : \textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\text{Type} \ F^O.S \} \ (x : \textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\text{Var} \ F^O.S \ \textcolor{blue}{e}_s) \rightarrow \\ \textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\text{lookup} \ \Gamma \ x \equiv \tau \rightarrow \\ \textcolor{blue}{F}.\text{lookup} \ (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \ I) \ (x \rightsquigarrow x \ x) \equiv (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau) \\ \Gamma x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \Gamma x \equiv \tau \ \{ \Gamma = I \blacktriangleright \tau \} \ (\textcolor{blue}{here} \ \textcolor{blue}{refl}) \ \textcolor{blue}{refl} = \vdash' \textcolor{blue}{\rho} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{\rho} \cdot \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \equiv \textcolor{blue}{\rho} \cdot \tau \ \textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\vdash \textcolor{blue}{wk}_r \ \tau \\ \Gamma x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \Gamma x \equiv \tau \ \{ \Gamma = I \blacktriangleright _ \} \{ \tau' \} \ (\textcolor{blue}{there} \ x) \ \textcolor{blue}{refl} = \textcolor{blue}{trans} \\ \quad (\textcolor{blue}{cong} \ \textcolor{blue}{F}.\text{wk} \ (\Gamma x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \Gamma x \equiv \tau \ x \ \textcolor{blue}{refl})) \\ \quad (\vdash' \textcolor{blue}{\rho} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{\rho} \cdot \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \equiv \textcolor{blue}{\rho} \cdot \tau \ \textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\vdash \textcolor{blue}{wk}_r \ (\textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\text{lookup} \ \Gamma \ x)) \\ \Gamma x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \Gamma x \equiv \tau \ \{ \Gamma = I \blacktriangleright c@(' \ o : \tau') \} \{ \tau \} \ x \ \textcolor{blue}{refl} = (\\ \quad \textcolor{blue}{begin} \\ \quad \quad \textcolor{blue}{F}.\text{wk} \ (\textcolor{blue}{F}.\text{lookup} \ (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \ I) \ (x \rightsquigarrow x \ x)) \\ \quad \equiv \langle \textcolor{blue}{cong} \ \textcolor{blue}{F}.\text{wk} \ (\Gamma x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \Gamma x \equiv \tau \ x \ \textcolor{blue}{refl}) \rangle \\ \quad \quad \textcolor{blue}{F}.\text{wk} \ (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau) \\ \quad \equiv \langle \vdash' \textcolor{blue}{\rho} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{blue}{\rho} \cdot \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \equiv \textcolor{blue}{\rho} \cdot \tau \ \vdash \textcolor{blue}{wk-inst}_r \ \tau \rangle \\ \quad \quad \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\text{ren} \ \textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\text{id}_r \ \tau) \\ \quad \equiv \langle \textcolor{blue}{cong} \ \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\textcolor{blue}{id}_r \tau \equiv \tau) \rangle \\ \quad \quad \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau \\ \quad \textcolor{blue}{\square})\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\textcolor{blue}{o} : \tau \in \Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma x \equiv \tau : \forall \{ \Gamma : \textcolor{blue}{F}^O.\text{Ctx} \ F^O.S \} \rightarrow (\textcolor{blue}{o} : \tau \in I : [\textcolor{blue}{\vdash} \ F^O.o : F^O.\tau] \in I) \rightarrow \\ \textcolor{blue}{F}.\text{lookup} \ (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \ I) \ (\textcolor{blue}{o} : \tau \in \Gamma \rightsquigarrow x \ o : \tau \in I) \equiv (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ F^O.\tau)\end{aligned}$$

Renaming

$$(\vdash \rho \rightsquigarrow \rho \vdash \rho) (x \rightsquigarrow x \ x) \equiv x \rightsquigarrow x \ (\rho \ x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{F.ren } (\vdash \rho \rightsquigarrow \rho \vdash \rho) (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau) &\equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\text{F}^O.\text{ren } \rho \ \tau) \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \{\Gamma = \Gamma \blacktriangleright I\} (\text{F}^O.\text{wk } \tau') \equiv \text{F.wk} \\ (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau') \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \{\Gamma = \Gamma \blacktriangleright (' \ o : \tau')\} \ \tau &\equiv \text{F.wk } (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau) \end{aligned}$$

Substitution

$$\begin{aligned} &\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x : \{\sigma : \text{F}^O.\text{Sub } F^O.S_1 \ F^O.S_2\} \{\Gamma_1 : \text{F}^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S_1\} \{\Gamma_2 : \text{F}^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S_2\} \rightarrow \\ &\quad (\vdash \sigma : \sigma \text{F}^O. : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2) \rightarrow \\ &\quad (x : \text{F}^O.\text{Var } F^O.S_1 \ \tau_s) \rightarrow \\ &\quad \text{F.sub } (\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \vdash \sigma) (' \ x \rightsquigarrow x \ x) \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\text{F}^O.\text{sub } \sigma (' \ x)) \\ &\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \vdash \text{id}_s \ x = \text{refl} \\ &\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \ (\vdash \text{keep}_s \vdash \sigma) \ (\text{here refl}) = \text{refl} \\ &\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \ (\vdash \text{keep}_s \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ (\text{there } x) = \text{trans} \\ &\quad (\text{cong F.wk } (\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \vdash \sigma \ x)) \ (\vdash \rho \rightsquigarrow \rho \cdot \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \rho \cdot \tau \text{F}^O.\vdash \text{wk}_r \ (\sigma \ x)) \\ &\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \ (\vdash \text{drop}_s \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \text{trans} \\ &\quad (\text{cong F.wk } (\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \vdash \sigma \ x)) \ (\vdash \rho \rightsquigarrow \rho \cdot \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \rho \cdot \tau \text{F}^O.\vdash \text{wk}_r \ (\sigma \ x)) \\ &\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \ (\vdash \text{type}_s \vdash \sigma) \ (\text{here refl}) = \text{refl} \\ &\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \ (\vdash \text{type}_s \vdash \sigma) \ (\text{there } x) = \vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \vdash \sigma \ x \\ &\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \ (\vdash \text{keep-inst}_s \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \text{trans} \ (\text{cong F.wk } (\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \vdash \sigma \ x)) \ (\\ &\quad \text{begin} \\ &\quad \text{F.wk } (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\sigma \ x)) \\ &\quad \equiv \langle \vdash \rho \rightsquigarrow \rho \cdot \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \rho \cdot \tau \vdash \text{wk-inst}_r \ (\sigma \ x) \rangle \\ &\quad \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\text{F}^O.\text{ren } \text{F}^O.\text{id}_r \ (\sigma \ x)) \\ &\quad \equiv \langle \text{cong } \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\text{id}_r \tau \equiv \tau \ (\sigma \ x)) \rangle \\ &\quad \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\sigma \ x) \\ &\quad \square) \\ &\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \ (\vdash \text{drop-inst}_s \{\sigma = \sigma\} \vdash \sigma) \ x = \text{trans} \ (\text{cong F.wk } (\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \rightsquigarrow x \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot x \vdash \sigma \ x)) \ (\\ &\quad \text{begin} \\ &\quad \text{F.wk } (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\sigma \ x)) \\ &\quad \equiv \langle \vdash \rho \rightsquigarrow \rho \cdot \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \rho \cdot \tau \vdash \text{wk-inst}_r \ (\sigma \ x) \rangle \\ &\quad \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\text{F}^O.\text{ren } \text{F}^O.\text{id}_r \ (\sigma \ x)) \\ &\quad \equiv \langle \text{cong } \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\text{id}_r \tau \equiv \tau \ (\sigma \ x)) \rangle \\ &\quad \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\sigma \ x) \\ &\quad \square) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot \tau : \forall \{\sigma : \text{F}^O.\text{Sub } F^O.S_1 \ F^O.S_2\} \{\Gamma_1 : \text{F}^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S_1\} \{\Gamma_2 : \text{F}^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S_2\} \rightarrow \\ &\quad (\vdash \sigma : \sigma \text{F}^O. : \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_s \Gamma_2) \rightarrow \\ &\quad (\tau : \text{F}^O.\text{Type } F^O.S_1) \rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{F.sub } (\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \vdash \sigma) (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ \tau) \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \ (\text{F}^O.\text{sub } \sigma \ \tau)$$

$$\tau' \rightsquigarrow \tau' [\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau] \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau' [\tau] \ \tau \ \tau' = \vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \sigma \cdot \tau \vdash \text{single-type}_s \ \tau'$$

6 Conclusion and Further Work

6.1 Hindley Milner with Overloading

In this scenario our source language for the Dictionary Passing Transform would be HM_O and our target language HM. HM is a restricted form of System F introducing two new sorts \mathbf{m}_s for mono types and \mathbf{p}_s for poly types in favour of types τ_s . Poly types can include forall quantifiers, while mono types consist only of primitive types and type variables. Constraint abstraction would only allow to introduce overloaded variables with mono types. Further, we need to restrict instances overloaded variable o in HM_O to differ in the type of their first argument, for each overloaded variable. With these restrictions type inference, using an extended version of Algorithm W, is preserved. [CITE]

6.2 Semantic Preservation of System F_O

6.3 Conclusion

References

Declaration

I hereby declare, that I am the sole author and composer of my thesis and that no other sources or learning aids, other than those listed, have been used. Furthermore, I declare that I have acknowledged the work of others by providing detailed references of said work.

I also hereby declare that my thesis has not been prepared for another examination or assignment, either in its entirety or excerpts thereof.

Place, Date

Signature