Formal Proof of Type Preservation of the Dictionary Passing Transform for System F

Marius Weidner

Chair of Programming Languages, University of Freiburg weidner@cs.uni-freiburg.de

Bachelor Thesis

Examiner: Prof. Dr. Peter Thiemann Advisor: Hannes Saffrich

Abstract. Most popular strongly typed programming languages support function overloading. In combination with polymorphism this leads to essential language constructs, for example type classes in Haskell or traits in Rust. We introduce System F_O , a minimal language extension to System F, with support for overloading. We show that the Dictionary Passing Transform from System F_O to System F is type preserving.

1 Introduction

1.1 Overloading in Haskell

Without overloaded function names code becomes less readable, since we would need to define a unique name for every function, for example equality, on each type. Haskell, solves this problem using type classes. Essentially, type classes allow to declare overloaded function names with generic type signatures. We can give one of many specific meanings to a type class, by instantiating the type class for concrete types. When we invoke the overloaded function name, we determine the correct instance based on the concrete types of the applied arguments. Furthermore, Haskell allows to constrain bound type variables α via type constraints Tc $\alpha \Rightarrow \ldots$ to only be substituted by a concrete type τ , if there exists an instance of Tc for τ .

Example: Overloading Equality in Haskell

Our goal is to overload the function $eq: \alpha \to \alpha \to Bool$ with different meanings for different types substituted for α . We want to call eq on both Nat and [Nat] respectively. In Haskell we would solve the problem as follows:

```
class Eq \alpha where eq :: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow Bool instance Eq Nat where eq x y = x \stackrel{.}{=} y
```

```
instance Eq \alpha \Rightarrow Eq [\alpha] where eq [] = True eq (x : xs) (y : ys) = eq x y && eq xs ys ... eq 42 0 ... eq [42, 0] [42, 0] ...
```

First, type class Eq with a single generic function eq is declared and instantiated for Nat. Next, Eq is instantiated for $[\alpha]$, given that an instance Eq exists for type α . Finally, we can call eq on elements of type [Nat], since the constraint Eq $\alpha \Rightarrow \ldots$ in the second instance resolves to the first instance.

1.2 Introducing System Fo

In our language extension to System F we give up high level language constructs. Instead, System F_O desugars type class functionality to overloaded variables. Using the decl o in e'expression we can introduce an new overloaded variable o. If declared as overloaded, o can be instantiated for type τ of expression e using the inst o = e in e'expression. In contrast to Haskell, it is allowed to overload o with arbitrary types. Shadowing other instances of the same type is allowed. Constraints can be introduced using the constraint abstraction λ (o: τ). e', resulting in expressions of constraint type [o: τ] $\Rightarrow \tau$ '. Constraints are eliminated implicitly by the typing rules.

Example: Overloading Equality in System F_O

Recall the Haskell example from above. The same functionality can be expressed in System $F_{\rm O}$ as follows:

```
decl eq in  \begin{aligned} &\text{inst eq : Nat} \to \text{Nat} \to \text{Bool} \\ &= \lambda x. \ \lambda y. \ .. \ \text{in} \\ &\text{inst eq : } \forall \alpha. \ [\text{eq : } \alpha \to \alpha \to \text{Bool}] \ \Rightarrow \ [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \to \text{Bool} \\ &= \Lambda \alpha. \ \lambda (\text{eq : } \alpha \to \alpha \to \text{Bool}). \ \lambda xs. \ \lambda ys. \ .. \ \text{in} \\ &\text{.. eq } 42 \ 0 \ .. \ \text{eq Nat} \ [42, \ 0] \ [42, \ 0] \ .. \end{aligned}
```

For convenience type annotations for instances are given. First, we declare eq to be an overloaded identifier and instantiate eq for Nat. Next, we instantiate eq for $[\alpha]$, given the constraint introduced by the constraint abstraction λ is satisfied. The actual implementations of the instances are omitted. Because System F_O is based on System F, we are required to bind type variables using type abstractions Λ and eliminate type variables using type application.

A little caveat: the second instance needs to recursively call eq for sublists but System F_O 's formalization does not actually support recursive let bindings. Extending System F and System F_O with recursive let bindings and thus recursive instances is known to be sound.

1.3 Translating between System Fo and System F

The Dictionary Passing Transform translates well typed System F_O expressions to well typed System F expressions. The overall goal will be to formally show that the

Dictionary Passing Transform is in fact correct. The translation drops decl o in expressions and replaces inst o = e in e' expressions with let o_{\tau} = e in e' expressions, where o_{\tau} is an unique name with respect to type τ of e. Constraint abstractions λ (o : τ). e' translate to normal lambda bindings λ o_{τ}. e'. Similarly constraint types [o : τ] \Rightarrow τ ' are translated to function types τ \rightarrow τ '. Invocations of overloaded function names are translated to the correct variable name bound by the former instance, now let binding. Implicitly resolved constraints in System F_O must be explicitly passed as arguments in System F.

Example: Dicitionary Passing Transform

Recall the System F_O example from above. We use indices to ensure unique names. Applying the Dictionary Passing Transform results in the following well typed System F expression:

```
let eq<sub>1</sub> : Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Bool

= \lambda x. \lambda y. .. in

let eq<sub>2</sub> : \forall \alpha. (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow Bool

= \hbar \alpha. \lambda eq_1. \lambda xs. \lambda ys. .. in

... eq<sub>1</sub> 42 0 ... eq<sub>2</sub> Nat eq<sub>1</sub> [42, 0] [42, 0] ...
```

First we drop the decl expression and replace inst definitions with let bindings. Inside the second instance the constraint abstraction is translated into a normal function. Invocations of eq are translated to the correct unique names eq_i. When invoking eq₂ the correct instance to resolve the former constraint must be eliminated explicitly by passing eq₁ as argument.

1.4 Related Work

There exist other Systems to formalize overloading.

Bla, Bla & Bla introduced System O [CITE], a language extension to the Hindley Milner System, preserving full type inference. Aside from using Hindley Milner as base system, System O differs from System F_O by embedding constraints into \forall -types. Constraints can not be introduced on the expression level, instead constraints are introduced via explicit type annotations of instances. ...?

2 Preliminary

2.1 Dependently Typed Programming in Agda

Agda is a dependently typed programming language and proof assistant. [CITE] Agdas type system is based on Martin Löf's intuitionistic type theory [CITE] and allows to construct proofs based on the Curry Howard correspondence. The Curry Howard correspondence is an isomorphic relationship between programs written in dependently typed languages and mathematical proofs written in first order logic. Because of the Curry Howard correspondence, programs in Agda correspond to proofs and formulae correspond to types. Hence, if a type checked Agda program implies that our proofs are sound, given we do not use unsafe Agda features and assuming Agda is implemented

4 Marius Weidner

correctly. Agda is appealing to programmers, because proving in Agda is similar to functional programming using common concepts, for example pattern matching, currying and inductive data types. Further, Agda has a couple useful support features, for example proving with interactive holes and automatic proof search.

2.2 Design Decisions for the Agda Formalization

To formalize System F and System F_O in Agda we will use a single data type Term indexed by sorts s to represent the syntax. Sorts distinguish between different kind of terms, for example sort e_s for expressions and τ_s for types. Using only a single data type to formalize the syntax yields more elegant proofs involving contexts, substitutions and renamings. In consequence we must use extrinsic typing, because intrinsically typed terms Term $e_s \vdash \text{Term } \tau_s$ would need to be indexed by themselves.

3 System F

3.1 Specification

We will first look at System F, our target language of the Dictionary Passing Transform. The specification includes Syntax, Typing and Semantic.

Sorts

System F only requires two sorts, e_s for expressions and τ_s for types.

```
data Sort : Set where e_s : Sort \tau_s : Sort Sorts : Set Sorts = List Sort
```

Going forward, we use s as variable name for sorts and S for a list of sorts.

Syntax

System F's syntax is represented in a single data type Term indexed by a list of sorts S and a sort s. The length of S represents the amount of bound variables and the elements s_i of the list provide the sort of the variable bound at that position. The second index s represents the sort of the term itself.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{data} \ \operatorname{Term} : \operatorname{Sorts} \to \operatorname{Sort} \to \operatorname{Set} \ \operatorname{where} \\ & : s \in S \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ s \\ & \operatorname{tt} & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & \operatorname{\lambda'x} \to & : \operatorname{Term} \ (S \rhd e_s) \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & \wedge `\alpha \to & : \operatorname{Term} \ (S \rhd \tau_s) \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \\ & : \operatorname{Term} \ S \ e_s \to \operatorname{Term
```

```
 \begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{let} `\mathsf{x} = \_`\mathsf{in}\_ : \mathsf{Term} \ S \ \mathsf{e}_s \to \mathsf{Term} \ (S \rhd \mathsf{e}_s) \ \mathsf{e}_s \to \mathsf{Term} \ S \ \mathsf{e}_s \\ `\top & : \mathsf{Term} \ S \ \mathsf{\tau}_s \\ \_ \Rightarrow \_ & : \mathsf{Term} \ S \ \mathsf{\tau}_s \to \mathsf{Term} \ S \ \mathsf{\tau}_s \to \mathsf{Term} \ S \ \mathsf{\tau}_s \\ \forall `\alpha\_ & : \mathsf{Term} \ (S \rhd \mathsf{\tau}_s) \ \mathsf{\tau}_s \to \mathsf{Term} \ S \ \mathsf{\tau}_s \end{array}
```

Renaming

Renamings ρ of type Ren S_1 S_2 are defined as total functions mapping variables Var S_1 s to variables Var S_2 s preserving the sort s of the variable.

```
Ren : Sorts \rightarrow Sorts \rightarrow Set
Ren S_1 S_2 = \forall \{s\} \rightarrow  Var S_1 \ s \rightarrow  Var S_2 \ s
```

Applying a renaming Ren S_1 S_2 to a term Term S_1 s yield a new term Term S_2 s where variables are represented as references $s \in S_2$ to elements in S_2 .

When going under a binder, the renaming is extended using $\mathsf{ext}_r : \mathsf{Ren}\ S_1\ S_2 \to \mathsf{Ren}\ (S_1 \rhd s)\ (S_2 \rhd s)$. The weakening of a term can be defined as shifting all variables by one.

```
wk : Term S s \rightarrow \text{Term } (S \triangleright s') s
wk = ren there
```

Since variables are represented as references to a list, we shift them by wrapping a given reference in the there constructor.

Substitution

Substitutions σ of type Sub S_1 S_2 are similar to renamings but rather than mapping variables to variables, substitutions map variables to terms.

```
Sub : Sorts \rightarrow Sorts \rightarrow Set
Sub S_1 S_2 = \forall \{s\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Var}\ S_1 s \rightarrow \mathsf{Term}\ S_2 s
```

Applying a substitution to a term sub: Sub S_1 $S_2 o$ (Term S_1 s o Term S_2 s) is analogous to the applying a renaming. Single substitution is constructed by composing single_s: Sub S_1 $S_2 o$ Term S_2 s o Sub $(S_1 riangle s)$ S_2 with identity substitution id_s = '_ of type Sub S_1 S_2 Sub S_3 S_4 Sub S_4 Sub S_5 Sub S_5

```
 \underline{\quad } [\_] : \mathsf{Term} \ (S \vartriangleright s') \ s \to \mathsf{Term} \ S \ s' \to \mathsf{Term} \ S \ s \\ t \ [\ t'\ ] = \mathsf{sub} \ (\mathsf{sing}|\mathsf{e}_s \ \mathsf{id}_s \ t') \ t
```

Context

The typing context Ctx S is indexed by sorts S similar to terms.

```
data Ctx : Sorts → Set where \emptyset : Ctx []
_ ▶ _ : Ctx S → Types S s → Ctx (S \triangleright s)
```

A context can either be empty \emptyset or cons $\Gamma \triangleright T$ where T is of type Types S s. Type Types S s is defined as

```
Types : Sorts \rightarrow Sort \rightarrow Set Types S \mathbf{e}_s = Type S Types S \mathbf{\tau}_s = \top
```

and has two overlapping meanings. First, Types S s represents the type of what is stored in the context for a variable of sort s. For expressions e we expect the context to store the corresponding type τ . For types τ we store the corresponding kind of unit type \top , since System F only has one kind for types. Additionally Types S s represents the outcome of the typing relation $\Gamma \vdash t$: T for terms.

Typing

The typing relation $\Gamma \vdash t$: T relates terms t to their typing of type Types S s in context Γ .

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{data} \ \_\vdash \_: \_ : \mathsf{Ctx} \ S \to \mathsf{Term} \ S \ s \to \mathsf{Types} \ S \ s \to \mathsf{Set} \ \mathsf{where} \\ \vdash `x : \\ \mathsf{lookup} \ \varGamma \ x \equiv \tau \to \\ \varGamma \vdash `x : \tau \\ \vdash \vdash \top : \\ \varGamma \vdash \mathsf{tt} : `\top \\ \vdash \lambda : \\ \varGamma \blacktriangleright \tau \vdash e : \mathsf{wk} \ \tau ` \to \\ \end{array}
```

Rule \vdash 'x says that variables ' x have type τ if x has type τ in Γ .

Semantics

3.2 Soundness

Progress

Subject Reduction

- 4 System F_O
- 4.1 Specification

Sorts

Syntax

Renaming

Renaming

Substitution

Variables

Terms

Conclusion and Further Work

- 6.1 Hindley Milner with Overloading
- 6.2 Semantic Preservation of System F_O
- 6.3 Conclusion

References

Declaration

other sources or learning aids, other a declare that I have acknowledged the of said work.	author and composer of my thesis and that no than those listed, have been used. Furthermore, e work of others by providing detailed reference has not been prepared for another examination of excerpts thereof.
Place, Date	Signature