

Formal Proof of Type Preservation of the Dictionary Passing Transform for System F

Marius Weidner

Chair of Programming Languages, University of Freiburg

February 25, 2023

Typeclasses

Overloading Equality in Haskell

```
class Eq α where
  eq :: α → α → Bool

instance Eq Nat where
  eq x y = x ≐ y
instance Eq α ⇒ Eq [α] where
  eq [] [] = True
  eq (x : xs) (y : ys) = eq x y && eq xs ys

.. eq 42 0 .. eq [42, 0] [42, 0] ..
```

Desugaring Typeclasses

Overloading Equality in System F_0

```
decl eq in
```

```
inst eq : Nat → Nat → Bool
```

```
= λx. λy. .. in
```

```
inst eq :  $\forall \alpha. [eq : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}] \Rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ 
```

```
= λ $\alpha$ . λ(eq :  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ ). λxs. λys. .. in
```

```
.. eq 42 0 .. eq Nat [42, 0] [42, 0] ..
```

Dictionary Passing Transform

Overloading Equality in System F_0

```
decl eq in
inst eq : Nat → Nat → Bool
  = λx. λy. .. in
inst eq : ∀α. [eq : α → α → Bool] ⇒ [α] → [α] → Bool
  = Λα. λ(eq : α → α → Bool). λxs. λys. .. in
.. eq 42 0 .. eq Nat [42, 0] [42, 0] ..
```

System F_0 Transformed to System F

```
let eq1 : Nat → Nat → Bool
  = λx. λy. .. in
let eq2 : ∀α. (α → α → Bool) → [α] → [α] → Bool
  = Λα. λeq1. λxs. λys. .. in

.. eq1 42 0 .. eq2 Nat eq1 [42, 0] [42, 0] ..
```

Elegant Syntax Representations in Agda

System F_0 Syntax

```
data Term : Sorts → Sort r → Set where
  '      : s ∈ S → Term S s
  decl 'o 'in _ : Term (S ▷ os) es → Term S es
  inst ' _ '=' _ 'in _ : Term S os → Term S es → Term S es → Term S es
  _ : _ : Term S os → Term S τs → Term S cs
  λ _ ⇒ _ : Term S cs → Term S es → Term S es
  [ _ ] ⇒ _ : Term S cs → Term S τs → Term S τs
  - ...
```

Substitution Defined on Terms

```
_ [ _ ] : Term (S ▷ s') s → Term S s' → Term S s
t [ t' ] = sub (singles ids t') t
```

Agda Formalization of System F_0

Context

```
data Ctx : Sorts → Set where
  ∅ : Ctx []
  _ ► _ : Ctx S → Term S (item-of s) → Ctx (S ▷ s)
  _ ► _ : Ctx S → Cstr S → Ctx S
```

Constraint Solving

```
data [_] ∈ _ : Cstr S → Ctx S → Set where
  here : [ (' o : τ) ] ∈ (Γ ► (' o : τ))
  under-bind : {I : Term S (item-of s')} →
    [ (' o : τ) ] ∈ Γ → [ (' there o : wk τ) ] ∈ (Γ ► I)
  under-inst : [ c ] ∈ Γ → [ c ] ∈ (Γ ► c')
```

Extrinsic Typing Rules

System F_0 Typing

data $_ \vdash _ : _ : \text{Ctx } S \rightarrow \text{Term } S \ s \rightarrow \text{Term } S \ (\text{kind-of } s) \rightarrow \text{Set}$ where

$\vdash_{\text{inst}} :$

$\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \rightarrow$

$\Gamma \blacktriangleright ('o : \tau) \vdash e_1 : \tau' \rightarrow$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{inst} 'o '=' e_2 \text{ 'in } e_1 : \tau'$

$\vdash_o :$

$['o : \tau] \in \Gamma \rightarrow$

$\Gamma \vdash 'o : \tau$

$\vdash_{\lambda} :$

$\Gamma \blacktriangleright c \vdash e : \tau \rightarrow$

$\Gamma \vdash \lambda c \Rightarrow e : [c] \Rightarrow \tau$

$\vdash_{\odot} :$

$\Gamma \vdash e : ['o : \tau] \Rightarrow \tau' \rightarrow$

$['o : \tau] \in \Gamma \rightarrow$

$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau'$

Fun Lemmas on Our Way to Type Preservation

Type Transform Preserves Weakening

$$\text{F.ren } (\vdash \rho \rightsquigarrow \rho \vdash \rho) (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \tau) \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau (\text{F}^O.\text{ren } \rho \tau)$$

Type Transform Preserves Substitution

$$\text{F.sub } (\vdash \sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma \vdash \sigma) (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \tau) \equiv \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau (\text{F}^O.\text{sub } \sigma \tau)$$

Instance Resolution Transforms to Correct Variable

$$\begin{aligned} o:\tau \in \Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma x \equiv \tau : \forall \{ \Gamma : \text{F}^O.\text{Ctx } \text{F}^O.S \} \rightarrow \\ (o:\tau \in \Gamma : [\text{' } \text{F}^O.o : \text{F}^O.\tau] \in \Gamma) \rightarrow \\ \text{F.lookup } (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \Gamma) (o:\tau \in \Gamma \rightsquigarrow x o:\tau \in \Gamma) \equiv (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \text{F}^O.\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Type Preservation of the Dictionary Passing Transform

Typed System F^O transforms to typed System F

$$\begin{aligned} \vdash t \rightsquigarrow \vdash t : & \forall \{ \Gamma : F^O.\text{Ctx } F^O.S \} \{ t : F^O.\text{Term } F^O.S F^O.s \} \\ & \{ T : F^O.\text{Term } F^O.S (F^O.\text{kind-of } F^O.s) \} \rightarrow \\ & (\vdash t : \Gamma \text{ } F^O.\vdash t : T) \rightarrow \\ & (\Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \text{ } \Gamma) \text{ } F.\vdash (\vdash t \rightsquigarrow \vdash t) : (T \rightsquigarrow T \text{ } \Gamma \text{ } T) \\ \vdash t \rightsquigarrow \vdash t (\vdash o : \tau \in \Gamma) &= \vdash' x (\text{ } o : \tau \in \Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma x \equiv \tau \text{ } o : \tau \in \Gamma) \\ \vdash t \rightsquigarrow \vdash t (\vdash \lambda \{ c = (\text{ } o : \tau) \} \vdash e) &= \vdash \lambda (\text{subst } (_ \text{ } F.\vdash \vdash t \rightsquigarrow \vdash t \vdash e : _) \\ & \quad \tau \rightsquigarrow \text{wk-inst}.\tau \equiv \text{wk-inst}.\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau \text{ } (\vdash t \rightsquigarrow \vdash t \vdash e)) \\ \vdash t \rightsquigarrow \vdash t (\vdash \bigcirc \vdash e \text{ } o : \tau \in \Gamma) &= \vdash \cdot (\vdash t \rightsquigarrow \vdash t \vdash e) (\vdash' x (\text{ } o : \tau \in \Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma x \equiv \tau \text{ } o : \tau \in \Gamma)) \\ - \dots \end{aligned}$$

Future Work: Hindley Milner & Semantic Preservation

Overloading in Hindley Milner

- Constraint abstractions cannot require poly types
- All instances must differ in the type of there first argument
 - 1 Deterministic instance resolution
 - 2 Preserve Algorithm W

Proving Semantic Preservation

- System F_0 would require typed semantics
 - 1 Prove that
- Hindley Milner based System could support untyped Semantics
 - 1 Prove that