Software Engineering Group Project Project Plan

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Author:} & \text{Luke Jones} \\ \textit{Config. Ref.:} & \text{SE-12-PM-01} \\ \textit{Date:} & 2015-10-21 \end{array}$

Version: 1.0 Status: Draft

Department of Computer Science, Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth, Ceredigion, SY23 3DB, U.K.

© Aberystwyth University 2015

CONTENTS

| 1 | INTRODUCTION | |
|--------------|------------------------------|--|
| | 1.1 Purpose of this Document | |
| | 1.2 Scope | |
| | 1.3 Objectives | |
| 2 | GANTT CHART | |
| 3 | RISK ANALYSIS | |
| \mathbf{R} | EFERENCES | |
| D | OCUMENT HISTORY | |

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of this Document

The purpose of this document is to outline our project plan, to provide data on the time frame in which tasks are to completed and to provide information on risks associated with the project, and how their effects may be mitigated.

1.2 Scope

This document specifies the time frame in which we aim to begin and complete tasks and the team member(s) who will work on each task. This document also highlights any risks involved with the project with regard to delays, and includes instruction on how the effects of such delays can be mitigated.

This document should be read and closely monitored by all project members. It is assumed that the reader is already familiar with QA document SE.QA.05b [1].

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this document are as follows:

- To provide group members with a prior knowledge of when major milestones will be targeted.
- Illustrate the optimal dates for the beginning and completion of tasks/subtasks.
- Outline group member(s) who will be responsible for the completion of each task.
- Identify parts of the plan with potential to cause delay, as well as any outside factors that could make task completion longer than necessary.
- Advise on course of action in the event of a delay occurring.

2 GANTT CHART

| | Task Name | | Q4 | | | Q1 | | |
|----|--|-----|---------|----------|-----|-----|-----|--|
| | | | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | |
| 1 | Finalised Roles | _ E | veryone | : | | | | |
| 2 | Create Project Plan | | Luke | | | | | |
| 3 | Interaction and high level design for the system | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Complete 4.1 and 4.2 | | Micha | l and Ti | no | | | |
| 5 | Complete 5.1 and 5.2 | | Adam | | | | | |
| 6 | Test specification for the final system | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Design specification for the final system | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Finalise prototype 1 for manager demonstration | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Integration and testing week | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Delivery of software (on a disc) | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Acceptance testing | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Hand-over of all documentation | | | | | | | |

3 RISK ANALYSIS

| Risk | Instruction on mitigating risk effect |
|---|---|
| Illness to member(s) of group | Agile workload distribution i.e other members |
| | can be reallocated other member' roles. |
| Members not uploading to GitHub | Disciplinary action overseen by project leader |
| Unit testing not adequate | Have a testing team compromised of several |
| | members with a wide skill range |
| Failure to understand brief requirements | Have project leader seek clarification from |
| | superiors. |
| University network malfunctioning | Ensure members have a local backup of any files |
| | they are currently working on |
| Members go over 80 hour limit | Reallocate workload to members with a lower |
| | accumulative time value |
| Skill levels are not adequate | Assign members roles based on their strengths |
| Members mis-understanding what is asked of them | Have meeting minutes shortly uploaded after |
| | every meeting in clear detail. |

REFERENCES

[1] Software Engineering Group Projects General Documentation Standards. C. J. Price, N. W. Hardy, B.P. Tiddeman SE.QA.03. 1.8 Release.

DOCUMENT HISTORY

| Version | CCF No. | Date | Changes made to Document | Changed by |
|---------|---------|------------|--------------------------|------------|
| 1.0 | N/A | 2015-10-22 | Initial creation | Luke Jones |